

Forecasting transboundary river water elevations from space

Sylvain Biancamaria, Faisal Hossain, D. P. Lettenmaier

► To cite this version:

Sylvain Biancamaria, Faisal Hossain, D. P. Lettenmaier. For ecasting transboundary river water elevations from space. Geophysical Research Letters, 2011, 38, pp. L11401. 10.1029/2011GL047290 . hal-00602583

HAL Id: hal-00602583 https://hal.science/hal-00602583

Submitted on 22 Jun 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Forecasting transboundary river water elevations from space
2	
3	
4	S. Biancamaria ^{1*} , F. Hossain ² , and D. P. Lettenmaier ¹
5	
6	¹ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Washington, Box
7	352700, Seattle, WA 98195, USA
8	
9	² Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Tennessee Technological University,
10	Cookeville, TN 38505-001, USA
11	
12	*Corresponding author: sylvain@hydro.washington.edu
13	
14	

15 Abstract

16 Over 90% of Bangladesh's surface water is generated upstream of its border, yet no real-time 17 information is shared by India (the upstream country) with respect to two major 18 transboundary rivers, the Ganges and Brahmaputra. This constraint limits operational 19 forecasts of river states inside Bangladesh to lead times of no more than three days. 20 Topex/Poseidon satellite altimetry measurements of water levels in India, combined with in-21 situ measurements inside Bangladesh allow extension of this lead time. We show that for both 22 rivers, it is practically feasible to forecast water elevation anomalies during the critical 23 monsoon season (June to September) near the Bangladesh border with an RMSE of about 24 0.40 m for lead times up to 5-days. Longer 10-day forecasts have higher errors (RMSE 25 between 0.60 m and 0.80 m) but still provide useful information for operational applications. 26 These results demonstrate the tremendous potential of satellite altimetry for transboundary 27 river management.

28

29 1. Introduction

30 Two hundred and fifty-six major river basins, covering 45% of the global land area exclusive 31 of Antarctica and Greenland, are split between two or more countries [*Wolf et al.*, 1999]. The 32 absence of information sharing among some riparian nations has led to numerous tensions in 33 the past [Balthrop and Hossain, 2010]. A classic case of uncoordinated management of 34 transboundary flooding occurs in the Ganges-Brahmaputra River basins. More than 90% of 35 surface water flowing through Bangladesh comes from the countries upstream - mostly India 36 [Nishat and Rahman, 2009]. Hydrological measurements on the Ganges and Brahmaputra 37 Rivers are viewed as sensitive by India, and no treaty provides for sharing of such data 38 between the two nations at operational time scales [Balthrop and Hossain, 2010]. For this

3

reason, water elevation (WE) forecasts in the interior and southern parts of Bangladesh are
limited to lead times of two to three days [*Ahmad and Ahmed*, 2003]. Increasing this lead time
would be very valuable both for disaster preparedness and agricultural water management.

43 Previous studies have shown that combination of rainfall satellite measurements and modeling can successfully forecast streamflow in Bangladesh [Nishat and Rahman, 2009; 44 Hopson and Webster, 2010; Webster et al., 2010]. In particular, Hopson and Webster [2010] 45 46 and Webster et al. [2010] developed a daily 1-15-day flood forecasting system for 47 Bangladesh, based on statistically adjusted (with satellite observations) quantitative precipitation forecasts from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts 48 49 (ECMWF). This system has successfully forecasted floods since 2004, with an accuracy of ± 1 day in flood onset and retreat [Webster et al., 2010]. However, Hopson and Webster [2010] 50 51 highlight that "if river flow measurements higher up in the catchment were available and 52 could be routed downriver to the forecast location, errors in rainfall-runoff modeling ... could 53 be reduced". Satellite altimetry observations have the potential to provide such information. 54 Birkinshaw et al. [2010] have used both in-situ and altimetry WE time series on the Mekong 55 basin, combined with hydrologic modeling to forecast discharge downstream. However, in 56 their approach satellite altimetry is one of several data sources, and the impact of the lead time 57 in the context of water management was not investigated. Here we show the potential for 58 satellite altimetry to extend forecast lead time in a case where it is the only source of upstream 59 river stage data.

60

61 2. The Brahmaputra and Ganges Rivers

5

The locations of the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers and the political boundaries of the riparian countries are shown in Figure 1. The drainage area of the Ganges basin is about 1,065,000 km². It is shared among China, India, Nepal and Bangladesh. The Brahmaputra has a drainage area of about 574,000 km² and is shared among China, India, Bhutan and Bangladesh [*Nishat and Rahman*, 2009].

67

68 The upstream-most in-situ gauges in Bangladesh used in this study are located at Hardinge 69 Bridge on the Ganges and at Bahadurabad on the Brahmaputra (Figure 1). WE (referenced to 70 the Public Work Department, PWD datum of Bangladesh Government) have been collected 71 by the Bangladesh Water Development Board (BWDB) and Institute of Water Modeling 72 (IWM, Bangladesh). They are daily (some days missing) and are available from 73 January 2000 to September 2005. Figure 2 shows in-situ WE time series measured at the Bahadurabad (Figure 2.a) and Hardinge Bridge (Figure 2.b) gauges for all years available in 74 75 the period of record. The Brahmaputra can be considered unregulated with no major hydraulic 76 structures, whereas the Ganges is highly regulated with at least 34 dams and diversion points 77 in India and Nepal [Hopson and Webster, 2010]. The hydraulic structures are intended 78 primarily for use during the dry season and do not act as a control structure to regulate flow 79 during the monsoon season [Jian et al., 2009]. At Bahadurabad and at Hardinge Bridge, the mean annual (monsoon season) discharges are around 16,800 m³.s⁻¹ (39,400 m³.s⁻¹) and 7,100 80 m³.s⁻¹ (24,300 m³.s⁻¹), respectively. The transboundary region of Meghna is relatively smaller 81 than Ganges and Brahmaputra to have a significant impact on forecasting of WE inside 82 83 Bangladesh and has not been considered in this study.

84

85 3. Methodology

7

8

86 We used estimates of WE in India derived from the Topex/Poseidon (T/P) satellite nadir

87 altimeter to forecast WE at Bahadurabad and Hardinge Bridge. T/P WE were computed by the

88 Laboratoire d'Etudes en Géophysique et Océanographie Spatiales (LEGOS) and were

89 downloaded from the HydroWeb data base (<u>http://www.legos.obs-</u>

90 mip.fr/en/soa/hydrologie/hydroweb/). T/P was a joint National Aeronautics and Space 91 Administration (NASA) and Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES) satellite mission 92 launched in August 1992, with a 10-day repeat period. In September 2002, the T/P orbit was 93 changed due to the launch of a new satellite altimeter (JASON-1), which defines the T/P 94 HydroWeb period of record from 1993 to mid-2002. Nadir altimeters like T/P measure WE 95 only in a vertical plane, i.e. along the satellite's ground track (shown in Figure 1); therefore, 96 relatively few locations on each river are observed. The locations (referred as "virtual 97 stations") of the T/P measurements on the Ganges and the Brahmaputra in India are shown in 98 Figure 1. The overlapping time period of T/P with in-situ WE measurements is January 2001 99 to August 2002 at Hardinge Bridge and January 2000 to August 2002 at Bahadurabad. Table 100 1 shows the distance between each T/P virtual station (VS) used in this study and the in-situ 101 gauge on the river, along with the number of observations available in the T/P time series, the 102 mean time between two consecutive observations, and the river drainage area at the VS. 103 These four VS were selected to span a range of distances from the in-situ gauges and to have 104 a maximum number of observations. Temporal gaps in T/P time series arise from instrument 105 errors, inaccurate atmospheric corrections, and errors due to the retracking of the data and 106 interaction with the surrounding land.

107

108 Correlations between the in-situ WE anomalies (h_{insitu}) measured at the gauge locations and
109 the upstream T/P WE anomalies in India (h_{alti}) k days earlier were computed as follows:

9

110
$$Corr_h(k) = \frac{cov[h_{insitu}(t), h_{alti}(t+k)]}{stdev[h_{insitu}(t)] \cdot stdev[h_{alti}(t+k)]}$$
 (1)

where k is the lead time, t corresponds to the date for which h_{alti}(t+k) is available (for the few days when h_{insitu}(t) is missing, it was linearly interpolated from the closest measurement in time), cov is the covariance, stdev is the standard deviation and Corr_h is the correlation coefficient between the two time series. The lead time k was allowed to vary from 0 to 40 days. For each of these lead times, a linear fit was computed to relate the water surface elevation at the in-situ gauge and the water level at the VS k days earlier.

118 4. Forecasting Brahmaputra River WE anomalies

119 On the Brahmaputra River, correlations between in-situ and upstream T/P WE anomalies are quite high (> 0.9) for lead time up to 25 days over the entire time period; however, this is 120 121 somewhat misleading as much of the correlation is due to the high and almost concurrent 122 seasonality of WE. For this reason, we computed correlations, for various lead times, only 123 over the monsoon period (June to September) when floods occur. For this period, all 124 correlations for lead times below 10 days are highly significant (p < 0.05). As expected, the 125 highest correlations are for VS n°166_1, which is the closest to Bahadurabad. In-situ and 126 upstream T/P WE anomalies remain significantly correlated (above 0.9 for VS n°166_1 and 127 0.8 for VS n°242_1 during the monsoon period) for a lead time around 5 days. Correlations 128 for lead times less than 10 days, correlations remain above 0.8 for VS n°166_1, but decrease 129 substantially for lead times greater than 5 days.

130

131 For each VS and for lead times less than 5 days, the RMSE between the T/P forecasts and the132 in-situ measurements for the monsoon period is lower than or near 0.40 m with a minimum

11

around 3 days (which corresponds to the maximum correlation). At lead times greater than 5
days, RMSE increases significantly and tends to stabilize for lead times above 10 days at
around or slightly above 0.50 m for VS n°166_1 and 0.70 m for VS n°242_1.

136

137 Figures 3.a and 3.b show the in-situ (blue curve) and forecasted WE anomalies at the gauge location from T/P VS n°166_1 (red triangles) for a 5-day and a 10-day lead time, respectively. 138 139 These results are very encouraging as the forecast is quite close to the observation. On the 140 other hand, it should be noted that some local maxima (like the one in August 2000) are 141 slightly underestimated in the forecasted time series. This might be due to satellite measurement errors, errors in the T/P-in situ WE regression and the fact that the methodology 142 143 used does not explicitly account for inflows between the location of the virtual and real 144 gauges.

145

146 5. Forecasting Ganges River WE anomalies

147 During the monsoon, the correlation for VS n°014_1 (located 530 km upstream of the gauge, 148 Table 1) is maximum for lead time around 5 days and then decreases (it is below 0.9 for lead 149 times above 10 days). As VS n°116_2 is farther upstream from the gauge (1560 km, Table 1), the correlation is lower (still above 0.9 for lead times between 8 and 13 days) and is highest 150 for a 10-day lead time. For lead times greater than 14 days, the correlation decreases and is 151 152 similar to that for VS n°014 1. The different timing in the occurrence of the maximum 153 correlation between the two VS is due to the large distance (above 1000 km) between them. As for the Brahmaputra River, RMSE during the monsoon period between in-situ and forecast 154 155 WE anomalies from T/P data has a minimum around the same lead time that maximizes the 156 correlation. For VS n°014 1 the RMSE is minimum at around 0.40 m for a 5-day lead time

13

14

and remains between 0.40 m and 0.60 m for lead times below 10 days, beyond which, RMSE
increases significantly. For VS n°116_2, the RMSE is higher, and its minimum value is
around 0.90 m for a 14-day lead time. This was expected due to the greater distance to the
gauge.

161

Figures 3.c and 3.d show the in-situ and forecasted WE anomalies at the gauge location from
T/P VS n°014_1 for a 5-day and a 10-day lead time, respectively. As for the Brahmaputra
River, the forecasts remain very close to the in-situ measurements.

165

166 6. Discussion

167 Our results clearly show that T/P forecasts follow well the rising and receding trends in 168 observed water surface elevation with modest bias. The persistence of high correlations 169 between upstream and downstream WE anomalies for a range of practically useful lead times 170 and the relatively low RMSE, compared to the differences in WE between low and high flows 171 (around 6 m at Bahadurabad and 8 m at Hardinge Bridge, Figure 2), are encouraging. We 172 believe that the relatively high forecast skill is due to the fact that even though the VS are far 173 upstream (see Table 1), most of the runoff that reaches Bangladesh is generated far upstream, 174 and the relationship between upstream and downstream water levels is affected primarily by channel processes. The Brahmaputra drainage area is around 506,000 km² at Bahadurabad and 175 345,000 km² at the 550 km upstream T/P VS n°242 1 (Table 1). On the Ganges, the drainage 176 area is 944,000 km² at Hardinge Bridge and 756,900 km² at T/P VS n°014_1 530 km 177 upstream (Table 1). Therefore, WE are less sensitive to local and short-term precipitation 178 179 events and remain correlated over long distances. Combined with the higher impact of human 180 activity, this could also explain higher RMSE on the Ganges: as its mean annual discharge is

15

16

181 two times lower than that of the Brahmaputra, it is more affected by high frequency 182 variations. For each VS, the ratio between the distance to the in-situ gage and the lead time which gives the maximum correlation is around 1 m.s⁻¹, the same order as the rivers' velocity 183 184 [Jian et al., 2009]. Because T/P data are not available after 2006, data from the new nadir 185 altimeter Jason-2, launched in 2008 on the same orbit than T/P, would need to be used for real 186 time forecast observations. The time latency of Jasonn-2 Interim Geophysical Data Record is 187 around 2 days and the retracking of this product can be done immediately, which means that 188 near real time forecast is feasible.

189

The current good quality of the forecast might even be improved using ancillary satellite data, such as precipitation or river width estimates. In addition, more accurate satellite-based WE measurements would help to better detect peaks in WE. This could be done by retracking altimeter measurements as suggested by *Lee et al.*, [2009]. Moreover, the low time resolution in the T/P time series could be addressed by combining forecasts from different VS and using multiple satellite altimeters. Errors on these multi-source forecasts will vary in time depending on the altimeter and the VS used.

197

198 The future Surface Water and Ocean Topography (SWOT) wide swath altimeter (a

199 NASA/CNES mission, planned for 2019), will provide much improved forecast coverage (in

200 both geographic extent, and the size of rivers for which coverage will be provided) and

201 accuracy. SWOT will provide 2-D maps of WE along a 120 km wide swath with a 100 m

202 horizontal resolution and a 10 cm minimum vertical accuracy (usually better) [Rodríguez,

203 2009], providing 2 to 4 observations on the study domain per repeat period (22 days),

allowing a much more precise forecast of flooding or low flow events.

17

Furthermore, the approach presented in this paper can augment alternative approaches, like that of *Webster et al.* [2010], that seek to improve forecast lead times by incorporating long lead probabilistic precipitation forecast information into streamflow forecasts. We also foresee a future pathway by which altimetric information from planned satellites like SWOT can be incorporated into hydrodynamic models.

211

212 7. Conclusions

213 For both the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers, it is possible to forecast WE anomalies during the monsoon season from upstream nadir altimeter measurements of WE anomalies with a 214 215 lead time at least 5 days longer than is currently feasible, with RMSE around 0.40 m. 10-day 216 forecasts during the monsoon season are also feasible, although with RMSE between 0.60 m and 0.80 m, depending on the river and the VS used. Our results demonstrate that satellite 217 altimeter data have a huge potential to improve forecasting of WE anomalies at the 218 219 Bangladesh borders and, therefore, could provide valuable information for flood forecast 220 systems needed for downstream nations in large transboundary river basins more generally. 221 Combining satellite altimetry measurements with weather, hydrological, and hydrodynamic 222 forecast methods offers the potential to further extend forecast lead times. The use of multiple 223 altimeter measurements, along with ancillary satellite observations can help to constrain 224 forecast errors. We also emphasize the limitations of current generation satellite altimeters, 225 which were primarily designed for oceanographic applications and are limited by their relatively infrequent repeat periods (10 days for Topex/Poseidon) and relatively inaccurate 226 227 measurements of river heights. The proposed wide swath SWOT mission is expected to

19

improve greatly both forecast accuracy and time sampling of rivers and may well represent a major breakthrough in the ability of downstream countries to manage riverine hazards.

230

231 Acknowledgements

232 The BWDB and IWM are gratefully acknowledged for providing the in-situ measurements 233 used in this study. These data were available to the second author (F. Hossain) as part a Memorandum of Understanding between Tennessee Technological University and IWM for 234 235 technical collaboration and staff training. We also thank the LEGOS for processing and 236 releasing T/P time series via the HydroWeb database. We are thankful to E. A. Clark of the 237 University of Washington for thoughtful comments on earlier version of the manuscript. We 238 gratefully acknowledge Hyongki Lee and Peter Webster, both of whom identified themselves 239 as reviewers of the paper, for their helpful comments and suggestions, which we believe 240 improved the quality of the paper. This study was funded by the NASA Grant No. 241 NNX07AT12G to the University of Washington.

242

243 References

- Ahmad, Q. K., and A. U. Ahmed (2003), Regional cooperation in flood management in the

245 Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna region: Bangladesh perspective, *Nat. Hazards*, 28(1), 181-198,

246 doi:10.1023/A:1021186203100.

247 - Balthrop, C., and F. Hossain (2010), A review of state of the art on treaties in relation to

- 248 management of transboundary flooding in international river basins and the Global
- 249 Precipitation Measurement mission, Water Policy, 12(5), 635-640, doi:10.2166/wp.2009.117.

21

- 250 Birkinshaw, S. J., G. M. O'Donnell, P. Moore, C. G. Kilsby, H. J. Fowler, and P. A. M.
- 251 Berry (2010), Using satellite altimetry data to augment flow estimation techniques on the
- 252 Mekong River, *Hydrol. Processes*, 24(26), 3811-3825, doi:10.1002/hyp.7811.
- 253 Hopson, T. M., and P. J. Webster (2010), A 1-10-day ensemble forecasting scheme for the
- 254 major river basins of Bangladesh: Forecasting severe floods of 2003-07, J. Hydrometeorol.,
- 255 11(3), 618-641, doi:10.1175/2009JHM1006.1.
- 256 Jian, J., P. J. Webster, and C. D. Hoyos (2009), Large-scale controls on Ganges and
- 257 Brahmaputra river discharge on intraseasonal time-scales, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 135(639),
- 258 353-370, doi:10.1002/qj.384.
- 259 Lee, H., C. K. Shum, Y. C. Yi, M. Ibaraki, J.-W. Kim, A. Braun, C.-Y. Kuo, and Z. Lu
- 260 (2009), Louisiana wetland water level monitoring using retracked TOPEX/POSEIDON
- 261 altimetry, Mar. Geod., 32(3), 284-302, doi:10.1080/01490410903094767.
- 262 Nishat, B., and S. M. M. Rahman (2009), Water resources modeling of the Ganges-
- 263 Brahmaputra-Meghna river basins using satellite remote sensing data, J. Am. Water Resour.
- 264 *Assoc.*, 45(6), 1313-1327, doi:10.1111/j.1752-1688.2009.00374.x.
- 265 Rodríguez, E. (2009), SWOT Science Requirements Document, Jet. Propul. Lab. document,
- 266 Pasadena, Calif., USA (<u>http://swot.jpl.nasa.gov/files/SWOT_science_reqs_final.pdf</u>).
- 267 Webster, P. J., J. Jian, T. M. Hopson, C. D. Hoyos, P. Agudelo, H-R. Chang, J. A. Curry, R.
- 268 L. Grossman, T. N. Palmer, and A. R. Subbiah (2010), Extended-range probabilistic forecasts
- of Ganges and Brahmaputra floods in Bangladesh, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 91(11), 1493-
- 270 1514, doi: 10.1175/2010BAMS2911.1.
- 271 Wolf, A. T., J. A. Natharius, J. J. Danielson, B. S. Ward, and J. K. Pender (1999),
- 272 International river basins of the world, Int. J. Water Resour. Dev., 15(4), 387-427,
- 273 doi:10.1080/07900629948682.
- 23

274 Figure Legends

275

276 Figure 1. Map of the study domain. Ganges basin (red hatched area) and Brahmaputra basin 277 (magenta hatched area) boundaries come from HYDRO1k. Locations of measurements from 278 the satellite nadir altimeter Topex/Poseidon on the Ganges and the Brahmaputra rivers 279 (available on HydroWeb) are represented, respectively, by red and purple dots (yellow lines 280 correspond to the satellite ground tracks). Green dots correspond to the furthest upstream insitu gauges in Bangladesh. The background topography used in this map is the ETOPO1 281 282 topography dataset. Lakes, rivers and political boundaries come from the CIA World Data 283 Bank II.

284

Figure 2. In-situ water elevation time series measured on the Brahmaputra at Bahadurabad (a)and on the Ganges at Hardinge Bridge (b).

287

288 Figure 3. (a) Measured water elevation anomaly time series at Bahadurabad (blue) and the 289 T/P virtual station n°166_1 forecasted water elevation anomalies at the gauge location for a 5-290 day lead time (red triangles). (b) Similar plot for 10-day lead time T/P virtual station n°166_1 291 forecasted water elevation anomalies. (c) Measured water elevation anomaly time series at Hardinge Bridge (blue) and the T/P virtual station n°014_1 forecasted water elevation 292 293 anomalies at the gauge location for a 5-day lead time (red triangles). (d) Similar plot for 10-294 day lead time T/P virtual station n°014_1 forecasted water elevation anomalies. Plots of the linear fit between time-lagged T/P and in-situ time series used to compute these forecasts are 295 296 included in the auxiliary materials.

25

26

297 Table Legend:

Table 1. Distance from the in-situ gauge, number of observations, mean and median time between two consecutive observations and river drainage area (from HYDRO1k) for each Topex/Poseidon virtual station (from HydroWeb) on the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers.Forecasts using time series from virtual stations in bold are shown in Figure 3.

27

302 Table

Table 1. Distance from the in-situ gauge, number of observations, mean and median time
between two consecutive observations and river drainage area (from HYDRO1k) for each
Topex/Poseidon virtual station (from HydroWeb) on the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers.
Forecasts using time series from virtual stations in bold are shown in Figure 3.

T/P Virtual station	River	Distance to the gauge (km)	Number of obs.	Mean/median time btw obs. (days)	Drainage area (km²)
166_1	Brahmaputra	250	58	16/10	408,500
242_1	Brahmaputra	550	71	14/10	345,100
014_1	Ganges	530	25	22/20	756,900
116_2	Ganges	1560	49	12/10	38,400

307 Figures









