

Validation of a food frequency questionnaire to measure intakes of inulin and oligofructose

Kevin Whelan, Sasha Dunn, Artika Datta, Sophia Kallis, Emma Law, Clio

Myers

► To cite this version:

Kevin Whelan, Sasha Dunn, Artika Datta, Sophia Kallis, Emma Law, et al.. Validation of a food frequency questionnaire to measure intakes of inulin and oligofructose. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 2010, 10.1038/ejcn.2010.272 . hal-00602290

HAL Id: hal-00602290 https://hal.science/hal-00602290

Submitted on 22 Jun 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 VALIDATION OF A FOOD FREQUENCY QUESTIONNAIRE TO MEASURE INTAKES OF

2 INULIN AND OLIGOFRUCTOSE

- 3
- 4 Sasha Dunn MSc, Artika Datta MSc, Sophia Kallis MSc, Emma Law MSc, Clio E Myers BSc
- 5 Kevin Whelan PhD
- 6 King's College London, Nutritional Sciences Division, London, UK
- 7
- 8 KW designed the study. AD, SK, EL, CEM recruited subjects. CEM, SD conducted all
- 9 dietary, nutrient and inulin intake analysis. KW, SD conducted all data analysis. All
- 10 authors contributed to data interpretation. KW, SD wrote the manuscript, which was
- 11 reviewed and approved by all authors.
- 12

13 **Corresponding author:**

- 14 Dr Kevin Whelan, Nutritional Sciences Division, King's College London, 150 Stamford
- 15 Street, London, SE1 9NH, United Kingdom
- 16 Tel:+ 44 20 78 48 38 58
- 17 Fax: + 44 20 78 48 41 85
- 18 E-mail: <u>kevin.whelan@kcl.ac.uk</u>
- 19
- 20 Running title
- 21 Inulin and oligofructose FFQ
- 22

23 Abbreviations

- 24 FD food diary; FFQ food frequency questionnaire; FCID Food Commodity Intake
- 25 Database; GI gastrointestinal; PCC Pearson's correlation co-efficient
- 26
- 27 This submission represents original work that has not been published previously and
- 28 is not currently being considered by another journal.
- 29
- 30

1 ABSTRACT

2

Background and Objectives: Inulin and oligofructose are prebiotic carbohydrates associated with numerous health benefits. The aim of this study was to accurately measure inulin and oligofructose intakes and to develop and validate a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ).

7

Subjects and Methods: A 7-d semi-weighed food diary (FD) was used to measure intakes in 66 healthy subjects. A 23-item FFQ was developed to measure short-term inulin and oligofructose intakes over the same seven days and was completed twice on two separate days.

12

13 **Results**: There were no significant differences in inulin intake $(4.0 \pm 1.3 \text{ vs } 4.0 \pm 1.4 \text{ ms})$ 14 g/d, P= 0.646) or oligofructose intake $(3.8 \pm 1.2 \text{ vs } 3.8 \pm 1.3 \text{ g/d}, P=0.864)$ when 15 measured using the 7-d FD or the FFQ. Bland-Altman analysis demonstrated low 16 mean differences between the FD and FFQ in measuring intakes of inulin (-0.09 g/d) 17 and oligofructose (-0.03 g/d). The FFQ categorised 89% of subjects into the same or 18 adjacent tertiles of intakes as the 7-d FD. For the majority of food items, kappa 19 values indicated 'substantial' or 'almost perfect' agreement for assignment of 20 'portion size' and 'frequency of consumption' between the FFQs completed on 21 separate days.

22

Conclusions: The FFQ is a valid and reliable method for measuring short-term inulin
 and oligofructose intakes for use in dietary surveys and clinical trials.

25

Keywords: Food frequency questionnaire; fructo-oligosaccharides; validity;
 reliability; inulin; oligofructose

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Inulin-type fructans are non-digestible carbohydrates consisting of D-fructose 3 monomers linked by $\beta 2 \rightarrow 1$ bonds to form linear oligomers and short polymers. 4 Although terminology varies, inulin can describe a variety of molecules covering the 5 full range of polymerisation, from 2-60, whereas oligofructose can refer to shorter 6 chain lengths ranging from 2-10 (Roberfroid, 2005).

7

8 Inulin and oligofructose are associated with numerous health benefits via their 9 prebiotic effects, defined as 'the selective stimulation of growth and/or activities of 10 one or a limited number of micro-organisms in the gut microbiota that confer health 11 benefits to the host' (Roberfroid et al., 2010). Supplements of inulin or oligofructose 12 increase gastrointestinal (GI) concentrations of bifidobacteria (Meyer & Stasse-13 Wolthuis, 2009), and they may positively influence appetite (Cani et al., 2009), 14 immune function (Lomax & Calder, 2009) and mineral absorption (Scholz-Ahrens et 15 al., 2007). Despite these effects, high intakes can induce GI symptoms, including mild 16 flatulence and borborygmi (Bruhwyler et al., 2009).

17

Given the health effects of inulin and oligofructose, measuring their intake from diet is of considerable importance, but is impeded by a lack of food composition data. Accurate data is available only for the major food commodity sources (Van Loo et al., 1995; Campbell et al., 1997), although recent data has reported the inulin content of selected Australian fruits and vegetables (Muir et al., 2007; Muir et al., 2009). However, there remains little data for composite foods.

24

25 Consequently, only two studies have ever quantified dietary inulin and oligofructose 26 intakes, both were from the 1990's and both used food composition data from Van 27 Loo et al., (1995). The first reported the average daily inulin intake to be between 1-4 28 g/d in the US and 3.2-11.3 g/d across Europe (Van Loo et al., 1995). Subsequently, 29 Moshfegh et al., (1999) used data from two 24-h recalls, and also included intakes 30 from composite foods by using standard recipes from the Food Commodity Intake 31 Database (FCID). Mean daily intakes of inulin and oligofructose were 2.6 g/d and 2.5 32 g/d, respectively. Intakes in the United Kingdom have not been measured.

2 The problems associated with accurate dietary assessment are well documented 3 (Bingham et al., 1994). Although weighed food diaries may be relatively accurate, 4 they require high subject motivation and lengthy data handling. Food frequency 5 questionnaires (FFQs) can provide an accurate, rapid and inexpensive method of 6 dietary assessment and are frequently used in epidemiological studies. FFQs have 7 been developed that measure intake of all nutrients (McKeown et al., 2001; Lassale 8 et al., 2009), specific nutrients (e.g. folate, Pufulete et al., 2002), food commodities 9 (e.g. fruit, vegetables, Petkeviciene et al., 2009) or dietary components (e.g. 10 quercetin, Ranka et al., 2008). However, in a number of settings, short-term dietary 11 assessment is required. Therefore, some FFQs have been validated to measure 12 intakes over the short-term (e.g. 7 days, Eck et al., 1996; Eck et al., 1991) and can 13 therefore be used for dietary assessment during clinical trials (Xinying et al., 2004).

14

1

15 An FFQ that measured short-term intakes of inulin and oligofructose would allow 16 between-group comparisons in dietary surveys, as well as the measurement of 17 background dietary intakes in inulin or oligofructose supplementation trials. The aim 18 of this study was therefore to accurately measure inulin and oligofructose intakes in 19 a group of healthy subjects and to develop and validate an FFQ to measure short-20 term intake.

21

22 METHODS

23 **Development of the FFQ**

Inclusion of items in the FFQ was determined following extensive review of the literature. The standard food composition data available at the time of FFQ development was used (Van Loo et al., 1995), and was consistent with that used in the two previous dietary surveys (Van Loo et al., 1995; Moshfegh et al., 1999). Subsequently published food composition data has not identified additional major dietary sources of inulin and oligofructose (Muir et al., 2007; Muir et al., 2009).

30

31 Based upon this food composition data, eight fruit and vegetables were included in 32 the FFQ (asparagus; banana; chicory root; garlic; globe artichoke; Jerusalem

1 artichoke; leeks; and onions). Chicory coffee was also included because where 2 consumed it would likely have a large impact on intakes. The cereals wheat, barley 3 and rye contain small amounts of inulin and oligofructose but are widely consumed. 4 Therefore, wheat-containing composite foods were eligible for inclusion in the FFQ 5 where the UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey indicated their mean intake to be 6 greater than 100 g/week or where they were consumed by more than 50% of the 7 sample population (Henderson et al., 2002). This resulted in the inclusion of breads, 8 breakfast cereals, pizza, pasta, noodles, bulgar wheat/cous-cous, cakes/muffins, 9 pastry products, puddings and biscuits. Composite food sources of rye (e.g. rye 10 breads) and barley (e.g. breakfast cereals, beer) were also included in the FFQ. Some 11 of the composite food items were split to allow for ethnic and portion size variations 12 (e.g. 'bread, sliced' and 'other breads'). This resulted in an FFQ that included 23 food 13 items (8 fruits/vegetables; 15 composite foods).

14

To maximise correct identification of each food item, all composite foods included suggestions of products (for example, 'Pastry products e.g. meat pie, cheese pasty, apple pie, Danish pastry'). A photograph flashcard was available for asparagus, globe artichoke, Jerusalem artichoke and chicory root for subjects who did not recognise the item's name.

20

The FFQ requested a typical portion size for each of the 23 food items (small, medium, large), which were described in household measures. The weight of each was taken from standard food portion size tables for the UK (Crawley, 1988). Where data was not available, the foods were purchased and the research team weighed an agreed portion size.

26

The FFQ then requested the frequency of consumption of each item during the previous 7-d. This period was chosen because the prebiotic effects and GI sideeffects of inulin and oligofructose result from current/recent intake, rather than historic intake, and because this would facilitate the measurement of background intakes in supplementation trials, which may be only 7-d in length, and is therefore Measuring intakes over the previous 7-d is therefore likely to be of greater clinical
 and research utility.

3

4 Measurement dietary intake

5 Healthy subjects were recruited through a circular email sent to all students and staff 6 at King's College London and through the snowballing technique. The inclusion 7 criteria were adults aged 18-50 years who identified themselves as healthy. Broad inclusion criteria were used in order to recruit a representative population in which 8 9 to validate the FFQ (Cade, 2001). Exclusion criteria were any acute or chronic 10 diseases (e.g. diabetes, GI disorders), antibiotic use within the last 3 months, regular 11 medication use (except the contraceptive pill), prebiotic or probiotic use within the 12 previous month or following a special/restrictive diet. This study was approved by 13 the King's College London Research Ethics Committee and written informed consent 14 was obtained from all subjects.

15

16 Subjects' height and weight were measured and their BMI calculated. Subjects were 17 asked to complete a 7-d semi-weighed food diary (FD), and were provided with a FD, 18 electronic weighing scales accurate to 1 g (Soehnle, Switzerland). They were 19 instructed to record the weight and a detailed description of all food/drinks 20 consumed. Where food weighing was not possible (e.g. restaurants) subjects were 21 asked to estimate consumption using the validated Photographic Atlas of Food 22 Portion Sizes (Nelson et al., 1997; Nelson et al., 1996). Subjects were asked to 23 consume their normal diet over the 7-d period. Detailed verbal and written 24 instructions were provided by nutritionists.

25

Data from the 7-d FD were entered into a computerised dietary analysis package (MicroDiet, v1.2, UK) to calculate nutrient intakes. Basal metabolic rate was estimated using the modified Schofield equations (DH, 1991). Finally, energy intake:basal metabolic rate (EI:BMR) was calculated (Black, 2000).

30

31 Measuring inulin and oligofructose intakes

Following completion of the 7-d FD, subjects were asked to complete the FFQ on day (FFQ1) and again on day 9 (FFQ2). As the FFQ requested information on food intake over the previous seven days, subjects were asked to complete them at the end of the 7-d FD period in order that intake was being measured during the same period by both methods.

6

7 Dietary analysis software does not currently contain information regarding inulin and 8 oligofructose content of foods. Therefore, intakes were calculated in a two-stage 9 process consistent with that used in a previous comprehensive dietary survey 10 (Moshfegh et al., 1999). Firstly, the quantity of all food and drinks from the 7-d FD 11 (e.g. banana, lasagne) was converted into the quantity of inulin and oligofructose-12 containing commodities (e.g. banana, wheat, onions, garlic) using the 2004 revised 13 FCID (DoA/EPA, US). These were then converted into consumption using the 14 midpoint value for inulin and oligofructose content of each food commodity 15 (Moshfegh et al., 1999). The average daily intake of inulin and oligofructose over the 16 7-d period was then calculated for each subject.

17

For the FFQ, the calculation of inulin and oligofructose consumption was automated through the development of a programmed spreadsheet (MS Excel, Microsoft, US). This converted portion size and frequency for the 23 food items into the quantity of inulin/oligofructose-food commodities consumed, and then into average daily inulin and oligofructose intakes, using the same data as above.

23

24 Statistical analysis

25 Mean (SD) inulin and oligofructose intakes were calculated. Concurrent validity was 26 assessed by comparing intakes from FFQ1 with that of the 7-d FD using paired t-tests 27 and Pearson's correlation coefficients (PCC). Bland-Altman plots were also generated 28 and the mean difference (FFQ1-FD) and limits of agreements (2 SD of the mean 29 difference) were interpreted (Bland & Altman, 1986). The n (%) of subjects classified 30 into the same or adjacent tertiles by the FD and FFQ1 was calculated, and 31 misclassification was reported as the n (%) categorised into opposite tertiles by the 32 two methods.

2 Intra-observer reliability was assessed by comparing intakes measured using FFQ1 3 and FFQ2, using paired t-tests and Pearson's correlation coefficients. The agreement 4 between categorical variables (portion size, frequency) on FFQ1 and FFQ2 were 5 calculated using percentage agreement and the kappa statistic. Each kappa statistic 6 was compared with recognised standards of agreement as follows: 'no agreement' 7 $(\kappa < 0)$; 'slight' $(\kappa = 0.21 - 0.40)$; 'fair' $(\kappa = 0.21 - 0.40)$; 'moderate' $(\kappa = 0.41 - 0.60)$; 8 'substantial' (κ=0.61-0.80); and 'almost perfect' (κ=0.81-1.00) (Landis & Koch, 1977). 9 All statistical analysis was conducted on SPSS (v17 SPSS Inc, Chicago, US) and a P 10 value of ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

11

12 **RESULTS**

In total, 66 healthy subjects (17 males, 49 females) were recruited, with a mean age
of 31 yrs 2 months (SD 7 y, 11 m) and the sample included eight current smokers and
nine vegetarians (Table 1). Fifteen had a BMI≥25kg/m², of which eleven were female.
Males had significantly higher energy and fat intakes than females. The group
EI:BMR was 1.47 (0.34).

18

19 Mean inulin and oligofructose intakes were 4.0 (1.3) g/d and 3.8 (1.2) g/d 20 respectively. Wheat was the largest contributor to both inulin (66.2% \pm 16.5) and 21 oligofructose (69.2% \pm 15.5) intakes, with onion (17.3% \pm 10.6 and 18.2% \pm 10.8), and 22 garlic (5.4% \pm 7.3 and 2.5% \pm 4.2) also making significant contributions.

23

24 Validity

There were no significant differences in inulin intake $(4.0 \pm 1.3 \text{ vs } 4.0 \pm 1.4 \text{ g/d}, P=$ 0.646) or oligofructose intake $(3.8 \pm 1.2 \text{ vs } 3.8 \pm 1.3 \text{ g/d}, P=0.864)$ when measured using the 7-d FD or the FFQ1, respectively (Table 2). There was a positive correlation between intakes from the 7-d FD and the FFQ1 for both inulin (PCC=0.406, P=0.001) and oligofructose (PCC=0.403, P=0.001).

30

Intakes were compared between the 7-d FD and the FFQ1 using Bland-Altman
 distributions. For inulin, the difference between the two methods was -0.09 (1.5) g/d

and the limits of agreement ranged from -3.1 to +2.9 g/d (Figure 1a). For oligofructose, the mean difference was -0.03 (1.4) g/d and the limits of agreement ranged from -2.9 to 2.8 g/d (Figure 1b). There was no pattern in the placement of outlying values, suggesting no systematic bias in measurement using the FFQ.

5

The FFQ categorised the majority of subjects into the same (50%) or adjacent (39%)
tertile of inulin intake as the 7-d FD. It also categorised the majority of subjects into
the same (44%) or adjacent (45%) tertile of oligofructose intake. Therefore only 11%
of subjects were misclassified into opposite tertiles.

10

11 Intra-rater reliability

There were no significant differences in inulin intake $(4.0 \pm 1.4 \text{ vs } 3.9 \pm 1.7 \text{ g/d}, P=$ 0.505) or oligofructose intake $(3.8 \pm 1.3 \text{ vs } 3.7 \pm 1.5 \text{ g/d}, P=0.419)$ between FFQ1 and FFQ2, respectively (Table 2). In addition, there were strong positive correlations between intakes from FFQ1 and FFQ2 for both inulin (PCC=0.759, P<0.001) and oligofructose (PCC=0.748, P<0.001).

17

Comparing FFQ1 and FFQ2, the agreement between subjects' 'usual portion size' ranged from 50% (Jerusalem artichoke) to 100% (beer, globe artichoke, chicory root, chicory coffee). Although it could not be calculated for all food items, the resulting κ values ranged from 0.39 to 1.00. Therefore, agreement was classified as 'almost perfect' (eight items), 'substantial' (nine items), 'moderate' (two items) or 'fair' (one item) (Landis & Koch, 1977; Table 3).

24

Comparing FFQ1 and FFQ2, the agreement between subjects' 'frequency of consumption' ranged from 62% (breads) to 100% (globe artichoke, chicory root, chicory coffee). It was rarely possible to calculate κ values, but where calculated, they ranged from 0.55 to 0.86, with agreement being classified as 'almost perfect' (one item), 'substantial' (three items) or 'moderate' (two items) (Table 3).

30

31 **DISCUSSION**

Inulin and oligofructose are prebiotic carbohydrates naturally present in the diet,
 and yet there are no convenient, yet accurate, methods of measuring dietary
 intakes.

4

5 The reason for measuring inulin and oligofructose intakes using a 7-d semi-weighed 6 FD was to provide a standard against which to compare the data from the FFQ. The 7 sample is largely female, young and potentially educated and health conscious, and 8 therefore is unrepresentative of the entire UK population. Despite these limitations, 9 the actual inulin and oligofructose intakes are interesting, intensively recorded, and 10 the first reported in a UK population. Intakes of inulin (4.0 g/d) were generally higher 11 than in the US (2.6 g/d, Moshfegh et al., 1999; 1-4 g/d, Van Loo et al., 1995), but 12 were at the lower end of the range of intakes across Europe (3.2-11.3 g/d, Van Loo et 13 al., 1995).

14

15 These differences may relate to real differences in dietary intake between the 16 populations reported. For example, artichokes are concentrated sources of inulin but 17 contributed only 1.2% of inulin intake, compared with 6.3-7.1% in the European 18 sample (Van Loo et al., 1995), potentially reflecting higher intakes of such items 19 among non-UK Europeans. Although it contains relatively small quantities, wheat 20 was the largest contributor to inulin and oligofructose intake, supporting previous 21 findings (Van Loo et al., 1995; Moshfegh et al., 1999), likely due to its frequent 22 consumption in the Western diet.

23

Differences in the findings between studies may also relate to differences in dietary assessment used, with the most comprehensive being the 7-d FD used here. However, the high recording burden meant that our sample population was inevitably much smaller, may have resulted in self-selection of healthier subjects and may have resulted in subjects altering their food intake (Vuckovic et al., 2000). These issues support the development of an FFQ that measures inulin and oligofructose intake.

31

1 It was not possible to use biomarkers to measure concurrent validity in the current 2 study due to the absence of such a marker of inulin and oligofructose intake. It was 3 therefore necessary to validate the FFQ against a standard dietary assessment 4 method. The FFQ demonstrated good validity, with population intakes almost 5 identical to the FD and correlations between the two methods similar to those 6 reported in other validation studies (Cade et al., 2004). The Bland-Altman analysis 7 demonstrated very low mean differences between the FD and FFQ for measuring 8 inulin (-0.09 g/d) and oligofructose (-0.03 g/d) intakes within a population, but with 9 relatively large limits of agreement, its assessment of intakes in individuals may be 10 subject to error. However, when ranking into tertiles, only 11% of subjects were 11 misclassified, which is similar to values obtained in other validation studies 12 (Petkeviciene et al., 2009; Ambrosini et al., 2009).

13

The reliability of the FFQ would also appear to be good, with no differences in inulin and oligofructose intakes when measured on different days (FFQ1, FFQ2) and strong correlations between the two. Agreement between FFQ1 and FFQ2 for both 'portion size' and 'frequency' indicates that the FFQ is highly reproducible for measuring inulin and oligofructose intake.

19

In order that all dietary assessment related to a similar time period, FFQ1 and FFQ2 were completed one day apart, and followed completion of a 7-d FD. This could result in elevated measured validity due to improved recall of intake following the 7d FD and elevated reliability due to memory of the information recorded on FFQ1 (Cade et al., 2004). However, these aspects of design were to ensure dietary assessment over similar periods, and are a necessary limitation of other validation studies of FFQs that measure short-term intake (Eck et al., 1996; Eck et al., 1991).

27

A number of issues must be considered when undertaking dietary assessment using any FFQ, and these also created methodological dilemmas in the current study. Some subjects omitted information (i.e. portion size, frequency), and such omissions were coded as zero, as it has been shown that this is most frequently the actual intake (Michels & Willett, 2009). Interestingly, in the current study, one subject

1 recorded consumption of 42 medium slices of bread on FFQ1, but failed to complete 2 the portion size on FFQ2, resulting in a large loss of information. Therefore, omitted 3 information should be minimised wherever possible. Given the natural variation in 4 inulin and oligofructose content of foods (Van Loo et al., 1995), another limitation is 5 the use of the midpoint value, and is a problem in all studies that rely on such food 6 composition data. Furthermore, the FFQ measures the intake of inulin and 7 oligofructose that is naturally occurring in foods. Due to their potential health 8 benefits, inulin and oligofructose are now being added as functional ingredients in 9 food products such as yoghurts and breakfast cereals. The availability, formulation 10 and use of such food products varies widely between different countries (Franck, 11 2002). Therefore, those wishing to use the FFQ should first identify the availability of 12 such fortified food products and record their intake alongside the FFQ in order to 13 ensure accurate assessment of inulin and oligofructose intakes.

14

15 Although 7-d weighed FD may be the most accurate dietary assessment method, 16 they may change actual dietary intake and are impractical in many clinical trial 17 settings. The 24-h dietary recall requires trained investigators and may not collect 18 data that sufficiently reflects actual dietary intake. Although FFQs were not original 19 designed to do so, they may facilitate short-term dietary assessment, as long as they are stringently validated. Therefore, despite the limitations discussed, we conclude 20 21 that the FFQ developed here is a rapid, valid and reliable method for measuring 22 inulin and oligofructose intakes. It will facilitate short-term dietary surveys and will 23 be particularly important for the measurement of dietary inulin and oligofructose 24 intakes in supplementation trials.

25

26 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the US Department of Agriculture and the US Environmental Protection Agency for the use of the Revised FCID. Valuable advice regarding the inulin-type fructans was provided by Prof Marcel Roberfroid (Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium). The authors wish to thank all subjects for participating in this study.

1 CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

- 2 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public,
- 3 commercial or not-for-profit sectors. There are no conflicts of interest.

4

1 **REFERENCES**

Ambrosini GL, de Klerk NH, O'Sullivan TA, Beilin LJ, Oddy WH (2009). The reliability of
a food frequency questionnaire for use among adolescents. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 63: 12519.

Bingham SA, Gill C, Welch A, Day K, Cassidy A, Khaw KT et al., (1994). Comparison of
dietary assessment methods in nutritional epidemiology: weighed records v. 24 h
recalls, food-frequency questionnaires and estimated-diet records. *Brit J Nutr.* 72:
619-643.

9 Black AE (2000). Critical evaluation of energy intake using the Goldberg cut-off for
10 energy intake:basal metabolic rate. A practical guide to its calculation, use and
11 limitations. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 24: 1119-30.

Bland MJ & Altman DG (1986). Statistical methods for assessing agreement between
two methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet* i: 307-310.

Bruhwyler J, Carreer F, Demanet E, Jacobs H (2009). Digestive tolerance of inulintype fructans: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over, dose-ranging, randomized study in healthy volunteers. *Int J Food Sci Nutr.* **60**; 165-175.

17 Cade JE, Burley VJ, Warm DL, Thompson RL, Margetts BM (2004). Food-frequency
18 questionnaires: a review of their design, validation and utilisation. *Nutr Res Rev.* 17:
19 5-22.

20 Cade J, Thompson R, Burley V, Warm D (2001). Development, validation and 21 utilisation of food frequency questionnaires-a review. *Public Health Nutr.* **5:** 567-587.

Campbell JM, Bauer LL, Fahey GC et al., (1997) Selected fructo-oligosaccharide (1 kestose, nystose, and fructofuranosylnystose) composition of foods and feeds. J
 Agric Food Chem. 45:3076-3082.

Cani PD, Lecourt E, Dewulf EM, Sohet FM, Pachikian BD, Naslain D et al., (2009). Gut
 microbiota fermentation of prebiotics increases satietogenic and incretin gut peptide

- 1 production with consequences for appetite sensation and glucose response after a
- 2 meal. Am J Clin Nutr. **90**: 1236-43.
- 3 Crawley H (1988) Food Portion Sizes. Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, Her
- 4 Majesty's Stationery Office: London.
- 5 Department of Health, 1991. Dietary reference values for food energy and nutrients6 for the United Kingdom. HMSO:London.
- Eck LH, Klesges LM, Klesges RC (1996). Precision and estimated accuracy of two
 short-term food frequency questionnaires compared with recalls and records. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 49:1195-200.
- Eck LH, Klesges RC, Hanson CL, Slawson D, Portis L, Lavasque ME (1991). Measuring
 short-term dietary intake: development and testing of a 1-week food frequency
 questionnaire. J Am Diet Assoc. 91:940-5.
- Franck A (2002). Technological functionality of inulin and oligofructose. *Br J Nutr*. 87S2: S287-91.
- Henderson L, Gregory J, Swan G. (2002). National Diet and Nutrition Survey: adultsaged 19-64. Vol 1. HMSO: Norwich.
- Landis JR & Koch GG. (1977). The measurement of observer agreement forcategorical data. *Biometrics* 33:159-174.
- Lassale C, Guilbert C, Keogh J, Syrette J, Lange K, Cox DN (2009). Estimating food
 intakes in Australia: validation of the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial
 Research Organisation (CSIRO) food frequency questionnaire against weighed dietary
 intakes. J Hum Nutr Diet. 22: 559-566.
- Lomax AR & Calder PC (2009). Prebiotics, immune function, infection and
 inflammation: a review of the evidence. *Br J Nutr.* **101** :633-58.
- McKeown NM, Day NE, Welch AA, Runswick SA, Luben RN, Mulligan AA *et al.*, (2001).
 Use of biological markers to validate self-reported dietary intake in a random sample

of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer, United Kingdom, Norfolk
 cohort. *Am J Clin Nutr.* **74**: 188-196.

Meyer D, Stasse-Wolthuis M (2009). The bifidogenic effect of inulin and oligofructose
and its consequences for gut health. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 63: 1277-89.

5 Michels KB & Willett WC (2009). Self-administered semi-quantitative food frequency
6 questionnaires: patterns, predictors, and interpretation of omitted items.
7 Epidemiology. 20: 295-301.

8 Moshfegh AJ, Friday JE, Goldman JP, Ahuja JK (1999). Presence of inulin and 9 oligofructose in the diets of Americans. *J Nutr.* **129:** 1407-1411.

Muir JG, Rose R, Rosella O, Liels K, Barrett JS, Shepherd SJ, Gibson PR (2009).
Measurement of short-chain carbohydrates in common Australian vegetables and
fruits by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). *J Agric Food Chem.* 57:
554-65.

Muir JG, Shepherd SJ, Rosella O, Rose R, Barrett JS, Gibson PR (2007). Fructan and
free fructose content of common Australian vegetables and fruit. *J Agric Food Chem*.
55: 6619-27.

Nelson M, Atkinson M, Darbyshire S (1996). Food photography II: use of food
photographs for estimating portion size and the nutrient content of meals. *Br J Nutr*. **76:** 31-49.

20 Nelson M, Atkinson M, Meyer J. Food portion sizes: a photographic atlas. 1997:
21 MAFF; London.

Petkeviciene J, Similä M, Becker W, Kriaucioniene V, Valsta LM (2009). Validity and
reproducibility of the NORBAGREEN food frequency questionnaire. *Eur J Clin Nutr*.
63: 141-9.

Pufulete M, Emery PW, Nelson M, Sanders TA (2002). Validation of a short food
frequency questionnaire to assess folate intake. *Br J Nutr.* 87: 383-90.

1 Ranka S, Gee JM, Biro L, Brett G, Saha S, Kroon P et al., (2008). Development of a

2 food frequency questionnaire for the assessment of quercetin and naringenin intake.

3 Eur J Clin Nutr. **62**: 1131-8.

4 Roberfroid M, Gibson GR, Hoyles L, McCartney AL, Rastall R, Rowland I et al., (2010)

5 Prebiotic effects: metabolic and health benefits. *Brit J Nutr* (in press).

6 Roberfroid MB. (2005) Introducing inulin-type fructans. *Br J Nutr.* **93** S1: S13-25.

Scholz-Ahrens KE, Ade P, Marten B, Weber P, Timm W, Açil Y et al., (2007).
Prebiotics, probiotics, and synbiotics affect mineral absorption, bone mineral
content, and bone structure. *J Nutr.* 137(S2): 838S-46S.

van Loo J, Coussement P, de Leenheer L, Hoebregs H, Smits G (1995). On the
presence of inulin and oligofructose as natural ingredients in the western diet. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* 35: 525-552.

13 Vuckovic N, Ritenbaugh C, Taren DL, Tobar M (2000). A qualitative study of
 14 participants' experiences with dietary assessment. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 100: 1023-8.

Xinying PX, Noakes M, Keogh J (2004). Can a food frequency questionnaire be used
to capture dietary intake data in a 4 week clinical intervention trial? *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr.* 13: 318-23.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of 66 healthy subjects

~
• •
_

Mean (SD)	Males	Females	P value	Total	
	(n=17)	(n=49)	(t-test)	(n=66)	
Age (y, m)	32y, 10m (7, 11)	30y, 7m (7, 5)	0.305	31y, 2m (7, 6)	
BMI (Kg/m ²)	24.1 (3.8)	22.9 (2.9)	0.156	23.2 (3.1)	
Nutrient intake					
Energy (kcal/d)	2406 (413)	2019 (458)	0.003	2119 (476)	
Protein (g/d)	95.3 (17.7)	80.2 (46.0)	0.194	84.1 (41.1)	
Fat (g/d)	90.7 (19.0)	76.7 (18.7)	0.010	80.3 (19.6)	
Carbohydrate (g/d)	283.0 (68.9)	248.7 (60.8)	0.057	257.5 (64.2)	
NSP (Englyst, g/d)	10.4 (7.0)	12.9 (7.5)	0.234	12.3 (7.4)	

3 Nutrient intake was measured using a 7-d semi-weighed FD

- **TABLE 2** Comparison of mean (SD) inulin and oligofructose intakes measured using
- 2 the 7-d semi-weighed food diary (7-d FD), FFQ1 and FFQ2.

	Intake measured using different			P value		
	n	nethods (g/c	3)			
n=66	7-d FD	FFQ1	FFQ2	7-d FD vs FFQ1	FFQ1 vs FFQ2	
Inulin	4.0 (1.3)	4.0 (1.4)	3.9 (1.7)	0.646	0.505	
Oligofructose	3.8 (1.2)	3.8 (1.3)	3.7 (1.5)	0.864	0.419	

1 **TABLE 3** Agreement (n, %) and kappa values for the categorical assignment of 'usual

	Portion size*	Frequency (N=66)		
Food item	Agreement, n/N (%)	К	Agreement, n (%)	к
Breads (loaf)	56/57 (98.3)	0.94	41 (62.1)	-
Other breads	41/45 (91.1)	0.81	47 (71.2)	-
Rye breads and crispbreads	17/22 (85.0)	0.77	57 (86.4)	-
Wheat cereals	31/38 (81.6)	0.64	45 (68.2)	-
Pizza	24/28 (85.7)	0.78	65 (98.5)	-
Pasta	45/50 (90.0)	0.75	43 (65.2)	-
Noodles	23/25 (92.0)	0.80	59 (89.4)	-
Bulgar wheat, couscous	10/14 (71.4)	0.39	60 (90.9)	-
Cakes, muffins, doughnuts	36/42 (85.7)	0.75	49 (74.2)	-
Pastry products	25/32 (78.1)	0.58	52 (78.8)	-
Flour-based puddings	8/12 (66.7)	-	63 (95.5)	0.75
Biscuits	29/33 (87.9)	0.80	47 (71.2)	-
Biscuit-based products	18/19 (94.7)	0.87	53 (80.3)	0.55
Beer	26/26 (100)	1.00	55 (83.3)	0.86
Onion	47/57 (82.5)	0.71	44 (66.7)	0.58
Banana	39/43 (90.7)	0.56	46 (69.7)	0.62
Asparagus	15/16 (93.8)	0.90	65 (98.5)	-
Garlic	40/46 (87.0)	0.72	48 (72.7)	0.67
Jerusalem artichoke	1/2 (50.0)	-	66 (100)	-
Globe artichoke	5/5 (100)	1.00	65 (98.5)	-
Leeks	20/22 (90.9)	0.81	62 (93.9)	-
Chicory root	2/2 (100)	-	66 (100)	-
Specialist chicory coffee	2/2 (100)	1.00	66 (100)	-

2 portion size' and 'frequency of consumption' between FFQ1 and FFQ2.

*Where foods were not consumed by all 66 subjects, a 'usual portion size' was not
recorded and therefore the total number of responses (N) was less than 66.
However, for 'frequency of consumption' missing values were assumed 'zero' and
therefore the total number of responses (N) was always 66.

- 1κ values could not be calculated as they require a symmetric 2-way table in which
- 2 the values of the first variable match the values of the second.

3

1 FIGURE LEGENDS

2

Figure 1a Bland-Altman plot of inulin intakes measured using a 7-d semi-weighed FD
and FFQ1 (n=66). The mean difference (FFQ1-FD, solid line) is -0.09 g/d and the
Bland-Altman limits of agreement (2 SD of the mean difference, dashed lines) are 3.1 to 2.9 g/d.

Figure 1b Bland-Altman plot of oligofructose intakes measured using a 7-d semiweighed FD and FFQ1 (n=66). The mean difference (FFQ1-FD, solid line) is -0.03 g/d
and the Bland-Altman limits of agreement (2 SD of the mean difference, dashed
lines) are -2.9 to 2.8 g/d.









