

The effects of pre- and post-pregnancy IBD diagnosis on birth outcomes

Kaisa Raatikainen, Jyrki Mustonen, Markku Olavi Pajala, Markku Heikkinen, Seppo Heinonen

▶ To cite this version:

Kaisa Raatikainen, Jyrki Mustonen, Markku Olavi Pajala, Markku Heikkinen, Seppo Heinonen. The effects of pre- and post-pregnancy IBD diagnosis on birth outcomes. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2010, 33 (3), pp.333. 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2010.04538.x . hal-00599481

HAL Id: hal-00599481

https://hal.science/hal-00599481

Submitted on 10 Jun 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutic

Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics

The effects of pre- and post-pregnancy IBD diagnosis on birth outcomes

| Journal: | Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Manuscript ID: | APT-0170-2010.R2 |
| Wiley - Manuscript type: | Original Scientific Paper |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 05-Nov-2010 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Raatikainen, Kaisa; Kuopio University Hospital, Obstetrics and Gynaecology Mustonen, Jyrki; University of Eastern Finland, Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Medicine Pajala, Markku; Kuopio University Hospital, Internal Medicine Heikkinen, Markku; Kuopio University Hospital, Internal Medicine Heinonen, Seppo; University of Eastern Finland, Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Medicine; Kuopio University Hospital, Internal Medicine |
| Keywords: | Crohn's disease < Disease-based, Inflammatory bowel disease < Disease-based, Ulcerative colitis < Disease-based, Pregnancy / women's issues < Topics |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts R2 Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics

The effects of pre- and post-pregnancy IBD diagnosis on birth outcomes

MD., PhD. Kaisa Raatikainen ^{1,2,4,5}, MD. Jyrki Mustonen ^{1,4}, MD., PhD., Markku Pajala³, MD PhD Markku Heikkinen³, MD., PhD. Seppo Heinonen^{2,4}

kaisa.e.raatikainen@kuh.fi

tel: +358-17-173311

fax: +358-17-172685

¹ Equal contribution

² Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, P.O. Box 1777, Kuopio University Hospital, 70211 Kuopio, Finland

³ Department of Gastroenterology, P.O. Box 1777, Kuopio University Hospital, 70211 Kuopio, Finland

⁴ University of Eastern Finland, faculty of medicine

⁵ Corresponding author

SUMMARY

Background:

Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) are frequent in women at their optimum age for reproduction.

Possible effects on pregnancy are, therefore, of interest.

Aim:

To assess pregnancy outcomes in 212 women: 135 of whom were diagnosed with IBD before pregnancy and 77 after pregnancy.

Methods:

A clinical birth database was examined retrospectively. Odds ratios (ORs) for the main outcomes were calculated with 95% confidence intervals (CI); these were adjusted for confounding factors.

Results:

Overall, pregnancy outcomes were good. No increased risk of preterm births or need for neonatal intensive care was observed. However, women with IBD had more growth retarded newborns, at an adjusted OR of 2.12 (1.29-3.50) and the mode of delivery was more frequently Caesarean section, with an OR of 2.74 (1.81-4.13). In the women who were diagnosed with IBD after the pregnancy, we found no difference in the obstetric outcome as compared to the general obstetric population.

Conclusions:

Most women with IBD have a normal pregnancy outcome and, overall, IBD during pregnancy poses low to moderate risks, the main concern being increased risk of impaired foetal growth. Normal maternal weight gain during pregnancy, possibly indicating a more stable disease, appeared to protect against adverse outcomes.

Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) affects patients at the age when they are most fertile, the highest incidence is in individuals in their 20s and 30s. The mean age at diagnosis is between 31.4 and 31.8 years (1,2).

Because of the nature of IBD and its early age of onset, there has been concern about its effects on pregnancy outcomes. Some studies have shown that IBD is associated with a possible 3-fold increase in the risk of preterm delivery (3,4)and 2-9-fold risk in the risk of low birth weight (LBW), i.e. under 2500g (3,5,6). Accordingly, a 4-6 fold increase in the risk of foetal growth restriction (small for gestational age (SGA)) has been reported (7,8). Furthermore, it has been reported that the risk of a Caesarean section (CS) being required is 1.5-9 times greater in women suffering from IBD (1,3,7). Reports have even suggested a 7-fold increase in the risk of stillbirth (9)and 2-4-fold risk of congenital abnormalities for patients with UC (3,7).

Only a few studies have been published that examine pregnancies before IBD-diagnosis in women who eventually develop either UC or CD. These studies suggest that pregnancy outcomes are affected prior to diagnosis. Specifically, the risk of preterm delivery has been reported as being up to ten times greater (10,11) and the risk of low birth weight up to eight times greater (12).

The objective of this study was to determine the outcomes of pregnancy in women with IBD and to compare the pregnancies of the same women in the time period prior to IBD diagnosis.

Methods

A retrospective analysis was conducted of an existing database containing information about patients with bowel diseases, focussing on women of reproductive age. The database comprises information on all patients (n=1303) diagnosed or treated at Kuopio University hospital for IBD. Data pertaining to family history, the onset of the disease, type and anatomical location of the disease, medication, surgical treatment and complications were collected and updated as part of the hospital's clinical work. The diagnosis of IBD was routinely confirmed by colonoscopy and biopsies. Data pertaining to all the pregnancies (n=212) of women on the IBD-register were collected from the Kuopio University Hospital birth register, which contains information on all women who gave birth at Kuopio University Hospital between 1989 and 2006. Women with a

diagnosis of UC or CD before the year of delivery were included in the UC and CD groups, respectively. If the diagnosis of IBD was made during the same year as the delivery or after the delivery, the women were included in the pre-UC and pre-CD groups. These groups were compared to the entire obstetric population, which acted as the reference group, and to each other. For further analysis, the UC and CD subgroups were pooled into an IBD-group and the pre-UC and pre-CD subgroups into a pre-IBD group. Numbers of women in each study group are presented in Figure 1.

Exclusion criteria were: (1) multiple pregnancies, i.e. twins, triplets and higher order pregnancies and (2) major foetal structural anomalies, because such pregnancies carry an unusually high risk of adverse outcome, and the effect of maternal IBD on these pregnancies would be difficult to distinguish. A total of 38,497 pregnancies were included in the analyses.

Information on maternal characteristics was based on data from self-administered questionnaires at approximately 20 weeks of pregnancy. When any data were missing, the gaps were filled in by interviews conducted by a nurse on the delivery ward. The questionnaire consisted of 75 background items. Information on maternal medication, pregnancy complications, pregnancy outcome and the neonatal period was collected in real time, as part of clinical work, by the nurses and midwives who undertook delivery and neonatal care. The Institutional Review Board accepted the study and childbearing women gave informed consent at the time of data collection. The ethical committee has accepted the database and given permission for its use for research purposes. The data were processed in such a way that individuals could not be identified.

Kuopio University Hospital is a tertiary level obstetric referral centre, but it is also the only

Kuopio University Hospital is a tertiary level obstetric referral centre, but it is also the only obstetric hospital in our district. During data collection, antenatal care was readily and easily accessible to all women. Home deliveries were exceptional, and therefore not included.

Definitions of the background and outcome variables are listed in supplementary on-line material, Table 1. Estimation of gestational age was based on menstrual history and ascertained by measuring foetal crown–rump length by ultrasonography, in approximately 95% of cases, at 10 to 12 weeks of pregnancy. If a subject had two adverse pregnancy outcomes, for example LBW and preterm delivery, each was treated as an independent outcome and the subject was included in both categories. The pregnancy complications chorio-amnionitis, placental abruption and placenta praevia were recorded when these obstetric diagnoses were made during the hospital stay. Abnormal fetal heart rate (cardiotocogram, CTG) was recorded in the database by obstetricians. Meconium staining of the amniotic fluid during delivery was noted in the delivery reports and

entered into the database by midwives. Apgar scores were assessed mainly by midwifes in uncomplicated deliveries and by paediatricians, when consulted.

Statistical differences between the subjects and the reference group were evaluated using Chisquare tests (dichotomous variables), and Fisher's exact test was applied when the minimal estimated expected value was less than five. A value of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Continuous variables were analysed using two-tailed, pooled t tests and standard deviations (±SD) were calculated. Logistic regression analysis, controlling for all possible clinically significant confounding factors, was performed using SAS for Windows (SAS statistical package release 8). In the first analysis, unadjusted ORs were calculated (OR). The logistic regression models included the variables: age if under 18 years, age if over 35 years, maternal BMI, smoking during pregnancy, primiparity, multiparity and scarred uterus. In the second and third models, the possible causal factors anaemia and poor maternal weight gain were added separately into the analysis. In the fourth model, anaemia and poor maternal weight gain were simultaneously included in the analysis. Confidence intervals were evaluated at 95%.

Results

At the University Hospital of Kuopio the frequency of IBD was 0.35 % of all pregnancies progressing beyond 22 weeks; this equated to one in 284 pregnancies. Women, who had a diagnosis of UC or CD prior to pregnancy (IBD-group, n=135) were significantly older than women in the reference group (29.9± 5.50 vs. 29.0±5.5 y, p=0.04). Maternal weight gain during pregnancy was significantly lower in the UC-group and IBD-group than in the reference group (12.3± SD 5.8 kg vs. 13.5± SD 5.6 kg, p=0.05; 12.3± SD 6.1 kg vs. 13.5± SD 5.6 kg, p=0.01, respectively), although at the beginning of pregnancy, there were no significant differences in the mean maternal BMIs

Birth weight of newborns in the UC-group and in the IBD-group was significantly lower than it was in the reference group (3317± SD 658g vs. 3506± SD 613g, p=0.003; 3340± SD 631g vs. 3507± SD 613g, p=0.002, respectively). Newborns in the CD-group were also smaller than those in the reference group but the difference was not statistically significant.

Reproductive risk factors, along with maternal and pregnancy characteristics, are shown in supplementary on-line material, Table 2. Women in the UC- and IBD-groups had undergone more induced abortions prior to the current pregnancy than women in the reference group (19.0% vs. 10.7%, p=0.009; 17.8% vs. 10.7%, p=0.008, respectively). Primiparous women were less frequent

in the UC-group than in the reference group (28.4% vs. 41.2%, p=0.01). Prior uterine operations were also more common in the UC-group and IBD-group than in the reference group (21.1% vs. 9.7%, p<0.001; 17.8% vs. 9.7%, p=0.002, respectively). Other maternal characteristics, specifically prior miscarriages, prior stillbirths, age \geq 36 years, unmarried status, overweight, smoking, drinking alcohol and hypertension did not differ significantly between the study and reference groups. Modes of delivery and pregnancy outcomes are shown in supplementary on-line material, Table 3. Anaemia during the third trimester was more common in women in the UC- and IBD-groups than in the reference group (6.3% vs. 1.7%, p<0.001; 5.9% vs. 1.7%, p<0.01, respectively). Pregnancy morbidity of the study groups and the reference group were similar as regards gestational diabetes, pre-eclampsia, placenta praevia, chorioamnionitis and placental abruption.

Significantly higher incidence of adverse pregnancy outcomes were recorded in women with diagnosed UC or CD compared with the reference group (supplementary on-line material, Table 3). Caesarean section was a more likely mode of delivery in the UC- and IBD-groups than in the reference group (33.7% vs. 17.0%, p=0.01; 31.9% vs. 17.0%, p<0.001, respectively). SGA was also more common in the UC-and IBD-groups than in the reference group (16.8 % vs. 9.4%, p=0.014; 15.6% vs. 9.4%, p=0.015, respectively). The need for neonatal intensive care was higher in the CD-group than in the reference group (20.0% vs. 8.7%, p=0.02). There were no statistically significant differences in maternal or pregnancy characteristics or in pregnancy outcomes between the group of women diagnosed with IBD after pregnancy and the reference group. No differences between the study groups and the reference group were observed in the incidences of vacuum assisted delivery, abnormal fetal cariotocogram during delivery, umbilical vein pH at birth, Apgar scores at the age of five minutes, preterm births or low birth weight under 2500g. Table 4 in supplementary on-line material lists the maternal and foetal anthropometric variables, weight gain and hemoglobin in the study groups compared to the reference group.

The results of the logistic regression analysis models comparing main pregnancy outcomes in the healthy reference mothers and the study groups are presented in table 5. When adjusted for confounding factors and anemia and low weight gain, women who had IBD were more likely to require delivery by Caesarean section (adjusted OR 2.75; 95% CI 1.82-4.16) and low birth weight for the gestational age (adjusted OR 2.08; 95% CI 1.26-3.44).

Of the 135 mothers who had IBD during pregnancy, 70 (51.9%) had drug treatment either for active disease or as a maintenance medication: mesalazine in 60 (44.4%) patients, systemic prednisolon in 19 (14%), sulfasalazine in 10 (7.4%), azatioprin in 2 (1.5%) and cyclosporine in 1 (0.7%) patient.

In subgroup analyses, there was no difference in the incidence of anemia (7.1% vs 4.6%, respectively, p=0.53) in medicated women compared with women who had no medication; nor in the incidence of low birth weight under 2500 g (8.6% vs. 6.2%, respectively, p=0.59); nor in the incidence of birth weight small for gestational age (14.3% vs. 16.9%, respectively, p=0.67).

Discussion

IBD was a factor in 0.35% of all deliveries at the Kuopio University Hospital. Thus, the frequency of IBD is comparable to its prevalence in Europe (13). However, in a clinical setting the criteria used for diagnosis may vary and some of the cases may go undiagnosed if clinical signs are not present or are mild. Although rare, the conditions involved are of concern to affected women and their doctors.

The main finding of the present study was that the overall risk of an adverse obstetric outcome was moderately low, although a doubled risk of foetal growth limitation (SGA) was recorded in affected women. Second, our results showed that the effect on foetal growth was clearly associated with the onset of the disease, since there was no risk of impaired foetal growth in women who were diagnosed with IBD after pregnancy. In other words, genetic factors and foetal growth potential have no major role to play herein. Third, pregnancies proceeded normally with respect to most factors considered, although the mean haemoglobin concentration and maternal weight gain were lower in affected women than in the reference group and this difference was statistically significant. Although these differences were of limited clinical significance, it is noteworthy that the risk of third trimester maternal anaemia was more than three times higher in women with IBD. Fourth, Caesarean deliveries were more common for the affected group than the reference group. Finally, the need for neonatal intensive care was higher among infants born to mothers affected by CD.

A number of studies have suggested that women with IBD during pregnancy are exposed to a substantial risk of adverse outcomes (3,6). A recent meta-analysis by Cornish et al (3) concluded that women with IBD included in studies published since 2000 were more likely to experience premature birth (OR: 1.99 95 % CI: 1.22-3.26)(4,5,7,14,15), congenital abnormalities in the infant (OR: 2.39; 95 % CI: 1.28-4.48)(4,7,16) and Caesarean sections (OR: 1.54; 95 % CI: 1.24-1.91)) (4,5,7,14,15), but not SGA (OR:1.79; 95 % CI: 0.66-4.82)(4,5,7,8,17,18). However, two studies that were also included in the meta-analysis, did report an increased risk of SGA (7,8) Premature births occurred up to 30% more often in the current study, but the difference was not statistically significant; in contrast we found that the risk of SGA was significantly increased even after adjusting for confounding factors.

The outcome differences between our results and those in previous studies may be because only women with pregnancies going beyond 22 weeks were considered in the present study. Pregnancies ending with miscarriages in the first or early second trimester were not included, and therefore it is possible that women suffering from severe bowel disease were excluded. On the other hand, the number of previous miscarriages was statistically similar in affected and unaffected women.

Another possible explanation is that the disease activity at the time of conception or in the course of pregnancy was not comparable in the different studies, thus affecting the premature birth rates.

However, the significantly increased risk of SGA, both unadjusted and adjusted, remains to be explained. Interestingly, the correction for low maternal weight gain and anaemia appeared to somewhat reduce, but not eliminate, the SGA risk. Medication during pregnancy seemed not to be the explanation for increased risk of SGA, since women with no medication had similarly increased risk. Unfortunately, we could not analyze the role of active disease in this retrospective study. The results of the current study according women diagnosed with IBD after the pregnancy are based on a limited population and should be confirmed in future studies with larger populations, reaching higher statistical power.

The clinical importance of an increased rate of SGA in affected births is considerable, since an underweight full term infant has a four times higher risk of severe morbidity compared to an infant of normal weight (19). Specifically, these infants have increased risks of asphyxia, meconium aspiration and hypoglycaemia (20). In addition, low birth weight of a full term infant has been associated with increased incidence of the risk factors associated with coronary heart disease, such as insulin resistance, glucose intolerance, type II diabetes mellitus and elevated blood pressure in adulthood (21-23).

IBD is usually preceded by a preclinical phase, when the patients are not yet diagnosed and have not yet sought medical help. The preclinical phase of the disease may, however, be associated with health issues. Thus, in the present study we investigated whether preclinical IBD has any impact on pregnancy outcome. We did not observe any differences in the pregnancy outcomes of women who were pregnant before the diagnosis of CD or UC and the reference group. A few other studies have, similarly, found no association between pre-diagnosis IBD and pregnancy outcome (9,24). In the present study we had no opportunity to assess pregnancy outcome of the same women before and after IBD diagnosis, the setting possibly offering new perspectives to the problem.

On the other hand, our results contradict a few previous studies that have reported a higher preterm birth rate and lower mean birth weight of infants born to women with preclinical CD compared with a reference group (10-12). In addition, Caesarean section has been reported to be more common in women who eventually developed CD or UC than in an equivalent reference group (11).

Furthermore, there is one report of a higher rate of preterm deliveries in pre-diagnosis CD and UC than in a reference population (10).

We conclude that, in most cases, the pregnancy outcome of the IBD patients considered was good, with no increase in the rate of preterm births or neonatal intensive care, the associated problems of fetal growth restriction and more caesarean sections being clinically less severe. This may be partly due to the antenatal care that was readily and easily accessible to all women. Thus, the commonly-held fears about childbirth recently reported among IBD-patients (25) are not justifiable on the basis of the results of the current study. However, pregnancies in women with IBD should be considered to be associated with a low to moderate risk, depending on the activity of the disease. Therefore, monitoring at specialized maternity clinics appears to be advisable, aiming at early recognition and adequate treatment of growth restricted foetuses.

Statement of Interests

All authors declare that they have no conflicting interests.

Financial support

There is no external financial support for this study.

Figure 1. Number of patients in the study groups

Reference (n=38285)

Ulcerative colitis (n=152)

Crohns disease (n=60)

UC diagnosed before pregnancy (n=95)

Pregnancy prior to UC diagnosis (n=57)

CD diagnosed before pregnancy (n=40)

Pregnancy prior to CD diagnosis (n=20)

Pooled IBD (n=135)

Table 5: Mode of delivery and pregnancy outcome in the study groups compared to the reference group

| | , , | | | | , , | 1 | | | C 1 | | | | |
|------------------|------------|------|--------|--------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|----|---------|--------|------------------------|
| Study group | Reference | % | UC | % | Pre-UC | % | CD | % | Pre-CD | % | IBD | % | Adjusted OR, IBD |
| | (n=38,285) | | (n=95) | | (n=57) | | (n=40) | | (n=20) | | (n=135) | | compared with |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | reference ¹ |
| Caesarean | 6508 | 17.0 | 32 | 33.7** | 9 | 15.8 | 11 | 27.5 | 2 | 10 | 43 | 31.9** | 2.75 (1.82-4.16) |
| section | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Premature birth | 2352 | 6.1 | 9 | 9.5 | 3 | 5.3 | 3 | 7.5 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 8.9 | 1.23 (0.60-2.54) |
| <37 pregnancy | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| weeks | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Low birth weight | 1796 | 4.7 | 7 | 7.4 | 3 | 5.3 | 3 | 7.5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 7.4 | 1.83 (0.88-3.80) |
| < 2500g | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Small for | 3609 | 9.4 | 16 | 16.8** | 7 | 12.3 | 5 | 12.5 | 2 | 10 | 21 | 15.6** | 2.08 (1.26-3.44) |
| gestational age | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Neonatal | 3333 | 8.7 | 9 | 9.5 | 1 | 1.8 | 8 | 20** | 3 | 15 | 17 | 12.6 | 1.64 (0.96-2.79) |
| intensive care | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

*p<0.05, **p<0.01. ***p<0.001 compared to the reference group of general obstetric population

Abbreviations: UC ulcerative colitis, pre-UC ulcerative colitis diagnosed after pregnancy, CD crohns disease, pre-CD crohns disease diagnosed after pregnancy, IBD inflammatory bowel disease, pooled UC and CD

¹ Adjusted for: young age under 18 years, old age over 35 years, body mass index (categorical 20-25 vs. ≤19, 26-29 and ≥ 30), parity (primiparous or parous), smoking during pregnancy (yes/ no), prior uterine scarring and anaemia and low weight gain ≤9kg (Model 4)

References

- (1) Ghazzawi I, Al-Mrayat Z. Review of chronic ulcerative colitis cases at King Hussein Medical Centre, Jordan. East.Mediterr.Health J. 2007 Mar-Apr;13(2):294-300.
- (2) Oostenbrug LE, van Dullemen HM, te Meerman GJ, Jansen PL, Kleibeuker JH. Clinical outcome of Crohn's disease according to the Vienna classification: disease location is a useful predictor of disease course. Eur.J.Gastroenterol.Hepatol. 2006 Mar;18(3):255-261.
- (3) Cornish J, Tan E, Teare J, Teoh TG, Rai R, Clark SK, et al. A meta-analysis on the influence of inflammatory bowel disease on pregnancy. Gut 2007 Jun;56(6):830-837.
- (4) Elbaz G, Fich A, Levy A, Holcberg G, Sheiner E. Inflammatory bowel disease and preterm delivery. Int.J.Gynaecol.Obstet. 2005 Sep;90(3):193-197.
- (5) Kornfeld D, Cnattingius S, Ekbom A. Pregnancy outcomes in women with inflammatory bowel disease--a population-based cohort study. Am.J.Obstet.Gynecol. 1997 Oct;177(4):942-946.
- (6) Mahadevan U, Sandborn WJ, Li DK, Hakimian S, Kane S, Corley DA. Pregnancy outcomes in women with inflammatory bowel disease: a large community-based study from Northern California. Gastroenterology 2007 Oct;133(4):1106-1112.
- (7) Dominitz JA, Young JC, Boyko EJ. Outcomes of infants born to mothers with inflammatory bowel disease: a population-based cohort study. Am.J.Gastroenterol. 2002 Mar;97(3):641-648.

- (8) Moser MA, Okun NB, Mayes DC, Bailey RJ. Crohn's disease, pregnancy, and birth weight. Am.J.Gastroenterol. 2000 Apr;95(4):1021-1026.
- (9) Norgard B, Puho E, Pedersen L, Czeizel AE, Sorensen HT. Risk of congenital abnormalities in children born to women with ulcerative colitis: a population-based, case-control study. Am.J.Gastroenterol. 2003 Sep;98(9):2006-2010.
- (10) Baird DD, Narendranathan M, Sandler RS. Increased risk of preterm birth for women with inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterology 1990 Oct;99(4):987-994.
- (11) Bortoli A, Saibeni S, Tatarella M, Prada A, Beretta L, Rivolta R, et al. Pregnancy before and after the diagnosis of inflammatory bowel diseases: retrospective case-control study. J.Gastroenterol.Hepatol. 2007 Apr;22(4):542-549.
- (12) Fonager K, Sorensen HT, Olsen J, Dahlerup JF, Rasmussen SN. Pregnancy outcome for women with Crohn's disease: a follow-up study based on linkage between national registries. Am.J.Gastroenterol. 1998 Dec;93(12):2426-2430.
- (13) Loftus EV,Jr. Clinical epidemiology of inflammatory bowel disease: Incidence, prevalence, and environmental influences. Gastroenterology 2004 May;126(6):1504-1517.
- (14) Porter RJ, Stirrat GM. The effects of inflammatory bowel disease on pregnancy: a case-controlled retrospective analysis. Br.J.Obstet.Gynaecol. 1986 Nov;93(11):1124-1131.
- (15) Bush MC, Patel S, Lapinski RH, Stone JL. Perinatal outcomes in inflammatory bowel disease. J.Matern.Fetal.Neonatal Med. 2004 Apr;15(4):237-241.
- (16) Larzilliere I, Beau P. Chronic inflammatory bowel disease and pregnancy. Case control study. Gastroenterol.Clin.Biol. 1998 Dec;22(12):1056-1060.

- (17) Fedorkow DM, Persaud D, Nimrod CA. Inflammatory bowel disease: a controlled study of late pregnancy outcome. Am.J.Obstet.Gynecol. 1989 Apr;160(4):998-1001.
- (18) Norgard B, Fonager K, Sorensen HT, Olsen J. Birth outcomes of women with ulcerative colitis: a nationwide Danish cohort study. Am.J.Gastroenterol. 2000 Nov;95(11):3165-3170.
- (19) Lindqvist PG, Molin J. Does antenatal identification of small-for-gestational age fetuses significantly improve their outcome? Ultrasound Obstet.Gynecol. 2005 Mar;25(3):258-264.
- (20) Doctor BA, O'Riordan MA, Kirchner HL, Shah D, Hack M. Perinatal correlates and neonatal outcomes of small for gestational age infants born at term gestation. Am.J.Obstet.Gynecol. 2001 Sep;185(3):652-659.
- (21) Curhan GC, Willett WC, Rimm EB, Spiegelman D, Ascherio AL, Stampfer MJ. Birth weight and adult hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and obesity in US men. Circulation 1996 Dec 15;94(12):3246-3250.
- (22) Ramadhani MK, Grobbee DE, Bots ML, Castro Cabezas M, Vos LE, Oren A, et al. Lower birth weight predicts metabolic syndrome in young adults: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Young Adults (ARYA)-study. Atherosclerosis 2006 Jan;184(1):21-27.
- (23) Barker DJ, Osmond C, Simmonds SJ, Wield GA. The relation of small head circumference and thinness at birth to death from cardiovascular disease in adult life. BMJ 1993 Feb 13;306(6875):422-426.
- (24) Mayberry JF, Weterman IT. European survey of fertility and pregnancy in women with Crohn's disease: a case control study by European collaborative group. Gut 1986 Jul;27(7):821-825.

(25) Mountifield R, Bampton P, Prosser R, Muller K, Andrews JM. Fear and fertility in inflammatory bowel disease: a mismatch of perception and reality affects family planning decisions. Inflamm.Bowel Dis. 2009 May;15(5):720-725.



Table 1. Definitions of background variables and outcomes

Unmarried Civil status other than marriage: cohabiting, single, widowed or divorced

Parity Primiparous or parous, number of prior births

Prior induced abortion Induced abortion of a viable foetus, as distinct from miscarriage

Prior stillbirth Intrauterine death of a foetus over 22 weeks gestational age or over 500 g

Overweight Pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) over 25 kg/ m²

Smoking Self reported smoking yes/ no

Alcohol use Self reported alcohol use yes/ no

Chronic illness Conditions requiring regular medication that have a possible effect on pregnancy:

thyroid disease, arthritis, epilepsy, cardio-vascular and kidney diseases

Low haemoglobin Hb under 100 g/l

Pre-eclampsia Repeated blood pressure measurement exceeding 140/90 mmHg

with proteinuria exceeding 0.5 g/day

Preterm birth Delivery before 37 weeks gestation

Small for gestational age Age- and sex-adjusted birth weight below the tenth percentile according to the

normal tables for our population (27)

Low birth weight Birth weight less than 2500 g

Mode of delivery Spontaneous, instrumental or Caesarean section

Apgar scores Low when the scores were less than 7

Foetal acidosis pH 7.15 at birth in the umbilical vein

Neonatal intensive care Infants requiring more than 24 hours surveillance

Foetal death Intrauterine death of a foetus over 22 weeks gestational age or over 500 g

Neonatal death Death during the first seven days after birth

Table 2: Occurrence and trend of maternal pre-pregnancy characteristics and morbidity in study groups compared to the reference group

| | Referenc | e % | UC | % | Pre-UC | % | CD | % | Pre-CD | % | IBD | % |
|--------------------------------|----------|------|--------|--------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|---------|--------|
| | (n=38285 | 5) | (n=95) | | (n=57) | | (n=40) | | (n=20) | | (n=135) | |
| Primiparous | 15766 | 41.2 | 27 | 28.4** | 29 | 50.9 | 18 | 45.0 | 6 | 30.0 | 45 | 33.3 |
| Prior miscarriage | 6791 | 17.7 | 20 | 21.1 | 13 | 22.8 | 2 | 5.0 | 3 | 15.0 | 22 | 16.3 |
| Prior stillborn | 629 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prior induced abortion | 4079 | 10.7 | 18 | 19.0** | 4 | 7.0 | 6 | 15.0 | 3 | 15.0 | 24 | 17.8 |
| Surgically scarred uterus | 3720 | 9.7 | 20 | 21.1** | 4 | 7.0 | 4 | 10.0 | 1 | 5.0 | 24 | 17.8** |
| Age \geq 36 years | 4812 | 12.6 | 12 | 12.6 | 4 | 7.0 | 6 | 15.0 | 3 | 15.0 | 18 | 13.3 |
| Not married | 13941 | 36.4 | 37 | 39.0 | 18 | 31.6 | 16 | 40.0 | 5 | 25.0 | 53 | 39.3 |
| BMI \geq 26 before pregnancy | 8193 | 21.4 | 27 | 28.4 | 10 | 17.5 | 9 | 22.5 | 4 | 20.0 | 36 | 26.7 |
| Smoked before pregnancy | 9551 | 25.0 | 21 | 22.1 | 8 | 14.0 | 15 | 37.5 | 5 | 25.0 | 36 | 26.7 |
| Used alcohol before pregn. | 14520 | 37.9 | 40 | 42.1 | 22 | 38.6 | 13 | 32.5 | 9 | 45.0 | 53 | 39.3 |
| Chronic illness | 3305 | 8.6 | 8 | 8.4 | 6 | 10.5 | 7 | 17.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 11.1 |
| Hypertonia arterialis | 1159 | 3.0 | 5 | 5.3 | 3 | 5.3 | 2 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 5.2 |
| Third trimester anaemia | 662 | 1.7 | 6 | 6.3** | 1 | 1.8 | 2 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 5.9** |
| Gestational diabetes | 2401 | 6.3 | 7 | 7.4 | 1 | 1.8 | 2 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 6.7 |
| Pre-eclampsia | 1134 | 3.0 | 5 | 5.3 | 2 | 3.5 | 1 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 4.4 |
| Gestational hepatosis | 272 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Placenta praevia | 151 | 0.4 | 2 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.5 |
| Chorioamnionitis | 418 | 1.1 | 0 | 1.1 | 2 | 3.5 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 1.1 |
| Placental abruption | 253 | 0.7 | 1 | 1.1 | 1 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 |

^{*}p<0.05, **p<0.01. ***p<0.001 compared to the reference group; UC =ulcerative colitis, CD= Crohns disease, Pre=diagnosed after index pregnancy

Table 3: Mode of delivery and pregnancy outcome in the study groups compared to the reference group

| Study group | Reference (n=38285) | % | UC (n=95) | % | Pre-UC (n=57) | % | CD (n=40) | % | Pre-CD (n=20) | % | IBD (n=135) | % |
|---------------------------|---------------------|------|--------------|--------|---------------|------|--------------|------|---------------|----|----------------|--------|
| Caesarean section | 6508 | 17.0 | 32 | 33.7** | 9 | 15.8 | 11 | 27.5 | 2 | 10 | 43 | 31.9** |
| Vacuum delivery | 2316 | 6.1 | 3 | 3.2 | 2 | 3.5 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5.2 |
| Abnormal CTG | 5053 | 13.2 | _14 | 14.7 | 6 | 10.5 | 6 | 15 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 14.8 |
| Umbilical vein pH | 462 | 1.2 | 2 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.5 |
| under 7.15 at birth | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 minute Apgar score | 689 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| under 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Premature birth | 2352 | 6.1 | 9 | 9.5 | 3 | 5.3 | 3 | 7.5 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 8.9 |
| Low birth weight < 2500g | 1796 | 4.7 | 7 | 7.4 | 3 | 5.3 | 3 | 7.5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 7.4 |
| Small for gestational age | 3609 | 9.4 | 16 | 16.8** | 7 | 12.3 | 5 | 12.5 | 2 | 10 | 21 | 15.6** |
| Neonatal intensive care | 3333 | 8.7 | 9 | 9.5 | 1 | 1.8 | 8 | 20** | 3 | 15 | 17 | 12.6 |
| CTG= cardiotocogram | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*}p<0.05, **p<0.01. ***p<0.001 compared to the reference group; UC =ulcerative colitis, CD= Crohns disease, Pre=diagnosed after index pregnancy

| Study group | Reference | UC | Pre-UC | CD | Pre-CD | IBD |
|---|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| | (n=38285) | (n=95) | (n=57) | (n=40) | (n=20) | (n=135) |
| Mother | 1 | | | I | | |
| Age of mother | 29.0±5.5 | 30.0±4.9 | 27.4±4.9** | 29.8±5.4 | 28.0±6.4 | 29.9±5.0** |
| Weight gain | 13.5±5.6 | 12.3±5.8** | 12.9±4.5 | 12.1±6.8 | 18.3±13.8 | 12.3±6.1** |
| BMI before pregnancy | 23.2±4.4 | 24.0±4.3 | 22.6±3.5 | 23.5±4.1 | 22.4±3.2 | 23.8±4.3 |
| BMI at birth | 28.1±4.4 | 28.8±4.3 | 27.6±4.1 | 27.8±4.1 | 29.5±5.2 | 28.5±4.2 |
| Hb I trimester | 128.7±14.3 | 125.6±11.8** | 128.9±11.3 | 123.4±12.7 | 127.4±7,4 | 124.9±12.0** |
| Hb II trimester | 118.5±9.2 | 115.3±9.9** | 118.4±9.6 | 114.1±15.2 | 116.1±7.5 | 115.0±11.6** |
| Hb III trimester | 119.7±9.7 | 116.4±11.2** | 120.2±10.1 | 114.6±13.4 | 118.9±8.1 | 115.9±11.8** |
| Newborn | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| Birth weight (g) | 3506.6±613.2 | 3317.1±658.2** | 3522.8±614.1 | 3397.3±566.8 | 3507.5±460.6 | 3340.9±631.5** |
| Head circumference (cm) | 35.0±2.9 | 34.6±2.0 | 35.0±1.4 | 35.3±2.9 | 34.8±1.3 | 34.8±2.3 |
| Abdominal circumference (cm) | 33.9±3.4 | 33.5±2.0** | 33.6±1.8 | 33.4±2.2 | 33.8±1.7 | 33.4±2.1** |
| Height (cm) | 50.0±4.7 | 49.6±2.7 | 50.7±1.9** | 49.9±2.6 | 50±1.9 | 49.7±2.7 |
| Weight of placenta (% of birth weight) | 18.4±5.6 | 18.5±3.5 | 16.6±3.2** | 18.4±4.4 | 17.6±2.4 | 18.5±3.8 |
| Pregnancy duration (weeks) | 39.3±2.2 | 38.8±2.6 | 39.5±1.8 | 39.2±1.9 | 39.6±1.3 | 38.9±2.4 |