

Oceanic loading monitored by ground-based tiltmeters at Cherbourg (France)

Nicolas Florsch, Muriel Llubes, Guy Wöppelmann, Laurent Longuevergne,

Jean-Paul Boy

To cite this version:

Nicolas Florsch, Muriel Llubes, Guy Wöppelmann, Laurent Longuevergne, Jean-Paul Boy. Oceanic loading monitored by ground-based tiltmeters at Cherbourg (France). Journal of Geodynamics, 2009, 48 (3-5), pp.211. 10.1016/j.jog.2009.09.017 hal-00594434

HAL Id: hal-00594434 <https://hal.science/hal-00594434v1>

Submitted on 20 May 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Oceanic loading monitored by ground-based tiltmeters at Cherbourg (France)

Authors: Nicolas Florsch, Muriel Llubes, Guy Wöppelmann, Laurent Longuevergne, Jean-Paul Boy

PII: S0264-3707(09)00084-2 DOI: [doi:10.1016/j.jog.2009.09.017](dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.jog.2009.09.017) Reference: GEOD 911

To appear in: *Journal of Geodynamics*

Please cite this article as: Florsch, N., Llubes, M., Wöppelmann, G., Longuevergne, L., Boy, J.-P., Oceanic loading monitored by ground-based tiltmeters at Cherbourg (France), *Journal of Geodynamics* (2008), doi[:10.1016/j.jog.2009.09.017](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2009.09.017)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Ė la, C D B

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Abstract

2001). Tilts are much more sensitive to the coastal boating since the laberal gradient
strength displacement is involved measured rather than the amount of displacement
45 vertical displacement is involved measured rather This paper is focused on the tilt effects generated by tidal oceanic loading on the French coast (Cherbourg, Cotentin region). The ocean tidal amplitude may reach there up to several meters. While considering gravity variations in the vicinity of a sea with large tides, the proper loading contribution can reach about one third of the elastic earth tide variation (Llubes et al., 2001). Tilts are much more sensitive to the coastal loading since the lateral gradient of vertical displacement is involved measured rather than the amount of displacement, and the gradient reaches its maximum close to the coast. Actually the loading tilt itself reaches at Cherbourg about three times the solid tide tilt effect. Precisely, two factors converge to generate a large amplitude to the loading tilt locally: 1) the decreasing rate of the tilt Green function as a function of the load distance is more rapid with respect to gravity: the decreasing 50 of the tilt Green function is close to and asymptotically as $1/r^2$ instead of $1/r$ in the gravity case (see for instance Farrell, 1972). This feature leads to a sort of homothetic invariance 52 scale (Rerolle *et al.*, 2006) when integrating over an area which also depends on r^2 ; 2) Coastal areas are zones where the tidal amplitude is much greater than in the open ocean. Finally, these properties make the tiltmeters highly sensitive and suitable to study local loading phenomena.

 Strictly speaking, tiltmeters record the variations of the gravity direction, more precisely the variations between the instantaneous geoid and the crust on which these instruments are settled. Both are affected by water loads. In practical terms, the only signal that can be measured is the difference between the geoid and the crust. It is not possible to refer tilts to a space or terrestrial reference frame because the accuracy that would be required to refer tilt data to this frame should be of the same order of magnitude than a tiltmeter resolution (at 62 least), that is better than 10^{-9} rad at a few second time scale. Comparatively a one meter 63 diameter zenithal telescope would have a 10^{-6} rad resolving power. Of course, it is only a

- practical limitation. Actually, the zero instrumental reference is just its initial state when
- beginning the record.
- The geometrical and dynamical effects induced by the oceanic loads can be easily computed
- using the Green formalism (LLubes and Mazzega, 1997), which degenerates in a simple
- convolutive formalism as long as the Earth is considered as spherically symmetric. One
- specific Green function exists to describe the linear elastic Earth response to a local load in
- terms of, respectively, vertical and horizontal displacements, stress, strain and gravity. Green
- functions are available for different Earth models. We use here the functions devoted to tilts
- provided by Pagiatakis (1990) which are relative to a viscoelastic, rotating PREM-like Earth.
- (See also Boy *et al.* in this issue).
- **2. Experiment description and site corrections**

2.1. Tiltmeters records

- The tilmeters used in this experiment are very compact instruments historically designed by
- Blum (1962) (see also Saleh *et al.*, 1991) and nowadays built by Marie-France Esnoult at
- IPGP. These instruments are made with silica glass and are built according to Zöllner's
- pendulum concept. Tiltmeters require a two-step calibration: the first one is electronic (the
- sensitivity of the displacement probe) and the second one is purely mechanistic (the
- 69 specific Green function exists to describe the linear elastic Farth response to a local

70 terms of, respectively, vertical and horizontal displacements, stress, strain and gras

71 functions are evailable for differe 81 amplification of a pendulum is $1/\sin(\alpha)$, α being the angle between the rotation axe and the
	- vertical line). Scientific and historical background of this kind of probes may be found in
	- Melchior (1983). Braitenberg and Zadro (1999) also provide a suitable summary of their
	- functioning.
	-

91 a noticeable drift is observed on that kind of instruments, which is rarely understochned attach.

92 densits. This drift could also involve the creaping of the illmenter components then

93 rad variation over a 30 cm The tiltmeters used in this experiment can reach a resolution of about 10^{-9} rad (Saleh *et al.*, 87 1991). Actually the gain accuracy (calibration constant) is expected to be better than 4 % at 88 1 σ . However, pendulums are affected by some "external" limitations. They are highly sensitive to very local environmental background variations: temperature, dampness of the floor where the instrument lies, and any kind of deformation of the stand. Generally speaking, a noticeable drift is observed on that kind of instruments, which is rarely understood in 92 details. This drift could also involve the creeping of the tiltmeter components themselves: 10^{-9} 93 rad variation over a 30 cm baseline is $0.3*10⁻⁹$ m that is less than the elementary quartz crystal size. Hence, a suitable efficiency can only be reached thanks to exceptional settling conditions. In our experiment, two orthogonal pendulums have been installed in an unused part of a military underground facility owned by the French Marine, the "Souterrain du Roule", at Cherbourg (Figure 1). A drift does actually exist on both tiltmeters directions (EW and NS). However, it only causes interferences within the long period variations for more than one week, which can be eliminated by standard filtering methods to focus on the diurnal tidal band and its harmonics without spectral windowing artefacts.

2.2. Site effects

- Site effects include topographic, cavity and geological effects. It is not only a magnification
- or reduction, new tilt signals can be added by strain-tilt coupling, typically resulting in a
- phase shift. The first who provided a useful approach to deal with such undesirable effects
- was Harrison (1976). An essential characteristic of site effects is the relative phase shift with
- respect to its theoretical value, which can reach as much as 40° (Lecolazet and Wittlinger,
- 1974).
- In the paper by King *et al.* (1976) two issues dealing with the correction of site effects are
- mentioned: first the practical problem of constructing and checking large three-dimensional
- models, and second the difficulties of obtaining the correct input data for the models.

- 116 scientic response of the Rayleigh waves. Neither of these methods can be used here 117 by King *et al.* (1976) the first method is valid only for sites distant from occina based Manuscript and (1976) the first method Nowadays, the Finite Element Method (FEM) could be applied (see for instance Kroner *et al.* 2005). These authors also remind the work of Itsueli *et al.* (1975) in which the problem of fractures or other inhomogeneities in the vicinity of the observation site, that cannot be adequately mapped (as in our case), are introduced. They proposed a method for removing the site effects without need for modelling by using a response method actually based on the seismic response of the Rayleigh waves. Neither of these methods can be used here. As stated by King *et al.* (1976) the first method is valid only for sites distant from ocean loading and the second requires at least the vertical component of the Rayleigh wave which is not available in our case.
	- However two points must be emphasized that show that site effects can be supposed to be
	- small. Firstly, the crust flexure results mainly from remote surface loads and only involves
	- Newtonian body forces as a minor contribution. The direct Newtonian attraction itself is tiny
	- as it results from an elementary calculation. Indeed, the vertical deviation which is the main
	- effect of the near oceanic attraction can be neglected, and then the associated cavity effect too.
	- Secondly, tiltmeters have been installed more or less in the middle of the tunnel (a symmetry
	- axis), where the disturbing effect is supposed to vanish.
	- The solution we finally adopted is neglecting potential site effect corrections, assuming it is
	- less critical than in the frame of a body Earth Tide study. Finally, remembering that Lecolazet
	- and Wittlinger (1974) attributed a significant phase shift to the cavity effect, we state that the
	- undetectable phase difference between the observed and the modeled tidal tilt variations will
	- be an *a posteriori* justification of the reduced rule of site effect.
	- **2.3. Atmospheric contribution on tilt.**
	- The atmosphere contributes to the tilt as any other moving mass (Boy *et al*, this issue). Two
	- deformation processes have to be modeled: direct attraction (modifying the equipotential),

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

- effect on that coastal border is complicated by the dynamic response of the ocean , refered as
- the "Inverted barometer hypothesis" (see Carrère and Lyard, 2003; Boy *et al.*, this issue).
- Finally, we dropped this correction which is practically difficult to perform, but in the same
- time probably not critical for our purpose, especially because the expected improvement will
- be obsolete when considering the poor calibration factor accuracy.
- 166 Traditional Farth Tide (FT) studies have benefined from gravity observations, such 167 GGP experiment (http://www.eas.sht.edu/GGP/ggphome.html). Most of the geode
168 consider that the discrepancies between tidal obse Traditional Earth Tide (ET) studies have benefited from gravity observations, such as the
	- GGP experiment (http://www.eas.slu.edu/GGP/ggphome.html). Most of the geodesists
	- consider that the discrepancies between tidal observations and corresponding models are very
	- tiny. Actually, they are much smaller toward the inner continental stations where the
	- influence of oceanic loadings is reduced. The agreement between the Love numbers used to
	- compute visco-elastic Earth tides and those derived from GGP GGP (see Baker and Bos,
	- 2003; Boy *et al.*, 2003) cryogenic gravimeter data is better than 1/100. This is indeed
	- negligible when considering the tiltmeter factor calibration accuracy and one can assume that
	- the modelled Earth tide elastic contribution is very accurate and can be subtracted from the
	- raw data to keep only oceanic loading effects. Since cavity and site effect are assumed to be
	- small, we consider that it is neither necessary to correct the Eart tide contribution for it to
	- perform this substraction. Finally, we consider that the error associated with site effects is
	- reduced due to (1) the position of the tiltmeters in the center of the tunnel and (2) the reduced
	- amplitude of the Earth Tide by a factor 5 with respect to loading and (3) the feature of the tilt
	- which involves limited body forces.
	- **3. Signal processing and spectral analysis.**
	- The whole time-series are available on request to the main author.
	- **3.1 Basic spectral analysis**

- Tilts were initially sampled at 30 sec intervals. We applied high-pass filtering (to remove the
- drift) and resampling with low-pass filtering to avoid aliasing. This finally restricts the
- effective bandwidth to periods between 10 minutes and 72 hours. Raw and filtered signals are
- plotted in Figure 2. The amplitude spectra of the filtered signals are plotted on Figure 3. We
- chose a spectral normalization which preserves the amplitude of the periodic signal rather
- than the spectral power density. Hence, the tidal wave amplitudes can be directly read in
- microradians.
- The spectra show several harmonics of the diurnal tidal waves. They are directly linked to the
- non-linear hydrodynamical waves in the English Channel and do not result from any kind of
- non-linearity of the Earth elastic response. Modelling the observed amplitudes requires the
- computation of these non linear waves by using the most complete oceanic charts, involving
- hydrodynamic modelling plus data assimilation, and to combine them with the rheological
- response of the Earth. However, the difficulties to retrieve upper order waves lie in the
- limitation in the mesh and restitution sharpness as seen by altimetric satellites; more exactly
- it depends on the trade-off between time and space sampling, both limited in practice
- (Cartwright and Ray, 1990). This becomes more difficult as the order increases, since the
- higher the order, the smaller the typical wavelength to be taken into account.

Several points should be highlighted here:

- the amplitudes of even orders are greater than for other harmonics. This is expected
- since they are successive harmonics of the M2 dominant group.
- Tiltmeters are able to record nonlinear waves up to 10 cycles/day. Note that neither
- 189 than the spectral power density. Hence, the itial wave amplitudes can be directly rot

190 micronariums.

191 The spectra show several harmonics of the diurnal tidal waves. They are directly fit

192 non-linear hydrod loading gravity studies (Boy *et al.*, 2004) nor any other integrative geodetic method have been able to "see" these higher harmonic signals (although they are clearly seen
	- in tide gauge records, of course). Hence tiltmeters are confirmed to be very sensitive

SCRIP U, D

Earth tide analysis softwares are designed to estimate the transfer response of the Earth with

$$
227\hat{ }
$$

4**. FES2004/NEA time modelling and testing increasing contributive distance**

- The modelling is performed by combining FES2004 global oceanic model (Lyard *et al*.
- 2006), and the refined NEA (North East Atlantic tidal solution) model in the close Atlantic
- and English Channel (Pairaud *et al.*, 2008). To perform the computation, the jointed model
- heights are convolved in two dimensions by using the radial tilt Green Function provided in
- Pagiatakis (1990).
- We have plotted on Figure 4 the modelled oceanic loading and the Earth Tide contribution, as
- well as the sum of these two signals and compared them with the observation. The chosen
- window permits to illustrate the best and the worst agreements. The largest discrepancies
- between modelled and observed oceanic loading occur for large tidal ranges. At the end of the
- window, during small tidal ranges, the agreement is far better. In general, the EW component
- 232 Pagianakis (1990).

We have plotted on Figure 4 the modelled oceanic loading and the Earth Tide cont

233 We have plotted on Figure 4 the modelled oceanic loading and the Earth Tide cont

234 well as the sum of these is better modelled than the NS component. This may be linked to the orientation of the coast
	- (EW) which is located 2 km northwards of the observing site.
	- We do not know the origin of these discrepancies and their variations in time. However, we
	- form the hypothesis that it could come from the interference arrangement between the main
	- tidal M2 group and the overtones (nonlinear harmonics). We only took into account 8 waves
	- in the diurnal and semi-diurnal bands here and none of the non-linear tides.

Sensitivity of the tilts to the remoteness of the loads.

- To study the tilt as a function of the distance to the loads, we chose an adapted geographical
- windowing, as shown in Boy *et al.* (2003) to represent the different contribution of individual
- areas.
- The computation was performed by distinguishing three exclusive zones: this enabled to study
- the influence of nearby, medium range and remote oceanic loading effects. Zone 1 (Z1): from
- -5° to 1.5° in longitude and 48.5° to 51.25° in latitude, based on NEA2004 model (Pairaud *et*

- 30° to 61° in latitude, also based on NEA2004 model, is a medium range zone excluding Z1.
- Zone 3 (Z3), based on FES2004 (Lyard *et al.*, 2006), is global and covers the other parts of
- the world excluding Z1 and Z2.
- Figure 5 shows the M2 wave amplitude and the three zone boundaries. Figure 6 highlights the
- cumulative contributions of each of these 3 zones for all the diurnal and semi-diurnal waves.
- It clearly showed the effect of the local magnification in the semi-diurnal band (N2, M2, S2,
- and K2). Large zooms were required to see further contributions; the local contribution was
- definitely dominant, and one could neglect the farther load contributions in the model without
- significant loss.
- The diurnal waves (O1, P1, K1, Q1) formed a second class of patterns. Though the local zone
- (English Channel) dominated the signals, the Atlantic and remote zones were almost of the
- same order of magnitude and none of the contributions could be neglected. This could be
- 256 cumulative contributions of each of these 3 zones for all the diurnal and semi-dium
257 le clearly showed the effect of the local magnification in the semi-diumal band (N2
258 and K2.1 Lege zooms were required to see explained by the fact that the diurnal waves were not as amplified by the Channel as the
	- semi-diurnal waves.
	-

5. Comparison between final model and observed data.

- The phasor diagram given in Figure 7 shows the residual discrepancy between the observed
- data (from which the Earth Tide contribution was previously removed) and the models. Using
- FES2004 alone provided results that were not in good agreement with the observations,
- especially as far as the NS component is concerned. By substituting FES2004 with NEA2004
- in the area close to the site, a real improvement is achieved, but a significant discrepancy
- remains. Since the main improvement arising from FES2004 to NEA is the finer spatial
- resolution of the grid used in the computation, one could conclude that the residual
- discrepancy was mainly due to the coarseness of the grid still in use, which is a more critical
- issue when dealing with tilt than when dealing with gravity or vertical displacement time-

- variations. The successive points "FES2004", "FES2004+NEA" are often quite on a line that
- seems on the way to tend to the observation: see M2, S2, K2, K1. The improvement appeared
- to be better on the NS component than on the EW one.
- The less the amplitude of the wave, the less the relative accuracy of this line pattern; see for
- instance the EW component of Q1. In such case, it is likely that the random noise still hide the
- signal and/or prevent the model to be accurate.

6. Discussion and Conclusions

282 signal and/or prevent the model to be accurate.

283 signal and/or prevent the model to be accurate.

285 The sensitivity of the dilmeters allows to observe the loading effect with a high signal and conclusions and co The sensitivity of the tiltmeters allows to observe the loading effect with a high signal/noise ratio. This implies that assuming a known mechanical response of the Earth, tiltmeters can be used to validate oceanographic models and nonlinear tides. Contrary to tide gauges whose spatial sensitivity is strictly local (and can be affected by the harbour inner architecture), the tilt offers an integrative measurement of the behaviour of the ocean with a regional spatial sensitivity. This is the case for the M2 group; the wave amplitude is quickly decreasing when the distance to the coast increases, making the remote contribution really negligible. The main remaining issues are: 1) the site effect, which is difficult to estimate in most cases, 2) the lack of atmospheric detailed data to correct for pressure within this short period band, and 3) the necessity to take into account a dynamical and coupled atmosphere-ocean modelling (see Boy *et al.*, this issue), 4) the difficulty to achieve a good accuracy in the calibration factor for this kind of tiltmeters. Further improvement of the computing grid sharpness will certainly improve the fit and all these challenges could be tackled in the future. Currently new experiments are carried on in Brittany near Ploemeur in France (Bour *et al.,* 2008) which could serve to improve our knowledge. Indeed, long-base hydrostatic tiltmeters have been set up in shallow galleries. They have been recording for a few months. Both calibration uncertainties and site effects will be easier to solve there for that kind of instruments. In

- improving.
- Due to its features and assuming further improvements, tilt could become a systematic tool to
- test oceanic models as far as non linear high harmonics are concerned. Neither gravity nor
- GPS techniques are able to see M4, M6, M8 and M10 waves with such a signal/noise ratio as
- the one reached by tiltmeters today.

Acknowledgement

-
- We thank Marie-France Esnoult and Karim Mahiouz for installing the tiltmeters, Jacques
- Delorme and the French Marine for providing the Roule gallery to install the tilt-meters. We
- would also like to thank Bernard Simon (SHOM) for kindly providing us his tools (MAS) in
- order to apply the ocean tidal-like analyses to the tiltmeter records. This study has been
- 307 The one reached by tiltmeters today.

2008 Acknowledgement

2009 Acknowledgement

2009

310 We thank Marie-France Esnoult and Karim Mahiotaz for installing the tiltmeters. J.

311 Deforme and the French Marins for prov supported by the program CNRS-DBT and the grant in the frame of the multi-organisation
	- GDR-G2. Nicolas Florsch is currently welcomed at the Department of Mathematics and
	- Applied Mathematics at Cape Town University, South Africa, and is granted by the French
	- Organization "Institut de Recherche pour le Développement". Jean-Paul Boy is currently
	- visiting NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, with a Marie Curie International Outgoing
	- Fellowship (N° PIOF-GA-2008-221753).
	-
	- We thanks the anonymous reviewer who made very constructive review. We thank H.-G.
	- Scherneck and a anonymous referee for their comments and
	- suggestions that helped in improving the manuscript.
	- **References**

- Baker, T.F. and M. S. Bos, 2003. Validating Earth and ocean tide models using tidal gravity
- measurements. Geophys. J. Int., 152, 468-485.
- Beavan, R.J., 1974. Some Calculations of Ocean Loading Strain Tides in Great Britain,
- Geophys. .J. R. Astr. Soc. ,Vol . 38, 1, pp. 63-82.
- Blum, P., 1962. Contribution à l'étude des variations de la verticale en un lieu, Ann.
- Geophys., 19, 215–243.
- Bour, O., Caudal, J.P., Le Borgne, T., Moreau, F., Labasque, T., Boudin, F., Aquilina, L.,
- Ruelleu, S., Dauteuil, O., Jacob, T., Durand, S., Maia, M., Biessy, G., Tarits, C., Bayer, R., Le
- Moigne, N., Morel, L., Henin, O., Ferrand, A., Davy, P., 2008. The Ploemeur research site :
- goals and motivations for long-term monitoring and groundwater experiments, AGU Fall
- Meeting, San Francisco, USA, 15-19 December 2008.
- Boy, J.P., Llubes, M., Hinderer, J., and Florsch, N., 2003. A comparison of tidal ocean
- loading models using superconducting gravimeter data. J. Geophys. Res., 108, B4, 2193, doi:
- 10.1029/ 2002JB002050, 2003.
-
- Boy, J.-P., M. Llubes, R. Ray, J. Hinderer, N. Florsch, S. Rosat, F. Lyard and T. Letellier,
- 2004, Non-linear oceanic tides observed by superconducting gravimeters in Europe, J.
- Geodyn*.*, 38, 391-405.
-
- Boy, J.-P., L. Longuevergne, F. Boudin, T. Jacob, F. Lyard, M. Llubes, N. Florsch and M.-F.
- 220 Blum, P., 1962. Contribution à l'étude des variations de la verticale en an lieu, Am

330 Geophys., 19. 215-243.

331 Bour, O., Caudal, J.P., Le Borgne, T., Moreau, F., Labasque, T., Boudin, F., Aquil

332 Ruelleu, S., Esnoult, 2009. Modelling atmospheric and induced non-tidal oceanic loading contributions to
	- surface gravity and tilt measurements, J. Geodyn., this issue.
	-

- instrumentation and observations. Bollettino di Geofisica Teorica ed Applicata, 40 , no 3-4,
- pp. 577-582.
-
- Carrère, C. and F. Lyard, 2003. Modeling the barotropic response of the global ocean to
- 353 atmospheric wind and pressure forcing Comparisons with observations, Geophys

354 30 (6), 1275, doi:10.1029/2002CH, 016473.

355 Cartwright, D.E., and Ray, R.D., 1990. Oceanic tides from Geosat altimetry. J. George. atmospheric wind and pressure forcing - Comparisons with observations, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
	- 30 (6), 1275, doi:10.1029/2002GL016473.
	- Cartwright, D.E., and Ray, R.D., 1990. Oceanic tides from Geosat altimetry. J. Geophys.
	- Res., 95 (C3), pp. 3069–3090.
	- Farrell, W., 1972. Deformation of the Earth by surface load, Review of Geophysics Space
	- Physics, 10(3), 761–797.
	-
	- Harrison, J. C., 1976. Cavity and topographic effects in tilt and strain measurements. J.
	- Geophys. Res., 81, 319 328
	-
	- Itsueli, U.J., Bilham, R.G., Goulty, N.R., and King, G.C.P., 1975. Tidal strain enhancement
	- observed across a tunnel, *Geophys. J. R. astr.Soc*., **42**, 555
	-
	- King, G., Zürn, W., Evans, R., Emter, D., 1976. Site Correction for Long Period
	- Seismometers, Tiltmeters and Strainmeters. Geophysical Journal International, vol. 44, issue
	- 2, pp. 405-411.
	- Kroner, C., Jahr, T., Kuhlmann, S., & Fisher, K., 2005. Pressure-induced noise on horizontal
	- seismometer strainmeter records evaluated by finite element modelling, Geophys. J. Int., 161,
	- 167–178.

- Lecolazet, R. & Wittlinger, G., 1974. Sur l'influence perturbatrice de la deformation des
- cavités d'observation sur les marées clinométriques, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 278, 663–666.
-
- Llubes, M., and Mazzega, P., 1997. Testing recent global ocean tide models with loading
- gravimetric data, Progress In Oceanography, 40, 1-4, pp. 369-383, doi:10.1016/S0079-
- 6611(98)00014-7
-
- Llubes, M., Florsch, N., , Amalvict, , M., Hinderer, J., Lalancette, M.F., Orseau, D., et Simon,
- B., 2001. Observation gravimétrique des surcharges océaniques : premières expériences en
- Bretagne. C.R. Acad. Sci, Earth and Planetary Sciences 332, 77-82.
-
- Llubes, M., Florsch, N., Boy, J.P., Amalvict, M., Bonnefond, P., Bouin, M.N., Durand, S.,
- Esnoult, M.F., Exertier, P., Hinderer, J., Lalancette, M.F., Masson, F., Morel, L., Nicolas, J.,
- Vergnolles, M., Wöppelmann, G., 2008. Multi-technique monitoring of ocean tide loading in
- Northern France. C. R. Geoscience 340, 379–389
-
- Longuevergne, L. (2008), Contribution a l'Hydrogéodésie, Ph.D. thesis, 300 pp, Université
- Pierre et Marie Curie. Can be downloaded at :
- 376 gravinectic data, Progress In Oceanography, 40, 1-4, pp. 369-383, <u>doi-10, 1016/80</u>

377 6611(98)00014.7

378

Llubes, M., Florsch, N., Amalvict, , M., Hinderer, J., Lalancette, M.F., Orseau, D.

380 B., 2001. Observa http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/index.php?halsid=0tl01nopdml7t5508qotbnu7v1&view_this_doc=tel-00319205&version=1
	- Lyard, F., Lefevre, F., Letellier, T., and Fracis, O., 2006. Modelling the global ocean tides:
	- modern insights from FES2004. Ocean Dynamics, 56, 5-6, 394-415. DOI 10.1007/s10236-
	- 006-0086-x.
	- Melchior, P., 1983. The Tides of the Planet Earth, Pergamon Press.

- Pagiatakis, S., 1990. The response of a realistic Earth to ocean tide loading, Geophys. J. Int.,
- 103, 541–560. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1990.tb01790.x
- Pawlowicz, R., Beardsley, B., and Lentz, S., 2002. Classical tidal harmonic analysis error
- estimates in MATLAB using T_TIDE. Comput. Geosci. 28, 929–937.
- Pairaud, I.L., Lyard, F., Auclair, F., Letellier, T., Marsaleix, P., 2008. Dynamics of the semi-
- diurnal and quarter-diurnal internal tides in the Bay of Biscay. Part 1: Barotropic tides.
- Continental Shelf Research 28 (2008) 1294– 1315.
- Pouvreau, N., Martin Miguez, B., Simon, B, and Woppelmann, G., 2006. Evolution of the
- tidal semi-diurnal constituent M2 at Brest from 1846 to 2005. C. R. Geoscience, 338, pp.
- 802–808.
- 400 Pairaud, LL, Lyard, F., Auclair, F., Letellicr, T., Manaleix, P., 2008. Dynamics of
401 diurnal and quarter-diurnal internal tides in the Bay of Biscay. Part 1: Barotropic ti
402 Continental Shelf Research 28 (2008) 12 Rerolle, T. , Florsch, N., Llubes, M., Boudin, F., and Longueverg,e, L., Inclinometry, a new
	- tool for the monitoring of aquifers? C. R. Geoscience 338 (2006).
	- doi:10.1016/j.crte.2006.07.004
	-
	- Saleh, B., Blum, P.A., and Delorme, H., 1991. New silica compact tiltmeter for deformations
	- measurements, J. Survey Eng. 117 , pp. 27–35.
	- Simon, B, 2007. La marée oceanique côtière. Collection "Synthèses", Ed. Insitut
	- Océanographique, 433 pp.
	-
	- Vey,S. , Calais, E., , Llubes, M., Florsch, N., Woppelmann, G., Hinderer, J., Amalvict, M.,
	- Lalancette, M.F., Simon, B., Duquenn F., Haase, F.S., 2002 GPS measurements of ocean
	- loading and its impact on zenith tropospheric delay estimates: a case study in Brittany,

F D \pm

France. Journal of Geodesy, vol. 76, 8, pp 419-427.

- Wilcok, W.S.D, 2001. Tidal triggering of microearthquakes on the Juan de Fuca Ridge. GRL,
- Vol. 28, N° 20, pp. 3999-4002,.
-
-
-
-
- Rccode Manuscript

E P D

Table captions

- Table 1 shows 1) the main tidal constituents obtained from the ocean-like tidal harmonic
- analysis performed on the tiltmeter observations that were previously corrected for the Earth
- tides over the period 2004/03/09 to 2005/07/18; 2) the prediction of amplitude and phase for 8
- Accepted Manuscript 2004 mand MEA2004 mand waves based on FES2004 and NEA2004 model
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-

441 **Figure captions:**

442

Figure 1 : Site location and installation of a Blum Pendulum in the "Souterrain du Roule" at

Cherbourg (France)444

445

Figure2 : EW and NS raw and band-pass filtered tilt records at Cherbourg446

447

Figure 3 : Fourier analysis (periodogramms) of the tilt records reveal a high signal/noise ratio

of 100 (40 dB) at 2 cycle/day. Peaks are visible even at 10 cycles/day.449

. 450

Figure 4: on the bottom part, Earth tide and loading models are shown separately, while there are summed in the top part of the figure. In both cases, the observation is also plotted and shows a greater amplitude than the model. The misfit could be due to non-linear tides that are

- not included in this computation. 454
- 455

446 Figure 2 : EW and NS raw and band-pass filered tilt records at Cherbourg.

447

Figure 3 : Fourier analysis (periodogramms) of the tilt records reveal a high signal

449 of 100 (40 dB) at 2 cycleday. Peaks are visible Figure5 : M2 amplitude from FES2004 model (top), and NEA-2004 (bottom). The NEAmodel is computed by using an unstructured grid called T-UGAm (Courtesy I.L. Pairaud 457 et al., 2008). The figure also shows the three zones used to perform the computation with increasing involved radius and surface, Zones 1, 2 and 3 as described in the text.

Figure 6: phasor diagram of the cumulative contribution of the 3 different zones for all diurnal and semi-diurnal waves. 461

Figure 7: phasor diagram showing the observation and how the model FES2004 and FES2004 463 improved with NEA tend to fit the data.

Table 1

