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#### Abstract

The structures of a series of deca-phenyl metallocenes $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{M}$, which model superbulky metallocenes, are calculated by means of density functional theory including a semi-empirical correction for dispersion interactions (DFT+D). Through a detailed investigation of the calcocene it is shown that the interactions between the phenyl substituents of the two cyclopentadienyl ligands lead to a preference of $S_{10}$-symmetrical structures and that dispersion interactions contribute to the overall stability of superbulky metallocenes.


> While the Ph substituents of the two ligands bend away from each other with standard DFT, inclusion of the dispersion correction reproduces the experimentally observed slight inclination towards each other. The experimentally observed linear correlation between the out-of-plane bending angle of the phenyl substituents and the size of the metal atom $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Yb}$, $\mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Sr}, \mathrm{Ba}$ ) is also confirmed at the $\mathrm{DFT}+\mathrm{D}$ level.

[^0]
## Introduction

Although the superbulky cyclopentadienyl ligand $\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}$ is synthetically readily accessible, its use in organometallic chemistry is very limited. ${ }^{[1]}$ This is largely due to solubility issues. Considerable size and high rigidity and symmetry render its compounds nearly insoluble in any non-reactive organic solvent. Solubility problems can be circumvented by converting the Ph substituents into less rigid groups. $\left.{ }^{[2,3}{ }^{3}\right]$ Metallocenes with the ligand ( $\left.4-n \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cp}$, which on account of its size has been abbreviated as $\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BIG}}$, were found to be well soluble even in apolar solvents like hexane. ${ }^{[3]}$
Although metallocenes with bulky Cp ligands generally show strong ligand-ligand repulsion, complexes $\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\text {BIG }}\right)_{2} \mathrm{M}$ were found to be extraordinarily stable. Attempts to prepare the half-sandwich complex $\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BIG}} \mathrm{CaCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ led to ligand exchange and formation of the homoleptic species $\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BIG}}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$ and $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$ (Scheme 1). ${ }^{[3]}$ More remarkable is the lanthanide chemistry of this ligand: reaction of the Sm (III) complex (DMA) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{Sm}\left(\mathrm{DMA}=2-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right.$-benzyl) with $\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BIG}} \mathrm{H}$ did not give the expected half-sandwich compound $\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BIG}} \mathrm{Sm}(\mathrm{DMA})_{2}$ but instead the metallocene $\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BIG}}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}$ was isolated. ${ }^{[3]}$ As the $\mathrm{Sm}(\mathrm{II})$ complex $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Sm}$ is even oxidized by molecular nitrogen, ${ }^{[4]}$ the spontaneous reduction of a $\operatorname{Sm}$ (III) precursor to a $\operatorname{Sm}$ (II) metallocene is highly unexpected. Although these processes might be in line with sterically induced reduction (SIR), a concept recently introduced by Evans, ${ }^{[5]}$ the unanticipated high stability of metallocenes with the $\mathrm{Cp}^{\text {BIG }}$ ligand could also play a major role. Although one might expect repulsion between the superbulky $\mathrm{Cp}^{\text {BIG }}$ ligands, there is considerable evidence for attractive $\mathrm{Ar}_{5} \mathrm{Cp} \cdots \mathrm{CpAr}_{5}$ interactions.

## Please insert Scheme 1

Scheme 1. Conversion of $\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BIG}} \mathrm{H}$ into half-sandwich complexes resulted in formation of the metallocene complexes.
(i) The metal-carbon bond distances in metallocenes with the $\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BIG}}$ ligand are shorter than expected. E.g. the $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distances in $\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BIG}}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ba}$ (average $\left.2.929(2) \AA\right)^{[6]}$ are significantly shorter than those in $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Ba}$ (average 2.99 (1) $\AA)^{[7]}$ or in $\left(i \operatorname{Pr}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ba}$ (average 2.997(4) $\AA$ ). ${ }^{[8]}$ Deacon et al reported a similar contraction phenomena for $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Yb}$, which also shows short Cp-metal bonds. ${ }^{[9]}$
(ii) As we discussed earlier, ${ }^{[3,6]}$ the aryl substituents in the $\mathrm{Cp}^{\text {BIG }}$ ligands are bent out of the Cp -plane (Figure 1). For smaller metals, substituents bend away from the metal and each other, defined in here with a positive bending angle. For larger metals, bending towards each other is observed, i.e. negative bending angles. In fact there is a linear correlation between the Cp-metal distance and the out-ofplane bending angle (vide infra). The aryl substituents in $\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{BIG}}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ba}$ bend towards each other with an average angle of $-5.3(2){ }^{\circ}$. This contrasts sharply with generally observed repulsive interactions: e.g. the $i \operatorname{Pr}$ groups in $\left(i \operatorname{Pr}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ba}$ bend away from each other with an average bending angle of $+5.1(2)^{\circ}$. ${ }^{[8]}$

## Please insert Figure 1

Figure 1. Out-of-plane bending of the aryl groups in $\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BIG}}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ba}$; only the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}$ atoms of the substituents are shown.

## Please insert Figure 2

Figure 2. a) The two possible isomers for a deca-aryl metallocene complex. b) The merry-go-round $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{C}(\pi)$ hydrogen bond network exemplary shown for $\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{BIG}}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$ (partial structure).
(iii) Without exception, all deca-arylmetallocene complexes crystallize with approximate $S_{10}$-symmetry. ${ }^{[6]}$ The penta-aryl Cp ligand can be regarded as a five-blade chiral propeller. Combination of propellers of equal chirality gives a $D_{5}$-symmetric metallocene whereas opposite chiralities result in a $S_{10}$-symmetric structure (Figure 2a). Both isomers differ by the mutual orientation of the phenyl substituents on different ligands: the $S_{10}$-symmetric metallocene features perpendicularly interacting phenyl rings whereas the $D_{5}$-isomer shows parallel interacting rings.
We explained the high stability of the $S_{10}$-symmetric metallocenes with an extended network of interligand C $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{C}(\pi)$ interactions (Figure 2b). ${ }^{[3]}$ Especially for small metals, these interactions can be quite short: in $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}^{[10]}$ an average $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ distance of $2.41 \AA$ has been derived. ${ }^{[11]}$ i.e. a $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ contact much shorter than the sum of the van der waals radii for C and $\mathrm{H}(2.90 \AA)$. Also for metallocenes with larger metals short C-H $\cdots \mathrm{C}(\pi)$ interactions have been detected. ${ }^{[3,}{ }^{6]}$ These explain the unusually negative out-of-plane bending angles discussed in (ii).

In order to understand and quantify the unusual stability of deca-aryl metallocenes we here describe the results of a systematic theoretical investigation.
The objectives of this calculational study were the following: (i) Is it possible to reproduce the solid state structure of deca-aryl metallocenes by DFT-methods? (ii) What is the effect of the aryl substituents on structure and the overall energy of formation? (iii) What is the origin of $\mathrm{Ar}_{5} \mathrm{Cp} \cdots \mathrm{CpAr}_{5}$ attraction? (iv) Can we reproduce the linear relationship between out-of-plane bending of the aryl substituents and the Cp-metal distance, i.e. metal size?

## Calculation methods

All calculations have been performed with density functional theory (DFT) methods. The PBE model ${ }^{[12]}$ has been employed for the exchange-correlation (xc) functional. Since long-range dispersion interactions cannot be accounted for by a purely gradient-corrected functional such as PBE, the level of calculations has been raised to DFT +D through the semi-empirical dispersion correction proposed by Grimme. ${ }^{[13]}$ Dispersion interactions between the phenyl substituents of the upper and lower $\mathrm{Cp}^{\text {BIG }}$ ligands were expected to play a crucial role for association energy and molecular structure. On the other hand, the butyl substituents of the benzene rings are not expected to be of importance, they were replaced by hydrogen atoms. All calculations of the resulting $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{M}$ systems with $\mathrm{M}=$ $\mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Sr}, \mathrm{W}$, and Yb have been carried out with the Turbomole ${ }^{[14,15]}$ quantum chemistry program package, using an orbital basis set of triple-zeta valence quality denoted as def-TZVP, an appropriate auxiliary basis set to represent Coulomb integrals in the resolution-of-the-identity (RI) approximation and effective core potentials which account for scalar relativistic effects of the heavier metal atoms ( $\mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Sr}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{Yb}$ ). ${ }^{[16]}$ If not mentioned otherwise, default values were used for energy and gradient convergence thresholds and the size of
numerical integration grids and. Geometry optimizations of closed-shell $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{M}$ species have been carried out within the symmetry restrictions of the $S_{10}$ point group using restricted Kohn-Sham orbitals, that of open-shell cases ${ }^{[17]}$ within its Abelian subgroup $C_{i}$ using unrestricted orbitals. Partial charges have been taken from natural population analysis (NPA). ${ }^{[18]}$

## Results and Discussion

The calcocene $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$ has been taken as model system for closer investigation. In order to evaluate the influence of Ph susbstituents on the structure, first the simple calcocene $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$ has been optimized. This compound is a coordination polymer with a bent structure in the solid state. ${ }^{[19]}$ In agreement with previous studies we find that its calculated gas phase minimum shows a linear arrangement of Ca and the centers of the two Cp rings. ${ }^{[20]}$ Its staggered $\left(D_{5 \mathrm{~h}}\right)$ and the eclipsed ( $D_{5 \mathrm{~d}}$ ) form are practically isoenergetic: using tight convergence thresholds and a refined integration their energy difference is smaller than $0.01 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ with both approaches, DFT and DFT+D. Furthermore, the $\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distances in the staggered and eclipsed forms agree within $10^{-4} \AA$. According to calculations of the vibrational frequencies with analytical second energy derivatives both structures are minima, with lowest vibration frequencies of $21\left(D_{5 \mathrm{~h}}\right)$ and $32\left(D_{5 \mathrm{~d}}\right)$ wavenumbers, respectively, at the $\mathrm{PBE}+\mathrm{D}$ level. These findings agree with corresponding observations for $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mg}{ }^{[206]}$ Table 1 shows selected geometric parameters and energies of calcocene formation, $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}$, from the free ions $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}^{-}$, with optimized structures for the latter. Note that the dissociation energies of Ref. [20b] refer to dissociation into neutral compounds. Surprisingly, inclusion of the dispersion correction (DFT+D) results in slight lengthening of the $\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{Cp}_{\mathrm{c}}$ distance, i.e.,the distance between Ca and the center of the Cp ring, by $0.013 \AA$. Despite this elongation, the magnitude of $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}$ increases by $22.2 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ ( $1 \%$ ). This apparent contradiction is likely to be a minor artefact of the damping scheme introduced by Grimme to avoid double counting of electron correlation effects: the additive dispersion correction including the damping function for a $\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{C}$ pair of atoms starts to become smaller for distances below 3 A , thus creating a small repulsive force. Obviously, this effect is much smaller for relatively short bond distances such as the C-C distance, where an elongation of only $0.001 \AA$ is observed ( $c f$. Table 1). Note also that in both forms $\left(D_{5 \mathrm{~h}}\right.$ and $D_{5 d}$ ) of the complex the H atoms bend away from Ca by $2.1^{\circ}$ with DFT and by $1.9^{\circ}$ with DFT + D, respectively, probably for the same Coulombic reasons as in $\mathrm{CpLi} .{ }^{[21]}$

| Method | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{Cp}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DFT | -2098.3 | 2.345 | 2.639 | 1.422 |
| DFT+D | -2120.5 | 2.358 | 2.651 | 1.423 |

Table 1. Energies of formation from the ions and selected geometric parameters for $\mathrm{CaCp}_{2}$ from calculations with tight convergence thresholds and refined integration grid. Energies in $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$, distances in $\AA$. Data for eclipsed and staggered structures agree within all digits shown.

The model system $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$ was optimized within the symmetry restraints $S_{10}$ or $D_{5}$. These correspond to the isomers shown in Figure 2a. Table 2 shows formation energies and selected geometric parameters obtained with

DFT and DFT +D and, for comparison, selected geometric parameters for the crystal structure of $\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\text {BIG }}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$. The DFToptimized $S_{10}$ calcocene shows somewhat longer $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{Ca}$ bonds than the crystal structure. Whereas in $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$ inclusion of dispersion correction led to elongation of $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{Ca}$ bonds, for $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$ a contraction by $0.015 \AA$ is observed. The DFT+D-optimized structure is in close agreement with the crystal structure. The $D_{5}$ calcocene shows somewhat longer $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{Ca}$ distances but also this complex is contracted when the dispersion correction is included. As both calcocenes ( $S_{10}$ and $D_{5}$ ) show shortening of $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{Ca}$ distances upon inclusion of dispersion correction, their structures must be influenced by attractive $\mathrm{Ph} \cdots \mathrm{Ph}$ interactions.
The $S_{10}$-metallocene shows the typical perpendicular edge-to-face stacking, as found in the fish-bone structure of solid benzene. ${ }^{[22]}$ The merry-go-round network, consisting of ten non-classical $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ hydrogen bonds, can be considered as a circular form of this fish-bone structure (Figure 2b). It should be noticed that the C-H $\cdots \mathrm{C}$ bond distances in the crystal structure (average value: $2.58 \AA$ ) are reproduced well by the DFT+D model ( $2.53 \AA$ ). Calculations without dispersion correction gave much longer contacts $(2.82 \AA)$. On the other hand, the $D_{5^{-}}$ metallocene displays face-to-face stacking of phenyl substituents, a type of interaction that plays an important role in molecular recognition. ${ }^{[23]}$ Apart from the face-to-face stacking interactions within the propeller-like $\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}$ ligand, there are five such interactions between the two ligands. The energies of formation are clearly in favour of the $S_{10^{-}}$ metallocene ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}=41.1 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ with $\mathrm{DFT}+\mathrm{D}$ ) and explain why deca-aryl metallocenes preferably crystallize as centrosymmetric complexes with approximate $S_{10^{-}}$ symmetry.
The preference for the $S_{10}$-geometry must be related to the difference and number of $\mathrm{Ph} \cdots \mathrm{Ph}$ interactions . According to very high level $a b$ initio calulations (displaced) face-to-face and edge-to-face structures of the fully relaxed benzene dimer are very nearly isoenergetic (interaction energies of 11.2 and $-11.1 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the edge-to-face and face-to-face structures, respectively). ${ }^{[24]}$ In order to quantify the two different forms of stacking within the metallocenes, we calculated the interaction energies of two benzene molecules with the exact mutual orientations as found in the $S_{10}$ and $D_{5}$ structures optimized at the DFT+D level. Without inclusion of the dispersion correction all $\mathrm{Ph} \cdots \mathrm{Ph}$ interactions were found to be endothermic. With DFT+D the strongly distorted face-to-face interactions within a single $\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}$ ligand were found to be slightly exothermic $(-0.67 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ for a pair of Ph substituents in the $S_{10}$-structure and -0.16 $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ in the $D_{5}$-structure). The edge-to-face interactions between two Ph groups of the upper and lower $\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}$ ligands of the $S_{10}$-structure were found to be $-8.72 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$, the corresponding face-to-face interactions in the $D_{5^{-}}$ structure $-8.70 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. The sum of the ten inter-ligand and ten intra-ligand $\mathrm{Ph} \cdots \mathrm{Ph}$ interactions gives a total interaction energy of $-93.9 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the $S_{10^{-}}$-structure. In the $D_{5^{-}}$ structure the number of inter-ligand $\mathrm{Ph} \cdots \mathrm{Ph}$ interactions is reduced to five. The total interaction energy for all inter- and intra-ligand $\mathrm{Ph} \cdots \mathrm{Ph}$ interactions amounts to $-45.1 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. The difference of $48.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ between these numbers is in reasonable agreement with the difference of $41.1 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ in the formation energies of both structures ( $c f$. Table 2). Since the benzene dimer is a relatively small model system we were able to check this explanation for the preference of the $S_{10}$-structure by increasing the theoretical level considerably to coupled cluster theory at the $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ level, with an augmented correlation-consistent double-zeta valence basis
set (aug-cc-pVDZ). ${ }^{[25]}$ These calculations, in which the valence electrons were correlated, were made with the Molpro program package. ${ }^{[26]}$ Employing exactly the same benzene dimer geometries as above, the counterpoisecorrected interaction energies for the strongly distorted intra-ligand face-to-face orientations were now found to be endothermic $(+6.50 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ for a pair of Ph substituents in the $S_{10}$-structure and $+6.98 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ in the $D_{5}$-structure). The inter-ligand $\mathrm{Ph} \cdots \mathrm{Ph}$ interactions remain exothermic: we find $-5.25 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the edge-to-face orientation in the $S_{10^{-}}$ structure and $-6.86 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the face-to-face orientation in the $D_{5}$-structure. For the total interaction energy between all phenyl substituents one thus gets $+12.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ in the former and $+35.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ in the latter case, i.e. a preference of the $S_{10}$-structure by $23 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ due to $\mathrm{Ph} \cdots \mathrm{Ph}$ interactions at this level of theory. Note that in the limit of complete basis sets the interaction energies of both, edge-to-face and displaced face-to-face structures become more exothermic by about $1.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ as compared to the basis set used here, ${ }^{[24]}$ suggesting the total $\mathrm{Ph} \cdots \mathrm{Ph}$ interaction energy in the $S_{10^{-}}$ structure becomes exothermic in the complete basis set limit while that in the $D_{5}$-structure remains endothermic.
It should be noted that for the $S_{10}$-structure of $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$ the attractive edge-to-face interactions between the phenyl substituents of both ligands lead to an unusual negative out-of-plane bending angle ( $c f$. Table 2) of the aryl substituents, i.e. bending towards each other (Figure 1). Without inclusion of the dispersion correction out-of-plane bending is strongly positive. The origin of this bending is therefore related to mutual $\mathrm{Ph} \cdots \mathrm{Ph}$ interaction. A corresponding reduction of the positive bending angle can also be observed for the $D_{5}$-structure.
Although the data above provide enough evidence for attractive $\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp} \cdots \mathrm{CpPh}_{5}$ interactions, the energy of formation for $S_{10}$-symmetric deca-phenyl calcocene (-1954 $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) is considerably smaller than that for $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ca}(-2121$ $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$. It is likely that formation of $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$ from its ions $\left(\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}^{-}\right)$is less exothermic on account of delocalization of negative charge in the $\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}^{-}$ion. Although the Ph substituents are for steric reasons not completely coplanar with the Cp ring, the average dihedral angle of about $45^{\circ}$ allows for partial delocalization of negative charge over the Ph substituents. Indeed, NPAanalysis of the $\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}^{-}$ion shows charges of $-0.11 e$ on each Ph -substituent. This leaves a charge of $-0.45 e$ on the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ring. In contrast, the calculated NPA-charge on each of the H atoms of the $\mathrm{Cp}^{-}$ion is +0.17 e and the total charge on the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ring $-1.85 e$. Therefore, the electrostatic $\mathrm{Cp}^{-}-\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ interaction is preferred. It should be mentioned that combining the $\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}^{-}$ion with $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ leads to polarization of negative charge into the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ring, which now displays an NPA-charge of $-0.79 e$ while the Ph -substituents become nearly neutral $(-0.01 e)$. A similar, yet somewhat weaker effect is observed for $\mathrm{Cp}^{-}$in $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$ : in the complex the charge on the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ring is $-2.03 e$ and that on each of the H atoms $+0.23 e$. The NPA charge on the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ ion is very similar in both calcocenes: in $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$ it is $+1.76 e$ and in $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ca}+1.72 e$.

Experimentally, we found a linear correlation between bending angle and $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{M}$ distance for a series of deca-phenyl metallocenes (vide supra). ${ }^{[6]}$ In order to confirm the observed dependence between bending angle and metal size, a set of geometry optimizations was carried out for the experimentally investigated species. Results are collected in Table 3. Clearly, there is the expected strong correlation between energy of formation and charge of the metal cation. For species of the same charge, there is roughly an inverse
relation between the energy of formation and the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cp}_{\mathrm{c}}$ distance, i.e. metal size. As demonstrated by deca-phenyl stannocene and ytterbocene, however, electronic factors modify this grossly simplifying picture. Nevertheless, Figure 3 shows that there is an approximately linear relationship between the out-of-plane bending angle and the Cp-M distance. It can also bee seen that the theoretical calculations are in close agreement with the experimentally found dependence.

## Please insert Figure 3

Figure 3. Correlation between the out-of-plane bending angle $\mathrm{C}_{i \text { pso }}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Cp}} \square \mathrm{Cp}$ of the substituents and the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cp}_{\mathrm{c}}$ distance. Filled squares denote experimental data, open squares calculated data.

## Conclusions

Our detailed investigation of $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$ via DFT calculations, including and excluding a semi-empirical correction for dispersion interactions, shows that the preference of $S_{10}$-symmetrical structures is mainly due to inter-ligand $\mathrm{Ph} \cdots \mathrm{Ph}$ interactions. Dispersion interactions play an important role for the relative and the overall stability of superbulky metallocenes While the phenyl substituents of the two $\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}$ ligands in $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$ bend away from each other with standard DFT, inclusion of the dispersion correction in $\mathrm{DFT}+\mathrm{D}$ reproduces the experimentally observed slight inclination towards each other.
In the crystal structures of $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{M}$ one observes a linear correlation between the out-of-plane bending angle of the phenyl substituents and the size of the metal atom M along the series $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Yb}, \mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Sr}$, and Ba . This trend was confirmed at the DFT+D level. Thus dispersion interactions are the key to their quantitative and qualitative understanding.

Supporting Information (see footnote on the first page of this article): Coordinates and energies of the optimized structures. Vibration frequencies for $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$.
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Table 2. Calculated energies of formation and selected geometrical parameters for $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ca}$. Experimental data were averaged. Energies in $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$, distances in $\AA$, angles in degrees.

| Confomer | Method | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{Cp}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{i p s o^{-}}$ <br> $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}} \square \mathrm{Cp}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S_{10}$ | Exp. |  | 2.356 | 2.651 | -1.21 | 2.58 | 155 |
|  | DFT | -1822.8 | 2.368 | 2.665 | +3.0 | 2.82 | 162 |
|  | DFT+D | -1954.1 | 2.352 | 2.650 | -2.2 | 2.53 | 154 |
| $D_{5}$ | DFT | -1803.1 | 2.388 | 2.683 | +4.7 |  |  |
|  | DFT+D | -1913.0 | 2.365 | 2.661 | +1.1 |  |  |

Table 3. Calculated (DFT+D) energies of formation and selected geometrical parameters for $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{M}$. Experimental data (in parentheses) were averaged. Energies in $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$, distances in A , angles in degrees.

| Complex | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cp}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{i p s o^{-}}$ <br> $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Cp}} \square \mathrm{Cp}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\right]$ | -2652.5 | 1.710 | 2.106 | +9.2 | 2.32 | 174 |
|  |  | $(1.724)$ | $(2.12)$ | $(+11.4)$ | $(2.41)$ | $(173)$ |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ni}\right]^{+}$ | -5741.5 | 1.816 | 2.194 | +7.2 | 2.35 | 170 |
|  |  | $(1.839)$ | $(2.204)$ | $(+8.4)$ | $(2.43)$ | $(167)$ |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}\right]^{+}$ | -5096.5 | 1.915 | 2.274 | +5.5 | 2.37 | 168 |
|  |  | $(1.932)$ | $(2.281)$ | $(+7.2)$ | $(2.45)$ | $(168)$ |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~W}\right]^{+}$ | -4617.1 | 2.042 | 2.384 | +4.3 | 2.46 | 166 |
|  |  | $(2.018)$ | $(2.357)$ | $(+6.2)$ | $(2.49)$ | $(171)$ |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Yb}\right]$ | -1874.9 | 2.340 | 2.639 | -1.6 | 2.56 | 155 |
|  |  | $(2.382)$ | $(2.673)$ | $(-1.4)$ | $(2.61)$ | $(154)$ |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\right]$ | -2174.6 | 2.427 | 2.717 | -2.0 | 2.60 | 155 |
|  |  | $(2.401)$ | $(2.691)$ | $(-1.2)$ | $(2.68)$ | $(160)$ |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}\right]$ | -1794.1 | 2.466 | 2.751 | -3.5 | 2.62 | 151 |
|  |  | $(2.505)$ | $(2.782)$ | $(-3.5)$ | $(2.67)$ | $(154)$ |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sr}\right]$ | -1826.6 | 2.530 | 2.808 | -5.2 | 2.60 | 149 |
|  |  | $(2.513)$ | $(2.790)$ | $(-3.4)$ | $(2.69)$ | $(152)$ |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ba}\right]$ | -1627.9 | 2.685 | 2.948 | -6.8 | 2.76 | 146 |
|  |  | $(2.667)$ | $(2.929)$ | $(-5.3)$ | $(2.81)$ | $(149)$ |
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