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# A PROGENERATOR FOR REPRESENTATIONS OF SL $_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ IN TRANSVERSE CHARACTERISTIC 

CÉDRIC BONNAFÉ


#### Abstract

Let $G=\mathbf{G L}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \mathbf{S L}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ or $\mathbf{P G L}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, where $q$ is a power of some prime number $p$, let $U$ denote a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$ and let $R$ be a commutative ring in which $p$ is invertible. Let $\mathrm{D}(U)$ denote the derived subgroup of $U$ and let $e=\frac{1}{|\mathrm{D}(U)|} \sum_{u \in \mathrm{D}(U)} u$. The aim of this note is to prove that the $R$-algebras $R G$ and $e R G e$ are Morita equivalent (through the natural functor $R G-\bmod \longrightarrow$ $e R G e-\bmod , M \mapsto e M)$.


Let $n$ be a non-zero natural number, $p$ a prime number, $q$ a power of $p$ and let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ denote a finite field with $q$ elements. Let $G_{n}=\mathbf{S L}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. We denote by $U_{n}$ the group of $n \times n$ unipotent upper triangular matrices with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ (so that $U_{n}$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\left.G_{n}\right)$. Let $\mathrm{D}\left(U_{n}\right)$ denote its derived subgroup: then, with $N=(n-1)(n-2) / 2$,

$$
\left.\left.\mathrm{D}\left(U_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & a_{1} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{n-2} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & a_{N} \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{N} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\} .
$$

We fix a commutative ring $R$ in which $p$ is invertible and we set

$$
e_{n}=\frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{D}\left(U_{n}\right)\right|} \sum_{u \in \mathrm{D}\left(U_{n}\right)} u \quad \in \quad R \mathrm{D}\left(U_{n}\right)
$$

Then $e_{n}$ is an idempotent of $R G_{n}$. The aim of this note is to prove the following result (recall that an idempotent $i$ of a ring $A$ is called full if $A=A i A$ ):

Theorem 1. If $p$ is invertible in $R$, then $e_{n}$ is a full idempotent of $R G_{n}$.

Proof. First, let $R_{0}=\mathbb{Z}[1 / p]$, let $\zeta$ be a primitive $p$-th root of unity in $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\hat{R}_{0}=R_{0}[\zeta]$. Let $\Im_{0}=R_{0} G_{n} e_{n} R_{0} G_{n}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{I}}_{0}=\hat{R}_{0} G_{n} e_{n} \hat{R}_{0} G_{n}$. Since $p$ is invertible in $R$, there is a unique morphism of rings $R_{0} \rightarrow R$ which extends to a morphism of rings
$R_{0} G_{n} \rightarrow R G_{n}$. So if $1 \in \mathfrak{I}_{0}$, then $1 \in \mathfrak{I}$. Also, as $\left(1, \zeta, \ldots, \zeta^{p-2}\right)$ is an $R_{0}$-basis of $\hat{R}_{0}$, it is also an $R_{0} G_{n}$-basis of $\hat{R}_{0} G_{n}$. Therefore, if $1 \in \hat{R}_{0} G_{n} e_{n} \hat{R}_{0} G_{n}=\hat{R}_{0} \otimes_{R_{0}}\left(R_{0} G_{n} e R_{0} G_{n}\right)$, then $1 \in \mathfrak{I}_{0}$. Consequently, in order to prove Theorem 1, we may (and we shall) work under the following hypothesis:

Hypothesis. From now on, and until the end of this proof, we assume that $R=\mathbb{Z}[1 / p, \zeta]$.

Now, let $P_{n}$ denote the subgroup of $\mathbf{S L}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ defined by

$$
P_{n}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 
& & a_{1} \\
& M & \vdots \\
& & a_{n-1} \\
\hline 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, M \in \mathbf{S L}_{n-1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\} .
$$

Then $U_{n} \subset P_{n}$. We shall prove by induction on $n$ that $e_{n}$ is a full idempotent of $R P_{n}$.
It is clear that Theorem 1 follows immediately from $\left(\mathscr{P}_{n}\right)$.
As $e_{1}=1$ and $e_{2}=1$, it follows that $\left(\mathscr{P}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathscr{P}_{2}\right)$ hold. So assume that $n \geqslant 3$ and that $\left(\mathscr{P}_{n-1}\right)$ holds. Let $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ denote the identity $n \times n$ matrix and let

$$
V_{n}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 
& & a_{1} \\
& \mathrm{I}_{n-1} & \vdots \\
& & a_{n-1} \\
\hline 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\} .
$$

Then $V_{n} \simeq\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{+}\right)^{n-1}$ and $P_{n}=\mathbf{S L}_{n-1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \ltimes V_{n} \simeq \mathbf{S L}_{n-1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \ltimes\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{+}\right)^{n-1}$. We set $V_{n}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D}\left(U_{n}\right) \cap V_{n}$, so that $V_{n}^{\prime} \simeq\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{+}\right)^{n-2}$ is normalized by $P_{n-1}$. Then

$$
\mathrm{D}\left(U_{n}\right)=\mathrm{D}\left(U_{n-1}\right) \ltimes V_{n}^{\prime} .
$$

We now define

$$
f_{n}=\frac{1}{\left|V_{n}^{\prime}\right|} \sum_{v \in V_{n}^{\prime}} v
$$

so that

$$
e_{n}=e_{n-1} f_{n}
$$

By the induction hypothesis, there exists $g_{1}, h_{1}, \ldots, g_{l}, h_{l}$ in $P_{n-1}$ and $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{l}$ in $R$ such that

$$
1=\sum_{i=1}^{l} r_{i} g_{i} e_{n-1} h_{i} .
$$

Therefore, as $P_{n-1}$ normalizes $V_{n}^{\prime}$, it centralizes $f_{n}$ and so

$$
f_{n}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} r_{i} g_{i} e_{n-1} h_{i}\right) f_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} r_{i} g_{i} e_{n-1} f_{n} h_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} r_{i} g_{i} e_{n} h_{i} .
$$

So $f_{n} \in R P_{n} e_{n} R P_{n}$.
Let $\mu_{p}$ denote the subgroup of $R^{\times}$generated by $\zeta$. If $\chi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{n}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p}\right)$, we define $b_{\chi}$ to be the associated primitive idempotent of $R V_{n}$ :

$$
b_{\chi}=\frac{1}{\left|V_{n}\right|} \sum_{v \in V_{n}} \chi(v)^{-1} v \quad \in \quad R V_{n} .
$$

Then, as $V_{n}$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group, we get

$$
f_{n}=\sum_{\substack{\chi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{n}, \mu_{p}\right) \\ \operatorname{Res}^{V_{n}^{\prime}}}} b_{\chi} .
$$

We fix a non-trivial element $\chi_{0} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{n}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p}\right)$ whose restriction to $V_{n}^{\prime}$ is trivial. Then

$$
b_{\chi_{0}}=b_{\chi_{0}} f_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad b_{1}=b_{1} f_{n},
$$

so $b_{1}$ and $b_{\chi_{0}}$ belong to $R P_{n} e_{n} R P_{n}$.
But $\mathbf{S L}_{n-1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \subset P_{n}$ has only two orbits for its action on $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{n}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p}\right)$ : the orbit of 1 and the orbit of $\chi_{0}$. Therefore, $b_{\chi} \in R P_{n} e_{n} R P_{n}$ for all $\chi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{n}, \mu_{p}\right)$. Consequently,

$$
1=\sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{n}, \mu_{p}\right)} b_{\chi} \in R P_{n} e_{n} R P_{n},
$$

as desired.

Finite reductive groups. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, let $\mathbf{G}$ be a connected reductive group over $\mathbb{F}$ and let $F: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ be an isogeny such that some power $F^{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ is a Frobenius endomorphism relative to an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-structure. We denote by $\mathbf{U}$ an $F$ stable maximal unipotent subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ (it is the unipotent radical of an $F$-stable Borel subgroup). Define

$$
e=\frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{D}(\mathbf{U})^{F}\right|} \sum_{u \in \mathrm{D}(\mathbf{U})^{F}} u \quad \in \quad R \mathbf{G}^{F} .
$$

The next result follows immediately from Theorem 1:
Theorem 2. Assume that $(\mathbf{G}, F)$ is split of type A. Then $e$ is a full idempotent of $R \mathbf{G}^{F}$.
Corollary 3. If $(\mathbf{G}, F)$ is split of type A , then the functors

$$
\begin{array}{clcccc}
R \mathbf{G}^{F}-\bmod & \longrightarrow & e R \mathbf{G}^{F} e-\bmod & \text { and } & R \mathbf{G}^{F} e-\bmod & \longrightarrow \\
M & \longmapsto & e M & & & \\
& & & & \mathbf{G}^{F}-\bmod \\
& R \mathbf{G}^{F} e \otimes_{e R \mathbf{G}^{F e}} N
\end{array}
$$

are mutually inverse equivalences of categories. In particular, $R \mathbf{G}^{F}$ and $e R \mathbf{G}^{F} e$ are Morita equivalent, and $R \mathbf{G}^{F} e$ is a progenerator for $R \mathbf{G}^{F}$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2 and, for instance, [3, Example 18.30].

Examples. Theorem 2 and Corollary 3 can be applied for instance in the case where $\mathbf{G}^{F}=\mathbf{G L}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \mathbf{S L}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ or $\mathbf{P G L}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.

Comments. (1) It is natural to ask whether Theorem 2 (or Corollary 3) can be generalized to other finite reductive groups. In fact, it cannot be generalized: indeed, if for instance $R=\mathbb{C}$, then saying that $e$ is a full idempotent of $R \mathbf{G}^{F}$ means that every irreducible character of $\mathbf{G}^{F}$ is an irreducible component of an HarishChandra induced of some Gelfand-Graev character. But, if $\mathbf{G}$ is quasi-simple and $(\mathbf{G}, F)$ is not split of type $A$, then $\mathbf{G}^{F}$ contains a unipotent character which does not belong to the principal series: this character cannot be an irreducible component of an Harish-Chandra induced of a Gelfand-Graev character.
(2) In [1] a crucial step for the proof of a special case of the geometric version of Broué's abelian defect conjecture was [1], Theorem 4.1], where R. Rouquier and the author have proved the above Theorem 2 in the case where $R$ is the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ ) in a sufficiently large algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ (here, $\ell$ is a prime number different from $p$ ). The proof was essentially based on the classification, due to Dipper [2, 4.15 and 5.23], of simple modules for $G_{n}$ in characteristic $\ell$, and especially of cuspidal ones, which involves Deligne-Lusztig theory.

The interest of the proof given here is that it does not rely on any classification of simple modules, and is based on elementary methods: as a by-product of this elementariness, Theorem 2 and Corollary 3 are valid over any commutative ring (in which $p$ is invertible, which is a necessary condition if one wants the idempotent $e_{n}$ to be well-defined).
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