



**HAL**  
open science

## Experimental studies of OH degrees radical/pressure dependence of arginase activity using a molecular chromatography approach

C. André, F. Ibrahim, T. Gharbi, G. Herlem, Y.-C. Guillaume

► **To cite this version:**

C. André, F. Ibrahim, T. Gharbi, G. Herlem, Y.-C. Guillaume. Experimental studies of OH degrees radical/pressure dependence of arginase activity using a molecular chromatography approach. *Journal of Chromatography B - Analytical Technologies in the Biomedical and Life Sciences*, 2010, 878 (28), pp.2826-2830. 10.1016/j.jchromb.2010.08.036 . hal-00583377

**HAL Id: hal-00583377**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00583377>**

Submitted on 14 Apr 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Experimental studies of OH<sup>•</sup> radical/pressure dependence of arginase activity using a molecular chromatography approach

Claire André<sup>a</sup>, Firas Ibrahim<sup>a</sup>, Tijani Gharbi<sup>b</sup>, Guillaume Herlem<sup>b</sup>, Yves Claude Guillaume<sup>a,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratoire de Chimie Analytique et Physique EA4267, Faculté de Médecine Pharmacie, Université de Franche-Comté, Place Saint Jacques, 25030 Besançon Cedex, France

<sup>b</sup> UMR6174 FEMTO: Franche-Comté électronique mécanique thermique et optique, Equipe Biophotonique, Université de Franche-Comté, 25030 Besançon Cedex, France

<sup>c</sup> Pôle Pharmaceutique, CHU Besançon, Bvd Fleming, 25030 Besançon Cedex, France

Arginase is an enzyme which plays a role in pathophysiology such as hypertension. Here we demonstrated for the first time the direct implication of pressure and OH<sup>•</sup> radical formation on the arginase activity via a novel analytical procedure. Pressure increased arginase activity in the range 12–52 bars. Activation by OH<sup>•</sup> radical showed a hyperbolic response. The OH<sup>•</sup> radicals produced were significantly inhibited by sulfasalazine (SAZ) and the inhibition of OH<sup>•</sup> radicals parallels the inhibition of arginase activity.

## 1. Introduction

In mammalian cells, L-arginine is metabolized by two pathways. Arginase catalyses its hydrolysis to L-ornithine and urea whereas NO synthase (NOS) catalyzes its oxidation to L-citrulline and nitric oxide, NO [1]. Defects of endothelial NO function, referred to as endothelial dysfunctions are associated with major cardiovascular risk factors, such as hyperlipidemia, diabetes, hypertension and severity of arteriosclerosis [2–5]. Since NOS and arginase can be found in similar tissues and cells, and because their expression may be regulated in response to the same stimuli (cytokines, endotoxines), both enzymes are believed to participate in the regulation of NO biosynthesis by competing for the common substrate L-arginine [6]. Conversely, N-hydroxy-L-arginine (NOHA) an intermediate in the reaction catalyzed by NOS is a potent competitive inhibitor of arginase with  $K_i$  value of 10–40  $\mu\text{M}$  [7,8]. Recently our group demonstrated a close relationship between arginase activity and blood pressure, making arginase a promising target for antihypertensive therapy [9,10]. As well, it was demonstrated that arginase could be stimulated during oxidative stress [11]. The inhibition of arginase by selective and potent inhibitors thus became the focus of potential therapies for treating several NO-dependent smooth muscle disorders [12–15]. The *ex vivo* characterization of

drug candidates on isolated target enzymes, unfortunately, is often associated with long, labor-intensive assays and a large amount of disposable expensive material. Our group recently developed a novel immobilized arginase reactor for the binding mechanism study of a series of arginase inhibitors with the enzyme and the magnesium effect on this association process [16,17]. This paper describes a novel procedure for studying both the direct role of OH<sup>•</sup> radical formation and pressure on the arginase activity.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Reagents and equipment


Crystalline bovine liver arginase I was obtained from Sigma–Aldrich (Paris, France). The four arginase inhibitors (NOHA, nor-NOHA, BEC, ABH) were obtained from Bachem (Germany). All the other organic solvents were of analytical grade and purchased from Merck (Paris, France). Chromatographic experiments were performed with two HPLC systems and all organic solvents were of analytical grade. One system (system A) was a Hewlett Packard HP 1050 liquid chromatograph (Palo Alto, CA, USA) with a Rheodyne sample valve (20  $\mu\text{L}$  loop) equipped with a Hewlett Packard HP 1050 variable wavelength detector and the enzyme reactor. The preparation of the immobilized enzyme reactor (IMER) using a monolithic support inside a column (25 mm  $\times$  4.6 mm i.d.) was given in [16]. The mobile phase A was described below and depended on the developed application.

The second equipment (system B) consisted of a Hewlett Packard HP 1100 liquid chromatograph with a Rheodyne sam-


\* Corresponding author at: Laboratoire de Chimie Analytique et Physique EA4267, Faculté de Médecine Pharmacie, Université de Franche-Comté, Place Saint Jacques, 25030 Besançon Cedex, France. Tel.: +33 3 81 66 55 44; fax: +33 3 81 66 56 55.

E-mail address: yves.guillaume@univ-fcomte.fr (Y.C. Guillaume).

Position 1



Position 2



**Fig. 1.** Chromatographic system coupling the immobilized enzyme reactor (IMER) with the reversed phase analytical column. The substrate is loaded onto the IMER using position 1; the product and the unreacted substrate are switched to the analytical column using position 2.

ple valve (20  $\mu\text{L}$  loop) equipped with a Hewlett Packard HP 1100 variable wavelength detector, a HP 1100 thermostat and an Agilent poroshell C18 column (15 cm  $\times$  4.6 mm) (Agilent Technologies, Walbronn, Germany). The mobile phase was a phosphate buffer (pH = 7.00, 50 mM)–methanol (50/50) (v/v). The flow-rate was 3 mL/min and the detection wavelength was 372 nm.

Systems A and B could be used independently or the eluent from system A could be directed onto system B through a HP six-port switching valve as outlined in Fig. 1. Both systems were connected to an HPLC ChemStation (Revision A.04.01).

For analysing the pressure effect on arginase activity, a capillary restrictor (0.0025 in. polyether ether ketone (PEEK) tubing, Upchurch, Oak Harbor, WA, USA; in. = 2.54 cm) was placed downstream the immobilized enzyme reactor, to allow an easy adjustment of the average reactor pressure (ARP). The long term stability of the flow-rate was tested periodically as part of the validation tests equipment recommended by the manufacturer.

## 2.2. Analytical procedure

### 2.2.1. Arginase column activity determination

The 1-nitro-3-guanidinobenzene (NGB) was synthesized as described in a previous paper [18]. NGB was used as enzyme substrate yielding products urea plus the chromophore *m*-nitroaniline (*m*-NA). 1 mM substrate solutions were injected onto system A at valve position 1 (see Fig. 1). Loading eluent was delivered by the system A pump at a flow-rate of 0.5 mL/min. This eluent (mobile phase A) consisted of 0.1 mM Tris–HCl buffer at

pH = 7.4, 10 mM  $\text{MnCl}_2$ . After 0.1 min the valve had been switched and the analytes (products and unreacted substrate) were flushed and focused for 5 min directly to the reversed phase analytical column. The valve was then switched back to its original position for separation with eluent delivered by system B. At 372 nm where the chromatogram was given, the extinction coefficient of NGB ( $109 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) is much less than of *m*-NA ( $1280 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) [18] and this is the great interest of this substrate. As well, the analysis time is very short around 2 min. One unit of enzymatic activity is defined as the amount of enzyme catalyzing the production of 1  $\mu\text{mol}$  of *m*-NA/min. The Michaelis–Menten trend was found by plotting the rate of enzymatic reaction against the substrate concentration  $[S]$ . The kinetic  $V_m$  and  $K_m$  were obtained via the Lineweaver and Burk plot, which is a linear transformation of the Michaelis–Menten plot. For some experiments it was necessary to measure arginase activity in solution. The description was given in ref [18]. Briefly, to measure the arginase activity in solution (activity of the free enzyme) the concentrations of arginase stock solutions were determined from the absorbance at 280 nm and a stock solution of 200 mM NGB in DMSO was prepared. Assays were performed in 0.1 mM Tris–HCl buffer pH = 7.4 10 mM  $\text{MnCl}_2$  using a spectrophotometer detector with a detection-wavelength equal to 372 nm corresponding to the liberated product *m*-NA. In all this analytical procedure each experiment were repeated 5 times ( $n = 5$ ).

### 2.2.2. Free radical production

To investigate the effect of  $\text{OH}^\circ$  radical formation on the arginase activity, the following system for the production of free radicals was

**Table 1**

Evaluation of  $K_m$  and  $V_m$  for IMER to IMER, reproducibility (i.e., arginase column). Mobile phase A: 0.1 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH=7.4–10 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>-Fenton reagent (FeSO<sub>4</sub> (x mM)+H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (x mM))/flow-rate: 0.5 mL/min. Mobile phase B: phosphate buffer (pH=7.00, 50 mM)-methanol (50/50) (v/v)/flow-rate: 3 mL/min. Detection wavelength: 372 nm. IMER temperature: 298 K.


IMER	$K_m$ (mM)	$V_m$ ( $\mu$ mol/min)
1	13.8 (0.1)	138.5 (0.4)
2	13.9 (0.1)	138.3 (0.5)
3	13.5 (0.2)	138.6 (0.3)

Standard deviations were in parentheses.

used; FeSO<sub>4</sub> (x mM) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (x mM) were added in the mobile phase A, i.e., 0.1 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH=7.4–10 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>. In the presence of iron a Fenton reaction will occur between Fe<sup>2+</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> giving rise to the OH<sup>•</sup> radical.


### 3. Results and discussion

The sensitivity of the immobilized enzyme in the reactor to the arginase inhibitors was examined. Four inhibitors were examined. The mobile phase A was 0.1 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH=7.4–10 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>, the IMER was maintained equal to 25 °C at a flow-rate of 0.5 mL/min. Their IC<sub>50</sub> were determined by the conventional spectrophotometric method described briefly above [18]. Then the IC<sub>50</sub> of the four known inhibitors (NOHA, nor-NOHA, BEC, ABH) was assessed by using the IMER by extrapolation from the inhibition curves. The inhibition curves were obtained by injecting in system A simultaneously both the substrate at a fixed saturating concentration, as determined by the Michaelis-Menten plot, and inhibitors at increasing concentration. Increasing reduction of the m-NA peak area when compared to the area obtained by the sole substrate, was observed for increasing inhibitor concentration. The percent inhibition was plotted against the logarithm of inhibitor concentration to obtain the inhibition curves. The PIC<sub>50</sub> (i.e.,  $-\log(\text{IC}_{50})$ ) values obtained on the IMER were compared with the values obtained for the free enzyme and a valid correlation was obtained ( $r^2 = 0.999$ ). This allowed a direct comparison between on line determined inhibition potencies and PIC<sub>50</sub> values determined with the classical spectrophotometric method. For example, the IC<sub>50</sub> values obtained with the IMER and the free enzyme were respectively equal to 10.6  $\mu$ mol/L, 10.2  $\mu$ mol/L for NOHA, 0.56  $\mu$ mol/L, 0.59  $\mu$ mol/L for nor-NOHA and 0.57  $\mu$ mol/L, 0.58  $\mu$ mol/L for BEC. The values obtained were similar as those obtained for the biological substrate arginine [19,20]. These results indicated that the IMER could be used to on line screen for new inhibitors and the enzyme immobilization on the chromatographic support did not alter its biological properties. To evaluate the IMER to IMER reproducibility, three IMERs were prepared under identical conditions [16]. The mobile phase A was 0.1 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH=7.4–10 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>, the IMER was maintained equal to 25 °C at a flow-rate of 0.5 mL/min. The  $K_m$  and  $V_m$  values for NGB were calculated using these three IMERs (Table 1). The results showed that the technique was reliable and reproducible. To investigate the flow-rate effect on the arginase activity, the kinetic parameters ( $V_m$  and  $K_m$ ) of the IMER were determined at different flow-rates. As shown in Figs. 2 and 3 the effect of flow-rate on the  $K_m$  value is very small while maximum velocities  $V_m$  decreased. Since only the product formation was affected, it might be speculated that the increasing friction due to higher flow-rate could negatively influence the enzyme catalytic efficiency. From Fig. 2, the  $K_m$  value was around 13.8  $\pm$  0.3 mM. This value was determined in a 0.1 mM Tris buffer pH=7.4. An experiment was carried out in a 0.1 mM Tris buffer pH=8.6 (mobile phase A). The value obtained was 3.1  $\pm$  0.2 mM. This variation agrees with previous study which demonstrated that the  $K_m$  value decreased with the pH value. For human liver arginase




**Fig. 2.**  $K_m$  (mM) vs. the flow-rate A (mL/min). Mobile phase A: 0.1 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH=7.4–10 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>. Mobile phase B: phosphate buffer (pH=7.00, 50 mM)-methanol (50/50) (v/v)/flow-rate: 3 mL/min. Detection wavelength: 372 nm. IMER temperature: 298 K.

enzyme, the  $K_m$  for arginine declined from 15.4 to 1.6 mM over the pH range 6.5–9.5 [21]. As well, the  $K_m$  value should be treated with caution, because of the significant buffer effect. The  $K_m$  value for arginase catalyzed NGB hydrolysis in a 50 mM Bicine-NaOH (pH 8.6) (mobile phase A) was determined 1.8  $\pm$  0.4 mM. This value is nearly identical to that obtained in a previous paper [18] but lower than in Tris. For analysing the pressure effect all the experimental data were measured with a 1.5 mL/min mobile phase flow-rate A. The corresponding natural pressure drop was 52 bars at 25 °C (the IMER was 25 mm length and 4.6 mm i.d.). The ARP was gradually decreased by cutting short section of the restrictor tubing from the natural column back pressure (52 bars at 25 °C). The ARP was monitored within the interval 12–52 bars with an accuracy of 1 bar. In this pressure domain, in chromatography it is usually assumed that the IMER permeability is independent of the position and that the liquid compressibility is negligible. Fig. 4 reports all the data acquired on the evolution of the arginase activity when pressure increased from 12 to 52 bars. Looking at the experimental data, it is evident that the trend is not linear but in the domain of studied pressure, the activity increased when pressure increased. These results demonstrated clearly and for the first time the direct implication of pressure for arginase activation. Fig. 5A shows that arginase activation responded hyperbolically to change of OH<sup>•</sup> concentration. To interpret this variation two further aspects are illustrated in Fig. 5B and C. First, the removal of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> demon-




**Fig. 3.**  $V_m$  ( $\mu$ mol/min) vs. the flow-rate A (mL/min). Mobile phase A: 0.1 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH=7.4–10 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>. Mobile phase B: phosphate buffer (pH=7.00, 50 mM)-methanol (50/50) (v/v)/flow-rate: 3 mL/min. Detection wavelength: 372 nm. IMER temperature: 298 K.




**Fig. 4.** Relative arginase activity (RAA) (i.e., arginase activity relative to the value at  $P = 12$  bars) vs. the IMER pressure  $P$  (bar). Mobile phase A: 0.1 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH = 7.4–10 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>/flow-rate: 1.5 mL/min. Mobile phase B: phosphate buffer (pH = 7.00, 50 mM)–methanol (50/50) (v/v)/flow-rate: 3 mL/min. Detection wavelength: 372 nm. IMER temperature: 298 K.

strated no activation given by Fe<sup>2+</sup> (Fig. 5B) and the removal of FeSO<sub>4</sub> showed that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> deactivated arginase in the entire H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration studied (Fig. 5C). Therefore, it can be deduced that the OH<sup>•</sup> radical production lead to an increase of the arginase activity for  $x \leq 5$  mM. This is an important finding as it was the first time that the direct implication of the OH<sup>•</sup> radical on the arginase activity was clearly visualized. The requirement for OH<sup>•</sup> radicals in the stimulation of arginase activity was confirmed by the ability of a specific OH<sup>•</sup> radical scavenger (sulfasalazine (SAZ) [22]) to inhibit the activity of arginase, which paralleled the reduction of OH<sup>•</sup> radical levels in the medium. For this, the following solution (1 mM (NGB) + 1 mM (SAZ) in 0.1 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH = 7.4) was injected onto system A at valve position 1 (Fig. 1) (mobile phase A was FeSO<sub>4</sub> ( $x$  mM) + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ( $x$  mM) + 0.1 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH = 7.4–10 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>). For these experiments, the mobile phase flow-rate A was 0.5 mL/min. Fig. 6 indicates clearly that SAZ alone did not change arginase activity but potently inhibited arginase activity in the presence of OH<sup>•</sup> radicals in the medium.

The Michaelis-Menten approach assumed that a rapid equilibrium was established between the free reactants (enzyme (E) + substrate (S)) and the transition state complex (ES), followed by slower conversion of the ES complex back to free enzyme (E) and product (P). However there were a series of rapid chemical events following ES complex formation. For simplicity, the overall rate for these collective chemical steps can be described by a sin-



**Fig. 5.** Relative arginase activity (RAA) (i.e., arginase activity relative to the value at  $x = 0$ ) vs.  $x$  (mM): A corresponding to OH<sup>•</sup>; B corresponding to Fe<sup>2+</sup>; C corresponding to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Mobile phase A: 0.1 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH = 7.4–10 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>–Fenton reagent (FeSO<sub>4</sub> ( $x$  mM) + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ( $x$  mM))/flow-rate: 0.5 mL/min. Mobile phase B: phosphate buffer (pH = 7.00, 50 mM)–methanol (50/50) (v/v)/flow-rate: 3 mL/min. Detection wavelength: 372 nm. IMER temperature: 298 K.




**Fig. 6.** Relative arginase activity (RAA) (i.e., arginase activity relative to the value at  $x = 0$ ) vs.  $x$  (mM): A corresponding to OH<sup>•</sup>; B corresponding to (OH<sup>•</sup> + SAZ). Mobile phase A: 0.1 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH = 7.4–10 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>–Fenton reagent (FeSO<sub>4</sub> ( $x$  mM) + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ( $x$  mM))/flow-rate: 0.5 mL/min. Mobile phase B: phosphate buffer (pH = 7.00, 50 mM)–methanol (50/50) (v/v)/flow-rate: 3 mL/min. Detection wavelength: 372 nm. IMER temperature: 298 K.


gle first-order rate constant  $k_{cat}$  proportional to the  $V_m$  values (i.e.,  $k_{cat} = [E]_0 V_m$  where  $[E]_0$  is the arginase concentration immobilized) [23,24]. As well, the ratio  $k_{cat}/K_m$  can be related to the free energy difference  $\Delta G_{ES}$  between the free reactants and the transition state complex (ES). For a Fenton reagent in the medium  $x$  less than 5 mM, the  $V_m$  value increased with  $x$ . Beyond this value,  $V_m$  remained relatively constant (Fig. 7).  $K_m$  did not change its value in the entire  $x$  value domain (Fig. 8). Therefore, the difference in the transition state energies  $\Delta \Delta G_{ES}$  with and without OH<sup>•</sup> radical in the medium was given by the following expression [25]:

$$\Delta \Delta G_{ES} = -RT \ln \left( \frac{V_{m,x}}{V_{m,x=0}} \right)$$

where  $R$  was the gas constant and  $T$  the temperature in Kelvin (Table 2). The  $K_m$  values did not change significantly with the OH<sup>•</sup> radical production. This was because there was no change on the arginase affinity towards the substrate. These results indicated classical activation kinetic without a significant influence on the rate of substrate binding [26]. From sequential Monte Carlo/DFT calculations, the dipole moment and the hydration enthalpy of the OH<sup>•</sup> radical in water were respectively around 2.2D and  $-39.1$  kJ/mol [27]. Thus, the effect of chemical modifications with OH<sup>•</sup> on the increased of  $V_m$  value is assumed due to the fact that OH<sup>•</sup> radical



**Fig. 7.**  $V_m$  ( $\mu\text{mol/Lmin}$ ) vs.  $x$  (mM). Mobile phase A: 0.1 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH = 7.4–10 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>–Fenton reagent (FeSO<sub>4</sub> ( $x$  mM) + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ( $x$  mM))/flow-rate: 0.5 mL/min. Mobile phase B: phosphate buffer (pH = 7.00, 50 mM)–methanol (50/50) (v/v)/flow-rate: 3 mL/min. Detection wavelength: 372 nm. IMER temperature: 298 K.



**Fig. 8.**  $K_m$  (mM) vs.  $x$  (mM). Mobile phase A: 0.1 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH = 7.4–10 mM  $MnCl_2$ -Fenton reagent ( $FeSO_4$  ( $x$  mM) +  $H_2O_2$  ( $x$  mM))/flow-rate: 0.5 mL/min. Mobile phase B: phosphate buffer (pH = 7.00, 50 mM)-methanol (50/50) (v/v)/flow-rate: 3 mL/min. Detection wavelength: 372 nm. IMER temperature: 298 K.

**Table 2**

$\Delta \Delta G_{ES}$  (J/mol) vs.  $x$  (mM). Mobile phase A: 0.1 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH = 7.4–10 mM  $MnCl_2$ -Fenton reagent ( $FeSO_4$  ( $x$  mM) +  $H_2O_2$  ( $x$  mM))/flow-rate: 0.5 mL/min. Mobile phase B: phosphate buffer (pH = 7.00, 50 mM)-methanol (50/50) (v/v)/flow-rate: 3 mL/min. Detection wavelength: 372 nm. IMER temperature: 298 K.

$x$ (mM)	$\Delta \Delta G_{ES}$ (J/mol)
0.5	-16.1 (1.2)
1	-23.1 (2.1)
1.5	-30.3 (2.3)
2	-37.4 (1.2)
2.5	-44.5 (1.7)
3	-49.8 (1.7)
3.5	-53.3 (2.1)
4	-62.0 (1.1)
4.5	-69.5 (1.2)
5	-70.8 (1.3)
5.5	-69.6 (1.6)
6	-65.6 (1.2)
8	-67.3 (1.4)
10	-67.3 (1.2)
20	-65.6 (1.4)
30	-65.6 (1.3)

Standard deviations were in parentheses.

is likely to interact with the surface of arginase active site through dipole-dipole interactions and can therefore affect proximity and arginase active site orientation [28,29]. A variation in the micropolarity of the environment surrounding some side chains of certain active site residues of the arginase enzyme due to the strong role played by  $OH^\circ$  radical as a proton donor in water [27] is a possibility of explanation for this activation. As well, for  $x \leq 5$  mM, the higher the  $OH^\circ$  radical production was, the lower  $\Delta \Delta G_{ES}$  value obtained, this indicated that the enzyme was more flexible in the presence of  $OH^\circ$  radical and it tends to be more easily activated (Table 2). The fact that low concentrations of  $OH^\circ$  radical enhanced arginase activity and yet have no effects at higher concentration can be explained by the fact that for  $x > 5$  mM the enzyme flexibility is reduced (Table 2).

## 4. Conclusion

For the first time, the direct implication of pressure and  $OH^\circ$  radical formation on the arginase activity was clearly visualized. Both  $OH^\circ$  radical production and pressure increased the arginase activity. An activation kinetic by  $OH^\circ$  radicals without a significant influence on the rate of substrate binding was indicated. As well, our results demonstrated the great interest to synthesize new arginase inhibitors with radical scavenger characteristics for the treatment of several NO-dependent smooth muscle disorders.

## References

- [1] G. Wu, S.M. Morris, *Biochem. J.* 336 (1998) 1.
- [2] A. Warnholtz, G. Nikenig, E. Schulz, R. Macharzina, J.H. Brasen, M. Stachkov, T. Heitzer, J.P. Stash, K.K. Griendling, D.G. Harrison, M. Bohm, T. Meinertz, T. Munzel, *Circulation* 99 (1999) 2027.
- [3] R. Wu, E. Millette, L. Wu, J. de Champlain, *J. Hypertens.* 19 (2001) 741.
- [4] B. Guerci, P. Bohme, A. Kearney-Schwartz, F. Zannad, P. Drouin, *Diabetes Metab.* 27 (2001) 436.
- [5] G.J. Gross, S.T. O'Rourke, L.R. Pelc, D.C. Warlier, *Am. J. Physiol.* 263 (1992) 1703.
- [6] J.L. Boucher, C. Moali, J.P. Tenu, *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 55 (1999) 1015.
- [7] F. Daghighi, J.M. Fukuto, D.E. Ash, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 204 (1994) 174.
- [8] J.L. Boucher, T. Custot, A. Vadon, M. Delaforge, M. Lepoivre, J.P. Tenu, A. Yapo, D. Mansuy, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 203 (1994) 1614.
- [9] C. Demougeot, A. Prigent-Tessier, C. Marie, A. Berthelot, *J. Hypertens.* 25 (2005) 971.
- [10] C. Demougeot, A. Prigent-Tessier, T. Bagnost, C. André, Y.C. Guillaume, M. Bouhaddi, C. Marie, A. Berthelot, *Life Sci.* 80 (2007) 1128.
- [11] E.W. Iyamu, H. Perdew, G.M. Woods, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 376 (2008) 116.
- [12] N. Zimmermann, N.E. King, J. Laporte, M. Yang, A. Mishra, S.M. Pope, E.F. Muntel, D.P. Witte, A.A. Pegg, P.S. Foster, Q. Hamid, M.E. Rothenberg, *J. Clin. Invest.* 111 (2003) 1863.
- [13] J.D. Cox, N.N. Kim, A.M. Traish, D.W. Christianson, *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 6 (1999) 1043.
- [14] N.N. Kim, J.D. Cox, R.F. Baggio, F.A. Emig, S.K. Mistry, S.L. Harper, D.W. Speicher, S.M. Morris, D.E. Ash, A. Traish, D.W. Christianson, *Biochemistry* 40 (2001) 2678.
- [15] H. Meurs, S. McKay, H. Maarsingh, M.A.M. Hamer, L. Macic, N. Molendijk, J. Zaagsma, *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 136 (2002) 391.
- [16] T. Bagnost, Y.C. Guillaume, M. Thomassin, J.F. Robert, A. Berthelot, A. Xicluna, C. André, *J. Chromatogr. B* 856 (2007) 113.
- [17] T. Bagnost, Y.C. Guillaume, M. Thomassin, A. Berthelot, C. Demougeot, C. André, *J. Chromatogr. B* 873 (2008) 37.
- [18] R. Baggio, J.D. Cox, S.L. Harper, D.W. Speicher, D.W. Christianson, *Anal. Biochem.* 276 (1999) 251.
- [19] B. Nicholson, C.K. Manner, J. Kleeman, C.L. MacLeod, *J. Biol. Chem.* 276 (2001) 15881.
- [20] J. Custot, C. Moali, M. Brollo, J.L. Boucher, M. Delaforge, D. Mansuy, J.P. Tenu, J.L. Zimmermann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 119 (1997) 4086.
- [21] N.J. Kuhn, S. Ward, M. Piponski, T.W. Young, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 320 (1995) 24.
- [22] P. Teisman, B. Ferger, *Brain Res. Protoc.* 5 (2000) 204.
- [23] R.A. Copeland, *Enzymes, A Practical Introduction to Structure, Mechanism and Data Analysis*, 2nd edition, Wiley Black, New York, 2000.
- [24] H.R. Smitsaert, *Biochem. J.* 197 (1981) 163.
- [25] A.J. Wilkinson, A.R. Fersht, D.M. Blow, G. Winter, *Biochemistry* 22 (1983) 3581.
- [26] K.U. Schallreuter, S.M.A. Elwari, N.C.J. Gibbons, H. Rokos, J.M. Wood, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 315 (2004) 502.
- [27] P. Cabral do Couto, R.C. Guedes, B.J. Costa Cabral, *J. Chem. Phys.* 119 (2003) 7344.
- [28] W. Vogt, *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 18 (1995) 93.
- [29] C.L. Hawkins, M.J. Davies, *Biochem. Biophys. Acta* 1504 (2001) 196.