



**HAL**  
open science

## Small-Space Controllability of a Walking Humanoid Robot

Sébastien Dalibard, Antonio El Khoury, Florent Lamiroux, Michel Taïx,  
Jean-Paul Laumond

► **To cite this version:**

Sébastien Dalibard, Antonio El Khoury, Florent Lamiroux, Michel Taïx, Jean-Paul Laumond. Small-Space Controllability of a Walking Humanoid Robot. *Humanoid Robots (Humanoids)*, 2011 11th IEEE-RAS International Conference on, Oct 2011, Bled, Slovenia. pp.739 - 744, 10.1109/Humanoids.2011.6100807 . hal-00602384v2

**HAL Id: hal-00602384**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00602384v2>**

Submitted on 19 Sep 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Small-Space Controllability of a Walking Humanoid Robot

Sébastien Dalibard\* †, Antonio El Khoury\* †, Florent Lamiroux\* †, Michel Taïx\* †, Jean-Paul Laumond\* †

\* CNRS ; LAAS ; 7 avenue du colonel Roche, F-31077 Toulouse Cedex 4, France

† Université de Toulouse ; UPS, INSA, INP, ISAE ; UT1, UTM, LAAS ; F-31077 Toulouse Cedex 4, France

{sdalibar,aelkhour}@laas.fr

**Abstract**—This paper presents a two-stage motion planner for walking humanoid robots. A first draft path is computed using random motion planning techniques that ensure collision avoidance. In a second step, the draft path is approximated by a whole-body dynamically stable walk trajectory. The contributions of this work are: (i) a formal guarantee, based on small-space controllability criteria, that the first draft path can be approximated by a collision-free dynamically stable trajectory; (ii) an algorithm that uses this theoretical property to find a solution trajectory. We have applied our method on several problems where whole-body planning and walk are needed, and the results have been validated on a real platform: the robot HRP-2.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Humanoid robots are highly redundant and yet under-actuated kinematic systems. Their many degrees of freedom (DoFs), inspired by the human body kinematic tree, provide them with great capabilities for manipulation, but require locomotion in order to move globally. The DoFs that define the position and orientation of the whole robot in space are not directly controlled, they derive from the articular DoFs of the legs of the robot. Those latter should be controlled with care to guarantee a robot dynamic stability.

Integrating walking control systems in a whole-body motion planning architecture is not straightforward. Usual ways of taking care of collision avoidance during locomotion include:

- Computing a collision-free planar trajectory for a bounding box of a robot, then using a locomotion gait to follow the box trajectory.
- Guaranteeing collision avoidance only at a footstep level, and planning footstep positions.

Both methods are approximations, either of the geometry of the robot, or of the geometry of its environment. These simplifications are ways of decreasing the computational complexity of planning for a humanoid kinematic tree augmented with footstep positions.

The work presented here tackles the problem of whole-body motion planning, including locomotion. In a first stage, a randomized motion planner computes a collision-free path for a humanoid robot whose feet are sliding on the ground. In a second stage, this draft path is approximated by a dynamically stable walk trajectory. A theoretical result, based on small-space controllability criteria, guarantees that the draft path can be approximated arbitrarily close by a walking trajectory. This means that after the planning phase, we are sure that




Fig. 1. The robot HRP-2 passing between two chairs. In that kind of environment whole-body collision avoidance is needed during locomotion (edited picture).

a collision-free walking trajectory can be computed from the first draft path. We present various examples of use of this algorithm in the experimental section of the paper. The experiments have been conducted on a model of the HRP-2 robot, and validated on a real platform.

## II. RELATED WORK AND CONTRIBUTION

This work is based on two different fields of humanoid robotics research: first, randomized whole-body motion planners and second, walk pattern generators based on the Zero-Momentum Point (ZMP) formalism. These two fields rely at a lower level on the prioritized inverse kinematics (IK) formalism [1], [2]. Collision-avoidance can be integrated into an IK-solver, [3] presents such a method for whole-body motion planning, and [4] for collision avoidance at a footstep placement level. However, these methods are prone to fall into local minima. In this paper, we focus on global motion planning.

### A. Whole-Body Motion Planning

When planning a whole-body motion for a humanoid robot, the first challenge is to cope with the curse of dimensionality. The complexity of motion planning is exponential in the dimension of the configuration space ( $CS$ ) to explore. When dealing with high-dimensional configuration spaces, it is typically impossible to explicitly represent them, leading to the use of randomized sampling techniques to solve global

planning problems. In the past fifteen years, *Probabilistic Roadmaps* [5] and *Rapidly exploring Random Trees* (RRT) [6] have been developed and used to solve many high dimensional planning problems. When using sampling techniques on a humanoid robot, the second difficulty is to take into account stability constraints, i.e. to generate random configurations on zero volume submanifolds of  $\mathcal{CS}$ . This problem has been investigated with success during the last few years, [7], [8] present some solutions. The idea is to use prioritized inverse kinematics techniques within the framework of sampling-based motion planning. To our knowledge, recent contributions to this field do not cover walk planning.

### B. Walk pattern generation

Another field of humanoid robotics research is the generation of dynamically stable walk patterns. Since the introduction of the ZMP formalism [9], several methods have been proposed to generate walking motions efficiently. One way to deal with the complexity of a humanoid robotics kinematic tree is to use the so-called "cart-table" simplified model [10]. Based on such a model, planning a trajectory for the ZMP is reduced to planning a trajectory for the Center of Mass (CoM) of the robot. Given a trajectory of the CoM and footstep positions, inverse kinematics solvers can animate the whole set of DoFs of the robot to generate a dynamically stable walk trajectory.

### C. Collision-free Walk Trajectories

Collision-free locomotion trajectories is usually obtained by simplifying the model of the robot or its environment. By choosing a bounding volume of a humanoid robot, including its swaying motions, one can use a simple planar motion planner on this bounding volume and generate a valid locomotion trajectory. This strategy is used in [11] in a computer animation context. Variants of this method include dynamic path reshaping [12]: if collisions appear when animating the locomotion trajectory, it is locally reshaped and re-animated. This two-stage strategy does not guarantee that the locomotion trajectory can be followed or that the local reshaping will converge.

Simplifying the environment consists in considering obstacles at a footstep level. [13], [14] use an A\* algorithm to find collision-free footsteps. In [15], the authors compute collision-free motions for the legs by using an RRT\* algorithm.

### D. Contribution

The main contribution of this work is a two-stage motion planner for a humanoid robot that computes a collision-free walking trajectory on the exact models of the robot and its environment. The first stage uses a sampling-based motion planner to compute a collision-free path for a robot sliding on the ground. Another contribution of this paper is the formal proof that this path can be approximated by a dynamically stable, collision-free walking trajectory. We have implemented this method and used it on a model of HRP-2 robot. The results have been validated on a real platform.




Fig. 2. Collision-free statically stable path for a humanoid robot sliding on the ground.

## III. STATICALLY STABLE COLLISION-FREE PATH FOR A "SLIDING" ROBOT

The first stage of our planning architecture consists in computing a statically stable path for a humanoid robot sliding on the ground. The static stability of the configurations of the robot along this path is defined by the following constraints:

- 1) The two feet are on the ground, and their relative position is fixed,
- 2) The robot CoM is projected vertically in the center of its support polygon.

To ensure that the sliding path can be approximated by a dynamically stable trajectory, we require add the constraints used to validate the cart-table model approximation:

- 3) The robot CoM is at a constant height,
- 4) The robot waist is kept vertical.

Random motion planning under task constraints has been successfully investigated in the past years. Here, we apply the method described in [8]. Note that all the constraints applied on the robot at that stage are expressed in the robot frame, so the non-articular DoFs describing the global position and orientation of the robot are free to change.

Fig. 2 shows an example of a collision-free path found by an RRT algorithm. All the configurations along the path respect the set of constraints listed above. The CoM height and the feet positions in the robot frame are chosen such that the generated configurations avoid singularities.

## IV. EXISTENCE OF A DYNAMICALLY STABLE TRAJECTORY

This section presents a proof that any sliding path  $p$  can be approximated by a collision-free walk trajectory. This property is based on ideas from control theory, and in particular small-space controllability. Let us recall briefly what a small-space controllable system is, and how this property is used in motion planning.

### A. Small-Space Controllability

A robotic system is controllable if for any two configurations  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ , there exists a time  $T > 0$  such that there exists a trajectory going from  $q_1$  to  $q_2$  in time  $T$ . It is small-time controllable if for any configuration  $q$ , for any time  $T > 0$ , the set of configurations accessible from  $q$  in time less than  $T$  is a neighborhood of  $q$  in  $\mathcal{CS}$ . In geometric terms, it means that for any configuration  $q$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\eta > 0$  such that all the configurations contained in the ball of center  $q$  and radius  $\eta$  are reachable by trajectories included in the ball of center  $q$  and radius  $\epsilon$ . We will refer to this geometric formulation as *small-space controllability*.

The main consequence of the small-space controllability property in motion planning is that any collision-free path (not




Fig. 3. Small-space controllability in motion planning. On the left, the local property: any configuration  $q'$  at distance less than  $\eta$  is reachable from  $q$  by an admissible trajectory included in a ball of size  $\epsilon$ . On the right, a collision-free path from  $q_1$  to  $q_2$  is approximated by collision-free and admissible trajectories by using the local property.

necessarily admissible by the system) can be approximated by a sequence of both collision-free and admissible trajectories. It is crucial in nonholonomic motion planning [16]. Fig. 3 shows an example of collision-free path approximation by admissible collision-free sub-trajectories. The fact that this algorithm converges is guaranteed by the small-space controllability property.

### B. Small-Space Controllability of a Walking Humanoid Robot

We want to prove that any collision-free sliding path found by the method presented in section III - for example the one presented in fig. 2 - can be followed by a sequence of collision-free walk motions. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the  $\mathcal{CS}$  submanifold formed by configurations verifying the constraints (1) to (4) presented in section III. It is then sufficient to prove the following result:

**Theorem 1.**  $\forall q \in \mathcal{M}, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \eta > 0$  such that  $\forall q' \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $d(q, q') < \eta$ , there exists a dynamically stable walk motion going from  $q$  to  $q'$  included in the  $\mathcal{CS}$ -ball of center  $q$  and radius  $\epsilon$ .

The result is valid under the hypothesis of the cart-table simplified model. We will thus consider that the arms are of negligible mass and do not influence the position of the CoM of the robot. The DoFs of the robot upper-body are therefore free to follow exactly any input trajectory. On the other hand, the DoFs defining the position and orientation of the whole robot in space and the articular DoFs of the legs must generate a valid walk motion and cannot follow any path. The proof will consider first the non-articular DoFs defining the position and orientation of the robot and then the leg DoFs. To position the robot in space, we will consider the position of its CoM.

Following the cart-table model, we require that during the walk motion the CoM stays at a constant height and that the global rotations of the robot around  $(x)$  and  $(y)$  axes are constant of null angle, so overall, there are three non-articular DoFs of the robot that change along a walk trajectory:  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $\theta$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  define its CoM horizontal position, and  $\theta$  the angle of the rotation of the robot around the  $(z)$  axis.

1) *Walking in place:* It is sufficient to show that it is possible to walk in place while keeping the CoM of the robot in an arbitrarily small neighborhood. The equations giving the ZMP horizontal coordinates  $(p_x, p_y)$  as functions of CoM coordinates  $(x, y)$  in the cart-table model were presented in [10]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_x \\ p_y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x - \frac{z_c}{g} \ddot{x} \\ y - \frac{z_c}{g} \ddot{y} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where  $z_c$  is the constant height of the CoM and  $g$  is the gravity constant. In the following we will note  $\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{g}{z_c}}$ .

To be able to lift a foot without falling, the robot has to move its ZMP under its other foot. Let us consider a robot in configuration  $(0, 0, 0)$ . To move the ZMP under a given foot, only the  $y$  coordinate of the CoM is of interest. Thus, we will keep the  $x$  coordinates of the CoM and ZMP constant equal to 0.

We wish to walk in place while keeping the CoM in an arbitrarily small neighborhood. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , arbitrarily chosen, be the size of that neighborhood. We require that for any time  $t \geq 0$ ,  $|y(t)| \leq \epsilon$ . Let  $L$  be the horizontal distance between the CoM and the center of either of the robot feet. We aim at making  $p_y(t)$  oscillate between  $-L$  and  $L$ . During this proof we will assume that the feet of the robot are rectangular, of length  $l_1$  and width  $l_2$ . If the feet are not rectangular, we can adapt the proof by considering a rectangle included in the contact surface between a foot and the ground. If no such rectangle exists, for example if the contact is punctual, this proof does not hold.

The idea of this proof is to use the form of Eq. (1) to apply a scaling factor between the amplitude of the oscillations of the CoM and of the ZMP. For example for  $\omega > 0$ , let us assume the trajectory of the CoM is given by  $y(t) = \epsilon \sin(\omega t)$ . We can derive Eq. (1) and obtain  $p_y(t) = (1 + (\frac{\omega}{\omega_0})^2) \epsilon \sin(\omega t)$ . The amplitude of the oscillations of  $y$  is multiplied by a factor  $(1 + (\frac{\omega}{\omega_0})^2)$ . If we choose  $\omega = \omega_0 \sqrt{\frac{L}{\epsilon} - 1}$ ,  $p_y$  oscillates between  $-L$  and  $L$ . At time  $t_l^{(n)} = n \frac{2\pi}{\omega} + \frac{\pi/2}{\omega}$ , the ZMP is located at the center of the left foot, the robot can lift its right foot and at time  $t_r^{(n)} = n \frac{2\pi}{\omega} + \frac{3\pi/2}{\omega}$  the ZMP is located at the center of the right foot, the robot can lift its left foot.

Starting from a static configuration at time  $(t = 0)$ , we cannot apply directly a command  $y(t) = \epsilon \sin(\omega t)$  because it generates a discontinuity in the speed of the CoM at time  $(t = 0)$ . To overcome this discontinuity, we go through a transient state between  $(t = 0)$  and  $(t = T)$  for some  $T > 0$ . Let  $f : [0, T] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be an increasing function of class  $C^\infty$  such that  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $\dot{f}(0) = 0$ ,  $f(T) = 1$ ,  $\dot{f}(T) = 0$  and  $\ddot{f}(T) = 0$ . We can explicitly construct such an  $f$  with a degree 4 spline. We also request that for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $|2\epsilon \dot{f}(t) \frac{\omega}{\omega_0^2}| \leq \frac{l_2}{4}$  and  $|\epsilon \ddot{f}(t) / \omega_0^2| \leq \frac{l_2}{4}$ . These inequalities will be used to bound the trajectory of the ZMP. We can guarantee them by choosing  $T$  large enough. Let us now consider the following CoM motion:




Fig. 4. CoM motion (in plain red) along  $y$  axis. The CoM stays in the interval  $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$  while during permanent state ( $t \geq T$ ), the ZMP (dashed blue) oscillates between the centers of the feet, which allows in-place walk.

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} f(t)\epsilon \sin(\omega t) & \text{if } t \in [0, T] \\ \epsilon \sin(\omega t) & \text{if } t \geq T \end{cases}$$

One can check that  $y$  is of class  $C^2$  over  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and that  $\dot{f}(0) = 0$ . When  $t \geq T$ , the robot is in the permanent state described above and can walk in place. The last point to check is that for  $t \in [0, T]$   $p_y(t)$  stays inside the support polygon of the robot. The calculation of the successive derivatives of  $y$  gives:

$$p_y(t) = f(t)\epsilon \left(1 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0}\right)^2\right) \sin(\omega t) + 2\epsilon \dot{f}(t) \frac{\omega}{\omega_0^2} \cos(\omega t) + \frac{\epsilon}{\omega_0^2} \ddot{f}(t) \sin(\omega t)$$

For all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $f(t)\epsilon \left(1 + \frac{\omega}{\omega_0^2}\right) \sin(\omega t)$  lies between  $-L$  and  $L$ . The bounds on the derivatives of  $f$  guarantee that  $p_y(t)$  lies between  $-L - l_2/2$  and  $L + l_2/2$ , which means that the ZMP stays inside the support polygon. Fig. 4 shows an example of CoM motion on the  $y$  axis and the corresponding ZMP motion. Once in permanent walk in-place state, the robot can come back to a static state by applying a symmetric transient state used to decrease gradually the amplitude of the oscillations of the CoM without generating a discontinuity in the first derivative of the command.

These CoM motions can be adapted to follow a  $(x, y, \theta)$  linear segment by a dynamically stable walk motion, while keeping the CoM at distance at most  $\epsilon$  from the segment. To do so, we add the walk-in-place CoM motion presented above to the desired trajectory.

2) *Legs degrees of freedom*: During a walk motion, the six DoFs of each leg are controlled through a position and orientation task on the foot. For a given configuration of the robot waist, this task is defined by six equations determining the position and orientation of the foot. Let  $q_{waist}$  be the configuration of the waist of the robot,  $q_{foot}$  the desired configuration of the foot, and  $q_{leg}$  the articular configuration of the leg. The task on the foot is defined by a function

$Tk : \mathbb{R}^6 \times \mathbb{R}^6 \times \mathbb{R}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$ , such that the task is satisfied iff  $Tk(q_{waist}, q_{foot}, q_{leg}) = 0$ . Each component of  $Tk$  is a sum of trigonometric functions and as such,  $Tk$  is of class  $C^\infty$ . Let  $q^{(0)} \in \mathcal{M}$ . By hypothesis, when the robot is in  $q^{(0)}$ , the tasks defining the positions and orientation of the feet are not in singularity. Hence,  $\partial Tk / \partial q_{leg}(q_{waist}^{(0)}, q_{foot}^{(0)}, q_{leg}^{(0)})$  is invertible. The implicit function theorem can be applied and states that: there exist open sets  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{12}$  and  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^6$  and  $\phi : U \rightarrow V$  such that:

- $(q_{waist}^{(0)}, q_{foot}^{(0)}) \in U$  and  $q_{leg}^{(0)} \in V$ ,
- $\forall (q_{waist}, q_{foot}) \in U, Tk(q_{waist}, q_{foot}, \phi(q_{waist}, q_{foot})) = 0$ ,
- $\phi$  is of class  $C^\infty$ .

The continuity of  $\phi$  implies that for any given  $\epsilon$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U' \subset U$  of  $(q_{waist}^{(0)}, q_{foot}^{(0)})$  such that footsteps corresponding to configurations in  $U'$  generate leg configurations at distance less than  $\epsilon$  from  $q_{leg}^{(0)}$ .

3) *Global Proof*: We can now conclude the proof. Let  $q^{(0)}$  be a configuration in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  arbitrarily chosen. Let  $U'_l$  and  $U'_r$  be open balls of  $\mathbb{R}^6$  as defined above respectively for the left and right foot. Let  $V$  be the set of configurations  $q \in \mathcal{M}$  such that:

- the non-articular DoF values are in  $U'_l \cap U'_r$
- the non-articular DoF values are at distance at most  $\epsilon$  from  $q^{(0)}$ ,
- the upper-body DoF values of  $q$  are at distance at most  $\epsilon$  from  $q^{(0)}$ ,

$V$  contains a neighborhood of  $q^{(0)}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ . For any  $q \in V$ , the CoM and leg motions corresponding to a walk motion from  $q^{(0)}$  to  $q$  as described in appendix, with a linear interpolation of the upper-body DoFs, generate a whole-body motion at distance at most  $k \cdot \epsilon$  from  $q^{(0)}$  where  $k$  is a constant depending on the number of DoFs of the robot. This concludes the proof.

*Remark*: The control strategy presented in this proof may generate very long trajectories, because of the transient states at the beginning and end of the locomotion. In the actual implementation, we chose to generate CoM motions with a ZMP preview controller, as presented in [10]. We have observed experimentally that the amplitude of CoM trajectories decreases when the frequency of steps increases.

## V. ANIMATION OF THE STATICALLY STABLE PATH

The algorithm that animates a statically stable path into a dynamically stable walk trajectory has been inspired by the previous small-space controllability proof. Given a statically stable path  $p$ , we start by placing footsteps corresponding to the nominal walk pattern of the robot. Given the footsteps, we compute a ZMP trajectory, and a preview controller outputs a corresponding CoM trajectory. We use the implementation shown in [17] to solve a prioritized inverse kinematics problem. The stack of tasks applied to the robot is - in decreasing priority order:

- 1) Position and orientation of the moving foot,
- 2) Horizontal position of the CoM,
- 3) Height of the CoM,
- 4) Verticality of the waist,
- 5) Upper-body configuration task towards corresponding configuration of  $p$ .



Tasks (1) and (2) generate a dynamically stable motion by using the simplified cart-table model and the ZMP criterion. Tasks (3) and (4) ensure that the resulting motion is well described by the cart-table model. Task (5) is used to approximate  $p$  as well as possible given the walk parameters.

Because it comes at the lowest priority, task (5) is not necessarily fulfilled in the resulting trajectory. Hence, collisions may appear when animating  $p$ , if the resulting trajectory diverges too much from the initial sliding path. If so, it is necessary to approximate more closely  $p$  by a walk trajectory. To do so, we use the small-space controllability property of the system shown in the previous section. The way we use this property is inspired by similar results in non-holonomic mobile robot control presented in [18].

If the animated trajectory collides with the environment, we cut the initial path  $p$  into two sub-paths, that we try to animate recursively. When the paths to animate are too short for the robot nominal walk parameters, we accelerate the steps, and decrease the maximum height of the moving foot. As shown in previous section, the walk trajectory corresponding to smaller and faster steps converges toward the sliding path. Algorithm 1 shows pseudo-code that takes a sliding path  $p$  as input and returns a collision-free walk trajectory.

---

**Algorithm 1** FindDynamicTrajectory(Path  $p$ )

---

```

Footprints  $\leftarrow$  ComputeFootprints( $p$ )
StackOfTasks.initialize()
StackOfTasks.addFootprintTask(Footprints)
StackOfTasks.addWaistTask()
StackOfTasks.addConfigurationTask( $p$ )
DynamicTrajectory  $\leftarrow$  Animate(StackOfTasks)
if (CheckForCollisions(DynamicTrajectory) = Colliding)
then
    ( $p_1, p_2$ )  $\leftarrow$  CutInHalf( $p$ )
     $DT_1 \leftarrow$  FindDynamicTrajectory( $p_1$ )
     $DT_2 \leftarrow$  FindDynamicTrajectory( $p_2$ )
    return Concatenate( $DT_1, DT_2$ )
else
    return DynamicTrajectory
end if

```

---

## VI. EXPERIMENTS

The motion planning algorithms presented in this paper have been implemented using KineoWorks™ [19]. The planning times have been measured on an Intel Core 2 Duo 2.13 GHz PC with 2 GB of RAM. Evaluation of the randomized algorithm has been conducted by executing 50 trials on each problem, we present the average results.

### A. Passing between two chairs

The environment shown in Fig. 1 and 2 was presented in [20]. The authors solved it by using a bounding box method, leading the robot to walk sideways between the two chairs. Our method generated a locomotion trajectory in which the robot walks forward, which could be required if the robot has to

use vision during locomotion for example. The first planning stage required 29.6 s on average. The animation of the sliding path presented in Fig. 2 used 66.5 s of computation time.




Fig. 5. Horizontal trajectory of the robot waist during locomotion. When the robot is close to obstacles, the amplitude of the oscillations decreases.

Fig. 5 shows the horizontal trajectory of the robot CoM during locomotion. The amplitude of the oscillations decreases when passing between the chairs. This motion has been validated on a real HRP-2 platform.

### B. Cluttered environment




Fig. 6. Solution path for a cluttered environment, the robot walks among floating obstacles.

In the environment shown in Fig. 6, the robot has to find a way among floating obstacles. In this environment neither bounding box nor footstep planning strategies could find a collision-free walk trajectory. The first planning stage required 184.3 s on average, and the animation of the trajectory presented in Fig. 6 used 339.5 s of computation time. Fig. 7 shows the robot waist trajectory during locomotion.




Fig. 7. Horizontal trajectory of the robot waist during locomotion.

### C. Grasp Planning

The problem shown in Fig. 8 is defined as a grasping task. The final configuration is defined implicitly by a desired hand position. We generated automatically goal configurations solving the task by following the method proposed in [8]. Then, we applied our planner to generate a whole-body walk

motion that solved the grasping task. Computation time for the first planning phase was on average 83.0 s, and the animation of the trajectory presented on Fig. 8 used 90.1 s. Fig. 9 shows the robot waist trajectory during locomotion.




Fig. 8. Solution path for a grasp planning problem in an apartment. The goal is implicitly defined as an inverse kinematics task.




Fig. 9. Horizontal trajectory of the robot waist during locomotion.

## VII. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

In this paper, we have presented a new planning strategy for humanoid whole-body motion planning including locomotion. The algorithm is based on a formal small-space controllability property of humanoid robots. We have used our motion planner on different examples, and validated the generated motions on a real robot. Our method has some limitations that should be addressed in future work:

- Because of the kinematic constraints we apply at the planning stage, we are not able yet to plan motions where the robot steps over obstacles, while this is an important feature of humanoid robots,
- The fact that we use the simplified cart-table model forces us to keep the CoM of the robot at a constant height. A more complete model could allow us to plan other types of locomotions, for example to allow the robot to pass under obstacles. We will try to integrate the possibilities presented in [21].

## VIII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the French FUI Project ROMEO and the European Project ECHORD-231143. The authors would like to thank Olivier Stasse for his valuable help during experiments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Siciliano and J. Slotine, "A general framework for managing multiple tasks in highly redundant robotic systems," in *Advanced Robotics, 1991. Robots in Unstructured Environments, 91 ICAR., Fifth International Conference on*, 1991, pp. 1211–1216.
- [2] Y. Nakamura and H. Hanafusa, "Inverse kinematic solutions with singularity robustness for robot manipulator control," *ASME, Transactions, Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control*, vol. 108, pp. 163–171, 1986.
- [3] F. Kanehiro, F. Lamiroux, O. Kanoun, E. Yoshida, and J. Laumond, "A Local Collision Avoidance Method for Non-strictly Convex Polyhedra," in *2008 Robotics: Science and Systems Conference*, 2008.
- [4] O. Kanoun, J.-P. Laumond, and E. Yoshida, "Planning Foot Placements for a Humanoid Robot: A Problem of Inverse Kinematics," *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 2010.
- [5] L. Kavradi, P. Svestka, J. Latombe, and M. Overmars, "Probabilistic roadmaps for path planning in high-dimensional configuration spaces," *Robotics and Automation, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 566–580, 1996.
- [6] J. Kuffner and S. LaValle, "RRT-connect: An efficient approach to single-query path planning," in *Proc. IEEE Int'l Conf. on Robotics and Automation (ICRA'2000), San Francisco, CA, Apr. 2000*. [Online]. Available: [citeseer.ist.psu.edu/article/kuffner00rrtconnect.html](http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/article/kuffner00rrtconnect.html)
- [7] D. Berenson, S. S. Srinivasa, and J. Kuffner, "Task space regions: A framework for pose-constrained manipulation planning," *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 2011.
- [8] S. Dalibard, A. Nakhaei, F. Lamiroux, and J.-P. Laumond, "Whole-body task planning for a humanoid robot: a way to integrate collision avoidance," in *Humanoid Robots, 2009. Humanoids 2009. 9th IEEE-RAS International Conference on*, 7-10 2009, pp. 355–360.
- [9] M. Vukobratovic and D. Juricic, "Contribution to the synthesis of biped gait," *Biomedical Engineering, IEEE Transactions on*, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 1969.
- [10] S. Kajita, F. Kanehiro, K. Kaneko, K. Fujiwara, K. Harada, K. Yokoi, and H. Hirukawa, "Biped walking pattern generation by using preview control of zero-moment point," in *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 2. Citeseer, 2003, pp. 1620–1626.
- [11] J. Pettré, J. Laumond, and T. Siméon, "A 2-stages locomotion planner for digital actors," in *Proceedings of the 2003 ACM SIGGRAPH/Eurographics symposium on Computer animation*. Eurographics Association, 2003, p. 264.
- [12] E. Yoshida, I. Belousov, C. Esteves, and J.-P. Laumond, "Humanoid motion planning for dynamic tasks," in *Humanoid Robots, 2005 5th IEEE-RAS International Conference on*, 5-5 2005, pp. 1–6.
- [13] J. Chestnutt, M. Lau, G. Cheung, J. Kuffner, J. Hodgins, and T. Kanade, "Footstep planning for the Honda ASIMO humanoid," in *Robotics and Automation, 2005. ICRA 2005. Proceedings of the 2005 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, 2005, pp. 629–634.
- [14] J. Kuffner, K. Nishiwaki, S. Kagami, M. Inaba, and H. Inoue, "Motion planning for humanoid robots," *Robotics Research*, pp. 365–374, 2005.
- [15] N. Perrin, O. Stasse, F. Lamiroux, and E. Yoshida, "A biped walking pattern generator based on half-steps for dimensionality reduction," *Robotics and Automation, 2011. Proceedings. ICRA'11. IEEE International Conference on*, 2011.
- [16] J. Laumond, *Robot motion planning and control*. Springer, 1998.
- [17] E. Yoshida, O. Kanoun, C. Esteves, and J. Laumond, "Task-driven support polygon reshaping for humanoids," in *Humanoid Robots, 2006 6th IEEE-RAS International Conference on*, 2006, pp. 208–213.
- [18] J.-P. Laumond, P. Jacobs, M. Taix, and R. Murray, "A motion planner for nonholonomic mobile robots," *Robotics and Automation, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 577–593, oct 1994.
- [19] J. Laumond, "Kineo CAM: a success story of motion planning algorithms," *Robotics & Automation Magazine, IEEE*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 90–93, 2006.
- [20] A. El Khoury, M. Taix, and F. Lamiroux, "Path optimization for humanoid walk planning: an efficient approach," *Int. Conf. Informatics in Control, Automation and Robotics (ICINCO) 2011*, 2011.
- [21] F. Kanehiro, H. Hirukawa, K. Kaneko, S. Kajita, K. Fujiwara, K. Harada, and K. Yokoi, "Locomotion planning of humanoid robots to pass through narrow spaces," in *Robotics and Automation, 2004. Proceedings. ICRA'04. 2004 IEEE International Conference on*, vol. 1. IEEE, 2004, pp. 604–609.