



HAL
open science

Furan determination in jarred baby food purchased from the Spanish market by headspace gas chromatography-mass spectrometry.

Elvira Ruiz

► **To cite this version:**

Elvira Ruiz. Furan determination in jarred baby food purchased from the Spanish market by headspace gas chromatography-mass spectrometry.. *Food Additives and Contaminants*, 2010, 27 (09), pp.1208-1214. <10.1080/19440049.2010.489578>. <hal-00602981>

HAL Id: hal-00602981

<https://hal.science/hal-00602981v1>

Submitted on 24 Jun 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization



Furan determination in jarred baby food purchased from the Spanish market by headspace gas chromatography-mass spectrometry.

Journal:	<i>Food Additives and Contaminants</i>
Manuscript ID:	TFAC-2010-049.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	20-Apr-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Ruiz, Elvira; Spanish Food Safety and Nutrition Agency, Food Contact Materials Section, National Food and Nutrition Centre
Methods/Techniques:	Chromatography - GC/MS, Chromatography - Headspace
Additives/Contaminants:	Process contaminants
Food Types:	Baby food

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Furan determination in jarred baby food purchased from the Spanish market by headspace gas chromatography-mass spectrometry

Elvira Ruiz*, Ma Isabel Santillana, Ma Teresa Nieto, Ma Eugenia Cirugeda, and Jose J. Sánchez

Food Contact Materials Section, National Food Centre, Spanish Food Safety and Nutrition Agency, Majadahonda, 28220 Madrid, Spain

*Corresponding author. Email: eruizm@msps.es

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to offer a method based on headspace gas chromatography-mass spectrometry technique (US FDA 2006) in-house validated and use to estimate furan concentrations in jarred baby food samples purchased from the Spanish market. The validation was performed according to ISO 17025 and EFSA requirements and the results obtained (LOD $0.05 \mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$; LOQ $4 \mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$, lowest validated level; RSD% 3.1-10.5; recoveries 85.4-101.5%) confirm that this method is fit for the routine analysis of furan in jarred baby food control. Furan was analysed in 39 different baby-food samples and the mean levels varied between $64.6 \mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$ (rice and chicken samples) and \leq LOQ (fruit-based samples). The mean concentration values found for the different matrices were 5.0, 37.8, 25.2, 33.8 and $30.5 \mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$ for fruit, vegetables, meat/vegetables, fish/vegetables and dairy-containing baby foods, respectively. According to the statistical analyses, fruit-based baby food samples had significantly lower concentrations of furan. Mean values for the other matrices were at least five times higher, and this is in accordance with the levels reported in other studies.

Keywords: furan; baby-food; headspace-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry.

Introduction

Furan is a lipophilic contaminant which is formed during the heating processes used for the manufacture of foods. It is an aromatic heterocyclic compound, highly volatile; which was already detected, although at low concentration levels in the 1960s in a great variety of heat-processed foods (canned meat, bread, coffee, hydrolyzed proteins...) (Stoffelsma et al., 1968; Persson et al., 1973).

Experimental models multiple precursors and alternative routes for the furan formation have been proposed: thermal oxidation of ascorbic acid, non-enzymatic browning involving reducing sugars only (Strecker degradation) or together with amino acids [Maillard reactions (Maga 1979), polyunsaturated fat acids oxidation (Locas et al., 2004; Becalski et al., 2005; Märk et al., 2006)].

In 2004 US Food and Drug Administration (US FDA 2004b) reported the presence of this compound in a great variety of thermally treated food, such as meat, vegetables, and fruits, canned sauces in tins or jars especially including baby-food. The presence of furan, classified as possibly carcinogenic to human (Group 2B) (IARC 1995) in food has been confirmed by different laboratories (Reinhard et al., 2004; Becalski et al., 2005; Heppner et al., 2007)

The scientific panel on contaminants in the food chain from the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA 2004) considered furan in foodstuff as an urgent matter and made a scientific report about its presence that was presented in Brussels. The report concluded that it was necessary to generate reliable data of the furan content in products commercialized within the European Community to create a good database and study the risk of furan toxicity. In 2007, the European Commission recommended to the members states to follow the presence of furan in thermally treated food throughout 2007-2008 (EC 2007).

As an answer to the Commission and EFSA recommendation, our laboratory taking into account the lack of data available in Spain, decided to carry out a market surveillance targeted on foods intended to be consumed by infants, since they are the most susceptible consumer group (Suk et al., 2003) and because relatively high amounts of furan were previously detected in commercial baby-food (Crews et al., 2007; Morehouse et al., 2008).

The determination of furan is very challenging due to its high volatility, low molecular weight, and its presence in low concentrations in foodstuff. It is very important to have a suitable method to analyze this compound. The most used analytical technique is

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

headspace extraction combined with gas chromatography-mass spectrometric determination (Nyman et al., 2006; Nyman et al., 2008; Bianchi et al., 2006; Zoller et al., 2007; Vranová et al., 2007). Our laboratory used the method proposed by FDA (US FDA 2006) as reference, with slight modifications.

The sample (purification was not necessary) was weighted in a headspace vial and placed in the static headspace autosampler, heated at 80° C for 30 min and determined by CG-MS in SIM mode, using furan-d4 as an internal standard.

Experimental

Reagents and apparatus

Furan (purity $\geq 99\%$) and d₄-Furan (isotopic purity $\geq 98\%$) were provided by Sigma-Aldrich. Methanol (HPLC grade) from Merck. Ultrapure water was prepared using a Direct-Q system (Millipore).

The following equipment was used: Gas chromatograph (6890 Agilent) equipped with a mass spectrometer (5973 Agilent) and a Headspace injector (7694 Agilent). The chromatographic capillary column was a HP-PLOT Q by J&W Scientific (15 m x 0,32 mm x 20 μm).

20 ml headspace vials with PTFE septa (Agilent Technologies) were used. To prevent the presence of contaminants in the vials, they were heated in a muffle oven (Heraeus) at 400° C for 1 hour before being used.

The stock solutions were prepared and transferred using microsyringes (Hamilton Gastight®).

Standards preparation

Stock furan and d₄-furan solutions were prepared in methanol with a minimum concentration of 3000 mg.l⁻¹ and stored in a freezer for no longer than one week.

From the stock solutions, intermediate solutions of furan and d₄-furan were prepared (50 μl to 50 ml methanol) and from these, working solutions in water in the in the range 4 - 400 $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$ for furan and at 10 $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$ for d₄-furan, approximately. The precise concentrations were calculated from the stock solutions. All these processes were performed in an ice bath to avoid losses due to the volatilization of furan.

Commercial samples for the study

Thirty nine jarred baby food samples, corresponding to three different commercial brands, and including a wide range of different matrices were purchased in retail food

1
2
3 stores of the Spanish market. The samples were stored unopened and refrigerated, until
4 they were analyzed. The main ingredients of the samples analyzed are shown in Table 2.
5
6
7

8 **Sample preparation**

9
10 A 5 g aliquot of the homogenised sample (homogenisation was performed under cold
11 conditions) was weighted in a headspace vial, diluted with 5 ml of purified water and the
12 internal standard was added at the same concentration as used in the calibration curve. All
13 these processes were performed in an ice bath. The vials were hermetically sealed as fast
14 as possible to avoid analyte losses and were shaken for 1 min until a homogeneous
15 aspect was obtained. All the samples were twice analyzed, following the procedure
16 already described. Additionally, a triplicate for each sample was prepared spiked with
17 furan at a concentration of $100 \mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$ in order to be used as a quality control sample.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 **HS-GC-MS analysis**

26
27 Gas chromatographic conditions as well as (split ratio of 1:10) MS conditions were the
28 same as those described in the US FDA 2006 method. The headspace operating
29 conditions were as follows: oven temperature at 80°C with an equilibrium time of 30 min
30 (stirring), loop at 95°C , transfer line at 110°C and an injection time of 1 min.
31
32
33

34 Selected ion monitoring (SIM), ions m/z 68, 39 (furan), 72 (furan d_4). Chromatograms
35 for furan and d_4 -furan standards and for a baby food sample are presented in figure 1.
36
37
38
39

40 (Figure 1)

41 **Validation**

42
43 In-house validation was carried out following the standard protocol from our laboratory,
44 which is based on ISO 17025 (2005). The following parameters were assessed: specificity,
45 linearity, detection and quantification limits, precision and accuracy (expressed as
46 recovery).
47
48
49

50 Specificity was tested verifying the lack of response at the retention time of the analyte
51 by analysing in triplicate a blank sample. A response was considered to be present if it
52 was $\geq 1/5$ the value of the quantification limit.
53
54
55

56 Linear regression calibration was performed by plotting the relative response of furan
57 (m/z 68) and d_4 -furan (m/z 72) against the furan concentration in $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$. An average
58 calibration curve was calculated from three curves obtained in different days, each one at
59 six concentration levels in the range 4 to $400 \mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$. Linearity was established by
60

1
2
3 estimating the relative standard deviation of the mean slope, according to the following
4 equation:
5
6

$$Cm \% = 100 \times \frac{Sm}{m}$$

7
8
9
10
11
12
13 Where Sm is the standard deviation of the mean slope and m is the slope of the mean
14 calibration curve. Values of $Cm \leq 5 \%$ are considered satisfactory. The correlation
15 coefficient of the linear regression was also calculated (≥ 0.996).
16
17

18 Limits of quantification (LOQ) and detection (LOD) were calculated from the mean
19 calibration curve using the following equations:
20
21

$$LOQ = \frac{10 \times S_b}{m\sqrt{n}}$$

$$LOD = \frac{3 \times S_b}{m\sqrt{n}}$$

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34 Where S_b is the intercept standard deviation, m is the slope of the mean calibration
35 curve and n is the number of calibration curves.
36

37 Precision and accuracy were assessed spiking a blank sample with furan at three
38 different levels of concentration (Table 1) Each level was analyzed three times on three
39 different days ($n = 9$). Precision was expressed in terms of relative standard deviation
40 (RSD% ≤ 20) and the accuracy as percentage of recovery for each concentration level (80
41 -120 %).
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 Results and discussion

50 Previous to method validation, some experiments were performed using different
51 headspace incubation temperatures, in order to evaluate the influence on the furan
52 response. These temperatures were: 60° C, as recommended in the FDA method, and
53 80°C which is the temperature we have previously used in our laboratory for other volatile
54 compounds, and also used by other authors (Nyman et al., 2006; Zoller et al., 2007;
55 Morehouse et al., 2008).
56
57
58
59
60

To check the effect of the temperature, we obtained calibration curves prepared on
different matrices: water, fruit juice and vegetable purée, at both temperatures. The slopes

1
2
3 of the regression lines, including all the matrices as well as both temperatures were
4 compared. No significant difference was found between the mean slope obtained at 60°C
5 (n=3) and at 80°C (n=4), (t test, $\alpha=0.05$). Therefore, we concluded that setting the
6 headspace temperature at 80°C would not lead to a significant increase of the furan
7 concentration in the samples within the scope of our study.
8
9

10
11 The in-house validation was performed as it has been described using a fruit based
12 baby-food sample, where the absence of furan had been previously checked ($<1/5$ LOQ),
13 which was taken as the blank sample.
14
15

16
17 The mean calibration curve (of three curves obtained on three days) with a furan
18 concentration range 4 to 400 $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$ (equivalent to $\mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$ sample) showed a good linearity
19 ($C_m\% = 0.25$) and the correlation coefficient was 0.9999.
20
21

22
23 Detection and quantification limits were calculated from the intercept value: $0.05 \mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$
24 and $0.17 \mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$, respectively. The lowest validated concentration level was $4 \mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$, and
25 this was considered as the quantification limit for the report of sample results.
26

27
28 Precision (RSD %) and accuracy (% recovery) results are presented in Table 1. Both
29 parameters were satisfactory according to our internal requirements.
30
31

32
33 (Table 1)
34
35

36
37 Therefore, method performance parameters assessed in the method validation showed
38 that the analytical procedure was well applicable for the reliable determination of furan in
39 baby food with a limit of quantification suitable for the purpose of our study. Furthermore,
40 the validation results fulfil EFSA requirements (EFSA 2007): quantification limit less than 5
41 $\mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$, precision (as RSD %) less than 20% and a minimum recovery of 80%. Also, the
42 suitability of the method was confirmed by participating in an inter-laboratory trial
43 (FAPAS®) on a vegetable based baby-food. The z-score obtained was 0.4, which was in
44 the range of $\leq \pm 2$, considered as satisfactory.
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 The method was applied to the analysis of the jarred baby food samples described in
52 Table 2. All the samples were analyzed twice and a parallel recovery analysis was done
53 for all of them. The results are shown in Table 2.
54
55
56

57
58 (Table 2)
59
60

1
2
3 When the baby-food data were processed, precision data of duplicate analysis satisfied
4 the Thompson modified Horwitz equation (Thompson 2000). This is equivalent to a
5 $\text{HorRat}_r \leq 1$.
6
7

8 The average recovery value was 101.5% with a relative standard deviation $\text{RSD}\% =$
9 5.6, which is in complete agreement with the results obtained in the method validation.
10

11 The thirty-nine baby-food samples analyzed by the method previously described were
12 classified depending on their composition (most common ingredients) in five different
13 groups. Table 3 summarizes the mean value per group as well as the maximum and
14 minimum found values.
15
16
17

18
19
20 (Table 3)
21
22

23 From Table 3 it is evident that fruit-based baby food showed the lowest furan
24 concentrations ($5 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$) and the highest values were found in the meat (chicken, turkey
25 and seabass) and vegetables group (62 to $65 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$). Due to the limited number of
26 analyzed samples based only on vegetables and dairy products ($n=4$), the results for these
27 groups might not be representative and have to be carefully considered.
28
29
30

31 Furan concentrations in baby food samples from the Spanish market included in our
32 study are in agreement with the levels determined in other studies (Bekalsi et al., 2005;
33 Bianchi et al., 2006; Morehouse et al., 2008; Zoller et al., 2007); neither are they in
34 disagreement with those included in the EFSA database where concentration levels
35 ranged from not detectable to $112 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ (EFSA 2004). In our study the levels found were
36 from $<\text{LOQ}$ up to $65 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$.
37
38
39

40 All samples analysed were commercial baby foods prepared by autoclaving in glass
41 jars, technology which has been suggested to favor furan formation over other procedures
42 used for commercial baby food preparation and domestic practices (Roberts et al., 2008;
43 US FDA, 2004a; Zoller et al., 2007).
44
45

46 It must be noticed that fruit based products with added ascorbic acid contain also
47 organic acids. It has been reported that the formation of furan from sugars or ascorbic acid
48 is less likely at low pH (Becalski et al., 2005; Limacher et al., 2007). Apparently, the
49 preparation of autoclaved baby foods does not include processing conditions of low water
50 activity at high temperatures typical for non-enzymatic browning reactions to occur; thus
51 furan is more likely formed through other mechanisms (Maillard reaction). It is possible to
52 speculate that most potential precursors for furan in such baby food are polyunsaturated
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 lipids such as polyunsaturated fatty acids and carotenoids (Becalski et al., 2005; Locas et
3 al., 2004). However; this was not the purpose of our study
4
5

6 The composition of baby food seems to be a key factor to be taken into account in furan
7 formation (presence of ascorbic acid, polyunsaturated fatty acids, aminoacids and
8 carotenoids), as has already been described in previous studies regarding this analyte
9 (Jestoi et al., 2009; Lachenmeier et al., 2009).
10
11
12

13 14 15 **Acknowledgements**

16 The authors would like to thank Juana Bustos and Marta Tello for technical assistance and
17 critical reading of the manuscript.
18
19
20

21 22 23 **References**

24 Bianchi F, Careri M, Mangia A, Musci M. 2006. Development and validation of a solid
25 phase micro-extraction-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry method for the
26 determination of furan in baby-food. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1102:268-272.
27
28

29 Becalski A, Forsyth D, Casey V, Lau BP-Y, Pepper K, Seaman S. 2005. Development and
30 validation of a headspace method for determination of furan in food. *Food Additives and*
31 *Contaminants*, 22:535-540.
32
33

34 Becalski A, Seaman S. 2005. Furan precursors in food: A model study and development of
35 a simple headspace method for determination of furan. *Journal AOAC International*,
36 88:102-106.
37
38

39 Crews C, Castle L. 2007. A review of the occurrence, formation and analysis of furan in
40 heat-processed foods. *Trends in Food Science & Technology* 18:365-372.
41
42

43 European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). 2004. Report on the Scientific Panel on
44 contaminants in the food chain on provisional findings on furan in food. *EFSA Journal*,
45 137:1-20.
46
47
48

49 European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). 2007. Criteria for accepting furan data Rev.1. OJ
50 L 88, 29.3.2007, p.56.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 European Commission. 2007. Recommendation concerning the assessment of furan
5 presence in food. 2007/196/EC
6
7

8
9
10 Heppner C.W, Schlater J R. 2007. Data requirements for risk assessment of furan in food.
11 Food Additives and Contaminants, 24 (S1):114-121.
12
13

14
15 International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). 1995. Dry cleaning, some
16 chlorinated solvents and other industrial chemicals, monographs on the evaluation of
17 carcinogenic risks to humans, vol 63:393-407.
18
19
20

21
22 Jestoi M, Jävinen T, Järvenpää E, Tapanainen H, Virtanen S, Peltonen K. 2009. Furan in
23 the baby-food simples purchased from the Finnish markets. Determination with SPME-GC-
24 MS. Food Chemistry, 117:522-528.
25
26
27

28
29 Lachenmeier DW, Reusch H, Kuballa T. 2009. Risk assessment of furan in commercially
30 jarred baby foods, including insights into its occurrence and formation in freshly home-
31 cooked foods for infants and young children. Food Additives and Contaminants, 26
32 (6):776-785.
33
34
35

36
37
38 Limacher A, Kerler J, Conde-Petit B, Blank I. 2007. Formation of furan and methylfuran
39 from ascorbic acid in model systems and food. Food Additives and Contaminants, 24 (S1),
40 122-135
41
42

43
44
45 Locas C, Yaylayan V.A. 2004. Origin and mechanistic pathways of formation of the parent
46 furan: A toxicant. Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry, 52:6830-36.
47
48
49

50
51 Maga J.A. 1979. Furan in foods. 1979. CRC Critical Reviews in Food Science and
52 Nutrition, 11:355-400.
53
54

55
56 Märk J, Pollien P, Lindinger C, Blank I, Märk T. 2006. Quantitation of furan and
57 methylfuran formed in different precursor systems by proton transfer reaction mass
58 spectrometry. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 54:2786-2793.
59
60

1
2
3 Morehouse, KM, Nyman PJ, Mcneal TP, DiNovi MJ, Perfetti GA. 2008. Survey of furan in
4 heat processed oods by headspace gas chromatography/mass spectrometry and estimate
5 adult exposure. Food Additives and Contaminants, 25(3):259-264.
6
7

8
9
10 Nyman PJ, Morehouse KM, McNeal TP, Perfetti GA, Diachenko GW. 2006. Single-
11 laboratory validation of a method for the determination of furan in foods by using static
12 headspace sampling and gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Journal of AOAC
13 International, 89 (5):1417-1424.
14
15

16
17
18 Nyman PJ, Morehouse KM, McNeal TP, Perfetti GA, Diachenko GW, Holcomb JR. 2008.
19 Single laboratory validation of a method for the determination of furan in foods by
20 headspace gas chromatograph/ mass spectrometry, Part 2: Low-moisture snack foods. J.
21 AOAC Int 91 (2): 414-421
22
23
24

25
26
27 Persson T, Von Sydow E. 1973. Aroma of canned beef: Gas chromatographic and mass
28 spectrometric analysis of the volatiles. Journal of Food Science, 38:377-385.
29
30

31
32
33 Reinhard H, Sager F, Zimmermann H, Zolter O. 2004. Furan in foods on the Swiss mark –
34 method and results. Mitteilungen in Lebensmittel Hygiene, 95:532-535.
35
36

37
38 Roberts D, Crews C, Grundy H, Mills C, Matthews W. 2008. Effect of consumer cooking on
39 furan in convenience foods. Food Additives and Contaminants, 25 (1): 25-31
40
41

42
43 Stoffelsma J, Sipma G, Kettenes DK, Pypker J. 1968. Volatile components or roasted
44 coffee. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 16:1000-1004.
45
46

47
48 Suk WA, Murria K, Avakian MD. 2003. Environmental hazards to children's health in the
49 modern Word. Mutat Res. 544:235-242.
50
51

52
53
54 Thompson M. 2000. Recent trends in interlaboratory precision at ppb and sub-ppb
55 concentration in relation to fitness for purpose criteria in proficiency testing. Analyst, 125:
56 385-386
57
58

59
60
UNE-EN ISO/IEC 17025. 2005. Requisitos generales para la competencia de los
laboratorios de ensayo y calibración.

1
2
3
4 US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). 2004b. Determination of furan in foods. 7 May
5 2004(updated 27 October 2006). Available from: <http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/dms/furan.html>
6
7
8

9
10 Vranová J, Bednářiková A, Ciesarová Z. 2007. In-house validation of a simple headspace
11 gas chromatography-mass spectrometry method for determination of furan levels in food.
12 Journal of Food and Nutrition Research, 46 (3):123-127.
13
14

15
16
17 Zoller O, Sager F, Reinhard H. 2007. Furan in food: Headspace method and product
18 survey. Food Additives and Contaminants, 24 (S1):91-107.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1: Mean recoveries and relative standard deviations of furan determinations at three different spiking levels used. Each spiking level is represented by 9 replicates.

n = 9	Level 1 (4 µg.kg⁻¹)	Level 2 (115 µg.kg⁻¹)	Level 3 (384 µg.kg⁻¹)
Mean recovery (%)	85.4	101.5	101.1
RSD (%)	10.5	3.1	4.0

For Peer Review Only

Table 2: The different jarred baby food samples analysed with the ingredients reported by the manufactures and furan mean concentration found.

Number	Brand	Product	Ingredients	Furan $\mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$
1	A	Vegetables and Spanish veal	Water, potatoes, Spanish veal, beans, carrots, peas, celery and rice flour.	15.1
2	A	Multifruits	Peach, apple, banana, orange, water, sugar, rice flour and vitamin C.	NQ.*
3	A	Mixed vegetables	Potatoes, water, green beans, carrots, peas, onion, celery, tomato, sunflower oil, rice flour and salt.	53.4
4	A	Rice and chicken	Water, chicken, carrots, rice flour, corn starch, sunflower oil, onion, celery, tomato and salt.	NQ*
5	B	Carrots and veal	Water, veal, potatoes, carrots, rice, tomatoes, starch, sunflower oil, onion, celery and salt.	11.9
6	B	Turkey and vegetables	Water, turkey meat, potatoes, peas, tomato, rice, onion, starch, sunflower oil and salt.	12.8
7	B	Ham, veal and vegetables	Water, potatoes, ham, tomato, veal, carrots, rice, onion, starch, sunflower oil, salt and garlic.	10.4
8	B	Vegetable soup and lamb	Water, potatoes, lamb carrots, peas onion, starch, sunflower oil, salt, parsley and garlic.	21.3
9	B	Fruit cocktail	Pears, apples, bananas, oranges, water, sugar, rice flour and vitamin C.	NQ*
10	B	Vegetables soup and lamb	Water, potatoes, lamb, carrots, peas, onions, starch, sunflower oil, salt, parsley and garlic.	29.3
11	B	Chicken and rice	Water, chicken, rice, carrots, starch, tomato, sunflower oil, onion, celery y salt.	17.4
12	B	Chicken, veal and vegetables	Water, potatoes, green beans, chicken, tomato, carrots, peas, veal, starch, celery, sunflower oil, onion, sugar and salt.	28.8
13	B	Ham, veal and vegetables	Water potatoes, ham, tomato, veal, carrots, rice, onion, starch, sunflower oil, salt and garlic.	20.6
14	B	Veal and carrots	Water, veal, potatoes, carrots, rice, tomato, starch, sunflower oil, onion, celery and salt.	19.9
15	B	Rice and carrots in chicken soup	Chicken soup, carrots, chicken, rice, onion, corn starch, olive oil, vegetables oils, parsley, salt and bay leaves.	11.3
16	B	Rice and hake	Water, potatoes, hake, rice, onion, sunflower oil, celery and salt.	13.9
17	B	Sole and béchamel	Water, potatoes, skimmed milk, sole, tomato, butter, starch, onion, celery and salt.	34.2
18	B	Peas and ham	Water, peas, potatoes, milk, ham, onion, corn starch, olive oil, vegetables oils, salt and aroma.	15.8
19	B	Chicken and vegetables	Water, potatoes, carrots, milk, chicken meat, onions, corn starch, tomato, celery, olive oil, vegetable oil and salt.	22.8
20	B	Vegetables with hake in a cream	Water, milk, hake, rice, onion, sunflower oil, celery, starch and salt.	14.2
21	B	Veil with carrots with olive oil	Water, veil meat, potatoes, carrots, rice, tomato, corn starch, olive oil, vegetable oil, onion, celery, salt.	12.6
22	B	Apple compote rich in vitamin C	Apple, sugar, starch, lemon juice, cinnamon and vitamin C.	5.1
23	B	Banana, tangerine and pear rich in vitamin C	Banana, tangerine, pear, apple, carrots, sugar, starch, lemon juice and vitamin C.	5.2
24	B	Varied fruit	Peach, apple, banana, apricot, orange, grape, corn starch, rice and vitamin C.	4.4
25	B	Peach and banana	Peach, banana, grape, corn starch and rice and vitamin C.	4,8
26	B	Milk dessert with biscuits	Milk, sugar, water, biscuits and rice flour.	39.8
27	B	Lentil stew	Water, lentils, potatoes, veil meat, carrots, tomato, onion, sunflower oil, starch, salt and spices.	22.2
28	B	Crème Caramel	Milk, sugar, water, rice flour, starch and vanilla.	21.2

Number	Brand	Product	Ingredients	Furan $\mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$
29	C	Cream of béchamel and vegetables with chicken	Milk, water, chicken, potato, carrot, corn starch, butter, leak and green beans.	43.9
30	C	Rice and veal	Water, potato, carrot, veil, rice, onion, tomato, olive oil, leak and corn starch.	37.2
31	C	Banana, apple, orange and cereals.	Banana, apple, flour, honey, orange, lemon and vitamin C.	NQ*
32	C	Béchamel sauce and hake	Water, milk, hake, rice flour, corn starch, butter, salt and nutmeg.	34.2
33	C	Chicken with vegetables	Potato, water, carrot, chicken meat, leak, peas, onion, olive oil, corn starch and salt.	42.8
34	C	Gluten and additive free varied fruit.	Orange, apple, pear, apricot, water, sugar, rice flour and vitamin C.	7.4
35	C	Gluten and additive free Six fruit dessert.	Apple, peach, apricot, banana, orange, pineapple, sugar, water, rice flour and vitamin C.	7.8
36	C	Banana, orange and biscuit.	Banana, orange, water, sugar, gluten free biscuits, rice flour, corn, lemon and vitamin C.	9.0
37	C	Gluten and additives free chicken and rice.	Water, milk, chicken, potato, carrot, rice, corn starch, olive oil and salt.	64.6
38	C	Vegetable puree and turkey. Dinner for little ones.	Water, carrots, potatoes, milk, turkey, onion, green beans, olive oil, corn starch and salt.	62.8
39	C	Vegetables and seabass.	Water, carrots, potato, seabass, milk, turkey, onion, peas, green beans, olive oil, leak, corn starch and salt.	62.2

* NQ = Not quantifying, LOQ = $4 \mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$

Table 3: Mean and maximum-minimum values per group depending on their composition.

Baby food based on:	n	Mean value ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$) ⁽¹⁾	Maximum value- Minimum value ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$)
Fruits	10	5.0	NQ – 9.0
Vegetables	2	37.8	22.2 – 53.4
Meat and vegetables	20	25.2	NQ – 64.6
Fish and vegetables	6	33.8	13.9 – 62.2
Dairy products	2	30.5	21.2 – 39.8

⁽¹⁾ To calculate the mean value we have taken value 2 (LOQ/2) for the Non Quantifying samples.

1
2
3 Equation (1):

4
5
$$Cm\% = 100 \times \frac{Sm}{m}$$

6
7

8 Equation (2):

9
10
11
$$LOQ = \frac{10 \times S_b}{m\sqrt{n}}$$

12
13

14 Equation (3):

15
16
$$LOD = \frac{3 \times S_b}{m\sqrt{n}}$$

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review Only




Figure 1. Typical HS-GC-MS SIM chromatogram and extracted single ions m/z 68 and m/z 39 of: a) $98.6 \mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$ furan standard and b) a meat and vegetables baby food sample ($29.3 \mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$).

210x297mm (200 x 200 DPI)