

# Phenotypic variability in patients with retinal dystrophies due to mutations in CRB1

Robert H H Henderson, Donna S Mackay, Zheng Li, Phillip Moradi, Panagiotis Sergouniotis, Isabelle Russell-Eggitt, Dorothy A Thompson, Anthony G Robson, Graham E Holder, Andrew R Webster, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Robert H H Henderson, Donna S Mackay, Zheng Li, Phillip Moradi, Panagiotis Sergouniotis, et al.. Phenotypic variability in patients with retinal dystrophies due to mutations in CRB1. British Journal of Ophthalmology, 2010, 95 (6), pp.811. 10.1136/bjo.2010.186882. hal-00595938

# HAL Id: hal-00595938 https://hal.science/hal-00595938v1

Submitted on 26 May 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 TITLE: Phenotypic variability in patients with retinal dystrophies due to mutations in CRB1 2 3 **AUTHORS:** 4 1. Robert H Henderson 1,2,3 MRCOphth MD (Corresponding author) 5 2. Donna S Mackay 2 PhD 6 3. Zheng Li 2 PhD 7 4. Phillip Moradi 1,2,3 MRCOphth 5. Panagiotis Sergouniotis 1,2 8 9 6. Isabelle Russell-Eggitt 4 FRCOphth 10 7. Dorothy A Thompson 4 PhD 11 8. Anthony G Robson 1,2 PhD 12 9. Graham E Holder 1,2 PhD 13 10. Andrew R Webster 1,2 MD FRCOphth 14 11. Anthony T Moore 1,2,3 FRCOphth FMedSci 15 16 **Institutions:** 17 1) Moorfields Eye Hospital, 162 City Road, London EC1V 2PD 18 2) UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, 11-36 Bath Street, London. EC1V 9EL 19 3) Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children, Great Ormond Street, London. WC1N 20 3JH 21 4) Ulverscroft vision research group, Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children, Great

25

24

22

23

Corresponding author address: Professorial Unit, Moorfields Eye Hospital, 162 City Road,

London. EC1V 2PD r.henderson@ucl.ac.uk tel: +44 207 566 2260 fax: +44 207 608 6830

Ormond Street, London. WC1N 3JH

27 **ABSTRACT:** 28 **Objectives:** To identify CRB1 mutations in a large cohort of patients with recessive retinal 29 dystrophies and to document the retinal phenotype and visual prognosis. 30 31 **Design:** A hospital based cross sectional study of children and adults with recessive retinal 32 dystrophies. 33 34 **Participants:** Three hundred and six patients with Leber's congenital amaurosis (LCA), 35 early onset childhood retinal dystrophy (EORD), or juvenile onset retinitis pigmentosa (arRP) 36 were recruited to the study and gave blood samples for molecular genetic analysis 37 38 **Methods:** A detailed clinical examination was performed including: LogMAR visual acuity, 39 refraction, Goldmann visual fields, slit-lamp biomicroscopy, fundus photography, 40 autofluorescence imaging and optical coherence tomography. The results of 41 electrophysiology testing were available in all patients. DNA was obtained for molecular 42 genetic analysis. Initial screening for mutations was performed using the LCA chip (Asper 43 Ophthalmics). Patients who had one or more CRB1 mutations identified on the chip, and 44 other patients whose phenotype suggested a CRB1 genotype, underwent direct sequencing. In 45 addition, consanguineous families segregating recessive RP underwent a whole genome scan 46 using Affymetrix gene chips (Affymetrix UK Ltd) and affected family members showing 47 linkage to the RP12 locus underwent sequencing of the CRB1 gene.

**Main outcome measures:** Identification of patients with mutations in CRB1 and detailed documentation of the clinical phenotype

48

49

50

Results: Mutations in CRB1, including 17 novel mutations, were identified in 41 patients from 32 families. We identified both disease mutations in 34 patients from 26 families and these patients underwent detailed phenotyping. Common phenotypic features included hypermetropic refractive error; nummular pigmentation at the level of the RPE; and increased retinal thickness on OCT. Most patients had a clinical and electrophysiological phenotype consistent with a diagnosis of LCA or rod-cone dystrophy but three patients had ERG evidence of cone-rod degeneration. A minority of patients developed peripheral retinal telangiectasia, which in some cases led to seclusio pupillae and angle closure glaucoma.

Conclusion: Mutations in CRB1 are associated with a range of recessively inherited retinal

dystrophies including LCA, childhood and juvenile onset rod-cone, and cone-rod dystrophies.

Although the phenotype is usually severe, in milder cases there is a window of opportunity

for therapeutic intervention in early childhood.

Retinitis Pigmentosa (RP) is a generic term used to describe a group of inherited retinal degenerations that lead to death of rod and cone photoreceptors. RP has a prevalence of about 1 in 3-4000. It is clinically and genetically heterogeneous with autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive and X-linked inheritance being described.[1] Even amongst these subtypes there is considerable genetic heterogeneity with over 100 genes currently known (http://www.sph.uth.tmc.edu/retnet/). One of the challenges for the clinician is how to utilise the results of molecular genetic testing to improve clinical care and our understanding of disease mechanisms. Detailed knowledge of genotype/phenotype correlations allows targeted and therefore cost-effective molecular testing, clearly advantageous in a disorder with so many causative genes, and will help determine which disorders should be prioritised for the development of novel therapies.

Retinitis Pigmentosa with preservation of the para-arteriolar RPE(PPRPE) was first described by Heckenlively et al in 1982.[2] In this disorder there is relative preservation of retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) adjacent to and under retinal arterioles. In 1994, van den Born et al[3] reported a clinical study of 22 patients from one large family with PPRPE. Subsequent molecular genetic testing identified the disease locus on 1q31-32.1[4] and den Hollander et al[5] subsequently identified *CRB1* as the causative gene.

The *CRB1* gene is analogous to the Drosophila Melanogaster Crumbs protein that is involved in the establishment of the polarity of epithelial cells.[6,7] The CRB1 protein is found at the apical region of all retinal epithelial cells, the rod and cone photoreceptor cells and Muller glial cells(MGCs) in the adult mouse retina.[8] It co-localises with the zonula-adherens(ZA) and is a major component of the outer limiting membrane. Mutations in *CRB1* have been

identified in a variety of human retinal disorders including Leber congenital amaurosis (LCA),[9-11] PPRPE,[10] and retinitis pigmentosa.[12,13]

The aim of this study was to identify mutations in *CRB1* in a large cohort of patients and to characterise the ocular phenotype.

#### **METHODS**

Patient recruitment: Patients with a diagnosis of LCA or early childhood onset retinal dystrophy(EORD), and families with autosomal recessive RP where there was parental consanguinity were recruited from two centres – Moorfields Eye Hospital and Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children, London, UK. Ethics committee approval was obtained and research procedures were carried out in accordance with institutional guidelines and the declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained for all subjects prior to their participation in the study.

Clinical Definitions: All subjects had a family history consistent with AR inheritance. Patients were diagnosed as having LCA if vision was poor or absent in the first 3 months after birth, and where there was no recordable electroretinogram. A diagnosis of early onset retinal dystrophy was made when symptoms and/or signs were present before the age of 5 years and subsequently, on electroretinography, there was evidence of a severe cone-rod or rod-cone dystrophy. Children older than 5 years with later onset of symptoms and signs of a retinal dystrophy were termed 'juvenile onset' or were labelled synonymously as autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa(ARRP).

Clinical Evaluation: A full clinical history and examination was performed in all subjects. In older children and adults this included best corrected LogMAR visual acuity and colour vision testing using the Hardy-Rand-Rittler pseudoisochromatic plates(Richmond Products). In the low vision range, for the purposes of later analysis, LogMAR values of 2.0 were applied for counting fingers acuity; 3.0 for hand movements, 5.0 for light perception and 6.0 for no light perception.[14] Visual field testing using Goldmann perimetry was performed in

those with stable fixation. Subsequent analysis of the visual field area was performed using planimetry within the V4e isopter.

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

121

122

Refraction data were ascertained either by obtaining an objective cycloplegic refraction using neutralising lenses, or using an autorefractor(Luneau L62-3D autorefractokeratometer -LUNEAU Chartres, France). Fundus photographs were obtained where possible using the Topcon retinal camera(TRC-50IX with IMAGEnet 2000 system software - TOPCON corporation, Japan). Optical Coherence Tomography scans were performed on a Stratus OCT<sup>TM</sup>(Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc. Dublin, CA); further OCT imaging was performed in some patients using the Topcon 3d OCT-1000 and the Spectralis spectral domain OCT(Heidelberg engineering, Germany). Fundus autofluorescence images were obtained using the Heidelberg Retinal Angiograph-II(Heidelberg engineering, Germany). Axial length and keratometry measurements were obtained using the IOL Master(Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin CA). All patients had electrophysiological testing performed either at our institutions or at the referring hospital: many recordings were historical and either preceded ISCEV Standard recordings or incorporated the ISCEV standards pertaining at the time. Where further electrophysiological testing was required to make or confirm a diagnosis, adults and older children had electroretinography conducted in accordance with current ISCEV standards using gold foil or DTL electrodes.[15,16] ERG testing for infants and young children in our institutions was performed using skin electrodes in accordance with previously published protocols.[17,18]

141

142

143

144

#### Genotyping

DNA was extracted from whole blood obtained from each affected individual and immediate family members using the Nucleon BACC-2 kit(GE Healthcare) performed as per manufacturer's instructions.

150

152

153

154

146

151 DNA samples from patients with LCA and EORD were sent to Asper Ophthalmics(Tartu,

Estonia) for analysis using the LCA chip.[19] In those subjects in whom a mutation in CRB1

was identified, DNA samples were then sequenced to confirm the chip findings and, where

only one mutation was found, to identify a second change.

155

156

157

158

159

DNA from consanguineous families with autosomal recessive RP were analysed using the

Affymetrix 100K gene chip. Those showing linkage to the 1q31-32 region were sequenced

for CRB1 mutations. DNA samples from patients with recessive RP who had a retinal

phenotype characteristic of *CRB1* were also sequenced.

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

Oligonucleotide primer pairs (appendix 1) were created to cover the exon and splice site

junctions (based on RefSeq accession number NM\_201253.1) and were designed using

Primer 3(http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/primer3/primer3\_www.cgi) and ordered from

Sigma-Genosys(Sigma-Aldrich, UK). The amplified products were sequenced with Big Dye

terminator v1.1 cycle sequencing kits(Applied Biosystems, Cheshire, UK) according to

manufacturer's instructions. The pathogenic impact of the CRB1 sequence variants caused by

non-synonymous changes of the protein sequence was evaluated through SIFT

(http://sift.jcvi.org) and PolyPhen(http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph/ index.html) sequence

homology-based programmes.

### 171 RESULTS

DNA samples from 250 probands with LCA/EORD were analysed using the LCA chip. 21 probands were found to have mutations in *CRB1*; 7 had two mutations and 14 had one mutation identified. All mutations were confirmed by direct sequencing and a second mutation was identified in 11 patients. Two recessive RP families, who were studied with Affymetrix 100k gene chips, showed linkage to the RP12 locus and were found to have homozygous mutations in *CRB1*. A further 14 RP patients with a clinical phenotype suggestive of CRB1 related disease were also sequenced; 9 were found to have mutations in *CRB1* and two mutations were identified in 6 of these patients.

| Patient | Diagnosis      | Exon | Nucleotide | Protein 1    | Exon | Nucleotide 2 | Protein 2    |
|---------|----------------|------|------------|--------------|------|--------------|--------------|
| No.     |                |      | 1          |              |      |              |              |
| 1       | EORCD          | 9    | 2843G>A    | C948Y        |      |              |              |
| 2       | LCA            | 2    | 614T>C     | 1205T        |      |              |              |
| 3       | EORD           | 9    | 3037C>T*   | Q1013X*      |      |              |              |
| 4       | ARRP           | 7    | 21 29A>T   | E710V*       |      |              |              |
| 5       | ARRP + retinal | 7    | 2290C>T    | R764C        |      |              |              |
|         | telangiectasia |      |            |              |      |              |              |
| 6, 7    | ARRP/LCA       | 9    | 3103C>T*   | H1035Y*      |      |              |              |
| 8, 9    | EORCD          | 7    | 2401A>T    | K801X        | 12   | 4094C>A*     | A1365D*      |
| 10- 15  | EOCRD/EORCD/   | 3    | 750T>G     | C250W        | 3    | 750T>G       | C250W        |
|         | ARRP + retinal |      |            |              |      |              |              |
|         | telangiectasia |      |            |              |      |              |              |
| 16      | LCA            | 7    | 2548G>A    | G850S        | 9    | 3307G >C     | G1103R       |
| 17      | LCA            | 7    | 2401A>T    | K801X        | 9    | 2843G>A      | C948Y        |
| 18      | EORCD          | 7    | 2290C>T    | R764C        | 7    | 2401A>T      | K801X        |
| 19      | LCA            | 9    | 3542dupG*  | C1181WfsX12* | 9    | 3542dupG*    | C1181WfsX12* |
| 20      | EORCD          | 9    | 2843G>A    | C948Y        | 7    | 2222T>C      | M 741T       |
| 21      | LCA            | 9    | 2843G>A    | C948 Y       | 3    | 717_718InsG* | Q240fsX20*   |
| 22      | LCA            | 7    | 2548G>A    | G850S        | 12   | 4142C>T*     | P1381L*      |
| 23      | LCA            | 9    | 2843G>A    | C948 Y       | 9    | 3655T>G*     | C1174G*      |
| 24      | LCA            | 9    | 2843G>A    | C948 Y       | 6    | 1576C>T*     | R526X*       |

| 25     | LCA             | 9 | 2843G>A    | C948 Y      | 9 | 2843G>A    | C948Y       |
|--------|-----------------|---|------------|-------------|---|------------|-------------|
| 26     | EORCD           | 9 | 2843G>A    | C948Y       | 9 | 2843G>A    | C948Y       |
| 27, 28 | LCA             | 7 | 2676 delG* | K892NfsX95* | 7 | 2676de IG* | K892NfsX95* |
| 29     | LCA             | 7 | 2548G>A    | G850S       | 9 | 3008T>C*   | I1003T*     |
| 30     | ARRP            | 9 | 2843G>A    | C948Y       | 7 | 2129A>T*   | E710V*      |
| 31     | LCA             | 9 | 2842G>A    | C948 Y      | 6 | 2043G>A*   | C681X*      |
| 32     | ARRP            | 7 | 2129A>T    | E710V*      | 7 | 2234C>T    | T745M       |
| 33     | ARRP            | 7 | 2401A>T    | K801X       | 9 | 3035T>C*   | L1012S*     |
| 34     | ARRP + retinal  | 9 | 3074 G>A*  | S1025A*     | 9 | 3074 G>A*  | S1025A*     |
|        | telangiectasia  |   |            |             |   |            |             |
| 35, 36 | EORCD           | 7 | 2536G>A    | G846R       | 7 | 2536G>A    | G846R       |
| 37     | EOCRD           | 2 | 470G>C*    | C157S*      | 7 | 2506C>A    | P8 36 T     |
| 38     | EOCRD + retinal | 4 | 936T>G*    | N312K*      | 9 | 2843G>A    | C948Y       |
|        | telangiectasia  |   |            |             |   |            |             |
| 39     | LCA             | 7 | 2401A>T    | K801X       | 9 | 3320T>C    | L1107P      |
| 40     | ARRP + retinal  | 6 | 2025G>T*   | W675C*      | 9 | 3520 T>G   | C1174G      |
|        | telangiectasia  |   |            |             |   |            |             |
| 41     | EORCD+ retinal  | 7 | 2234C>T    | T745M       | 8 | 2688T>A    | C896X       |
|        | telangiectasia  |   |            |             |   |            |             |

Table 1

Of the cohort of 306 patients, 41 patients from 32 families were identified as having mutations of *CRBI*(Table 1). Thirty different sequence variants were identified, two thirds of which were in exons 7 & 9. Seventeen novel disease associated sequence variants were found. None of these variants were found in 100 normal controls, and appropriate segregation of alleles in family members was confirmed where DNA was available. The most common mutation identified was the p.C948Y variant which was present in 13 alleles of 11 patients. Six probands had one mutation found and in 26 probands both alleles were identified. Of the latter group, 7 had homozygous mutations and 19 had compound heterozygous mutations. Mutations in the *CRB1* gene were identified in 7% of the LCA/EORD cohort.

## **Clinical Findings**

Thirty-four patients from 26 families had two CRB1 mutations identified. They ranged in age from 2-48 years(mean 19 years; 22 male, 12 female). Twenty-one patients were Caucasian, 11 were from the Indian subcontinent and 2 were African. The median visual acuity for the whole cohort was 1.6 LogMAR. The median and range of LogMAR visual acuity measurements for each decade, are given in Table 2.

| Age range |              |               |             |             |             |
|-----------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| (years)   | 0-10 (n=7)   | 11-20 (n=15)  | 21-30 (n=5) | 31-40 (n=4) | 41-50 (n=3) |
| Median    |              |               |             |             |             |
| LogMAR    |              |               |             |             |             |
| VA        | 1            | 0.95          | 2           | 3.5         | 5.5         |
| LogMAR    |              |               |             | 0.34 - 6    | 5 - 6 (LP-  |
| VA range  | 0.21 - 5(LP) | 0.25 - 5 (LP) | 0.8-3(HM)   | (NLP)       | NLP)        |

Table 2

The median spherical equivalent refraction for all patient measured was +1.5 dioptres. Funduscopy revealed the majority of patients to have nummular pigmentation at the level of the retinal pigment epithelium that was more widespread in the older patients. Ten patients(29%) had macular atrophy and 6(18%) had PPRPE. Five(15%) subjects had peripheral retinal telangiectasia, which in three cases was associated with exudative retinal detachment. Two patients had seclusio pupillae and secondary glaucoma. Median foveal thickness on Stratus OCT was 326μm(range 212-854μm; s.d. 148μm).

| Diagnosis | Median age  |        | Spherical  | Visual   | Axial  | Foveal    | PPRPE | Macular | 'Coats-like' |
|-----------|-------------|--------|------------|----------|--------|-----------|-------|---------|--------------|
|           | at          | LogMAR | equivalent | field    | length | thickness |       | atrophy | vasculopathy |
|           | examination | acuity | refraction | size     | mm     | on        |       |         |              |
|           | (years)     |        | Dioptres   | (square  |        | Stratus   |       |         |              |
|           |             |        |            | degrees  |        | OCT       |       |         |              |
|           |             |        |            | inside   |        | (µm)      |       |         |              |
|           |             |        |            | V4e      |        |           |       |         |              |
|           |             |        |            | isopter) |        |           |       |         |              |
| LCA       | 10          | 2      | +6         | 100      | 18.70  | 264       | N=2   | N=7     | N=1          |
| (n=15)    |             | (CF)   |            |          |        |           |       |         |              |
| RCD       | 17          | 2      | +2         | 2500     | 20.2   | 316       | N=1   | N=3     | N=1          |
| (n=7)     |             | (CF)   |            |          |        |           |       |         |              |
| CRD       | 16          | 0.6    | 0          | -        | 20.6   | 235       | N=1   | N=0     | N=1          |
| (n=3)     |             |        |            |          |        |           |       |         |              |
| ARRP      | 20          | 0.8    | +1         | 4000     | 20.7   | 345       | N=2   | N=0     | N=2          |
| (n=9)     |             |        |            |          |        |           |       |         |              |

211 Table 3

The LCA patients all had a non-detectable ERG. Visual acuity ranged from 0.9 LogMAR to NPL. Refraction ranged from +4.5 to +9.5 dioptres (spherical equivalent), and correlated with short axial length, which ranged from 17.95mm to 23.06mm(Table 3). Colour vision was absent in all but one patient who was able to discern the highest contrast HRR plates. Reliable OCT measurements could only be made in 6 patients because of nystagmus; foveal thickness ranged from 212µm to 854µm with intraretinal cystic spaces seen in two patients. Funduscopy showed white dots at the level of the RPE in the younger patients and deep nummular pigment in older subjects(Figure 1D). Macular atrophy was present in 7 of the 15(46%) patients with LCA(Figure 1F). Analysis of mutations in this group of patients showed that 23/24 alleles were either protein truncating(9/24) - both nonsense and frameshifting - or predicted by both SIFT and PolyPhen analysis to severely affect protein function (14/24).

The early onset rod-cone dystrophy patients were between 5 and 39 years of age when examined. Nine patients had a historical diagnosis of ARRP, but on further questioning had symptoms that were childhood or juvenile in onset. The median onset of symptoms was at 2.5 years(range 6 months to 5 years). The median age at diagnosis was 6 years. Visual acuities ranged from 0.16 LogMAR to PL. The spherical equivalent refractive error ranged from -0.5D to +6.5D with the majority having low hyperopia. Axial lengths ranged from 19.03mm to 23.35mm. The foveal thickness measurements, on Stratus OCT, ranged from 217-396μm. Intraretinal cysts were common. (Figure 2B, C, D). The visual field was relatively well preserved in several patients and field area ranged from 102-10000 square degrees. Fundus examination revealed widespread pigment clumping at the level of the RPE in the majority of cases. One patient exhibited PPRPE (Figure 1C).

The 3 patients with cone-rod dystrophy were aged 13, 17 and 19 years at examination. Poor central vision was the first symptom with later development of night blindness and visual field loss. One patient had peripheral retinal telangiectasia and exudative retinal detachment (Figure 1A; Figure 2E). The ERG findings suggested generalised photoreceptor dysfunction with evidence of more severe macular involvement (Figure 3).

Examination of the mutations in these two groups of early onset patients showed 16/18 alleles would be predicted to affect protein function severely, similar to the pattern seen in the LCA group. Three of 18 alleles contained nonsense mutations; 13/18 alleles contained mis-sense mutations predicted to have a severe effect on protein function.

The patients with juvenile onset rod-cone dystrophy had a less severe phenotype and later onset of disease. The median age at diagnosis was 10 years. Visual acuities ranged from 0.28

LogMAR to NPL, with the median acuity 0.8 LogMAR. Low hypermetropia was noted in all but one patient. Visual field planimetry ranged in size from 620-11160 square degrees. Foveal thickness, measured by OCT, ranged from 322-544 µm with several patients exhibiting intra-retinal cysts. The retinal appearances were more heterogeneous and ranged from a grey discolouration of the RPE around the arcades with PPRPE to extensive retinal pigmentation. Retinal telangiectasia was seen in 2 patients who subsequently developed retinal detachment, uveitis with seclusio pupillae, and angle closure glaucoma. In this group of patients there was a lower prevalence of protein truncating mutations and a higher prevalence of missense mutations compared to those subjects with LCA.

#### **DISCUSSION**

The present study investigated the incidence of *CRB1* mutations in a large cohort of patients with recessive retinal dystrophies reports 17 novel mutations. An APEX microarray was used as an initial screening strategy and *CRB1* mutations were identified in 7% of patients with LCA and EORD. This is likely to be an underestimate, as sequencing of *CRB1* was not performed in all cases. When cases with a suggestive clinical phenotype were also included the detection rate in this cohort increased to 12%, indicating that *CRB1* mutations are a common cause of LCA and childhood onset rod cone dystrophies.

Mutations in *CRB1* account for 10-13% of patients with LCA,[9-11] but CRB1 mutations have also been identified in patients with autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa (RP12)[5,20] where there is often para-arteriolar sparing of the RPE. A *CRB1* mutation has also been reported in a single family with autosomal dominant pigmented paravenous chorioretinal atrophy (PPCRA) that exhibited variable expressivity.[21] Peripheral retinal

than other forms of RP.[3,13] We observed telangiectasis, exudation and subsequent development of retinal detachment in 15% of our cohort. It seems plausible that this 'Coatslike' exudative vasculopathy is secondary to a breakdown in the normal blood retinal barrier mediated by loss of normal zonula occludens function and therefore immune exposure to retinal antigen.[22] The characteristic appearances of exudation and retinal detachment, yellow lipid deposition, with subsequent uveitis and neovascular glaucoma would seem to be a final common pathway of abnormal retinal development whether the cause is secondary to mutations in *CRB1*, Norrin, or associated with FEVR and Coats disease.

We identified *CRB1* mutations in patients with LCA, early onset rod-cone dystrophy, juvenile onset RP, and cone rod dystrophy. The severity of the retinal disease generally correlated with the age of onset of symptoms. The disease appears clinically progressive though longitudinal data was not collected; however, all patients examined who were in their fourth decade had visual acuities of CF or worse. There is a readily recognisable phenotype that can help guide molecular genetic testing. In infants there are typically widespread sub-retinal white dots and macular atrophy is common. The characteristic deep nummular pigmentation usually develops in later childhood and the pigmentation becomes more extensive with age. PPRPE, present in 18% of our patients, is strongly suggestive of *CRB1* related disease, particularly when accompanied by peripheral telangiectasia. A variety of macular changes were found: macular atrophy and loss of central macular volume on OCT was noted particularly in patients with LCA and early onset rod-cone dystrophy. No clear relationship with genotype was established though it was noted that 6 of the 13 patients in the cohort that had either heterozygous or homozygous frame-shifting/termination mutations had macular atrophy noted on funduscopy.

OCT imaging is also extremely helpful in identifying *CRB1* related disease. Jacobson et al[23] first described increased retinal thickness and loss of the normal retinal laminae in patients with *CRB1* mutations. All of the patients in the current study who had OCT showed significantly increased retinal thickness. Newer spectral domain OCT imaging permits better visualisation of the laminar arrangement of the inner retina. In one family, with three affected individuals, spectral domain OCT showed well delineated retinal layers with preservation of the outer limiting membrane (OLM) in the younger patients but coarse lamination and loss of the OLM in the oldest subject (Figure2B,C,D). This suggests that the coarse lamination seen on OCT may not represent a developmental abnormality, but that loss of the normal architecture develops with time. The CRB1 protein is known to play a critical role in retinal development[6,8,24] and patients with *CRB1* mutations have abnormal retinal structure as a consequence. Longitudinal studies are required to determine whether there is progressive loss of the OLM, and what role this may have in determining the increased retinal volume seen on OCT.

The preliminary results of gene replacement therapy for LCA associated with mutations in *RPE65* have been promising[27-29] and this has led to optimism that similar treatment strategies may be helpful in other forms of LCA and childhood retinal dystrophy. The retinal disease associated with CRB1 mutations is generally more severe but there are some patients in whom there is a window of opportunity for therapy in early childhood.

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and its Licensees to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in BJO editions and any other BMJPGL products to exploit all

| 328<br>329<br>330 | subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence: (http://group.bmj.com/products/journals/instructions-for-authors/licence-forms/) |
|-------------------|--|
| 331               |  |

- Hartong DT, Berson EL, Dryja TP. Retinitis pigmentosa. Lancet 2006;368(9549):1795 1809.
- 2. Heckenlively JR. Preserved para-arteriole retinal pigment epithelium (PPRPE) in retinitis pigmentosa. Br.J.Ophthalmol. 1982;66(1):26-30.
- 336 3. van den Born LI, van Soest S, van Schooneveld MJ, et al. Autosomal recessive retinitis
   337 pigmentosa with preserved para-arteriolar retinal pigment epithelium.
   338 Am.J.Ophthalmol. 1994;118(4):430-439.
- 4. van Soest S, van den Born LI, Gal A, et al. Assignment of a gene for autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa (RP12) to chromosome 1q31-q32.1 in an inbred and genetically heterogeneous disease population. Genomics 1994;22(3):499-504.
- 5. den Hollander AI, ten Brink JB, de Kok YJ, et al. Mutations in a human homologue of Drosophila crumbs cause retinitis pigmentosa (RP12). Nat.Genet. 1999;23(2):217-221.
- 6. Tepass U. Adherens junctions: new insight into assembly, modulation and function.
   Bioessays 2002;24(8):690-695.
- 7. Tepass U, Tanentzapf G, Ward R, et al. Epithelial cell polarity and cell junctions in Drosophila. Annu.Rev.Genet. 2001;35:747-784.
- 8. Pellikka M, Tanentzapf G, Pinto M, et al. Crumbs, the Drosophila homologue of human
   CRB1/RP12, is essential for photoreceptor morphogenesis. Nature
   2002;416(6877):143-149.
- 9. Lotery AJ, Jacobson SG, Fishman GA, et al. Mutations in the CRB1 gene cause Leber congenital amaurosis. Arch.Ophthalmol. 2001;119(3):415-420.
- 10. den Hollander AI, Davis J, van der Velde-Visser SD, et al. CRB1 mutation spectrum in inherited retinal dystrophies. Hum.Mutat. 2004;24(5):355-369.
- 356 11. Hanein S, Perrault I, Gerber S, et al. Leber congenital amaurosis: comprehensive survey 357 of the genetic heterogeneity, refinement of the clinical definition, and genotype-358 phenotype correlations as a strategy for molecular diagnosis. Hum.Mutat. 359 2004;23(4):306-317.
- 12. Khan JA, Ide CH, Strickland MP. Coats'-type retinitis pigmentosa. Surv.Ophthalmol.
   1988;32(5):317-332.
- 13. den Hollander AI, Heckenlively JR, van den Born LI, et al. Leber congenital amaurosis
   and retinitis pigmentosa with Coats-like exudative vasculopathy are associated with
   mutations in the crumbs homologue 1 (CRB1) gene. Am.J.Hum.Genet.
   2001;69(1):198-203.
- 366 14. Holladay JT. Visual acuity measurements. J.Cataract Refract.Surg. 2004;30(2):287-290.
- 15. Holder GE, Brigell MG, Hawlina M, et al. ISCEV standard for clinical pattern electroretinography--2007 update. Doc.Ophthalmol 2007;114(3):111-116.
- 16. Marmor MF, Fulton AB, Holder GE, et al. ISCEV Standard for full-field clinical electroretinography (2008 update). Doc.Ophthalmol 2009;118(1):69-77.
- 17. Holder GE, Robson AG. Paediatric Electrophysiology: A Practical Approach. In: Lorenz
   B, Moore AT, editors. *Paediatric Ophthalmology, Neuro-ophthalmology, Genetics*.
   Heidelberg: Springer, 2006:133-155.
- 374 18. Kriss A, Thompson D. Visual Electrophysiology. In: Taylor D, editor. *Paediatric ophthalmology*. Oxford: Blackwell, 1997:93-121.
- 19. Henderson RH, Waseem N, Searle R, et al. An assessment of the apex microarray technology in genotyping patients with leber congenital amaurosis and early-onset severe retinal dystrophy. Invest Ophthalmol.Vis.Sci. 2007;48(12):5684-5689.
- 20. Bernal S, Calaf M, Garcia-Hoyos M, et al. Study of the involvement of the RGR, CRPB1,
   and CRB1 genes in the pathogenesis of autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa.
   J.Med.Genet. 2003;40(7):e89.

- 21. McKay GJ, Clarke S, Davis JA, et al. Pigmented paravenous chorioretinal atrophy is associated with a mutation within the crumbs homolog 1 (CRB1) gene. Invest Ophthalmol Vis.Sci. 2005;46(1):322-328.
- 22. Solomon A, Banin E, Anteby I, et al. Retinitis pigmentosa, Coats disease and uveitis. Eur J Ophthalmol 1999;9(3):202-205.
- 387 23. Jacobson SG, Cideciyan AV, Aleman TS, et al. Crumbs homolog 1 (CRB1) mutations
   388 result in a thick human retina with abnormal lamination. Hum.Mol.Genet.
   389 2003;12(9):1073-1078.
- 390 24. Tepass U. Crumbs, a component of the apical membrane, is required for zonula adherens 391 formation in primary epithelia of Drosophila. Dev.Biol. 1996;177(1):217-225.
  - 25. Vallespin E, Cantalapiedra D, Riveiro-Alvarez R, et al. Mutation screening of 299 Spanish families with retinal dystrophies by Leber congenital amaurosis genotyping microarray. Invest Ophthalmol Vis.Sci. 2007;48(12):5653-5661.
  - 26. Yzer S, Leroy BP, De Baere E, et al. Microarray-based mutation detection and phenotypic characterization of patients with Leber congenital amaurosis. Invest Ophthalmol.Vis.Sci. 2006;47(3):1167-1176.
  - 27. Hauswirth WW, Aleman TS, Kaushal S, et al. Treatment of leber congenital amaurosis due to RPE65 mutations by ocular subretinal injection of adeno-associated virus gene vector: short-term results of a phase I trial. Hum Gene Ther 2008;19(10):979-990.
    - 28. Bainbridge JW, Smith AJ, Barker SS, et al. Effect of gene therapy on visual function in Leber's congenital amaurosis. N.Engl.J.Med. 2008;358(21):2231-2239.
- 403 29. Maguire AM, Simonelli F, Pierce EA, et al. Safety and efficacy of gene transfer for Leber's congenital amaurosis. N.Engl.J.Med. 2008;358(21):2240-2248.

#### **Acknowledgments:**

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

405 406

410

- 407 Fight for Sight, British Retinitis Pigmentosa Society, Special Trustees of Moorfields Eye
- 408 Hospital, Ulvercroft Foundation, Foundation Fighting Blindness USA, and NIHR
- 409 (Moorfields Eye Hospital Biomedical Research Centre)

#### 411 Financial Disclosure:

- None of the authors have any financial interests to disclose.
- 413 **Competing Interest:** None declared.

| 420 |         |            |            |          |
|-----|---------|------------|------------|----------|
|     | EXON/   | 5' FORWARD | 3' REVERSE | ANNEALIN |
|     | AMPLIME |            |            | G TEMP   |

| CCTCCCGTGTAAGTGATGCT     | AACTGACTGTTCACATTGACTG   | 60.6  |
|--------------------------|--|---|
|                          | G  |   |
| GCAGCACAAAGGTCACAAAG     | GCAGGGATCTGAAGCACATT   | 65.8  |
| GGAGGTATTTGCCATCAGGA     | TGACAGCAGGCAACCACTTA   | 62.5  |
| CTCTGCCTTGTGCAACTTCC     | TAAGCCGAGAACGTGAGAGC   | 64.3  |
| CCATGGGTCTTGGGTTGATA     | GCAGTAAATATGTGTGAGCAC  | 64.3  |
|                          | TGA  |   |
| CCTCCTTTTAGGCAAATGCTC    | TACTTTTCATGCCCACAAGG   | 58.7  |
| GCTATTCATGCACTTCTGCAA    | GCTCCAGCTTCACAAACACA   | 60.6  |
| ACTTTCATTTGAGGGCGATG     | ACAAGGTTGGCTTTCACACC   | 64.3  |
| GATGGAGAGTGGCATTTCGT     | TTTCATAGCAGGCAGAAGCA   | 64.3  |
| TTCGTCTTCCATCCCTTCTG     | GTCAGGTAGGCCACCAATGT   | 64.3  |
| GCAATGCTGACTCCAAACTCT    | GGCCTCATTTCTATGGCTCA   | 58.7  |
| ATGTGGTTTCACCGTCAACA     | AGGCAAGAGGCCAGTCAGTA   | 64.3  |
| ATGTATCAAATAGTCAATATGCAA | TCAAAAAGTTGAGGCTTCCAG  | 65.8  |
| TGT                      |  |   |
| TGGAAGTGGACAACGAAACA     | TTTCCAAAACAGAGGCAAGAA  | 58.7  |
| TTGCATGGAGGAAACTGTGA     | CAGTGTCACCCTGTTCAGCA   | 64.3  |
| CCTCCAGCAGGAGCTTTTTA     | AAAATGTTCTCCCCTTTGACC  | 60.6  |
| AGGGACCTGGGTTTCTGCT      | GGGAAGAAATTGCAGTGGTC   | 64.3  |
| GCTTGCTCTGGTTGGTCTTC     | GCGGAACCACTGTGAAAGTT   | 64.3  |
| TGACAATGTTAATCTGCAACTGG  | AAGCAGGTTCTTCAAATGTTCC   | 64.3  |
|                          | GCAGCACAAAGGTCACAAAG  GGAGGTATTTGCCATCAGGA  CTCTGCCTTGTGCAACTTCC  CCATGGGTCTTGGGTTGATA  CCTCCTTTTAGGCAAATGCTC  GCTATTCATGCACTTCTGCAA  ACTTTCATTTGAGGGCGATG  GATGGAGAGTGGCATTTCGT  TTCGTCTTCCATCCCTTCTG  GCAATGCTGACTCCAAACTCT  ATGTGGTTTCACCGTCAACA  ATGTATCAAATAGTCAATATGCAA  TGT  TGGAAGTGGACAACGAAACA  TTGCATGGAGGAAACCA  CCTCCAGCAGGAGCTTTTTA  AGGGACCTGGGTTTCTGCT  GCTTGCTCTGGTTGGTCTTC | GCAGCACAAAGGTCACAAAG GCAGGGATCTGAAGCACATT GGAGGTATTTGCCATCAGGA TGACAGCAGGCAACCACTTA  CTCTGCCTTGTGCAACTTCC TAAGCCGAGAACGTGAGAGC CCATGGGTCTTGGGTTGATA GCAGTAAATATGTGTGAGCAC TGA  CCTCCTTTTAGGCAAATGCTC TACTTTCATGCCCACAAGG GCTATTCATGCACTTCTGCAA ACTTTCATTTGAGGGCGATG ACAAGGTTGGCTTTCACACC GATGGAGAGTGGCATTTCGT TTCATAGCAGGCAGAAGCA  TTCGTCTTCCATCCCTTCTG GCAATGCTGACTCCAAACTCT GGCCTCATTTCTATGGCTCA ATGTGGTTTCACCGTCAACA AGGCAAGAGGCCAGTCAGTA TTGT TGGAAGTGGACAACAACACA TTGCATGGAGCAACACAACA |

422 Appendix 1





