

Selection of strains for efficient very high gravity bio-ethanol fermentation processes

Francisco B. Pereira, Pedro M. R. Guimarães, José A. Teixeira, Lucília Domingues

▶ To cite this version:

Francisco B. Pereira, Pedro M. R. Guimarães, José A. Teixeira, Lucília Domingues. Selection of strains for efficient very high gravity bio-ethanol fermentation processes. Biotechnology Letters, 2010, $32\ (11)$, pp.1655-1661. 10.1007/s10529-010-0330-9. hal-00602990

HAL Id: hal-00602990

https://hal.science/hal-00602990

Submitted on 24 Jun 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Selection of Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains for efficient very high

gravity bio-ethanol fermentation processes

Francisco B. Pereira, Pedro M. R. Guimarães, José A. Teixeira and Lucília Domingues*

IBB – Institute for Biotechnology and Bioengineering, Centre of Biological

Engineering, Universidade do Minho, Campus de Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal

*Corresponding author

Lucília Domingues, IBB – Institute for Biotechnology and Bioengineering, Centre of

Biological Engineering, Universidade do Minho, Campus de Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga,

Portugal.

Fax: +351 253 678986

Tel.: +351 253 604400

E-mail: luciliad@deb.uminho.pt

Abstract

An optimized very high gravity (VHG) glucose medium supplemented with low cost nutrient sources was used to evaluate bio-ethanol production by 11 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains. The industrial strains PE-2 and CA1185 exhibited the best overall fermentation performance, producing an ethanol titre of 19.2 % (v/v) corresponding to a batch productivity of 2.5 g Γ^1 h⁻¹, while the best laboratory strain (CEN.PK 113-7D) produced 17.5 % (v/v) ethanol with a productivity of 1.7 g Γ^1 h⁻¹. The results presented here emphasize the biodiversity found within *S. cerevisiae* species and that naturally adapted strains, such as PE-2 and CA1185, are likely to play a key role in facilitating the transition from laboratory technological breakthroughs to industrial-scale bioethanol fermentations.

Keywords

Bio-ethanol production; Industrial strains; Laboratory strains; Saccharomyces cerevisiae; Very high gravity fermentation

Introduction

The application of Very High Gravity (VHG) fermentation technology, i.e. the use of highly concentrated sugar substrates, for the industrial production of bio-ethanol has a number of benefits, including: decreased process water requirements and energy costs, increased overall plant productivity and higher ethanol concentrations in the fermentation product that allow considerable savings in energy for distillation (Wang et al. 2007). Although many microorganisms have been exploited for ethanol production, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains are the most widely used in large-scale industrial bioethanol fermentation, namely due to their ability to ferment a wide range of sugars and develop appropriate mechanisms to deal with the harmful stress conditions found in VHG processes (Bai et al. 2008). Nevertheless, within *S. cerevisiae* species a wide variety of characteristics is observed, in particular between the so-called industrial and laboratory strains.

The fermentation processes under harsh industrial environmental conditions, especially using VHG media, require industrial strains (Silva-Filho et al. 2005). These strains rapidly respond to the stress conditions by adjusting their metabolic activities and become adapted over a long time in their specific environment (Zhao and Bai 2009). Genetically, these are usually polyploid, aneuploid, or even alloploid and genetic manipulations, although feasible, are rather complex and seldom reported in literature (Hansen and Kielland-Brandt 1996). In contrast, the laboratory strains are often haploid or diploid, well documented, and easily manipulated by using modern molecular biology and metabolic engineering tools (Albers and Larsson 2009). Although attractive in this respect, the performance of laboratory strains is generally weak under the very harsh conditions found in VHG industrial fermentations.

Spontaneous fermentation of high sugar juice is the main step in traditional "cachaça" (typical Brazilian distilled beverage) production and that is usually carried out by a mixed culture of yeasts, with predominance of *S. cerevisiae* strains physiologically adapted to the harsh environmental conditions (Schwan et al. 2001). Some strains of *S. cerevisiae* isolated from Brazilian sugarcane-to-ethanol distilleries showed high tolerance to ethanol and combined high fermentation efficiency with prolonged persistence in the fermentation system. In recent years, such strains have been widely adopted by the industry (Basso et al. 2008) and one of the most successful examples is the PE-2 wild isolate currently used by ~ 30 % of Brazilian distilleries, generating ~ 10 % of the world's bio-ethanol supply (Argueso et al. 2009).

The aim of this work was to evaluate and compare the performance of laboratory and industrial strains under VHG batch fermentation conditions, in order to select the strain(s) that allow obtaining the highest ethanol titre and productivity.

Materials and Methods

Yeasts

The *S. cerevisiae* strains used included eight industrial strains: three isolated from Brazilian bio-ethanol production plants – PE-2, CAT-1, VR-1 (Basso et al. 2008) – and five belonging to the UFLA collection (Federal University of Lavras, Brazil) isolated from "cachaça" fermentation processes – CA11, CA1162, CA1185, CA1187, CA155 (Table 1). The *S. cerevisiae* laboratory strains (routinely used in yeast research laboratories) included haploid S288C, haploid CEN.PK 113-7D and diploid CEN.PK 122 (Table 1). Stock cultures were maintained on YPD [1 % (w/v) yeast extract, 2 % (w/v) bacto-peptone and 2 % (w/v) glucose] agar plates at 4 °C.

Media and Fermentations

The fermentation tests were performed in a previously optimized VHG medium (Pereira et al. 2010) consisting of 280-350 g glucose Γ^1 , 44.3 g corn steep liquor (CSL) Γ^1 , 2.3 g urea Γ^1 , 3.8 g MgSO₄·7H₂O Γ^1 and 0.03 g CuSO₄·5H₂O Γ^1 . Glucose syrup and CSL were kindly provided by a starch manufacturer (COPAM, Portugal) and autoclaved separately (121 °C, 20 min). After autoclaving, the whole CSL was allowed to settle for 1-2 days at 4 °C and then centrifuged (15 min at 13100 x g) to remove the insolubles. The main composition of the CSL used has been previously reported (Pereira et al. 2010). The nutrients concentrated stock solutions were sterilized by filtration and then added aseptically. Before inoculating the fermentation flasks the medium was aerated by stirring with a magnet (>850 rpm during 20 min), reaching >95% of air saturation.

The yeast for inoculation was grown in Erlenmeyer flasks filled with medium containing 50 g glucose Γ^1 , 20 g peptone Γ^1 and 10 g yeast extract Γ^1 . After incubation at 30 °C and 150 rpm for 18 – 22 h (OD₆₀₀ of 7 – 7.5), the cell suspension was aseptically collected by centrifugation (10 min at 7500 x g, 4 °C) and ressuspended in 0.9 % (w/v) NaCl to a concentration of 200 mg fresh yeast m Γ^1 . Then, the yeast cells were pitched at about 1.3×10^8 cells m Γ^1 into 40 ml of culture medium to start the fermentation.

Fermentations were done in 100 ml Erlenmeyer flasks fitted with perforated rubber stoppers enclosing glycerol-locks (to permit CO_2 exhaustion while avoiding the entrance of air) and incubated at 30 °C with 150 rpm orbital agitation. The initial pH was adjusted to 5.5 with NaOH. The final pH was > 3.9 in all fermentations. The fermentation evolution was monitored by mass loss and samples for analyses were taken just at the ending point.

Analytical Procedures

Cell dry weight was determined using 20 ml samples of the yeast culture collected by centrifugation (10 min at 7500 x g, 4 °C) in a pre-weighed dried tube and then washed with 20 ml of distilled water. The tube was dried overnight at 105 °C and weighed again. Glucose, ethanol and glycerol were analyzed by HPLC, using a Varian MetaCarb 87H column eluted at 60 °C with 0.005 M H₂SO₄ at a flow rate of 0.7 ml min⁻¹, and a refractive-index detector.

Determination of fermentation parameters

Ethanol conversion yield was calculated by the ratio between the maximum ethanol concentration produced and the glucose consumed (difference between the initial and residual glucose concentrations). It was expressed as a percentage (%) of the theoretical conversion yield, i.e. the yield considering a production of 0.511 g of ethanol per g of glucose. Ethanol productivity was defined as the ratio between final ethanol concentration and total fermentation time (fermentation was considered to be complete when the weight of the flasks stabilized).

Results and Discussion

An optimized VHG medium (280-350 g glucose Γ^1) supplemented with low-cost nutrients was used to evaluate the fermentation performance of 3 standard laboratory strains and 8 industrial strains, 3 isolated from bio-ethanol distilleries and 5 from "cachaça" fermentations in Brazil.

Figure 1 shows the CO₂ production (mass loss) profiles for the 11 strains tested. These profiles permit the evaluation of fermentation evolution since under the oxygen-limiting

conditions used in this study, the patterns of CO_2 and ethanol production are closely related, because most CO_2 originates from the fermentative pathway. The fermentation parameters determined at the end of the fermentations are shown in Figure 2.

Among the laboratory strains, CEN.PK 113-7D presented the best fermentation performance, producing 17.5 % (v/v) ethanol in less than 80 h. The behavior of the diploid version CEN.PK 122 was similar, with fermentation being slightly slower (Figure 1A). Fermentation by strain S288C was much slower, taking over 120 h to reach completion, but the final ethanol titre reached was only slightly lower (Figure 2A). The 3 strains were able to completely consume initial glucose concentrations close to 300 g Γ^1 (Figure 2C), with ethanol yields over 90 % of the theoretical (Figure 2B). However, when the initial glucose concentration was raised to about 330 g Γ^1 , fermentation by strain CEN.PK 113-7D was slower and stopped with 36 g of residual glucose Γ^1 (data not shown). Hence, this increase in the initial glucose concentration resulted in a decrease in the fermentation rate and, consequently, in the overall ethanol productivity (which dropped to 1.5 g Γ^1 h⁻¹), probably due to the increased osmotic pressure (higher content of sugar) in the beginning of the fermentation.

The industrial strains were tested with higher glucose concentrations (ca. 330 g Γ^1) (Figure 2C). Among the strains isolated from bio-ethanol distilleries, strains PE-2 and VR-1 showed identical CO₂ production profiles, with fermentation reaching completion in less than 80 h, while strain CAT-1 was slower (Figure 1B). The 3 strains consumed nearly all glucose (residual < 1 g Γ^1) (Figure 2C) but PE-2 produced a higher final ethanol titre (19 % v/v) (Figure 2A) and consequently presented a better ethanol yield (90 % of theoretical) (Figure 2B).

Among the strains isolated from "cachaça" environments, CA1185 and CA1187 showed

similar CO_2 production profiles, with fermentation reaching completion in less than 80 h (Figure 1C), in agreement with the results obtained for strain PE-2 and VR-1 (Figure 1B). Fermentation by strain CA155 was slower but reached identical final CO_2 production, with total glucose consumption. These 3 strains produced over 18 % (v/v) ethanol (Figure 2A), with conversion yields over 85 % of theoretical (Figure 2B). Fermentation by strain CA1162 was slower (Figure 1C), producing 17 % (v/v) ethanol but leaving a glucose residual of 13 g Γ^1 (Figure 2). Strain CA11 showed the slowest fermentation amongst the industrial strains, with fermentation being incomplete even after 140 h (Figure 1C; Figure 2C).

These results indicate that there is heterogeneity among these natural yeast isolates in their ability to ferment VHG glucose medium and in their response to stress conditions. Accordingly, using different molecular techniques Pataro et al. (2000) observed high molecular diversity of the prevalent *S. cerevisiae* strains in "cachaça" production, which was attributed to a succession of indigenous strains that occur throughout the "cachaça" fermentation season and among different seasons.

In order to investigate the maximum ethanol titres that could be produced, strains PE-2, CA1185 and CA1187 were tested in fermentations with 350 g glucose Γ^1 (data not shown). These strains fermented nearly all the glucose (residual < 4 g Γ^1), reaching ethanol titres of 19.2 ± 0.3 , 19.2 ± 0.0 and 18.1 ± 0.0 % (v/v), respectively. Strains PE-2 and CA1185 showed the higher ethanol productivity (2.5 g Γ^1 h⁻¹), which was lower in the case of CA1187 (1.8 g Γ^1 h⁻¹).

Laboratory strains showed a final biomass concentration around 7-8 g Γ^1 , which was lower than that obtained with industrial strains (8-11 g Γ^1) (Figure 2D). This higher growth of industrial strains on VHG conditions can be related to its origin, since these

strains were isolated from stressful environments and were physiologically adapted to media with high osmotic pressure and ethanol stress (Basso et al. 2008).

There were no considerable differences in glycerol biosynthesis by the laboratory strains with a concentration around 8 g l⁻¹ being obtained at the end of the fermentations, corresponding to 5-6 % of the final ethanol concentration (Figure 2D). Interestingly, the industrial strains produced proportionately more glycerol, which accumulated to 8-10 % of the final ethanol concentration (Figure 2D). This increase in glycerol levels may contribute to counteract the effect of increasing osmotic pressure, promoting a protective effect on yeast cells (Kaino and Takagi 2008).

Increasing ethanol concentration at the end of fermentation (by applying high content of substrate) can significantly save the energy consumption in the downstream processes (Zhao and Bai 2009). Nevertheless, the osmotic pressure and ethanol inhibition developed under VHG conditions frequently result in incomplete or stuck fermentations with consequent losses in productivity and raw material. However, our results showed that robust strains isolated from stressful industrial environments, such as PE-2 and CA1185, were able to consume over 330 g glucose Γ^1 and produce high ethanol titres (up to 19 %) with high ethanol batch productivity (> 2.3 g Γ^1 h⁻¹). The results suggest that these strains are more prepared to cope with VHG fermentation stresses, namely high ethanol concentrations and osmotic pressure, comparing to standard laboratory yeast strains.

These industrial yeasts must sense and rapidly adapt to the adverse factors found in their natural environment, adjusting their physiology and metabolic activities to avoid substantial viability loss in the culture. Silva-Filho et al. (2005) concluded by PCR-fingerprinting of yeast samples from fuel ethanol fermentations that indigenous strains

could be more adapted to the industrial process than commercial ones. Probably, during yeast cell recycling, selective pressure (an adaptive evolution) is imposed on yeast population, leading to strains with higher tolerance to the stressful conditions of the industrial fermentation. The results of the present study show that the great biodiversity found in distillery environments can be an important source of robust stress-tolerant strains for biotechnological fermentation processes. These robust strains also constitute interesting hosts to be used in improvement programs through metabolic engineering. Ethanol concentrations above 18 % (v/v), as obtained with strains PE-2, CA1185, CA1187 and CA155 (Figure 2A), are rarely reported in the literature. Additionally, the ethanol productivities attained (> 2.3 g l⁻¹ h⁻¹) are very interesting for batch VHG fermentation. To our knowledge, the highest ethanol titres (20.6 – 23.8 % v/v) have been reported in fermentations of VHG wheat mashes at temperatures not higher than 27 °C (see Jones and Ingledew 1994 and references therein). In Brazil 70-80 % of the distilleries producing bio-ethanol from sugarcane employ a fed-batch process with high yeast cell concentrations and high fermentation temperature (above 30 °C) achieving fermentation times of only 6-10 h and final ethanol concentrations up to 11 % (v/v) (Brethauer and Wyman 2009). Intensification of the fermentation process could lead to higher ethanol titres, which would be beneficial for process economics due to energy savings in the distillation process. In fact, in the United States, some corn-to-ethanol distilleries are already obtaining fermentation products with 16-18 % (v/v) ethanol (Shapouri and Gallagher 2005).

Conclusions

The application of VHG fermentation for fuel ethanol production can improve the final

ethanol concentration and save significantly energy consumption. Our results highlight industrial distillery environments as a remarkable source of robust yeast strains for biotechnological fermentation processes. The industrial strains PE-2 and CA1185 exhibited the best overall fermentation performance, efficiently fermenting 330-350 g glucose Γ^1 producing over 19 % (v/v) ethanol with a batch productivity up to 2.5 g Γ^1 h⁻¹. Among the laboratory strains, the haploid CEN.PK 113-7D presented the best VHG fermentation efficiency, consuming 300 g glucose Γ^1 with a production of 17.5 % (v/v) ethanol, corresponding to a productivity of 1.7 g Γ^1 h⁻¹. These results are of practical importance for the selection of suitable yeast strains for the development of highly efficient industrial VHG bio-ethanol fermentation systems.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank COPAM – Companhia Portuguesa de Amidos, S.A. (Portugal) for kindly providing glucose syrup and CSL, and Whasley Duarte and Rosane Schwan (Federal University of Lavras, Brazil) for kindly providing the yeast strains (isolated from Brazilian "cachaça" and bio-ethanol fermentations). The financial support of Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT), Portugal, is acknowledged: project ProBioethanol PTDC/BIO/66151/2006, grant SFRH/BD/64776/2009 to F. B. Pereira and grant SFRH/BPD/44328/2008 to P. M. R. Guimarães.

References

Albers E, Larsson C (2009) A comparison of stress tolerance in YPD and industrial lignocellulose-based medium among industrial and laboratory yeast strains. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 36:1085-91

Argueso JL, Carazzolle MF, Mieczkowski PA, Duarte FM, Netto OVC, Missawa SK, Galzerani F, Costa GGL, Vidal RO, Noronha MF et al. (2009) Genome structure

- of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain widely used in bioethanol production. Genome Res 19:2258-2270
- Bai FW, Anderson WA, Moo-Young M (2008) Ethanol fermentation technologies from sugar and starch feedstocks. Biotechnol Adv 26:89-105
- Basso LC, de Amorim HV, de Oliveira AJ, Lopes ML (2008) Yeast selection for fuel ethanol production in Brazil. FEMS Yeast Res 8:1155-1163
- Brethauer S, Wyman EC (2009) Review: continuous hydrolysis and fermentation for cellulosic ethanol production. Bioresource Technol 101:4862-4874
- Hansen J, Kielland-Brandt MC (1996) Modification of biochemical pathways in industrial yeasts. J Biotechnol 49:1-12
- Jones AM, Ingledew WM (1994) Fuel alcohol production: optimization of temperature for efficient very-high-gravity fermentation. Appl Environ Microbiol 60:1048-1051
- Kaino T, Takagi H (2008) Gene expression profiles and intracellular contents of stress protectants in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* under ethanol and sorbitol stresses. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 79:273-283
- Mortimer RK, Johnston JR (1986) Genealogy of principal strains of the yeast genetic stock center. Genetics 113:35-43
- Pataro C, Guerra JB, Petrillo-Peixoto ML, Mendonca-Hagler LC, Linardi VR, Rosa CA (2000) Yeast communities and genetic polymorphism of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains associated with artisanal fermentation in Brazil. J Appl Microbiol 89:24-31
- Pereira FB, Guimarães PMR, Teixeira JA, Domingues L (2010) Optimization of low-cost medium for very high gravity ethanol fermentations by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* using statistical experimental designs. Bioresource Technol, doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2010.04.082
- Schwan RF, Mendonca AT, da Silva JJ, Rodrigues V, Wheals AE (2001) Microbiology and physiology of *Cachaca* (*Aguardente*) fermentations. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 79:89-96
- Shapouri H, Gallagher P. (2005) USDA's 2002 ethanol cost-of-production survey. Agriculture Economic Report Number 841, United States Department of Agriculture.
- Silva-Filho EA, Brito dos Santos SK, Resende Ado M, Morais JO, Morais MA, Jr., Ardaillon Simões D (2005) Yeast population dynamics of industrial fuel-ethanol fermentation process assessed by PCR-fingerprinting. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 88:13-23
- van Dijken JP, Bauer J, Brambilla L, Duboc P, François JM, Gancedo C, Giuseppin

- MLF, Heijnen JJ, Hoare M, Lange HC, Madden EA, Niederberger P, Nielsen J, Parrou JL, Petit T, Porro D, Reuss M, van Riel N, Rizzi M, Steensma HY, Verrips CT, Vindelov J, Pronk JT (2000) An interlaboratory comparison of physiological and genetic properties of four *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains. Enzyme Microb Technol 26:706-714
- Wang FQ, Gao CJ, Yang CY, Xu P (2007) Optimization of an ethanol production medium in very high gravity fermentation. Biotechnol Lett 29:233-236
- Zhao XQ, Bai FW (2009) Yeast flocculation: New story in fuel ethanol production. Biotechnol Adv 27:849-856

Table 1 – S. *cerevisiae* strains used in this study.

Strain	Available from	Reference/Source
CEN.PK 113-7D	EUROSCARF ^a collection	van Dijken et al. 2000
CEN.PK 122	EUROSCARF ^a collection	van Dijken et al. 2000
S288C	ATCC ^b collection (n.° 26108)	Mortimer and Johnston 1986
PE-2	LNF ^c	Basso et al. 2008
VR-1	LNF ^c	Basso et al. 2008
CAT-1	LNF ^c	Basso et al. 2008
CA11	LNF ^c	R. Schwan
CA1162	UFLA ^d collection	R. Schwan
CA1185	UFLA ^d collection	R. Schwan
CA1187	UFLA ^d collection	R. Schwan
CA155	UFLA ^d collection	R. Schwan

^aEuropean Saccharomyces cerevisiae Archive for Functional Analysis (euroscarf@em.uni-frankfurt.de)

^bAmerican Type Culture Collection (www.atcc.org)

^cLNF Latino Americana, Brazil (lnf@lnf.com.br)

^dFederal University of Lavras, Brazil (rschwan@dbi.ufla.br)

Figure Captions

Figure 1 – Profiles of CO₂ production obtained in VHG fermentations: (**A**) ca. 300 g glucose Γ^1 by laboratory strains; (**B**) ca. 330 g glucose Γ^1 by industrial strains isolated from bio-ethanol distilleries; (**C**) ca. 330 g glucose Γ^1 by industrial yeast strains isolated from "cachaça" fermentation

Figure 2 – VHG fermentations with laboratory and industrial *S. cerevisiae* strains: (**A**) final ethanol concentration (dark columns) and ethanol productivity (white columns); (**B**) ethanol yield; (**C**) initial glucose concentration (dark columns) and final glucose concentration (white columns); (**D**) final biomass concentration (dark columns) and final glycerol concentration (white columns). Error bars represent the range between independent biological duplicates, except for the PE-2 and CA1185 data for which the error bars represent the standard deviation of 4 independent biological replicates.







