



HAL
open science

Monochromatic reconstruction algorithms for two-dimensional multi-channel inverse problems

Roman Novikov, Matteo Santacesaria

► **To cite this version:**

Roman Novikov, Matteo Santacesaria. Monochromatic reconstruction algorithms for two-dimensional multi-channel inverse problems. 2011. hal-00594674v2

HAL Id: hal-00594674

<https://hal.science/hal-00594674v2>

Preprint submitted on 24 Jun 2011 (v2), last revised 8 Nov 2011 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

MONOCHROMATIC RECONSTRUCTION ALGORITHMS FOR TWO-DIMENSIONAL MULTI-CHANNEL INVERSE PROBLEMS

ROMAN G. NOVIKOV AND MATTEO SANTACESARIA

ABSTRACT. We consider two inverse problems for the multi-channel two-dimensional Schrödinger equation at fixed positive energy, i.e. the equation $-\Delta\psi + V(x)\psi = E\psi$ at fixed positive E , where V is a matrix-valued potential. The first is the Gel'fand inverse problem on a bounded domain D at fixed energy and the second is the inverse fixed-energy scattering problem on the whole plane \mathbb{R}^2 . We present in this paper two algorithms which give efficient approximate solutions to these problems: in particular, in both cases we show that the potential V is reconstructed with Lipschitz stability by these algorithms up to $O(E^{-(m-2)/2})$ in the uniform norm as $E \rightarrow +\infty$, under the assumptions that V is m -times differentiable in L^1 , for $m \geq 3$, and has sufficient boundary decay.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the equation

$$(1.1) \quad -\Delta\psi + V(x)\psi = E\psi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad E > 0,$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad V \text{ is a sufficiently regular } M_n(\mathbb{C})\text{-valued function on } \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \text{with sufficient decay at infinity,}$$

$M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of the $n \times n$ complex matrices. This equation will also be considered on a domain D , where

$$(1.3) \quad D \text{ is an open bounded domain in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ with a } C^2 \text{ boundary.}$$

Equation (1.1) at fixed E can be considered as rather general multi-channel Schrödinger (resp. acoustic) equation on D at a fixed energy (resp. frequency) related to E . It arises, in particular, as a 2D approximation to the following 3D equation

$$(1.4) \quad -\Delta_{x,z}\psi + v(x,z)\psi = E\psi, \quad (x,z) \in \Omega = D \times L,$$

where $L = [a, b]$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, v is a sufficiently regular complex-valued function on Ω and $\psi|_{D \times \partial L} = 0$ (for example): see [19, Sec. 2]. In this framework, the

approximate 2D matrix-valued potential V is given by

$$(1.5) \quad V_{ij}(x) = \lambda_i \delta_{ij} + \int_L \bar{\phi}_i(z) v(x, z) \phi_j(z) dz, \quad x \in D,$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{\phi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the orthonormal basis of $L^2(L)$ given by the eigenfunctions of $-\frac{d^2}{dz^2}$ such that $\phi_j|_{\partial L} = 0$, $-\frac{d^2 \phi_j}{dz^2} = \lambda_j \phi_j$, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and 0 otherwise.

In addition, equation (1.1) can be seen as a particular case of the 2D Schrödinger equation in an external Yang-Mills field.

For equation (1.1) on D we consider the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Phi(E)$ such that

$$(1.6) \quad \Phi(E)(\psi|_{\partial D}) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial D}$$

for all sufficiently regular solution ψ of (1.1) on $\bar{D} = D \cup \partial D$, where ν is the outer normal of ∂D . Here we assume also that

$$(1.7) \quad E \text{ is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue for the operator } -\Delta + V \text{ in } D.$$

This construction gives rise to the following inverse boundary value problem on D :

Problem 1. Given $\Phi(E)$, find V on D .

On the other hand, for equation (1.1) on \mathbb{R}^2 , under assumptions (1.2), we consider the scattering amplitude f defined as follows: we consider the continuous solutions $\psi^+(x, k)$ of (1.1), where k is a parameter, $k \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $k^2 = E$, such that

$$(1.8) \quad \psi^+(x, k) = e^{ikx} I - i\pi \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} f \left(k, |k| \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \frac{e^{i|k||x|}}{\sqrt{|k||x|}} \\ + o \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|x|}} \right), \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

for some *a priori* unknown $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ -valued function f , where I is the identity matrix. The function f on $\mathcal{M}_E = \{(k, l) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 : k^2 = l^2 = E\}$ arising in (1.8) is the scattering amplitude for the potential V in the framework of equation (1.1).

This construction gives rise to the following inverse scattering problem on \mathbb{R}^2 :

Problem 2. Given f on \mathcal{M}_E , find V on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Problems 1 and 2 can be considered as multi-channel fixed-energy analogues in dimension $d = 2$ of inverse problems formulated in [9] in dimension $d \geq 2$. Note that Problems 1 and 2 are not overdetermined, in the sense that we consider the reconstruction of a $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ -valued function V of two variables from $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ -valued inverse problem data dependent on two variables. In addition, the history of inverse problems for the two-dimensional Schrödinger equation at fixed energy goes back to [6] (see also [14, 10] and reference therein). Note also that Problem 1 can be considered as a model problem for the monochromatic ocean tomography (e.g. see [1] for similar problems arising in this tomography).

As regards efficient algorithms for solving Problems 1 and 2 for the scalar case, i.e. for $n = 1$, see [13, 14, 15, 16]. In addition, as concerns numerical implementations of these algorithms for Problem 2 for $n = 1$, see [3, 5], and references therein.

Nevertheless, the fixed-energy global uniqueness for Problem 1 (and for Problem 2 with compactly supported V) for $n = 1$ was completely proved only recently in [4]. The reconstruction scheme of [4] is not optimal with respect to its stability properties, and, therefore, is not efficient numerically in comparison with the aforementioned 2D reconstructions of [13, 14, 15, 16], but it is very efficient for proving some global mathematical results. In particular: a related global logarithmic stability estimate for Problem 1 for $n = 1$ was proved in [18]; global uniqueness and reconstruction results for Problem 1 for $n \geq 2$ were obtained in [19]; a global logarithmic stability estimate for Problem 1 for $n \geq 2$ was proved in [20]. In addition, Problem 2 with compactly supported V can be reduced, for $n \geq 2$, to Problem 1, as in [13] for $n = 1$. This implies, at least, global uniqueness for Problem 2 (in the compactly supported case). On the other hand, the uniqueness for Problem 2 fails already for scalar ($n = 1$) real-valued spherically-symmetric potentials V of the Schwartz class on \mathbb{R}^2 (see [11]).

The main purpose of the present work consists in generalizing the aforementioned reconstruction approach of [15, 16] to the case of Problems 1 and 2 for $n \geq 2$. As well as for $n = 1$ this functional analytic approach gives an efficient non-linear approximation $V_{appr}(x, E)$ to the unknown $V(x)$ of Problems 1 and 2. The reconstruction of $V_{appr}(x, E)$ from $\Phi(E)$ for Problem 1 and from f on \mathcal{M}_E for Problem 2 is realized with some Lipschitz stability and is based on solving linear integral equations; see Algorithms 1 and 2 of Section 3, Theorems 6.1, 6.2 and Remarks 6.4, 6.5 of Section 6. Among these linear integral equations, the most important ones arise from a non-local Riemann-Hilbert problem. Another important part of these equations is used for transforming $\Phi(E)$ for Problem 1 and f on \mathcal{M}_E for Problem 2

into $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ -valued Faddeev function analogues h_{\pm} on \mathcal{M}_E , involved in the formulation of the above-mentioned Riemann-Hilbert problem. In addition,

$$\|V_{appr}(\cdot, E) - V\| = \varepsilon(E)$$

rapidly decays as $E \rightarrow +\infty$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes an appropriate norm. In particular, $\varepsilon(E) = O(E^{-\infty})$ as $E \rightarrow +\infty$ if $\|\cdot\|$ is specified as $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty(D)}$ and $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, $\text{supp } V \subset D$, for Problem 1 and if $\|\cdot\|$ is specified as $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ and $V \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, for Problem 2, where \mathcal{S} denotes the Schwartz class. In addition, no reconstruction algorithms for Problems 1 and 2 — comparable, with respect to their stability, with Algorithms 1 and 2 and with an approximation error decaying more rapidly than $O(E^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ as $E \rightarrow \infty$ — are available in the preceding literature, even for $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, $\text{supp } V \subset D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, when $n \geq 2$ (in general).

Finally, in spite of the fact that some excellent properties of Algorithms 1 and 2 are proved assuming that V is sufficiently smooth and that E is sufficiently great in comparison with (some norm of) V , we expect that these algorithms will work rather well even for V with discontinuities and for the case when E is not very big in comparison with V . This expectation is based on numerical results for Algorithm 2 for the case $n = 1$; see [5] and references therein. Numerical implementations of Algorithm 1 for $n \geq 1$ and Algorithm 2 for $n \geq 2$ are in preparation.

Acknowledgements. We thank V. A. Burov, O. D. Rumyantseva, S. N. Sergeev for very useful discussions.

2. FADDEEV FUNCTIONS

In this section we recall some preliminary definitions.

Under assumptions (1.2), we consider the Faddeev functions $G(x, k) = e^{ikx}g(x, k)$, $\psi(x, k)$, $h(k, l)$ and related function $R(x, y, k)$ (see [7, 8, 13, 17] for $n = 1$):

$$(2.1) \quad g(x, k) = - \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{e^{i\xi x}}{\xi^2 + 2k\xi} d\xi,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \psi(x, k) = e^{ikx}I + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G(x - y, k)V(y)\psi(y, k)dy,$$

$$(2.3) \quad h(k, l) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ilx}V(x)\psi(x, k)dx,$$

$$(2.4) \quad R(x, y, k) = G(x - y, k) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G(x - \xi, k)V(\xi)R(\xi, y, k)d\xi$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, k = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}^2, l = (l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2,$
 $\text{Im}k = \text{Im}l \neq 0$ and I is the identity matrix. We recall that

$$(2.5) \quad (\Delta + k^2)G(x, k) = \delta(x),$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^2, k \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}^2,$ where δ is the Dirac delta. In addition: formula (2.2) at fixed k is considered as an equation for

$$(2.6) \quad \psi(x, k) = e^{ikx} \mu(x, k),$$

where μ is sought in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, M_n(\mathbb{C}));$ formula (2.4) at fixed k and y is considered as an equation for

$$(2.7) \quad R(x, y, k) = e^{ik(x-y)} r(x, y, k),$$

where r is sought in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2, M_n(\mathbb{C})),$ with the property that $|r(x, y, k)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty.$ As a corollary of (2.1), (2.2) and (2.5), ψ satisfies (1.1) for $E = k^2 = k_1^2 + k_2^2$ and

$$(2.8) \quad (\Delta + k^2 - V(x))R(x, y, k) = \delta(x - y),$$

for $x, y, \in \mathbb{R}^2, k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}^2.$ In addition, h in (2.3) is a generalised *scattering amplitude* in the complex domain for the potential $V.$

For $\gamma \in S^1 = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\gamma| = 1\},$ we consider

$$(2.9) \quad G_\gamma(x, k) = G(x, k + i0\gamma),$$

$$(2.10) \quad R_\gamma(x, y, k) = R(x, y, k + i0\gamma),$$

$$(2.11) \quad \psi_\gamma(x, k) = e^{ikx} \mu_\gamma(x, k), \quad \mu_\gamma(x, k) = \mu(x, k + i0\gamma),$$

$$(2.12) \quad h_\gamma(k, l) = h(k + i0\gamma, l + i0\gamma),$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2, k \in \mathbb{R}^2, l \in \mathbb{R}^2.$

In addition, the functions

$$(2.13) \quad G^+(x, k) = G_{k/|k|}(x, k) = -\frac{i}{4} H_0^1(|x||k|),$$

$$(2.14) \quad R^+(x, y, k) = R_{k/|k|}(x, y, k)$$

$$(2.15) \quad \psi^+(x, k) = e^{ikx} \mu^+(x, k), \quad \mu^+(x, k) = \mu_{k/|k|}(x, k),$$

$$(2.16) \quad f(k, l) = h_{k/|k|}(k, l),$$

for $x, y, k, l \in \mathbb{R}^2, |k| = |l|,$ are functions from the classical scattering theory; in particular, f is the scattering amplitude of (1.8) and H_0^1 is the Hankel

function of the first type. We also define

$$(2.17) \quad h_{\pm}(k, l) = h_{\pm \hat{k}_{\perp}}(k, l),$$

$$(2.18) \quad \mu_{\pm}(x, k) = \mu_{\pm \hat{k}_{\perp}}(x, k), \quad \psi_{\pm}(x, k) = \psi_{\pm \hat{k}_{\perp}}(x, k),$$

$$(2.19) \quad R_{\pm}(x, y, k) = R_{\pm \hat{k}_{\perp}}(x, y, k),$$

where $k, l, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $|k| = |l|$, $\hat{k}_{\perp} = |k|^{-1}(-k_2, k_1)$ for $k = (k_1, k_2)$. Note that $\mu_+ \neq \mu^+$, $\psi_+ \neq \psi^+$ and $R_+ \neq R^+$ in general. We shall consider, in particular, the following restriction of the function h :

$$(2.20) \quad b(k) = h(k, -\bar{k}), \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{C}^2, k^2 = E > 0.$$

We now introduce the notations

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} z &= x_1 + ix_2, & \bar{z} &= x_1 - ix_2, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right), & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right), \\ \lambda &= E^{-1/2}(k_1 + ik_2), & \lambda' &= E^{-1/2}(l_1 + il_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $k = (k_1, k_2), l = (l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $k^2 = l^2 = E \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

In the new notations

$$(2.22a) \quad k_1 = \frac{1}{2}E^{1/2}(\lambda + \lambda^{-1}), \quad k_2 = \frac{i}{2}E^{1/2}(\lambda^{-1} - \lambda),$$

$$(2.22b) \quad l_1 = \frac{1}{2}E^{1/2}(\lambda' + \lambda'^{-1}), \quad l_2 = \frac{i}{2}E^{1/2}(\lambda'^{-1} - \lambda'),$$

$$(2.22c) \quad \exp(ikx) = \exp\left[\frac{i}{2}E^{1/2}(\lambda \bar{z} + \lambda^{-1}z)\right],$$

where $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and the Schrödinger equation (1.1) takes the form

$$(2.23) \quad -4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} \psi + V(z)\psi = E\psi, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In addition, the functions f from (1.8) and (2.16), h_{\pm} from (2.17), μ^+, ψ^+ from (2.15), μ_{\pm}, ψ_{\pm} from (2.18), ψ from (2.2), μ from (2.6) and b from (2.20) take the form

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} f &= f(\lambda, \lambda', E), & h_{\pm} &= h_{\pm}(\lambda, \lambda', E), \\ \mu^+ &= \mu^+(z, \lambda, E), & \psi^+ &= \psi^+(z, \lambda, E), \\ \mu_{\pm} &= \mu_{\pm}(z, \lambda, E), & \psi_{\pm} &= \psi_{\pm}(z, \lambda, E), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda, \lambda' \in T$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $E \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$(2.25) \quad \mu = \mu(z, \lambda, E), \quad \psi = \psi(z, \lambda, E), \quad b = b(\lambda, E),$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus T$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $E \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Here

$$(2.26) \quad T = \{\zeta : \zeta \in \mathbb{C}, |\zeta| = 1\}.$$

Under assumption (1.2), for E sufficiently large the function $\mu(z, \lambda, E)$ has the following properties (see [15, 16] for $n = 1$ and Section 4 for $n \geq 2$):

$$(2.27) \quad \mu(z, \lambda, E) \text{ is continuous in } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus T;$$

$$(2.28) \quad \mu(z, \lambda(1 \mp 0), E) = \mu_{\pm}(z, \lambda, E) \text{ for } \lambda \in T;$$

$$(2.29) \quad \mu_{\pm}(z, \lambda, E) = \mu^{\pm}(z, \lambda, E)$$

$$+ \pi i \int_T \mu^{\pm}(z, \lambda'', E) \chi_{\pm} \left(\pm i \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda''} - \frac{\lambda''}{\lambda} \right) \right) h_{\pm}(\lambda, \lambda'', z, E) |d\lambda''|,$$

for $\lambda \in T$, where

$$(2.30) \quad \chi_+(s) = 0 \text{ for } s < 0, \quad \chi_+(s) = 1 \text{ for } s \geq 0,$$

$$(2.31) \quad h_{\pm}(\lambda, \lambda', z, E) = \exp \left[-\frac{i}{2} E^{1/2} \left(\lambda \bar{z} + \frac{z}{\lambda} - \lambda' \bar{z} - \frac{z}{\lambda'} \right) \right] h_{\pm}(\lambda, \lambda', E);$$

$$(2.32) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mu(z, \lambda, E) = \mu \left(z, -\frac{1}{\lambda}, E \right) r(\lambda, z, E),$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus T$, where

$$(2.33)$$

$$r(\lambda, z, E) = \exp \left[-\frac{i}{2} E^{1/2} \left(\lambda \bar{z} + \frac{z}{\lambda} + \bar{\lambda} z + \frac{\bar{z}}{\bar{\lambda}} \right) \right] \frac{\pi}{\lambda} \text{sign}(\lambda \bar{\lambda} - 1) b(\lambda, E),$$

where b is defined by means of (2.20) and (2.22a);

$$(2.34) \quad \mu(z, \lambda, E) = I + \frac{\mu_{-1}(z, E)}{\lambda} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2.35) \quad V(z) = 2iE^{1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mu_{-1}(z, E).$$

The following formula is valid (see [16] for $n = 1$ and Section 4 for $n \geq 2$):

$$(2.36) \quad V(z) = 2iE^{1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D_-} \mu(z, -\frac{1}{\zeta}, E) r(\zeta, z, E) d\text{Re}\zeta d\text{Im}\zeta \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_T \mu_{-}(z, \zeta, E) i\zeta |d\zeta| \right),$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, E sufficiently large and $D_- = \{\zeta : \zeta \in \mathbb{C}, |\zeta| > 1\}$.

3. RECONSTRUCTION ALGORITHMS

We present here Algorithms 1 and 2, which yield approximate but sufficiently stable solutions to Problems 1 and 2, respectively. These algorithms have a final common part: the reconstruction of the approximate potential V_{appr} starting from h_{\pm} of (2.17). Thus, for the sake of clarity, we first give the different initial parts of the algorithms—that is, the reconstruction of h_{\pm} starting from $\Phi(E)$ for Algorithm 1 and from f for Algorithm 2—and then the final common part.

Note that in both algorithms we consider in particular the functions $\psi_{\pm}, h_{\pm}, \mu_{-}$ of (2.17), (2.18) and μ^{+} of (2.15). In addition, in Algorithm 1, in the definitions of these functions we assume that $V \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D$.

Algorithm 1 ($\Phi(E) \rightarrow h_{\pm}$). Given $\Phi(E)$, for E sufficiently large, we first reconstruct $\psi_{\pm}(x, k)|_{\partial D}, k \in \mathbb{R}^2, k^2 = E$, with the help of the following Fredholm linear integral equation (see [13] for $n = 1$ and Section 4 for $n \geq 2$):

$$(3.1) \quad \psi_{\pm}(x, k)|_{\partial D} = e^{ikx} I + \int_{\partial D} A_{\pm}(x, y, k) \psi_{\pm}(y, k) dy, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^2, k^2 = E,$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad A_{\pm}(x, y, k) = \int_{\partial D} G_{\pm}(x - \xi, k) (\Phi - \Phi_0)(\xi, y, E) d\xi, \quad x, y \in \partial D,$$

$$(3.3) \quad G_{\pm}(x, k) = G^{+}(x, k) - \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{S^1} e^{i|k|\theta x} \chi_{+}(\pm\theta k_{\perp}) d\theta,$$

I is the identity matrix, $(\Phi - \Phi_0)(x, y, E)$ is the Schwartz kernel of the operator $\Phi(E) - \Phi_0(E)$, $\Phi_0(E)$ is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator associated to the zero potential in D at fixed energy E , $G^{+}(x, k)$ is defined in (2.13), $k_{\perp} = (-k_2, k_1)$ for $k = (k_1, k_2)$, $dy, d\xi$ denote the standard Euclidean measure on the boundary ∂D and $d\theta$ denotes the standard Euclidean measure on S^1 .

Then, in order to obtain h_{\pm} , it is sufficient to use the following formula (see [13] for $n = 1$ and Section 4 for $n \geq 2$):

$$(3.4) \quad h_{\pm}(k, l) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} e^{-ilx} (\Phi - \Phi_0)(x, y, E) \psi_{\pm}(y, k) dy dx, \quad (k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_E.$$

Algorithm 2 ($f \rightarrow h_{\pm}$). Starting from f on \mathcal{M}_E (for E sufficiently large), one directly recovers h_{\pm} solving the following integral equation (see [14, 16])

for $n = 1$ and Section 4 for $n \geq 2$):

(3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\pm}(\lambda, \lambda', E) - \pi i \int_T f(\lambda'', \lambda', E) \chi_+ \left(\pm i \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda''} - \frac{\lambda''}{\lambda} \right) \right) h_{\pm}(\lambda, \lambda'', E) |d\lambda''| \\ = f(\lambda, \lambda', E), \quad (\lambda, \lambda') \in T \times T. \end{aligned}$$

Algorithms 1 and 2 ($h_{\pm} \rightarrow V_{appr}$). We begin with the construction of $\tilde{\mu}^+$, an approximation to μ^+ of (2.15); this is done by solving the following integral equation arising from the non-local Riemann-Hilbert problem (2.27)-(2.34) for μ in the approximation that $b \equiv 0$ at fixed E (see [16] for $n = 1$ and Section 4 for $n \geq 2$):

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{\mu}^+(z, \lambda, E) + \int_T \tilde{\mu}^+(z, \lambda', E) B(\lambda, \lambda', z, E) |d\lambda'| = I, \quad \lambda \in T, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where E is sufficiently large and

(3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} B(\lambda, \lambda', z, E) = \frac{1}{2} \int_T h_-(\zeta, \lambda', z, E) \chi_+ \left(-i \left(\frac{\zeta}{\lambda'} - \frac{\lambda'}{\zeta} \right) \right) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - \lambda(1-0)} \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_T h_+(\zeta, \lambda', z, E) \chi_+ \left(i \left(\frac{\zeta}{\lambda'} - \frac{\lambda'}{\zeta} \right) \right) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - \lambda(1+0)}, \end{aligned}$$

where χ_+ , h_{\pm} are defined in (2.30), (2.31). Then one can obtain an approximation $\tilde{\mu}_-$ to μ_- via (2.29), used as follows:

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{\mu}_-(z, \lambda, E) = \tilde{\mu}^+(z, \lambda, E)$$

$$+ \pi i \int_T \tilde{\mu}^+(z, \lambda'', E) \chi_+ \left(-i \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda''} - \frac{\lambda''}{\lambda} \right) \right) h_-(\lambda, \lambda'', z, E) |d\lambda''|,$$

for $\lambda \in T$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Finally, the approximate potential $V_{appr}(\cdot, E)$ can be obtained using the following formula (see [15, 16] for $n = 1$ and Section 4 for $n \geq 2$):

$$(3.9) \quad V_{appr}(z, E) = 2iE^{1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_T \tilde{\mu}_-(z, \zeta, E) i\zeta |d\zeta| \right).$$

The approximate potential V_{appr} depends in a non-linear way on $\Phi(E)$ in Algorithm 1 and on f on \mathcal{M}_E in Algorithm 2, in spite of the fact that both algorithms are based on solving linear integral equation. In the linear approximation near zero potential, the following formulas hold:

(3.10)

$$h_{\pm}(k, l) \approx \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} e^{i(-lx+ky)} (\Phi - \Phi_0)(x, y, E) dx dy, \quad (k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_E,$$

for linearised Algorithm 1;

$$(3.11) \quad h_{\pm}(\lambda, \lambda', E) \approx f(\lambda, \lambda', E), \quad \lambda, \lambda' \in T,$$

for linearised Algorithm 2;

$$(3.12) \quad V_{appr}(z, E) \approx \frac{1}{\pi} E^{1/2} \int_T w(z, \lambda, E) i\lambda |d\lambda|,$$

where $z \in D$ for linearised Algorithm 1 and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ for linearised Algorithm 2, and

$$(3.13) \quad w(z, \lambda, E) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\pi i \int_T \exp \left[-\frac{i}{2} E^{1/2} \left(\lambda \bar{z} + \frac{z}{\lambda} - \lambda' \bar{z} - \frac{z}{\lambda'} \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. \times \text{sign} \left(-i \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} - \frac{\lambda'}{\lambda} \right) \right) h_{\pm}(\lambda, \lambda', E) |d\lambda'| \right),$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \in T$, $E > 0$.

3.1. Algorithm 1 with a non-zero background potential Λ . Consider a potential V defined as in (1.5), where the diagonal matrix Λ , defined as $\Lambda_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$, is supposed to be a known background potential. In this case Algorithm 1 admits the following effectivizations.

Let $V_1 \equiv \Lambda$ on \bar{D} , $V_1 \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bar{D}$. The following parts A and B provide two different approaches to the reconstruction of $\psi_{\pm}(x, k)|_{\partial D}$ from $\Phi(E)$ and of $h_{\pm}(k, l)$ from $\psi_{\pm}(x, k)|_{\partial D}$; the reconstruction of V_{appr} from h_{\pm} is given after in steps C and D.

A. $\Phi(E) \longrightarrow h_{\pm}$. Starting from $\Phi(E)$, for E sufficiently large, we first reconstruct $\psi_{\pm}(x, k)|_{\partial D}$, $k \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $k^2 = E$, with the help of the following Fredholm linear integral equation (see Section 4):

$$(3.14) \quad (\text{Id} + (\text{Id} - A_{\pm}^1)^{-1} \delta A_{\pm}) \psi_{\pm}(x, k)|_{\partial D} = \psi_{\pm}^1(x, k)|_{\partial D},$$

where

$$(3.15) \quad A_{\pm}^1 u(x) = \int_{\partial D} A_{\pm}^1(x, y, k) u(y) dy, \quad x \in \partial D,$$

$$(3.16) \quad A_{\pm}^1(x, y, k) = \int_{\partial D} G_{\pm}(x - \xi, k) (\Phi_1 - \Phi_0)(\xi, y, E) d\xi, \quad x, y \in \partial D,$$

$$(3.17) \quad \delta A_{\pm} u(x) = \int_{\partial D \times \partial D} G_{\pm}(x - \xi, k) (\Phi_1 - \Phi)(\xi, y, E) u(y) dy d\xi, \quad x \in \partial D,$$

$\psi_{\pm}^1(x, k)|_{\partial D} = (\text{Id} - A_{\pm}^1)^{-1} (e^{ikx} I)$ are the functions $\psi_{\pm}(x, k)|_{\partial D}$ for $V = V_1$, $(\Phi_1 - \Phi_0)(x, y, E)$ is the Schwartz kernel of the operator $\Phi_1(E) - \Phi_0(E)$, $(\Phi_1 - \Phi)(x, y, E)$ is the Schwartz kernel of the operator $\Phi_1(E) - \Phi(E)$, $\Phi_0(E)$ is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator associated to the zero potential in D at fixed energy E , $\Phi_1(E)$ is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator associated

to the potential V_1 in D at fixed energy E and u is a $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ -valued test function on ∂D .

In order to obtain h_{\pm} we use the following formula (see Section 4):

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{\pm}(k, l) &= h_{\pm}^1(k, l) + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} e^{-ilx} (\Phi - \Phi_1)(x, y, E) \psi_{\pm}(y, k) dy dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} e^{-ilx} (\Phi_1 - \Phi_0)(x, y, E) \delta \psi_{\pm}(y, k) dy dx, \end{aligned}$$

for $(k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_E$, where $h_{\pm}^1(k, l)$ is defined as in (2.3), (2.17) with $V = V_1$, $\delta \psi_{\pm}(x, k) = \psi_{\pm}(x, k) - \psi_{\pm}^1(x, k)$ and $\psi_{\pm}^1(x, k)$ is defined as $\psi_{\pm}(x, k)$ in (2.2), (2.11), (2.18) with $V = V_1$.

B. $\Phi(E) \longrightarrow h_{\pm}$. As above, starting from $\Phi(E)$, for E sufficiently large, we first reconstruct $\psi_{\pm}(x, k)|_{\partial D}$, $k \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $k^2 = E$, with the help of the following Fredholm linear integral equation (see [17] for $n = 1$ and Section 4 for $n \geq 2$):

$$(3.19) \quad \psi_{\pm}(x, k)|_{\partial D} = \psi_{\pm}^1(x, k)|_{\partial D} + \int_{\partial D} A_{\pm}(x, y, k) \psi_{\pm}(y, k) dy,$$

for $k \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $k^2 = E$, where

$$(3.20) \quad A_{\pm}(x, y, k) = \int_{\partial D} R_{\pm}^1(x, \xi, k) (\Phi - \Phi_1)(\xi, y, E) d\xi, \quad x, y \in \partial D,$$

ψ_{\pm}^1 , R_{\pm}^1 are defined as ψ_{\pm} , R_{\pm} of (2.2), (2.4), (2.10), (2.11), (2.18), (2.19) with $V = V_1$, $(\Phi - \Phi_1)(x, y, E)$ is the Schwartz kernel of the operator $\Phi(E) - \Phi_1(E)$, $\Phi_1(E)$ is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator associated to the potential V_1 in D at fixed energy E .

In order to obtain h_{\pm} we use the following formula (see [17] for $n = 1$ and Section 4 for $n \geq 2$):

$$(3.21) \quad h_{\pm}(k, l) = h_{\pm}^1(k, l) + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \psi_{\mp}^1(x, -k, -l) (\Phi - \Phi_1)(x, y, E) \psi_{\pm}(y, k) dy dx,$$

for $(k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_E$, where $h_{\pm}^1(k, l)$ is defined as in (2.3), (2.17) with $V = V_1$, $\psi_{\mp}^1(x, k, l)$ is defined as the solution of the following linear integral equation (see [17] for $n = 1$ and Section 4 for $n \geq 2$)

$$(3.22) \quad \psi_{\mp}^1(x, k, l) = e^{ilx} I + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\mp}(x - y, k) V_1(y) \psi_{\mp}^1(y, k, l) dy,$$

where $x, k, l \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $k^2 = l^2 > 0$ and G_{\mp} is defined in (3.3).

C. $h_{\pm} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mu}^+$. We construct an approximation $\tilde{\mu}^+$ to μ^+ of (2.15) via the following integral equation which generalises (3.6) (see Section 4):

$$(3.23) \quad (\text{Id} + (\text{Id} + B^1)^{-1} \delta B) \tilde{\mu}^+(z, \lambda, E) = \mu^{1,+}(z, \lambda, E), \quad \lambda \in T, z \in \mathbb{C},$$

for E sufficiently large, where

$$(3.24) \quad B^1 u(\lambda) = \int_T u(\lambda') B^1(\lambda, \lambda', z, E) |d\lambda'|,$$

$$(3.25) \quad \delta B u(\lambda) = \int_T u(\lambda') [B(\lambda, \lambda', z, E) - B^1(\lambda, \lambda', z, E)] |d\lambda'|,$$

for $\lambda \in T$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $B^1(\lambda, \lambda', z, E)$ is defined as $B(\lambda, \lambda', z, E)$ in (3.7) with $h_{\pm} = h_{\pm}^1$, $\mu^{1,+}$ is defined as μ^+ in (2.6), (2.15) with $V = V_1$ and u is a $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ -valued test function on T .

D. $\tilde{\mu}^+ \rightarrow V_{appr}$. The final part of the algorithm is the same as for Algorithm 1 with zero background potential. We construct an approximation $\tilde{\mu}_-$ to μ_- using formula (3.8) and then the approximate potential $V_{appr}(z, E)$ via formula (3.9).

In the linear approximation near the potential V_1 , the following formulas hold:

(3.26a)

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\pm}(k, l) &\approx h_{\pm}^1(k, l) \\ &+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} e^{-ilx} (\Phi - \Phi_1)(x, y, E) \psi_{\pm}^1(y, k) dy dx \\ &- \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} e^{-ilx} (\Phi_1 - \Phi_0)(x, y, E) (\text{Id} - A_{\pm}^1)^{-1} \delta A_{\pm} \psi_{\pm}^1(y, k) dy dx, \end{aligned}$$

(3.26b)

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\pm}(k, l) &\approx h_{\pm}^1(k, l) \\ &+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \psi_{\mp}^1(x, -k, -l) (\Phi - \Phi_1)(x, y, E) \psi_{\pm}^1(y, k) dy dx, \end{aligned}$$

for $(k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_E$,

$$(3.27) \quad \tilde{\mu}^+(z, \lambda, E) \approx \mu^{1,+}(z, \lambda, E) - (\text{Id} + B^1)^{-1} \delta B \mu^{1,+}(z, \lambda, E),$$

for $\lambda \in T$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

(3.28)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_-(z, \lambda, E) &\approx \mu_-^1 - (\text{Id} + B^1)^{-1} \delta B \mu^{1,+}(z, \lambda, E) \\ &+ \pi i \int_T \mu^{1,+}(z, \lambda'', E) \chi_+ \left(-i \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda''} - \frac{\lambda''}{\lambda} \right) \right) (h_- - h_-^1)(\lambda, \lambda'', z, E) |d\lambda'', \end{aligned}$$

(3.29)

$$\begin{aligned} V_{appr}(z, E) &\approx V_1 - \frac{1}{\pi} E^{1/2} \int_T \frac{\partial}{\partial z} ((\text{Id} + B^1)^{-1} \delta B \mu^{1,+}(z, \lambda, E)) i\lambda |d\lambda| \\ &+ iE^{1/2} \int_T \int_T \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [\mu^{1,+}(z, \lambda'', E) \chi_+ \left(-i \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda''} - \frac{\lambda''}{\lambda} \right) \right)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\times (h_- - h_-^1)(\lambda, \lambda'', z, E) \Big] |d\lambda''| i\lambda |d\lambda|,$$

for $z \in D$ and E sufficiently large.

4. DERIVATION OF SOME FORMULAS AND EQUATIONS OF SECTION 2 AND 3 FOR THE MATRIX CASE

The following formula and equations will be useful:

$$(4.1) \quad \psi_\gamma(x, k) = \psi^+(x, k) + 2\pi i \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi^+(x, l) \delta(l^2 - k^2) \chi_+((l - k)\gamma) h_\gamma(k, l) dl,$$

$$(4.2) \quad h_\gamma(k, l) = f(k, l) + 2\pi i \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(m, l) \delta(m^2 - k^2) \chi_+((m - k)\gamma) h_\gamma(k, m) dm,$$

for $\gamma \in S^1$, $x, k, l \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $k^2 = E \in \mathbb{R}_+$ sufficiently large,

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial k_j}(x, k) = -2\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi_j e^{i\xi x} \mu(x, k + \xi) H(k, -\xi) \delta(\xi^2 + 2k\xi) d\xi,$$

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial k_j}(k, p) = -2\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi_j H(k + \xi, p + \xi) H(k, -\xi) \delta(\xi^2 + 2k\xi) d\xi,$$

for $j = 1, 2$, $k \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}^2$, $k^2 = E \in \mathbb{R}_+$ sufficiently large, $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^2$, where $H(k, p) = h(k, k - p)$, δ is the Dirac delta and the other functions were already defined in Section 2. Formula (4.1) and equations (4.2)-(4.4) are proved in [8, 2, 12] for the scalar case: the proof can be straightforwardly generalized to the matrix case, where one only has to pay attention to the order of factors (which is indeed different from the formulation given in the quoted papers, but coherent with similar results obtained in [21]).

Now formula (2.29) follows directly from (4.1), (2.6), (2.31) using notations (2.21); equation (2.32) follows from (4.3) taking into account (2.20), (2.33) and notations (2.21). In addition, equation (3.5) is a direct consequence of (4.2) (with $\gamma = \pm \hat{k}_\perp$) using notations (2.21).

Formula (2.36) follows from (2.35), (2.34), (2.32), (2.28), (2.27) (these can be proved exactly as in the scalar case) and the Cauchy–Pompeiu formula

$$(4.5) \quad u(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \mathcal{D}} u(\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - \lambda} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\partial u(\zeta)}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} \frac{d\operatorname{Re}\zeta d\operatorname{Im}\zeta}{\zeta - \lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{D},$$

for any sufficiently regular $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ -valued u in \mathcal{D} , where $\partial \mathcal{D}$ is sufficiently regular. In addition, formula (3.9) is just formula (2.36) without the first term in the sum.

Equation (3.6) is an approximation of the following (exact) equation for μ^+ :

$$(4.6) \quad \mu^+(z, \lambda, E) + \int_T \mu^+(z, \lambda', E) B(\lambda, \lambda', z, E) |d\lambda'| = I + \varphi(z, \lambda, E),$$

for $\lambda \in T$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, where

$$(4.7) \quad \varphi(z, \lambda, E) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \mu \left(z, -\frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}}, E \right) r(\zeta, z, E) \frac{d\operatorname{Re}\zeta d\operatorname{Im}\zeta}{\zeta - \lambda}.$$

The derivation of (4.6) can be found in [16] for the scalar case and its generalisation to the matrix case is straightforward (paying attention to the order of factors).

Formula (3.3) is a result of [8], while formulas (3.1), (3.2), (3.4) are results of [13] for the scalar case and can be proved for the matrix case following the scheme of [19], where similar formulas appear.

Formulas (3.14) and (3.18) follows from (3.1) and (3.4).

Formulas (3.19)-(3.22) are results of [17] for the scalar case and can directly extended to the matrix case following the scheme of [19] because, in particular, the general matrix version of Alessandrini's identity in [19] works for our diagonal background potential Λ .

Finally, equation (3.23) follows from (4.6).

5. FUNCTION SPACES AND SOME ESTIMATES

We introduce some function spaces, which will be useful to prove the high-energy convergence of our algorithms. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ we consider

$$\begin{aligned} W^{m,1}(\mathbb{R}^2, M_n(\mathbb{C})) &= \{u : \partial^k u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, M_n(\mathbb{C})) \text{ for } |k| \leq m\}, \\ W_\varepsilon^{m,1}(\mathbb{R}^2, M_n(\mathbb{C})) &= \{u : \varkappa^\varepsilon \partial^k u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, M_n(\mathbb{C})) \text{ for } |k| \leq m\}, \\ (\varkappa^\varepsilon u)(x) &= (1 + |x|^2)^{\varepsilon/2} u(x), \quad k \in (\mathbb{N} \cup 0)^2, \quad |k| = k_1 + k_2, \\ \partial^k &= \partial_1^{k_1} \partial_2^{k_2}, \quad \partial_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}; \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \in]0, 1]$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we consider

$$C^{\alpha,s}(\mathbb{R}^2, M_n(\mathbb{C})) = \{u : \|u\|_{\alpha,s} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\alpha,s} &= \|\varkappa^s u\|_\alpha \\ \|w\|_\alpha &= \sup_{p, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2, |\xi| \leq 1} \left(|w(p)| + \frac{|w(p + \xi) - w(p)|}{|\xi|^\alpha} \right), \\ (\varkappa^s u)(p) &= (1 + |p|^2)^{s/2} u(p), \quad |u(p)| = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |u_{ij}(p)|; \end{aligned}$$

in addition we consider $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,s}(\mathbb{R}^2, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, defined as the closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ (the space of infinitely smooth functions with compact support) in $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha,s}$.

Let

$$(5.1) \quad \widehat{V}(p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{ipx} V(x) dx, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

If a matrix-valued potential V satisfies

$$(5.2) \quad V \in W_\varepsilon^{m,1}(\mathbb{R}^2, M_n(\mathbb{C})) \text{ for some } \varepsilon > 0, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

then

$$(5.3) \quad \widehat{V} \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,s}(\mathbb{R}^2, M_n(\mathbb{C})), \quad \alpha \in]0, 1], \quad s \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where $\alpha = \min(1, \varepsilon)$, $s = m$. Let

$$(5.4) \quad \Sigma(r) = (1 - r)^{-1} r.$$

We have the following results:

Proposition 5.1. *Let the condition (5.3) be valid. Then*

$$(5.5a) \quad |f(k, l) - \widehat{V}(k - l)| \leq \Sigma(r) \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha,s} (1 + |k - l|^2)^{-s/2},$$

$$(5.5b) \quad |H_\gamma(k, p) - \widehat{V}(p)| \leq \Sigma(r) \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha,s} (1 + p^2)^{-s/2},$$

for $r = |k|^{-\sigma} c_1(\alpha, s, \sigma, n) \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha,s} < 1$, $k, l, p \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\gamma \in S^1$, $k^2 \geq 1$,

$$(5.5c) \quad |H(k, p) - \widehat{V}(p)| \leq \Sigma(r) \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha,s} (1 + p^2)^{-s/2},$$

for $r = |\operatorname{Re}k|^{-\sigma} c_1(\alpha, s, \sigma, n) \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha,s} < 1$, $k \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}^2$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathbb{R} \ni k^2 \geq 1$.

In particular

$$(5.6a) \quad |f(k, l)| \leq 2 \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha,s} (1 + |k - l|^2)^{-s/2}, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

$$(5.6b) \quad |H_\gamma(k, p)| \leq 2 \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha,s} (1 + p^2)^{-s/2}, \quad k, p \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \gamma \in S^1,$$

$$(5.6c) \quad |H(k, p)| \leq 2 \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha,s} (1 + p^2)^{-s/2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}^2, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

for $k^2 \geq E_1 = \max(1, (2c_1(\alpha, s, \sigma, n) \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha,s})^{2/\sigma})$, where $H_\gamma(k, l) = h_\gamma(k, k - l)$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $s > 0$, $0 < \sigma < \min(1, s)$.

Lemma 5.2. *Under condition (5.3), we have the following estimates:*

(5.7a)

$$|\mu_\gamma(x, k) - I| + \left| \frac{\partial \mu_\gamma(x, k)}{\partial x_1} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial \mu_\gamma(x, k)}{\partial x_2} \right| \leq |k|^{-\sigma} c_2(\alpha, s, \sigma, n) \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha,s},$$

for $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $k \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\gamma \in S^1$,

(5.7b)

$$|\mu(x, k) - I| + \left| \frac{\partial \mu(x, k)}{\partial x_1} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial \mu(x, k)}{\partial x_2} \right| \leq |\operatorname{Re} k|^{-\sigma} c_2(\alpha, s, \sigma, n) \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha, s},$$

for $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $k \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}^2$, $k^2 \geq E_1(\alpha, s, \sigma, n, \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha, s})$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$, $s > 1$, $0 < \sigma < \min(1, s - 1)$.

Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 for the scalar case ($n = 1$) were given in [16] and their generalisation to the matrix case ($n \geq 2$) is straightforward.

6. LIPSCHITZ STABILITY AND RAPID CONVERGENCE OF ALGORITHMS 1 AND 2 FOR $E \rightarrow +\infty$

We present here main rigorous results concerning stability and convergence of our algorithms in the case of zero background potential for simplicity. In addition, we expect that, for potentials of the form (1.5), Algorithm 1 with non-zero background potential Λ (see subsection 3.1) will work even better than its version with zero background potential.

Theorem 6.1 (Stability and convergence of Algorithm 1). *Let $V \in W^{m,1}(\mathbb{R}^2, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, $m \geq 3$, $\operatorname{supp} V \subset D$ and let $\Phi(E)$ be the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator of (1.6) at fixed energy E , where $E \geq E_2(\alpha, s, \sigma, n, \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha, s})$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $s = m$, $0 < \sigma < 1$ and E is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta + V$ and $-\Delta$ in D . Then V is reconstructed from $\Phi(E)$ with Lipschitz stability via Algorithm 1 up to $O(E^{-(m-2)/2})$ in the uniform norm as $E \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Theorem 6.2 (Stability and convergence of Algorithm 2). *Let V satisfy (5.2), for $m \geq 3$, and let f be the scattering amplitude of (1.8) at fixed energy $E \geq E_2(\alpha, s, \sigma, n, \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha, s})$, where $\alpha = \min(1, \varepsilon)$, $s = m$ and $0 < \sigma < 1$. Then V is reconstructed from f on \mathcal{M}_E with Lipschitz stability via Algorithms 2 up to $O(E^{-(m-2)/2})$ in the uniform norm as $E \rightarrow +\infty$.*

The constant E_2 of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 is precisely stated in Remark 6.3. The Lipschitz stability of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 is specified in the proofs of these theorems and is summarized in Remarks 6.4 and 6.5. The error term $O(E^{-(m-2)/2})$ of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 is made explicit in formula (6.9).

Similarly with the presentation of Algorithms 1 and 2 in section 3, we separate the proofs of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 in several steps.

Proof of Theorem 6.1 ($\Phi(E) \rightarrow h_{\pm}$). We have that equation (3.1) is a Fredholm linear integral equation of second kind for $\psi_{\pm}|_{\partial D} \in L^2(\partial D)$, which is uniquely solvable with precise data $\Phi - \Phi_0$ (the proof of the latter fact is the same as in the scalar case; see [13]). Therefore the reconstruction of ψ_{\pm} via

(3.1) is Lipschitz stable, with respect to small errors in $\Phi - \Phi_0$ (in the L^2 norm of the Schwartz kernel),

As a corollary, the reconstruction of h_{\pm} in $L^2(\mathcal{M}_E)$ from $\Phi(E) - \Phi_0(E)$ via equation (3.1) and formula (3.4) is also Lipschitz stable. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.2 ($f \rightarrow h_{\pm}$). Estimates (5.6) and notations (2.21) give

$$(6.1a) \quad |f(\lambda, \lambda', E)| \leq 2\|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha,s}(1 + E|\lambda - \lambda'|^2)^{-s/2}, \quad \lambda, \lambda' \in T,$$

$$(6.1b) \quad \|f\|_{L^2(T \times T)} \leq c_3 n \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha,s} E^{-1/4},$$

for $E \geq E_1$, $\alpha = \min(1, \varepsilon)$, $s = m$. Now, under the assumptions of Theorem 6.2, integral equation (3.5) is uniquely solvable for $h_{\pm}(\lambda, \cdot, E) \in L^2(T)$ for $\lambda \in T$, $E \geq E_1$ (this is a consequence of the unique solvability of integral equation (2.2) for $E \geq E_1$). In addition, by estimate (6.1b), for $E \geq \max(E_1, (\pi c_3 n \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha,s})^4)$, equation (3.5) is uniquely solvable for $h_{\pm}(\lambda, \cdot, E) \in L^2(T)$, $\lambda \in T$, and for $h_{\pm}(\cdot, \cdot, E) \in L^2(T \times T)$ by the method of successive approximations. This implies the Lipschitz stability of the reconstruction of h_{\pm} on $T \times T$ from f on $T \times T$, with respect to small errors in the L^2 norm. \square

Proof of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 ($h_{\pm} \rightarrow V_{appr}$). The proof follows as in the scalar case (that was treated in [16]), except for the order of the terms in formulas and integral equations.

Estimates (5.6), formula (2.16) and notations (2.21) give

$$(6.2a) \quad |h_{\pm}(\lambda, \lambda', E)| \leq 2\|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha,s}(1 + E|\lambda - \lambda'|^2)^{-s/2}, \quad \lambda, \lambda' \in T,$$

$$(6.2b) \quad \|h_{\pm}\|_{L^2(T \times T)} \leq c_3 n \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha,s} E^{-1/4},$$

for $E \geq E_1$, $s = m$ and

$$(6.3) \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \text{ for Theorem 6.1 and } \alpha = \min(1, \varepsilon) \text{ for Theorem 6.2.}$$

We define the integral operator $B(z, E)$ as

$$(6.4) \quad (B(z, E)u)(\lambda) = \int_T u(\lambda') B(\lambda, \lambda', z, E) |d\lambda'|,$$

for $\lambda \in T$, where $B(\lambda, \lambda', z, E)$ is defined in (3.7) and u is a test matrix function. The following decomposition holds

$$(6.5) \quad B(z, E) = C_+ Q_-(z, E) - C_- Q_+(z, E),$$

where

$$(6.6) \quad (C_{\pm}u)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_T \frac{u(\zeta)}{\zeta - \lambda(1 \mp 0)} d\zeta,$$

$$(6.7) \quad (Q_{\pm}u)(\lambda) = \pi i \int_T u(\lambda') \chi_{\pm} \left(\pm i \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} - \frac{\lambda'}{\lambda} \right) \right) h_{\pm}(\lambda, \lambda', z, E) |d\lambda'|,$$

$z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \in T$, χ_{\pm} , h_{\pm} are defined in (2.30) and (2.31) and u is a test matrix function. Thanks to (6.2), (6.5) and properties of the Cauchy projectors C_{\pm} (see [16] for more details), $B(z, E)$ satisfies the estimates

$$(6.8a) \quad \|B(z, E)u\|_{L^2(T)} \leq c_4 n \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha, s} E^{-1/4} \|u\|_{L^2(T)},$$

$$(6.8b) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial z} B(z, E)u \right\|_{L^2(T)} \leq c_4 n \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha, s} E^{-1/4} \|u\|_{L^2(T)},$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $E \geq E_1$, $s = m$, α as in (6.3).

Now by estimate (6.8a), for $E \geq \max(E_1, (c_4 n \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha, s})^4)$, integral equation (3.6) is uniquely solvable for $\tilde{\mu}^+(z, \cdot, E) \in L^2(T)$, at fixed $z \in \mathbb{C}$, by the method of successive approximations. This implies the Lipschitz stability of the reconstruction of $\tilde{\mu}^+(z, \cdot, E)$ on T , at fixed $z \in \mathbb{C}$, from h_{\pm} on $T \times T$ with respect to small errors in the L^2 norm. \square

Proof of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 ($V_{appr} \rightarrow V$). Our high-energy convergence estimate is as follows:

$$(6.9) \quad |V(z) - V_{appr}(z, E)| \leq c_5 n \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha, s} E^{-(s-2)/2},$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $E \geq E_2(\alpha, s, \sigma, n, \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha, s})$, α as in (6.3), $s = m$, $0 < \sigma < 1$ (see [16] for complete details). This estimate follows from (2.29), (2.36), (3.6)–(3.9), (6.2b) and the following estimates (whose proofs for $n = 1$ can be found in [16]):

$$(6.10)$$

$$\left| 2iE^{1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\int_{D_-} \mu(z, -\frac{1}{\zeta}, E) r(\zeta, z, E) d\text{Re}\zeta d\text{Im}\zeta \right) \right| \leq c_6 n \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha, s} E^{-(s-2)/2},$$

$$(6.11) \quad \|\mu^+(z, \cdot, E) - \tilde{\mu}^+(z, \cdot, E)\|_{L^2(T, M_n(\mathbb{C}))} \leq c_7 n \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha, s} E^{-s/2},$$

$$(6.12) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial \mu^+}{\partial z}(z, \cdot, E) - \frac{\partial \tilde{\mu}^+}{\partial z}(z, \cdot, E) \right\|_{L^2(T, M_n(\mathbb{C}))} \leq c_7 n \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha, s} E^{-(s-1)/2},$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $s = m \geq 3$, $E \geq E_2(\alpha, s, \sigma, n, \|\widehat{V}\|_{\alpha, s})$, α as in (6.3). \square

Remark 6.3. The constant E_2 of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 can be fixed as some constant such that $E \geq E_2$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E \geq E_1, \quad |\mu(z, \lambda, E)| \leq 2, \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mu(z, \lambda, E) \right| \leq 1, \\ \|B(z, E)\|_{L^2(T)}^{op} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial z} B(z, E) \right\|_{L^2(T)}^{op} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, where μ and B are estimated in (5.7) and (6.8).

Now, let $\Phi_{V,0}(x, y, E)$, $x, y \in \partial D$, denote the Schwartz kernel of the operator $\Phi(E) - \Phi_0(E)$ considered as precise data for Problem 1. Let $\Phi'_{V,0}$ denote $\Phi_{V,0}$ with some small errors (for the case of Problem 1) and f' denote f with some small errors (for the case of Problem 2). Let V'_{appr} denote V_{appr} reconstructed from $\Phi'_{V,0}$ via Algorithm 1 (for Problem 1) and from f' via Algorithm 2 (for Problem 2).

The Lipschitz stability of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 is summarized in the following remarks:

Remark 6.4. Let the assumptions of Theorem 6.1 hold and let

$$(6.13) \quad \delta = \|\Phi'_{V,0}(\cdot, \cdot, E) - \Phi_{V,0}(\cdot, \cdot, E)\|_{L^2(\partial D \times \partial D)} \leq \delta_1(V, E, D, n).$$

Then

$$(6.14) \quad \varepsilon = \|V'_{appr} - V_{appr}\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq \eta_1(V, E, D, n)\delta.$$

Here δ_1 and η_1 are some positive constants summarizing the Lipschitz stability of Algorithm 1. In particular,

$$(6.15) \quad \delta_1(V, E, D, n) \geq \delta_1^0,$$

$$(6.16) \quad \eta_1(V, E, D, n) \leq \eta_1^0 E,$$

as $\|\Phi_{V,0}(\cdot, \cdot, E)\|_{L^2(\partial D \times \partial D)} \rightarrow 0$, for some positive (sufficiently small) δ_1^0 and (sufficiently big) η_1^0 , where δ_1^0 and η_1^0 are independent of V and E for fixed D and n .

Remark 6.5. Let the assumptions of Theorem 6.2 hold and let

$$(6.17) \quad \delta = \|f - f'\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M}_E)} \leq \delta_2(V, E, n).$$

Then

$$(6.18) \quad \varepsilon = \|V_{appr} - V'_{appr}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \eta_2(V, E, n)\delta.$$

Here δ_2 and η_2 are suitable constants summarizing the Lipschitz stability of Algorithms 2. In particular,

$$(6.19) \quad \delta_2(V, E, n) \geq \delta_2^0,$$

$$(6.20) \quad \eta_2(V, E, n) \leq \eta_2^0 E,$$

as $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M}_E)} \rightarrow 0$, for some positive (sufficiently small) δ_2^0 and (sufficiently big) η_2^0 , where δ_2^0 and η_2^0 are independent of V and E for fixed n .

Note that in Remark 6.5, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M}_E)}$ is identified with $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(T \times T)}$.

The property that $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M}_E)} \rightarrow 0$, mentioned in Remark 6.5, is fulfilled, in particular, for $E \rightarrow +\infty$, as a consequence of estimate (6.1b). On the contrary, the property that $\|\Phi_{V,0}(\cdot, \cdot, E)\|_{L^2(\partial D \times \partial D)} \rightarrow 0$, mentioned in Remark 6.4, is not fulfilled for $E = E_j, j \rightarrow \infty$, for any sequence $\{E_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive real numbers such that $E_j \rightarrow +\infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, if $V \neq 0$. In this connection, our high-energy conjecture is that

$$(6.21) \quad \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Phi_{V,0}(\cdot, \cdot, E_j)\|_{L^2(\partial D \times \partial D)} < +\infty,$$

for some $\{E_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ dependent on V , where $E_j \rightarrow +\infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that the E factor in the right side of (6.16) and of (6.20) is related with the choice of the L^2 norm for estimates of the inverse problem data. For example, for Algorithm 2, at least in the linear approximation (3.11)-(3.13), this factor disappears if $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M}_E)}$ is replaced by $\|\cdot\|_{L_s^\infty(\mathcal{M}_E)}$, $s = m$, where

$$(6.22) \quad \|u\|_{L_s^\infty(\mathcal{M}_E)} = \sup_{(\lambda, \lambda') \in T \times T} (1 + E|\lambda - \lambda'|^2)^{s/2} |u(\lambda, \lambda')|.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Baykov, S.V., Burov, V.A., Sergeev, S.N., *Mode Tomography of Moving Ocean*, Proc. of the 3rd European Conference on Underwater Acoustics, 1996, 845–850.
- [2] R. Beals and R. R. Coifman, *Multidimensional inverse scatterings and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Pseudodifferential operators and applications (Notre Dame, Ind., 1984), 45–70, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **43**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1985.
- [3] Bogatyrev, A.V., Burov, V.A., Morozov, S.A., Rumyantseva, O.D., Sukhov, E.G. *Numerical realization of algorithm for exact solution of two-dimensional monochromatic inverse problem of acoustical scattering*, Acoust. Imaging **25**, 2000, 65–70.
- [4] Bukhgeim, A. L., *Recovering a potential from Cauchy data in the two-dimensional case*, J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl. **16**, 2008, no. 1, 19–33.
- [5] Burov, V. A., Alekseenko, N. V., Rumyantseva, O. D., *Multifrequency generalization of the Novikov algorithm for the two-dimensional inverse scattering problem*, Acoustical Physics **55**, 2009, no. 6, 843–856.
- [6] Dubrovin, B. A., Krichever, I. M., Novikov, S. P., *The Schrödinger equation in a periodic field and Riemann surfaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **229**, 1976, no. 1, 15–18.

- [7] Faddeev, L. D., *Growing solutions of the Schrödinger equation*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **165**, 1965, no. 3, 514–517.
- [8] Faddeev, L. D., *The inverse problem in the quantum theory of scattering. II*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences **5**, 1976, no. 3, 334–396.
- [9] Gel'fand, I.M., *Some problems of functional analysis and algebra*, Proc. Int. Congr. Math., Amsterdam, 1954, 253–276.
- [10] Grinevich, P. G., *The scattering transform for the two-dimensional Schrödinger operator with a potential that decreases at infinity at fixed nonzero energy*, (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **55**, 2000, no. 6(336), 3–70; translation in Russian Math. Surveys **55**, 2000, no. 6, 1015–1083.
- [11] Grinevich, P. G., Novikov, R. G., *Transparent potentials at fixed energy in dimension two. Fixed-energy dispersion relations for the fast decaying potentials* Comm. Math. Phys. **174**, 1995, no. 2, 409–446.
- [12] Henkin, G. M., Novikov, R. G., *The $\bar{\partial}$ -equation in the multidimensional inverse scattering problem*, Russian Mathematical Surveys **42**, 1987, no. 3, 109–180.
- [13] Novikov, R. G., *Multidimensional inverse spectral problem for the equation $-\Delta\psi + (v(x) - Eu(x))\psi = 0$* , Funkt. Anal. i Pril. **22**, 1988, no. 4, 11–22 (in Russian); English Transl.: Funct. Anal. and Appl. **22**, 1988, 263–272.
- [14] Novikov, R. G., *The inverse scattering problem on a fixed energy level for the two-dimensional Schrödinger operator*, J. Funct. Anal. **103**, 1992, no. 2, 409–463.
- [15] Novikov, R. G., *Rapidly converging approximation in inverse quantum scattering in dimension 2*, Phys. Lett. A **238**, 1998, no. 2-3, 73–78.
- [16] Novikov, R. G., *Approximate solution of the inverse problem of quantum scattering theory with fixed energy in dimension 2*, (Russian) Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **225**, 1999, Solitony Geom. Topol. na Perekrest., 301–318; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **225**, 1999, no. 2, 285–302.
- [17] Novikov, R. G., *Formulae and equations for finding scattering data from the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map with nonzero background potential*, Inv. Problems **21**, 2005, no. 1, 257–270.
- [18] Novikov, R. G., Santacesaria, M., *A global stability estimate for the Gel'fand-Calderón inverse problem in two dimensions*, J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl. **18**, 2010, 765–785.
- [19] Novikov, R. G., Santacesaria, M., *Global uniqueness and reconstruction for the multi-channel Gel'fand-Calderón inverse problem in two dimensions*, Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques, 2011, doi:10.1016/j.bulsci.2011.04.007.
- [20] Santacesaria, M., *Global stability for the multi-channel Gel'fand-Calderón inverse problem in two dimensions*, e-print arXiv:1102.5175.
- [21] Xiaosheng, L., *Inverse scattering problem for the Schrödinger operator with external Yang-Mills potentials in two dimensions at fixed energy*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq. **30**, 2005, no.4-6, 451–482.

(R. G. Novikov and M. Santacesaria) CNRS (UMR 7641), CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES APPLIQUÉES, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, 91128, PALAISEAU, FRANCE

E-mail address: novikov@cmap.polytechnique.fr, santacesaria@cmap.polytechnique.fr