

Correlation between the VITEK2 system and cefoxitin disk diffusion for the daily detection of oxacillin resistance in a large number of clinical isolates

P. Bemer, M. E. Juvin, G. Gargasson, H. Drugeon, A. Reynaud, S. Corvec

▶ To cite this version:

P. Bemer, M. E. Juvin, G. Gargasson, H. Drugeon, A. Reynaud, et al.. Correlation between the VITEK2 system and cefoxitin disk diffusion for the daily detection of oxacillin resistance in a large number of clinical isolates. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, 2010, 29 (6), pp.745-747. 10.1007/s10096-010-0920-4. hal-00583575

HAL Id: hal-00583575 https://hal.science/hal-00583575

Submitted on 6 Apr 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Editorial Manager(tm) for European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious

Diseases

Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: EJCMID-D-09-00494R1

Title: Correlation between VITEK2 system and cefoxitin disk diffusion for the daily detection of oxacillin resistance in a large number of clinical Staphylococcus aureus isolates.

Article Type: Brief Report

Keywords: detection; mecA; oxacillin; cefoxitin; resistance; VITEK2 system

Corresponding Author: Dr pascale bemer, M.D.

Corresponding Author's Institution:

First Author: pascale bemer, M.D.

Order of Authors: pascale bemer, M.D.; marie-emmanuelle juvin; guislaine le gargasson; henri drugeon; alain reynaud; stéphane corvec

Abstract: The aim of the present study was to compare the performance of the new VITEK2 AST-P551 card with the cefoxitin disk diffusion method for the daily detection of methicillin resistance with a high number of Staphylococcus aureus clinical isolates. Detection of the PBP2a protein or mecA gene was performed for each discordant case. Seventy (3.3%) isolates out of 2107 clinical strains showed discordant results, 2 very major errors, 4 major errors, and 64 minor errors. Fifty-nine (84%) discordant results were resolved with a final overall agreement of 99.5%. Eleven strains (0.5%) remained discordant (mE). Four of 370 MRSA strains were misclassified as susceptible in daily practice by the cefoxitin disk diffusion method. All these strains were resistant to aminoglycosides and/or fluoroquinolones. VITEK2 system is highly reliable for methicillin resistance detection at the routine level. Oxacillin susceptible classified clinical strains with associated resistances pattern required attention.

Click here to download Authors' Response to Reviewers' Comments: Authors Response to Reviewers Comments.doc

Ref.: Ms. No. EJCMID-D-09-00494 Correlation between VITEK2 system and cefoxitin disk diffusion for the daily detection of oxacillin resistance in a large number of clinical Staphylococcus aureus isolates. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases 1/Response to Editor-in-Chief I revised my manuscript as a brief report, as required. 2/Response to Reviewers 1' comments: - the sensitivity-specificity-NPV-PPV were removed from the revised manuscript as the results were similar to the other studies. - the Tables 1 and 2 were kept with three typewritten pages in length, as recommended. - Our simple core message was underlined at the end of discussion. 3/Response to Reviewer 2' comments: - We tried to make a clear comparison between both systems. - The end of discussion underlined the importance of aminoglycosides and

/or fluoroquinolones associated resistances.

EJCMID-D-09-00494

1 2 3	2	
4 5 6 7 8	4	
9 10 11 12 13	6	
14 15		Correlation between VITEK2 system and cefoxitin disk diffusion
16 17 18	8	for the daily detection of oxacillin resistance
19 20		in a large number of clinical Staphylococcus aureus isolates.
21 22 23	10	P. Bemer, ME Juvin, G. Le Gargasson, H. Drugeon, A. Reynaud
24 25 26 27 28 29		and S. Corvec .
	12	CHU de Nantes, Service de Bactériologie-Hygiène, Nantes, France
29 30 31		
32 33 34	14	
35 36	16	
37 38 39	16	Key-words: detection, mecA, oxacillin, cefoxitin, resistance, VITEK2 system
40 41 42	18	
43 44		
45 46 47	20	Corresponding author: P. Bemer,
48 49		Department of Microbiology, CHU Hôtel-Dieu,
50 51 52	22	9, quai Moncousu, 44093 Nantes cedex 01, France
53 54 55		Email: pascale.bemer@chu-nantes.fr
55 56 57	24	Phone: 33 2 40 08 39 79
58 59 60		Fax: 33 2 40 08 38 29
61 62		
63 64		1

Abstract. The aim of the present study was to compare the performance of the new VITEK2 AST-P551 card with the cefoxitin disk diffusion method for the daily detection of methicillin resistance with a high number of Staphylococcus aureus clinical isolates. Detection of the PBP2a protein or mecA gene was performed for each discordant case. Seventy (3.3%) isolates out of 2107 clinical strains showed discordant results, 2 very major errors, 4 major errors, and 64 minor errors. Fifty-nine (84%) discordant results were resolved with a final overall agreement of 99.5%. Eleven strains (0.5%) remained discordant (mE). Four of 370 MRSA strains were misclassified as susceptible in daily practice by the cefoxitin disk diffusion method. All these strains were resistant to aminoglycosides and/or fluoroquinolones. VITEK2 system is highly reliable for methicillin resistance detection at the routine level. Oxacillin susceptible classified clinical strains with associated resistances pattern required attention.

The detection of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) is still a challenge as some heteroresistant isolates may be classified as oxacillin susceptible based on phenotypic methods. In recent years, the cefoxitin disk diffusion method was recommended by many National Committees and the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [1]. The automated VITEK2 system (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) provides S. aureus susceptibility testing categorisation within about 8 hours. In the previous published studies comparing several tests for MRSA detection, only a limited number of strains (less than 500) were included. The purpose of this study was to compare the performance of the new VITEK2 system AST-P551 card with the cefoxitin disk diffusion method for the daily detection of SARM with a high number of S. aureus clinical isolates.

A total of 2,107 non-duplicate clinical S. aureus isolates were routinely collected at Nantes University Hospital. All of the isolates were identified to the species level by standard biochemical methods or with the VITEK2 system. VITEK2 AST-P551 cards were inoculated according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cefoxitin disk diffusion method was performed with an inoculum of approximately 10⁶ CFU/mL on Mueller-Hinton agar plates, according to the Antibiogram Committee of the French Microbiology Society (CA-SFM) recommendations [7]. Zone diameters were interpreted according to CA-SFM [7]. In the VITEK2 system, MIC interpretative standards for oxacillin followed the recommendations of CLSI and CA-SFM [1, 7]. Susceptible or resistant results by both methods were considered as concordant. Interpretative category errors were assessed: (i) very major error (VME, susceptible with the VITEK2 system, but resistant by diffusion); (ii) major error (ME, resistant with the VITEK2 system, but susceptible by diffusion); (iii) minor error (mE, susceptible or resistant by one system and intermediate results by the other system). For each discordant strain, the VITEK2 AST-P551 card and the cefoxitin disk diffusion test

were repeated and detection of mecA gene by PCR (8) and/or penicillin-binding protein 2a (PBP2a) (Mast Diagnostics, Merseyside, U.K.) was performed, with mecA PCR as the gold standard. Overall agreement based on previous category agreement was 96.7%. (Table 1). Seventy (3.3 %) S. aureus isolates yielded discordant results: 2 VME (0.1%), 4 ME (0.2%), and 64 mE (3.0%). Forty-four isolates susceptible by VITEK2 and 20 isolates resistant by VITEK2 showed cefoxitin inhibition zone diameters of 25 and 26 mm (intermediate breakpoints). Of the preliminary 70 discordances, 59 (84%) were resolved, giving final overall agreement of 99.5% (Table 2). Eleven MRSA strains (0.5%) remained discordant (mE). All these MRSA harboured associated resistance to fluoroquinolones and/or aminoglycosides and/or macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin. The cefoxitin inhibition zone diameter was 2 mm larger or smaller than the CA-SFM recommended criteria for 467 concordant strains (401 MSSA and 66 MRSA).

Since 2001, the detection of oxacillin resistance by the cefoxitin disk diffusion method was evaluated using both CLSI [1, 5, 9] and non-CLSI recommendations [3, 10]. The standard conditions differed by the use of media (MH agar, Iso-Sensitest agar), a different inoculum size (semi-confluent with 10⁶ CFU/mL or confluent with 10⁸ CFU/mL), and several incubation temperatures. Felten et al. reported the excellent performance of cefoxitin 30 µg disk on MHA with semi-confluent and confluent inocula [3]. Among 152 S. aureus strains, one MRSA isolate and one MSSA isolate gave a 26-mm cefoxitin inhibition zone diameter [3]. Thus, the cefoxitin disk diffusion method using a semi-confluent inoculum on MHA was recommended by CA-SFM [7]. Each strain with a cefoxitin zone diameter of 25 or 26 mm should be examined by a mecA-specific test [7]. In our daily practice, the gap between the measured inhibition zone diameters and the breakpoints was very narrow for 467 (22%) concordant strains. The 3% of intermediate cefoxitin diffusion results mostly

resolved in concordant MSSA or MRSA strains after repeated tests underlined the difficulty to perform a well-standardized inoculum. Moreover, the existence of an intermediate zone underscore the need for complementary mecA specific tests [7].

When the detection of methicillin resistance was only based on oxacillin MIC determination, VITEK2 was shown to be superior to ATB Staph and Rapid ATB 11 104 Staph with 4.7%, 11% and 23% false-susceptible results respectively [2]. From the years 2000s, an oxacillin screen test comparable to the oxacillin agar screen test was 16 106 introduced in the VITEK2 system [3, 5]. Swenson et al. reported a good sensitivity (91.1%) but a lack of specificity (75%) [5]. New VITEK2 antimicrobial susceptibility 21 108 testing cards were successively developed with the replacement of oxacillin by cefoxitin in the screen test. The VITEK2 cefoxitin screen test allowed to detect more 26 110 MRSA strains, as shown by the very high sensitivities obtained in two studies with a higher number of tested MRSA strains as before (97.5% and 98.8% respectively [4, ₃₁ 112 6].

Our study is one of the most large-scale ones including S. aureus clinical 36¹¹⁴ strains. The results showed the excellent concordance between VITEK2 and cefoxitin disk diffusion methods, as seen with the very low percentage of discordant results in the daily practice. It will be a real advantage for the VITEK2 system users to detect S. aureus oxacillin resistance by the automated system alone in the daily practice. Nevertheless, according to our results, this choice may be dangerous as some MRSA strains were misclassified as susceptible by the VITEK2 system or by the disk 50 120 diffusion method. These MRSA strains were resistant to aminoglycosides and/or fluoroquinolones. In any case, the microbiologists should be aware of MRSA strains 55 122 misclassified as oxacillin susceptible with associated resistances and should perform *mecA*-specific tests at the slightest doubt.

2

	126		
1 2			References
3 4 5	128	1.	Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute/NCCLS. 2007. Performance
5 6 7			Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 17th informational supplement
	130		M100-S17. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
10 11 12		2.	Barbier-Frebourg, N., D. Nouet, L. Lemee, E. Martin, and J. F. Lemeland.
13 14	132		1998. Comparison of ATB Staph, Rapid ATB Staph, Vitek, and E-Test Methods
15 16 17			for Detection of Oxacillin Heteroresistance in Staphylococci Possessing mecA. J.
18 19	134		Clin. Microbiol. 36 :52-57.
20 21 22		3.	Felten, A., B. Grandry, P. H. Lagrange, and I. Casin. 2002. Evaluation of Three
23 24	136		Techniques for Detection of Low-Level Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus
25 26 27			aureus (MRSA): a Disk Diffusion Method with Cefoxitin and Moxalactam, the
27 28 29	138		VITEK2 system, and the MRSA-Screen Latex Agglutination Test. J. Clin.
30 31			Microbiol. 40 :2766-2771.
32 33 34	140	4.	Roisin S., C. Nonhoff, O. Denis, and M. Struelens. 2008. Evaluation of new
35 36			Vitek 2 card and disk diffusion method for determining susceptibility of
37 38 39	142		Staphylococcus aureus to oxacillin. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46:2525-8.
40 41		5.	Swenson, J. M., D. Lonsway, S. McAllister, A. Thompson, L. Jevitt, W. Zhu,
42 43 44	144		and J. B. Patel. 2007. Detection of mecA-mediated resistance using reference
45 46			and commercial testing methods in a collection of Staphylococcus aureus
47 48 49	146		expressing borderline oxacillin MICs. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 58:33-39.
49 50 51		6.	Torres, E., S. Perez, R. Villanueva, G. Bou. 2008. Evaluation of the Vitek 2
52 53	148		AST-P559 Card for Detection of Oxacillin Resistance in Staphylococcus aureus.
54 55 56			J. Clin. Microbiol. 46 :4114-4115.
57 58	150	7.	Communiqué de l'Antibiogramme de la Société Française de Microbiologie.
59 60 61 62			2005. Bull. Soc. Fr. Microbiol. http://www.sfm.asso.fr.

G. W. Coombs, J. W. Pearman, F. C. Tenover, M. Kapi, C. Tiensasitorn, T. Ito, and K. Hiramatsu. 2002. Dissemination of New Methicillin-Resistant 4 154 Staphylococcus aureus Clones in the Community. J. Clin. Microbiol. November 9 156 : 4289-4294. 9. Pottumarthy, S., T. R. Fritsche, and R. Jones. 2005. Evaluation of alternative 14 158 disk diffusion methods for detecting mecA-mediated oxacillin resistance in an international collection of Staphylococci: validation report from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 51:57-62. 10. Skov, R., R. Smyth, M. Clausen, A. R. Larsen, N. Frimodt-Moller, B. Olsson-²³ 162 Liliequit and G. Kahlmeter. 2003. Evaluation of a 30 µg disc on Iso-Sensitest agar for detection of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. J Antimicrob. ²⁸ 164 Chemother. 52:204-207. 33 166

8. Okuma, K., K. Iwakawa, J. D. Turnidge, W. B. Grubb, J. M. Bell, F. G. O'Brien,

2 ¹⁶⁸	Table 1. Comparisor	1 OF CETO	ixitin als	k alttusi	on metho	bd and N	/IIEK2	system
3	for datastics of	انه د مله د م	lin realat	on on in	2107 :00	lotoo of		
4 5	for detection of	methicili	in resisi	ance in	2107 150	lates of	S. aure	us
6								
7	FOX inhibition zone diameter (mm)							
8		< 0.0		0.1	05.00	07		> 00
9 10		≤ 22	23	24	25-26	27	28	≥ 29
11								<u> </u>
12	Initial results							
13		204	20	22		100	000	4000
14 15	Concordant results	301	29	23		168	233	1283
16	Discordant results			2	64	3	1	
17	Discordant results			2	04	3	I	
18	After control							
19 20	Alter control							
21	Concordant results	304	36	30		168	233	1325
22	Concordant results	004	50	00		100	200	1020
23 24	Discordant results				11			
24								
²⁶ 170								
27								
28 29	Broken lines indicated int	ermedia	ate brea	kpoints:	for those	e inhibit	ion zone	e diameters
30				•				
31 172	mecA specific tests should	d be use	ed, acco	rding to	CA-SFN	l recom	mendati	ons [7].
32	·			Ū				
33 34								
35								
₃₆ 174								
37								
38 39								
⁴⁰ 176								
41								
42								
43 44								
45 178								
46								
47 48								
48 49 180								
50								
51								
52								
⁵³ 54 182								
55								
56								
57 59 194								
58 1 84 59								
60								
61								
62 62								
63 64								8
65								

$\begin{smallmatrix}1\\2\end{smallmatrix}168$

Table 1. Comparison of cefoxitin disk diffusion method and VITEK2 system

Table 2. Resolution of discordant results by complementary tests.

Initial discordant results	Results after control							
	Concorda	int results	MRSA ^c discordant strains					
	MSSA ^a	MRSA ^b	S by VK2	R by VK2				
			I by Dif	I by Dif				
2 VME	1		1 ^d					
4 ME		4						
64 mE	41	13		10 ^d				
Total	42	17	1 ^d	10 ^d				

23 190 VME, very major error, S with the VITEK2 system and R with the disk diffusion method, ME, major error, R with the VITEK2 system and S with the disk diffusion 28 192 method; mE, minor error, S or R with one system and intermediately-resistant with the other system.

^a MSSA, methicillin-susceptible S. aureus, S by VITEK2 System and Dif. ^b MRSA, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*, R by VITEK2 system and Dif. ^c mecA positive strains;

^d associated resistances (fluoroquinolones, aminoglycosides).