

The functional central limit theorem for a family of GARCH observations with applications

István Berkes, Siegfried Hörmann, Lajos Horváth

▶ To cite this version:

István Berkes, Siegfried Hörmann, Lajos Horváth. The functional central limit theorem for a family of GARCH observations with applications. Statistics and Probability Letters, 2009, 78 (16), pp.2725. $10.1016/\mathrm{j.spl.}2008.03.021$. hal-00595953

HAL Id: hal-00595953

https://hal.science/hal-00595953

Submitted on 26 May 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

The functional central limit theorem for a family of GARCH observations with applications

István Berkes, Siegfried Hörmann, Lajos Horváth

PII: S0167-7152(08)00192-2 DOI: 10.1016/j.spl.2008.03.021

Reference: STAPRO 5031

To appear in: Statistics and Probability Letters

Received date: 24 June 2007 Revised date: 25 January 2008 Accepted date: 14 March 2008



Please cite this article as: Berkes, I., Hörmann, S., Horváth, L., The functional central limit theorem for a family of GARCH observations with applications. *Statistics and Probability Letters* (2008), doi:10.1016/j.spl.2008.03.021

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The functional central limit theorem for a family of GARCH observations with applications

István Berkes^{a)}
Graz University of Technology
Institute of Statistics
Steyrergasse 17/IV
8010 Graz, Austria
and
Siegfried Hörmann, Lajos Horváth^{b)}
Department of Mathematics
University of Utah
155 South 1440 East
Salt Lake City, UT 84112-0090, USA

Abstract

We consider polynomial GARCH(p,q) variables which define an important subclass of Duan's augmented GARCH(p,q) processes. We prove functional central limit theorems for the observations as well as for the volatility process under the assumption of finite second moments. The results imply the convergence of CUSUM, MOSUM and Dickey–Fuller statistics under optimal conditions.

Keywords: polynomial GARCH, functional central limit theorem, stationary solution **MSC 2000:** 60F17, 60M10

1 Introduction

Statistical properties of financial data have received considerable attention in the literature during the last three decades. One of the most often used models is the GARCH(p,q) sequence introduced by Engle (1982) and Bollerslev (1986). We say that $\{y_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a

^{a)}Research supported by OTKA grants T 43037, K 61052 and K 67961

 $^{^{\}rm b)} \rm Research$ supported by NSF grant DMS 0604670 and grant RGC-HKUST 6428/06H

GARCH(p, q) sequence if it satisfies the equations

$$y_k = \sigma_k \varepsilon_k \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\sigma_k^2 = \omega + \sum_{1 \le i \le p} \alpha_i y_{k-i}^2 + \sum_{1 \le j \le q} \beta_j \sigma_{k-j}^2,$$
 (1.2)

$$\omega > 0, \quad \alpha_i \ge 0, \quad 1 \le i \le p, \quad \beta_i \ge 0, \quad 1 \le j \le q.$$
 (1.3)

The necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a unique solution of (1.1) and (1.2) was obtained by Nelson (1990) for p = q = 1 and by Bougerol and Picard (1992a, b) in the general case. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\min(p,q) \geq 2$. To state the result of Bougerol and Picard (1992a, b) we put

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_n = (\beta_1 + \alpha_1 \varepsilon_n^2, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{q-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{q-1}, \quad \boldsymbol{\zeta}_n = (\varepsilon_n^2, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{q-1}$$

and $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{p-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p-2}$. Next we define a sequence of $(p+q-1) \times (p+q-1)$ matrices \mathbf{A}_n written in block form as

$$\mathbf{A}_n = egin{bmatrix} m{ au}_n & m{eta}_q & m{lpha} & m{lpha}_p \ m{I}_{q-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \ m{\zeta}_n & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & m{I}_{p-2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where I_j is an identity matrix of size j. A norm of a $d \times d$ matrix M is given by

$$\|\boldsymbol{M}\| = \sup \left\{ \|\boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{x}\|_d : \boldsymbol{x} \in R^d \text{ and } \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_d = 1 \right\},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_d$ is the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^d . We say that a solution to (1.1) and (1.2) is nonanticipative if y_k is independent of $\sigma(\{\varepsilon_j, j > k\})$. We can now state the result of Bougerol and Picard (1992a, b).

Theorem 1.1. If (1.3) holds, $E \log ||A_0|| < \infty$ and

$$\{\varepsilon_k, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$$
 are independent, identically distributed random variables, (1.4)

then (1.1) and (1.2) have a unique, nonanticipative, stationary and ergodic solution if and only if

$$\gamma_L = \inf_{0 \le n < \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} E \log \|\boldsymbol{A}_0 \boldsymbol{A}_1 \dots \boldsymbol{A}_n\| < 0.$$

We note that γ_L is the top Lyapunov exponent of the sequence \mathbf{A}_n . For further discussion of the existence of a GARCH(p,q) sequence we refer to Berkes et al. (2004). We also note that the moment condition $E \log \|\mathbf{A}_0\| < \infty$ in Theorem 1.1 is satisfied if $E \log(\varepsilon_0^2 + 1) < \infty$.

Duan (1997) defined the so-called augmented GARCH process which is a unification of several extensions of the GARCH model (1.1), (1.2). We shall say that $\{y_k, -\infty < k < \infty\}$ is an augmented GARCH(p,q) sequence if (1.1) and the volatility equation

$$\Lambda(\sigma_k^2) = \sum_{i=1}^p g_i(\varepsilon_{k-i}) + \sum_{j=1}^q c_j(\varepsilon_{k-j}) \Lambda(\sigma_{k-j}^2)$$

hold, where $\Lambda(\cdot)$, $g_i(\cdot)$ $(i=1,\ldots,p)$ and $c_j(\cdot)$ $(j=1,\ldots,q)$ are real valued measurable functions and Λ^{-1} exists. Motivated by the Box-Cox transformation of the original data, the function $\Lambda(\cdot)$ typically comprises the cases $\Lambda(x)=x^{\delta}$, $\delta>0$, or $\Lambda(x)=\log x$.

In the present paper we consider augmented GARCH sequences with $\Lambda(x) = x^{\delta}$, i.e. where the volatility process is given by the equation

$$\sigma_k^{2\delta} = \sum_{i=1}^p g_i(\varepsilon_{k-i}) + \sum_{j=1}^q c_j(\varepsilon_{k-j}) \sigma_{k-j}^{2\delta}, \quad \delta > 0.$$
 (1.5)

We shall call such a process $\{y_k, -\infty < k < \infty\}$ a polynomial GARCH sequence. In order to assure that the left-hand side in (1.5) is non-negative we assume that

$$g_i(\varepsilon_0) \ge 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, p) \quad \text{and} \quad c_j(\varepsilon_0) \ge 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, q).$$
 (1.6)

This framework includes GARCH(p,q), power GARCH(p,q) (cf. Carrasco and Chen (2002)) or asymmetric power GARCH(p,q) (cf. Ding et al. (1993)). For several further examples see also Carrasco and Chen (2002). Our goal is to establish functional central limit theorems (FCLTs) for the partial sum processes of the random variables

$$z_k^{(1)} = |y_k|^{\delta} \quad z_k^{(2)} = |y_k|^{\delta} \operatorname{sign}(y_k) \quad \text{and} \quad z_k^{(3)} = \sigma_k^{\delta}.$$
 (1.7)

Our main result in Chapter 2 will show that

$$S_n^{(\ell)}(t) = \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} \sum_{1 \le i \le nt} (z_i^{(\ell)} - Ez_0^{(\ell)}) \quad (\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad t \in [0, 1])$$

converges weakly to a Brownian motion under second moment assumptions. The proof is short and relies on a basic theorem of Billingsley (1968) who extended earlier work of Ibragimov (1962). The major advantage of our approach is that it frees the FCLT from the rather restrictive smoothness and moment conditions required by the earlier theory. In Section 2 we discuss the earlier approaches in this field. We will also give a simple necessary and sufficient criterion for the existence of a strictly stationary solution to (1.1) and (1.5) with finite second moments. Our results are stated in Section 2. In Section 3 we give applications of the FCLT for statistical inference on time series models such as change point detection and unit root testing. The proofs are given in Section 4.

2 Results

Lemma 2.1 below gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a strictly stationary solution of (1.5) with $E\sigma_0^{2\delta} < \infty$. The proof is standard but for the sake of completeness it will be given in Section 4.

Lemma 2.1. Assume that (1.4) and (1.6) hold. Then a unique nonanticipative and strictly stationary solution of (1.5) with $E\sigma_0^{2\delta} < \infty$ exists if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} Eg_i(\varepsilon_0) < \infty \quad and \quad \sum_{j=1}^{q} Ec_j(\varepsilon_0) < 1.$$
 (2.1)

Assuming without loss of generality that p = q, we have under (2.1)

$$\sigma_k^{2\delta} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \le l_1, \dots, l_m \le p} g_{l_m}(\varepsilon_{k-l_1-l_2-\dots-l_m}) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} c_{l_i}(\varepsilon_{k-l_1-l_2-\dots-l_i}) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and

$$E\sigma_0^{2\delta} = \left(\sum_{s=1}^p Eg_s(\varepsilon_0)\right) \frac{1}{1 - \left(Ec_1(\varepsilon_0) + \dots + Ec_p(\varepsilon_0)\right)}.$$

Remark 2.1. Using the independence of ε_0 and σ_0 it follows that a necessary and sufficient condition for $E|y_0|^{2\delta} < \infty$ is (2.1) and $E|\varepsilon_0|^{2\delta} < \infty$.

Specialized to the GARCH(p, q) model we recover a result of Bollerslev (1986) and Bougerol and Picard (1992b, p. 122). They show that a strictly stationary solution to (1.1) and

(1.2) satisfying $Ey_0^2 < \infty$ exists if and only if

$$(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_p)E\varepsilon_0^2 + (\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_q) < 1.$$

For notational convenience we will write from now on z_k when we mean one of the random variables $z_k^{(\ell)}$ ($\ell = 1, 2, 3$) in (1.7). The same convention will be used for $S_n^{(\ell)}(t)$.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that (1.4) holds. If a strictly stationary solution of (1.1) and (1.5) with $Ez_0^2 < \infty$ exists, then the sum

$$\tau^{2} = \operatorname{Var} z_{0} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq k < \infty} \operatorname{Cov}(z_{0}, z_{k})$$
 (2.2)

is convergent and

$$S_n(t) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}[0,1]} \tau W(t)$$

where $\{W(t), \ 0 \le t \le 1\}$ is a standard Brownian motion.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no functional CLT for this general class of heteroscedastic time series. However, for the convenience of the reader we shall recall some existing results for the GARCH(p,q) models below. The typical approach is to show that a specific dependence property (e.g. mixing, NED, association, etc.) holds and the results are deduced from a more general theory. However, the verification of many well known dependence properties is rather delicate and requires unnecessary side conditions on the model such as smoothness and higher moments. Assuming more than two moments is clearly undesirable in applications since even in the case of GARCH(p,q) processes, computing the pth moment from the parameters is an open problem with the exception of some integral p's.

A possible method to prove a functional CLT is to use the Markov structure of the model to obtain mixing properties and then to employ the theory of mixing variables to deduce the desired asymptotics. A detailed study of β -mixing properties was given by Carrasco and Chen (2002). Their results cover many augmented GARCH(1,1) models and the PGARCH(p,q) processes. It remains, however, open whether β -mixing holds for the general model (1.1)–(1.5). Note also that the approach of Carrasco and Chen requires fairly

restrictive conditions on the ε_k 's, such as a continuous and positive density on the real line. Further it is known that β -mixing does not imply the CLT under finite second moments (cf. Bradley (1983)). An overview on different dependence structures occurring in econometric time series models can be found in Ango Nze and Doukhan (2004). Besides mixing, they consider e.g. NED (near-epoch dependence), association and the weak dependence concepts introduced by Doukhan and Louhichi (1999). An elaborate treatment of weak dependence with applications is presented in Dedecker et al. (2007).

For GARCH(p,q) sequences various further dependence structures have been applied in order to obtain FCLTs by different authors and we shall review now the corresponding results. Let us first recall that if $E\varepsilon_0 = 0$, then $\{y_k, -\infty < k < \infty\}$ is a stationary and ergodic martingale difference sequence. Hence by Theorem 23.1 of Billingsley (1968) we obtain a functional CLT under $Ey_0^2 < \infty$. However, if $E\varepsilon_0 \neq 0$, or if we consider the absolute values $|y_k|$ or the conditional variances σ_k^2 the martingale structure no longer applies. Using NED, Davidson (2002) and Hansen (1991) obtained the weak convergence of $n^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} y_k$ to a Brownian motion if $Ey_0^4 < \infty$ instead of $Ey_0^2 < \infty$. The weak dependence concepts of Doukhan and Louhichi have been used in Berkes et al. (2004) to obtain a functional CLT for the squared observations y_k^2 under $E|y_0|^{8+\delta} < \infty$. A recent result of Doukhan and Wintenberger (2007) implies that the latter result holds under $E|y_0|^{4+\delta} < \infty$. Giraitis et al. (2007) observed that an ARCH(∞) sequence is associated. This follows from the fact that i.i.d. r.v.'s are associated and that association is inherited by coordinatewise non-decreasing transformations. Applying the well known result of Newman and Wright (1981), they deduce a functional CLT for the partial sums processes of the squared observations y_k^2 under the sole assumption $Ey_0^4 < \infty$. However, whether association is applicable for the general model considered in this paper is unclear. Since we do not assume that the functions $g_i(\cdot)$ or $c_i(\cdot)$ in (1.5) are non-decreasing, we cannot assume that association is inherited from the driving i.i.d. process. Also the concept of association seems not to be applicable for the original GARCH variables, but only for the squared observations. These results show that the availability of a specific dependence structure often requires fairly restrictive regularity conditions on the underlying process.

For example, in the case of the AR-GARCH model all existing Dickey-Fuller tests, as well as the underlying functional CLT's require the existence of 4 moments of the process. Technically, the requirement of the finiteness of higher moments of y_0 is a restriction on the functions $g_i(\cdot)$ and $c_j(\cdot)$ in (1.5). However, as we have already noted, even for the GARCH(p,q) model it is very difficult to connect the moments of y_0 with the specific model (cf. Ling and McAleer (2002)).

Our approach is based on a theorem due to Billingsley (1968). The crucial observation is that augmented GARCH(p,q) sequences can be well approximated with m-dependent random variables. Indeed, truncating the infinite series for $\sigma_k^{2\delta}$ at m (see Lemma 2.1) yields pm-dependent random variables $\sigma_{km}^{2\delta}$. According to Billingsley's result the FCLT follows if $E(\sigma_k^{\delta} - \sigma_{km}^{\delta})^2$ tends to zero sufficiently fast. We note that m-dependence was explored in Giraitis et al. (2000) to obtain a central limit theorem for ARCH (∞) sequences.

3 Applications

Example 3.1. The CUSUM (cumulative sum) statistics defined by

$$C_n = \max_{1 \le k \le n} \left| \sum_{1 \le i \le k} z_i - \frac{k}{n} \sum_{1 \le i \le n} z_i \right|$$

is one of the most often used statistics to test for the stability of $\{z_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. While with $z_k = \text{sign}(y_k)|y_k|^{\delta}$ we test for stability in the mean, the choice $z_k = |y_k|^{\delta}$ or $z_k = \sigma_k^{\delta}$ is used for testing stability in the volatility. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1

$$\frac{C_n}{\tau n^{1/2}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |B(t)|, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\{B(t), 0 \le t \le 1\}$ is a Brownian bridge and τ is defined by (2.2). The MOSUM (moving sum) version of C_n is

$$M_n = \max_{nh < k \le n} \left| \sum_{k-nh < i < k} z_i - h \sum_{1 \le i \le n} z_i \right|,$$

where 0 < h < 1. Under the conditions of Theorem 2.1

$$\frac{M_n}{\tau n^{1/2}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \sup_{h < t < 1} |B(t) - B(t - h)|. \tag{3.2}$$

In order to use (3.1) and (3.2) we need to estimate τ . One could use, for example, the Bartlett estimator (cf. Giraitis et al. (2003)).

For a review on CUSUM and MOSUM we refer to Csörgő and Horváth (1997). Zeileis et al. (2005) provides a comparison between CUSUM and MOSUM.

Example 3.2. CUSUM as well as MOSUM require the estimation of τ^2 . The estimation of τ^2 is not needed if ratio based statistics are used. Following Kim (2000) and Taylor (2005) we define

$$K_n(t) = \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{1/2} \max_{nt \le k \le n} \left| \sum_{nt < i < k} z_i - \frac{k-nt}{n(1-t)} \sum_{nt < i < n} z_i \right| / \max_{1 \le k \le nt} \left| \sum_{1 < i < k} z_i - \frac{k}{nt} \sum_{1 < i < nt} z_i \right|.$$

If the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied, then for any $0 < \delta < 1/2$

$$K_n(t) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}[\delta, 1-\delta]} \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^{1/2} \sup_{t \le s \le 1} \left| W(s) - W(t) - \frac{s-t}{1-t} (W(1) - W(t)) \right| / \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \left| W(s) - \frac{s}{t} W(t) \right|.$$

Functionals of $K_n(t)$ can be considered as ratio based versions of the Kwiatowski et al. (1992) test.

Example 3.3. Starting with $x_0 = 0$ we define

$$x_k = \varrho x_{k-1} + z_k \quad 1 \le k < \infty,$$

where z_k is one of the $z_k^{(i)}$, i=1,2,3, defined in (1.7). We assume that $E\varepsilon_0=0$. The least square estimator for ϱ is given by

$$\widehat{\varrho}_n = \sum_{1 \le k \le n} z_k z_{k-1} / \sum_{1 \le k \le n} z_{k-1}^2.$$

If $\rho = 1$ (unit root), then under the conditions of Theorem 2.1

$$n(\widehat{\varrho}_n - 1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \left(\int_0^1 W(s) dW(s) \right) / \int_0^1 W^2(s) ds.$$
 (3.3)

The result in (3.3) is the asymptotics for the Dickey–Fuller test with augmented GARCH(p,q) errors. The same result was obtained by Ling, Li and McAleer (2003) assuming p=q=1, $E\varepsilon_0^4 < \infty$ and the existence of a symmetric density of ε_0 .

4 Proofs

Proof of Lemma 2.1. We can assume without loss of generality that p = q. Repeated application of (1.5) yields

$$\sigma_k^{2\delta} = \sum_{1 \le l_1 \le p} g_{l_1}(\varepsilon_{k-l_1}) + \sum_{1 \le l_1, l_2 \le p} c_{l_1}(\varepsilon_{k-l_1}) g_{l_2}(\varepsilon_{k-l_1-l_2}) + \sum_{1 \le l_1, l_2 \le p} c_{l_1}(\varepsilon_{k-l_1}) c_{l_2}(\varepsilon_{k-l_1-l_2}) \sigma_{k-l_1-l_2}^{2\delta}$$

and more generally for n > 2

$$\sigma_k^{2\delta} = \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{1 \le l_1, \dots, l_m \le p} g_{l_m} (\varepsilon_{k-l_1-l_2-\dots-l_m}) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} c_{l_i} (\varepsilon_{k-l_1-l_2-\dots-l_i})$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \le l_1, \dots, l_n \le p} \prod_{i=1}^n c_{l_i} (\varepsilon_{k-l_1-l_2-\dots-l_i}) \sigma_{k-l_1-\dots-l_n}^{2\delta}.$$

$$(4.1)$$

Because of (1.6) the random variable

$$X_{k} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \le l_{1}, \dots, l_{m} \le p} g_{l_{m}}(\varepsilon_{k-l_{1}-l_{2}-\dots-l_{m}}) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} c_{l_{i}}(\varepsilon_{k-l_{1}-l_{2}-\dots-l_{i}})$$
(4.2)

is well defined. These observations suggest to set $\sigma_k^{2\delta} = X_k$ as solution of (1.5). It is easy to see that formally this defines a strictly stationary (and ergodic) solution of equation (1.5). It remains to show that X_k is a.s. finite, which will follow if we show that $EX_k < \infty$. Observe that by (1.4)

$$E\left(\sum_{1 \le l_1, l_2 \le n} g_{l_m}(\varepsilon_{k-l_1-l_2-\dots-l_m}) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} c_{l_i}(\varepsilon_{k-l_1-l_2-\dots-l_i})\right) = GC^{m-1}, \tag{4.3}$$

with $G = Eg_1(\varepsilon_0) + \cdots + Eg_p(\varepsilon_0)$ and $C = Ec_1(\varepsilon_0) + \cdots + Ec_p(\varepsilon_0)$. By the monotone convergence theorem and (2.1) it follows that

$$EX_k = \frac{G}{1 - C} < \infty.$$

Assume now that $\hat{\sigma}_k^{2\delta}$ is another stationary nonanticipative solution of (1.5), such that $E\hat{\sigma}_k^{2\delta} < \infty$. Obviously $\hat{\sigma}_k^{2\delta}$ has to satisfy (4.1) for every $n \geq 1$ and thus we get by (1.4), (1.6) and the stationarity of $\hat{\sigma}_k^{2\delta} - \sigma_k^{2\delta}$, that

$$\begin{split} E|\hat{\sigma}_{k}^{2\delta} - \sigma_{k}^{2\delta}| &\leq E\bigg(\sum_{1 \leq l_{1}, \dots, l_{n} \leq p} \prod_{i=1}^{n} c_{l_{i}}(\varepsilon_{k-l_{1}-l_{2}-\dots-l_{i}})|\hat{\sigma}_{k-l_{1}-\dots-l_{n}}^{2\delta} - \sigma_{k-l_{1}-\dots-l_{n}}^{2\delta}|\bigg) \\ &= C^{n}E|\hat{\sigma}_{0}^{2\delta} - \sigma_{0}^{2\delta}|. \end{split}$$

Since C < 1 it follows that $E|\hat{\sigma}_k^{2\delta} - \sigma_k^{2\delta}| = 0$, and hence the solution is unique. The proof of Lemma 2.1 is now complete.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We show the functional CLT for the sequence $\{z_k^{(3)}, k \geq 1\}$. The proof for $\{z_k^{(1)}, k \geq 1\}$ and $\{z_k^{(2)}, k \geq 1\}$ is similar. Let $\eta_k = \sigma_k^{\delta} - E\sigma_0^{\delta}$ and note that by Lemma 2.1 $\eta_k = f(\dots, \varepsilon_{k-2}, \varepsilon_{k-1})$ where f is a measurable mapping from the space of infinite sequences into \mathbb{R} . According to Theorem 21.1 of Billingsley (1968), for the proof of Theorem 2.1 it is enough to find measurable mappings f_{ℓ} from \mathbb{R}^{ℓ} into \mathbb{R} such that

$$\sum_{1 \le \ell < \infty} \left(E(\eta_0 - \eta_{0\ell})^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\eta_{0\ell} = f_{\ell}(\varepsilon_{-\ell}, \varepsilon_{-\ell+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{-1}).$

Every positive integer ℓ can be written as

$$\ell = pK + r,\tag{4.5}$$

where K, r are integers satisfying $K \ge 0$ and $0 \le r \le p-1$. Next we define

$$\sigma_{0\ell}^{2\delta} = \sum_{1 \le m \le K} \sum_{1 \le l_1, \dots, l_m \le p} g_{l_m}(\varepsilon_{-l_1 - l_2 - \dots - l_m}) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} c_{l_i}(\varepsilon_{-l_1 - l_2 - \dots - l_i})$$

where K is defined in (4.5). (We define $\sigma_{0\ell} = 0$ if K = 0, i.e. $0 \le \ell \le p - 1$.) Let

$$\eta_{0\ell} = \sigma_{0\ell}^{\delta} - E\sigma_{0}^{\delta}.$$

Then $\eta_{0\ell}$ is a function of $\varepsilon_{-\ell}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{-1}$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_{0} - \eta_{0\ell}| &\leq (\sigma_{0}^{2\delta} - \sigma_{0\ell}^{2\delta})^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\sum_{K+1 \leq m \leq \infty} \sum_{1 \leq l_{1}, \dots, l_{m} \leq p} g_{l_{m}} (\varepsilon_{-l_{1} - l_{2} - \dots - l_{m}}) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} c_{l_{i}} (\varepsilon_{-l_{1} - l_{2} - \dots - l_{i}}) \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.3) it follows that

$$E(\eta_0 - \eta_{0\ell})^2 \le \frac{G}{1 - C} C^K,$$

where K is given in (4.5). This shows (4.4).

References

- [1] Ango Nze, P. and Doukhan, P. (2004). Weak dependence: models and applications to econometrics. *Econometric Theory* **20** 995–1045.
- [2] Aue, A., Berkes, I. and Horváth, L. (2006). Strong approximation for the sums of squares of augmented GARCH sequences. Bernoulli 12 583–608.
- [3] Berkes, I., Horváth, L., Kokoszka, P. (2004). Probabilistic and statistical properties of GARCH processes. Asymptotic methods in stochastics, Fields Inst. Commun., 44, Amer. Math. Soc. 409–429.
- [4] Billingsley, P. (1968). Convergence of Probability Measures. Wiley, New York.
- [5] Bollerslev, T. (1986). Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity. J. Econometrics 31 307–327.
- [6] Bougerol, P. and Picard, N. (1992a). Strict stationarity of generalized autoregressive processes. Ann. Probab. 20 1714–1730.
- [7] Bougerol, P. and Picard, N. (1992b). Stationarity of GARCH processes and of some nonnegative time series. J. Econometrics 52 115–127.
- [8] Bradley, R. C. (1983). Information regularity and the central limit question. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 13 77-97.
- [9] Carrasco, M. and Chen, X. (2002). Mixing and moment properties of various GARCH and stochastic volatility models. *Econometric Theory* 18 17–39.
- [10] Csörgő, M. and Horváth, L. (1997). Limit Theorems in Change-point Analysis. Wiley, New York.
- [11] Davidson, J. (2002). Establishing conditions for the functional central limit theorem in nonlinear and semiparametric time series processes. *J. Econometrics* **106** 243–269.

- [12] Dedecker, J., Doukhan, P., Lang, G., Léon, J.R., Louhichi, S. and Prieur, C. (2007).
 Weak dependence, examples and applications. Springer Lecture Notes in Statistis
 190.
- [13] Ding, Z., Granger, C. W. J., Engle, R. (1993). A long memory property of stock market returns and a new model. J. Empirical Finance 1 83–106.
- [14] Doukhan, P. and Louhichi S. (1999). A new weak dependence condition and applications to moment inequalities. Stoch. Process. Appl. 84 313–342.
- [15] Doukhan, P. and Wintenberger O. (2007). An invariance principle for weakly dependent stationary general models. *Prob. Math. Stat.* **27** 45–73.
- [16] Duan, J.-C. (1997). Augmented GARCH(p,q) process and its diffusion limit. J. Econometrics **79** 97–127.
- [17] Engle, R. F. (1982). Autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity with estimates of the variance of United Kingdom inflation. *Econometrica* **50** 987–1007.
- [18] Giraitis, L., Leipus, R., Surgailis, D. (2007). Recent advances in ARCH modelling. In Long memory in economics, 3–38, Springer
- [19] Giraitis, L., Kokoszka, P. S., Leipus, R. and Teyssiere, G. (2003). Rescaled variance and related tests for long memory in volatility and levels. J. Econometrics 112 265— 294.
- [20] Giraitis, L., Kokoszka, P. S., Leipus, R. (2000). Stationary ARCH models: dependence structure and central limit theorem. *Econometric Theory* **16** 3–22.
- [21] Hansen, B. (1991). GARCH(1,1) processes are near epoch dependent. Econom. Lett.36 181–186.
- [22] Ibragimov, I. A. (1962). Some limit theorems for stationary processes. Theor. Probability Appl. 7 349–382.

- [23] Kim, J. (2000). Detection of change in persistence of a linear time series. J. Econometrics 95 97–116.
- [24] Kwiatowski, D., Phillips, P. C. B., Schmidt, P. and Shin, Y. (1992). Testing the null hypothesis of stationarity against the alternative of a unit root: how sure are we that economic time series have a unit root? *J. Econometrics* **54** 159–178.
- [25] Ling, S., Li, W. K. and McAleer, M. (2003). Estimation and testing for unit root processes with GARCH(1,1) errors: theory and Monte Carlo evidence. *Econometric Reviews* 22 179–202.
- [26] Ling, S. and McAleer, M. (2002). Necessary and sufficient moment conditions for GARCH(r, s) and asymmetric power GARCH(r, s) model. *Econometric Theory* 18 722–729.
- [27] Nelson, D. B. (1990). Stationarity and persistence in the GARCH (1, 1) model. Econometric Theory 6 318–334.
- [28] Newman, C. M. and Wright, A. L. (1981). An invariance principle for certain dependent sequences. Ann. Probab. 9 671–675.
- [29] Taylor, A. M. R. (2005). Fluctuation tests for a change in persistence. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 67 207–230.
- [30] Zeileis, A., Leisch, F., Kleiber, C. and Hornik, K. (2005). Monitoring structural change in dynamic econometric models. J. Appl. Econometrics 20 99–121.