

## Serum lipid responses to phytosterol-enriched milk in a moderate hypercholesterolemic population is not affected by apolipoprotein E polymorphism or diameter of LDL particles

Antonio Hernández-Mijares, Celia Bañuls, Maria Luisa Martínez-Triguero, Antonio López-Ruiz, Carlos Morillas, Maria Monte Jarabo, Lorena Bellod, Víctor Manuel Víctor, Milagros Rocha

### ▶ To cite this version:

Antonio Hernández-Mijares, Celia Bañuls, Maria Luisa Martínez-Triguero, Antonio López-Ruiz, Carlos Morillas, et al.. Serum lipid responses to phytosterol-enriched milk in a moderate hypercholesterolemic population is not affected by apolipoprotein E polymorphism or diameter of LDL particles. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 2010, 10.1038/ejcn.2010.241. hal-00589965

## HAL Id: hal-00589965 https://hal.science/hal-00589965

Submitted on 3 May 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Serum lipid responses to phytosterol-enriched milk in a moderate hypercholesterolemic population is not affected by apolipoprotein E polymorphism or diameter of LDL particles

Celia Bañuls<sup>1,2#</sup>, PhD, M Luisa Martínez-Triguero, PhD<sup>1#</sup>, Antonio López-Ruiz<sup>1</sup>, Carlos Morillas, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>, María M Jarabo<sup>1</sup>, Lorena Bellod<sup>1,3</sup>, PhD, Víctor M Víctor, PhD<sup>1,3,4</sup>, Milagros Rocha, PhD<sup>1,3,4</sup>, Antonio Hernández-Mijares , MD, PhD<sup>1,2,5</sup>

1. Service of Endocrinology. University Hospital Dr. Peset, Valencia, Spain.

 CIBER CB/06/02/0045 Research Group. CIBER Actions in Epidemiology and Public Health. Valencia, Spain

3. Dr. Peset Hospital Research Foundation, Valencia, Spain.

 CIBER CB06/04/0071 research group. CIBER Hepatic and Digestive Diseases, University of Valencia, Spain

5. Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Valencia, Spain.

<sup>#</sup>CB and MLM-T contributed equally to this work

\*Reprint requests to Antonio Hernández Mijares, Service of Endocrinology, University Hospital Dr. Peset, Av. Gaspar Aguilar 90, 46017 Valencia, Spain. E-mail: hernandez\_antmij@gva.es

Running title: Effects of phytosterols and Apo E genotype

Sources of financial support: This work was supported by grants UVEG2004-ALIFUNC-01-03 from the University of Valencia, 027/2005 from the Valencian School for Health Studies, GE 049/09 from Regional Ministry of Health of Valencian Community, and PI07/0091 and PI09/01025 from FIS. VM Victor and M Rocha are recipients of FIS contracts (CP07/00171 and CA07/00366, respectively)

#### ABSTRACT

Background: The importance of both LDLc size and the apolipoprotein E (Apo E) in the atherogenic process is known, but there is little information regarding the effect of phytosterols (PS) on these parameters.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of PS on lipid profile and LDLc size according to Apo E genotype.

Subjects and methods: This was a randomized parallel trial employing 75 mildhypercholesterolemic subjects and consisting of two 3-month intervention phases. After 3 months receiving a standard healthy diet, subjects were divided into two intervention groups: a diet group (n=34) and a diet+PS group (n=41) that received 2 g/day of PS. Total cholesterol (TC), triacilglycerols, LDLc, HDLc, non-HDLc, apolipoproteins (Apo) A-I and B-100, LDLc size and Apo E genotype were determined.

(8.1%), non-HDLc (7.4%) and Apo B-100/Apo A-I ratio (7.7%), but these effects did not depend on Apo E genotype. No significant changes were found in lipid profile according to Apo E genotype when patients following dietary recommendations were considered as a whole population or separately. No variations in LDLc size were observed in any of the intervention groups.

Results: Patients receiving PS exhibited a significant decrease in TC (5.1%), LDLc

Conclusions: The results of the present study show that Apo E genotype does not have an impact on the lipid response to PS as a cholesterol-lowering agent in mildhypercholesterolemic patients. Furthermore, the evidence obtained confirms that LDLc particle size is not modified when PS are added to a standard healthy diet. Keywords: phytosterols, apolipoprotein E, LDLc diameter, hyperlipidemia.

#### INTRODUCTION

Phytosterols (PS) are known to reduce serum low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDLc) levels, and so food products containing these plant compounds are widely used as dietary therapy to reduce plasma cholesterol and the risk of atherosclerosis. The cholesterol-lowering action of PS is thought to occur, at least in part, through competition with dietary and biliary cholesterol for intestinal absorption in mixed micelle (Calpe-Berdiel et al., 2009). The daily consumption of foods rich in PS has been shown to reduce the plasma concentration of LDLc by 10% (Katan et al., 2003) without altering levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDLc) or triacilglycerols (TAG) (Noakes et al., 2005; Seppo et al., 2007). PS in their free form are slightly soluble in fats; in fact, their solubility and hypolipidemic effects can be increased via esterification. Most previous studies have demonstrated that the dispersion of PS in different food forms substantially affects the extent to which levels of LDLc are reduced (Katan et al., 2003). However, few studies have examined the hypocholesterolemic effect of PS supplementation via low-fat dairy beverages in the absence of lipidlowering medication (Noakes et al., 2005; Seppo et al., 2007; Bañuls et al., 2009). LDL particles are heterogeneous in terms of size, density and physical properties, and small dense LDL particles have recently been associated with an increased risk of coronary heart disease (CHD), even in the presence of a relatively normal LDLc concentration (St Pierre et al., 2001). There is little and contradictory information regarding the effect of PS on LDLc size. The majority of data in the literature provide evidence that LDLc size does not vary when there is a decrease in total cholesterol (TC) and LDLc (Matvienko et al., 2002; Charest et al., 2005; Gigleux et al., 2007), though some reports have shown that it increases in such conditions (St-Onge et al., 2003; Varady et al., 2005; Sheresta et al., 2007).

Apolipoprotein E (Apo E) plays an essential role in the metabolism of both cholesterol -in which it takes part in cellular cholesterol efflux and reverse cholesterol transportand TAG. Apo E is a major protein constituent of TAG-rich lipoproteins (chylomicron and VLDLc), particles and their remnants, and also of HDLc. It serves as a ligand for the uptake of these lipoprotein particles to their receptors (Mahley, 1988; Beisiegel, 1989). However, such functions are not uniformly effective, as Apo E is highly polymorphic, with three common alleles ( $\varepsilon_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_3$ ,  $\varepsilon_4$ ) codifying its three main isoforms (E2, E3, E4). These proteins determine changes in Apo E plasma concentrations and differ in their affinity to their specific receptors. There are contradictory data about the response of Apo E phenotypes to diet and PS. Whereas some researchers have shown that subjects carrying the Apo E4 allele exhibit a more pronounced LDLc lowering than subjects carrying the Apo E3 or Apo E2 alleles when undergoing dietary fat and cholesterol restriction, other studies report no association between Apo E phenotype and lipid response to dietary intervention (Masson & McNeill, 2005; Ordovas, 2009). Similarly, the specific role of Apo E as a determinant of serum lipid response to PS remains controversial (Ishiwata et al., 2002; Plat & Mensink, 2002; Sanchez-Muñiz et al., 2009).

In this context, the purpose of the present study was to evaluate the potential modulation by the Apo E locus of serum lipid responses to low-fat milk enriched with PS and consumed as part of a standard healthy diet recommended by the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATP III) (Expert panel on the detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults, 2001) among individuals with mild-to-moderate primary hypercholesterolemia.

#### SUBJECTS AND METHODS

#### Subjects

Patients with untreated moderate hypercholesterolemia were recruited from the Service of Endocrinology and Nutrition of University Hospital Dr Peset (Valencia, Spain). Those between the ages of 18 and 76 years (inclusive) were eligible for inclusion in the study. Further inclusion criteria were a serum LDLc cholesterol concentration of between 160-190 mg/dl in patients with less than 2 cardiovascular risk factors, 130-160 mg/dl in patients that presented 2 or more cardiovascular risk factors, and a TAG concentration of <400 mg/dl in all cases. Cardiovascular risk factors were defined as follows: age  $\geq$ 45 years in men and  $\geq$ 55 years in women, a smoking habit, hypertension (≥140/90 mmHg), diabetes mellitus, HDLc concentration of <40 mg/dl, and a family history of cardiovascular disease. Exclusion criteria were pregnancy or lactation, change of oral contraceptives, severe disease, a history of cardiovascular or chronic inflammatory disease, hypersensitivity to milk proteins, and lipid-lowering medication. The study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. Study design

On the basis of the above mentioned criteria, 81 patients were included in the study that consisted of a randomised parallel trial of two 3-month intervention phases. All subjects were submitted to a 3-month run-in period of a standard healthy diet recommended by NCEP-ATP III (Expert panel on detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults, 2001) in order to stabilise dietary patterns prior to treatment. During the following 3 months, two intervention groups were evaluated: an experimental group, whose members consumed 2 g of PS/day in 500 ml of low-fat milk

(diet plus PS group), and a control group that followed the dietetic guidelines of the NCEP-ATP III (diet group) and whose diet included 500 ml/day of standard low-fat milk.

A clinical examination was performed during the first visit (selection and inclusion), after the stabilization period (three months) and at the end of the trial (six months). Participants received dietary guidance throughout the trial from an experienced dietician and detailed written and oral instructions concerning the precise amounts of food to be eaten and the quality of food, according to the main food groups. The recommended daily diet included TC (<200 mg), saturated fat ( $\leq 7\%$  of daily total energy), simple sugar content (<10%), enhanced consumption of monounsaturated fatty acids mainly from olive oil (up to 20% of total energy),  $\omega$ -3 fatty acids from fish, 2-3 pieces of fruit and unlimited vegetables. With respect to daily energy intake, 8372 kJ (2000 kcal) were proposed for men and 7116.2 kJ (1700 kcal) for women containing 18-19% proteins, 52-53% carbohydrates, 29-30% fats of total energy and 20-30 g of dietary fibre. Adherence to the diet was monitored by means of 3-day food records (compiled on weekdays) and 24-hour diet recall at baseline, three months and six months (carried out during appointments with the dietician). Food intake was converted into energy and nutrients with the help of the Spanish Food Composition Table (Mataix et al., 2003). The composition database was created with AYS44 Diet Analysis software obtained from ASDE, SA (Valencia, Spain). Subjects were encouraged to maintain their normal pattern of activity.

The PS-enriched milk was produced by Unilever (Spain) and packed in white containers. In addition to 0.4 g of vegetal sterols, every 100 ml of milk provided 3.2 g protein, 4.7 g carbohydrates, 1.8 g fat (0.25 g saturated, 0.50 g monounsaturated, 1.05 g polyunsaturated) and 48 Kcal. The PS consisted of vegetable oil-based sterols esterified

with sunflower oil fatty acids, and contained  $\beta$ -sitosterol (70%), campesterol (15%) and  $\beta$ -sitostanol (10%). The control group received a commercially available low-fat milk with similar macronutrient composition and energy intake to that consumed by the PS group, but which did not include vegetal sterols. Subjects were recommended to consume the milk twice per day with meals.

Compliance was evaluated by interviewing the subjects and counting the unopened and unconsumed product packages returned to the clinic, and was recorded as the percentage of the scheduled servings consumed. Non-compliance was defined as the consumption of <80% of the servings scheduled to be consumed during the study period.

#### Blood sampling

Venous blood samples were collected from subjects after 12h overnight fasting at baseline and at three and six months. TC and TAG were measured by means of enzymatic assays (Bucolo G & David H., 1973; Allain et al., 1974), and HDLc concentrations were recorded using a direct method (Sugiuchi et al., 1995) with a Beckman LX-20 autoanalyzer (Beckman Coulter, La Brea, CA, USA). The intraserial variation coefficient was <3.5% for all the determinations. When TAG values were under 300 mg/dl, LDLc concentration was calculated using the Friedewald method (Friedewald *et al.*, 1972). Non-HDLc concentration was obtained by calculating the difference between TC and HDLc. Apolipoprotein A-I (Apo A-I) and apolipoprotein B-100 (Apo B-100) were determined by immunonephelometry (Dade Behring BNII, Marburg, Germany), with an intra-assay variation coefficient of <5.5%. LDLc size was evaluated after electrophoresis in polyacrylamide gradient-gel electrophoresis (2-16%), using the method described by Nichols *et al.* (Nichols *et al.*, 1986).

To evaluate the effect of Apo E on their response to treatment, subjects were categorized into 3 groups -E2: E2/E2, E2/E3 and E2/E4; E3: E3/E3 and E4: E3/E4 and E4/E4-.

DNA was extracted for genotyping according to the method of Miller *et al.* (Miller *et al.*, 1988), and was stored at -80°C until analysis. Apo E was identified after PCR amplification of a 244 bp fragment using forward 5# -

ACAGAATTCGCCCCGGCCTGGTACAC-3# and reverse 5#-

TAAGCTTGGCACGGCTGTCCAAGGA-3# as oligonucleotides. PCR reaction was performed in a 25 µl total volume containing 0.3 mM of each primer, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 1x Taq buffer, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 100 ng of genomic DNA and 2.5 U Taq polymerase (Netzyme) using a Master-Cycler thermocycler (Eppendorf Scientific, Inc., Westbury, NY, USA). Each reaction mixture was heated at 94°C for 30 s, 64°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 35 s. Forty cycles were performed. A final extension was carried out at 72°C for 5 min. The 8 µl PCR products were subjected to restriction enzyme analysis by digestion for 4 hours at 37°C with 0.4 U of the restriction endonuclease Hha I. The fragment products were then separated by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (8%). After electrophoresis, the gel was treated with silver staining and DNA fragments were visualized.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 15.0 software. Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD (for tables) or SEM (for figures). Baseline characteristics among the groups were analyzed by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Between-group and within-group differences were analyzed using a 2-factor repeated-measures ANOVA followed by a paired Student's test, and percentage of change in lipid parameters was analyzed by unpaired Student t-test. p<0.05 was considered significant.

#### RESULTS

Of the 81 patients initially enrolled in the study, 6 dropped out for personal reasons, including lack of time and difficulties in attending the research clinic. Details regarding diet composition has been published previously (Hernández-Mijares et al., 2010). The baseline characteristics of participants according to their Apo E alleles are shown in table 1. No differences in anthropometrical characteristics or lipoprotein profile were found in relation to any of the parameters studied. At the end of the three month intervention period, patients on the healthy diet supplemented with PS exhibited a significant reduction in TC, LDLc, non-HDLc and Apo B-100/Apo A-I ratio when compared to baseline conditions. Conversely, these lipid parameters were unaltered in patients undergoing dietary therapy only. No changes were found with respect to HDLc, TAG (all values were <300 mg/dl) or LDLc size in any of the groups (Table 2). When patients were analyzed according to their Apo E alleles, we found that the effect of PS on lipid profile was independent of Apo E phenotype, with similar significant reductions being observed in TC, LDLc, non-HDLc and Apo B-100/Apo A-I ratio in patients with E3 or E4 phenotypes. Data related to the genotype E2 are not represented due to the negligible number of this type of patient in our study population (2 subjects in the group that followed a healthy diet and 4 subjects in the group receiving combined therapy).

The efficacy of PS, independent of Apo E polymorphism, is also represented in figure 1. No differences were observed between patients with Apo E3 phenotype and those with Apo E4 phenotype in the percentage of decrease in TC, LDLc, non-HDLc or Apo B/Apo A-I after addition of PS to the standard healthy diet.

#### DISCUSSION

The results of the present study suggest that the Apo E genotype does not have an impact on lipid response to PS as a cholesterol-lowering agent in mildhypercholesterolemic patients. Furthermore, we show that LDLc particle size is not modified when PS are added to a healthy diet.

The first step towards reducing LDLc in individuals with mild to moderate hypercholesterolemia is a modification of lifestyle and diet, in particular a reduction of intake of total saturated fat (Expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults, 2001). In the present study, no change occurred in any of the lipoprotein profile parameters evaluated (including TC or LDLc) after three months following a healthy diet. At first sight, these results may be surprising; however, it should be taken into account that patients were submitted to a 3-month run-in period of a standard healthy diet in order to stabilise dietary patterns prior to intervention. Thus, a positive effect of these preparatory dietary measures is likely to have taken place before the intervention period of this trial began, which is in the line with previous reports in which changes in TC and LDLc occurred only during the first weeks of a healthy diet (Bae *et al.*, 1991, Bañuls *et al.*, 2009). The Apo E polymorphism may influence the absorption of cholesterol from the intestine and, consequently, the response of serum cholesterol to a diet. However, our experimental design does not allow this aspect to be assessed, as we have disguised the possible effects of a healthy diet.

Although the use of different doses of PS is reported in the literature, the recommended dose for hypercholesterolemic patients is in the range of 1-2 g/day (Expert panel on detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults, 2001). Importantly, and in line with the results of several studies published by our group and by other authors (Katan *et al.*, 2003; Bañuls *et al.*, 2009; Hernández-Mijares *et al.*,

2010), we have previously confirmed that the ingestion of approximately 2 g PS per day produces a 7.0-10.0 % reduction in LDLc levels. In fact, in the present study, we achieved a total reduction of 5.1% in TC, 8.1% in LDLc, 7.4% in non-HDLc and 7.7% in Apo B-100/Apo A-I ratio after adding PS to a standard diet. Previous data suggest that an increase in Apo B-100, Apo B-100/Apo A-I ratio and non-HDLc is an important risk factor for CHD, proving to be even more relevant than lipid concentration per se (Ridker *et al.*, 2005). In the present study, we have observed decreases of 7.4% and 5.9% in the Apo B-100/Apo A-I ratio and 6.3% and 8.3% in non-HDLc in Apo E3 and E4 patients after PS consumption, respectively, suggesting that there is a reduction in cardiovascular risk following intake of PS by patients with both phenotypes which is in the line with others (Escurriol *et al.*, 2010).

Numerous studies have demonstrated that the predominance of small and dense LDLc particles is associated with an increase of cardiovascular risk (Packard, 2006). In fact, small and dense LDLc particles exhibit a reduced affinity for the LDL receptor (Nigon *et al.*, 1991) and a marked susceptibility to oxidation, inducing alterations in endothelial function. Our results support the idea that a decrease in TC and LDLc does not have beneficial effects on LDLc size. They suggest that PS do not affect LDLc particle size as part of their mechanism of action, which is in accordance with those of previous studies (Matvienko *et al.*, 2002; Charest *et al.*, 2005; Gigleux *et al.*, 2007). It could be argued that this response is to be expected, since changes in TAG are known to be the main cause of the modification of the size of LDLc particles, and PS therapy does not alter TAG serum levels. The fact that others have described an increase in LDLc diameter following therapy combining PS with soluble fiber or culinary oils (St-Onge *et al.*, 2003; Sheresta *et al.*, 2007) or sterols with exercise (Varady *et al.*, 2005) suggest

that the positive effect on LDLc size is induced by other stimuli that modify TAG concentration more than PS.

Despite the fact that most previous studies confirm the hypolipidemic effect of PS, it is not so clear whether this effect is influenced or not by Apo E genotype. Several studies have investigated plasma lipid responses to PS supplementation in the context of the ApoE locus, and although most have shown that sterols and stanols lower LDLc irrespectively of ApoE alleles (Kempen et al., 1991; Geelen et al., 2002; Ishiwata et al., 2002; Lottenberg et al., 2002; Plat & Mensink, 2002; Tammi et al., 2002), one recent report concluded that PS therapy was of little value for E4 subjects with hypercholesterolemia due to the lack of significant benefits in relation to TC and LDLc concentrations (Sánchez-Muñiz et al., 2009). Our results are in accordance with those of previous studies in which PS therapy was not influenced by Apo E genotype. Among these studies, several were carried out in normocholesterolemic subjects (Kempen et al., 1991; Geelen et al., 2002; Ishiwata et al., 2002; Plat & Mensink, 2002; Tammi et al., 2002) and others were performed in a hypercholesterolemic population (Lottenberg et al., 2002; Sánchez-Muñiz et al. 2009) with different doses and different types of PS (free sterols and stanols or stanol esters). In all cases, with the exception of the study by Ordovas' group, non-significant variations were observed between the lipoprotein responses of individuals according to their Apo E phenotype (Sánchez-Muñiz et al., 2009).

In summary, our results confirm and extend the positive effect of a PS supplement when administered as part of dietary measures to treat moderately hypercholesterolemic patients. PS reduced the lipoprotein-mediated risk of cardiovascular disease, although this effect was not mediated by responses that were specific to either Apo E phenotype or reductions in the size of LDL particles. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We kindly thank L García-Pareja, B Normanly and I Soria-Cuenca for their contribution to the present study. This study has been supported by Unilever (Spain).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: None of the authors have any personal or financial conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

1. Allain CC, Poon LS, Chan CSG, Richmond W and Fu PC (1974) Enzymatic determination of total serum cholesterol. *Clin Chem* **20**, 470-475.

2. Bae CY, Keenan JM, Wenz J and McCaffrey DJ (1991). A clinical trial of the American Heart Association step one diet for treatment of hypercholesterolemia. *J Fam Pract* **33**, 249-254.

3. Bañuls C, Martínez-Triguero ML, López-Ruiz A, Morillas C, Lacomba R, Víctor VM *et al.* (2009). Evaluation of cardiovascular risk and oxidative stress parameters in hypercholesterolemic subjects on a standard healthy diet including low-fat milk enriched with plant sterols. *J Nutr Biochem* (In the press).

doi:10.1016/j.jnutbio.2009.07.001

4. Beisiegel U, Weber W, Ihrke G, Herz J and Stanley KK (1989). The LDL-receptorrelated protein, LRP, is an apolipoprotein E-binding protein. *Nature* **341**, 162-164.

5. Bucolo G and David H (1973). Quantitative determination of serum triglycerides by the use of enzymes. *Clin Chem* **19**, 476-482.

6. Calpe-Berdiel L, Escolà-Gil JC and Blanco-Vaca F (2009). New insights into the molecular actions of plant sterols in cholesterol metabolism. *Atherosclerosis* **203**, 18-31.

 Charest A, Vanstone C, St-Onge MP, Parson W, Jones PJ and Lamarche B (2005).
 Phytosterols in nonfat and low-fat beverages have no impact on the LDLc size phenotype. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 59, 801-804.

Escurriol V, Cofan M, Moreno-Iribas C, Larranaga N, Martinez C, Navarro C *et al.* (2010). Phytosterol plasma concentrations and coronary heart disease in the prospective
 Spanish EPIC cohort. *J Lipid Res* 51, 618-624.

Expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (2001). Executive summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Programm (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). *JAMA* 285, 2486-2497.
 Friedewald WT, Levy RI and Fredrickson DS (1972). Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma without use of preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem* 18, 499-502.

 Geelen A, Zock PL, de Vries JH and Katan MB (2002). Apolipoprotein E polymorphism and serum lipid response to plant sterols in humans. *Eur J Clin Invest* 32, 738-742.

 Gigleux I, Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, Marchie A, Faulkner DA, Wong JM *et al.* (2007). Comparison of a dietary portfolio diet of cholesterol-lowering foods and a statin on LDLc particle size phenotype in hypercholesterolaemic participants. *Br J Nutr* 98, 1229-1236.

13. Hernández-Mijares A, Bañuls C, Rocha M, Morillas C, Martínez-Triguero ML, Víctor VM *et al.* (2010). Effects of phytosterol ester-enriched low-fat milk on serum lipoprotein profile in mildly hypercholesterolaemic patients are not related to dietary cholesterol or saturated fat intake. Br J Nutr (In the press)

doi:10.1017/S0007114510001686

14. Ishiwata K, Homma Y, Ishikawa T, Nakamura H and Handa S (2002). Influence of apolipoprotein E phenotype on metabolism of lipids and apolipoproteins after plant stanol ester ingestion in Japanese subjects. *Nutrition* **18**, 561-565

Katan MB, Grundy SM, Jones P, Law M, Miettinen T, Paoletti R *et al.* (2003).
 Efficacy and safety of plant stanols and sterols in the management of blood cholesterol levels. *Mayo Clin Proc* 78, 965-978.

16. Kempen HJ, de Knijff P, Boomsma DI, van der Voort HA, Gevers Leuven JA and Havekes L (1991). Plasma levels of lathosterol and phytosterols in relation to age, sex, anthropometric parameters, plasma lipids, and apolipoprotein E phenotype, in 160 Dutch families. *Metabolism* **40**, 604-611.

Lottenberg AM, Nunes VS, Nakandakare ER, Neves M, Bernik M, Santos JE *et al.* (2002). Food phytosterol ester efficiency on the plasma lipid reduction in moderate
 hypercholesterolemic subjects. *Arq Bras Cardiol* **79**, 139-142.

18. Mahley RW (1988). Apolipoprotein E: cholesterol transport protein with expanding role in cell biology. *Science* **240**, 622-630.

19. Masson LF and McNeill G (2005). The effect of genetic variation on the lipid response to dietary change: recent findings. *Curr Opin Lipidol* **16**, 61-67.

Mataix J, Mañas M, Llopis J, Martínez de Victoria E (2003). Tablas de composición de alimentos españoles (Spanish Food Composition Tables). 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Granada, Universidad de Granada.

 Matvienko OA, Lewis DS, Swanson M, Arndt B, Rainwater DL, Stewart J *et al.* (2002). A single daily dose of soybean phytosterols in ground beef decreases serum total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol in young, mildly hypercholesteolemic men. *Am J Clin Nutr* **76**, 57-64.

22. Miller SA, Dykes DD and Polesky HF (1988). A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* **16**, 1215.

23. Nichols AV, Krauss RM and Musliner TA (1986). Nondenaturing polyacrylamide gradient gel electrophoresis. *Methods Enzymol* **128**, 417-431.

24. Nigon F, Lesnik P, Ronis M and Chapman M (1991). Discrete subspecies of human low density lipoproteins are heterogeneous in their interaction with the cellular LDL receptor. *J Lipid Res* **32**, 1741-1753.

25. Noakes M, Clifton PM, Doornbos AM and Trautwein EA (2005). Plant sterol esterenriched milk and yoghurt effectively reduce serum cholesterol in modestly hypercholesterolemic subjects. *Eur J Nutr* **44**, 214-222.

26. Ordovas JM (2009). Genetic influences on blood lipids and cardiovascular disease risk: tools for primary prevention. *Am J Clin Nutr* **89**, 1509S-1517S.

27. Packard CJ (2006). Small dense low-density lipoprotein and its role as an independent predictor of cardiovascular disease. *Curr Opin Lipidol* **17**, 412-417.

28. Plat J and Mensik RP (2002). Relationship of genetic variation in genes encoding apolipoprotein A-IV scavenger receptor BI, HMG-CoA reductase, CETP and apolipoprotein E with cholesterol metabolism and the response to plant stanol ester consumption. *Eur J Clin Invest* **32**, 242-250.

29. Ridker PM, Rifai N, Cook NR, Bradwin G and Buring JE (2005). Non-HDL cholesterol, apolipoproteins A-I and B100, standard lipid measures, lipid ratios, and CRP as risk factors for cardiovascular disease in women. *J Am Med Assoc* **294**, 326-333.

30. Sanchez-Muñiz FJ, Maki KC, Schaefer EJ and Ordovás JM (2009). Serum lipid and antioxidant responses in hypercholesterolemic men and women receiving plant sterols vary by apolipoprotein E genotype. *J Nutr* **139**, 13-19.

31. Seppo L, Jauhiainen T, Nevala R, Poussa T and Korpela R (2007). Plant stanol esters in low-fat milk products lower serum total and LDL cholesterol. *Eur J Nutr* **46**, 111-117.

32. Shrestha S, Freake HC, McGrane MM, Volek JS and Fernandez ML (2007). A combination of psyllium and plant sterols alters lipoprotein metabolism in hypercholesterolemic subjects by modifying the intravascular processing of lipoproteins and increasing LDL uptake. *J Nutr* **137**,1165-1170.

33. St-Onge MP, Lamarche B, Mauger JF and Jones PJ (2003). Consumption of a functional oil rich in phytosterols and medium-chain triglycerides oil improves plasma lipid profiles in men. *J Nutr* **133**, 1815-1820.

34. St-Pierre AC, Ruel IL, Cantin B, Dagenais GR, Bernard PM, Després JP et al.

(2001). Comparison of various electrophoretic characteristics of LDL particles and their relationship to the risk of ischemic heart disease. *Circulation* **104**, 2295-2299.

35. Sugiuchi H, Uji Y, Okabe H, Irie T, Uekama K, Kayaharal *et al.* (1995). Direct measurement of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol in serum with polyethylene glycol-modified enzymes and sulfated alphacyclodextrin. *Clin Chem* **41**, 717-723.

 Tammi A, Rönnemaa T, Miettinen TA, Gylling H, Rask-Nissilä L, Viikari J *et al.* (2002). Effects of gender, apolipoprotein E phenotype and cholesterol-lowering by plant stanol esters in children: the STRIP study. Special Turku Coronary Risk Factor Intervention Project. *Acta Paediatr* **91**, 1155-1162.

37. Varady KA, St-Pierre AC, Lamarche B and Jones PJ (2005). Effect of plant sterols and endurance training on LDL particle size and distribution in previously sedentary hypercholesterolemic adults. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **59**, 518-525.

	E2	E3	E4	All
Subjects (n)	6	47	22	75
Male/Female (n)	2/4	13/34	7/15	22/53
Age (years)	$49.0 \pm 12.3$	50.1 ± 11.3	49.6 ± 13.7	$49.9 \pm 12.0$
BMI (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$27.9\pm4.6$	$28.5\pm4.4$	$27.7 \pm 6.2$	$28.2\pm5.0$
Waist-hip index	$0.89 \pm 0.12$	$0.88\pm0.10$	$0.90 \pm 0.12$	$0.88 \pm 0.11$
Systolic BP (mmHg)	$127 \pm 12$	$129 \pm 15$	131±18	130±15
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	80 ± 15	$82 \pm 10$	80 ± 15	81 ± 13
Smokers (%)	25.0	30.3	33.3	29.5
TC (mg/dl)	$237.5\pm25.7$	$236.9 \pm 30.6$	$237.0\pm27.8$	$237.0\pm29.0$
LDLc (mg/dl)	$165.6 \pm 20.1$	$164.4 \pm 25.9$	$166.3 \pm 20.0$	$165.1 \pm 23.6$
HDLc (mg/dl)	$49.2\pm9.0$	$50.2 \pm 14.3$	$49.0 \pm 13.3$	$49.8 \pm 13.5$
Non-HDLc (mg/dl)	$188.3 \pm 24.2$	$186.8\pm28.7$	$187.9 \pm 26.4$	$187.2 \pm 27.3$
TAG (mg/dl)	$113.7 \pm 54.3$	$111.6 \pm 61.9$	$107.9 \pm 66.5$	$113.3 \pm 61.9$
LDLc size (nm)	$26.25\pm0.74$	$26.21 \pm 0.75$	$26.06\pm0.84$	$26.17\pm0.76$
Apo B-100/Apo A-I	$0.713 \pm 0.096$	$0.728 \pm 0.166$	$0.827 \pm 0.191$	$0.757 \pm 0.174$

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of serum lipids and apolipoproteins according to Apo E phenotype.

Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD. Values of serum TAG concentrations were normalized using a log transformation. No differences among groups were found by one-way ANOVA.

Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; BP: blood pressure; TC: total cholesterol; LDLc: LDL cholesterol; HDLc: HDL cholesterol; TAG: triacylglicerols; Apo: Apolipoprotein.

Table 2. Serum lipoprotein profile in diet and diet plus phytosterols groups classified by Apo E phenotype at baseline and at the end of the intervention.

	Diet group		Diet + PS group			
	E3	E4	All	E3	E4	All
n	23	9	34	24	13	41
TC (mg/dl)						
Baseline	$239.7 \pm 36.3$	$245.8\pm25.7$	$240.7\pm32.4$	$234.4\pm24.7$	$230.9\pm28.6$	$234.0 \pm 26.1$
End	$232.7 \pm 32,3$	$244.2 \pm 24.4$	236.1 ± 29.9	224.9 ± 26.3*	213.0 ± 27.2*	221.1 ± 27.0**
LDLc (mg/dl)						
Baseline	$164.3 \pm 30.6$	$175.9 \pm 16.4$	$161.9 \pm 38.9$	$164.6 \pm 21.4$	$159.7\pm20.0$	$163.7 \pm 20.8$
End	$158.7\pm30.4$	$176.8 \pm 18.7$	$163.5 \pm 25.8$	$150.9 \pm 19.8 **$	145.3 ± 22.3*	149.1 ± 20.8***
HDLc (mg/dl)						
Baseline	$49.8 \pm 12.0$	52.1 ± 14.0	$50.0 \pm 12.2$	$50.5 \pm 16.4$	$46.9 \pm 13.0$	$49.6 \pm 14.7$
End	$50.1 \pm 10.6$	$47.4\pm7.0$	$49.4 \pm 9.5$	53.5 ± 15.6	$46.0 \pm 12.6$	$51.4 \pm 14.5$

(mg/dl)					
ine $189.9 \pm 34.7$	$193.7\pm23.1$	$190.7 \pm 30.5$	$183.9 \pm 22.2$	$183.9\pm28.6$	$184.5 \pm 24.6$
$182.8 \pm 30.3$	$196.8\pm20.1$	$186.8 \pm 27.3$	$171.4 \pm 27.4*$	$167.0 \pm 30.0*$	169.7 ± 27.6***
1)					
ine $127.9 \pm 72.5$	$108.8\pm35.4$	$126.3 \pm 64.8$	$= 96.5 \pm 46.9$	$121.4 \pm 78.1$	$103.8 \pm 58.6$
$119.4 \pm 71.4$	$100.0 \pm 38.5$	$116.1 \pm 64.1$	$= 102.6 \pm 69.4$	$108.5 \pm 67.7$	$102.7 \pm 64.7$
nm)					
ine $26.11 \pm 0.63$	$26.07\pm0.56$	$26.12 \pm 0.60$	$26.20\pm0.62$	$25.90\pm0.88$	$26.10\pm0.74$
$26.40 \pm 1.01$	$26.26\pm0.40$	$26.30\pm0.92$	$26.22\pm0.74$	$26.06 \pm 1.48$	$26.18 \pm 1.01$
Apo A-I					
ine $0.754 \pm 0.163$	$0.864 \pm 0.163$	$0.786 \pm 0.163$	$0.702 \pm 0.168$	$0.801 \pm 0.211$	$0.733 \pm 0.181$
$0.759 \pm 0.174$	$0.817 \pm 0.158$	$0.774 \pm 0.167$	$0.644 \pm 0.180$ **	$0.744 \pm 0.168*$	$0.669 \pm 0.175 ***$
	$182.8 \pm 30.3$ l) $127.9 \pm 72.5$ $119.4 \pm 71.4$ (nm) $26.11 \pm 0.63$ $26.40 \pm 1.01$ (Apo A-I line $0.754 \pm 0.163$	line $189.9 \pm 34.7$ $193.7 \pm 23.1$ $182.8 \pm 30.3$ $196.8 \pm 20.1$ l) line $127.9 \pm 72.5$ $108.8 \pm 35.4$ $119.4 \pm 71.4$ $100.0 \pm 38.5$ (nm) line $26.11 \pm 0.63$ $26.07 \pm 0.56$ $26.40 \pm 1.01$ $26.26 \pm 0.40$ (Apo A-I line $0.754 \pm 0.163$ $0.864 \pm 0.163$	Line $189.9 \pm 34.7$ $193.7 \pm 23.1$ $190.7 \pm 30.5$ $182.8 \pm 30.3$ $196.8 \pm 20.1$ $186.8 \pm 27.3$ l) Line $127.9 \pm 72.5$ $108.8 \pm 35.4$ $126.3 \pm 64.8$ $119.4 \pm 71.4$ $100.0 \pm 38.5$ $116.1 \pm 64.1$ (nm) Line $26.11 \pm 0.63$ $26.07 \pm 0.56$ $26.12 \pm 0.60$ $26.40 \pm 1.01$ $26.26 \pm 0.40$ $26.30 \pm 0.92$ (Apo A-I Line $0.754 \pm 0.163$ $0.864 \pm 0.163$ $0.786 \pm 0.163$	Line $189.9 \pm 34.7$ $193.7 \pm 23.1$ $190.7 \pm 30.5$ $183.9 \pm 22.2$ $182.8 \pm 30.3$ $196.8 \pm 20.1$ $186.8 \pm 27.3$ $171.4 \pm 27.4^*$ 1)100 $127.9 \pm 72.5$ $108.8 \pm 35.4$ $126.3 \pm 64.8$ $96.5 \pm 46.9$ $119.4 \pm 71.4$ $100.0 \pm 38.5$ $116.1 \pm 64.1$ $102.6 \pm 69.4$ (nm) $119.4 \pm 71.4$ $100.0 \pm 38.5$ $26.12 \pm 0.60$ $26.20 \pm 0.62$ $26.40 \pm 1.01$ $26.26 \pm 0.40$ $26.30 \pm 0.92$ $26.22 \pm 0.74$ (Apo A-I) $10.754 \pm 0.163$ $0.864 \pm 0.163$ $0.786 \pm 0.163$ $0.702 \pm 0.168$	The line $189.9 \pm 34.7$ $193.7 \pm 23.1$ $190.7 \pm 30.5$ $183.9 \pm 22.2$ $183.9 \pm 28.6$ $182.8 \pm 30.3$ $196.8 \pm 20.1$ $186.8 \pm 27.3$ $171.4 \pm 27.4^*$ $167.0 \pm 30.0^*$ I) The $127.9 \pm 72.5$ $108.8 \pm 35.4$ $126.3 \pm 64.8 = 96.5 \pm 46.9$ $121.4 \pm 78.1$ $119.4 \pm 71.4$ $100.0 \pm 38.5$ $116.1 \pm 64.1 = 102.6 \pm 69.4$ $108.5 \pm 67.7$ The $26.11 \pm 0.63$ $26.07 \pm 0.56$ $26.12 \pm 0.60$ $26.20 \pm 0.62$ $25.90 \pm 0.88$ $26.40 \pm 1.01$ $26.26 \pm 0.40$ $26.30 \pm 0.92$ $26.22 \pm 0.74$ $26.06 \pm 1.48$ Apo A-I The $0.754 \pm 0.163$ $0.864 \pm 0.163$ $0.786 \pm 0.163$ $0.702 \pm 0.168$ $0.801 \pm 0.211$

Data are expressed as mean ± SD. Values of serum TAG concentrations were normalized using a log transformation. \* p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001 when compared using a paired Student's t-test for all subjects (n=34 and 41 in diet and diet+PS group, respectively), or a 2-factor measure repeated ANOVA (phenotype and time) followed by a paired Student t-test.

Abbreviations: PS: phytosterols; TC: total cholesterol; LDLc: LDL cholesterol; HDLc: HDL cholesterol; TAG: triacylglicerols; Apo: Apolipoprotein.

Figure 1. Percentage of change in lipid parameters after intervention period in subjects receiving diet plus phytosterols, classified by Apo E genotype.

Data are represented as mean and SEM of 41 subjects for diet plus phytosterols group (n=24 for E3 and n=13 for E4)

No differences between groups were found by unpaired Student t-test.

Abbreviations: TC: total cholesterol; LDLc: LDL cholesterol; HDLc: HDL cholesterol;

Apo B: Apolipoprotein B-100; Apo A: Apolipoprotein A-I

