

# The inversa type of recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa is caused by specific arginine and glycine substitutions in type VII collagen

Peter C van den Akker, Jemima E Mellerio, Anna E Martinez, Lu Liu, Rowdy Meijer, Patricia Jc Dopping-Hepenstal, Anthonie J van Essen, Hans Scheffer, Robert Mw Hofstra, John A Mcgrath, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Peter C van den Akker, Jemima E Mellerio, Anna E Martinez, Lu Liu, Rowdy Meijer, et al.. The inversa type of recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa is caused by specific arginine and glycine substitutions in type VII collagen. Journal of Medical Genetics, 2010, 48 (3), pp.160. 10.1136/jmg.2010.082230. hal-00588661

# HAL Id: hal-00588661 https://hal.science/hal-00588661

Submitted on 26 Apr 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	The inversa type of recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa is caused by specific
2	arginine and glycine substitutions in type VII collagen
3	
4	Short title: COL7A1 missense mutations in RDEB-I
5	
6	Peter C. van den Akker <sup>1</sup> , Jemima E. Mellerio <sup>2,3</sup> , Anna E. Martinez <sup>3</sup> , Lu Liu <sup>4</sup> , Rowdy Meijer <sup>5</sup> ,
7	Patricia J.C. Dopping-Hepenstal <sup>4</sup> , Anthonie J. van Essen <sup>1</sup> , Hans Scheffer <sup>5</sup> , Robert M.W.
8	Hofstra <sup>1</sup> , John A. McGrath <sup>6</sup> , Marcel F. Jonkman <sup>7</sup>
9	
10	<sup>1</sup> Department of Genetics, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen,
11	Groningen, the Netherlands; <sup>2</sup> St John's Institute of Dermatology, Guy's and St Thomas'
12	NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; <sup>3</sup> Department of Dermatology, Great Ormond Street
13	Hospital NHS Trust, London, UK; <sup>4</sup> The Robin Eady National Diagnostic Epidermolysis
14	Bullosa Laboratory, GSTS Pathology, St John's Institute of Dermatology, St Thomas'
15	Hospital, London, UK; <sup>5</sup> Department of Human Genetics, Radboud University Nijmegen
16	Medical Centre, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; <sup>6</sup> St John's Institute of Dermatology, King's
17	College London (Guy's Campus) and Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London,
18	UK; <sup>7</sup> Department of Dermatology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of
19	Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands
20	
21	Corresponding author: Peter C. van den Akker, MD
22	Department of Genetics, University Medical Center Groningen
23	Hanzeplein 1, PO BOX 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, the Netherlands
24	Tel. +31 50 361 7100, fax +31 50 361 7230, e-mail <u>p.c.van.den.akker@medgen.umcg.nl</u>
25	Word count: text 3837.

# 1 ABSTRACT

2

3	Background The inversa type of recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa (RDEB-I) is a
4	rare variant of dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa, characterized by blistering in the body
5	flexures, trunk, and mucosae. The cause of this specific distribution is unknown. So far, $20$
6	COL7A1 genotypes have been described in RDEB-I and genotype-phenotype correlations
7	have not been studied extensively. The aim of the study was to gain more insight into the
8	pathophysiology of this intriguing RDEB-I phenotype.
9	Methods We identified 20 Dutch and British RDEB-I patients and full genotypes in 18 of
10	them. We reviewed the literature on RDEB-I genotypes and conducted an extensive
11	genotype-phenotype correlation study for RDEB-I.
12	Results All 20 patients had generalized blistering at birth and during early infancy. In most
13	patients, the age of transition from generalized to inversa distribution was before the age of 4
14	years. We noted a spectrum of disease severity ranging from the mildest 'mucosal only'
15	phenotype to the severest phenotype with limited acral involvement. The 29 genotypes of our
16	RDEB-I patients and those reported in the literature revealed that RDEB-I is associated with
17	specific recessive arginine and glycine substitutions in the triple-helix domain of type VII
18	collagen.
19	Discussion and conclusion Why these specific arginine and glycine substitutions cause the
20	inversa distribution remains unknown. We could not identify clear differences in location and
21	nature of substituting amino acids between these mutations and missense mutations causing
22	other RDEB phenotypes. We hypothesize that the higher skin temperature in the affected
23	areas plays an important role in the pathophysiology of RDEB-I.

24

- 1 Keywords: recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa, inversa type; genotype-phenotype
- 2 correlations; type VII collagen; *COL7A1*

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

2

3 The inversa type of recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa (RDEB-I; OMIM #226600) is 4 one of the rare variants of dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa.[1] RDEB-I was first described by 5 Prof. T. Gedde-Dahl Jr in 1971 and is characterized by generalized blistering from birth and 6 during early infancy.[2] Later in infancy or childhood, the predilection sites for blistering 7 change and the body flexures, axis and mucous membranes become the predominant sites of 8 blistering. [2, 3] Neither the cause of the unusual distribution of blistering in RDEB-I, nor the 9 cause of the "transition" from a generalized to inversa distribution is known. The specific age 10 at which this transition in blistering predilection sites takes place is also not known, but it 11 probably varies between patients. As with the main subtypes of RDEB, there is a large 12 clinical variation between inversa patients. 13 Immunohistochemically, type VII collagen (Col7) is either slightly reduced or 14 normally present in the skin of RDEB-I patients. Anchoring fibrils, which are composed of 15 large numbers of Col7 dimers, can be either reduced or normal in number, and can have a 16 hypoplastic or normal ultrastructural appearance.[4-6] Transient retention of Col7 in basal 17 keratinocytes can be a typical immunohistochemical feature in the early stages.[7] 18 Similar to the other subtypes of DEB, RDEB-I is caused by mutations in the COL7A1 19 gene encoding type VII collagen.[1] However, while genotype-phenotype correlations for the 20 major subtypes of RDEB have been extensively studied in several populations, [1, 8-16] there 21 is little data on genotype-phenotype correlations for RDEB-I. Our study covers the largest 22 RDEB-I cohort reported to date, comprising 20 RDEB-I patients from the Netherlands and the 23 UK. Here we describe this cohort and the genotypes identified in 18 of them, and we discuss 24 the genotype-phenotype correlations identified in these patients and those previously reported 25 in the literature.

1	
2	
3	PATIENTS AND METHODS
4	
5	Patients
6	A diagnosis of DEB was established in a set of 20 patients on the basis of clinical findings,
7	family history, histopathology, immunofluorescence antigen mapping, electron microscopy,
8	and COL7A1 mutation analysis. The diagnosis of RDEB-I was based on the typical clinical
9	findings.[1, 3] All patients were evaluated by the same professionals in the University
10	Medical Center Groningen, the Netherlands (MFJ), Great Ormond Street Hospital for
11	Children or St. Thomas' Hospital, London, UK (JEM, AEM, JAM). Informed consent was
12	given for all skin biopsies and venapunctures, and all studies were approved by the local
13	medical ethical committees (IRB) and conducted in accordance with the guidelines stated in
14	the Declaration of Helsinki.
15	
16	Immunofluorescence and electron microscopy studies
17	For immunofluorescence studies (IF), 4 mm punch biopsies of rubbed perilesional skin and/o

For immunofluorescence studies (IF), 4 mm punch biopsies of rubbed perilesional skin and/or unaffected skin from non-predilection sites (i.e. the ventral side of the upper arm) were obtained from patients 1-6 and 16 and snap frozen. Four or five µm thick cryostat sections were prepared and the amount of Col7 was determined using monoclonal antibody LH7:2 as previously described.[14, 17, 18] For electron microscopy, 2 mm punch biopsies were taken from the same locations from the same patients and prepared and analyzed as previously described.

24

1	COL7A1 mutation analysis
2	The COL7A1 gene was fully analyzed for pathological mutations either by complete
3	sequencing or by pre-screening using either Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis or
4	Conformation-sensitive Capillary Electrophoresis followed by targeted sequencing as
5	described previously.[14, 19] Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral leukocytes.
6	
7	
8	RESULTS
9	
10	Clinical characteristics
11	In total, we identified 20 patients with RDEB-I, six from the Netherlands (patients 1-6) and
12	14 from the UK (patients 7-20). Clinical characteristics are listed in supplemental Table S1
13	and Figure 1. Patients 1 and 2 are sister and brother; patient 14 reported two similarly affected
14	sibs, but they are not known in our clinics; no other patients had any siblings affected with
15	DEB. Eighteen patients (90%) presented with generalized blistering and skin erosions at birth
16	or shortly after and during early infancy; in one the onset of blistering was within the first six
17	months and in another it was unknown. Seven (35%) had congenital localized absence of skin
18	(CLAS), mostly on the lower legs/ankles/feet, which remained fragile during life. With age,
19	blistering on the extremities decreased markedly in most patients and became more restricted
20	to the body trunk and folds. The most frequently affected skin areas were the proximal body
21	flexures, i.e. the axillae and groins, and the body axis, i.e. the back, neck and buttocks
22	symmetrically. None of the patients had involvement of the acra as the dominant feature. In
23	fact, even after trauma most patients only occasionally experienced blistering of hands and
24	feet, and none of them developed 'mitten deformities' as seen in the 'severe generalized'
25	RDEB (RDEB-sev gen) subtype. However, four patients (3, 9, 19, 20) had more extensive

1	blistering of the acra and developed mild pseudosyndactyly in one of the interdigital web
2	spaces. In contrast, in four patients (7, 13, 15, 16) skin blistering nearly ceased completely
3	after infancy and they only had mucosal involvement and nail dystrophy.
4	In 6/20 (30%) patients, lesions of the oral mucosa were already present at birth,
5	whereas in the other patients the oral mucosa became affected from infancy onwards (Table
6	S1). When the RDEB-I phenotype had established, all patients had severe mucosal
7	involvement, mostly affecting the oral cavity, oesophagus and anus. In 6/10 (60%) women the
8	genital skin and mucosa were affected, and in one this severely complicated healing after
9	childbirth. Swallowing difficulty or dysphagia was reported in $17/20$ (85%) patients. Twelve
10	patients (60%) had proven oesophageal strictures and 10 (50%) needed repeated oesophageal
11	dilatations; patient 5 received more than 150 dilatations before the age of 30. Ankyloglossia
12	and microstomia due to scarring of the oral mucosa were present in 15 (75%) and 13 (65%)
13	patients, respectively, and was noted as early as 6 years of age (patient 15). Blistering of the
14	external auditory canal was seen in 7/20 (35%) patients, which was complicated by narrowing
15	of the canal in three, and subsequent conductive hearing loss after the age of 30 in two (10%).
16	None of the patients were growth retarded, had renal failure or developed squamous cell
17	carcinomas. Patients 10, 12, 15, and 20 had anaemic periods and patient 12 required
18	intermittent blood transfusions. Constipation was an almost invariable feature, although only
19	in part due to anal blistering.
20	

### 21 Transition age

The age of transition of blistering from extremities to body axis and flexures was reported in 13/20 patients and ranged from one to 18 years. In nine patients, transition was reported between birth and age four years. Patient 6 was the only one in whom the transition age could be objectively determined. He was diagnosed with probable recessive bullous dermolysis of

the newborn, since his generalized blisters present at birth disappeared within the first few
 months, and the immunofluorescence biopsy revealed Col7 retention in basal keratinocytes.
 However, towards the end of his first year, blistering activity increased on the trunk, axillae,
 intraorally and especially in the diaper area. Hence, transition age was before the end of the

5 first year.

6

#### 7 Immunofluorescence and electron microscopy findings

8 Immunofluorescence studies on healthy skin from non-predilection sites revealed normal 9 Col7 in patients 3, 4, 6, 16, and reduced Col7 in patient 1. Retention of Col7 was noted in 10 basal keratinocytes of patient 3 (aged 33) and patient 6, in whose skin both small and large 11 Col7 positive granules were seen at the age of 1 month. Basal keratinocytes of a peri-lesional 12 skin biopsy of patient 2, obtained a few days after birth, also revealed Col7 retention. Peri-13 lesional skin from patient 5 revealed reduced Col7. Electron microscopy studies revealed 14 slightly hypoplastic anchoring fibrils in normal (patient 4) or reduced numbers (patients 3, 6, 15 16) at non-predilection sites. Electron microscopy studies of affected skin revealed a reduced 16 number of abnormal or rudimentary anchoring fibrils in patients 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 16. Col7-17 containing vesicles were seen in the rough endoplasmic reticulum in patient 2. 18 19 Genotypes and genotype-phenotype correlations

20 Genotypes were available for 18 of our 20 RDEB-I patients. The genotypes of patients 1-5

21 have been reported previously.[14] Table 1 lists the 29 full genotypes associated with RDEB-I

22 identified in this study and the literature.

Table 1. Genotypes of RDEB-inversa patients reported in this study and in the literature. 1

No.	Mutation 1 <sup>1</sup>					Mutation 2 <sup>1</sup>					Patients	Reference
	Nucleotide	Amino acid	Exon	Consequence <sup>2</sup>	Domain <sup>3</sup>	Nucleotide <sup>4</sup>	Amino acid	Exon <sup>5</sup>	Consequence <sup>2</sup>	Domain <sup>3</sup>		
1	c.4039G>T	p.Gly1347Trp	34	Missense	THD	c.8540insC	p.Glu2848X	116	PTC	NC2	Pat 16	This study
2	c.4945C>G	p.Gly1649Arg	53	Missense	THD	$NF^{6}$					Pat 13	This study
3	c.5282G>C	p.Gly1761Ala	60	Missense	THD	c.5282G>C	p.Gly1761Ala	60	Missense	THD	Pat 6	This study
4	c.5720G>A	p.Gly1907Glu	68	Missense	THD	c.7805G>A	p.Gly2602Glu	105	Missense	THD	Pat 3	[14]
5	c.5720_5721	p.Gly1907Asp	68	Missense	THD	c.4018C>T	p.Arg1340X	34	PTC	THD	2x	[26]
	GA>AT											
6	c.5720_5721	p.Gly1907Asp	68	Missense	THD	c.5047C>T	p.Arg1687X	54	PTC	THD	Pat 12,	This study
	GA>AT										17	
7	c.6022C>G	p.Arg2008Gly	73	Missense	THD	c.6022C>G	p.Arg2008Gly	73	Missense	THD	2x	[20, 21]
8	c.6187C>G	p.Arg2063Gly	74	Missense	THD	c.706C>T	p.Arg236X	6	PTC	NC1	1x	[8]
9	c.6205C>T	p.Arg2069Cys	74	Missense	THD	c.425A>G	p.Lys142Arg	3	Splice $\rightarrow$ PTC	NC1	3x	[20, 24]
10	c.6205C>T	p.Arg2069Cys	74	Missense	THD	c.3551-3T>G		IVS26	Splice $\rightarrow$ PTC	NC1	Pat 5	[14]
11	c.6205C>T	p.Arg2069Cys	74	Missense	THD	c.3894+1G>A		IVS30	Splice $\rightarrow$ PTC	THD	1x	[16]
12	c.6205C>T	p.Arg2069Cys	74	Missense	THD	c.3895-1G>A		IVS30	Splice $\rightarrow$ PTC	THD	1x	[25]
13	c.6205C>T	p.Arg2069Cys	74	Missense	THD	c.4018C>T	p.Arg1340X	34	PTC	THD	1x	[16]
14	c.6205C>T	p.Arg2069Cys	74	Missense	THD	c.4027C>T	p.Arg1343X	34	PTC	THD	1x	[16]
15	c.6205C>T	p.Arg2069Cys	74	Missense	THD	c.5662insG	p.Pro1888AlafsX27	67	PTC	THD	Pat 11	This Study
16	c.6205C>T	p.Arg2069Cys	74	Missense	THD	c.6205C>T	p.Arg2069Cys	74	Missense	THD	3x (pat	This study, [14]
											4, 8, 18)	
17	c.6262G>A	p.Gly2088Arg	75	Missense	THD	c.676C>T	p.Arg226X	5	PTC	NC1	1x	[26]
18	c.6637G>A	p.Gly2213Arg	83	Missense	THD	c.4918delG	p.Gly1640ValfsX70	52	PTC	THD	Pat 9	This study
19	c.6815G>C	p.Gly2272Ala	86	Missense	THD	c.1363G>T	p.Gln455X	11	PTC	NC1	Pat 15	This study
20	c.7415G>A	p.Gly2472Asp	97	Missense	THD	c.1874delGT	p.Ser625ArgfsX5	14	PTC	NC1	1x	[26]
21	c.7864C>T	p.Arg2622Trp	105	Missense	THD	c.553C>T	p.Arg185X	5	PTC	NC1	1x	[15]
22	c.7864C>T	p.Arg2622Trp	105	Missense	THD	c.2482delCT	p.Ser828CysfsX37	19	PTC	NC1	1x	[9]
23	c.7882C>T	p.Arg2628Trp	106	Missense	THD	c.1332C>A	p.Tyr444X	10	PTC	NC1	1x	[26]
24	c.8065G>A	p.Gly2689Arg	109	Missense	THD	c.1732C>T	p.Arg578X	13	PTC	NC1	Pat 7	This study
25	c.8065G>C	p.Gly2689Arg	109	Missense	THD	c.6527insC	p.Gly2177TrpfsX113	80	PTC	THD	1x	[10]
26	c.8083G>A	p.Gly2695Ser	109	Missense	THD	c.8083G>A	p.Gly2695Ser	109	Missense	THD	Pat 1, 2	[12, 14, 22]
27	c.8156G>C	p.Gly2719Ala	110	Missense	THD	c.2051-1G>C	- •	IVS15	Splice $\rightarrow$ PTC	NC1	Pat 19	This study
28	c.8323G>A	p.Gly2775Ser	112	Missense	THD	c.425A>G	p.Lys142Arg	3	Splice $\rightarrow$ PTC	NC1	2x (pat	This study, [23]
		-					-				14)	-
29	c.8323G>A	p.Gly2775Ser	112	Missense	THD	c.8323G>A	p.Gly2775Ser	112	Missense	THD	1x	[26]

2 3

<sup>1</sup> In **bold** novel *COL7A1* mutations. <sup>2</sup> PTC, premature termination codon; splice  $\rightarrow$  PTC, splice site affecting mutation resulting in PTC.

- <sup>3</sup> THD, triple-helix domain; NC1, non-collagenous 1 domain; NC2, non-collagenous 2 domain.
  <sup>4</sup> NF, not found; NA, not available.
  <sup>5</sup> IVS, intervening sequences (i.e. introns)
  <sup>6</sup> Only a single mutation could be identified despite complete sequencing of the other allele.
- 3

1	Six genotypes consist of homozygous or compound heterozygous missense mutations within
2	the triple-helix domain (THD) of Col7. Twenty-three genotypes are composed of THD
3	missense mutations combined with mutations generating a premature truncation codon (PTC)
4	or an unidentified second mutation (pat 13). Since PTC mutations are generally considered to
5	result in no functional protein, the functional genotype in these patients is determined by the
6	hemizygous missense mutation.[27] Hence, the functional genotype in RDEB-I is that of a
7	homozygous, compound heterozygous or hemizygous missense mutation within the THD.
8	To date, <u>19</u> missense mutations have been associated with RDEB-I, all of which are
9	either substitutions of an arginine (ASs, $n = 5$ ) or a glycine (GSs, $n = 14$ ) (Table 1, Fig. 2).
10	The <u>14</u> GSs are located at <u>13</u> different glycine codons. None of the carrier parents were
11	affected, indicating that the mutations are recessive. The question is whether these recessive
12	ASs or GSs are specific for RDEB-I or whether they can also cause other (R)DEB subtypes.
13	Of the five ASs, the p.Arg2063Gly, p.Arg2622Trp, and p.Arg2628Trp mutations have been
14	exclusively reported in RDEB-I, whereas the p.Arg2008Gly and p.Arg2069Cys mutations
15	were also found in non-inversa RDEB subtypes (Table S2). <u>Nine</u> of the <u>14</u> recessive GSs have
16	been exclusively reported in RDEB-I, whereas four GSs were also reported in non-inversa
17	RDEB subtypes and the p.Gly2213Arg mutation was reported as a dominant GS (Table S3).
18	Supplementary tables S2 and S3, and Fig. 2 show detailed information about the ASs and GSs.
19	To check whether ASs in general might cause the RDEB-I phenotype, we studied the
20	phenotypes of patients carrying other ASs. The THD of Col7 contains a total of 94 arginines
21	in Gly-X-Y repeats,[35] of which 12 have been found substituted in DEB (HGMD
22	professional database, www.hgmd.org, and unpublished data (HS), 26 August 2010).
23	Substitutions of $5/12$ arginines ( $42\%$ ) are involved in RDEB-I, whereas substitutions of $9/12$
24	arginines (75%) are involved in other DEB phenotypes. Hence, it seems unlikely that ASs are
25	in general the cause of RDEB-I.

1	
2	
3	DISCUSSION
4	
5	The cause of the typical 'inverse' distribution of blistering in RDEB-I is not well understood.
6	We identified 20 Dutch and UK RDEB-I patients and expanded the list of known RDEB-I
7	genotypes from the literature with nine new genotypes, which enabled us to conduct an
8	extensive genotype-phenotype correlation study in RDEB-I. Our results suggest that RDEB-I
9	is caused by a set of specific recessive arginine and glycine substitutions within the THD of
10	Col7.
11	
12	Clinical characteristics
13	All 20 patients displayed the typical inversa distribution of skin blistering in the proximal
14	body flexures and the body axis. Mucosal involvement, especially of the oral mucosa, was
15	present in all patients. Nearly all patients started with generalized blistering and a third had
16	congenital localized absence of skin. The age of transition from generalized to inversa
17	distribution took place before the age of 4 years in most patients, but ranged from 1 to 18
18	years. Marked clinical variation was noted between patients and the clinical severity of
19	RDEB-I seems to cover a spectrum, as seen in other DEB phenotypes.[1] Four patients had
20	'mucosal only' RDEB-I in which the mucosal involvement dominated, including severe
21	oesophageal stenosis requiring multiple dilatations, but skin blistering was almost absent. A
22	similar phenotype has been described previously, [10, 23, 36] but was not considered to be
23	within the RDEB-I spectrum due to absence of typical blistering in the body flexures. We
24	think that patients with 'mucosal only' RDEB form the mildest end of the inversa spectrum
25	(with regard to the skin), because the severe mucosal involvement is not part of the milder

1	forms of RDEB-O.[1, 14] On the other end of the RDEB-I spectrum, four patients had
2	significant involvement of the acra, leading to mild flexion contractures and limited
3	pseudosyndactyly. This end of the RDEB-I spectrum might be difficult to distinguish from
4	RDEB-O or even RDEB-sev gen.[5, 37] However, these RDEB-I patients were not growth
5	retarded and none had developed squamous cell carcinoma.
6	A diagnosis of RDEB-I has important implications for the patient and clinician,
7	especially when skin blistering is relatively mild. Nearly all patients have severe oral and
8	oesophageal blistering resulting in intra-oral scarring, microstomia, ankyloglossia, and
9	oesophageal strictures often requiring repeated dilatations. This can result in decreased food
10	intake, poor dental hygiene and oral infections, which warrant careful follow-up of growth
11	and weight and aggressive dental care. Peri-anal blistering commonly results in constipation
12	that should be asked about carefully and treated if present. In women, genital blistering and
13	scarring can interfere with sexual function, complicate vaginal delivery and recovery
14	afterwards, which may be a reason for elective caesarean section. Moreover, blistering of the
15	external auditory canal may lead to conductive hearing loss, requiring hearing aids after the
16	age of 30. Careful inspection and follow-up of the auditory canals is thus warranted and
17	audiologic evaluation may be indicated at later ages.

18

#### **19** Genotype-phenotype correlations

The <u>29</u> functional genotypes of our 18 RDEB-I patients and those reported in the literature consist of homozygous, compound heterozygous or hemizygous (i.e. in conjunction with a PTC) recessive ASs or GSs within the THD of Col7. To date, <u>five</u> recessive ASs and <u>14</u> recessive GSs have been associated with RDEB-I. The collagenous THD domain is composed of many consecutive Gly-X-Y triplets that form a triple-helix.[35] These Gly-X-Y repeat sequences are separated into 20 collagenous subdomains (COL1-20) by 19 interruptions or

1	imperfections (IM1-19) that provide flexibility to the protein. The largest imperfection, the
2	hinge (IM10), is composed of 39 amino acids and divides the THD into amino (COL1-10)
3	and carboxyl (COL11-20) regions. The glycine residues are located at the centre of the triple-
4	helix and are essential for stable triple-helix formation, whereas the amino acids at the X and
5	Y positions are exposed on the helix surface and are less essential for triple-helix stability.[38,
6	39] Substitutions of glycine residues therefore have major disruptive effects on triple-helix
7	folding and/or stability, whereas substitutions of X and Y residues not necessarily exert
8	pathogenic effects. Therefore, pathogenicity of the GSs identified in RDEB-I was not
9	surprising. Pathogenicity of the RDEB-I ASs was also likely, as they are located at highly
10	conserved positions within Col7.
11	Two RDEB-I ASs and five GSs have also been found in patients with phenotypes
12	other than RDEB-I. This observation suggests that some arginine and glycine codons have the
13	potential to result in RDEB-I when mutated, but other as yet unidentified factors determine
14	the resultant clinical phenotype, which can be RDEB-I or sometimes other. The puzzling
15	question remains as to why some specific arginine and glycine codons possess this potential
16	to induce RDEB-I when mutated, since ASs and GSs in general cause phenotypes other than
17	RDEB-I.[28] We therefore looked more closely into the position of the arginine and glycine
18	codons in the THD and into the nature of the substituting amino acids.
19	
20	The arginine substitutions
21	The five arginine codons are located in collagenous subdomains in the carboxyl region of the
22	THD, of which three in the first two subdomains after the hinge region (Fig. 2, Table S2). The
23	position of the arginine within the Gly-X-Y triplet does not differ from arginines involved in
24	other phenotypes: the five RDEB-I arginines reside in the Y position as do 7 of 9 arginines
25	involved in other DEB subtypes.

1	Our findings suggest that for each of the five arginines there is only a specific
2	substitution that can lead to RDEB-I (Tables S2). The arginine at codon 2063 was found
3	substituted by glycine in RDEB-I, whereas substituted by tryptophan in other RDEB
4	phenotypes; the arginine at codon 2622 was found substituted by tryptophan in RDEB-I,
5	whereas substituted by glutamine in RDEB-HS. The p.Arg2008Gly mutation was found
6	homozygously in RDEB-I, whereas substitution of this arginine by cysteine or histidine was
7	reported in other RDEB subtypes. Of note, the p.Arg2008Gly mutation was also found
8	homozygously in a single RDEB-HS patient, emphasizing that the COL7A1 mutation is not
9	the only factor determining the inversa phenotype. On the other hand, the p.Arg2069Cys
10	mutation was not only found in <u>12</u> inversa patients, but also in four with RDEB-O. However,
11	it cannot be excluded that these patients will ultimately develop the RDEB-I phenotype, as it
12	can take years for the inversa phenotype to become evident. Therefore, the p.Arg2069Cys is
13	the most frequently observed RDEB-I mutation and can be considered specific for RDEB-I.
14	The specific substituting amino acids leading to RDEB-I, however, are different for
15	each arginine codon and from chemically different groups (Table S2). At codons 2008, 2063
16	and 2069, the large, hydrophilic arginine is substituted by the smaller, nonpolar residues,
17	glycine or cysteine, whereas at codons 2622 and 2628 the arginine is substituted by the large,
18	hydrophobic and aromatic tryptophan. The same substituting amino acids have been found to
19	replace other arginines in the THD in non-inversa phenotypes (Fig 2). This emphasizes that
20	there is not a specific type of amino acid that leads to RDEB-I when replacing an arginine, but
21	it is the combination of a specific substituting amino acid at a specific arginine codon position.
22	Interestingly, the arginine codons 2622 and 2628 in COL19 are located close to the cysteine
23	residue at position 2634. This is the only cysteine residue in the THD and might be involved
24	in disulfide binding with the non-collagenous domain of a pairing Col7 molecule.[35]

- 1 Substituting either arginine 2622 or 2628 could therefore lead to instable Col7 dimers as a
- 2 result of local conformational changes.
- 3

4 The glycine substitutions

5 All 13 glycine codons associated with RDEB-I are located in collagenous subdomains of the 6 THD (Fig. 2, Table S3). Four of 13 (31%) glycine codons are located in subdomain COL19. 7 This number is surprisingly high, even though COL19 is the largest subdomain, containing 8 almost 16% of Gly-X-Y repeats. These four GSs, as well as p.Gly2775Ser in COL20 are 9 located close to the carboxyl end of the THD. Additionally, the p.Gly1347Trp mutation in the 10 COL2 subdomain is located near the amino end of the THD and glycine codon 1907 (COL10) 11 is located near the hinge region, the end of the amino region of the THD. It can thus be 12 speculated that GSs located at either end of the amino and carboxyl regions of the THD can 13 cause the relatively mild RDEB-I phenotype. This agrees with the finding that GSs near the 14 ends of the THD cause less severe triple-helix destabilization than central GSs and 15 concordantly lead to less severe disease.[23] Interestingly, the amino acids replacing the 16 glycines are either chemically very different and destabilizing, or chemically quite similar and 17 less destabilizing.[38] 18 Recently, Chiaverini et al. suggested that GSs located near the borders of collagenous 19 subdomains exert different, i.e. milder, pathogenic effects than GSs located in the centre of 20 collagenous subdomains and consequently result in RDEB-I.[26] However, the combined 21 genotypic data of our RDEB-I patients and those reported in the literature show that of the 13 22 glycine codons involved in RDEB-I only 6/13 (46%) are located in the first three or last three 23 Gly-X-Y triplets of their respective collagenous subdomain. The other 7/13 (54%) are located 24 in the central portion of the subdomain (Table S3). Hence, a GSs location near the border of a

1	collagenous subdomain might predispose to RDEB-I, but it is certainly not the only factor,
2	since specific central GSs also have the potential to induce RDEB-I.
3	There were no differences in the location of the glycine codons or the nature of the
4	substituting amino acids between GSs causing the 'mucosal only' phenotype and the
5	phenotype with limited acral involvement (Tables 1 and S3). However, the four glycine
6	codons involved in RDEB-I with limited acral involvement have been reported in the RDEB-
7	sev gen or DDEB phenotypes. In contrast, of the glycine codons involved in the RDEB-I
8	'mucosal only' phenotype only glycine codon 1347 was reported in other, milder RDEB
9	phenotypes.[10, 30, 32]
10	
11	Putative temperature related pathophysiology in RDEB-I
12	Because the lesions in RDEB-I are mainly restricted to body locations where skin
13	temperatures are the highest (Fig. 3),[40, 41] we hypothesize that the pathophysiology in
14	RDEB-I is temperature-dependent. Kern et al. showed by in vitro experiments that Col7
15	homotrimers from a 'mucosal only' patient (genotype 18, Table 1) dissociated at lower
16	temperatures (Tm = $33-35^{\circ}$ C) compared to normal Col7 (Tm = $39-41^{\circ}$ C).[10] Moreover,
17	Fritsch et al. showed that Col7 trimers harbouring three different dominant GSs in the THD
18	had even more reduced thermostability (Tm 20-33°C, mono-expression).[39] Furthermore,
19	four GSs in the THD of type XVII collagen also reduced the thermostability of recombinant
20	proteins.[42] This reduced thermostability reflects folding defects of procollagen molecules
21	into Col7 trimers and/or packing defects of Col7 trimers into anchoring fibrils. The lower
22	dissociation temperatures of Col7 homotrimers harbouring the dominant GSs suggest that
23	dominant GSs have more dramatic destabilizing effects on Col7 than recessive GSs. Hence,
24	we speculate that the recessive GSs found in RDEB-I only slightly lower the temperature
25	dissociation thresholds for Col7 homotrimers. The only body regions where skin temperatures

1	are continuously high enough to cross these temperature thresholds are the inversa regions.
2	This leads to increased Col7 destabilization with subsequent blistering only in these body
3	regions and not on the more distal body parts where skin temperatures are generally lower.
4	The invariable involvement of the oral mucosa and oesophageal involvement in most patients
5	supports this hypothesis. Temperature-dependent pathophysiology has been shown for
6	bathing suit ichthyosis (BSI), in which skin distribution is quite similar to RDEB-I.[41]
7	Moreover, also in BSI the skin features are more severe in infancy than later on. In BSI,
8	specific mutations in the transglutaminase-1 gene, TGM1, lead to decreased enzyme activity
9	at temperatures above 33°C,[43] explaining the 'inversa-like' skin distribution. Interestingly,
10	most BSI causing TGM1 mutations found to date are arginine substitutions.[44] The ASs
11	found in RDEB-I could thus exert the same effects as the GSs. A temperature-dependent
12	effect in skin disorders has also been noted for mutations in the TYR and CDKN2A genes.[45,
13	46] Temperature-dependent pathophysiology could also explain the transition from
14	generalized to inversa distribution, as one can assume that skin temperature in utero will be
15	higher than postnatally in all body regions. An obvious question is whether patients have
16	more severe blistering during warmer circumstances, but this was denied by all patients.
17	Hence, the temperature hypothesis is an interesting topic for future studies.
18	
19	Conclusion and clinical implications
20	Our results suggest that RDEB-I is caused by specific recessive arginine and glycine
21	substitutions in the THD of Col7. The p.Arg2069Cys mutation is the most frequently
22	observed RDEB-I mutation. This study provides detailed insights into genotype-phenotype
23	correlations in RDEB-I, which is a first step towards understanding the pathophysiology of

24 the typical inverse distribution in this rare RDEB subtype. The exact mechanisms by which

1	these ASs and GSs cause RDEB-I are not yet understood, but we hypothesize that the
2	pathophysiology of the inversa distribution is temperature-dependent.
3	These findings have important implications for the diagnosis and prognosis in DEB,
4	since the identification of one of the specific ASs or GSs as the only functional allele in a
5	newborn with DEB is predictive of the future development of RDEB-I. However, a phenotype
6	with more severe skin involvement may occasionally develop due to currently unknown
7	genetic, epigenetic or non-genetic modifiers.
8	
9	
10	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
11	
12	We would like to thank the patients and their parents for their cooperation and Jackie Senior
13	for editing the manuscript. This study was supported by the Netherlands Organization for
14	Health Research and Development (ZonMw) grant 92003541, the Prof. J.P. Nater Foundation
15	and the Vlinderkind (Dutch Butterfly) Foundation. JEM and JAM acknowledge financial
16	support from the Department of Health via the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)
17	comprehensive Biomedical Research Centre award to Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation
18	Trust in partnership with King's College London and King's College Hospital NHS
19	Foundation Trust.
20	
21	
22	COMPETING INTERESTS
23	
24	None.
25	

1	
2	<b>COPYRIGHT LICENSE STATEMENT / LICENCE FOR PUBLICATION</b>
3	
4	The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on
5	behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group
6	Ltd, and its Licensees to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in the Journal of
7	Medical Genetics and any other BMJPGL products and sublicenses such use and exploit all
8	subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence
9	(http://group.bmj.com/products/journals/instructions-for-authors/licence-forms).
10	
11	
12	PATIENT CONSENT
13	
14	We obtained written consent for publication of all patient images.

# **REFERENCES**

3	1	Fine JD, Eady RA, Bauer EA, Bauer JW, Bruckner-Tuderman L, Heagerty A, Hintner H,
4		Hovnanian A, Jonkman MF, Leigh I, McGrath JA, Mellerio JE, Murrell DF, Shimizu H,
5		Uitto J, Vahlquist A, Woodley D, Zambruno G. The classification of inherited
6		epidermolysis bullosa (EB): Report of the Third International Consensus Meeting on
7		Diagnosis and Classification of EB. J Am Acad Dermatol 2008;58:931-50.
8	2	Gedde-Dahl Jr T. Epidermolysis Bullosa: A clinical, genetic and epidemiological study.
9		Universitetsforlaget (Oslo), 1970. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins Press; 1971.
10	3	Anton-Lamprecht I. Epidermolysis Bullosa. In: Rimoin DL, Connor JM, Pyeritz RE, Korf
11		BR, eds. Emery and Rimoin's Principles and Practice of Medical Genetics. Philadelphia:
12		Churchill Livingstone Elsevier 2007:3449-502.
13	4	Bruckner-Tuderman L, Niemi KM, Kero M, Schnyder UW, Reunala T. Type VII collagen
14		is expressed but anchoring fibrils are defective in dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa inversa.
15		Br J Dermatol 1990;122:383-90.
16	5	Bruckner-Tuderman L, Winberg JO, Anton-Lamprecht I, Schnyder UW, Gedde-Dahl Jr T.
17		Anchoring fibrils, collagen VII, and neutral metalloproteases in recessive dystrophic
18		epidermolysis bullosa inversa. J Invest Dermatol 1992;99:550-8.
19	6	Lin AN, Smith LT, Fine JD. Dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa inversa: report of two cases
20		with further correlation between electron microscopic and immunofluorescence studies. J
21		Am Acad Dermatol 1995;33:361-5.
22	7	Gedde-Dahl Jr T, Winberg JO. Neonatal retention of type VII collagen, transient bullous
23		dermolysis of the newborn and recessive epidermolysis bullosa dystrophica inversa. Br J
24		Dermatol 1994;130:685-6.

1	8	Hovnanian A, Rochat A, Bodemer C, Petit E, Rivers CA, Prost C, Fraitag S, Christiano
2		AM, Uitto J, Lathrop M, Barrandon Y, de Prost Y. Characterization of 18 new mutations
3		in COL7A1 in recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa provides evidence for distinct
4		molecular mechanisms underlying defective anchoring fibril formation. Am J Hum Genet
5		1997;61:599-610.
6	9	Gardella R, Castiglia D, Posteraro P, Bernardini S, Zoppi N, Paradisi M, Tadini G, Barlati
7		S, McGrath JA, Zambruno G, Colombi M. Genotype-phenotype correlation in Italian
8		patients with dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. J Invest Dermatol 2002;119:1456-62.
9	10	Kern JS, Kohlhase J, Bruckner-Tuderman L, Has C. Expanding the COL7A1 mutation
10		database: novel and recurrent mutations and unusual genotype-phenotype constellations in
11		41 patients with dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. J Invest Dermatol 2006;126:1006-12.
12	11	Dang N, Klingberg S, Marr P, Murrell DF. Review of collagen VII sequence variants
13		found in Australasian patients with dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa reveals nine novel
14		COL7A1 variants. J Dermatol Sci 2007;46:169-78.
15	12	Varki R, Sadowski S, Uitto J, Pfendner E. Epidermolysis bullosa. II. Type VII collagen
16		mutations and phenotype-genotype correlations in the dystrophic subtypes. J Med Genet
17		2007;44:181-92.
18	13	Kern JS, Gruninger G, Imsak R, Muller ML, Schumann H, Kiritsi D, Emmert S, Borozdin
19		W, Kohlhase J, Bruckner-Tuderman L, Has C. Forty-two novel COL7A1 mutations and
20		the role of a frequent single nucleotide polymorphism in the MMP1 promoter in
21		modulation of disease severity in a large European dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa
22		cohort. Br J Dermatol 2009;161:1089-97.
23	14	Van den Akker PC, van Essen AJ, Kraak MMJ, Meijer R, Nijenhuis M, Meijer G, Hofstra
24		RMW, Pas HH, Scheffer H, Jonkman MF. Long-term follow-up of patients with recessive

1		dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa in the Netherlands: expansion of the mutation database
2		and unusual phenotype-genotype correlations. J Dermatol Sci 2009;56:9-18.
3	15	Escámez MJ, Garcia M, Cuadrado-Corrales N, Llames SG, Charlesworth A, De Luca N,
4		Illera N, Sanchez-Jimeno C, Holguin A, Duarte B, Trujillo-Tiebas MJ, Vicario JL,
5		Santiago JL, Hernandez-Martin A, Torrelo A, Castiglia D, Ayuso C, Larcher F, Jorcano
6		JL, Meana A, Meneguzzi G, Zambruno G, Del Rio M. The first COL7A1 mutation survey
7		in a large Spanish dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa cohort: c.6527insC disclosed as an
8		unusually recurrent mutation. Br J Dermatol 2010;163:155-61.
9	16	Jerabkova B, Kopeckova L, Buckova H, Vesely K, Valickova J, Fajkusova L. Analysis of
10		the COL7A1 gene in Czech patients with dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa reveals novel
11		and recurrent mutations. J Dermatol Sci 2010;59:136-40.
12	17	Jonkman MF, de Jong MC, Heeres K, Sonnenberg A. Expression of integrin alpha 6 beta
13		4 in junctional epidermolysis bullosa. J Invest Dermatol 1992;99:489-96.
14	18	Groves RW, Liu L, Dopping-Hepenstal PJ, Markus HS, Lovell PA, Ozoemena L, Lai-
15		Cheong JE, Gawler J, Owaribe K, Hashimoto T, Mellerio JE, Mee JB, McGrath JA. A
16		homozygous nonsense mutation within the dystonin gene coding for the coiled-coil
17		domain of the epithelial isoform of BPAG1 underlies a new subtype of autosomal
18		recessive epidermolysis bullosa simplex. J Invest Dermatol 2010;130:1551-7.
19	19	Van den Akker PC, Hettema W, Meijer R, Jonkman MF, Hofstra RM, Scheffer H. Design
20		and validation of a conformation-sensitive capillary electrophoresis system for mutation
21		identification of the COL7A1 gene with automated peak comparison. Genet Test Mol
22		Biomarkers 2009;13:589-97.
23	20	Bruckner-Tuderman L. Hereditary skin diseases of anchoring fibrils. J Dermatol Sci
24		1999;20:122-33.

1	21	Mecklenbeck S, Hammami-Hauasli N, Hopfner B, Schumann H, Kramer A, Kuster W,
2		Bruckner-Tuderman L. Clustering of COL7A1 mutations in exon 73: implications for
3		mutation analysis in dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. J Invest Dermatol 1999;112:398-
4		400.
5	22	Pulkkinen L, Uitto J. Mutation analysis and molecular genetics of epidermolysis bullosa.
6		Matrix Biol 1999;18:29-42.
7	23	Zimmer KP, Schumann H, Mecklenbeck S, Bruckner-Tuderman L. Esophageal stenosis in
8		childhood: dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa without skin blistering due to collagen VII
9		mutations. Gastroenterology 2002;122:220-5.
10	24	Kahofer P, Bruckner-Tuderman L, Metze D, Lemmink H, Scheffer H, Smolle J.
11		Dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa inversa with COL7A1 mutations and absence of GDA-
12		J/F3 protein. Pediatr Dermatol 2003;20:243-8.
13	25	Wong T, Liu L, Ozoemena L, Wessagowit V, Fassihi H, Dopping-Hepenstal PJ, Jones C,
14		Mellerio JE, McGrath JA. Pathogenic nonglycine substitution missense mutations in the
15		type VII collagen triple helix: implications for genotype-phenotype correlation in
16		recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa [abstract]. Br J Dermatol 2006;155 (suppl
17		1):P28.
18	26	Chiaverini C, Charlesworth AV, Youssef M, Cuny JF, Rabia SH, Lacour JP, Meneguzzi
19		G. Inversa Dystrophic Epidermolysis Bullosa Is Caused by Missense Mutations at
20		Specific Positions of the Collagenic Domain of Collagen Type VII. J Invest Dermatol.
21		Published Online First: 17 June 2010. doi:10.1038/jid.2010.159.
22	27	Christiano AM, Amano S, Eichenfield LF, Burgeson RE, Uitto J. Premature termination
23		codon mutations in the type VII collagen gene in recessive dystrophic epidermolysis
24		bullosa result in nonsense-mediated mRNA decay and absence of functional protein. J
25		Invest Dermatol 1997:109:390-4.

1	28	Dang N, Murrell DF. Mutation analysis and characterization of COL7A1 mutations in
2		dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. Exp Dermatol 2008;17:553-68.
3	29	Kon A, Pulkkinen L, Ishida-Yamamoto A, Hashimoto I, Uitto J. Novel COL7A1
4		mutations in dystrophic forms of epidermolysis bullosa. J Invest Dermatol 1998;111:534-
5		7.
6	30	Terracina M, Posteraro P, Schubert M, Sonego G, Atzori F, Zambruno G, Bruckner-
7		Tuderman L, Castiglia D. Compound heterozygosity for a recessive glycine substitution
8		and a splice site mutation in the COL7A1 gene causes an unusually mild form of localized
9		recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. J Invest Dermatol 1998;111:744-50.
10	31	Suzuki S, Shimomura Y, Yamamoto Y, Kariya N, Shibuya M, Ito M, Fujiwara H. A case
11		of recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa caused by compound heterozygous
12		mutations in the COL7A1 gene. Br J Dermatol 2006;155:838-40.
13	32	Schumann H, Has C, Kohlhase J, Bruckner-Tuderman L. Dystrophic epidermolysis
14		bullosa pruriginosa is not associated with frequent FLG gene mutations. Br J Dermatol
15		2008;159:464-9.
16	33	Riedl E, Klausegger A, Bauer JW, Foedinger D, Kittler H. A novel glycine mutation in
17		the COL7A1 gene leading to dominant dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa with intra-
18		familial phenotypical heterogeneity. Pediatr Dermatol 2009;26:115-7.
19	34	Almaani N, Liu L, Harrison N, Tanaka A, Lai-Cheong J, Mellerio JE, McGrath JA. New
20		glycine substitution mutations in type VII collagen underlying epidermolysis bullosa
21		pruriginosa but the phenotype is not explained by a common polymorphism in the matrix
22		metalloproteinase-1 gene promoter. Acta Derm Venereol 2009;89:6-11.
23	35	Christiano AM, Greenspan DS, Lee S, Uitto J. Cloning of human type VII collagen.
24		Complete primary sequence of the alpha 1(VII) chain and identification of intragenic
25		polymorphisms. J Biol Chem 1994;269:20256-62.

1	36 Csikos M, Szocs HI, Laszik A, Mecklenbeck S, Horvath A, Karpati S, Bruckner-
2	Tuderman L. High frequency of the 425A>G splice-site mutation and novel mutations of
3	the COL7A1 gene in central Europe: significance for future mutation detection strategies
4	in dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. Br J Dermatol 2005;152:879-86.
5	37 Gedde-Dahl Jr T. The childhood course of recessive epidermolysis bullosa dystrophica
6	inversa. In: Priestley GC, Tidman MJ, Weiss JB, Eady RAJ, eds. Epidermolysis bullosa:
7	A comprehensive review of classification, management and laboratory studies.
8	Crowthorne, Berkshire, UK: DEBRA, UK 1990:84-6.
9	38 Persikov AV, Pillitteri RJ, Amin P, Schwarze U, Byers PH, Brodsky B. Stability related
10	bias in residues replacing glycines within the collagen triple helix (Gly-Xaa-Yaa) in
11	inherited connective tissue disorders. Hum Mutat 2004;24:330-7.
12	39 Fritsch A, Spassov S, Elfert S, Schlosser A, Gache Y, Meneguzzi G, Bruckner-Tuderman
13	L. Dominant-negative effects of COL7A1 mutations can be rescued by controlled
14	overexpression of normal collagen VII. J Biol Chem 2009;284:30248-56.
15	40 Herry CL, Frize M. Quantitative assessment of pain-related thermal dysfunction through
16	clinical digital infrared thermal imaging. Biomed Eng Online 2004;3:19.
17	41 Oji V, Hautier JM, Ahvazi B, Hausser I, Aufenvenne K, Walker T, Seller N, Steijlen PM,
18	Kuster W, Hovnanian A, Hennies HC, Traupe H. Bathing suit ichthyosis is caused by
19	transglutaminase-1 deficiency: evidence for a temperature-sensitive phenotype. Hum Mol
20	Genet 2006;15:3083-97.
21	42 Vaisanen L, Has C, Franzke C, Hurskainen T, Tuomi ML, Bruckner-Tuderman L,
22	Tasanen K. Molecular mechanisms of junctional epidermolysis bullosa: Col 15 domain
23	mutations decrease the thermal stability of collagen XVII. J Invest Dermatol

24 2005;125:1112-8.

1	43 Aufenvenne K, Oji V, Walker T, Becker-Pauly C, Hennies HC, Stocker W, Traupe H.
2	Transglutaminase-1 and bathing suit ichthyosis: molecular analysis of gene/environment
3	interactions. J Invest Dermatol 2009;129:2068-71.
4	44 Hackett BC, Fitzgerald D, Watson RM, Hol FA, Irvine AD. Genotype-phenotype
5	correlations with TGM1: clustering of mutations in the bathing suit ichthyosis and self-
6	healing collodion baby variants of lamellar ichthyosis. Br J Dermatol 2010;162:448-51.
7	45 Berson JF, Frank DW, Calvo PA, Bieler BM, Marks MS. A common temperature-
8	sensitive allelic form of human tyrosinase is retained in the endoplasmic reticulum at the
9	nonpermissive temperature. J Biol Chem 2000;275:12281-9.
10	46 Florell SR, Meyer LJ, Boucher KM, Porter-Gill PA, Hart M, Erickson J, Cannon-Albrigh
11	LA, Pershing LK, Harris RM, Samlowski WE, Zone JJ, Leachman SA. Longitudinal
12	assessment of the nevus phenotype in a melanoma kindred. J Invest Dermatol
13	2004;123:576-82.

#### 1 FIGURE LEGENDS

2

#### **3** Figure 1. Clinical features of patients with RDEB-inversa.

4 Typical distribution of skin blistering in RDEB-I patients in the proximal body flexures and 5 body axis with nail dystrophy and involvement of the mucosal membranes. Skin blistering 6 and erosions in (A) the neck of patient 9, (B) the left axilla of patient 17, (C) the lower back in 7 patient 3, and (D) the groins and lower abdomen in patient 6. (E and F) The hands and feet of 8 patient 7 show absent and dystrophic nails, with no completely normal nails. There is no 9 current blistering, but small scars indicate past blistering. (G) Microstomia, ankyloglossia and 10 dental decay of the lower dentition (upper dentition has been repaired) in patient 17. Written 11 consent was obtained for publication of all images.

12

#### 13 Figure 2. Arginine and glycine substitutions associated with RDEB-I.

14 Schematic representation of the triple-helix domain of type VII collagen. White boxes are

15 collagenous subdomains COL1-20, black bars represent collagenous imperfections IM1-19,

16 and the hinge region (IM10) is hatched. The glycine substitutions are shown above the triple-

17 helix domain, and the arginine substitutions below. All known arginine and glycine

18 substitutions, as summarized by Dang and Murrell,[28] are shown. Glycine and arginine

19 substitutions associated with RDEB-I are depicted above and below the dashed lines,

20 respectively. Glycine and arginine substitutions exclusively reported in RDEB-I are shown in

21 bold. Novel glycine substitutions are shown in italics.

22

#### 23 Figure 3. Digital thermographs of a healthy human body.

24 Photos obtained by digital infrared thermal imaging of (A) front and (B) back of a healthy

25 female human body showing higher body temperatures in the typical inversa body sites, i.e.

- 1 the proximal flexures, neck, lower back and submammary folds, compared to more distal
- 2 body parts. The colour scales indicate the temperatures that correspond to the colours used in
- 3 the images. Images published with permission from Meditherm Inc, Hilversum, the
- 4 Netherlands.











