

Development of a fish-based index to assess the ecological quality of transitional waters: The case of French estuaries

C. Delpech, A. Courrat, Stéphanie Pasquaud, Jérémy Lobry, Olivier Le Pape,D. Nicolas, Philippe Boët, Michel Girardin, Mario Lepage

▶ To cite this version:

C. Delpech, A. Courrat, Stéphanie Pasquaud, Jérémy Lobry, Olivier Le Pape, et al.. Development of a fish-based index to assess the ecological quality of transitional waters: The case of French estuaries. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 2010, 60 (6), p. 908 - p. 918. 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.01.001 . hal-00584047

HAL Id: hal-00584047 https://hal.science/hal-00584047v1

Submitted on 7 Apr 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Development of a fish-based index to assess the ecological quality of transitional waters:
2	the case of French estuaries.
3	
4	C. Delpech ^{a,*} , A. Courrat ^a , S. Pasquaud ^a , J. Lobry ^a , O. Le Pape ^b , D. Nicolas ^a , P. Boët ^a , M.
5	Girardin ^a , M. Lepage ^a
6	
7	^a Cemagref (French Institute of Agricultural and Environmental Engineering Research), 50
8	avenue de Verdun, B.P. 3, 33612 Cestas Cedex, France
9	^b Université Européenne de Bretagne, UMR 985 Agrocampus Ouest, Inra « Ecologie & Santé
10	des Ecosystèmes », Ecologie halieutique, Agrocampus Rennes, 65 rue de St Brieuc, CS 84215,
11	35042 Rennes, France
12	
13	* Corresponding author.
14	E-mail address: christine.delpech@cemagref.fr
15	
16	

17 Abstract

18 The Water Framework Directive requires the assessment of the ecological status of 19 transitional waters considering the fish component. An original methodology, based on a 20 pressure-impact approach, was established to develop a multimetric fish-based index to 21 characterize the ecological quality of French estuaries. An index of contamination, based on 22 the chemical pollution affecting aquatic systems, was used as a proxy of anthropogenic 23 pressure. The fish metric selection was based on their response to disturbances tested via 24 statistical models (generalized linear models) taking into account sampling strategy and 25 estuarine features. Four metrics, for which discriminating responses to level of pressure were 26 demonstrated, were retained to constitute the estuarine multimetric fish index. This new tool 27 appeared particularly relevant to detect the contamination effects on fish communities in 28 estuaries. It could help managers to take decisions in order to maintain or reach the good 29 status required by the Water Framework Directive for 2015. 30

31 Keywords: multimetric fish index, anthropogenic disturbances, ecological quality status,

32 estuaries, generalized linear models

33 **1. Introduction**

35	Transitional waters ¹ are highly variable environments. They are influenced by fluctuating
36	marine and freshwater flows, which lead to large and quick variations of their physico-
37	chemical features. By providing many species with basic requirements for their life cycle,
38	these particular areas support a wide range of fauna, from resident to freshwater and marine
39	species. Estuaries are especially essential to fish due to their ecological functions related to
40	refuge area, habitats for reproduction, permanent habitat, nursery grounds and migration
41	routes (Elliott et al., 2007). However, they are impacted by multiple anthropogenic
42	disturbances such as fishing, dredging, pumping and pollution (Blaber et al., 2000; Cloern,
43	2001; Dauvin, 2008).
44	In this context, the assessment of the ecological status of transitional waters is required,
45	especially in the European Union (EU Water Framework Directive; WFD - Directive
46	2000/60/EC; Anonymous, 2000). Fish communities appear to be a relevant biological element
47	to evaluate aquatic ecosystems' health (Karr, 1981; Karr et al., 1986; Elliott et al., 1988;
48	Fausch et al., 1990; USEPA, 2000; Whitfield and Elliott, 2002; Harrison and Whitfield,
49	2004). Several types of indices exist: some are based on only one criterion as the Community
50	Degradation Index (CDI; Ramm, 1988) and the Biological Health Index (BHI; Cooper et al.,
51	1994), while others consist of a combination of several metrics, <i>i.e.</i> the Indices of Biotic
52	Integrity (IBI; Karr, 1981; Roset et al., 2007). A metric is defined as "a measurable factor that
53	represents various aspect of biological assemblage, structure, function, or other community
54	component" (USEPA, 2000; Coates et al., 2007). Thus, multimetric indices are expected to
55	provide information about various aspects of fish assemblages and lead to a more holistic,
56	integrative and functional approach (Roset et al., 2007).

¹ surface waters in the vicinity of river mouths, partly saline in character as a result of their proximity to coastal waters but substantially influenced by freshwater flows (WFD - Directive 2000/60/EC)

58	Based on fish data for 13 estuaries in France, the aim was here to develop a multimetric fish-
59	based index to assess the ecological status of French estuaries, as required by the WFD. Fish
60	metrics were constructed using ecological guilds (Elliott and Dewailly, 1995). Their response
61	to anthropogenic pressures was analysed, taking into account the effects of the sampling
62	design (Mouillot et al., 2006). Then a selection was realised based on the ability of these
63	metrics to discriminate between different levels of pressure. Last, selected metrics were
64	combined to develop a multimetric index of estuarine ecological status (Roset et al., 2007).
65	
66	2. Materials and methods
67	
68	2.1. Fish data
69	
70	Thirteen estuaries along the French Atlantic and English Channel coasts were sampled in
71	2005 and 2006 (Fig. 1) following a standardised sampling protocol (Lepage and Girardin,
72	2006). The fishing events occurred in Spring and Autumn. Two types of beam trawls were
73	used according to the size of estuaries. One had an opening of 3 x 0.5 m and a 20 mm
74	stretched mesh in the codend and was used in large estuaries only; the other had an opening of
75	1.5 x 0.5 m and 16 mm stretched mesh in the codend and was preferred in small estuaries.
76	Trawling was performed during daytime, against the current, for about 15 min at a speed
77	ranging from 1.5 to 3 knots. The number of trawl hauls ranged from 12 to 78 per estuary and
78	per season (Table 1). Hauls were distributed, as far as possible, all along the salinity gradient
79	inside the estuaries but obvious sewage points were avoided when known. All fishes caught
80	were identified, measured and counted. After each fishing event, salinity was recorded. This
81	dataset included 734 trawl hauls.

82

83 2.2. General methodology

84

85 The lack of pristine sites and historical data to establish reference conditions as required by the WFD, led us to adopt a methodology based on statistical modelling (Pont et al., 2006): 86 87 thresholds and reference points were defined using pressure/impact models (DPSIR approach 88 - Borja et al., 2006). A first approach based on such models showed the negative impact of 89 proxies of anthropogenic disturbances on the nursery function of estuaries for marine fish 90 species (Courrat et al., 2009). This work highlighted the need to take into account the 91 variability in fish metrics due to the sampling protocol and some estuarine features, as they 92 represented a great part of the variability in fish data (Nicolas et al., In press). This method 93 appeared particularly appropriate in a context of « Estuarine Quality paradox », *i.e.* when the 94 effects of natural and anthropogenic stress on estuarine biota are difficult to discriminate 95 (Elliott and Quintino, 2007; Dauvin and Ruellet, 2009). Hence, in order to build a multimetric 96 fish based index for French transitional waters, we adopted the following steps (Fig. 2): (1) 97 Indices based on human pollutions and activities were elaborated to describe the 98 anthropogenic disturbances that potentially affect fishes. (2) Candidate fish metrics were 99 selected with regard to literature and previous knowledge. (3) The impact of overall 100 contamination on candidate metrics was tested *via* statistical modelling. The models were 101 realized at the trawl haul sampling scale and took into account the variability due to sampling 102 protocol and environmental features. (4) Metrics whose response to pressure was significant 103 were selected. (5) A methodology was developed to calculate thresholds distinguishing 104 between three levels of contamination. (6) Redundant metrics and metrics with non-105 discriminant thresholds were removed. (7) Scores (1-3-5) were attributed by comparing 106 metric values, calculated from the survey data, to the above-mentioned thresholds according

to spatio-temporal conditions of sampling and estuarine features. (8) These scores were
combined to provide a general assessment of the ecological status of each estuary. (9) A
comparison between levels of pressure and multimetric scores was realized.

111 2.3. Candidate metrics

112

Candidate fish metrics were selected to characterize both fish diversity and the variousecological functions associated with transitional waters. The global diversity, described by the

115 taxonomic richness, *i.e.* the number of species caught, and the total density were considered.

116 A review of existing studies (Claridge et al., 1986; Elliott et al., 1990; Pomfret et al., 1991;

117 Elliott and Dewailly, 1995; Deegan et al., 1997; Marshall and Elliott, 1998; Cabral et al.,

118 2001; Thiel and Potter, 2001; Lobry et al., 2003; Thiel et al., 2003; Breine et al., 2004; Coates

et al., 2004; Harrison and Whitfield, 2004; Maes et al., 2005; Breine et al., 2007; Elliott and

120 Quintino, 2007) led us to focus on a guild approach to characterize the functional aspects of

121 transitional waters for fish. Root (1967) defined a guild as a group of species that exploit the

same class of environmental resources in a similar way. We used an extended definition to

123 include a description of the way species use transitional waters, as well as their feeding mode

124 and their vertical distribution in the water column (Elliott and Dewailly, 1995; Lobry et al.,

125 2003; Franco et al., 2006; Elliott et al., 2007; Table 2). All fish species present in the dataset

126 were classified for each type of guild. Number of species and density were selected as

127 potential metrics and calculated for each guild. In the aim of assessing estuaries' ecological

128 status, the retained metrics were supposed to have significant trends with increasing

129 degradation (Breine et al., 2004; Breine et al., 2007). Thus, for each metric, the expected

130 response with increasing degradations was defined according to literature and expert's

- 131 judgements and only the metrics known to negatively respond to increasing anthropogenic
- 132 pressures were retained (Table 3).
- 133
- 134 2.4. Modelling for the fish metrics

- 136 All metrics were modelled at the trawl haul scale (one trawl haul = one line in the dataset) to
- take into account the metrics' variability due to sampling protocol (Courrat et al., 2009).
- 138 Each fish metric was modelled with Generalized Linear Models (GLMs) using R software (R
- 139 Development Core Team, 2005).
- 140 Models options depended on data distribution for the different metrics. For species richness
- 141 (SR), global and by guilds, the GLMs were based on a Poisson law:
- 142 $Log(SR) \approx constant + variable_1 + variable_2 + ... + variable_n$
- 143 where variable[1,2,.,n] represents the introduced descriptors that can be either continuous
- 144 covariates or class factors.
- 145 The metrics expressed in density were composed of 0 inflated data, which made inappropriate
- 146 the use of linear models. Thus, a delta type model that consisted in a combination of two
- 147 models was used (Stefansson, 1996). The first was a binomial model on the presence-absence
- 148 data $(D_{0/1})$.
- 149 $Logit(D_{0/1}) \approx constant + variable_1 + variable_2 + ... + variable_n$
- 150 The second model tested the positive densities (D_+) which were log-transformed using a
- 151 Gaussian law (Le Pape et al., 2003; Nicolas et al., 2007; Courrat et al., 2009):
- 152 $Log(D_+) \approx constant + variable_1 + variable_2 + ... + variable_n$
- 153
- 154 2.5. Variables included in the models for the description of the sampling protocol and some
- 155 *estuarine features*

156

157 Courrat et al. (2009) highlighted the importance of descriptors of the sampling protocol and 158 estuarine features to explain the variability of the number of species and the abundances of 159 marine juvenile migrants in French estuaries. The present work is based on an extrapolation 160 of these results to other metrics. The models estimated species richness and fish densities in 161 different guilds at the sampling scale; while taking into account some factors describing 162 protocol variability and environmental/hydromorphologic features, they analysed the effects 163 of anthropogenic pressures on these metrics.

164

165 2.5.1. Variables for the description of the effect of the sampling protocol on fish metrics

166 Fish assemblages in transitional waters change according to seasons (Elliott et al., 1990;

167 Araùjo et al., 1998; Thiel et al., 2003; Koutrakis et al., 2005; Franco et al., 2006; Lobry et al.,

168 2006), hence the effect of sampling season (spring or autumn) was tested as a class factor.

169 Transitional waters are characterized by a strong salinity gradient, known to have a significant

170 effect on fish assemblages (Thiel et al., 1995; Marshall and Elliott, 1998; Lobry et al., 2006;

171 Franco et al., 2008b). Salinity was tested within models as a class factor. Salinity class

boundaries were adapted from the Venice system (1958): oligohaline class ([0-5]),

173 mesohaline class (]5-18]) and polyhaline class (>18).

174

175 2.5.2. Variable for the description of the effect of environmental and hydromorphologic

176 *features at a larger scale on fish metrics*

177 As French coasts of Atlantic and English Channel sheltered different fish assemblages

(Coates et al., 2004; Franco et al., 2008a), an ecoregion factor was also taken into account inthe models.

180 Estuarine size has been pointed out as a significant predictor of taxonomic richness (Monaco 181 et al., 1992; Elliott and Dewailly, 1995; Roy et al., 2001; Nicolas et al., In press). Thus, the 182 estuarine size was included in the models, as a class factor distinguishing the large (>100 183 km²) from the small estuaries. As, the large estuaries were sampled with the large beam trawl 184 and the small estuaries with the small one (except for the Loire estuary which was sampled 185 with the small gear in its oligonaline area), estuarine size and type of beam trawl were 186 strongly correlated, which induced redundancy in the models. One of these two variables has 187 to be removed. Hence, the variable "size of estuary", replaced the variable "type of beam 188 trawl" used by Courrat et al. (2009) as, in the context of the WFD requirements, it appears 189 more consistent to define thresholds varying according to water bodies' physical 190 characteristics. 191 192 2.6. Indices of anthropogenic pressures 193 194 An index of contamination was used as a proxy for human disturbances impacting estuaries. 195 We used data on the chemical contamination collected by the French monitoring network of 196 the marine environment (RNO; www.ifremer.fr/envlit). All 13 estuarine areas considered in 197 this study correspond to sites investigated by this network. Concentrations of five heavy 198 metals (Cd, Zn, Cu, Hg and Pb) and two organic pollutants (polychlorobiphenyle and

199 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons) in two mollusc species (mussels *Mytilus edulis* and oysters

200 *Crassostrea gigas*) were standardized (Beliaeff et al., 1998; Gilliers et al., 2006). For each

site, median values were computed over 6 years: from 2000 to 2005. Medians were preferred

- to means because they are more robust with regard to outliers (Beliaeff et al., 1998). A
- 203 normed Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was computed to synthesize the number of
- 204 variables (contaminants, in column) used to describe the overall chemical contamination of

205	the sites (individuals, in row). This PCA allowed to compute two distinct indices of
206	contamination (Courrat et al., 2009): the first axis was strongly influenced by the metals
207	whereas the second axis was related to the organic pollutants. Hence, an index of heavy metal
208	pollution and an index of organic pollution were derived from the factorial scores of the
209	estuaries along each axis. Finally, the index of overall contamination (used in models as a
210	proxy of the cumulative effects of human disturbances in estuaries) was obtained by summing
211	the scaled values (factorial scores) of the first two indices (Fig. 3).
212	
213	2.7. Statistical analyses
214	
215	The models, including effects of protocol (salinity class, season), estuarine features
216	(ecoregion, estuarine size) and anthropogenic pressure proxy, can be written as follows:
217	$Metric \approx factor(season) + factor(salinity) + factor(size) + factor(ecoregion) +$
218	covariate(contamination index)
219	
220	"Correlating the results of a metric to the stressor gradient is a central part of the procedure"
221	(Hering et al., 2006). We calculated the statistical significance of each descriptor and pressure
222	index effect at the level of 5% in GLMs (Chi-squared test). The non-significant descriptors
223	were removed from the models. The nature (positive or negative) of the impact of each
224	variable and of the pressure index on the fish metrics was determined from the sign of the
225	corresponding coefficient(s). A graphical analysis of the residuals was carried out for each
226	GLM to verify underlying hypotheses.
227	
221	

The checkout of initial hypotheses concerning the expected trends of the metrics with
increasing degradation is an essential step to build multimetric indices (Roset et al., 2007). A
metric (density or species richness, global or by guild) was retained only when the initial
hypothesis of its trend with increasing anthropogenic disturbances was confirmed by models.
A second selection consisted in testing the correlations (Pearson correlation test) between
each pair of metrics. When two metrics were strongly correlated, only one was kept to avoid
redundancies.

238 2.9. Test of discriminance in response to pressure and thresholds calculation

239

240 Thresholds were established using fitted values from the GLMs and corresponding confidence 241 intervals. For each selected metric, a model was constructed with a combination of the 242 significant variables among season, salinity class, estuarine size and ecoregion. Then fitted 243 values of these models were calculated for 3 levels of anthropogenic pressure. In the studied 244 estuaries, the highest value of the overall contamination index was found in the Seine estuary 245 and the lowest in the Mont Saint Michel Bay (Fig. 3); these values were used to respectively 246 simulate high and low pressure. Then the mean of these two extreme values was used to 247 characterize an intermediate level of pressure. 248 To define confidence intervals, we simulated 5000 virtual observations for the different 249 models (glm Poisson) and submodels (glm Binomial and glm Gaussian), with means equal to 250 the corresponding predicted values and standard deviation equal to corresponding predicted

standard errors. For metrics of densities, the 5000 simulated probabilities of presence and the

252 5000 simulated log-densities were then multiplied to produce 5000 simulated densities.

Finally, for each metric, the 10% and 90% quantiles of the 5000 simulated densities or

number of species were used as confidence intervals.

255 Thresholds were defined by considering the gap between the confidence intervals around the 256 predicted value obtained for the 3 simulated levels of pressure. The thresholds were expressed 257 as numbers of species for the metrics related to species richness and as log-densities for the 258 metrics of total density or density per guild. Hence, for each metric discriminating between 259 the three levels of pressure, two thresholds distinguishing three quality classes were 260 calculated according to the protocol and environmental conditions (Fig. 4a). However, when 261 the confidence intervals overlapped for most of the combinations of natural variables, we 262 considered that the risk of uncertainty was too high and we decided not to maintain the metric into the multimetric index (Fig. 4c); when confidence intervals only overlapped under certain 263 264 conditions, the metric was kept (Fig. 4b). 265 266 2.10. Scoring

267

268 For each metric, the value calculated from the dataset was compared to the thresholds defined 269 previously to estimate scores (Roset et al., 2007). A score was attributed only if the number of 270 hauls realised for the corresponding season and salinity class was considered as sufficient to 271 provide a reliable representation of the fish metric. Some preliminary analyses (unpublished 272 data) based on bootstrapping on a pool of virtual trawl hauls generated by the models were 273 made in order to determine what is this "sufficient" number of trawl hauls. Though these 274 analyses have still to be improved, results tend to show that with 6 trawl hauls, it is possible to 275 get an assessment of fish densities with an acceptable error, which means that this error does 276 not lead to a misclassification of the salinity class ecological status. Here it was thus assumed 277 that 6 trawl hauls per salinity class and per season may allow to assess accurately the 278 ecological status of a salinity class.

A score equal to (Fig. 4):

- 1 was attributed if the metric value was situated below the prediction realized for the
- 281 maximum of pressure *i.e.* the worst class of quality,
- 3 was attributed if the metric value was situated between the predictions for the 2 levels of
- 283 pressure *i.e.* the class of moderate quality,
- 5 was attributed if the metric value was situated above the prediction for the minimum of
- 285 pressure *i.e.* the best class of quality.
- 286 When the 10% and 90% quantiles of two consecutive levels of pressure overlapped, a score of
- 287 2 or 4 was attributed for the metric values situated into the uncertainty area (Fig. 4b).
- 288 The scores obtained for each season and each salinity class were added and divided by the
- 289 maximal potential score (5 x number of summed scores) to get one value for each metric and
- 290 each estuary. These values per metric were then averaged to get the final value of the
- 291 multimetric index for each estuary.
- 292 Finally, a linear regression was performed to test the relevance of the final multimetric fish
- 293 index regarding the increasing index of contamination.
- 294
- 295 **3. Results**

296

297 3.1. Metric selection

298

Freshwater and fish feeder species were absent in more than 90% of the trawl hauls, so modelling for them was irrelevant. The models testing the effect of the pollution index are described in Table 4. The descriptors of the protocol and the environmental features were significant for most of the metrics and they partly explained their variability. 11 metrics showed significant trends with increasing pressure, 10 being negative (Table 4) thus identical

304	to the expected effect of pressure (Table 3). Hence, these 10 metrics were retained in this first
305	step of the analysis to potentially be included in the final multimetric fish index.
306	The study of the correlations between metrics revealed that benthic species and benthic feeder
307	species were strongly correlated. The Pearson correlation coefficient reached 94% between
308	the number of species of these two guilds and 100% for the densities. Hence, to avoid
309	redundancy in the final fish index, only metrics concerning benthic species, easier to identify,
310	were selected to compose the final index and benthic feeder metrics were not retained.
311	
312	3.2. Discriminance of metrics with regard to different levels of anthropogenic disturbances
313	
314	The 10% and 90% quantiles of two consecutive levels of pressure overlapped for all the
315	metrics expressed in number of species (Table 4). Thus, these metrics were considered as
316	irrelevant for assessing the ecological status of French estuaries with the present approach.
317	The quantiles also overlapped for the densities of diadromous and marine juveniles in the
318	small Atlantic estuaries. Nevertheless, these metrics were maintained into the multimetric
319	index, as the uncertainty area was limited to specific conditions.
320	Finally, four metrics were retained: total density (TD), density of diadromous migrant species
321	(DDIA), density of marine juvenile migrants (DMJ) and density of benthic species (DB)
322	(Table 4). For each of them, thresholds between different levels of contamination were
323	calculated for the different combination of factors (season, salinity class, estuarine size,
324	ecoregion).
325	
326	3.3. Multimetric index values

328	According to comparisons between in situ data and thresholds (Fig. 4), scores were attributed
329	for each fish metric in each combination of factor describing sampling protocol and estuarine
330	features; then these scores were combined in a multimetric index value for each estuary. For
331	the 13 Atlantic and English Channel estuaries, the multimetric fish index values ranged from
332	0.26 in the Gironde estuary to 0.95 in the Mont St Michel Bay (Fig. 5). A linear regression
333	showed a significant negative relationship (r ² =0.35, p.value<0.05) between this estuarine
334	multimetric fish index and the index of contamination (Fig. 6).
335	
336	4. Discussion
337	

338 This paper presents the development of a fish-based index for defining the ecological status of 339 transitional waters using a pressure/impact approach. The methodology is in agreement with 340 the processes recommended by Hering et al. (2006) and Stoddard et al. (2008) for the creation 341 of multimetric indices. Despite the 'estuarine quality paradox', *i.e.* the fact that features of 342 anthropogenic stress coincide with those of natural stress in estuaries (Elliott and Quintino, 343 2007), we showed significant relationships between anthropogenic disturbances and various 344 aspects of fish assemblages. Thresholds were identified for metrics presenting significant 345 trends with increasing pressure and a system of scoring was elaborated to qualify estuaries' 346 quality by combining the selected fish metrics.

347

348 4.1. Pressure index

349

The anthropogenic pressure index used - *i.e.* index of contamination - focuses on only one type of anthropogenic pressures affecting estuaries. It was difficult to obtain homogenous data on the different types of anthropogenic pressures that can be found for each of the 13 studied

353 estuaries. The data used for this work were the most precise and homogenous data that 354 corresponded to the present fish sampling period. It would be fruitful to improve this pressure 355 index especially considering hydromorphological modifications like polderisation and loss of 356 intertidal mudflat leading to loss of habitat. In the present study, the index of contamination 357 was used as proxy for the overall anthropogenic disturbances impacting these estuaries 358 (Courrat et al., 2009). Aubry and Elliott (2006) qualified these indicators of disturbance in 359 their contribution to the development of the Environmental Integrative Indicators, as 360 "potential", because (i) the process of the biological response to an increase of this measure of 361 pressure was not demonstrated here, even if they are known from previous approaches 362 (Courrat et al., 2009) (ii) the measure of pressure did not take into account the whole 363 anthropogenic disturbances. 364 365 4.2. A general method to test the effect of anthropogenic pressure with regard to other 366 sources of variability 367 368 The degree of spatial and temporal variability in transitional waters is high (McLusky, 1981) 369 and previous studies emphasised the need to take into account the effects of sampling protocol 370 and estuarine features on fish metrics (Whitfield and Elliott, 2002; Mouillot et al., 2006; 371 Roset et al., 2007; Courrat et al., 2009). The present study confirmed that fish metrics highly

depend on sampling and that it is necessary to consider these metrics at the sampling site scale

in estuaries' status assessment to take into account the patterns of natural variations. On the

373

374 contrary, designing fish metrics at the scale of the estuary would restrict the analysis to the

375 number of sampled systems (here: 13) and would lead to use very simple models, few

- 376 synthetic descriptors and spurious approach to take into account differences in sampling
- 377 protocol. In conclusion, the effects of the sampling design cannot be summarized at the scale

378 of estuary, making the use of fish metrics at this scale for testing anthropogenic disturbance 379 effects irrelevant (Courrat et al., 2009). This conclusion is essential to assess ecological 380 quality, as a non-accurate description of the natural variability can hamper the sensitivity of 381 fish indices to human disturbance (Roset et al., 2007). Furthermore, working at the sampling 382 scale will allow for testing the effects of other descriptors linked to intra-estuarine variability. 383 Moreover, in the aim of monitoring estuarine quality, this approach could allow to estimate 384 different ecological status in different part of a system, at least along an upstream -385 downstream salinity gradient.

386

387 A large part of the metric variability was not explained by the models used in this study (from 388 65 to 82%). The addition of more environmental variables likely to influence estuarine fish 389 assemblages can improve the interpretation of the metric variability. Especially, further 390 descriptors of habitat richness and diversity, in addition to the single description of estuarine 391 size, could improve models accuracy. However, this would complicate the calculation of 392 thresholds and the scoring process by increasing the number of combinations of factors 393 describing estuarine features to account for in the statistical predictions. Furthermore, the 394 WFD requires a limited number of thresholds. An exhaustive list of all the environmental 395 factors affecting fishes can not be included in models. The present approach was a balance 396 between available exhaustive descriptors, models parsimony and limited complexity in 397 threshold calculation. In spite of a large residual variability, this approach provides a simple 398 and robust method to estimate fish metric levels with regard to estuaries' quality.

399

400 4.3. Selected metrics, ecosystem functioning and ecological status

402 Among the 16 candidate metrics, 10 were found to respond significantly to anthropogenic 403 pressure in the expected direction. Species richness and fish densities are usually considered 404 as good indicators for ecological status of estuaries (Hughes et al., 1982). Most of the selected 405 metrics describe the structure of fish assemblages using the concept of guilds. The guild 406 approach has been recognized to be a good scheme to describe and explain transitional 407 waters' community structure and estuarine functionalities (Deegan et al., 1997; 2004; Coates 408 et al., 2004; Harrison and Whitfield, 2004; Breine et al., 2007; Elliott et al., 2007; Franco et 409 al., 2008a; Franco et al., 2008b). Moreover, in such inter-site studies, it allows to overcome 410 the differences in fish assemblages through geographical gradients and to obtain robust 411 indices (Mouillot et al., 2006). 412 413 Metrics of species richness 414 Species richness usually decreases with a decrease of the habitat quality (Hughes et al., 1982; 415 Gibson, 1994). Here, fish species richness appeared to significantly decrease when 416 anthropogenic pressure is enhanced. This has been verified on the global species richness and 417 also per guild. Thus, anthropogenic disturbances appeared to have significant negative effects 418 on almost all metrics of species richness. 419 420 Metrics of fish density 421 The metric of total density was selected for the multimetric fish index. The total density 422 appeared to be a common surrogate for system productivity and a good element to evaluate 423 ecosystem health (Karr, 1981; Deegan et al., 1997). Moreover, metrics of global abundances

424 satisfy the WFD (Anonymous, 2000) which asks to monitor composition and abundance for

425 fish in transitional waters.

426 Several metrics related to density per guilds were also retained:

427 - density of diadromous migrant species. Diadromous migrants use transitional waters as 428 migration routes (Deegan et al., 1997; Roth et al., 1998; Oberdorff et al., 2002; Levrel, 2007) 429 and are highly sensitive to many sources of pollution and degradation, particularly to 430 migration barriers, which make this metric an important indicator of connectivity (McDowall 431 and Taylor, 2000; Elliott and Hemingway, 2002). 432 - density of marine juvenile migrants. Many studies have demonstrated the negative effect of 433 anthropogenic degradations on marine juveniles and the nursery function of the estuaries 434 (Gibson, 1994; Deegan et al., 1997; Gilliers et al., 2006; Breine et al., 2007; Le Pape et al., 435 2007) and Courrat et al. (2009) focused on this guild with the same data to develop the 436 present approach. - density of benthic and benthivorous fish. Benthic species are particularly sensitive to 437 438 siltation and oxygen deficiency (Oberdorff and Porcher, 1994; Kestemont et al., 2000) and 439 are considered as early-warning indicators of anthropogenic disturbance (Hughes et al., 1998). 440 This metric appeared particularly interesting to assess the degree of perturbation of benthic 441 habitats and has already been used in other multimetric index (Borja et al., 2004; Breine et al., 442 2004; Coates et al., 2004; Harrison and Whitfield, 2004; Uriarte and Borja, 2009). Benthic 443 feeders were shown to respond negatively to anthropogenic pressure but their redundancy 444 with benthic species led to the removal of this metric from the final index. Even if trophic 445 guild metrics should be interesting tools to characterize ecological function of estuaries 446 (Elliott and Dewailly, 1995) as they provide an insight into the community structure (Hughes 447 et al., 1982), none was retained in the final index. 448

The aim of the present approach was to analyse the response of fish metrics, considered as
relevant to estimate the ecological status of estuaries, to potential indicators of disturbance
(Aubry and Elliott, 2006) based on chemical contamination (Courrat et al., 2009). It

452 highlighted the negative impact of a proxy of anthropogenic pressures on different metrics 453 representing ecological and functional integrity of estuaries. Even if, for some metrics, the 454 effect was not significant (and even opposite to expected for positive densities of marine 455 seasonal species), the methodology developed by Courrat et al. (2009) for the marine 456 juveniles appears efficient to test the effects of anthropogenic pressures on other fish metrics 457 and can be extrapolated to other components of the fish structure. 458

- 459 *4.4. The multimetric fish index*
- 460

461 4.4.1. Thresholds

462 Two thresholds, separating 3 classes, have been calculated from 3 levels of anthropogenic 463 disturbance. The lower and the upper levels are associated with the best and the worst status 464 observed among the sampled sites. This could correspond to good and poor status referring to 465 the WFD terminology. No site corresponds to pristine site (high status) or to heavily disturbed 466 site (bad status). Extrapolations from model's predictions below or up to the observed level of 467 contamination could allow to assess metric values in reference situation, as it has already been 468 realized with the method of quintiles or the Maximum Value Lines (Oberdorff and Hughes, 469 1992; Oberdorff et al., 2001; Harrison and Whitfield, 2004; Breine et al., 2007; Coates et al., 470 2007).

Among the 10 metrics presenting a significant response in the expected direction, four were
finally selected for the estuarine multimetric index. All these metrics are expressed in density.
Although metrics expressed in number of species decreased significantly with anthropogenic
pressure, developing thresholds was not realistic because of overlapping quality class
intervals. This is the consequence of the low number of species caught per sample: it is
difficult to discriminate between quality statuses if the expected number of species per sample

477 in each guild is low. This is called by Hering et al. (2006) "the numerically unsuitable

478 metrics". Further approaches would have to be tested in the future to include species richness

479 in the indicators (Nicolas et al., In press).

480 Nevertheless, our approach reached reliable estimates and was able to distinguish different

- 481 levels of disturbances (Quataert et al., 2007). This method implies a large enough sampling
- 482 survey, well distributed on the whole transitional area. Such standardization is essential to

483 provide consistency in the assessment based on fish indices (Roset et al., 2007).

484

485 *4.4.2. Combining metrics in a composite index*

486 The multimetric fish index provided relatively accurate classification of the estuaries. Indeed,

487 the Gironde and Seine estuaries obtained the lowest values concerning fish aspect and were

488 also the most perturbed in agreement with our proxy based on chemical contamination but

489 also with expert knowledge. Conversely, the Mont Saint Michel Bay and the Charente

490 estuary, where the perturbation index is low, presented high scores for the multimetric fish

491 index. A significant negative correlation was found between the level of contamination and

the fish index. However, the explained percentage of variability was low and the regression

493 was strongly influenced the 2 large polluted estuaries, Gironde and Seine. This low

494 correlation was not surprising for several reasons:

- The residual variability of the metrics not explained by the models was important, thus the
related multimetric estimate of ecological status is associated with uncertainty.

497 - Contamination data for the sampled sites were chosen as descriptors of the anthropogenic

498 pressures as they were available everywhere, directly correlated to the level of human

- 499 pressure and appeared as good proxies for it. However, other human disturbances (habitat
- 500 loss, anoxia, etc.; Peterson, 2003) are not taken into account with such proxy and the single
- 501 effect of contamination did not quantify the whole anthropogenic pressure. To assess the

impact of anthropogenic disturbances on ecological status of estuaries, one of the main challenges is to determine relevant descriptors of these disturbances. While such a measure does not exist, the strength and accuracy of the link between index of ecological status and anthropogenic pressure can not be estimated and discrepancies in scoring pressure relations are difficult to analyse. Nevertheless, the proposed methodology allowed to build a fish index able to detect the impacts of the human activities on fishes and to evaluate the quality status of the fish communities in transitional waters.

509

510 To our knowledge, it is the first time that different scores are attributed according to the 511 season and the salinity class in an estuarine fish-based index. These scores were added and the 512 method employed to combine the metrics consisted in simple averaging. Systems to weight 513 metrics exist (e.g. Kestemont et al., 2000; Aubry and Elliott, 2006) but the choice of non-514 weighing the metrics is the most commonly adopted and appears quite legitimate as the 515 diagnostic supplied by the different metrics appeared of the same interest and not redundant. 516 The present selection of non-redundant metrics reduces their number in the final index and 517 validate this scoring approach. Another method consists in organizing the fish metrics 518 according to the best balance between type I (falsely declaring the status of a site as disturbed 519 while it is not) and type II (falsely declaring a disturbed site as undisturbed) error and 520 stopping the addition of supplementary metrics in the index when the AUC criterion (Area 521 Under the error Curve) is the lowest (Breine et al., 2007). Such method appeared very 522 interesting to limit misclassification errors but the low number of selected metrics in this work 523 prevents from using such complex selection approach. 524 Indeed, the number of selected metrics in this study seemed low compared with other 525 multimetric fish indices and future works to consider additional metrics will be helpful to

1

526 improve the assessment of ecological status in estuaries. Further alternatives can be used to

527 provide complementary metrics. Metric calculation at the salinity class scale instead of for 528 each sample should provide interesting prospects. This approach could be fruitful to integrate 529 new metrics, and especially those based on species richness. First it will allow to reach higher 530 level of estimation for this family of metrics (global or by guilds), as the number of species 531 has been demonstrated to increase log-linearly with the number of samples (Krebs, 1999; 532 Nicolas et al., In press). Indeed, the species richness is higher when samples are pooled than 533 for a single one. This will probably allow to obtain significant thresholds as difference 534 between class (in number of species) will increase with the estimate average. Nevertheless, it 535 would be based on pooled data thus on fewer degrees of freedom and that would increase 536 problems to include natural contrasts and sampling strategy in the models. Hence, further 537 thorough work has to be done in this direction (Nicolas et al., In press) to improve the present 538 index. 539 540 Acknowledgments 541 This study was partly financed by the French national scientific program Liteau II, directed by 542 the French Ministry of Ecology, Energy, Sustainable Development and Sea. We would like to

thank all the partners involved in the collect of survey data used in this study, notably Rachid

544 Amara (Université du littoral Cote d'Opale, France). We also thank the French Water

545 Agencies and Didier Pont (Cemagref, France) for his collaboration. The authors wish to thank

546 the anonymous reviewer for providing useful comments on the paper.

547

548 **References**

- 550 Anonymous, 2000. Establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water
- 551 policy. Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October
- 552 2000. Official Journal of European Community L327, 1–72.
- 553 Araùjo, F.G., Bailey, R.G., Williams, W.P., 1998. Seasonal and between-year variations of
- fish populations in the middle Thames estuary: 1980-1989. Fisheries Management and
- 555 Ecology 5, 1-21.
- 556 Aubry, A., Elliott, M., 2006. The use of environmental integrative indicators to assess seabed
- 557 disturbance in estuaries and coasts: Application to the Humber Estuary, UK. Marine Pollution
- 558 Bulletin 53, 175-185.
- 559 Beliaeff, B., O'Connor, T.P., Claisse, D., 1998. Comparison of chemical concentrations in
- 560 mussels and oysters from the United States and France. Environmental Monitoring and
- 561 Assessment 49, 87-95.
- 562 Blaber, S.J.M., Cyrus, D.P., Albaret, J.J., Ching, C.V., Day, J.W., Elliott, M., Fonseca, M.S.,
- 563 Hoss, D.E., Orensanz, J., Potter, I.C., Silvert, W., 2000. Effects of fishing on the structure and
- 564 functioning of estuarine and nearshore ecosystems. ICES Journal of Marine Science 57, 590-
- 565 602.
- 566 Borja, Á., Franco, J., Valencia, V., Bald, J., Muxika, I., Belzunce, M.J., Solaun, O., 2004.
- 567 Implementation of the European water framework directive from the Basque country
- 568 (northern Spain): a methodological approach. Marine Pollution Bulletin 48, 209-218.
- 569 Borja, A., Galparsoro, I., Solaun, O., Muxika, I., Tello, E.M., Uriarte, A., Valencia, V., 2006.
- 570 The European Water Framework Directive and the DPSIR, a methodological approach to
- 571 assess the risk of failing to achieve good ecological status. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf
- 572 Science 66, 84-96.

- 573 Breine, J.J., Maes, J., Quataert, P., Van den Bergh, E., Simoens, I., Van Thuyne, G., Belpaire,
- 574 C., 2007. A fish-based assessment tool for the ecological quality of the brackish Schelde
- 575 estuary in Flanders (Belgium). Hydrobiologia 575, 141.
- 576 Breine, J.J., Simoens, I., Goethals, P., Quataert, P., Ercken, D., Van Liefferinghe, C.,
- 577 Belpaire, C., 2004. A fish-based index of biotic integrity for upstream brooks in Flanders
- 578 (Belgium). Hydrobiologia 522, 133-148.
- 579 Cabral, H.N., Costa, M.J., Salgado, J.P., 2001. Does the Tagus estuary fish community reflect
- 580 environmental changes? Climate Research 18, 119-126.
- 581 Claridge, P.N., Potter, I.C., Hardisty, M.W., 1986. Seasonal changes in movements,
- abundance, size composition and diversity of the fish fauna of the Severn estuary. Journal of
- the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 66, 229-258.
- 584 Cloern, J.E., 2001. Our evolving conceptual model of the coastal eutrophication problem.
- 585 Marine Ecology Progress Series 210, 223-253.
- 586 Coates, S., Waugh, A., Anwar, A., Robson, M., 2007. Efficacy of a multi-metric fish index as
- an analysis tool for the transitional fish component of the Water Framework Directive. Marine
- 588 Pollution Bulletin 55, 225-240.
- 589 Coates, S.A., Colclough, S.R., Robson, M.A., Harrison, T.D., 2004. Development of an
- 590 estuarine classification scheme for the Water Framework Directive. Phases 1 & 2 -
- 591 Transitional fish component. R&D Technical Report E1-131/TR. Bristol: Environment
- 592 Agency, 55 pp.
- 593 Cooper, J.A.G., Ramm, A.E.L., Harrison, T.D., 1994. The estuarine health index: A new
- approach to scientific information transfer. Ocean and Coastal Management 25, 103-141.
- 595 Courrat, A., Lobry, J., Nicolas, D., Laffargue, P., Amara, R., Lepage, M., Girardin, M., Le
- 596 Pape, O., 2009. Anthropogenic disturbance on nursery function of estuarine areas for marine
- 597 species. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 81, 179-190.

- 598 Dauvin, J.C., 2008. The main characteristics, problems, and prospects for Western European
- 599 coastal seas. Marine Pollution Bulletin 57, 22-40.
- 600 Dauvin, J.C., Ruellet, T., 2009. The estuarine quality paradox: Is it possible to define an
- 601 ecological quality status for specific modified and naturally stressed estuarine ecosystems?
- 602 Marine Pollution Bulletin 59, 38-47.
- 603 Deegan, L.A., Finn, J.T., Ayvazian, S.G., Ryder-Kieffer, C.A., Buonaccorsi, J., 1997.
- 604 Development and validation of an estuarine biotic integrity index. Estuaries 20, 601-617.
- 605 Elliott, M., Dewailly, F., 1995. The structure and components of European estuarine fish
- assemblages. Netherlands Journal of Aquatic Ecology 29, 397-417.
- 607 Elliott, M., Griffiths, A.H., Taylor, C.J.L., 1988. The role of fish studies in estuarine pollution
- assessment. Journal of Fish Biology 33 (SUPPL. 1), 51-61.
- 609 Elliott, M., Hemingway, K.L., 2002. Fishes in Estuaries. Blackwell Science, London, 636 pp.
- 610 Elliott, M., O'Reilly, M.G., Taylor, C.J.L., 1990. The Forth estuary: a nursery and
- 611 overwintering area for North Sea fishes. Hydrobiologia 195, 89-103.
- 612 Elliott, M., Quintino, V., 2007. The Estuarine Quality Paradox, Environmental Homeostasis
- and the difficulty of detecting anthropogenic stress in naturally stressed areas. Marine
- 614 Pollution Bulletin 54, 640-645.
- 615 Elliott, M., Whitfield, A.K., Potter, I.C., Blaber, S.J.M., Cyrus, D.P., Nordlie, F.G., Harrison,
- 616 T.D., 2007. The guild approach to categorizing estuarine fish assemblages: A global review.
- 617 Fish and Fisheries 8, 241-268.
- 618 Fausch, K.D., Lyons, J., Karr, J.R., Angermeier, P.L., 1990. Fish communities as indicators of
- 619 environmental degradation. American Fisheries Society Symposium 8, 123-144.
- 620 Franco, A., Elliott, M., Franzoi, P., Torricelli, P., 2008a. Life strategies of fishes in European
- 621 estuaries: The functional guild approach. Marine Ecology Progress Series 354, 219-228.

- 622 Franco, A., Franzoi, P., Malavasi, S., Riccato, F., Torricelli, P., 2006. Fish assemblages in
- 623 different shallow water habitats of the Venice Lagoon. Hydrobiologia 555, 159-174.
- 624 Franco, A., Franzoi, P., Torricelli, P., 2008b. Structure and functioning of Mediterranean
- 625 lagoon fish assemblages: A key for the identification of water body types. Estuarine, Coastal
- 626 and Shelf Science 79, 549-558.
- 627 Gibson, R.N., 1994. Impact of habitat quality and quantity on the recruitment of juvenile
- 628 flatfishes. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research 32, 191-206.
- 629 Gilliers, C., Le Pape, O., Désaunay, Y., Morin, J., Guérault, D., Amara, R., 2006. Are growth
- and density quantitative indicators of essential fish habitat quality? An application to the
- 631 common sole Solea solea nursery grounds. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 69, 96-106.
- Harrison, T.D., Whitfield, A.K., 2004. A multi-metric fish index to assess the environmental
- 633 condition of estuaries. Journal of Fish Biology 65, 683-710.
- Hering, D., Feld, C.K., Moog, O., Ofenböck, T., 2006. Cook book for the development of a
- 635 Multimetric Index for biological condition of aquatic ecosystems: Experiences from the
- European AQEM and STAR projects and related initiatives. Hydrobiologia 566, 311-324.
- 637 Hughes, R.M., Gakstatter, J.H., Shirazi, M.A., Omernik, J.M., 1982. An approach for
- 638 determining biological integrity in flowing waters. In: Brann, T.B., House, L.O.IV, Lund,
- 639 H.G. (Eds), Place Resource Inventories: Principles and Practices. Society of American
- 640 Foresters, Bethesda, MD, pp. 877-888.
- 641 Hughes, R.M., Kaufmann, P.R., Herlihy, A.T., Kincaid, T.M., Reynolds, L., Larsen, D.P.,
- 642 1998. A process for developing and evaluating indices of fish assemblage integrity. Canadian
- 543 Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 55, 1618-1631.
- 644 Karr, J.R., 1981. Assessment of biotic integrity using fish communities. Fisheries 6, 21-27.

- 645 Karr, J.R., Fausch, K.D., Angermeier, P.L., Yant, P.R., Schlosser, J.J., 1986. Assessing
- biological integrity in running waters: A method and its rationale. Special Publication 5,
- 647 Illinois Natural History Survey.
- 648 Kestemont, P., Didier, J., Depiereux, E., Micha, J.C., 2000. Selecting ichthyological metrics
- to assess river basin ecological quality. Archiv Für Hydrobiologie 121, 321-348.
- 650 Koutrakis, E.T., Tsikliras, A.C., Sinis, A.I., 2005. Temporal variability of the ichthyofauna in
- a Northern Aegean coastal lagoon (Greece). Influence of environmental factors.
- 652 Hydrobiologia 543, 245-257.
- 653 Krebs, C.J., 1999. Ecological Methodology. Addison-Wesley Educational Publishers,
- 654 California, USA, 654 pp.
- Le Pape, O., Chauvet, F., Mahévas, S., Lazure, P., Guérault, D., Désaunay, Y., 2003.
- 656 Quantitative description of habitat suitability for the juvenile common sole (Solea solea, L.) in
- the Bay of Biscay (France) and the contribution of different habitats to the adult population.
- 558 Journal of Sea Research 50, 139-149.
- Le Pape, O., Gilliers, C., Riou, P., Morin, J., Amara, R., Désaunay, Y., 2007. Convergent
- signs of degradation in both the capacity and the quality of an essential fish habitat: State of
- the Seine estuary (France) flatfish nurseries. Hydrobiologia 588, 225-229.
- 662 Lepage, M., Girardin, M., 2006. Inventaire Poisson dans les eaux de transition. Protocole
- d'échantillonnage de la façade Atlantique et Manche. Report for the French Ministry in
- 664 charge of the Environment, Cemagref, Bordeaux, France, 32 pp.
- 665 Levrel, H., 2007. Selecting indicators for the management of biodiversity, Cahier de l'IFB,
- 666 Institut Français de la Biodiversité, Paris, France, 93 pp.
- 667 Lobry, J., Lepage, M., Rochard, E., 2006. From seasonal patterns to a reference situation in an
- 668 estuarine environment: Example of the small fish and shrimp fauna of the Gironde estuary
- 669 (SW France). Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 70, 239-250.

- 670 Lobry, J., Mourand, L., Rochard, E., Elie, P., 2003. Structure of the Gironde estuarine fish
- assemblages: a European estuaries comparison perspective. Aquatic Living Resources 16, 47-58.
- 673 Maes, J., Stevens, M., Ollevier, F., 2005. The composition and community structure of the
- 674 ichthyofauna of the upper Scheldt estuary: Synthesis of a 10-year data collection (1991-2001).
- 675 Journal of Applied Ichthyology 21, 86-93.
- 676 Marshall, S., Elliott, M., 1998. Environmental influences on the fish assemblage of the
- Humber estuary, U.K. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 46, 175-184.
- 678 McDowall, R.M., Taylor, M.J., 2000. Environmental indicators of habitat quality in a
- 679 migratory freshwater fish fauna. Environmental Management 25, 357-374.
- 680 McLusky, D.S., 1981. The Estuarine Ecosystem, Blackie, Glasgow, 150 pp.
- 681 Monaco, M.E., Lowery, T.A., Emmett, R.L., 1992. Assemblages of US west coast estuaries
- based on the distribution of fishes. Journal of Biogeography 19, 251-267.
- Mouillot, D., Spatharis, S., Reizopoulou, S., Laugier, T., Sabetta, L., Basset, A., Chi, T.D.,
- 684 2006. Alternatives to taxonomic-based approaches to assess changes in transitional water
- 685 communities. Aquatic Conservation-Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 16, 469-482.
- Nicolas, D., Le Loc'h, F., Désaunay, Y., Hamon, D., Blanchet, A., Le Pape, O., 2007.
- 687 Relationships between benthic macrofauna and habitat suitability for juvenile common sole
- 688 (Solea solea, L.) in the Vilaine estuary (Bay of Biscay, France) nursery ground. Estuarine,
- 689 Coastal and Shelf Science 73, 639-650.
- 690 Nicolas, D., Lobry, J., Lepage, M., Sautour, B., Le Pape, O., Cabral, H., Uriarte, A., Boët, P.,
- 691 In press. Fish under influence: a macroecological analysis of relations between fish species
- 692 richness and environmental gradients among European tidal estuaries. Estuarine, Coastal and
- 693 Shelf Science (2009), doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2009.11.006.

- 694 Oberdorff, T., Hughes, R.M., 1992. Modification of an Index of Biotic Integrity based on fish
- assemblages to characterize rivers of the Seine Basin, France. Hydrobiologia 228, 117-130.
- 696 Oberdorff, T., Pont, D., Hugueny, B., Chessel, D., 2001. A probabilistic model characterizing
- 697 fish assemblages of French rivers: A framework for environmental assessment. Freshwater
- 698 Biology 46, 399-415.
- 699 Oberdorff, T., Pont, D., Hugueny, B., Porcher, J.P., 2002. Development and validation of a
- fish-based index for the assessment of 'river health' in France. Freshwater Biology 47, 1720-1734.
- 702 Oberdorff, T., Porcher, J.P., 1994. An index of biotic integrity to assess biological impacts of
- salmonid farm effluents on receiving waters. Aquaculture 119, 219-235.
- 704 Peterson, M.S., 2003. A conceptual view of environment-habitat-production linkages in tidal
- river estuaries. Reviews in Fisheries Science 11, 291-313.
- Pomfret, J.R., Elliott, M., O'Reilly, M.G., Phillips, S., 1991. Spatial and temporal patterns in
- the fish communities in two UK North Sea estuaries. In: Elliott, M., Ducrotoy, J.P. (Eds),
- 708 Estuaries and coasts : spatial and temporal intercomparisons. Olsen and Olsen, Fredensborg,
- 709 Denmark, pp. 277-284.
- 710 Pont, D., Hugueny, B., Beier, U., Goffaux, D., Melcher, A., Noble, R., Rogers, C., Roset, N.,
- 711 Schmutz, S., 2006. Assessing river biotic condition at a continental scale: A European
- approach using functional metrics and fish assemblages. Journal of Applied Ecology 43, 70-
- 713 80.
- 714 Quataert, P., Breine, J., Simoens, I., 2007. Evaluation of the European Fish Index: False-
- positive and false-negative error rate to detect disturbance and consistency with alternative
- 716 fish indices. Fisheries Management and Ecology 14, 465-472.

- 717 R Development Core Team, 2005. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- 718 R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, ISBN 3-900051-07-0. URL:
- 719 http://www.R-project.org.
- Ramm, A.E., 1988. The community degradation index: A new method for assessing the
- deterioration of aquatic habitats. Water Research 22, 293-301.
- Root, R.B., 1967. The niche exploitation pattern of the blue-gray gnatcatcher. Ecological
- 723 Monographs 37, 317-350.
- Roset, N., Grenouillet, G., Goffaux, D., Pont, D., Kestemont, P., 2007. A review of existing
- fish assemblage indicators and methodologies. Fisheries Management and Ecology 14, 393-
- 726 405.
- Roth, N., Southerland, M., Chaillou, J., Klauda, R., Kazyak, P., Stranko, S., Weisberg, S.,
- Hall Jr, L., Morgan Ii, R., 1998. Maryland biological stream survey: Development of a fish
- index of biotic integrity. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 51, 89-106.
- Roy, P.S., Williams, R.J., Jones, A.R., Yassini, I., Gibbs, P.J., Coates, B., West, R.J., Scanes,
- P.R., Hudson, J.P., Nichol, S., 2001. Structure and function of south-east Australian estuaries.
- T32 Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 53, 351-384.
- 733 Stefansson, G., 1996. Analysis of groundfish survey abundance data: Combining the GLM
- and delta approaches. ICES Journal of Marine Science 53, 577-588.
- 735 Stoddard, J.L., Herlihy, A.T., Peck, D.V., Hughes, R.M., Whittier, T.R., Tarquinio, E., 2008.
- 736 A process for creating multimetric indices for large-scale aquatic surveys. Journal of the
- 737 North American Benthological Society 27, 878-891.
- Thiel, R., Cabral, H., Costa, M.J., 2003. Composition, temporal changes and ecological guild
- classification of the ichthyofaunas of large European estuaries A comparison between the
- Tagus (Portugal) and the Elbe (Germany). Journal of Applied Ichthyology 19, 330-342.

- 741 Thiel, R., Potter, I.C., 2001. The ichthyofaunal composition of the Elbe Estuary: An analysis
- in space and time. Marine Biology 138, 603-616.
- 743 Thiel, R., Sepulveda, A., Kafemann, R., Nellen, W., 1995. Environmental-Factors as Forces
- 744 Structuring the Fish Community of the Elbe Estuary. Journal of Fish Biology 46, 47-69.
- 745 Uriarte, A., Borja, A., 2009. Assessing fish quality status in transitional waters, within the
- 746 European Water Framework Directive: Setting boundary classes and responding to
- anthropogenic pressures. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 82, 214-224.
- 748 USEPA, 2000. Estuarine and coastal marine waters: bioassessment and biocriteria technical
- 749 guidance. US Environmental Protection Agency Report.
- 750 Whitfield, A.K., Elliott, A., 2002. Fishes as indicators of environmental and ecological
- changes within estuaries: a review of progress and some suggestions for the future. Journal of

752 Fish Biology 61, 229-250.

753

754

755

757 Figure captions

- Fig. 1. Location of the 13 French estuaries considered in the present study.
- Fig. 2. General methodology for testing the impacts of anthropogenic disturbances on
- 760 transitional water functions from fish metrics and building a multimetric fish index (adapted
- 761 from Courrat et al. (2009)).
- Fig. 3. Indices of heavy metals, organic and overall contaminations for the 13 studied
- 763 estuaries classified according to an ascending level of overall contamination. Arrows indicate
- the levels of pressure used for prediction from fish metrics' models.
- Fig. 4. Test of discriminance of the effect of anthropogenic pressures on predicted values of
- fish metrics, with associated thresholds (horizontal lines) and scores. Example for a metric
- respective to the term of term of
- 768 for the scoring when quantiles slightly overlapped. c: strong overlapping leading to reject the
- 769 metric. Circles represent the metric values calculated for high (black). intermediate (grey) and
- 170 low (white) values of the anthropogenic pressure index (fitted values from the models).
- 771 Triangles correspond to 10 and 90% quantiles.
- Fig. 5. Values of the multimetric fish index calculated for each of the 13 Atlantic and EnglishChannel estuaries.
- Fig. 6. Relationship between the multimetric fish index and the index of contamination for the
- 775 13 studied estuaries.
- 776

Table 1.

Number of trawl hauls performed in each estuary according to seasons and salinity gradient.

	Spring				Autumn			
	oligohaline	mesohaline	polyhaline	Σ	oligohaline	mesohaline	polyhaline	Σ
Adour	10	6	3	19	16	5	8	29
Authie	3		12	15	4	1	10	15
Bidassoa		3	9	12		1	21	22
Canche	3	3	9	15	3	1	13	17
Charente	4	5	8	17	11		11	22
Gironde	14	41	23	78	2	37	34	73
Loire	7	10	11	28	22	10	13	45
Mont Saint								
Michel Bay	6		22	28	8		25	33
Orne	7	2	8	17	7	1	10	18
Seine	11	2	24	37	10	6	27	43
Seudre			17	17			23	23
Somme	2	6	18	26	1	4	22	27
Veys Bay	2	2	25	29		3	26	29

Table 2.

List of the ecological, trophic and vertical distribution guilds (adapted from Elliott and

Dewailly (1995)).

Criteria	Guilds	Definition					
	DIA: Diadromous migrant species	Species that use transitional waters to pass between salt and fresh waters for spawning and feeding					
	ER: Estuarine resident species	Species that spend their entire life in the transitional waters					
Ecology	FW: Freshwater species	Freshwater species that occasionally come into transitional waters but not dependent of these systems					
	MA: Marine adventitious visitors	Species that appear irregularly in the transitional waters but have no apparent transitional water requirements					
	MJ: Marine juvenile migrant	Species that use the transitional waters					
	species	primarily as a nursery ground					
	MS: Marine seasonal	Species that have regular seasonal visits to the					
	We Harking range	Species that feed by graning on meaneology or					
	v: Herbivorous	other macrophytes					
	Z: Zooplankton predators	Species that feed exclusively or mainly on zooplankton					
Trophic	IB: Benthic invertebrate predators	Species that feed exclusively or mainly on benthic invertebrate					
	IS: Suprabenthic invertebrate	Species that feed exclusively or mainly on suprabenthic invertebrate					
	F: Fish feeders	Species that feed exclusively or mainly on fish					
	O: Omnivorous	Species that feed on all the available resources that can be consumed					
	P: Pelagic species	Species that live in the main water column					
Vertical distribution	D: Demersal fishes	Species that live in the water layer just above the bottom					
	B: Benthic species	Species that live on or in the substratum					

Table 3.

Candidate metrics and their expected response with increasing degradations defined according

to expert judgment. (-) Decreasing.

Candidate metrics	Abbreviations	Expected response
		face to increasing
		degradation
Diversity and abundance descriptors		
1. Species richness	SR	(-)
2. Total density	TD	(-)
Functionality descriptors		
Ecological guilds		
3. Number of diadromous migrant species	NSDIA	(-)
4. Density of diadromous migrant species	DDIA	(-)
5. Number of freshwater species	NSFW	(-)
6. Density of freshwater species	DFW	(-)
7. Number of marine juvenile migrant species	NSMJ	(-)
8. Density of marine juvenile migrant species	DMJ	(-)
9. Number of marine seasonal migrant	NSMS	(-)
10. Density of marine seasonal migrant	DMS	(-)
Trophic guilds		
11. Number of benthic invertebrate feeder species	NSIB	(-)
12. Density of benthic invertebrate feeder species	DIB	(-)
13. Number of fish feeder species	NSF	(-)
14. Density of fish feeder species	DF	(-)
Vertical distribution guilds		
15. Number of benthic species	NSB	(-)
16. Density of benthic species	DB	(-)

Table 4.

Summary of the models used for each candidate metrics. *, **, *** the factors or pressure effects are significant at respectively the 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 levels. The metric significantly decreased (-), increased (+) or showed no significant trend (NS) with increasing pressure. (4.6): the percentage of the variability explained. Resid. df: Residual degree of freedom. Comp.: Comparison between expert judgements and models: =: the pressure effect is the same; \neq : is different. Corr.: Pairs of metrics (a1-a2) strongly correlated. Disc. thres.: the calculated thresholds were discriminant (Y) or not (N).

Candidate Model metrics		Protocol and environmental descriptors			Pressure effect	Resid. Comp. df		Corr.	Disc. thres.	Selected metrics	
		Season	Salinity	Surface	Ecoregion						
Diversity a	and abundanc	ce descript	ors								
SR	Poisson		*** (4.6)	*** (12.5)	*** (5.6)	-*** (2.5)	728	=		Ν	
TD	log-normal			*** (9.0)	*** (9.3)	-*** (5.6)	688	=		v	v
	Binomial			*** (3.9)	*** (4.9)	NS	730			1	Λ
Functiona	lity descripto	rs									
Ecologica	l guilds										
NSDIA	Poisson	** (1.1)	*** (7.7)	*** (11.3)	*** (8.3)	-*** (2.7)	727	=		Ν	
DDIA	log-normal		*** (6.2)	*** (6.5)	*** (12.0)	-*** (9.8)	375	=		v	v
	Binomial	*** (1.5)	*** (6.4)	*** (7.1)	*** (8.9)	NS	727			1	Λ
NSMJ	Poisson		*** (13.9)	*** (5.0)	*** (8.2)	-*** (3.9)	728	=		Ν	
DMJ	log-normal	* (0.8)		*** (6.3)	*** (4.2)	-*** (7.1)	436	=		v	v
	Binomial		*** (9.6)	*** (1.5)	*** (5.3)	-*** (3.7)	728			I	Λ
NSMS	Poisson		*** (10.2)	*** (5.6)	*** (2.7)	NS	728				
DMS	log-normal	*** (2.4)	* (1.2)	*** (36.1)		+***(1.5)	311	¥			
	Binomial	** (1)	*** (9.3)	*** (6.2)	* (0.5)	NS	727				
Trophic g	uilds										
NSIB	Poisson		* (0.9)	** (1.0)	*** (6.7)	-*** (2.1)	728	=	a1		
DIB	log-normal		*** (2.0)	*** (12.4)	*** (6.7)	-*** (6.1)	625	=	b1		
	Binomial	* (0.7)			* (0.8)	NS	730				
Vertical d	istribution gui	ilds									
NSB	Poisson		*** (5.3)	*** (2.4)	*** (4.3)	-*** (1.6)	728	=	a2	Ν	
DB	log-normal		*** (2.2)	*** (12.1)	*** (6.7)	-*** (6.1)	621	=	b2	v	V
	Binomial		* (1.3)	. ,	* (1.2)	NS	729			r	Λ



Figure2 Click here to download high resolution image







Figure5 Click here to download high resolution image



Figure6 Click here to download high resolution image

