



HAL
open science

On (K_{-q}, k) vertex stable with minimum size

Jean-Luc Fouquet, Henri Thuillier, Jean-Marie Vanherpe, Adam Pawel Wojda

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Luc Fouquet, Henri Thuillier, Jean-Marie Vanherpe, Adam Pawel Wojda. On (K_{-q}, k) vertex stable with minimum size. *Discrete Mathematics*, 2012, 312 (14), pp.2109:2118. 10.1016/j.disc.2011.04.017 . hal-00586145

HAL Id: hal-00586145

<https://hal.science/hal-00586145>

Submitted on 15 Apr 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On (K_q, k) vertex stable graphs with minimum size

J-L. Fouquet^a, H. Thuillier^a, J-M. Vanherpe^a, A.P. Wojda^{b,1}

^a*L.I.F.O., Faculté des Sciences, B.P. 6759
Université d'Orléans, 45067 Orléans Cedex 2, FR*

^b*Wydział Matematyki Stosowanej Zakład Matematyki Dyskretnej
A.G.H., Al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, PL*

Abstract

A graph G is a (K_q, k) vertex stable graph if it contains a K_q after deleting any subset of k vertices. We give a characterization of (K_q, k) vertex stable graphs with minimum size for $q = 3, 4, 5$.

Keywords: Stable graphs

1. Introduction

For terms not defined here we refer to [1]. As usual, the *order* of a graph G is the number of its vertices (denoted by $|G|$) and the *size* of G is the number of its edges (denoted by $e(G)$). A complete subgraph of order q of G is called a q -*clique* of G . The complete graph of order q is denoted by K_q . When a graph G contains a q -clique as subgraph, we say “ G contains a K_q ”. The union of p mutually disjoint copies of K_q is denoted by pK_q . When A is a set of vertices we denote by $G - A$ the subgraph induced by $V(G) - A$.

In [7, 8] Horváth and G.Y Katona consider the notion of (H, k) *stable* graph: given a simple graph H , an integer k and a graph G containing H as subgraph, G is a (H, k) *stable graph* whenever the deletion of any set of k edges does not lead to a H -free graph. These authors consider (P_n, k) stable graphs and prove a conjecture stated in [6] on the minimum size of a (P_4, k) stable graph. In [2], Dudek, Szymański and Zwonek are interested in a vertex version of this notion and introduce the (H, k) vertex stable graphs.

¹The research of APW was partially sponsored by polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

Definition 1.1. [2] Let H be a graph. A graph is a (H, k) vertex stable graph if it contains a graph isomorphic to H after deleting any subset of k vertices. By $Q(H, k)$ we denote the minimum size of a (H, k) vertex stable graph. If G is (H, k) vertex stable of size $Q(H, k)$ we call it *minimum* (H, k) vertex stable.

In this paper, we are only interested by (H, k) vertex stable graphs and, since no confusion will be possible, a (H, k) vertex stable shall be simply called a (H, k) *stable graph*.

In [2], the authors give values of $Q(H, k)$ when H is isomorphic to C_3 , C_4 or K_4 and provide upper bounds for some other cases while in [3, 4] the bipartite case is considered.

It must be pointed out that in some cases the value of $Q(H, k)$ can be obtained without the description of extremal graphs, that is (H, k) vertex stable graphs whose size is precisely $Q(H, k)$. In this paper we describe the extremal (H, k) stable graphs when H is isomorphic to K_q , for $q \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ while in [5] we describe the extremal (K_q, k) stable graphs when k is small with respect to q .

By considering (H, k) stable graph with minimum size, it must be clear that we can add some isolated vertices, the resulting graph remains to be a (H, k) stable graph with minimum size. From now on, the graphs considered have no isolated vertices.

Proposition 1.2. [2] *If G is a (H, k) stable graph with minimum size then every vertex as well as every edge is contained in a subgraph isomorphic to H .*

Remark 1.3. Proposition 1.2 implies, in particular, that when $H \equiv K_q$ then the minimum degree of a (H, k) stable graph with minimum size is at least $q - 1$.

Lemma 1.4. [2] *Let $k \geq 1$. If G is (H, k) stable then for any vertex v , $G - \{v\}$ is $(H, k - 1)$ stable.*

Definition 1.5. Let H be a non complete graph on $q + p + 1$ ($p \geq 0$) vertices and u be one vertex. Let N be the neighbourhood of u and $R = V(H) - u - N$. We shall say that H is a *near complete graph* (R, N, u) on $q + p + 1$ vertices (see Figure 1) when

- $H - \{u\}$ is complete,
- $d_H(u) = q + \epsilon$ ($\epsilon \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$).

Note that the set R is not empty since H is not complete. Hence, $|R| = p - \epsilon$, and since H is not complete we must have $p \geq 2$ when $d_H(u) = q + 1$ and $p \geq 1$ when $d_H(u) = q$.




Figure 1: A near complete graph (R, N, u) on $q + p + 1$ vertices

2. Preliminary results

Proposition 2.1. *If G is a (K_q, k) stable graph with minimum size ($q \geq 3$) then G has no component isomorphic to a near complete graph (R, N, u) on $q + p + 1$ vertices.*

Proof. Suppose, by contradiction, that there exists such a component $H = (R, N, u)$ on $q + p + 1$ vertices with $d_H(u) = q + \epsilon$ ($\epsilon \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$). Since G is a (K_q, k) -stable graph with minimum size, $G - \{u\}$ is not (K_q, k) -stable. There exists a set S with at most k vertices such that S intersects every K_q of $G - \{u\}$. There exists a K_q in $G - S$ and clearly such a K_q contains u . Since N is a $K_{q+\epsilon}$ and $N - S$ contains no K_q , $|S \cap N| \geq \epsilon + 1$ (trivial for $\epsilon = -1$). If $|S \cap N| \geq \epsilon + 2$ then $|N - S| \leq q - 2$, and hence S intersects every K_q containing u , a contradiction. Thus, $|S \cap N| = \epsilon + 1$ and $|N - S| = q - 1$. If there exists v in $R - S$ then $(N - S) + \{v\}$ is a K_q in $G - \{u\}$, a contradiction. Thus, $R \subset S$. Let $a \in R$ and $b \in N - S$, and set $S' = S - \{a\} + \{b\}$. We have $|S'| \leq k$ and $G - S'$ contains no K_q , a contradiction.

□

Lemma 2.2. *Let $q \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$ and let G be a minimum (K_q, k) stable graph. If u is a vertex of degree $q - 1$ then one of the following statements is true*

- $\forall v \in N(u) \quad d(v) \geq q + 1,$
- $Q(K_q, k - 1) + 3(q - 2) \leq Q(K_q, k).$

Proof. Since $d(u) = q - 1$, $\{u\} + N(u)$ induces a complete graph on q vertices. Assume that some vertex $w \in N(u)$ has degree $q + a$ ($a = -1$ or $a = 0$) and let $v \in N(u)$ distinct from w . Then $G - v$ is a $(K_q, k - 1)$ stable graph (Lemma 1.4). Since the degree of u in $G - \{v\}$ is $q - 2$, no edge incident with u can be contained in a K_q . We can thus delete these $q - 2$ edges and the resulting graph (say G') is still a $(K_q, k - 1)$ stable graph. In G' , the degree of w is now $q + a - 2$. Hence, no edge incident with w in G' can be contained in a K_q . Deleting these $q + a - 2$ edges from G' leads to a graph G'' which remains to be a $(K_q, k - 1)$ stable graph.

By deleting v , we have $e(G - \{v\}) \leq e(G) - (q - 1)$ and hence

$$e(G') \leq e(G) - (q - 1) - (q - 2)$$

We get thus

$$Q(K_q, k - 1) \leq e(G'') \leq e(G) - (q - 1) - (q - 2) - (q + a - 2)$$

Since $e(G) = Q(K_q, k)$, the result follows. \square

Proposition 2.3. *If G is a minimum $(K_q, 1)$ stable graph ($q \geq 4$) then it is isomorphic to K_{q+1} .*

Proof. Let G be a minimum $(K_q, 1)$ stable graph. Since K_{q+1} is $(K_q, 1)$ stable, clearly $e(G) \leq \binom{q+1}{2}$. We can assume that G is connected. Otherwise, each component contains a K_q , but $\binom{q+1}{2} < 2\binom{q}{2}$ as soon as $q \geq 4$, a contradiction. Let u be a vertex of G and Q be a subgraph of $G - \{u\}$ isomorphic to K_q . Assume that there exists a vertex v outside Q and distinct from u . Note that v can be a neighbour of u . Since $d(u) \geq q - 1$ and $d(v) \geq q - 1$, $e(G) \geq e(Q) + 2(q - 1) - 1 = \binom{q}{2} + 2q - 3 = \binom{q+1}{2} + q - 3$. Thus, $e(G) > e(K_{q+1})$, a contradiction. Hence, $V(G) = V(Q) \cup \{u\}$ with $d(u) \geq q - 1$. Since for any edge e $K_{q+1} - \{e\}$ is not $(K_q, 1)$ stable, we see that $d(u) = q$, that is G is isomorphic to K_{q+1} . \square

Remark 2.4. It is easy to see that the minimum $(K_3, 1)$ stable graphs are $2K_3$ and K_4 .

Proposition 2.5. *If G is a minimum $(K_q, 2)$ stable graph ($q \geq 4$) then it is isomorphic to K_{q+2} .*

Proof. Since K_{q+2} is a $(K_q, 2)$ stable graph, we can suppose that G has at most $\binom{q+2}{2}$ edges. We can suppose, moreover, that G is not complete, otherwise G is obviously reduced to K_{q+2} . Let u be a vertex of minimum degree (recall that the minimum degree is at least $q - 1$) and let v be one of its neighbours.

Assume that $d_G(u) = q - 1$. $G - \{v\}$ is a $(K_q, 1)$ stable graph, but it is not minimum, since none of the remaining edge incident with u can be contained in a complete graph on q vertices. By deleting the $q - 2$ edges incident with u , we get thus a $(K_q, 1)$ stable graph.

If $d(v) \geq q + 1$, this graph has at most $\binom{q+2}{2} - (2q - 1)$ edges. Since this number of edges must be greater than $\binom{q+1}{2}$ by Proposition 2.3, we have

$$(q + 2)(q + 1) - 4q + 2 \geq (q + 1)q$$

That leads to $q \leq 2$, a contradiction. If $d(v) \leq q$, by Lemma 2.2, we have $Q(K_q, 1) + 3q - 6 \leq Q(K_q, 2)$ and hence

$$q(q + 1) + 6q - 12 \leq (q + 1)(q + 2)$$

Which gives $q \leq 3$, a contradiction.

We can thus assume that the minimum degree of G is at least q . Let u and v be two non adjacent vertices of G . Since $G - \{u, v\}$ contains a K_q (say Q), let a and b be two distinct vertices of Q . Since $G - \{a, b\}$ must contain also a K_q , there is certainly a vertex w distinct from v and u , outside Q , inducing with $q - 1$ other vertices of $G - \{a, b\}$ a K_q . Hence G contains three vertices (u, v and w) at least in $G - Q$ and we have:

$$\binom{q + 2}{2} \geq e(G) \geq \binom{q}{2} + 3q - 2$$

Which gives $q < 3$, a contradiction. Hence G is complete and the proposition follows. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a minimum $(K_q, 3)$ stable graph, $q \geq 5$. Let u be a vertex of minimum degree in G and suppose that $d_G(u) = q + l$, where $-1 \leq l \leq 1$. Then for every neighbour v of u we have $d_G(v) \geq q + l + 2$.*

Proof. Suppose, contrary to our claim, that $d_G(v) \leq q + l + 1$ for a neighbour v of u . Since, by Proposition 1.2, the edge uv is contained in a clique of order q and $q \geq 5$, there is a set A of vertices of G such that $|A| = l + 2$ and the vertices of the set $A \cup \{u, v\}$ are mutually adjacent. The graph $G' = G - A$ is $(K_q, 3 - (l + 2))$ stable. We have $d_{G'}(u) = q + l - (l + 2) = q - 2$, hence also $G'' = G' - \{u\}$ is $(K_q, 1 - l)$ stable. But in G'' the degree of the vertex v is at most $q - 2$ and therefore $G''' = G'' - \{v\}$ is $(K_q, 1 - l)$ stable. Since every vertex of the set $A \cup \{u, v\}$ has at least $q - 3$ neighbours outside this set, we have

$$\binom{q + 1 - l}{2} \leq e(G''') \leq \binom{q + 3}{2} - (l + 4)(q - 3) - \binom{l + 4}{2}$$

which contradicts $q \geq 5$. □

Proposition 2.7. *If G is a minimum $(K_q, 3)$ stable graph ($q \geq 5$) then it is isomorphic to K_{q+3} .*

Proof. Note first that to prove the proposition it is sufficient to prove that every vertex of G has the degree at least $q + 2$.

Let u be a vertex of the minimum degree in G and suppose, contrary to our claim, that $d_G(u) \leq q + l$, where $-1 \leq l \leq 1$.

Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{l+2} be such vertices of G that the set $\{u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{l+2}\}$ induce a clique in G (such vertices exist since u is contained in a clique of order q by Proposition 1.2 and $q \geq 5$). By Lemma 2.6, we have $d_G(v_i) \geq q + l + 2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, l + 2$. Set $G' = G - \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{l+2}\}$. The graph G' is clearly $(K_q, 1 - l)$ stable. Moreover, since $d_{G'}(u) = q - 2$, the graph $G'' = G' - \{u\}$ is also $(K_q, 1 - l)$ stable and we have

$$\binom{q + 1 - l}{2} \leq e(G'') \leq \binom{q + 3}{2} - (l + 2)(q - 1) - (q - 2) - \binom{l + 3}{2}$$

which contradicts $q \geq 5$. □

3. A characterization of (K_3, k) stable graph with minimum size

Dudek, Szymański and Zwonek in [2] have shown that $Q(K_3, k) = 3k + 3$ for every nonnegative integer k . In this section we characterize all that (K_3, k) stable graphs with minimum size.

Clearly, K_3 is the unique minimum $(K_3, 0)$ stable graph.

The following theorem characterize all graphs which are (K_3, k) stable with minimum size.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a (K_3, k) stable graph with minimum size. G is isomorphic to $pK_4 + qK_3$, where p and q are such nonnegative integers that $2p + q = k + 1$.*

Proof. By Remark 2.4, K_3 is the unique minimum $(K_3, 0)$ stable graph, and the minimum $(K_3, 1)$ stable graphs are $2K_3$ and K_4 . Clearly, the graph $(k + 1)K_3$ is a (K_3, k) stable graph and has $3k + 3$ edges. Let $k_0 \geq 1$ and suppose that for every $k < k_0$ every minimum (K_3, k) stable graph is a union of p copies of K_4 and q copies K_3 with $2p + q = k + 1$.

Let G be a (K_3, k_0) stable graph of minimum size. Since $G - \{v\}$ is $(K_3, k_0 - 1)$ stable for every vertex v , we have $3k_0 \leq e(G - \{v\}) \leq e(G) - d_G(v) \leq 3k_0 + 3 - d_G(v)$, that is $d_G(v) \leq 3$. If every vertex of G has degree equal to 2, then G is a union of $k_0 + 1$ copies of K_3 , and the theorem is proved. So we may suppose that there is a vertex v_0 of degree 3. But then $G - \{v_0\}$ is $(K_3, k_0 - 1)$ stable and $e(G - \{v_0\}) = 3k_0$, that is $G - \{v_0\}$ is minimum $(K_3, k_0 - 1)$ stable. By the induction hypothesis, $G - \{v_0\}$ is isomorphic to $p'K_4 + q'K_3$, where $2p' + q' = k_0$. It is clear that all the neighbours of v_0 are in the same component of G , (otherwise one of the edges incident with v_0 is not contained in any triangle, contrary to Proposition 1.2). Now it is easy to see that G is isomorphic to $(p' + 1)K_4 + (q' - 1)K_3$ and $2(p' + 1) + (q' - 1) = k_0 + 1$ (otherwise there is a set A of cardinality k_0 which is transversal of all cliques of order 3 in G). \square

4. A characterization of (K_4, k) stable graph with minimum size

In [2] the minimum number of edges of a (K_4, k) stable graph is given.

Theorem 4.1. [2] *If G is a (K_4, k) stable graph with minimum size ($k \geq 1$) then*

- $Q(K_4, 0) = 6$,
- $Q(K_4, k) = 5k + 5$ when $k \geq 1$.

Proposition 4.2. *If G is a (K_4, k) stable graph with minimum size ($k \geq 1$) then it has no connected component isomorphic to K_4 .*

Proof. Let us consider $k \geq 2$. Assume that some component H of G is isomorphic to a K_4 with the vertices of H being a, b, c, d . Then $G - H$ has $5k - 1$ edges. Since $G - H$ is not a $(K_4, k - 1)$ stable graph, there is a set S with at most $k - 1$ vertices intersecting each K_4 of $G - H$. Then $S + \{a\}$ intersects each K_4 of G while S has at most $k - 1$ vertices, a contradiction.

When $k = 1$, G must have 10 edges by Theorem 4.1. Since for each vertex v the graph $G - v$ contains a K_4 , v is joined to this K_4 by 4 edges. Hence G is a K_5 and the result holds. \square

Proposition 4.3. *If G is a (K_4, k) stable graph with minimum size ($k \geq 1$) then every vertex of G has degree 3, 4 or 5.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.2 every vertex is contained in a K_4 , hence its degree is at least 3. Assume that G has a vertex v with $d(v) \geq 6$. Then, by Lemma 1.4, $G - v$ is a $(K_4, k - 1)$ stable graph and therefore has at least $5k$ edges, which is impossible since G has exactly $5k + 5$ edges, by Theorem 4.1. \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a (K_4, k) stable graph with minimum size ($k \geq 1$). If H is a component containing no vertex of degree 5, then each vertex of H has degree 4.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.3 the vertices of G have degree 3 or 4. Assume to the contrary that H contains some vertex v with degree 3. Let $N(v) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ be its neighbourhood. By Proposition 1.2, $N(v)$ is complete. Since H is not isomorphic to K_4 by Proposition 4.2, assume that, without loss of generality, u_1 is joined to some new

vertex w . Since u_1w must be contained in a K_4 by Proposition 1.2, the vertices of this K_4 are in $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, w, v\}$, thus w must be adjacent to u_2 and u_3 . By Proposition 2.1, H is not isomorphic to a K_5 minus one edge, hence there must exist some new vertex w' adjacent to w . Since each vertex in $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, w\}$ has degree 4, we cannot find a K_4 using the edge ww' , a contradiction with Proposition 1.2. \square

Theorem 4.5. *If G is (K_4, k) stable ($k \geq 1$) with minimum size then it is isomorphic to $pK_5 + qK_6$, where p and q are nonnegative integers such that $2p + 3q = k + 1$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on k . By Proposition 2.3, the only minimum $(K_4, 1)$ stable graph with minimum size is K_5 . Let $k_0 \geq 2$ and suppose that for every integer k , such that $1 \leq k < k_0$ every (K_4, k) stable graph with minimum size is isomorphic to $pK_5 + qK_6$, where p and q are nonnegative integers such that $2p + 3q = k + 1$.

Let G be a (K_4, k_0) stable graph with minimum size. By Theorem 4.1 we have $e(G) = 5k_0 + 5$. Note that it is sufficient to prove that every component of G is isomorphic either to K_5 or to K_6 .

By Proposition 4.3, we have $3 \leq d_G(v) \leq 5$ for every vertex v of G . Since by Proposition 1.2, every edge of G is contained in a K_4 , all the neighbours of a vertex v are in the same component of $G - \{v\}$.

Suppose first that there is a vertex v in G such that $d_G(v) = 5$. Then $G - \{v\}$ is $(K_4, k_0 - 1)$ stable and moreover, since $e(G - v) = 5k_0$, $G - \{v\}$ is minimum $(K_4, k_0 - 1)$ stable. Hence every component of $G - \{v\}$ is either isomorphic to K_5 or to K_6 . If v is connected in G to a K_6 , then the component of G which contains v is a near complete graph, contradicting Proposition 2.1. So v is connected to a K_5 and G is a union of graphs isomorphic to K_5 or K_6 , as desired.

Assume now that no component has a vertex of degree 5. Then, by Proposition 4.4, each component is a 4-regular subgraph.

Let v be any vertex and let $N(v) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ be its neighbourhood. Since v is contained in a K_4 by Proposition 1.2, we can suppose, without restriction of generality, that u_1u_2 , u_1u_3 and u_2u_3 are edges of G . Since vu_4 must be contained in a K_4 by Proposition 1.2, u_4 must be adjacent to at least 2 vertices of N (say, without loss of generality, u_2 and u_3).

case 1: $u_1u_4 \in E(G)$. Then the component containing v is a K_5 .

case 2: $u_1u_4 \notin E(G)$. Let w be a new vertex adjacent to u_1 (this new vertex must exist since the component of v is 4-regular). Then u_1w cannot be contained in a K_4 , a contradiction.

□

5. A characterization of (K_5, k) stable graph with minimum size

In this section we provide the value of $Q(K_5, k)$ for $k \geq 5$, as well as a description of the corresponding minimum stable graphs.

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be a (K_5, k) stable graph containing a component isomorphic to K_p with $p \geq 9$. Then the graph G' obtained from G by deleting two vertices v and v' in this K_p and adding a disjoint K_6 is a (K_5, k) stable graph such that*

- if $p \geq 10$ then $e(G') < e(G)$,
- if $p = 9$ then $e(G') = e(G)$.

Proof. Let A be the set of vertices created by the adjunction of the new K_6 . Let S be a set of vertices with $|S| \leq k$ in G' . If $|S \cap A| \leq 1$, $G - S$ obviously contains a K_5 . If $|S \cap A| \geq 2$ then $S' = S - A + \{v, v'\}$ is a subset of G with at most k vertices. Hence $G - S'$ contains a K_5 which still exists in $G' - S$.

If $p \geq 10$ then at least 17 edges are deleted and 15 edges are created, thus $e(G') < e(G)$. If $p = 9$, 15 edges are deleted while 15 edges are created so $e(G) = e(G')$. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let G be a (K_5, k) stable graph with minimum size. Then G does not contain 2 components isomorphic to a K_p with $5 \leq p \leq 6$.*

Proof. If we have two components (say K and L) isomorphic to a complete graph with 5 vertices then the graph G' obtained from G by deleting these two components and adding a complete graph on 6 vertices is still a (K_5, k) stable graph. Indeed, let S' be any subset of $V(G')$ with $|S'| \leq k$. If $G' - S'$ does not contain any K_5 then S' must

contain at least 2 vertices v and w of the new K_6 . Let $S = S' - \{v, w\} + \{a, b\}$, where $a \in K$ and $b \in L$, then $G - S$ does not contain any K_5 , a contradiction.

When we have a K_5 and a K_6 , we get the same kind of contradiction when replacing these two complete graphs with a K_7 as well as when we have two K_6 s replaced by a K_8 .
 \square

Lemma 5.3. *Let $k \geq 5$ and let G be a (K_5, k) stable graph with minimum size which is the vertex disjoint union of complete graphs. Then each component is a K_7 or a K_8 .*

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, we can consider that each component is a K_p with $5 \leq p \leq 9$. By Lemma 5.2, at most one component is a K_5 or a K_6 . If some component is isomorphic to a K_9 then let us replace this component by a K_6 and a K_7 . By Lemma 5.1 the resulting graph is still a (K_5, k) stable graph with minimum size. It is clear that no component is isomorphic to a K_9 now. Indeed, applying once more the operation described above leads to a (K_5, k) stable graph with minimum size having two K_6 s, a contradiction with Lemma 5.2.

Therefore, we have to consider only the case when G is the vertex disjoint union of complete graphs isomorphic to K_7 or K_8 and at most one K_5 or one K_6 . Replacing a K_5 and a K_7 by one K_8 leads to a (K_5, k) stable graph with a number of edges less than the number of edges of G , a contradiction. Replacing a K_6 and a K_8 by two K_7 leads to a (K_5, k) stable graph with a number of edges less than the number of edges of G , a contradiction.

It remains to consider the case where the components are all isomorphic to a K_7 with the exception of one K_6 or all isomorphic to a K_8 with the exception of one K_5 . When we have at least two K_7 and a K_6 , these three complete graphs can be replaced by two K_8 , the resulting graph is still a (K_5, k) stable graph, but the number of edges is less than the number of edges of G , a contradiction. When we have at least two K_8 and a K_5 , these three complete graphs can be replaced by three K_7 , the resulting graph is still a (K_5, k) stable graph, but the number of edges is less than the number of edges of G , a contradiction.

When G is reduced to a K_8 and a K_5 or to a K_7 and a K_6 , we must have $k \leq 4$, which is impossible.

□

Lemma 5.4. *Let G be a (K_5, k) stable graph with minimum size and maximum degree 6. Assume that some component contains a K_6 . Then either the component is equal to this K_6 or to K_7 .*

Proof. Let $A = \{v_1 \dots v_6\}$ be the set of vertices of the K_6 . If $d(v_i) = 5$ for each vertex in A the proof is complete. Assume that the vertex v_1 has degree 6 and let w be its neighbour outside A . Since $v_1 w$ must be contained in a K_5 by Proposition 1.2, w must be adjacent to 3 other vertices in V (say v_2, v_3 and v_4). In the same way, if v_5 or v_6 has a neighbour outside A , this vertex must be adjacent to 4 vertices of A , which is impossible if this vertex is distinct from w .

Let $w' \notin A$ be a neighbour of w (if any). Since ww' must be contained in a K_5 by Proposition 1.2, w' must have at least 3 neighbours in A , which is impossible. Hence the connected component containing the K_6 contains at most one vertex more (the vertex w). If w is not adjacent to at least one of v_5 or v_6 (say v_5) then this component is a near complete graph (R, N, u) on 7 vertices (with $u = w$, $N = A$ or $N = A + \{v_5\}$, $R = \{v_5, v_6\}$ or $R = \{v_5\}$ respectively), which is impossible by Proposition 2.1. If w is adjacent to v_4 and v_5 , the component containing the K_6 is a K_7 as claimed. □




Figure 2: Forbidden component of a (K_5, k) stable graph with minimum size.

Lemma 5.5. *Let G be a (K_5, k) stable graph with minimum size. Then no component of G is isomorphic to the subgraph depicted in Figure 2.*

Proof. Since $G - \{a\}$ is not a (K_5, k) stable graph, there exists a set S with $|S| \leq k$ which intersects each K_5 in $G - \{a\}$. If S contains one of the vertices in $\{c, d, e\}$, then S intersects each K_5 in G , which is impossible. Since $\{c, d, e, f, g\}$ induces a K_5 , S contains at least one vertex in $\{f, g\}$. When $g \in S$, S intersects each K_5 in G , which is impossible. Assume that $f \in S$ then $S' = S - \{f\} + \{c\}$ intersects each K_5 in G , a contradiction since $|S'| \leq k$. \square

Lemma 5.6. *Let G be a (K_5, k) stable graph with minimum size. Assume that some component contains vertices with degree 5 or 6 only. Then this component is a complete graph with at least 5 vertices.*

Proof. Let H be a connected component containing vertices of degree 5 or 6 only. By Proposition 1.2, every edge is contained in a K_5 . Let $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$ be a set of vertices inducing a K_5 in H .

case 1 : $\exists i \ 1 \leq i \leq 5 \ d_H(u_i) = 6$.

Without loss of generality we may assume that $i = 1$. Let w and w' the two neighbours of u_1 outside U . Since u_1w must be contained in a K_5 and since this K_5 contains 4 neighbours of u_1 , w must be adjacent to at least two vertices in $U - \{u_1\}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $wu_2 \in E(G)$ and $wu_3 \in E(G)$. Let us remark that w is not joined to the two vertices u_4 and u_5 , otherwise, H contains a K_6 and H is thus isomorphic to a complete graph by Lemma 5.4. For the same reason, w' is not joined to all the vertices in U .

subcase 1.1 : If w or w' has no other neighbour in U , say w , we must have $ww' \in E(G)$, $w'u_2 \in E(G)$ and $w'u_3 \in E(G)$. One of u_4 or u_5 , say u_4 , is not adjacent to w' , and there must be a vertex w'' adjacent to u_4 ($d_H(u_4) \geq 5$), but the edge u_4w'' cannot be on any K_5 , which is impossible.

subcase 1.2 : If w has an other neighbour (say u_5) in U . When w' is not adjacent to w , w' must be adjacent to precisely 3 vertices in $\{u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$. If u_4w' is an edge, there must be an edge incident with w' ($d_H(w') \geq 5$), but this edge cannot be contained

in any K_5 , a contradiction. If u_4w' is not an edge, there must be an edge incident with u_4 and this edge cannot be contained in any K_5 , which is impossible. Thus, w and w' are adjacent and there must be 2 vertices in $\{u_2, u_3, u_5\}$ adjacent to w' , say u_2 and u_3 . But now, there is an additionnal edge incident with u_4 and this edge is u_4w' otherwise it is not contained in any K_5 . It is a routine matter to check that there is no additionnal vertex nor edge in H . Hence H is isomorphic to the graph depicted in Figure 2, a contradiction with Lemma 5.5.

case 2 : $\forall i \quad 1 \leq i \leq 5 \quad d_H(u_i) = 5$.

Let w be the last neighbour of u_1 outside U . Since wu_1 must be contained in a K_5 , w must be adjacent to u_2, u_3 and u_4 , without loss of generality. Hence, $wu_5 \notin E(G)$ or H is complete. Since $d_H(u_5) = 5$, let $w' \neq w$ be the last neighbour of u_5 outside U . Then u_5w' is not contained in a K_5 , which is impossible.

□

Lemma 5.7. $Q(K_5, 4) = 36$.

Proof. Since K_9 and $K_6 + K_7$ are $(K_5, 4)$ stable graphs, we certainly have $Q(K_5, 4) \leq 36$.

Assume that some graph G with $e(G) \leq 35$ is a $(K_5, 4)$ stable graph with minimum size. Let v be a vertex with maximum degree. If $d(v) \geq 8$ then $G - v$ is a $(K_5, 3)$ stable graph with at most 27 edges, a contradiction with Proposition 2.7. If $d(v) = 7$ then $G - \{v\}$ is a $(K_5, 3)$ stable graph with at most 28 edges. Hence we must have $e(G - \{v\}) = 28$ and G is a $(K_5, 3)$ stable graph with minimum size. By Proposition 2.7, $G - \{v\}$ is a K_8 and G is a K_9 minus one edge, a contradiction with Proposition 2.1.

We can thus assume that the maximum degree of G is at most 6. If some vertex u has degree 4, let v be one of its neighbours. We know, by Lemma 2.6 that $d(v) = 6$. By deleting v , we get a graph $G - v$ which is a $(K_5, 3)$ stable graph. In that graph, the edges incident with u are not contained in a K_5 since the degree of u is now 3. We can thus delete these edges and we obtain a $(K_5, 3)$ stable graph with at most 27 edges, a contradiction with Proposition 2.7.

Hence every vertex must have degree 5 or 6. By Lemma 5.6, the components of G are complete graphs. It can be easily checked that the only convenient graphs are K_9

and $K_6 + K_7$, a contradiction with $e(G) \leq 35$. □

Lemma 5.8. $K_6 + K_7$ and K_9 are the unique $(K_5, 4)$ stable graph with minimum size.

Proof. By Lemma 5.7, let G be a $(K_5, 4)$ stable graph with 36 edges.

If G has a vertex of degree at least 8 then $G - \{v\}$ is a $(K_5, 4)$ stable graph with at most 28 edges. Hence $G - \{v\}$ must have exactly 28 edges and $d(v) = 8$. Since, by Proposition 2.7 $G - \{v\}$ is a K_8 , G itself is a K_9 .

We can thus assume that the maximum degree of G is at most 7. If some vertex u has degree 4, let v be one of its neighbours. We know, by Lemma 2.2 that $d(v) \geq 6$. By deleting v , we get a graph $G - \{v\}$ which is a $(K_5, 3)$ stable graph. In that graph, the edges incident with u are not contained in a K_5 since the degree of u is now 3. We can thus delete these edges and we obtain a $(K_5, 3)$ stable graph with 27 edges, a contradiction with Proposition 2.7.

Hence the degree of each vertex is 5, 6 or 7.

In the following Claims Q_1 and Q_2 denote any two induced K_5 of G .

Claim 5.8.1. $|V(Q_1) \cap V(Q_2)| \neq 1$.

Proof Assume that $|V(Q_1) \cap V(Q_2)| = 1$ then the vertex in the intersection must have degree at least 8, which is impossible. □

Claim 5.8.2. Assume that Q_1 and Q_2 are vertex disjoint and let $xy \in E(G)$ (if any) such that $x \in V(Q_1)$ and $y \in V(Q_2)$. Then we can find a vertex $x' \in V(Q_1)$ and a vertex $y' \in V(Q_2)$ such that $\{x, x', y, y'\}$ is contained in an induced K_5 of G . Moreover the 5th vertex of this K_5 must be contained in $V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2)$.

Proof

Since G is a minimum $(K_5, 4)$ stable graph, the edge xy must be contained in a K_5 (say Q). By Claim 5.8.1 Q contains at least one vertex more in Q_1 (say x') and one vertex more in Q_2 (say y'). Let a be the 5th vertex of Q and assume that $a \notin V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2)$. $G - \{a\}$ is a $(K_5, 3)$ stable graph but it is not minimum since the edges between $\{x, x'\}$ and $\{y, y'\}$ cannot be contained in a K_5 . By deleting these 4 edges in $G - \{a\}$ we get a

$(K_5, 3)$ stable with 28 edges. By Proposition 2.7, $G - \{a\}$ is isomorphic to K_8 , which is impossible. \square

Claim 5.8.3. $|V(Q_1) \cap V(Q_2)| \neq 2$.

Proof Assume that $V(Q_1) \cap V(Q_2) = \{x, y\}$. Let us remark that these two vertices have degree 7. Let $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ be the sets of remaining vertices of Q_1 and Q_2 respectively.

Assume that some edge is missing between $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ (say $u_1v_1 \notin E(G)$). Then $G_1 = G - \{u_2, v_2, v_3\}$ is a $(K_5, 1)$ stable graph in which the vertices x and y are not contained in any K_5 . Hence $G_2 = G_1 - \{x, y\}$ is a $(K_5, 1)$ stable graph. Since $d_G(v_1) \leq 7$, the degree of v_1 in G_2 is at most 3. Hence v_1 is not contained in any K_5 and $G_3 = G_2 - \{v_1\}$ is $(K_5, 1)$ stable graph.

case 1 : *The edge u_1u_3 is not contained in a K_5 .*

Then $G_4 = G_3 \setminus \{u_1, u_3\}$ is a $(K_5, 1)$ stable graph. By Proposition 2.3, G_4 contains at least 15 edges. Since $V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2)$ contains 19 edges, we need to find two more edges. By Claim 5.8.2 no edge can connect $V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2)$ to G_4 . Whatever is the place of these edges, $G - \{x, y\}$ is a $(K_5, 2)$ stable graph, where no vertex in $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ nor in $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ can be contained in a K_5 . Hence $G - (V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2))$ is a $(K_5, 2)$ stable graph and must contain at least 21 edges by Proposition 2.5. That is G must contain at least 40 edges, a contradiction.

case 2 : *The edge u_1u_3 is contained in a K_5 .*

That means that u_1 and u_3 have 3 neighbours outside $V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2)$. In the same way, we can consider that u_2 has also three such neighbours (take $G_1 = G - \{u_3, v_2, v_3\}$) as well as v_1, v_2 and v_3 by symmetry. Hence G_3 contains the 19 edges of $V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2)$ and 18 edges connecting $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ to the vertices outside, a contradiction.

We can thus suppose that every vertex in $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ is joined to every vertex in $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. That means that $V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2)$ is a connected component of G and induces a K_8 . No connected component distinct from this K_8 can contain a K_5 , which is impossible. \square

Claim 5.8.4. $|V(Q_1) \cap V(Q_2)| \neq 3$ or G is isomorphic to $K_7 + K_6$.

Proof Assume that $|V(Q_1) \cap V(Q_2)| = \{x, y, z\}$. Let $\{u_1, u_2\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2\}$ be the sets of remaining vertices of Q_1 and Q_2 respectively.

Then $G_1 = G - \{x, y, z\}$ is a $(K_5, 1)$ stable graph in which the vertices u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 are not contained in any K_5 by Claims 5.8.1 and 5.8.3. That means that $G_2 = G - (V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2))$ is a $(K_5, 1)$ stable graph. If $w \in V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2)$ is adjacent to some vertex w' in G_2 then a K_5 using that edge forces 4 more edges more between these two subgraphs, a contradiction since G would have at least 37 edges (by Proposition 2.3 G_2 has at least 15 edges).

If some edge is missing between $\{u_1, u_2\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2\}$ (say $u_1v_1 \notin E(G)$), then $G_3 = G - \{u_2, v_2\}$ is a $(K_5, 2)$ stable graph where x, y, z, u_1, v_1 are not contained in any K_5 . The graph G_3 is still $(K_5, 2)$ stable. Hence, by Proposition 2.5 G must have at least 38 edges, a contradiction.

We can thus suppose that $V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2)$ induces a K_7 . The remaining part of G is the $(K_5, 1)$ stable graph G_2 described above. This graph must have exactly 15 edges. Hence, G_2 is isomorphic to K_6 by Proposition 2.3. That means that G is isomorphic to $K_7 + K_6$. \square

Claim 5.8.5. $|V(Q_1) \cap V(Q_2)| \neq 4$ or G is isomorphic to $K_7 + K_6$.

Proof Assume on the contrary that $|V(Q_1) \cap V(Q_2)| = \{x, y, z, t\}$ and G is not isomorphic to $K_7 + K_6$. Let u and v be the remaining vertices of Q_1 and Q_2 respectively.

Let r be a neighbour of u , if any, outside $V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2)$. Let Q_3 be a K_5 containing the edge ur . Then $V(Q_1) \cap V(Q_3)$ contains 4 vertices (Claims 5.8.1 and 5.8.3) but $V(Q_2) \cap V(Q_3)$ contains 3 vertices, a contradiction.

Since $d(u) \geq 5$, we must have $uv \in E(G)$ (and, moreover, $d(u) = d(v) = 5$).

case 1 : *There are neighbours of $\{x, y, z, t\}$ outside $V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2)$.*

Let s be such a neighbour of x . The edge xs being contained in a K_5 , this K_5 must have 4 common vertices with Q_1 and 4 common vertices with Q_2 (Claims 5.8.1, 5.8.3 and 5.8.4). Hence, s must be adjacent to the 4 vertices of $V(Q_1) \cap V(Q_2)$ and $\{x, y, z, t, s\}$ induces a K_5 with 4 common vertices with Q_1 and 4 common vertices with Q_2 . By the

above remark, we have $us \in E(G)$ as well as $vs \in E(G)$ and $V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2)$ induces a K_7 . By deleting 3 vertices of this component, the resulting graph is $(K_5, 1)$ stable with 15 edges, and hence is isomorphic to K_6 .

case 2 : *There are no neighbours of $\{x, y, z, t\}$ outside $V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2)$.*

Hence, $V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2)$ is a connected component of G inducing a K_6 . By deleting 2 vertices in this component, the resulting graph is $(K_5, 2)$ stable. Since the remaining vertices of $V(Q_1) \cup V(Q_2)$ in this graph are not contained in any K_5 , we can delete them and the $(K_5, 2)$ stable graph obtained in this way must have 21 edges exactly. This component is a K_7 by Proposition 2.5, a contradiction. \square

To end our proof, it is sufficient to say that any two induced K_5 of G must be disjoint by Claims 5.8.1, 5.8.3, 5.8.4 and 5.8.5. That means that each component of G is a K_5 , which is impossible since G must have 36 edges. \square

Lemma 5.9. $Q(K_5, 5) = 42$.

Proof. Since $K_7 + K_7$ is a $(K_5, 5)$ stable graphs, we certainly have $Q(K_5, 5) \leq 42$. Let G be a $(K_5, 5)$ stable graph with minimum size and assume that $e(G) \leq 41$. Let us remark that the size of G is certainly greater than $Q(K_5, 4)$.

If G has a vertex of degree at least 6 then $G - v$ is a $(K_5, 4)$ stable graph with at most 35 edges, a contradiction with Lemma 5.7. If G has a vertex of degree 4 then, since the degree of every neighbour is at most 5, we must have, by Lemma 2.2, $Q(K_5, 5) \geq Q(K_5, 4) + 9$, a contradiction.

Hence, every vertex must have degree 5 and by Lemma 5.6, the connected component of G are isomorphic to K_6 . It is easy to see that no such graph can exist. \square

Lemma 5.10. $K_7 + K_7$ is the unique $(K_5, 5)$ stable graph with minimum size.

Proof. By Lemma 5.9, let G be a $(K_5, 5)$ stable graph with 42 edges.

If G has a vertex of degree at least 7 then $G - v$ is a $(K_5, 4)$ stable graph with at most 35 edges, a contradiction with Lemma 5.7.

If G has a vertex u of degree 4, let v be one of its neighbours. By deleting v we get a $(K_5, 4)$ stable graph where the edges incident with the vertex u are not contained in any K_5 since the degree of u in that graph is 3. By deleting these edges we get a $(K_5, 4)$ stable graph with at most 35 edges, a contradiction with Lemma 5.7.

Hence every vertex has degree 5 or 6. By Lemma 5.6, the connected components of G are complete. It is an easy task to see that the only convenient graph G is isomorphic to $K_7 + K_7$, as claimed. \square

Theorem 5.11. *If G is (K_5, k) stable ($k \geq 5$) with minimum size then $|E(G)| = 7k + 7$.*

Proof. We can check that the property holds for $k = 5$ (G is the vertex disjoint union of two K_7 s by Lemma 5.10). Assume that the property holds for any k ($5 \leq k < k_0$) and let us consider a (K_5, k_0) stable graph G with minimum size. Assume that G has at most $7k_0 + 6$ edges and let v be a vertex of maximum degree. Since $G - v$ is a $(K_5, k_0 - 1)$ stable graph, it must have $7k_0$ edges, which means that $d(v) \leq 6$. Moreover, by Proposition 1.2, we certainly have $d(v) \geq 4$.

Let z be a vertex of degree 4 in some component of G . If z has a neighbour v whose degree is 6 then $G - v$ has exactly $7k_0$ edges. Hence $G - v$ is a $(K_5, k_0 - 1)$ stable graph with minimum size. Since the degree of z is 3 in $G - v$, any edge incident with z in $G - v$ is not contained in a K_5 , a contradiction.

If z has a neighbour v whose degree is 5 then $G - v$ has exactly $7k_0 + 1$ edges. $G - v$ is a $(K_5, k_0 - 1)$ stable graph. This graph does not have minimum size since the 3 remaining edges incident with z are not contained in a K_5 . If we delete these 3 edges, we still have a $(K_5, k_0 - 1)$ stable graph, but the number of edges is then $7k_0 - 2$, which is impossible by the induction hypothesis.

Hence the neighbours of z have also degree 4, that means that the component containing a vertex of degree 4 is a 4 regular graph containing a K_5 . That is, this component is a K_5 .

Since each component containing only vertices of degree 5 or 6 are complete by Lemma 5.6, we have thus that all the connected components of G are complete. By Lemma 5.3, each component has 7 vertices or 8 vertices (recall that $k_0 \geq 5$). Assume that we have p components isomorphic to a K_7 and q isomorphic to a K_8 , then $k_0 \leq 3p + 4q - 1$ and G

has $21p + 28q$ edges. If $k_0 = 3p + 4q - 1$, we have $21p + 28q = 7k_0 + 7$, a contradiction. If $k_0 < 3p + 4q - 1$ then deleting one vertex in some component leaves the graph (K_5, k_0) stable, which is impossible. \square

Dudek, Szymański and Zwonek proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5.12. [2] *For every integer $q \geq 5$ there is an integer $k(q)$ such that $Q(K_q, k) = (2q - 3)(k + 1)$ for $k \geq k(q)$.*

Theorem 5.11 proves this conjecture for $q = 5$ with $k(q) = 5$.

Theorem 5.13. *If G is (K_5, k) stable ($k \geq 5$) with minimum size then*

- $|E(G)| = 7k + 7$,
- *each connected component is isomorphic to a complete graph with 7 or 8 vertices,*
- *there are p components isomorphic to K_7 and q components isomorphic to K_8 for any choice of p and q with $3p + 4q = k + 1$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.11, the first claim is true. We can check that the property of the second claim holds for $k = 5$ (G is the vertex disjoint union of two K_7 s). Assume that the property holds for any k ($5 \leq k < k_0$) and let us consider a (K_5, k_0) stable graph G with minimum size.

If G has a vertex v of degree at least 8, then $G - v$ has at most $7k_0 - 1$ edges and cannot be a $(K_5, k_0 - 1)$ stable graph, a contradiction. Thus the maximum degree of G is at most 7.

case 1 : $\exists v \in V(G) \quad d_H(v) = 7$.

In that case, $G - v$ is $(K_5, k_0 - 1)$ stable graph with minimum size. By the induction hypothesis, each connected component of $G - v$ is isomorphic to a complete graph with 7 or 8 vertices. Going back to G by adding the vertex v leads to join v to a whole connected component of $G - v$, otherwise, some edge incident with v cannot be contained in a K_5 , a contradiction with Proposition 1.2. The vertex v cannot be connected to 7 vertices of a K_8 , otherwise we would have a near complete graph, a contradiction. Hence v is joined to the 7 vertices of a K_7 and the connected component of G containing v is a K_8 .

case 2 : If some connected component of G contains vertices of degree 5 or 6 only, then, by Lemma 5.6, this component is a complete graph on at least 7 or 8 vertices (Lemma 5.3), since $k_0 > 5$.

case 3 : If some connected component of G contains a vertex v of degree 4 then, no neighbour w of v may have a degree at least 5. Otherwise, $G - w$ is a $(K_5, k_0 - 1)$ stable graph with at most $7k_0 + 2$ edges. Since the degree of v is 3 in $G - w$, the 3 edges incident with v are not contained in any K_5 . We can thus delete these 3 edges from $G - w$, getting a $(K_5, k_0 - 1)$ stable graph with at most $7k_0 - 1$ edges, which is impossible by Theorem 5.11. Hence this component is 4-regular. That is, this component is reduced to a K_5 , a contradiction with Lemma 5.3 since $k_0 > 5$.

It is now a routine matter to check that the third claim holds. □

Acknowledgement. APW wishes to thank *Laboratoire d'Informatique Fondamentale de l'Université d'Orléans*, where a part of this paper was written, for the invitation and hospitality.

References

- [1] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph theory*, vol. 244, Springer, Series Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 2008.
- [2] A. Dudek, A. Szymański, and M. Zwonek, (H, k) stable graphs with minimum size, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **28** (2008), 137–149.
- [3] A. Dudek and A. Żak, *On vertex stability with regard to complete bipartite subgraphs*, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **30** (2010), 663–669.
- [4] A. Dudek and M. Zwonek, (H, k) stable bipartite graphs with minimum size, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* (2009), 573–581.
- [5] J-L. Fouquet, H. Thuillier, J-M. Vanherpe, and A.P. Wojda, *On (K_q, k) stable graphs with small k* , <http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00560277/PDF/KnkSmallk.pdf>, submitted, 01 2011.
- [6] P. Frankl and G.Y. Katona, *Extremal k -edge hamiltonian hypergraphs*, *Discrete Math.* **308** (2008), 1415–1424.
- [7] I. Horváth and G.Y. Katona, *Extremal P_4 -stable graphs*, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* (2011), Article in Press, Corrected Proof, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2010.11.016>.
- [8] G.Y. Katona and I. Horváth, *Extremal stable graphs*, CTW, 2009, pp. 149–152.