



HAL
open science

Reduction of Fusarium head blight and deoxynivalenol in wheat with early fungicide applications of prothioconazole

Simon G Edwards, Nigel Godley

► **To cite this version:**

Simon G Edwards, Nigel Godley. Reduction of Fusarium head blight and deoxynivalenol in wheat with early fungicide applications of prothioconazole. *Food Additives and Contaminants*, 2010, 27 (05), pp.629-635. 10.1080/19440040903515942 . hal-00591169

HAL Id: hal-00591169

<https://hal.science/hal-00591169>

Submitted on 7 May 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Reduction of Fusarium head blight and deoxynivalenol in wheat with early fungicide applications of prothioconazole

Journal:	<i>Food Additives and Contaminants</i>
Manuscript ID:	TFAC-2009-320.R1
Manuscript Type:	Special Issue
Date Submitted by the Author:	20-Nov-2009
Complete List of Authors:	Edwards, Simon; Harper Adams University College Godley, Nigel; Bayer CropScience
Methods/Techniques:	Mycology
Additives/Contaminants:	Mycotoxins - fusarium, Mycotoxins - trichothecenes
Food Types:	Cereals and grain

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Running title: Deoxynivalenol reduction with prothioconazole

Reduction of *Fusarium* head blight and deoxynivalenol in wheat with early fungicide applications of prothioconazole

Simon G Edwards^a and Nigel P Godley^b

*Crop and Environment Research Centre, Harper Adams University College,
Newport, Shropshire TF10 8NB, UK*

^bBayer CropScience Region Europe, 16 rue Jean-Marie Leclair, 69009 Lyon, France

Abstract

Numerous studies have identified the benefit of fungicides applied at flowering (Zadoks Growth Stage (GS) 59-69) in the reduction of *Fusarium* head blight (FHB) and the reduction of deoxynivalenol (DON) in harvested wheat grain. Two experiments were performed to identify the ability of prothioconazole (Proline®, Bayer CropScience) at three timings to reduce FHB and resulting DON in harvested grain of wheat. Prothioconazole (150 g ha⁻¹) was applied to plots of wheat at GS31, 39 and 65 in a full factorial design. Plots were inoculated with *Fusarium*-infected oat grain at GS30 and mist-irrigated at GS65 to encourage head blight development. Plots were assessed for head blight symptoms at GS77 and harvested grain was analysed for yield, specific weight, thousand grain weight and DON. Factorial ANOVA identified prothioconazole applications at each timing resulted in significant reductions in FHB and DON. The control achieved with combinations of spray timings was additive with no significant interactions. The control of FHB at GS31, GS39 and GS65 was 50, 58 and 83% respectively. The reduction in FHB achieved by all three timings combined was 97% compared to the fully untreated control plots. The reduction of DON after application of prothioconazole at GS31, GS39 and GS65 was 27, 49 and 57% respectively. The application of prothioconazole at all three timings achieved 83% reduction of DON compared to the fully untreated control plots. These experiments have determined, for the first time, significant additional

1
2
3 head blight disease control and mycotoxin reduction with applications of a fungicide
4 before flowering.
5
6
7

8
9 **Keywords:** Proline®, yield, specific weight, thousand grain weight, fungicide timing,
10 mycotoxins.
11

12
13
14 *Corresponding author. E-mail: sedwards@harper-adams.ac.uk
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Introduction

Fusarium head blight (FHB) is an important disease of small grain cereals as it results in decreased yield, reduced grain quality (specific weight and thousand grain weight), processing quality and the presence of fusarium mycotoxins in harvested grain (Parry et al. 1995). The disease can be caused by several pathogens; the dominant ones are *Fusarium graminearum* (teleomorph *Gibberella zeae*), *F. culmorum*, *F. poae*, *F. avenaceum*, *Microdochium nivale* and *M. majus*. The *Fusarium* species produce a wide range of mycotoxins, the most important ones in wheat are deoxynivalenol (DON) and zearalenone. Both these mycotoxins are produced by *F. graminearum* and *F. culmorum*. Surveys have indicated that fusarium mycotoxins are common contaminants of wheat, however they usually occur at low concentrations (Edwards 2009). High concentrations can occur when weather conditions are conducive, in particular wet weather from flowering to harvest (Edwards 2009). DON causes reduced feed intake, reduced weight gain and vomiting in farm animals (Anon. 2004a). Nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, abdominal pain, headache, dizziness and fever have been reported when high concentrations of DON were consumed by humans.

The European Commission set legislative limits for the fusarium mycotoxins including DON in cereal grains and cereal-based products intended for human consumption (Anon. 2006c). The maximum limit for DON in unprocessed wheat is 1250 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$; this limit applies to wheat placed on the market for processing for human consumption. Maximum limits are set on unprocessed cereals to avoid highly contaminated cereals entering the food chain and to encourage all measures to minimise fusarium mycotoxin contamination to be taken during the field stages of the production chain. The European Commission has also set guideline limits for fusarium mycotoxins in animal feed (Anon. 2006b). The lowest guidance limits have been set for pig feed owing to the high proportion of cereals in pig feed and their higher sensitivity to fusarium mycotoxins. The DON guidance value for complementary and complete feedingstuffs for pigs is 900 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. The legislation states that growers should use Good Agricultural Practice (GAP) to reduce mycotoxins in cereals. The principles of GAP were detailed in a Commission Recommendation (Anon. 2006a) which advises integrated control measures including crop rotation (avoiding host crops as previous crop), cultivation (ploughing to bury crop debris), choice of variety (planting *Fusarium* resistant varieties) and crop

1
2
3 management to minimise plant stress, maintain plant nutrient balance and minimise
4 lodging. The recommendation states that preventative measures should be used, and
5 if necessary, application of fungicides can be used to control toxigenic *Fusarium*
6 species.
7
8
9

10 Numerous studies have been conducted to evaluate the efficacy of fungicides
11 to reduce fusarium head blight and resultant DON in harvested wheat (Boyacioglu et
12 al. 1992; Edwards et al. 2001; Ellner 1997; Ioos et al. 2005; Mennitti et al. 2003;
13 Simpson et al. 2001). These studies have highlighted that azole fungicides have the
14 best efficacy, although it is important to note that the efficacy reported is highly
15 variable between different azole fungicides and can be variable between experiments
16 (Beyer et al. 2006). Tebuconazole is the most tested triazole and has been the
17 industry standard for many years. Metconazole was introduced in 1994, and was
18 shown to have similar efficacy to tebuconazole (Edwards et al. 2001; Ioos et al.
19 2005). More recently, prothioconazole was introduced in 2004, and this
20 triazolinthione had the greatest inhibitory activity against *F. graminearum in vitro* of
21 the fungicides tested (Klix et al. 2007) and has been shown to have high efficacy in
22 field experiments (Paul et al. 2008).
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 Field experiments of fungicide efficacy against head blight have been
34 conducted using either natural inoculum or inoculation. Experiments may also utilise
35 irrigation systems to ensure conditions are conducive for head blight during the
36 flowering period for infection to occur. Experiments using natural inoculum are
37 usually conducted in fields with high disease pressure, for example following maize
38 and minimum tillage, to maximise the probability of severe disease occurring. By
39 spraying spores of *Fusarium* spp. at flowering followed by irrigation, severe disease
40 can be ensured. Application of spores at flowering does not mimic natural infection,
41 as the spores all arrive on the host crop at a single time, and such an application can
42 not be used to test fungicides applied earlier in the growing season. An intermediate
43 form of inoculation is the application of *Fusarium* inoculated grain to the experiment
44 earlier in the season. The *Fusarium* on the inoculated grain sporulates over a long
45 period of time, thus mimicking the natural inoculum present on the ground.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 *Fusarium* spp. cause three diseases on small grain cereals, these are seedling
57 blight, foot rot and head blight. Seedling blight is caused by *Fusarium* present on
58 infected seed or within surrounding soil. This disease can result in pre- and post-
59 emergence death and diseased seedlings, as seedling grow this infection can develop
60

1
2
3 into foot rot, a disease of the stem base. It is believed that inoculum for head blight
4 can be from these two diseases, which occur earlier in the season or from crop debris,
5 weeds and soil (Parry et al. 1995). The traditional timing for fungicides to control
6 head blight has been at flowering (Zadoks et al. (1974) Growth Stage 59-69) when the
7 infection primarily occurs. This is typically the third fungicide spray for wheat in the
8 UK, designated T3. The early fungicide applications are at first or second node
9 detectable (GS31-32; T1) and flag leaf fully emerged (GS39; T2). As part of a
10 preliminary study (results not shown) using oat-grain-inoculum applied at stem
11 extension (GS30), several fungicide programs with or without prothioconazole at T1
12 and T2 appeared to reduce head blight and DON. However, the spread of inoculum
13 between plots may have reduced the ability of prothioconazole at T1 and T2 to reduce
14 the disease and DON within these small plot experiments. It was therefore decided to
15 conduct a full factorial design plus/minus prothioconazole at all three timings to
16 maximise the statistical strength of the experiment to detect significant differences
17 between treatments at each timing and any interaction between applications at each
18 timing; and to use guard plots to minimise the spread of *Fusarium* inoculum between
19 experimental plots.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 The aim of these experiments was to measure the efficacy of prothioconazole
34 (150 g ha⁻¹) applied as the formulated product Proline® (Bayer CropScience) at three
35 timings (T1, T2 and T3) to reduce fusarium head blight incidence and DON content
36 of harvest grain and to increase yield and grain quality.
37
38
39
40
41

42 **Material and methods**

43 *Experimental design*

44 A field experiment was conducted in 2007/08 and repeated in 2008/09. Experimental
45 plots (4 x 12 m) were separated by guard plots (6 x 12 m). Winter wheat, cv. Solstice
46 was sown and grown according to standard farm practice in Shropshire, UK. The
47 experiments were designed as a split plot randomised block with eight treatments
48 replicated four times. The design was a full factorial design of untreated and 0.6 l ha⁻¹
49 Proline® (ai prothioconazole 250 g l⁻¹) treated plots at three timings: T1 (GS 31; first
50 node detectable), T2 (GS39; flag leaf fully emerged) and T3 (GS65; mid-anthesis).
51 The rate of Proline® applied at each timing was 0.75 of the recommended single
52 dose. Treatments are listed in Table 1. T1 and T2 treatments were fully randomised
53 (whole plot) and T3 treatments were randomised between sub-plots (2 x 12 m). All
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 fungicides were applied in 200 l ha⁻¹ water using an 'AZO' knapsack sprayer with
4 110° flat fan nozzles. All guard plots received a robust fungicide regime containing
5 prothioconazole to minimise spread of inoculum between treated plots. Treated plots
6 were mist irrigated for 17 hours each day (05:00-22:00) for five days from 1 day after
7 the T3 fungicide was applied to optimise conditions for FHB infection.
8
9
10
11

12 ***Artificial inoculation***

13
14 Three isolates each of *F. graminearum* (Fg75/11, Fg113, Fg2001/169) and *F.*
15 *culmorum* (Fc2001/158, Fc2001/152, Fc103) were taken from the culture collection at
16 Harper Adams University College. Isolates were sub-cultured on fresh potato
17 dextrose agar (PDA, Merck KGaA, Germany) and after 5 days growth at room
18 temperature used to seed 500 ml of potato dextrose broth (PDB, Merck) in 2-litre
19 flasks. Flasks were shaken twice a day by hand for 5 days. One kg of oats were
20 added to 100 ml of deionised water in a 400 x 550 mm autoclave bag, soaked for 1
21 hour at room temperature and then autoclaved for 1 h at 121°C. One hundred ml of
22 inoculated PDB was used to inoculate each bag of sterilised oat grains. Bags were
23 gently mixed to distribute the inoculum and incubated for 2 weeks at ca. 20°C.
24 Inoculated oat grains were mixed together to produce a composite inoculum and
25 treated plots were inoculated with 19 g m⁻² of oat grain inoculum at GS 30 (stem
26 extension).
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 ***Disease assessment***

42 Head blight assessments were completed on all plots at late milk (GS 77). Incidence
43 of FHB was calculated as number of infected heads per square metre based on counts
44 conducted in ten quadrats (33 cm²) within each plot. Data was converted to % FHB
45 incidence based on average number of heads per square metre.
46
47
48
49
50

51 ***Yield assessment***

52 At maturity, each plot was harvested using a plot combine and the moisture content
53 and total grain yield recorded. Yield was adjusted to tonnes ha⁻¹ at 15% moisture
54 content. One kilogram grain samples were taken for determination of grain quality
55 parameters; thousand grain weight (TGW) and specific weight (SW). Grain samples
56 were then milled (ZM100 mill with 1 mm screen, Retsch UK Ltd, Leeds), mixed in a
57 tumbler mixer and laboratory samples removed for DON analysis.
58
59
60

DON analysis

DON was quantified using a DON FAST ELISA kit (R-Biopharm Rhone, Glasgow) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Eight grams of flour were extracted in 40 ml deionised water.

Statistical analysis

The statistical package used for all data analysis was Genstat (Version 12, Lawes Agricultural Trust, Rothamsted, UK). Percentage FHB incidence was logit transformed and DON data log₁₀ transformed to obtain normally distributed residuals. Data from both experiments was first analysed by split-plot analysis of variance (ANOVA) with year as whole plot and treatment as sub-plot. This identified if there was a significant difference between years, treatments and an interaction between year and treatment. Both experiments were then analysed together using a split plot factorial (T1*T2*T3) ANOVA with a block structure of block nested within year; T1*T2 as whole plots and T3 as sub-plots.

Results

There was no significant difference in TGW between the two field experiments conducted in 2007/08 and 2008/09, for all other parameters measured there was a highly significant difference ($p < 0.001$) between the two experiments (Table 2). For FHB disease incidence the predicted mean for 2008 and 2009 was 0.7 and 15% respectively. There was a corresponding impact on DON, yield and SW (Table 2). Treatment differences were highly significant ($p < 0.001$) for all parameters. There was a significant interaction between year and treatment for yield ($p = 0.029$) and SW ($p = 0.037$) but not for FHB incidence, DON or TGW. As the interactions were either not significant or were much less significant than the main effects then the treatment differences were broadly consistent between years. When each experiment was analysed by ANOVA the residual mean squares were similar, it was therefore acceptable to analyse the datasets for both years together (block nested within year) and these results are presented (Table 3). Factorial analysis identified that there was no significant interactions between fungicide timings for any parameter measured.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 3 shows the p-values and prothioconazole predicted mean values as percentage differences compared to the untreated control for each fungicide application timing.

Each application of prothioconazole significantly ($p < 0.05$) reduced the incidence of FHB at GS 77 and DON at harvest. The most effective timing was T3 with 83% and 57% reduction respectively (Table 3) and the cumulative benefit of three applications of prothioconazole resulted in the greatest observed reductions of 97% and 83% respectively compared to the untreated controls (Figure 1). These values are close to the calculated cumulative reduction based on the individual T1, T2 and T3 reductions presented in Table 2 (96% and 84% respectively).

Overall yield, specific weight (SW) and thousand grain weight (TGW) were as expected considering the severity of FHB in the untreated controls. Factorial analysis identified that all fungicide treatments resulted in a highly significant ($p < 0.001$) increases in yield, SW and TGW, except for SW ($p = 0.050$) and TGW ($p = 0.005$) with a T1 application (Table 3). Again, benefits of prothioconazole were cumulative resulting in greatest increases in yield, SW and TGW from the application of prothioconazole at all three timings. Compared to the untreated control the application of prothioconazole at all three timings resulted in a yield increase of 4.6 ton ha⁻¹ (98%). The greatest contribution to increased yield was from the T2 application (26%). Compared to the untreated control the application of prothioconazole at all three timings resulted in an increase of SW of 11.4 kg hl⁻¹ (19%) and an increase in TGW of 13.4 g (38%).

Discussion

As part of a preliminary study (results not shown) using oat-grain-inoculum at GS30, several fungicide programs with or without prothioconazole at T1 and T2 appeared to reduce head blight and DON in 2006 but not in 2007. These studies were conducted in a standard small plot (2 x 12 m) randomised block design. The difference observed between 2006 and 2007 may have been due to large differences in rainfall. In 2006, the period from T1 application to end of flowering was relatively dry with only 86 mm of rainfall of which only 8 mm fell during flowering. In the following year over the same period there was 172 mm rainfall, including 64 mm over 3 days during flowering. Rainfall events are known to result in splash dispersal of *Fusarium* conidia (Jenkinson and Parry 1994) and stimulate release of *Gibberella zeae* ascospores (Paulitz 1996). It was therefore concluded that in 2007, the high rainfall resulted in

1
2
3 any reduction in head blight inoculum as a result of T1 and T2 fungicide sprays were
4 masked due the dispersal of inoculum between plots during subsequent periods of
5 high rainfall. It was therefore decided to modify the experimental design to include
6 guard plots to minimise spread of inoculum between treated plots. As the inoculum
7 pressure at flowering would be the same for fungicide programs with the same T1 and
8 T2 treatments, the treatments were paired together to provide whole plots treated with
9 combinations of plus/minus prothioconazole at T1 and T2 and subplots plus/minus
10 prothioconazole at T3. This reduced the number of guard plots required and therefore
11 increased the potential size of guard plots for a given experimental area available.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 The use of inoculated oat grain as inoculum applied early in the wheat
20 growing season and the use of guard plots to minimise the spread of inoculum
21 between plots allowed the successful identification of the benefit of prothioconazole
22 applied at all three timings. The reduction in head blight and DON was greatest from
23 an application of prothioconazole at T3 and least at T1. Prothioconazole resulted in
24 83% reduction of head blight and 57% reduction of DON in harvested grains with a
25 single application of three quarter dose at GS65 (T3 timing). This compares well with
26 previous studies, where on average tebuconazole and metconazole applied at full rate,
27 resulted in 58% (n=7 experiments) and 60% (n=24 experiments) DON reduction
28 respectively (Beyer, 2006). The timing of application is critical at flowering with a
29 drop in fungicide efficacy as the fungicide is applied further away from the timing of
30 infection (Pirgozliev et al. 2008).
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Two previous studies using controlled environment and glasshouse
42 experiments indicated that early applications of fungicides could reduce FHB and in
43 one study, DON (Greenfield and Rossall 2000; Hutcheon and Jordan 1992).
44 However, statistical analysis was not presented and the studies were not repeated. In
45 a field experiment on disease control of leaf spot and head blight with natural
46 infection, there was no significant reduction of FHB with tebuconazole applied at
47 GS39 but there was a significant reduction in DON (40%) in one year out of three
48 (Wiersma and Motteberg 2005).
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 It is not clear how fungicide applications before head emergence could reduce
56 head blight and subsequent DON. For the T1 application the likely mechanism is in
57 the reduction of *Fusarium* on the young crop, particularly the dead outer leaf sheaths
58 and on surrounding crop debris and soil. At T2, most fungicide is deposited on the
59 upper leaf canopy. At this timing the fungicide may reduce the number of *Fusarium*
60

1
2
3 spores on the leaf surfaces. There is evidence of natural suppression of FHB from
4
5 saprophytic microflora (Liggitt et al. 1997); prothioconazole may benefit the
6
7 competitors of *Fusarium* spp. due to its high inhibitory activity towards this genus
8
9 (Klix et al. 2007). As prothioconazole is systemic and the T2 application is applied
10
11 within 7-14 days of head emergence, there may also be some direct inhibition of
12
13 infection from prothioconazole translocated to the wheat heads from the T2
14
15 application.

16
17 In contrast, some strobilurin fungicides have been shown to increase DON
18
19 when applied during flowering (Simpson et al. 2001). This may be due to the
20
21 disruption of natural suppression of *Fusarium* spp. by other head blight pathogens
22
23 (*Microdochium* spp.) (Jennings et al. 2000) and saprophytic microflora (Liggitt et al.
24
25 1997). Ellner (2006) reported that applications of some strobilurins before flowering
26
27 (GS33-55) could also result in an increase in DON.

28
29 Increases in grain quality were also greatest after a T3 application of
30
31 prothioconazole and least from a T1 application. This correlation would indicate that
32
33 the increase in grain quality was associated with the reduction in head blight. The
34
35 greatest increase in yield was associated with the T2 application of prothioconazole.
36
37 As the greatest control of FHB was from the T3 timing, this would indicate that the
38
39 control of other pathogens was a major contributing factor to the yield benefit from
40
41 application of prothioconazole at T2. This is likely to be partially due to the control
42
43 of foliar pathogens, such as *Septoria tritici*, on the flag leaf, as this leaf is the
44
45 predominant source of yield potential in wheat (Milne et al. 2007).

46
47 There was a large difference in the severity of head blight between the two
48
49 years even though the experimental design was unchanged. This is likely to be due to
50
51 differences in environmental conditions during key crop growth stages for head blight
52
53 infection. As well as flowering, when both experiments were mist irrigated, key
54
55 environmental conditions are during the spring when spore production occurs and the
56
57 week before flowering when spores can be dispersed onto the emerging wheat heads
58
59 (De Wolf et al. 2003). In late spring of 2009 it was observed that oat grains were
60
covered with large perithecia, indicating that conditions had been conducive for
ascospore production by *Gibberella zeae*. These perithecia were more prolific and
larger than observed in the previous year. Two other factors may have increased the
disease pressure in 2009. Firstly, in the week before flowering there were 5 days with
rainfall greater than 5 mm whereas in 2008 there was no rainfall in the same period.

1
2
3 Secondly, the average temperature during mist irrigation in 2009 was 16.3°C
4 compared to 13.4°C in 2008. The optimum temperature for *F. graminearum* growth
5 is 25°C (Brennan et al. 2003). Under the high disease pressure which occurred in
6 2009 a higher rate of prothioconazole would be required to reduce the DON
7 concentration at harvest to below the legal limit of 1250 µg kg⁻¹.
8
9

10
11
12 The ideal method to control crop diseases is through host resistance.
13 Resistance to *Fusarium* head blight is polygenic and several resistance loci are closely
14 linked to negative agronomic traits (Bai and Shaner 2004). Consequently the
15 availability of economically viable varieties with partial resistance to head blight is
16 limited in many wheat growing regions of the world. At least for the short to medium
17 term, fungicides will continue to play a key role in the reduction of fusarium
18 mycotoxins in small grain cereals. This study has provided clear evidence that
19 application of prothioconazole early in fungicide programs (ie before head
20 emergence) can have a significant contribution to reducing head blight and
21 subsequent DON contamination of harvested grain. The benefit of prothioconazole
22 applied at each timing was additive, with control achieved from all three timings was
23 97% reduction of FHB and 83% reduction of DON. Growers should therefore
24 consider *Fusarium*-active fungicides within all application timings as part of an
25 integrated control strategy. Earlier fungicide applications will be particularly
26 beneficial when weather conditions at flowering are not conducive to application of a
27 head blight fungicide at the optimum timing.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **Acknowledgements**

43 SGE acknowledges technical support of the Crop and Environment Research Centre,
44 in particular; Matthew Rodenhurst, Fikirini Ramadhani, Samuel Imathiu and Danielle
45 Henderson and the funding of field experiments by Bayer CropScience.
46
47
48
49
50

51 **References**

52
53 Anon. 2004a. Opinion of the scientific panel on the contaminants in the food chain on
54 a request from the Commission related to deoxynivalenol (DON) as undesirable
55 substance in animal feed. The EFSA Journal 73:1-41.
56
57 Anon. 2006a. Commission recommendation of 17 August 2006 on the prevention and
58 reduction of *Fusarium* toxins in cereals and cereal products. Official Journal of the
59 European Union L234:35-40.
60

- 1
2
3 Anon. 2006b. Commission recommendation on the presence of deoxynivalenol,
4 zearalenone, ochratoxin A, T-2 and HT-2 and fumonisins in products intended for
5 animal feeding. Official Journal of the European Union L229:7-9.
6
7 Anon. 2006c. Commission Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 setting maximum levels of
8 certain contaminants in foodstuffs. Official Journal of the European Union L364:5-24.
9
10 Bai GH, Shaner G. 2004. Management and resistance in wheat and barley to *Fusarium*
11 head blight. Annual Review of Phytopathology 42:135-161.
12
13 Beyer M, Klix MB, Klink H, Verreet JA. 2006. Quantifying the effects of previous
14 crop, tillage, cultivar and triazole fungicides on the deoxynivalenol content of wheat
15 grain - a review. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection 113:241-246.
16
17 Boyacioglu D, Hettiarachchy NS, Stack RW. 1992. Effect of 3 Systemic Fungicides
18 on Deoxynivalenol (Vomitoxin) Production by *Fusarium graminearum* in Wheat.
19 Canadian Journal of Plant Science 72:93-101.
20
21 Brennan JM, Fagan B, van Maanen A, Cooke BM, Doohan FM. 2003. Studies on in
22 vitro growth and pathogenicity of European *Fusarium* fungi. European Journal of
23 Plant Pathology 109:577-587.
24
25 De Wolf ED, Madden LV, Lipps PE. 2003. Risk assessment models for wheat
26 *Fusarium* head blight epidemics based on within-season weather data.
27 Phytopathology 93:428-435.
28
29 Edwards SG. 2009. *Fusarium* mycotoxin content in UK organic and conventional
30 wheat. Food Additives and Contaminants 26:496-506.
31
32 Edwards SG, Pirgozliev SR, Hare MC, Jenkinson P. 2001. Quantification of
33 trichothecene-producing *Fusarium* species in harvested grain by competitive PCR to
34 determine efficacies of fungicides against fusarium head blight of winter wheat.
35 Applied and Environmental Microbiology 67:1575-1580.
36
37 Ellner FM. 1997. Influence of fungicide treatment on deoxynivalenol content in
38 winter wheat artificially infected with *Fusarium culmorum*. Cereal Research
39 Communications 25:735-737.
40
41 Ellner FM. 2006. Results of long-term field studies into the effect of strobilurin
42 containing fungicides on the production of mycotoxins in several winter wheat
43 varieties. Mycotoxin Research 21:112-115.
44
45 Greenfield JE, Rossall S. 2000. The effect of a range of novel and established
46 fungicides on *Fusarium* growth and mycotoxin production. International Conference
47 on Pests and Diseases Brighton, England, pp 483-486
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Hutcheon JA, Jordan VWL. 1992. Fungicide timing and performance for fusarium
4 control in wheat. Brighton Crop Protection Conference on Pests and Diseases
5 Brighton, England, pp 633-638
6
7
8
9 Ioos R, Belhadj A, Menez M, Faure A. 2005. The effects of fungicides on *Fusarium*
10 spp. and *Microdochium nivale* and their associated trichothecene mycotoxins in
11 French naturally-infected cereal grains. *Crop Protection* 24:894-902.
12
13 Jenkinson P, Parry DW. 1994. Splash Dispersal of Conidia of *Fusarium-Culmorum*
14 and *Fusarium-Avenaceum*. *Mycological Research* 98:506-510.
15
16
17 Jennings P, Turner JA, Nicholson P. 2000. Overview of fusarium ear blight in the UK
18 - effect of fungicide treatment on disease control and mycotoxin production.
19 International Conference on Pests and Diseases Brighton, England, pp 707-712
20
21
22 Klix MB, Verreet JA, Beyer M. 2007. Comparison of the declining triazole sensitivity
23 of *Gibberella zeae* and increased sensitivity achieved by advances in triazole
24 fungicide development. *Crop Protection* 26:683-690.
25
26
27
28 Liggitt J, Jenkinson P, Parry DW. 1997. The role of saprophytic microflora in the
29 development of *Fusarium* ear blight of winter wheat caused by *Fusarium culmorum*.
30 *Crop Protection* 16:679-685.
31
32
33 Mennitti AM, Pancaldi D, Maccaferri M, Casalini L. 2003. Effect of fungicides on
34 *Fusarium* head blight and deoxynivalenol content in durum wheat grain. *European*
35 *Journal of Plant Pathology* 109:109-115.
36
37
38 Milne A, Paveley N, Auclsley E, Parsons D. 2007. A model of the effect of fungicides
39 on disease-induced yield loss, for use in wheat disease management decision support
40 systems. *Annals of Applied Biology* 151:113-125.
41
42
43 Parry DW, Jenkinson P, McLeod L. 1995. *Fusarium* ear blight (Scab) in small-grain
44 cereals - a review. *Plant Pathology* 44:207-238.
45
46
47 Paul PA, Lipps PE, Hershman DE, McMullen MP, Draper MA, Madden LV. 2008.
48 Efficacy of triazole-based fungicides for *Fusarium* head blight and deoxynivalenol
49 control in wheat: A multivariate meta-analysis. *Phytopathology* 98:999-1011.
50
51
52 Paulitz TC. 1996. Diurnal release of ascospores by *Gibberella zeae* in inoculated
53 wheat plots. *Plant Disease* 80:674-678.
54
55
56 Pirgozliev SR, Ray RV, Edwards SG, Hare MC, Jenkinson P. 2008. Effect of timing
57 of fungicide application on the development of *Fusarium* head blight and the
58 accumulation of deoxynivalenol (DON) in winter wheat grain. *Cereal Research*
59 *Communications* 36:289-299.
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Simpson DR, Weston GE, Turner JA, Jennings P, Nicholson P. 2001. Differential control of head blight pathogens of wheat by fungicides and consequences for mycotoxin contamination of grain. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 107:421-431.

Wiersma JJ, Motteberg CD. 2005. Evaluation of five fungicide application timings for control of leaf-spot diseases and fusarium head blight in hard red spring wheat. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology-Revue Canadienne De Phytopathologie* 27:25-37.

Zadoks J, Chang T, Konzak C. 1974. A decimal code for the growth stages of cereals. *Weed Research* 14:415-421.

Table 1. Factorial split-plot design for winter wheat FHB field experiment with three spray timings (T1, T2 and T3) when plots were untreated or treated with 150 g ha⁻¹ prothioconazole. T1*T2 combinations were whole plots and T3 treatments were applied to sub-plots.

Treatment	Whole plot	T1 (GS31)	T2 (GS39)	T3 (GS65)
1	1	Untreated	Untreated	Untreated
2	1	Untreated	Untreated	Prothioconazole
3	2	Prothioconazole	Untreated	Untreated
4	2	Prothioconazole	Untreated	Prothioconazole
5	3	Untreated	Prothioconazole	Untreated
6	3	Untreated	Prothioconazole	Prothioconazole
7	4	Prothioconazole	Prothioconazole	Untreated
8	4	Prothioconazole	Prothioconazole	Prothioconazole


Table 2. Overall mean % FHB incidence, DON, yield, specific weight (SW) and thousand grain weight (TGW) for winter wheat field experiments conducted in 2007/08 and 2008/09. Values are back-transformed means for incidence and DON data. P-values are presented for year (n=2), treatment (n=8) and the interaction between these two main factors.

	% FHB incidence	DON ($\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$)	Yield (ton ha ⁻¹)	SW (kg hl ⁻¹)	TGW (g)
2007/08	0.7	1816	8.7	70.4	42.9
2008/09	14.8	13122	6.1	63.0	42.7
Year p-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.864
Treatment p-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Year*Treatment p-value	0.512	0.964	0.037	0.029	0.125

Table 3. Predicted mean % FHB incidence, DON, yield, specific weight (SW) and thousand grain weight (TGW) for untreated (Unt) and prothioconazole (Proth; 150 g ha⁻¹) treated plots at each fungicide application timing (T1, T2 and T3). Values are back-transformed means for incidence and DON data. P-values and percentage differences are shown in parenthesis.

Spray Timing	% FHB incidence		DON (µg kg ⁻¹)		Yield (ton ha ⁻¹)		SW (kg hl ⁻¹)		TGW (g)	
	Unt	Proth	Unt	Proth	Unt	Proth	Unt	Proth	Unt	Proth
T1	4.8 (p<0.001; -50)	2.4	5715 (p=0.03; -27)	4169	6.8 (p<0.001; 18)	8.0	67.5 (p=0.05; 2.4)	65.9	44.2 (p=0.005; 7.1)	41.3
T2	5.2 (p<0.001; -58)	2.2	6823 (p<0.001; -49)	3499	6.5 (p<0.001; 26)	8.2	68.6 (p<0.001; 5.8)	64.8	45.3 (p<0.001; 12.4)	40.3
T3	8.1 (p<0.001; -83)	1.4	7482 (p<0.001; -57)	3184	6.6 (p<0.001; 24)	8.2	69.5 (p<0.001; 8.9)	63.9	46.0 (p<0.001; 16.5)	39.5

A.



B.




Figure 1. Back-transformed mean percentage *Fusarium* head blight incidence (A) and DON concentration (B) for winter wheat plots untreated or treated with prothio (prothioconazole; 150 g ha⁻¹) at three fungicide application timings; T1, T2 and T3. Replication was two years x four blocks.