



HAL
open science

Evolutionary Algorithms for Solving the Automatic Cell Planning Problem: A Survey

Francisco Luna, Juan José Durillo, Antonio Jesús Nebro, Enrique Alba

► **To cite this version:**

Francisco Luna, Juan José Durillo, Antonio Jesús Nebro, Enrique Alba. Evolutionary Algorithms for Solving the Automatic Cell Planning Problem: A Survey. *Engineering Optimization*, 2010, 42 (07), pp.671-690. 10.1080/03052150903426850 . hal-00602597

HAL Id: hal-00602597

<https://hal.science/hal-00602597>

Submitted on 23 Jun 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Evolutionary Algorithms for Solving the Automatic Cell Planning Problem: A Survey

Journal:	<i>Engineering Optimization</i>
Manuscript ID:	GENO-2009-0108.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	06-Oct-2009
Complete List of Authors:	Luna, Francisco; University of Málaga, Computer Science Durillo, Juan; University of Málaga, Computer Science Nebro, Antonio; University of Málaga, Computer Science Alba, Enrique; University of Málaga, Computer Science
Keywords:	Evolutionary Algorithms, Automatic Cell Planning, Survey
Note: The following files were submitted by the author for peer review, but cannot be converted to PDF. You must view these files (e.g. movies) online.	
Luna.et.al.Latex.Sources.tar.gz	



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Engineering Optimization
Vol. 00, No. 00, October 2009, 1–24

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Evolutionary Algorithms for Solving the Automatic Cell Planning Problem: A Survey

Francisco Luna, Juan J. Durillo, Antonio J. Nebro, and Enrique Alba

Departamento de Lenguajes y Ciencias de la Computación
Universidad de Málaga, Málaga, Spain

(Received:)

Automatic Cell Planning (ACP) is an optimization problem from the mobile telecommunication domain that **addresses finding** the location of the network antennae as well as their parameter settings in order to satisfy several cellular operator requirements. Due to its NP-hard complexity, evolutionary techniques have become popular to solve ACP instances. This paper presents a survey of evolutionary algorithms (EAs) engineered for addressing ACP problems, analyzing both the features of the considered ACP problem and the main aspects of the EAs used to solve them. The survey provides an up-to-date overview that is not limited to any particular kind of evolutionary approach, and comprises advanced algorithmic enhancements like hybridization and parallelization. The paper ends by addressing some important issues and open questions that can be **the** subject of future research.

Keywords: Evolutionary algorithms; automatic cell planning; survey

Corresponding author. Email: flv@lcc.uma.es

ISSN: 0305-215X print/ISSN 1029-0273 online

© 2009 Taylor & Francis

DOI: 10.1080/0305215YYxxxxxxx

URL: <http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/geno> Email: A.B.Templeman@liverpool.ac.uk
<http://www.informaworld.com>

1. Introduction

Planning and managing a cellular phone system **means engineers have to** face many challenging optimization problems (Resende and Pardalos (2006)). Assuming that the business planning activities are already completed, i.e., choosing customer segments, network technology to be used, etc., one of the most significant technical optimization problems is the radio network planning (Mishra (2004)), also known as the *Automatic Cell Planning* (ACP) problem, the *network dimensioning* problem, or the *capacity planning* problem. Indeed, the foundation of a well-performing cellular network is the basic radio platform since it is the part of the network which is **closest** to mobile users. Also, it has clear benefits for the operators since they reduce the infrastructure costs and, at the same time, increase revenue and user satisfaction.

In the initial deployment of a cellular network, the ACP problem **addresses selecting** the locations of base stations (BTSs) from a set of candidate sites, as well as their parameter settings, in such a way that a number of network requirements are satisfied. These requirements include **maximizing the area covered and the traffic capacity**, while **minimizing the infrastructure cost**. Configuring BTSs is not a simple task, since it implies setting up many configuration parameters, such as the antenna type, the emission power, and/or the tilt and azimuth angles. However, cellular networks need to be adapted to the **highly competitive telecommunications industry**: new services, new equipment technologies, increasing system capacity, etc. Even in relatively mature cellular markets, these issues force the deployment of additional sites, not only to enhance the system capacity but also to provide increased levels of in-building coverage as mobile users expect to be offered service in all geographical area. The ACP problem therefore holds both for the second generation of cellular phone systems, GSM (*Global System for Mobile communication*, Mouly and Paulet (1992)), and its enhanced releases GPRS (Granbohm and Wiklund (1999)) and EDGE (Furuskar *et al.* (1999)), as well as for the current third generation networks, UMTS (*Universal Mobile Telecommunication System*, Rapeli (1995)).

The simplest version of the ACP problem, as an extension of the classical minimum cost set covering problem, has NP-hard complexity (Glasser *et al.* (2005)). In more complex and realistic versions in which BTSs have to be dimensioned, the high number of configurations for each of these BTSs has to be taken into consideration. Even when the inherently continuous BTS parameters such as emission power, tilt and azimuth are discretized into a small subset of possible values, the search space becomes huge. However, an additional issue emerges in this optimization problem: changing the configuration setting of any BTS may affect other BTSs. For instance, if the maximum emission power of a BTS b is reduced to decrease the signal interference in a given area of the network, other BTSs should hold the traffic capacity that has been left unsupported by b . If these other BTSs are already operating at their full capacity, the network would simply start dropping calls of mobile users. **This means that making small local changes would require most of the network predictions to be recomputed.**

In general, two kinds of techniques can be applied to **solve** hard optimization problems, such as ACP: exact and approximate. Exact methods such as *branch and bound* or the A^* algorithm are effective for problems of small sizes; when problems become larger, usually because of their NP-hard complexity, approximate algorithms are mandatory. Among these approximate optimization techniques, *metaheuristics* (Glover and Kochenberger (2003)), has become a highly active research area. Although there is not a commonly accepted definition of metaheuristics, they can be considered as high-level strategies that

1
2
3
4
5 guide a set of simpler techniques in the search of an optimum (Blum and Roli (2003)).
6 Evolutionary algorithms (EAs, Bäck *et al.* (1997)) are one of these techniques and they
7 are, by far, the most popular metaheuristics. They are based on maintaining a popu-
8 lation of tentative solutions that are competitively manipulated by applying variation
9 operators (selection, recombination, mutation). EAs have been widely used to address
10 ACP problems. Indeed, they can provide this complex optimization problem with very
11 accurate results, even when little knowledge is used in the exploration of the search
12 space. As discussed before, achieving a satisfactory radio network design requires con-
13 sideration of a number of issues which are contradictory **to each other**. For example,
14 the cost of the entire network infrastructure can be reduced by using a **small** number
15 of omnidirectional antennae operating at a maximum power. This would provide a wide
16 coverage with **small** cell overlapping and, as a consequence, low interference. However,
17 the network might not be able to satisfy the traffic demand of each cell. This issue can be
18 tackled by adding more antennae, leading to a cost increment and **potentially greater**
19 **interference**. As a consequence, it is mandatory to find trade-off solutions **for these**
20 **conflicting goals**. The ACP problem is therefore *multiobjective* in nature. This fact
21 has made EAs even more popular for solving ACP. Indeed, when using a multiobjective
22 formulation of a given optimization problem (e.g., ACP), the goal does not lie in finding
23 one single solution, but a set of trade-off solutions. The point is that EAs are **partic-**
24 **ularly** well suited to solve multiobjective problems. Their main advantage is that they
25 are able to find such a set of trade-off solutions in one single run (Coello *et al.* (2007),
26 Deb (2001)), what has promoted their utilization for addressing the ACP problem **even**
27 **more**.

30 This paper is aimed at providing a survey of work related to EAs used to solve ACP.
31 To the best of our knowledge, it is the first attempt at covering this gap. The goal is
32 that an interested researcher **will be able to** find references **on** relevant studies using
33 EAs applied to different formulations of the ACP problem and define new strategies for
34 improving the current state of the art. An up-to-date overview that is not limited to
35 any particular kind of evolutionary approach is provided. More than 40 works have been
36 revised and summarized in this overview, which analyzes both the features of the ACP
37 problem addressed and the main aspects of the EAs used for their resolution. **Important**
38 **aspects of the evolutionary search are considered**, such as the encoding and
39 genetic operators, as well as advanced algorithmic enhancements like hybridization and
40 parallelization.

42 The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In **the** next section, the basic concepts
43 **of** EAs and the different models used for solving the ACP problem in the literature
44 are described. The survey of related works is provided in Section 3. Finally, the main
45 conclusions of this paper are given in Section 4, which also addresses some important
46 issues for future research.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 2. Basics on EAs and ACP

56 This section **provides** the reader with a brief overview **of** the two main topics of this
57 work, namely evolutionary algorithms (EAs) and automatic cell planning (ACP) prob-
58 lems.
59
60

```
t := 0 ;
initialize & evaluate[P(t)] ;
while not stop_condition do ;
    P'(t) := variation [P(t)] ;
    evaluate [P'(t)] ;
    P(t + 1) := select [P'(t) ∪ P(t) ] ;
    t := t + 1 ;
end while
```

Figure 1. Pseudocode describing a standard EA.

2.1. Evolutionary Algorithms

EAs are stochastic search methods inspired by nature's capability to evolve individuals well adapted to their environment. Well-accepted subclasses of EAs are genetic algorithms (GAs), evolution strategies (ESs), and evolutionary programming (EP) (Bäck (1996)). The basic outline of a standard EA is shown in Figure 1. At each generation (iteration) t , an EA operates on a population of individuals $P(t)$, each one encoding a tentative solution, thus searching in many regions of the problem space at the same time. Each individual is a string of symbols encoding a solution for the problem (*genotype*) and has an associated fitness value (*phenotype*) which is computed by the objective function. This fitness function is aimed at ranking the quality of the evaluated individual with respect to the rest of the population. The application of stochastic variation operators, such as mixing parts of two strings (*crossover*) or randomly changing their contents (*mutation*), leads this population towards the fittest regions in an iterative manner. The algorithm finishes when a stopping condition is met (e.g., an optimum is found or a number of function evaluations has been carried out).

2.2. Models for the ACP Problem

A number of different models have been defined in the literature to solve ACP problems (see Raisanen (2006)), ranging from pure abstract models (e.g., based on graph theory) to rather detailed ones (models considering specific areas, with known traffic and topologies). The intermediate models proposed move in two different directions. **They either add real information to abstract models or they try to reduce the complexity of detailed models to reduce their computational demands. The three main models found in the literature —demand node, disk, and cell and test point— are described in the following sections.**

2.2.1. Demand Node Model

The concept of demand nodes was introduced **first** by Gerlich *et al.* (1996), and **it has since been used in different works** (e.g., Amaldi *et al.* (2001), Galota *et al.* (2000), Tutschku (1998), Weicker *et al.* (2003)). The basic idea is that the demand node represents the center of an area where the traffic is being generated by the users. The main advantage of this model is that by combining the traffic of a small region in a single point, the computational requirements are drastically reduced; the drawback is that the realism of the problem is also simplified. The demand nodes comprise a number of test points, hence the need fewer nodes; however, merging test points into a single demand node has the same effect as applying a lossy compression mechanism: the resolution is reduced.

1
2
3
4
5 Most of the research works using this model also **allow the total freedom as regards**
6 **the positioning of candidate sites**. This allows to uniformly distribute **the sites over**
7 **the full area to be covered**, which usually is not possible in practice as **a site cannot**
8 **simply be placed anywhere**, e.g., in the middle of a highway.

9 10 2.2.2. *Disk Model*

11 The first use of disk (circle) graphs in the design of cellular networks was in Hale (1980),
12 where it was applied to solve the frequency assignment problem. Later extensions to this
13 model consider intersections among disks and non-uniform traffic distributions (Huang
14 *et al.* (2000a,b,c)). The main advantage of the **approach** presented in Huang *et al.*
15 (2000a) is that it is possible to take into account different goals related to the design
16 of the network; thus, the problems of cell planning and frequency assignment can be
17 addressed simultaneously. Furthermore, the computational costs are not high.

18 The main inconvenience of the disks model has to do with the fact that it assumes an
19 ideal propagation model, so all the cells have the same shape. Even though the size of
20 the cells can vary depending on a non-uniform traffic distribution (Huang *et al.* (2000b)),
21 the shape is always a circle. **Another issue is that sites may be located anywhere**,
22 so the same problems as in the demand node model arise.

23 24 25 2.2.3. *Cell and Test Point Model*

26 Although this model is known **thanks to** the works of Reininger and Caminada
27 (1998a,b, 2001), it appeared first in Hao *et al.* (1997). In **it**, the working area is dis-
28 cretized into a set of test points which are spread over the whole area. These test points
29 are used to measure the amount of signal strength in the region where the network
30 operator intends to service the traffic demand of a set of customers.

31 Three subsets of test points are defined: *Reception Test Points* (RTPs), where the
32 signal quality is tested; *Service Test Points* (STPs), where the signal quality must exceed
33 a minimum threshold to be usable by the customers; and the *Traffic Test Points* (TTPs),
34 where a certain traffic amount is associated to the customers (measured in Erlangs).

35 In this model, the set of candidate site locations does not have to be uniformly dis-
36 tributed in the terrain, so **it is a better representation of the scenarios presented**
37 **by the operators**. Its main advantage is that it allows measuring all the network ob-
38 jectives (such as coverage and capacity). Notwithstanding, there is a clear inconvenience:
39 the computational cost increases because a high number of points is usually used to
40 face the problem (e.g., test points every 200 meters) in order to increase the realism.
41 This realism is the main **reason that this model is** widely adopted in the literature,
42 e.g., Hurley (2002), Raisanen *et al.* (2004), Raisanen and Whitaker (2005, 2003), Talbi
43 *et al.* (2007), Talbi and Meunier (2006), Vasquez and Hao (2001), Zimmermann *et al.*
44 (2003b).

45 46 47 48 49 3. The Survey

50 EAs, and more concretely GAs, have been widely used to tackle most of the ACP models
51 proposed. This section includes detailed analysis of more than 40 works that **show** the
52 different EA approaches **developed** to address this complex optimization problem. It
53 starts by giving an **overview** of the literature, focusing on the main features of the
54 particular ACP problem solved in each research work. Next, a **more in depth** review
55 based on algorithmic details (encoding schemes, genetic operators, hybridization, and
56 parallelization) is provided.

3.1. ACP Problems Addressed by EAs

Tables 1, 2 and 3 summarize the main characteristics of the ACP problems addressed by EAs in the literature. For each row, they show the following information:

- Ref.: Bibliographic reference. The publication year of the first work of the series is used to rank the entries.
- Alg.: Particular EA used for solving the problem (see Bäck (1996) for the details). Here, it distinguishes **between**:
 - (1) GA: simple GA. This keyword is used when **not** enough information is given in the paper for further specifications.
 - (2) genGA: generational GA.
 - (3) ssGA: steady state GA.
 - (4) dGA: distributed GA. **This kind of GA may appear combined with the two previous algorithms.** That is, dssGA refers to a distributed GA in which each subpopulation evolves by using the steady state scheme.
 - (5) ES: evolution strategy.
 - (6) Concrete algorithms such as CHC, DE, NSGA-II, SPEA2, and others whose description can be found in the **references provided**.
- Multi: this column points out whether the problem is addressed by using multiobjective techniques based on Pareto optimality.
- ACP: ACP model used (see Section 2.2). Available values are Demand Node, Disk, and Test Points.
- Sites: it shows whether the locations of the BTSs are chosen among a set of candidate sites (CSL or *Candidate Site List*) or they can be freely placed all over the geographic area.
- Cell: this column indicates how the cell or service area of BTSs is computed.
- P_w , T_i , and A_z : these three columns show respectively whether the power, tilt, and azimuth of the BTSs are optimized. These are the most common **settings** adjusted when the BTS dimensioning is addressed.
- Objectives: different aspects of the cellular network that are optimized.
- Constraints: aspects of the cellular network that are considered as constraints during the optimization process.

From the algorithmic point of view, classic GAs have been used in the literature for solving the ACP problem, both generational (genGA) and steady state ones (ssGA). Indeed, they are applied in almost 50% of the **works reviewed**. Rather specific evolutionary techniques such as CHC (Eshelman (1991)), Differential Evolution (DE, Storn and Price (1995)), PBIL (Baluja (1994)), or Artificial Immune Systems (AIS, de Melo Carvalho Filho and de Alencar (2008)) are also found. It can be seen that not only sequential approaches exist, but also parallel models **deployed on** standard parallel platforms such as **clusters** of computers (dGAs, Alba and Chicano (2005), Calégari *et al.* (2001)) and even grid computing systems (Talbi *et al.* (2007)). If multiobjective approaches are considered, NSGA-II (Deb *et al.* (2002)) and SPEA2 (Zitzler *et al.* (2002)), the two **best known algorithms** in the evolutionary multiobjective research community have been applied in eight of the analyzed works. Other specific multiobjective algorithms used are SEAMO (Raisanen and Whitaker (2005)) and MOCHC (Nebro *et al.* (2007)). From the point of view of the formulation, the first proposals have adopted a single objective approach in which the different network aspects to be optimized are weighted into a single (aggregative) function (Calégari *et al.* (1997), Chamaret and Condevaux-Lanloy (1998), Lieska *et al.* (1998), Reininger *et al.* (1999)). However, **the recent advances in multi-**

Table 1. EA approaches in the literature for the ACP problem (I).

Ref.	Alg.	Multi	ACP Model	Sites	Search Space Cell	P_w	T_i	A_z	Objective	Constraints
Calégari <i>et al.</i> (1996, 1997, 2001)	dGA	•	Demand node	CSL	Propagation model	•	•	•	Cost, cover	–
Chamaret and Condevaux-Lanloy (1998)	dssGA	•	Demand node	CSL	Propagation model	•	•	•	Cost, cover	–
Lieska <i>et al.</i> (1998)	genGA	•	Demand node	CSL	Synthetic	•	•	•	Cover	4 BTSs
Molina <i>et al.</i> (1999)	genGA	•	Demand node	CSL	Propagation model	•	•	•	Cover, cost	–
Reininger <i>et al.</i> (1999)	genGA	•	Test points	CSL	Propagation model	✓	•	•	Cover, cost (dynamic)	–
Meunier <i>et al.</i> (2000), Cahon <i>et al.</i> (2006), Talbi and Meunier (2006), Talbi <i>et al.</i> (2007)	ssGA, hybrid	✓	Test points	CSL	Propagation model	✓	✓	✓	Cost, traffic, interference	Cover, handover
Huang <i>et al.</i> (2000b)	GA	•	Disk	Free	Omnidirectional	✓	•	✓	Cover, traffic, cost, interference	–
Zimmermann <i>et al.</i> (2000, 2003a,b)	ES	•	Test points	CSL	Propagation model	✓	✓	✓	Cost, interference, cell shape	Traffic, cover
Lee and Kang (2000)	dGA	•	Demand node	CSL	Propagation model	•	•	•	Expansion cost	Traffic, capacity, cover
Han <i>et al.</i> (2001)	ssGA	•	Disk	Free	Omnidirectional	•	•	•	Cost, cover	–

Continue...

Table 2. EA approaches in the literature for the ACP problem (II).

Ref.	Alg.	Multi	ACP Model	Sites	Search space			Objectives	Constraints	
					Cell	Pw	Ti			Az
Laki <i>et al.</i> (2001)	genGA	•	Test points	Free	Ray tracing	•	•	•	Cover, delay	–
Altman <i>et al.</i> (2002a,b), Jamaa <i>et al.</i> (2006)	GA	•	Test points	CSL	Propagation model	✓	✓	✓	Cost, cover, capacity	Handover
Park <i>et al.</i> (2002)	ssGA	•	Disk	Free	Propagation model	•	•	•	Cost, cover	–
Cerri <i>et al.</i> (2003, 2004), Cerri and Russo (2006)	Binary GA	•	Demand node	Free	Omnidirectional	✓	✓	•	Radiation, traffic, interference, efficiency, cover	–
Weicker <i>et al.</i> (2003)	SPEA2, NSGA-II, stEAPT	✓	Demand node	Free	Omnidirectional	✓	•	•	Cost, interference	Cover
Alba (2004), Alba and Chicano (2005)	ssGA, dssGA	•	Demand node	CSL	Square	•	•	•	Cost, cover	–
Brunetta <i>et al.</i> (2004), Chiara <i>et al.</i> (2005)	GA, hybrid GA-TS	•	Test points	Free	Propagation model	✓	•	•	Different cover conditions	Handover, capacity
Jamaa <i>et al.</i> (2004a,b), Picard <i>et al.</i> (2005)	NSGA-II	✓	Test points	CSL	Propagation model	✓	✓	✓	Cost, cover, capacity	Handover
Jedidi <i>et al.</i> (2004)	MOGA	✓	Test points	CSL	Propagation model	✓	✓	✓	Overlap, geometry	Cover
Lin <i>et al.</i> (2004)	Binary GA	•	Demand node	CSL	Propagation model	✓	•	•	Cost, cover, traffic, handover	–

Continue...

Table 3. EA approaches in the literature for the ACP problem (and III).

Ref.	Alg.	Multi	ACP Model	Sites	Search space			Objectives	Constraints	
					Cell	P_w	T_i			A_z
Maple <i>et al.</i> (2004)	dGA	✓	Disk	CSL	Propagation model	✓	•	•	Capacity, cover, cost	–
Raisanen <i>et al.</i> (2004), Whitaker <i>et al.</i> (2004a,b), Raisanen and Whitaker (2005)	SEAMO, SPEA2, NSGA-II, PESA	✓	Test points	CSL	Propagation model	✓	•	•	Cost, cover	Handover
Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2004)	MOGA, EMOGA	✓	Disk	Free	Omnidirectional	•	•	•	Cost, cover	–
Créput <i>et al.</i> (2005)	Hybrid dES	•	Demand node	CSL	Hexagonal	•	•	•	Traffic, geometry, cost, overlap	–
Alba <i>et al.</i> (2007)	CHC, ssGA, genGA	•	Demand node	CSL	Square, Omnidirectional, Directive	•	•	✓	Cost, cover	–
Nebro <i>et al.</i> (2007)	MOCHC, NSGA-II	✓	Demand node	CSL	Square	•	•	•	Cost, cover	Maximum cost, minimum cover
Vega-Rodríguez <i>et al.</i> (2007a,b)	CHC, PBIL, DE	•	Demand node	CSL	Square	•	•	•	Cost, cover	–
de Melo Carvalho Filho and de Alencar (2008)	AIS	✓	Test points	CSL	Propagation model	•	•	•	Cover, cost, traffic	–
Raisanen (2008)	NSGA-II	✓	Test points	CSL	Propagation model	•	•	•	Cost, cover	Traffic, handover




Figure 2. Summary of related works that address the ACP problem using EAs.

objective EAs have meant that the number of works using this multiobjective formulation has increased in the last years (Cerri and Russo (2006), Nebro *et al.* (2007), Raisanen (2008), Talbi *et al.* (2007)).

Figure 2 summarizes the number of reviewed contributions that fall into different categories: mono/multi, ACP model, site selection, cell shape computation, and BTS parameter optimization. Now, each group of columns of the figure is analyzed. **In the first group, from all the works in the literature reviewed, monoobjective formulations have been more widely used in spite of the fact that the ACP problem is naturally prone to the multiobjective ones.** The additional complexity added by the Pareto optimality mechanisms makes the ACP researchers reluctant to adopt this kind of technique. However, the multiobjective approach **may be** the most appropriate because it can provide the decision maker (network designer) with a set of different configurations for the BTSs, **none of which is better than the others** (non-dominated). **These configurations could be used in particular scenarios that may appear during the operational lifetime of the network.**

The second group of columns shows the ACP models used in the analyzed contributions. It is clear that both the Demand Node and the Test Point are the most widely adopted models. The simplicity and low computational requirements, in the former case, and the realism, in the latter one, are the reasons that explain these facts. The Disk model has more to do with theoretical studies. Indeed, cellular networks composed exclusively of omnidirectional antennae are hardly found in the real world (vectorization allows the network capacity to be **greatly** increased). **Looking at the third group of columns in Figure 2, it can be observed that using a candidate site list (CSL) instead of freely placing the BTSs in any location of the network is the most common option. This is because it is unlikely many network operators are granted such freedom** (e.g., no BTS can be placed near schools or in the middle of a lake). The fourth group of columns also **reflects the preferred choice for computing the cells** (serving areas) of the BTSs: the propagation models such as *free space*, Okumura-Hata or Walfish-Ikegami model (COST231 (1991)). Selecting one or another depends mainly on the computational effort required (ITU (1997)). Omnidirectional and square cells also

1
2
3
4
5 appear in several contributions (8 and 6 works, respectively). Tables 1, 2 and 3 include
6 alternative methods for computing the cell associated to BTSs such as modern ray trac-
7 ing techniques (Laki *et al.* (2001)). Finally, the last group of columns summarizes the
8 number of papers in which the power, tilt and azimuth are involved in the optimization
9 process. That is, they are decision variables of the search space. Even though differences
10 here are **smaller**, it can be seen that **the power parameter is more often optimized**
11 **than the other two**. It applies to any kind of BTS (omnidirectional, directive, square,
12 etc.) as the main setting to manage the cell size. The tilt and azimuth angles usually
13 appear in very accurate ACP models. **They normally lead to highly expensive**
14 **computational tasks, which explains the lower incidence in the literature**.

15
16 To conclude with this discussion about the analyzed works, the objective functions
17 and the constraints used in the different approaches are now analyzed. On the objectives
18 side, a clear trend exists in considering the network cost, measured in terms of number
19 of installed sites, and the quality of services (QoS) provided by these sites. **These two**
20 **objective functions** are clearly contradictory. The main difference **between** many
21 contributions lies in the concept of QoS. Maximizing the network coverage is the most
22 widely used option and it appears in 78% of the revised contributions. However, a more
23 realistic approach is based on using such objective as a constraint (e.g., at least 90% of the
24 network must be covered) so as to discard useless configurations. Indeed, it does not make
25 any sense to deploy an expensive, fully operational network infrastructure just to cover a
26 small percentage of a given target area. Other ways of measuring the network QoS in the
27 literature have taken into consideration the interference **caused** by cell overlapping or
28 the traffic capacity of the network. As to the constraints, the *handover*, or the capability
29 of the network to guarantee **continuous communication while the mobile user is**
30 **moving from one cell to another**, is the one that most appears.

32 33 34 35 **3.2. Details on EAs for the ACP Problem**

36 This section reviews the main features of the EAs found in the literature for solving the
37 ACP problem. Potential advantages and drawbacks of each algorithm are analyzed **in**
38 the light of their corresponding encoding schemes, genetic operators, local search, and
39 parallelization. Table 4 includes a summary **of all these EA aspects**.

40 41 42 **3.2.1. Encoding Schemes**

43 Several encoding schemes have been used, and some of them **have been designed**
44 **specially** for solving ACP problems. **In summary, they can be categorized** into
45 four types: binary, integer, real, and ACP-targeted.

46
47
48
49 **3.2.1.1. Binary Encoding.** The most widely adopted scheme is the classical binary en-
50 coding, i.e., the tentative solutions are bit strings. The information encoded by this bit
51 string depends on the specific ACP problem addressed.

52 The first usage of this encoding scheme appears when the optimization task **is simply**
53 **to position** the BTSs of the network by selecting a subset of sites from a CSL (Candidate
54 Site List). Then, EAs work on bit strings of length N , where N is the total number of
55 candidate sites. Each position of the bit string corresponds to a site, i.e., the i th position
56 represents the i th site. The value of the i th is 1 if the i th site is selected, and zero
57 otherwise. This approach is specially used when solving ACP problems that **follow** the
58 Demand Node model (see Section 2.2.1): Alba and Chicano (2005), Alba (2004), Alba
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 *et al.* (2007), Calégari *et al.* (1996, 1997, 2001), Chamaret and Condevaux-Lanloy (1998),
6 Lieska *et al.* (1998), Lin *et al.* (2004), Molina *et al.* (1999), Nebro *et al.* (2007), Vega-
7 Rodríguez *et al.* (2007a,b).
8

9 The binary encoding **has also been used** when the BTSs can be freely placed any-
10 where on the geographical area of the network (**no CSL exists**). In this case, the bit
11 string encodes the binary representation of a list of real numbers that represent the (x, y)
12 coordinates of the sites. However, in all the **material analyzed**, the tentative solutions
13 also include one or more values that allow **dimensioning of** the BTS (i.e., **allow the**
14 **BTS service area to be configured**). Indeed, in (Brunetta *et al.* (2004), Chiara *et al.*
15 (2005)), the binary string **has also considered** the power level of emission. In the works
16 of Cerri *et al.* (2003, 2004), Cerri and Russo (2006), the authors have not only included
17 the encoding of the emission power, but also the tilt of the antennae. So, for each BTS,
18 24 bits are used: 9 + 9 bits for the coordinates, 3 bits for the radiated power, and 3 bits
19 for the tilt. Laki *et al.* (2001) have just added the height of the BTSs.
20

21 The main advantage of this binary encoding is that it allows the evolutionary search to
22 be performed by means of classical EA operators. These operators have been originally
23 developed to manipulate binary genotypes (Goldberg (1989)), as will be further analyzed
24 in Section 3.2.2.
25
26
27

28 *3.2.1.2. Integer Encoding.* **Integer encoding** has been used by Larry Raisanen, Roger
29 Witaker and Steve Hurley at Cardiff University in several works: Raisanen *et al.* (2004),
30 Raisanen and Whitaker (2005), Raisanen (2008), Whitaker *et al.* (2004a,b). Their ap-
31 proach is based on considering that each BTS is identified by an integer. Then, given n
32 candidate BTSs, a permutation π of size n represents a solution to the ACP problem.
33 That is, EAs manipulate integer permutations, so special care has to be taken with the
34 genetic operators used. These BTS permutations are then translated into a cell plan by
35 using a *decoder*. The decoder works by iteratively packing cells as densely as possible,
36 subject to **certain constraints not being violated**. This cell plan is then used to
37 compute the fitness function.
38
39
40

41 *3.2.1.3. Real Encoding.* The real encoding is mainly used for solving ACP problems
42 based on freely positioning the BTSs in the working area of the cellular network. There-
43 fore, the tentative solutions are made up of real numbers that represent **the BTS co-**
44 **ordinates**. This scheme is mainly used in works dealing with the Disk model (see Sec-
45 tion 2.2.2). Indeed, this is the approach used in Han *et al.* (2001), Park *et al.* (2002).
46 If K is the maximum number of BTS to be placed, solutions are encoded as arrays
47 (c_1, \dots, c_K) , where $c_i = (x_i, y_i)$ are the coordinates of the i th BTS. When a BTS is not
48 supposed to be deployed, a special *NULL* value is used. This is the mechanism adopted
49 in these three works to avoid using **a variable-length representation** and therefore
50 special genetic operators have been developed.
51
52
53

54 *3.2.1.4. ACP-targeted Encoding.* The encoding schemes shown in this section **have**
55 **been designed especially** to deal with ACP problems, so they do not properly fall
56 into any of the previously defined categories. The most widely used non-classical scheme
57 in the EA literature encodes all the optimizable parameter settings of each BTS in the
58 tentative solution. Let us **call** it *network encoding*. This encoding is usually aimed not
59
60

Table 4. Details of EAs used for solving ACP problems.

Ref.	Alg.	Encoding	Crossover	Mutation	LS	Parallel
Calégari <i>et al.</i> (1996, 1997, 2001)	dGA	Binary	SPX	Bit flip	•	✓
Chamaret and Condevaux-Lanloy (1998)	dssGA	Binary	SPX	Bit flip	•	✓
Lieska <i>et al.</i> (1998)	genGA	Binary	SPX	Bit flip	•	•
Molina <i>et al.</i> (1999)	genGA	Binary	SPX	Bit flip	•	•
Reininger <i>et al.</i> (1999)	genGA	Network	SPX	Random	•	•
Meunier <i>et al.</i> (2000), Cahon <i>et al.</i> (2006), Talbi and Meunier (2006), Talbi <i>et al.</i> (2007)	ssGA, hybrid	Network	Geographical	Multilevel	✓	✓
Huang <i>et al.</i> (2000b)	GA	Binary	Fusion	Bit Flip	•	•
Zimmermann <i>et al.</i> (2000, 2003a,b)	ES	Network	•	Especialized	•	•
Lee and Kang (2000)	dGA	Group-based	Group-oriented	Group-oriented	•	✓
Han <i>et al.</i> (2001)	ssGA	Real	Distance-based	Random	•	•
Laki <i>et al.</i> (2001)	genGA	Binary	Uniform	Bit flip	•	•
Altman <i>et al.</i> (2002a,b), Jamaa <i>et al.</i> (2006)	GA	Network	Geographical	Multilevel	•	•
Park <i>et al.</i> (2002)	ssGA	Real	Distance-based	Random	•	•
Cerri <i>et al.</i> (2003, 2004), Cerri and Russo (2006)	GA	Binary	SPX	Bit flip	•	•
Weicker <i>et al.</i> (2003)	SPEA2, NSGA-II, stEAPT	ACP-targeted	Especialized	Several Especialized	•	•
Alba (2004), Alba and Chicano (2005)	ssGA, dssGA	Binary	DPX	Bit flip	•	✓
Brunetta <i>et al.</i> (2004), Chiara <i>et al.</i> (2005)	GA, hybrid	Binary	SPX	Bit flip	•	•
Jamaa <i>et al.</i> (2004a,b), Picard <i>et al.</i> (2005)	NSGA-II	Network	Geographical	Multilevel	•	•
Jedidi <i>et al.</i> (2004)	MOGA	Network	•	Multilevel	•	•
Lin <i>et al.</i> (2004)	GA	Binary	Uniform	Bit flip	•	•
Raisanen <i>et al.</i> (2004), Whitaker <i>et al.</i> (2004a,b), Raisanen and Whitaker (2005)	SEAMO, SPEA2, NSGA-II, PESA	Permutation	Cycle	Random Swap	✓	•
Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2004)	MOGA, EMOGA	Real	Multipoint	Random	•	•
Créput <i>et al.</i> (2005)	Hybrid dES	ACP-targeted	Several Especialized	Macro-mutation	✓	•
Alba <i>et al.</i> (2007)	CHC, ssGA, genGA	Binary	HUX	Bit flip	•	•
Nebro <i>et al.</i> (2007)	MOCHC, NSGA-II	Binary	HUX, SPX	Bit flip	•	•
Vega-Rodríguez <i>et al.</i> (2007a,b)	CHC, PBIL, DE	Binary	HUX	Bit flip	•	•
Raisanen (2008)	NSGA-II	Permutation	Cycle	Random Swap	✓	•

only at positioning the BTSs but also at dimensioning them. Figure 3 displays an example in which the BTS type, the emission power, and the tilt and azimuth angles are to be optimized. It is worth mentioning here that, even though power tilt and azimuth are actually real-valued parameters, they are usually discretized into a rather small set of values in order **to reduce the complexity of the optimization problem**. This is the approach used in Altman *et al.* (2002a,b), Cahon *et al.* (2006), Jamaa *et al.* (2004a,b, 2006), Jedidi *et al.* (2004), Meunier *et al.* (2000), Picard *et al.* (2005), Reininger *et al.* (1999), Talbi *et al.* (2007), Talbi and Meunier (2006), Zimmermann *et al.* (2000, 2003a,b).




Figure 3. ACP-targeted encoding: all the parameter settings of the BTSs.

The main advantage of this encoding scheme is that EAs are put to work on real solutions so therefore problem-domain specific knowledge can be easily included in the search. **Otherwise**, no classical well-known operators can be used and newly specific ones have to be developed.

Other specific encodings are analyzed next. With the goal of minimizing the number of BTSs required to cover a given area, Créput *et al.* (2005) have adaptively transformed the hexagonal cell shapes typically used in cellular networks. This adaptive meshing is performed according to a traffic density map and to geometrical constraints. Then, for each cell of the network, the encoding scheme includes six vertices (two real values) plus an attribute that indicates whether it is visible or not. This latter attribute is the particularity of this approach.

Lee and Kang (2000) have used group encoding (Falkenauer (1994)) to maximize the coverage of traffic demand areas (TDAs) using as few BTSs as possible. In this group encoding, each tentative solution has two parts: the TDA part and the BTS part. In the TDA part a BTS is assigned to each TDA. The BTSs used in the TDA part are then represented in the BTS part. Specific group-oriented operators have been applied.

Maple *et al.* (2004) have proposed a matrix encoding with size $3 \times N$, where N is the maximum number of BTSs. All the BTSs are labeled so that the i th column corresponds to the i th BTS. In this encoding, the three values of the i th BTS indicate whether the BTS is present or not in the network (BTS selection), the BTS height, and the BTS emission power. This encoding has many drawbacks but no further discussion is given since the authors only present **their proposal in the paper**, with no experimentation at all. **Consequently, this paper will not be considered further in this survey.**

The work of Weicker *et al.* (2003) presents an encoding that mixes real and integer values, as well as a set of frequencies. This specialized encoding is required because they address both the BTS positioning and the frequency assignment simultaneously. A candidate solution includes, for each BTS, two real values representing its coordinates, two integer values encoding the transmitting power and the number of available channels in the BTS, and the set of channels assigned to the BTS.

3.2.2. Operators

Several genetic operators have been investigated in the literature for solving ACP problems (Table 4). This section is only aimed at discussing the crossover and mutation operators since they are the ones which depend on the encoding schemes (selection and replacement operators are based on the fitness of the individuals).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

3.2.2.1. *Crossover*. The classical *Single Point Crossover* (SPX) has been extensively used for solving ACP problems. **Most of the existing work using binary encoding have adopted this approach** (e.g., Calégari *et al.* (1996), Lieska *et al.* (1998), Molina *et al.* (1999)). **With** this encoding, other well-known operators such as *Two Point Crossover* (Alba (2004), Alba and Chicano (2005)) and *uniform crossover* (Laki *et al.* (2001), Lin *et al.* (2004)) have been applied. It is also worth mentioning that algorithm-specific crossover operators also appear when particular algorithms have been used. The works of Alba *et al.* (2007), Nebro *et al.* (2007), Vega-Rodríguez *et al.* (2007a,b) use the *Highly Disruptive Crossover* (HUX) designed for the CHC algorithm, whereas the *two-fusion crossover* (Hifi (1997)) is applied in Huang *et al.* (2000a).

In the case of the integer encoding scheme, the *cycle crossover* has been used in the works of Raisanen *et al.* (2004), Raisanen and Whitaker (2005), Raisanen (2008), Whitaker *et al.* (2004a,b). Since their algorithms work on integer permutations, this crossover operator is aimed at preserving the permutation, **and as a result** no repair mechanism is required. It is important to remark here that using the decoder procedure that translates the permutation of BTSs into a cell plan avoids the main concern of this representation: different permutations represent the same solution in the objective space.

Traditional recombination operators are not applied with the real encoding scheme since no pure real-valued strings have been used. Indeed, in the works of Han *et al.* (2001), Park *et al.* (2002) this operator has to deal with the special NULL value used in any given position to indicate that the corresponding BTSs are not deployed. This way, given two parents p_1 and p_2 , the operator returns one single child, c , in which the position of the i th BTS is computed as follows. If $p_1(i) = \text{NULL}$ and $p_2(i) = \text{NULL}$, then $c(i) = \text{NULL}$; if either $p_1(i) = \text{NULL}$ or $p_2(i) = \text{NULL}$, $c(i)$ receives the genetic material of the non-NULL parent; otherwise, the i th BTS is placed somewhere near the BTS positions of the parents (sampling a Gaussian distribution).

The main disadvantages of all these crossover operators is that they just manipulate genes, without taking into account the links with other genes (epistasis). Indeed, as explained in the introduction, either activating, deactivating, or redimensioning one given BTS in a cellular network will surely affect the influence of other BTSs in the ACP problem at hand. It is therefore worth giving particular attention to the development of operators specially designed for ACP problems that use classical encoding schemes in their resolution.

When ACP-targeted encoding schemes are adopted, this crossover specialization is already addressed. Most of the works that use the network encoding (see previous section) apply the so-called geographical crossover defined in Meunier *et al.* (2000)). This operator is based on exchanging the configuration of the sites located within a given random radius around a randomly chosen site. Figure 4 shows an example of the working principles of the geographical crossover. The main advantage of this operator is that it considers somehow the connection between the sites in a topological way: only nearby sites are modified. However, under this encoding, the classical SPX crossover has also been used by Reininger *et al.* (1999).

Other specialized crossover operators have been defined for dealing with ACP-targeted encodings. Créput *et al.* (2005) have proposed a mechanism in order to combine the vertices of the hexagonal cells used to cover the traffic demand in the cellular network. It works by selecting two individuals as follows. The first one, i_1 , is chosen by fitness-proportional probability (e.g., roulette-wheel selection), whereas the second, i_2 is picked randomly. Since it is assumed that the former will have a better fitness than the latter, the crossover operator generates a child in which i_1 attracts i_2 by using a weighted




Figure 4. Geographic recombination: sites located within the radius are exchanged.

average sum. Lee and Kang (2000), **who** have used the grouping GA, have adopted the grouping crossover operator defined by Falkenauer (1994). Finally, Weicker *et al.* (2003) have implemented a crossover operator based on the decomposition of the service area of the cellular network. Two halves along one of the dimensions are generated and then, for each half, the fitness of the parent individuals **is evaluated**. The offspring will inherit the configuration for each of the sub-areas **from the fittest parent for that sub-area**. The main drawback of this approach is that the operator may generate unfeasible individuals, **therefore requiring that the authors apply a repair function**.

3.2.2.2. Mutation. The analysis of the mutation operators in the literature for solving ACP problems with EAs is similar to **that performed** for the crossover operators. It **depends greatly on** the encoding used. The classical *bit flip* mutation is the preferred operator for binary encoding schemes (see Section 3.2.1). In the works using integer permutation encoding, a random swap that simply **transposes two randomly chosen positions** in the permutation is adopted (e.g., Raisanen and Whitaker (2005)). Again, this operator is safe and no repair function is needed.

The two works categorized **with** the real encoding, i.e., Han *et al.* (2001), Park *et al.* (2002), have to manage the NULL value **which is used to represent** that a BTS is not deployed in the network. This way, for each BTS, the mutation operator either randomly updates the current position of the deployed BTSs or it is assigned with a NULL value; otherwise, if the BTS is not deployed yet, it can remain the same or it is placed in an arbitrary **position** of the network.

Using the network encoding (ACP-targeted encoding), the mutation operator usually works by first selecting a given site and then updating the configuration of this site. It is called *multilevel mutation* since it operates at different levels of the encoding. Depending on the parameters of each site, the mutation may affect:

- Activation toggling. If the site is activated, then it is just deactivated. On the other hand, if L_i is deactivated, then an entire random configuration for the site is generated.
- BTS power tuning. It requires the site to be activated. It randomly chooses a BTS of the site and then the power is randomly changed to one of its discretized values.

- BTS tilt tuning. The same as the power tuning, but changing the tilt angle.
- BTS azimuth tuning. The same as the power and tilt tuning, but modifying the azimuth angle.
- BTS diagram tuning. This mutation also requires the site to be activated. The goal of this operator is to change the BTS type, that is, from an omnidirectional BTS to several directive BTSs, or vice versa. The configuration for each newly generated BTS is randomly generated.

This is the approach used in Altman *et al.* (2002a,b), Cahon *et al.* (2006), Jamaa *et al.* (2004a,b, 2006), Jedidi *et al.* (2004), Meunier *et al.* (2000), Picard *et al.* (2005), Talbi *et al.* (2007), Talbi and Meunier (2006).

On the other hand, the works of Zimmermann *et al.* (2000, 2003b,a) have further detailed these mutations by defining more specialized search operators. The authors have distinguished between *repair operators* (RepairTraffic, RepairHole, DecreasePower, IncreasePower, ChangeAzimuth, ChangeTilt, DissipateTraffic) and *climb operators* (RemoveWeakAntenna, RemoveAntenna, RemoveWeakSite, RemoveSite, IncreaseCompactness, ReduceIrregularities, and MinimizePower). They all are applied one each time by randomly choosing one of them. **Because unfeasible solutions may be generated, a repair phase is used.**

Other mutation operators used with ACP-targeted encoding schemes are described next. Créput *et al.* (2005) have developed the *macromutation* operator. It is intended to perform simultaneous moves on the vertices of the cells that cover the cellular network, thus allowing these cells to exit or to reach to traffic demand areas. Lee and Kang (2000) have adopted the grouping crossover operator defined by Falkenauer (1994) for the grouping GA. Finally, Weicker *et al.* (2003) have applied both *directed* and *random* mutations. The former ones (6 different operators) include problem knowledge and feasibility is always guaranteed since several preconditions have to be met prior to their application. However, directed mutations **find it difficult to explore the entire search space**, so this is why the random mutations have been used. **The goal is to promote exploration, but the drawback is that feasibility is no longer guaranteed and a repair function has to be applied.**

3.2.3. Local Search

Adding ACP problem knowledge to the exploration performed by EAs can be further promoted with the usage of local search algorithms. That is, engineering hybrid algorithms (Talbi (2002)). So far, this problem specific knowledge has been added by using specific encoding schemes and genetic operators (as has been shown in the previous sections). However, there are several proposals in the literature in which EAs are endowed with highly tailored search methods, **allowing the search to be intensified in promising regions of the search space.**

When adaptively meshing the cell shapes of a cellular network, Créput *et al.* (2005) have used a local search algorithm based on a Lamarckian adaptive process. This process applies small mutations on isolated vertices of the hexagonal cells **which makes an individual evolves to a local minimum.** The mutation operator, called micromutation, performs a **small move on** some randomly chosen vertex.

Talbi *et al.* (2007) have designed a multiobjective local search to be used with the network encoding explained above. It is an iterative process that starts from a set of nondominated solutions (or network configurations). Then, for each activated BTS of any network, it successively tests its removal, the updating of the power, azimuth and

1
2
3
4
5 tilt with any of the available discretized values. By using the newly generated solutions,
6 the set of nondominated solutions is continuously updated. Finally, the local search
7 algorithm restarts from any newly inserted solution, and so on.

8
9 Finally, the decoder approach of Raisanen *et al.* (2004), Raisanen and
10 Whitaker (2005), Raisanen (2008), Whitaker *et al.* (2004a,b) for translating
11 the integer permutation of BTSs into a cell plan can also be mentioned here.
12 As its authors have indicated, this decoder can be considered a local search algorithm.

13 3.2.4. Parallelization

14
15 As early as in the first works published on EAs for solving the ACP problem, i.e.,
16 Calégari *et al.* (1996, 1997), it was soon understood that this optimization problem in-
17 volved tasks demanding high computational resources. With the aim of not only speeding
18 up the computation but also improving the solution quality, **most of the parallel EAs**
19 analyzed have adopted the coarse-grained scheme, also known as the island model (Alba
20 and Tomassini (2002)). They have also used a unidirectional ring topology: Alba and Chi-
21 cano (2005), Alba (2004), Calégari *et al.* (1996, 1997, 2001), Chamaret and Condevaux-
22 Lanloy (1998), Lee and Kang (2000). The work of Créput *et al.* (2005) has also used the
23 island model with a unidirectional ring topology but, instead of subpopulations, each
24 island runs a hybrid evolution strategy.

25
26 Meunier *et al.* (2000) have used a master/slave approach for the parallel implemen-
27 tation of the function evaluation, i.e., each function evaluation is **distributed to dif-**
28 **ferent processors**. Talbi and Meunier (2006) have extended this work by using the
29 master/slave scheme not only for the parallel evaluation of the function evaluation, but
30 also for evaluating each tentative solution of the EA asynchronously in parallel. They
31 have also used the island model in this work. Finally, the works of Cahon *et al.* (2006)
32 and Talbi *et al.* (2007) have again proposed extensions of these previous publications by
33 deploying a parallel hybrid EA on a computational grid (Berman *et al.* (2003)). This EA
34 is hybrid because a local search is used to improve the solutions generated within the
35 evolutionary loop. The parallelism is applied at different levels: the main EA model is an
36 island model. Then, on each island, individuals undergo local search in parallel. The third
37 level of parallelism considers each single function evaluation in parallel by decomposing
38 the fitness function.
39
40
41
42

43 4. Conclusions and Future Work

44 4.1. Summary

45
46 This paper presents a survey on evolutionary algorithms for solving ACP problems. It
47 shows the profile of this area by focusing on both the particular ACP problems tackled
48 and the evolutionary approaches engineered to address them. The key issues on the design
49 of EAs have been discussed: usually adopted representations, evolutionary operators,
50 and advanced features such as hybridization and parallelism. Concretely, the crossover
51 and mutation operators described in the literature have been analyzed, distinguishing
52 between classical operators and ACP-targeted ones. Some hints on the advantages and
53 disadvantages of several representation schemes **have also been discussed**.

54
55 **In the next section which follows, some topics for future research to engi-**
56 **neers interested in EAs for ACP problems are outlined.** In the authors' opinion,
57 these topics should deserve special attention **so that the current state-of-the-art**
58 **can be improved.**
59
60

4.2. Future Trends

There are several research lines that can be explored to further **address** the ACP problem with EAs. At a lower algorithmic level, the design of new encodings and genetic operators for the problem, as well as the analysis of current existing ones, are of great interest. Concretely, the more complex encoding, the network encoding presented in Section 3.2, **has only been evaluated with a few genetic operators** (multilevel mutation and geographical crossover, mainly). Additional operator developments may take advantage of this ACP-targeted encoding. Evaluating **this** encoding and operators with the search engine of well-known algorithms such as NSGA-II or SPEA2 is also a matter of research.

At a higher algorithmic level, a promising research line is targeted to hybridizing EAs (Talbi (2002)), especially with other EAs. Up to now, EAs have been hybridized in the literature with local search algorithms (e.g., see Créput *et al.* (2005), Talbi *et al.* (2007)) or Tabu Search (Chiara *et al.* (2005)) to solve ACP problems, but hybrid algorithms involving two different EAs have not been found. The aim here would be to profit from the different search capabilities, for example, of a GA (diversification) and an evolution strategy (intensification). In the context of multiobjective EAs, hybridization is underexplored in the literature.

Checking whether other unused EAs can successfully address the ACP problem is a promising research topic as well. To the best of our knowledge, two main unused EAs have been left unexplored in the literature. On the one hand, no genetic programming approach has been found in the literature for ACP, even when this kind of EA performs well on other design problems (Koza (1992), Koza *et al.* (2004)). On the other hand, the cellular model of structured EAs (Alba and Tomassini (2002)) has not been used either. Cellular EAs **have been shown to be** very effective in other domains (Alba and Dorronsoro (2008)), so evaluating their enhanced search engine may lead **to an improvement in the current state-of-the-art algorithms**.

There are several additional studies whose conclusions may result in relevant outcomes especially for the telecommunication engineers that use EAs **to solve** their ACP problems. **The analysis of both scalability and convergence speed of EAs on this problem also requires more investigations. The increasing size of cellular networks means EAs are faced with problem instances with thousand of decision variables.** Therefore, evaluating the algorithms that perform better on very large instances is of great interest for cellular operators, since they can afford **larger and more efficient network deployments**. The study on how quickly EAs converge towards optimal solutions would also be **of interest to the telecommunication industry**. Indeed, execution time becomes a **critical** constraint for the operators and mainly for the software companies that are developing software for operators. Within commercial applications, reaching “good” solutions in **a very short time** is usually a must in order to provide operators with competitive software tools. These studies have to pay special attention to the statistical analysis of the results, which **must be** rigorously performed in order to **draw** useful conclusions. **However, the works analyzed in this paper, for the most part lack such thorough analyses.**

Acknowledgments

This work has been partially funded by the “Consejería de Innovación, Ciencia y Empresa”, Junta de Andalucía under contract P07-TIC-03044, the DIRI-COM project (<http://diricom.lcc.uma.es>), and the Spanish Ministry of Science and

Innovation and FEDER under contract TIN2008-06491-C04-01, the M* project (<http://mstar.lcc.uma.es>). Juan J. Durillo is supported by the grant AP-2006-03349 from the Spanish government. Francisco Luna acknowledges support from the grant ES-2006-13075 funded by the Spanish government.

References

- Alba, E., 2004. Evolutionary Algorithms for Optimal Placement of Antennae in Radio Network Design. *In: IPDPS-NIDISC'04*, p. 168.
- Alba, E. and Chicano, F., 2005. On the Behavior of Parallel Genetic Algorithms for Optimal Placement of Antennae in Telecommunications. *International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science*, 16 (2), 343 – 359.
- Alba, E. and Dorronsoro, B., 2008. *Cellular Genetic Algorithms*. Springer.
- Alba, E., Molina, G., and Chicano, F., 2007. Optimal placement of antennae using metaheuristics. *In: Numerical Methods and Applications, 6th International Conference (NMA 2006), Revised Papers*, LNCS 4310, 214 – 222.
- Alba, E. and Tomassini, M., 2002. Parallelism and Evolutionary Algorithms. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 6 (5), 443 – 462.
- Altman, Z., et al., 2002a. New challenges in automatic cell planning of UMTS networks. *In: 2002 IEEE 56th Vehicular Technology Conference Proceedings*, 951 – 954.
- Altman, Z., et al., 2002b. OaSys: FTR&D UMTS automatic cell planning tool. *In: IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society International Symposium*, 338 – 341.
- Amaldi, E., Capone, A., and Malucelli, F., 2001. Optimizing base station siting in UMTS networks. *In: Proceedings 53rd IEEE Conference on Vehicular Technology*, 2828 – 2832.
- Bäck, T., 1996. *Evolutionary Algorithms in Theory and Practice: Evolution Strategies, Evolutionary Programming, Genetic Algorithms*. Oxford University Press.
- Bäck, T., Fogel, D.B., and Michalewicz, Z., eds., 1997. *Handbook of Evolutionary Computation*. Oxford University Press.
- Baluja, S., Population-Based Incremental Learning: A Method for Integrating Genetic Search Based Function Optimization and Competitive Learning. , 1994. , Technical report CS-94-163, Carnegie Mellon University.
- Berman, F., Fox, G., and Hey, A., 2003. *Grid Computing. Making the Global Infrastructure a Reality*. Communications Networking and Distributed Systems Wiley.
- Blum, C. and Roli, A., 2003. Metaheuristics in Combinatorial Optimization: Overview and Conceptual Comparison. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 35 (3), 268 – 308.
- Brunetta, L., et al., 2004. Optimization approaches for wireless network planning. *In: URSI 2004 International Symposium on Electromagnetic Theory*, 182 – 184.
- Cahon, S., Talbi, E.G., and Melab, N., 2006. A parallel and hybrid multi-objective evolutionary algorithm applied to the design of cellular networks. *In: MELECON 2006. 2006 IEEE Mediterranean Electrotechnical Conference*, 803 – 806.
- Calégari, P., Guidec, F., and Kuonen, P., 1996. A Parallel Genetic Approach to Transceiver Placement Optimisation. *In: Proceedings of the SIPAR Workshop'96: Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 21 – 24.
- Calégari, P., et al., 1997. Parallel island-based genetic algorithm for radio network design. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 47 (1), 86 – 90.
- Calégari, P., et al., 2001. Combinatorial optimization algorithms for radio network planning. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 263, 235 – 245.
- Cerri, G., et al., 2003. Base-station network planning including environmental impact

- control. In: *Electrical Engineering and Electromagnetics VI. Sixth International Conference on Computational Methods for the Solution of Electrical and Electromagnetic Engineering Problems incorporating Electromagnetic Effects on Human Beings and Equipment Seminar. ELECTROCOMP VI*, 63 – 69.
- Cerri, G., *et al.*, 2004. Base-station network planning including environmental impact control. *IEE Proceedings Communications*, 151 (3), 197 – 203.
- Cerri, G. and Russo, P., 2006. Application of an Automatic Tool for the Planning of a Cellular Network in a Real Town. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 54 (10), 2890 – 2901.
- Chamaret, B. and Condevaux-Lanloy, C., 1998. Graph based Modeling for automatic Transmitter location in Cellular Network. In: *Proceedings of the High Performance Computing HPC98 Special session Telepar'98*, 248 – 252.
- Chiara, B.D., *et al.*, 2005. Hybrid Meta-heuristic Methods in Parallel Environments for 3G Network Planning. In: *EMC Europe Workshop 2005, Electromagnetic Compatibility of wireless Systems*, 199 – 202.
- Coello, C.A., Lamont, G.B., and Veldhuizen, D.A.V., 2007. *Evolutionary Algorithms for Solving Multi-Objective Problems*. Second Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Series Springer.
- COST231, Urban transmission loss models for mobile radio in the 900 and 1800 MHz bands. , 1991. , Technical report, European Cooperation in the Field of Scientific and Technical Research.
- Créput, J.C., *et al.*, 2005. Automatic mesh generation for mobile network dimensioning using evolutionary approach. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 9 (1), 18 – 30.
- de Melo Carvalho Filho, D. and de Alencar, M.S., 2008. Base station deployment based on artificial immune systems. In: *11th IEEE Singapore International Conference on Communication Systems (ICCS 2008)*, 1591 – 1596.
- Deb, K., 2001. *Multi-Objective Optimization Using Evolutionary Algorithms*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Deb, K., *et al.*, 2002. A Fast and Elitist Multiobjective Genetic Algorithm: NSGA-II. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 6 (2), 182 – 197.
- Eshelman, L.J., 1991. The CHC Adaptive Search Algorithm: How to Have Safe Search When Engaging in Nontraditional Genetic Recombination. In: *Foundations of Genetic Algorithms 1* Morgan Kaufmann, 265 – 283.
- Falkenauer, E., 1994. A New Representation and Operators for Genetic Algorithms Applied to Grouping Problems. *Evolutionary Computation*, 2 (2), 123 – 144.
- Furuskar, A., Naslund, J., and Olofsson, H., 1999. EDGE – Enhanced Data Rates for GSM and TDMA/136 Evolution. *Ericsson Review*, (1).
- Galota, M., *et al.*, 2000. A polynomial-time approximation scheme for base station positioning in UMTS networks. In: *5th Discrete Algorithms and Methods for Mobile Computing and Communications Conference*, 52 – 59.
- Gerlich, N., Tutschku, K., and Tran-Gia, P., 1996. An integrated approach to cellular network planning. In: *7th International Telecommunication Network Planning Symposium*.
- Glasser, C., Reith, S., and Vollmer, H., 2005. The complexity of base station positioning in cellular networks. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 148 (1), 1 – 12.
- Glover, F.W. and Kochenberger, G.A., 2003. *Handbook of Metaheuristics*. Kluwer.
- Goldberg, D.E., 1989. *Genetic Algorithms in Search, Optimization and Machine Learning*. Addison-Wesley.

- 1
2
3
4
5 Granbohm, H. and Wiklund, J., 1999. GPRS – General Packet Radio Service. *Ericsson*
6 *Review*, (1).
7 Hale, W.K., 1980. Frequency Assignment: Theory and Applications. *Proceedings of the*
8 *IEEE*, 68 (12), 1497 – 1514.
9 Han, J.K., *et al.*, 2001. Genetic approach with a new representation for base station
10 placement in mobile communications. *In: IEEE 54th Vehicular Technology Conference*,
11 2703 – 2707.
12 Hao, Q., *et al.*, 1997. A hierarchical optimization network resource planning approach.
13 *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 15 (7), 1315 – 1326.
14 Hifi, M., 1997. A Genetic Algorithm-Based Heuristic for Solving the Weighted Maxi-
15 mum Independent Set and Some Equivalent Problems. *The Journal of the Operational*
16 *Research Society*, 48 (6), 612 – 622.
17 Huang, X., Berh, U., and Wiesbeck, W., 2000a. Automatic base station placement and
18 dimensioning for mobile network planning. *In: IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference*,
19 1544 – 1549.
20 Huang, X., Berh, U., and Wiesbeck, W., 2000b. Automatic cell planning for a low-cost
21 and spectrum efficient wireless network. *In: Proceedings of Global Telecommunications*
22 *Conference (GLOBECOM)*, 276 – 282.
23 Huang, X., Berh, U., and Wiesbeck, W., 2000c. A new approach to automatic base
24 station placement in mobile networks. *In: International Zurich Seminar on Broadband*
25 *Communications*, 301 – 306.
26 Hurley, S., 2002. Planning Effective Cellular Mobile Radio Networks. *IEEE Transactions*
27 *on Vehicular Technologies*, 51 (2), 243 – 253.
28 ITU, Propagation by diffraction. , 1997. , Technical report UIT-R P.526-5, International
29 Telecommunication Union.
30 Jamaa, S.B., *et al.*, 2004a. Combined coverage and capacity optimisation for UMTS
31 networks. *In: 11th International Telecommunications Network Strategy and Planning*
32 *Symposium*, 175 – 178.
33 Jamaa, S.B., *et al.*, 2004b. Multi-objective strategies for automatic cell planning of UMTS
34 networks. *In: 2004 IEEE 59th Vehicular Technology Conference*, 2420 – 2424.
35 Jamaa, S.B., *et al.*, 2006. Optimization of UMTS Radio Access Networks with Genetic
36 Algorithms. *In: Metaheuristics for Hard Optimization*, 251 – 276.
37 Jedidi, A., Caminada, A., and Finke, G., 2004. 2-objective optimization of cells overlap
38 and geometry with evolutionary algorithms. *In: EvoCOMNET 2004*, LNCS 3005, 130
39 – 139.
40 Koza, J., *et al.*, 2004. Invention and creativity in automated design by means of genetic
41 programming. *Artificial Intelligence for Engineering Design, Analysis and Manufac-*
42 *turing*, 18, 245 – 269.
43 Koza, J.R., 1992. *Genetic Programming. On the Programming of Computers by Means*
44 *of Natural Selection*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
45 Laki, I., Farkas, L., and Nagy, L., 2001. Cell planning in mobile communication systems
46 using SGA optimization. *In: EUROCON'2001*, 124 – 127.
47 Lee, C.Y. and Kang, H.G., 2000. Cell Planning with Capacity Expansion in Mobile Com-
48 munications: A Tabu Search Approach. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*,
49 49 (5), 1678 – 1691.
50 Lieska, K., Laitinen, E., and Lähteenmäki, J., 1998. Radio coverage optimization with
51 genetic algorithms. *In: Ninth IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and*
52 *Mobile Radio Communications*, 318 – 322.
53 Lin, H.P., *et al.*, 2004. Cell planning scheme for WCDMA systems using genetic algorithm
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 and measured background noise floor. *IEE Proceedings Communications*, 151 (6), 595
5 – 600.
6
7 Maple, C., Guo, L., and Zhang, J., 2004. Parallel genetic algorithms for third genera-
8 tion mobile network planning. *In: International Conference on Parallel Computing in*
9 *Electrical Engineering*, 229 – 236.
10
11 Meunier, H., Talbi, E.G., and Reininger, P., 2000. A multiobjective genetic algorithm
12 for radio network optimization. *In: Proceedings of the 2000 Congress on Evolutionary*
13 *Computation*, 317 – 324.
14
15 Mishra, A.R., 2004. *Fundamentals of Cellular Network Planning and Optimisation:*
16 *2G/2.5G/3G... Evolution to 4G*. Wiley.
17
18 Molina, A., Athanasiadou, G.E., and Nix, A.R., 1999. The automatic location of base-
19 stations for optimised cellular coverage: a new combinatorial approach. *In: 1999 IEEE*
20 *49th Vehicular Technology Conference*, 606 – 610.
21
22 Mouly, M. and Paulet, M.B., 1992. *The GSM System for Mobile Communications*. Mouly
23 et Paulet, Palaiseau.
24
25 Nebro, A.J., et al., 2007. Optimal Antenna Placement Using a New Multi-Objective CHC
26 Algorithm. *In: Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO 2007)*,
27 876 – 883.
28
29 Park, B.S., Yook, J.G., and Park, H.K., 2002. The determination of base station place-
30 ment and transmit power in an inhomogeneous traffic distribution for radio network
31 planning. *In: 2002 IEEE 56th Vehicular Technology Conference Proceedings*, 2051 –
32 2055.
33
34 Picard, J.M., et al., 2005. Automatic cell planing strategies for UMTS networks. *Int. J.*
35 *of Mobile Network Design and Innovation*, 1 (1), 8 – 17.
36
37 Raisanen, L., 2006. Multi-objective site selection and analysis for GSM cellular network
38 planning. Thesis (PhD). Cardiff University.
39
40 Raisanen, L., 2008. A permutation-coded evolutionary strategy for multi-objective GSM
41 network planning. *Journal of Heuristics*, 14 (1), 1 – 21.
42
43 Raisanen, L. and Whitaker, R.M., 2003. Multi-objective optimization in area coverage
44 problems for cellular communication networks: Evaluation of an elitist evolutionary
45 strategy. *In: Proceedings of the 2003 ACM Symposium on Applied Computing*, 714 –
46 720.
47
48 Raisanen, L. and Whitaker, R.M., 2005. Comparison and evaluation of multiple objective
49 genetic algorithms for the antenna placement problem. *MONET*, 10 (1-2), 79 – 88.
50
51 Raisanen, L., Whitaker, R.M., and Hurley, S., 2004. A comparison of randomized and
52 evolutionary approaches for optimizing base station site selection. *In: Proceedings of*
53 *the 2004 ACM Symposium on Applied Computing*, 1159 – 1165.
54
55 Rapeli, J., 1995. UMTS: Targets, System Concept, and Standardization in a Global
56 Framework. *IEEE Personal Communications*, 2 (1), 30 – 37.
57
58 Reininger, P. and Caminada, A., 1998a. Connectivity management on mobile network
59 design. *In: 10th Conf. Eur. Consortium for Mathematics in Industry*.
60
61 Reininger, P. and Caminada, A., 1998b. Model for GSM radio network optimization.
62 *In: Second ACM International Conference on Discrete Algorithms and Methods for*
63 *Mobility*.
64
65 Reininger, P. and Caminada, A., 2001. Multicriteria design model for cellular network.
66 *Annals of Operations Research*, 107, 251 – 265.
67
68 Reininger, P., et al., 1999. Multi-stage optimization for mobile radio network planning.
69 *In: 1999 IEEE 49th Vehicular Technology Conference*, 2034 – 2038.
70
71 Resende, M.G.C. and Pardalos, P.M., eds. , 2006. *Handbook of Optimization in Telecom-*

- 1
2
3
4
5 *munications*. Springer.
- 6 Storn, R. and Price, K., Differential Evolution – A Simple Efficient Adaptive Scheme for
7 Global Optimization over Continuous Spaces. , 1995. , Technical report 95-012, Int.
8 Compt. Sci. Inst., Berkeley, CA.
- 9 Talbi, E.G., 2002. A Taxonomy of Hybrid Metaheuristics. *Journal of Heuristics*, 8 (2),
10 807 – 819.
- 11 Talbi, E.G., Cahon, S., and Melab, N., 2007. Designing cellular networks using a parallel
12 hybrid metaheuristic on the computational grid. *Computer Communications*, 30, 698
13 – 713.
- 14 Talbi, E.G. and Meunier, H., 2006. Hierarchical parallel approach for GSM mobile net-
15 work design. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 66 (2), 274–290.
- 16 Tutschku, K., 1998. Interference minimization using automatic design of cellular com-
17 munication networks. In: *IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference*, 634 – 638.
- 18 Vasquez, M. and Hao, J.K., 2001. A heuristic approach for antenna positioning in cellular
19 networks. *Journal of Heuristics*, 7, 443 – 472.
- 20 Vega-Rodríguez, M.A., *et al.*, 2007a. Evaluation of different metaheuristics solving the
21 RND problem. In: *EvoCOMNET 2007*, LNCS 4448, 101 – 110.
- 22 Vega-Rodríguez, M.A., *et al.*, 2007b. Radio Network Design Using Population-Based In-
23 cremental Learning and Grid Computing with BOINC. In: *EvoCOMNET 2007*, LNCS
24 4448, 91 – 100.
- 25 Weicker, N., *et al.*, 2003. Evolutionary multiobjective optimization for base station trans-
26 mitter placement with frequency assignment. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary
27 Computation*, 7 (2), 189 – 203.
- 28 Whitaker, R., Raisanen, L., and Hurley, S., 2004a. Explicit characterization of tensions
29 in downlink cell planning. In: *2004 IEEE 60th Vehicular Technology Conference*, 3434
30 – 3437.
- 31 Whitaker, R., Raisanen, L., and Hurley, S., 2004b. A Model for Conflict Resolution
32 between Coverage and Cost in Cellular Wireless Networks. In: *Proceedings of the 37th
33 Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, p. 90287a.
- 34 Zhang, Y., *et al.*, 2004. Particle swarm optimization for base station placement in mobile
35 communication. In: *2004 IEEE International Conference on Networking, Sensing and
36 Control*, 428 – 432.
- 37 Zimmermann, J., Höns, R., and Mühlenbein, H., 2000. The antenna placement problem
38 for mobile radio networks: an evolutionary approach. In: *Proceedings of the 8th Int.
39 Conference on Telecommunication Systems*, 358 – 366.
- 40 Zimmermann, J., Höns, R., and Mühlenbein, H., 2003a. From theory to practice: an evo-
41 lutionary algorithm for the antenna placement problem. In: *Advances in evolutionary
42 computing: theory and applications.*, 713 – 737 Springer.
- 43 Zimmermann, J., Höns, R., and Mühlenbein, H., 2003b. ENCON: evolutionary algorithm
44 for the antenna placement problem. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 44, 209 –
45 226.
- 46 Zitzler, E., Laumanns, M., and Thiele, L., 2002. SPEA2: Improving the Strength Pareto
47 Evolutionary Algorithm. In: K. Giannakoglou, D. Tsahalis, J. Periaux, P. Papailou and
48 T. Fogarty, eds. *EUROGEN 2001. Evolutionary Methods for Design, Optimization and
49 Control with Applications to Industrial Problems*, Athens, Greece, 95–100.
- 50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60