

Supercritical fluid extraction of 4(5)-methylimidazole (4MeI) and 2-acetyl-4(5)-(1,2,3,4)tetrahydrohydroxybutylimidazole (THI) from grounded coffee with liquid chromatographic - electrospray mass spectrometric (HPLC/ESI-MS)

quantification

Lea Lojkova, Borivoj Klejdus, Jitka Moravcová, Vlastimil Kuban

▶ To cite this version:

Lea Lojkova, Borivoj Klejdus, Jitka Moravcová, Vlastimil Kuban. Supercritical fluid extraction of 4(5)-methylimidazole (4MeI) and 2-acetyl-4(5)-(1,2,3,4)tetrahydrohydroxybutylimidazole (THI) from grounded coffee with liquid chromatographic - electrospray mass spectrometric (HPLC/ESI-MS) quantification. Food Additives and Contaminants, 2006, 23 (10), pp.963-973. 10.1080/02652030600717148 . hal-00577601

HAL Id: hal-00577601 https://hal.science/hal-00577601

Submitted on 17 Mar 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Supercritical fluid extraction of 4(5)-methylimidazole (4MeI) and 2-acetyl-4(5)-(1,2,3,4)tetrahydrohydroxybutylimidazole (THI) from grounded coffee with liquid chromatographic - electrospray mass spectrometric (HPLC/ESI-MS) quantification

Journal:	Food Additives and Contaminants
Manuscript ID:	TFAC-2006-026.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	09-Mar-2006
Complete List of Authors:	Lojkova, Lea; Mendel University, Chemistry and Biochemistry Klejdus, Borivoj; Mendel University, Chemistry and Biochemistry Moravcová, Jitka; VŠCHT, Natural Compounds Kuban, Vlastimil; Mendel University, Chemistry and Biochemistry
Methods/Techniques:	Chromatography - LC/MS, Extraction -SFE
Additives/Contaminants:	Process contaminants
Food Types:	Coffee

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

1 2 3	1		Supercritica
4	2		(1,2,3,4
5 6	3		chromatog
7	4		
8	5		Lea
9 10	6		
11	7		¹ Department
12 13	8		² Danartm
14	10		Depurini
15	11	1	
16 17	12	l	(Received $\frac{23}{2}$)
18	14		Correspondence
19	15		
20 21	10		Abstract
22	18		Two polar
23 24	19		tetrahydroxybu
24 25	20		aqueous metha
26	20		Method efficie
27 28	21		aartridges and
29	22		liquid sharmet
30 31	25		
32	24		(150 x 2.1 mn
33 34	25		mobile phase.
35	26		linearity of the
36 37	27		0.999. The coe
38	28		6). The accurac
39 40	29		and 4MeI, res
40 41	30		commercially a
42	31		test the method
43 44	32		
45	33		Keywords: su
46 47	34		acetyl-4(5)-(1,2
48			
49			
50 51			
52			
53			
54 55			
56 56			
57			
58			
59 60			
1-11			

Supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) of 4(5)-methylimidazole (4MeI) and 2-acetyl-4(5)-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydroxybutyl)-imidazole (THI) from ground-coffee with liquid chromatographic-electrospray mass spectrometric quantification (HPLC/ESI-MS)

Lea Lojková¹, Bořivoj Klejdus¹, Jitka Moravcová², Vlastimil Kubáň¹*

¹ Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Mendel University of Agriculture and Forestry Brno, Zemědělská 1, CZ-613 00 Brno, Czech Republic
² Department of Chemistry of Natural Compounds, Institute of Chemical Technology, Technická 5, CZ-166 28 Prague, Czech Republic

(Received <u>23</u> January 2006, accepted ... March 2006)

Deleted: xx

Correspondence: Vlastimil Kubáň; E-mail address: kuban@mendelu.cz

4(5)-methylimidazole (4MeI) analytes, and 2-acetyl-4(5)-(1,2,3,4utyl)-imidazole (THI) were extracted with supercritical CO₂ modified with nol. The method was applied to a roasted coffee powder with good recoveries. ency was compared with that of solid phase extraction using SCX Disc validated for spiked solid matrix. The analytes were determined using isocratic tography – mass spectrometry (LC/MS) on an Atlantis HILIC Silica column m, 3 μ m) with 80 % methanol and 20 % 0.01 mol.1⁻¹ ammonium formate as The limit of quantification was around 1.5 pg for 4MeI and 2.0 pg for THI. The calibration curves was satisfactory as indicated by correlation coefficients of > efficient of variation for the intra-day and the inter-day precision was < 4% (n = cy was in the range 98 % - 101% and recovery was \geq 98% and \geq 99% for THI spectively. Several samples of Arabica coffee form various locations and available "shelf" coffee products (Arabica/Robusta mixtures) were analyzed to d.

Keywords: supercritical fluid extraction, solid phase extraction, 4(5)-methylimidazole, 2acetyl-4(5)-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydroxybutyl)-imidazole, 4MeI, THI, LC/MS, roasted coffee

35 Introduction

Coffee is well known as a stimulant of a central nervous system and as a diuretic. Its world production is about 4 millions tons per annum. Small, evergreen woods of Coffea arabica, C. liberica and C. canephora (Rubiaceae) are planted in many varieties in tropic areas all over the world. They bear coffee seeds (Semen Coffeee) containing 0.3 - 2.5 % of caffeine and traces of theophylline – important natural drugs - purine derivatives originated from xanthine methylation (Tomko 1999, Reeve et al. 1993). Ripe coffee seeds are rid of the pulp, endocarp and seed coat in so-called wet or dry way. Finally the selected grains are roasted at 200 – 250 °C to get typical coffee aroma and taste.

During the roasting process, undesirable imidazole compounds - a neurotoxic 4(5)-methylimidazole (4MeI) immunosuppressive 2-acetyl-4(5)-(1,2,3,4and an tetrahydroxybutyl)-imidazole (THI) - are formed by heating saccharides with nitrogenous compounds. THI has a lymphopenic effect and impairs immunity of rats and mice by modifying splenocyte function, in a histamine-like receptor site (Vávrová et al. 2003). THI also restrains contact hypersensitivity. Mice fed with THI have less CD4+ and CD8+ T cells -THI probably inhibits a contact hypersensitivity response by preventing the recruitment of CD4+ T cells in the draining lymph nodes (Gugasyan et al. 1995).

It is impossible to avoid completely the THI and 4MeI formation during the coffee roasting and other food industry processes, but the concentration of both compounds should be kept as low as possible in foods and beverages. For example, the European Commission specified the legal limit of 10 and 200 mg.kg⁻¹ for THI and 4MeI, respectively, for Class III caramel colour.

Several procedures have been published for separate determination of either 4MeI or THI in 1986 - 95 period. They have been based on LC/UV and LC/MS (Thomsen and Willumsen 1995, Moravcová et al. 1992, Müller at al. 1998, Wagner et al. 1993, Lawrence and Charbonneau 1987, Ding et al. 1991), capillary electrophoresis (Ong et al. 1994), and GC with derivatisation (Kröplien 1986, Fernandes and Ferreira 1997). Quantification of 4(5)-methylimidazole in coffee was performed via ion-pair extraction with bis-2-ethylhexylphosphate (BEHP), isobutylchloroformate derivatisation and GC/MS (Casal et al. 2002). These methods are sensitive and precise, but limited to one or the other of the two compounds. The first successful attempt to separate and determine 4MeI and THI in a single run via LC/M) was published in 2003 (Klejdus et al. 2003). The same research group also investigated solid phase extraction as an instant tool for simultaneous separation of both

68 analytes from liquid matrices (Klejdus et al. 2006). Both analytes can be separated and69 determined in a short time using a single-run analysis.

Solid matrices present another challenge in THI/4MeI separation. Roasted coffee can be, of course, turned to liquid "coffee drink" matrix. A set of spike experiments showed, that although the recovery of spiked analytes from liquid solutions is quantitative, spiking the standards to roasted coffee beans and subsequent treatment with hot water brought less favourable results. SPE is able to extract all analytes from the liquid phase, but the hot water soaking seemingly does not remove all spiked analytes from solid coffee matrix (Klejdus et al. 2006).

Thus, supercritical fluid extraction was selected as a method for THI and 4MeI isolation from powdered solid coffee matrix. Due to low viscosity and high diffusivity of supercritical fluid, analytes can be extracted from the matrix most effectively. Apart from that, analytes are carried out of the system during the dynamic extraction. The balance in the extraction cell shifts and it causes faster analyte removal from the matrix. Extraction efficiency and precision was validated in a series of spiked sample experiments. Remainders of coffee samples after supercritical fluid extraction were soaked in hot water and extracted with SPE to verify SFE efficiency. Also, several different varieties and consumer coffee mixtures were tested to exclude possible matrix effect.

Together with new isolation method, a new LC/MS method was developed for the simultaneous determination of THI and 4MeI. Method used in previous experiments (Klejdus et al. 2003, 2006) works well. But it is quite costly, as the pH of analyses is a limiting pH value of the MetaChem Polaris C-18A column applicability. The column is exposed to a series of serious stresses and after a limited number of analyses, separation performance is decreased: retention times are shifted and peak width broadened. Because of that, brand new method for AtlantisTM HILIC Silica column was developed for a mildly acidic mobile phase in pH range 5 - 6, with excellent precision and reproducibility.

A hydrophilic interaction chromatography (HILIC) is a variant of a normal phase chromatography, where polar stationary phase is used with a mobile phase, which contains high concentration of organic solvent and low concentration of aqueous solvent. In HILIC, organic portion of mobile phase is a weak solvent, the aqueous portion is a strong solvent and the compound elution is in the order of increasing hydrophylicity. HILIC is also referred to as "aqueous normal phase" or "reverse reversed phase", since the elution order is similar to that of normal phase and used solvents are similar to those of reversed phase chromatography.

HILIC columns retain highly polar analytes with volatile mobile phases that are ideal for
 compound ionization by ESI – MS. This leads to good sensitivity and low limits of detection.

104 Materials and methods

106 Chemicals

4(5)-methylimidazole (4MeI) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, USA). The standard of 2-acetyl-4(5)-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydroxybutyl)-imidazole (THI) was obtained from Dr. D. V. Myers (Coca Cola Co., USA). HPLC grade methanol and ammonium formate were from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Standards were prepared by dissolution in reverse-osmosis Milli-Q water (Millipore, Bedford, USA) at a stock concentration of 10 μ g.ml⁻¹. The solutions were stable for at least one week when stored in dark at 4 °C. All solutions were filtered through a 0.45 µm Teflon membrane filters (MetaChem, Torrance, USA) prior to HPLC analysis. All other chemicals were of analytical grade.

116 Samples and sample preparation

The samples of coffee varieties were obtained from the Oxalis (import comp., Zlín, Czech Republic). The "Brazilia-Santos" was the well-known 100 % brazil Arabica. The "Haiti 5X" contained extra large coffee beans from the Haiti region Pilate. The "Kenya" originated from the Mount Kenya plantations with altitude over 2000 m. The "Mexico Altura" was a high mountain Arabica harvested at mexican coffee plantations in altitude 1000 - 1600 m. The "Boncampo" was obtained from Migros-Genossenschafts-Bund (Zürich, Switzerland). It was a commercially available coffee mixture containing Arabica from Central and South America and also from India/Indonesia, and Robusta from Africa and Asia - the most complex mixture available at the market. Except the Boncampo mixture (Arabica/Robusta), all samples were pure Arabica. All samples were used as the whole beans and ground in an analytical grinder IKA Yellow Line A10 (IKA Works Inc., Wilmington, USA) to assure similar size of particles in all samples.

In supercritical fluid extraction, sample amount was 1 g. For the solid-phase extraction (Klejdus et al 2006), 5 g of coffee was extracted with hot water (90 ml) at 90 °C for 5 min. The mixture was then cooled and solids were filtered off. The clear filtrate was transferred into a 100 ml volumetric flask and adjusted with water up to the mark. An aliquot (1000 μ l) was diluted with water (3000 μ l) and extracted.

Supercritical fluid extraction

The standard solutions of THI and MeI were prepared by mixing 1 mg of each compound in 100 ml of ultra-pure water. A given volume of THI/4MeI solution was injected into the middle of a matrix bed (glass wool). As for real samples, 1.00 g of roasted coffee powder was weighted into the extraction cell. The coffee samples spiked with the THI/4MeI standard solutions were left to equilibrate for at least 10 min (5 days usually) at laboratory conditions. The procedure avoided immediate dissolution of freshly spiked analytes in too soon added in-situ modifier. An attempt was made to use higher amounts of *in-situ* modifier; but at higher temperatures the solvent caused some pressure in the extraction cell, leaved through the restrictor and caused slow extraction of ballast compounds, impending the restrictor plugging. When 200 µl of the *in-situ* modifier was added to the 0.7 ml cartridge, it was immediately sealed and closed in the extraction cell of a heating block to avoid evaporation of the *in-situ* modifier.

The extraction cells were cleaned in ultra-pure H₂O in sonication bath and rinsed with MeOH. Inner space of extraction cell of the heating block was also washed with water and methanol, since not all experiments had quantitative recovery during the extraction conditions optimisation. This eliminated or minimised cross-contamination dragged by the extraction phase modifier during de-pressurization after the extraction step. Blank samples were prepared by extracting the same amounts of the matrix. Neither the analytes were found in blank matrices, nor THI/4MeI residues were found in the instrument after the extraction cell heating block cleaning.

All extractions were carried out using an SE-l instrument (SEKO-K, Ltd., Brno, Czech Republic) equipped with a valve for continuous modifier addition (VALCO, Switzerland, loop 500 µl) according to the method described by Ventura (Adam et al. 2000, Eisner et al. 2002). The extraction cell was pressurized at 50 to 150 °C up to 10 - 40 MPa using SFC/SFE grade CO₂ (Siad, Brno, Czech Republic) with 10 % v/v of modifier mixture added via VALCO valve to the instrument piston pump.

The modified supercritical fluid went through the extraction cartridge filled with the sample for 45 min (dynamic extraction). The cell was de-pressurized through a fused silica restrictor (12 cm, 50 µm i.d., flow rate of 750 - 850 ml.min⁻¹, Supelco) into a liquid trap - a glass vial containing 30 ml trapping solvent at the laboratory temperature. Much smaller amount of the trapping solvent would be sufficient for the quantitative analyte trapping; but due to 800 ml/min flow rate a 100 ml flask with a flexible foil seal was used as a trapping vial

167 to prevent the solvent splashing out of a vial. Thus a larger volume was needed to achieve168 sufficient solvent column height.

Prior to the analyses, the extracts were evaporated to dryness in a rotary vacuum evaporator IKA RV 05-ST with a water bath HB 4 (all from IKA – Werke GmbH and Co., Staufen, KG, Germany), dissolved in 500 μ l of ultra-pure water and injected directly into the HPLC/MS system (spiked samples) or filtered through the 0.45 μ m membrane filter (MetaChem) before the injection (real samples, spiked real samples) to protect the HPLC system.

176 Solid-phase extraction

Ansys SPEC SCX Disc 15mg/3ml (Ansys Technologies, Torrance, USA) SPE cartridges, tried-and-true in previous analyses (Klejdus et al. 2003), were used for all solid-phase extractions. The cartridges were conditioned with 500 μ l methanol and 500 μ l water. Usually 3 ml samples with 20 µl 0.1 mol.1⁻¹ HCl passed through the cartridge. Impurities were washed out with 1000 µl methanol. Retained imidazoles were eluted from discs with 2 ml of methanol acidified with 5 mol.1⁻¹ HCl v/v (3:1) and extracts were evaporated to dryness in the rotary vacuum evaporator at 39 °C. The residues were dissolved in 500 µl of Milli Q water and 10 µl volumes were injected directly onto the reverse phase chromatographic column.

High-performance liquid chromatography

The HPLC chromatographic system HP 1100 (Hewlett Packard, Waldbronn, Germany) equipped with a vacuum degasser (G1322A), a binary pump (G1312A), an autosampler (G1313A), a column thermostat (G1316A) and a diode array detector (model G1315A) was coupled on-line to a mass selective HP MSD detector (G 1946A, Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, USA). The ChemStation software (Rev. A 07.01) controlled the whole liquid chromatographic system. A hydrophilic interaction chromatographic column Atlantis HILIC Silica (150 x 2.1 mm, 3 μ m) was employed. The mobile phase was methanol (80 %) and 0.01 mol.1⁻¹ ammonium formate in Milli-Q water (20 %). Isocratic mode was used for the separation. The flow rate was 0.2 mL.min⁻¹ and the temperature of the column oven was set at 25 °C. The column effluent was monitored with the diode array detector at 290 nm for THI and at 215 nm for 4MeI.

199 Mass spectrometry

Food Additives and Contaminants

1		
2	200	The eluent from the liquid chromatograph was directly introduced into the quadruple mass
3 4	201	spectrometer operated in the positive ESI mode. The nebulizer gas pressure was 50 psi, the
5	202	drying gas was nitrogen at the 12 L.min ⁻¹ and at the temperature of 350 °C. The capillary
ю 7	203	voltage was 1 500 V. The gain was 1. The spectra were recorded from m/z 50 to 250 for full
8	204	scan mode. All experiments were performed at the fragmentor voltage 35 eV for molecular
9 10	205	ions. For qualitative analysis, ion ratio experiments were performed for standard solutions and
11	206	real samples at the fragmentor voltage 65 eV for parent ions and m/z 231/213, m/z 231/195
12 13	207	and 231/153 for THI and at the fragmentor voltage 100 eV for the parent ion and m/z 83/56
14	208	for 4MeI. The dwell time for each analyte was 199 ms. The mass spectrometer was calibrated
15 16	209	with an ESI tuning solution obtained from Hewlett Packard (Palo Alto, CA, USA).
17	210	A sequence 231 – 213 – 195 appears in the mass spectrum of both THI tautomers that
18 19	211	corresponds to stepwise liberation of a single molecule of water from the side chain. The
20	212	fragment 195 liberates ketene from the double bond of the acetyl group forming an ion 153 as
21 22	213	can be seen in both spectra. The sequence is the same for both isomers. In addition the
23	214	tautomer liberates another water molecule from the fragment 195 forming an ion 176.
24 25	215	Because of the water liberated preferentially through six-member cyclic intermediate, it looks
26	216	like tautomer depicted in Figure 1 (right side).
27 28	217	
20 29	218	Accuracy, precision and recovery
30	219	Accuracy, precision and recovery of the determination of imidazole analytes were evaluated
32	220	with the powdered coffee beans spiked with THI/4MeI standards (concentrations varying
33	221	from 0.5 μ g.g ⁻¹ to 3 μ g.g ⁻¹). Coefficients of variation % C.V. of intra-day assay were
34 35	222	performed in 6 spiked extracts. Inter-day precision was determined by analysing six spiked
36	223	extracts during a 6-day period. Analyte concentrations were calculated from calibration
37 38	224	curves. Accuracy (in %) was evaluated by comparing the estimated concentration with the
39	225	known concentrations of the individual imidazoles.
40 41	226	
42	227	Results and discussion

229 High-performance liquid chromatography – mass spectrometry

A new LC/ESI/MS analytical method, using Atlantis HILIC Silica column and mildly acidic
medium (pH 5 – 6) was developed for unambiguous identification and determination of THI
and 4MeI. Inherent pH and thermal instability of silica-based column, like MetaChem Polaris
C-18A used in previous paper (Klejdus et al. 2003) significantly limits the range of

chromatographic conditions, under which such columns can be used, especially under reversed phase conditions. In the THI/4MeI analyses, the column was operated at edge conditions of pH applicability that decreased it's lifetime and led to retention times shift and peak width broadening.

The optimisation was carried out by flow injection analysis (FIA) injecting several solutions containing the individual compounds at the concentration of 1 ng. μ ⁻¹. The analytes produced protonated molecules $[M+H]^+$ at m/z 231 for THI and at m/z 83 for 4MeI. The tandem mass spectrometry was therefore used in order to obtain additional structural information by detecting diagnostic product-ions obtained by in-source collision-induced dissociation (CID) of the precursor ion. Three qualifying fragment ions (m/z 231/213, m/z231/195 and m/z 231/153) for THI and one qualifying fragment ion (m/z 83/56) for 4MeI were used, according to the selected-ion monitoring (SIM) technique.

247 Validation of the LC/ESI-MS method

Several experimental parameters such as limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), linearity, recovery, stability and repeatability were evaluated for validation of the HPLC/ESI-MS method. The separated HPLC zones were detected by the selected ion-monitoring (SIM) mode (*m/z* 231/213, *m/z* 231/195 and *m/z* 231/153 for THI and *m/z* 83/56). The limit of detection (LOD) was estimated to be around 1.5 pg for 4MeI and at 2.0 pg for THI with calculated S/N = 3 (see Table 1), while the limit of quantification (LOQ) was 4.4 pg for 4MeI and 6.3 ng ml⁻¹ for THI, respectively (S/N = 10). Molecular protonated ions were used for LOD and LOQ determinations. The calibration curves were linear in the concentration ranges 1 - 70 ng ml⁻¹ for 4MeI and 1 - 60 ng ml⁻¹ for THI with correlation coefficient r > 0.9993 for 4MeI and r > 0.9996 for THI, respectively. Very good results were obtained for run-to-run repeatability of retention times, peak areas and concentrations of 4MeI and THI (see Table 1). The data shown in Table 2 were achieved by injecting the standard solution of 4MeI and THI to the column in six replicates, in order to test the intra-day variation.

The inter-day variation of the method was assessed by analysing of the standard mixtures containing 1 and 3 μ g.g⁻¹ of both 4MeI and THI injected independently during 6 days in six replicates. The inter-day accuracy for the standard solution at the concentration 1 μ g.g⁻¹ was found to be 101.5 and 100.6 %, for 4MeI and THI, respectively, whereas that of the standard solution at the concentration of 3 μ g.g⁻¹ was found to be 99.1 and 100.2 %, respectively. The precision of the inter-day analysis, expressed as RSD%, was calculated as

Food Additives and Contaminants

268 1.66 and 0.60 % for 4MeI and THI, respectively, in the 1 μ g.g⁻¹ standard solutions, and 1.57 269 and 0.7 %, respectively, in the 3 μ g.g⁻¹ standard solutions (see Table 2).

270 The recovery of the method was verified analysing roasted coffee beans samples after 271 addition of two known amounts of 4MeI and THI standard solution and subjected to the 272 previously described SPE extraction after equilibration (ageing for 5 days). The theoretical 273 concentrations of the spiking 4MeI and THI standards were 1 and 3 μ g. g⁻¹. The mean 274 recovery and the standard deviations for six replicates are given in Table 3.

276 Supercritical fluid extraction

277 Optimisation of extraction conditions for imidazoles

Both analytes, THI and 4MeI, are quite polar compounds for an SFE context (see Fig. 2). Quantitative extraction of polar molecules requires long extraction time or very high flow-rate to ensure sufficient mass transfer. Also polarity of a supercritical fluid must be shifted by means of a modifier addition, because pure CO_2 has solvation power close to hexane and is an excellent solvent for non-polar compounds.

Several sets of spiked sample experiments were performed to find optimal extraction conditions for both analytes. An instrument adaptation for high CO₂ flow-rate, developed for isoflavone extraction (Klejdus et al. 2003) was used, providing the gaseous phase flow-rate of c. 750 – 850 ml.min⁻¹ and using liquid trapping into foil-sealed 100 ml vial with 30 ml of trapping solvent. Trapping efficiency and expected stripping effect was further tested. Fortunately, non-volatile analytes are trapped quantitatively and stripping effect was not observed in the first 45 min of any extraction. RSDs of all spiked analyte experiment were in the range 1,2 % - 4,7 %.

The best extraction conditions were examined in several sets of experiments. First, recovery vs. extraction time was examined at 40 MPa and 150 °C (see Figure 3). As can be seen the 55 % of THI and 74 % of 4MeI were extracted in the first 10 min and the 30 min extraction was nearly quantitative (98.4 % and 98.1 % for THI and 4MeI, respectively). Recoveries increased slightly up to 98.9 % and 99.8 % for THI and 4MeI in the 45th min, respectively (see Figure 3). Fractions were taken also for 60, 75 and 90 min, but they did not bring any measurable improvement of the results.

Study of extraction kinetics was repeated with a real sample of roasted coffee powder due to expectation of matrix effects. Courses of extraction kinetic curves were similar. In fact the real sample extractions were faster a little bit, partly due to the lower analyte content in real samples and, mostly, thanks to better-tuned modifier composition.

With the optimal extraction time selected, extraction temperature and pressure were optimised (see Figure 3). Series of extraction was performed at 40 MPa for different temperatures and at 150 °C for different pressures. As for THI, the higher the temperature is, the better (150 °C is an instrument limiting value, higher temperatures were not examined). The decrease of extraction efficiency was observed for 4MeI at 75 and 100 °C. The highest recovery was also obtained at 150 °C in this case. Recoveries vary from 86 % to 99 % for THI and from 69 % to 89 % at 150 °C, according to extraction pressure applied (with modifier composition best suitable for both analytes, see Figure 3). The best recovery values were obtained at 40 MPa in both cases.

Ultra-pure water, methanol, ethanol, acetonitrile and their combinations were tested in preliminary experiments to find the best modifier composition (2, 5 and 10 % (v/v) of each were added on-line). An aqueous methanol with 10 % (v/v) of water gave the best results thus the concentrations close to that amount were examined more carefully (see Figure 3). The proper modifier composition for THI was aqueous methanol with MeOH/H₂O 5:1 (v/v) ratio or with higher percentage of water (see Figure 3). Lower percentage of water in aqueous methanol, i.e. MeOH/H₂O 9:1 (v/v), is favourable for 4MeI. The recovery of the extraction decreased with the higher amount of water. However, the modifier composition MeOH/H₂O 5:1 (v/v) provided recoveries 101.3 % and 89.4 % for THI and 4MeI, respectively. These values could be considered sufficient for their simultaneous extraction (quantitative recoveries of polar analytes are difficult to achieve with SFE and nearly 90 % recovery at conditions optimal for another compound seems to be quite satisfactory). THI is also extractable quite well (with recovery 86.9 %) under the conditions optimised for the 4MeI quantitative extraction (MeOH/H₂O 9:1 (v/v), recovery of 4MeI 98.9 %). The optimised composition MeOH/H₂O 5:1 (v/v) was selected for the simultaneous extractions of THI and 4MeI for further experiments due to its higher total recoveries. In experiments, where 4MeI is the more important analyte, 9:1 (v/v) concentration will be more useful.

Another set of extractions was performed to find out the best volumes of on-line modifier. The initial amount of the modifier added to the extraction cartridge was 200 μ l. The higher volumes caused troubles during the extraction cell heating and leaved the cell trough the restrictor together with some ballast compounds. The concentration of a modifier in the extraction fluid depends on the amount of a liquid modifier added to the extraction phase during every filling of the extractor pump. Up to 1 ml of modifier can be added through the Valco valve loop. That is c. 10 % of the piston micro-pump volume. The modifier percentage is viable only for some substances and their combinations. Ultra-pure water, for example

Food Additives and Contaminants

tends to tarry in the piston micro pump. It is accumulated there and decreases piston micro pump inner space. To avoid this, the modifier addition must be skipped for each nth pump filling (according to the modifier type and its volume). Thus the maximum concentration of a modifier in an extraction fluid is lower. However, the modifier concentration MeOH/H₂O 5:1 (v/v) can be added in 10 % (v/v) amount without any troubles and it is also best composition for 4MeI (see Figure 3). For THI, 5 % (v/v) is sufficient and any higher concentration is suitable.

Last but not least was the determination of a suitable trapping solvent (see Figure 3). Methanol is not good for THI trapping (recovery 85.6 %); acetonitrile is an excellent solvent for both analytes (99.4 % and 98.2 %, for THI and 4MeI, respectively). Ultra-pure water is very good solvent for 4MeI (100.2 %), but poor for THI (38.7 %).

Thus, spiked imidazoles are extractable very well with the modified supercritical fluids with sufficient recoveries in an acceptable extraction time. Of course, all the optimised parameters were not known at the beginning of this study. Most of the experiments were repeated in a "second run" under the "optimised conditions". In Figure 3, only the results of the optimised experiments are given. Temperature optimisation, trapping solvent selection and the study of extraction kinetics were performed separately for the modifier composition MeOH/H₂O 5:1 (v/v) for THI and MeOH/H₂O 9:1 (v/v) for 4MeI. All the other studies were performed together only with MeOH/H₂O 5:1 (v/v) modifier. The composition was used also for all the real sample extractions. Recovery verification of SFE was also performed for the both modifier concentrations to authenticate the best conditions for both compounds. The values for 4MeI extracted with MeOH/H₂O 5:1 (v/v) modifier were also calculated and found out to be satisfactory.

360 Real samples extraction and method validation

SFE is a matrix-dependable method, where every new procedure must be verified also by application to a set of different real samples. The optimised extraction conditions were applied to the samples of roasted coffee powder, containing THI and 4MeI. A solid phase extraction was used as a comparative method for the neurotoxins determination (Klejdus et al. 2003, 2006). The both analytes were isolated and quantified in the concentrations high above their detection limits. Despite the expected matrix effects the method seems to work well with the acceptable reproducibility. The samples of fine-grained coffee (1.00 g) were homogeneous enough to avoid measurable differences between individual SFE samples. Any differences in their extractability from various matrices were not observed.

The efficiency of the supercritical fluid extraction was tested in two ways: via comparison with validated SPE extraction and via post-SFE liquid extraction of the "extracted" samples. Comparison of the results of SFE and SPE is presented in Table 4. Noteworthy, the results of both extraction methods are in the good coincidence for THI, although SPE was reported non-quantitative with spiked solid samples. For post-SFE tests, five used samples of coffee matrix (c. 5 g) were combined in one beaker and "coffee" was prepared in the same way like for the classical SPE. No traces of the analytes were found in any test solution.

For validation purposes, the coffee samples were spiked with the standard solution adding 0.5, 1, 2 and 3 μ g of the analytes per 1 g of the sample (details given in experimental

section). All the samples were extracted under the optimised conditions for both analytes. The
 values obtained for the more suitable modifier are given for each substance (see Table 5).
 Slightly higher selectivity was achieved with SFE, but the conditions necessary for the
 quantitative extraction of the analytes are quite hard, and many ballast compounds were co-

extracted. Because of that, quick test of available clean-up procedures was performed. Finally,
0.45 µm Teflon filter was selected for the extracts clean up, as it didn't hold part of analytes.
Although the goal to avoid any extract clean up was not achieved, viable method was found
that can also be usable for SPE extracts. Thus, both SFE and SPE can be used for the
quantitative extraction of THI/4MeI from roasted coffee solid samples (SFE) and lixiviates
(SPE).

91 Conclusions

The SFE method was optimised for the THI and 4-MeI separation from solid coffee samples. Both analytes are quantitatively extracted and it is also possible to co-extract one analyte together with the other with acceptable recovery (101.3 % THI and 89.4 % 4MeI or 98.9 % 4MeI and 86.9 % THI). Extraction time is moderate – 45 min – and both, the precision and the recovery of analytical method are sufficient. The method is suitable for all matrices and the spiked analytes recovery is quantitative.

Thus, an alternative method exists now for THI and 4MeI determination from real coffee samples. Although the method lacks some merits of a standard "low flow-rate" SFE – 30 ml extracts must be pre-concentrated and even a clean-up procedure is necessary in the case of real samples – it is relatively fast, reliable and works well with spiked solid samples.

An attempt was made to enhance environmental friendliness of the method by using ultra-pure water as a trapping solvent, but acetonitrile proved to be the only quantitative trapping solvent. THI trapping in water is insufficient.

5 Still, the solid phase extraction represents, in fact, an instant separation, while SFE 6 takes at least 30 min for extraction and some extra time for pre-concentration. Our results 7 indicate, that SPE of real samples is as efficient as SFE. However, SFE was successfully 8 validated for spiked solid samples now. With Teflon filter clean up, both SFE and SPE are 9 viable methods. Perhaps, SFE present an advantage for small amount extractions and for 1 samples, from which quantitative lixiviation of analytes with common solvents would be 1 difficult.

Although imidazole concentrations in coffee are very low, coffee imidazoles are one part of a total food imidazole puzzle. They are formed when sugars are heated together with

1		
2	414	nitrogen containing compounds. Thus, all baked products could contain some imidazoles in
3 4	415	their dark parts (Casal et al., 2002). In some cases, baking tins are sprinkled with flour or
5	416	desiccated coconut (although probably not because of this reason) and the burned
6 7	417	flour/coconut layer, containing most of harmful compounds, should stay in the baking tin. In
8	418	addition to coffee, baked pastries, caramel sweets, and dark beers participate in the puzzle
9 10	419	partly while cola-drinks quite seriously, because of both, larger concentrations of imidazoles
11	420	and lower respect of producers to standard concentration limits (40-times higher than limits
12	421	for imidazoles were found in several cola-drinks in our lab). Thus, each source of imidazoles
13 14	422	should be carefully examined.)
15	423	
16 17	424	
18 10	425	Acknowledgements
20	426	This work was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (GA \check{C} R 521/02/1367)
21	420	and the Grant A gency of the MŠMT (grant No. MSM 432100001 and MSM 6046137305)
22 23	427	and the Grant Agency of the MISWIT (grant 10. MISWI 452100001 and MISWI 0040157505).
24		
25 26		
27		
28 29		
30		
31		
32 33		
34		
35 36		
37		
38 39		
40		
41 42		
43		
44 45		
46		
47 49		
40 49		
50		
51 52		
53		14
54 55		14
56		
57 58		
59		
60		

1		
2	428	References
3 4	429	Adam M, Ventura K, Jandera P, Churáček J. 2000. Combination of solid phase extraction
5	430	with supercritical fluid extraction for the determination of contaminants in water. Journal
0 7	431	of Liquid Chromatography and Related Technolologies 23:1511-1522.
8	432	Casal S, Fernandez JO, Oliveira MBPP, Ferreira MA. 2002. Gas chromatography - mass
9 10	433	spectrometric quantification of 4(5)-methylimidazole in roasted coffee after ion-pair
11	434	extraction. Journal of Chromatography A 976:285-291.
12 13	435	Ding XD, Magiera DJ, Mazzeo JR, Edmar K, Krull IS. 1991. Determination of 2-acetyl-4-
14	436	tetrahydroxybutylimidazole in ammonia caramel color by HPLC. Journal of Agriculture
15 16	437	Food Chemistry 39:1954-1597.
17	438	Eisner A, Ventura K, Adam M. 2002. Combination of solid phase extraction with
18 19	439	supercritical fluid extraction for the determination of contaminants in water. Scientific
20	440	Papers, University of Pardubice, Serie A 8:47-63.
21 22	441	Fernandes JO, Ferreira MA. 1997. Gas chromatography – mass spectrometric determination
23	442	of 4(5)-methylimidazole in ammonia caramel colour using ion-pair extraction and
24 25	443	derivatisation with isobutylchloroformate. Journal of Chromatography A 786:299-308
25 26	444	Gugasyan R, Losinno C, Mandel T. 1995. The effect of 2-acetyl-4-tetrahydroxybutyl-
27	445	imidazole on lymphocyte subsets during a contact hypersensitivity response in the NOD
28 29	446	mouse. Immunological Letters 46:221-227.
30	447	Klejdus B, Moravcová J, Kubáň V. 2003. A Reversed-Phase High-Performance Liquid
31 32	448	Chromatographic/Mass Spectrometric (RP-HPLC/MS-SIM) Method for Separation of 4-
33	449	methylimidazole and 2-acetyl-4-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydroxybutyl)imidazole at pg levels.
34 35	450	Analytica Chimica Acta 477:49-58.
36	451	Klejdus B, Moravcová J, Lojková L, Vacek J, Kubáň V. 2006. Solid-Phase Extraction of 4(5)-
37 38	452	methylimidazole (4MeI) and 2-acetyl-4(5)-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydroxybutyl)-imidazole (THI)
39	453	from Foods and Beverages with Subsequent Liquid Chromatographic-Electrospray Mass
40 41	454	Spectrometric Quantification. Journal of Separation Science 29:378-384.
42	455	Kröplien U. Quantitative analysis of THI. 1986. Journal of Chromatography 362, 286-290.
43 44	456	Lawrence JF, Charbonneau CF. 1987. Direct method for the determination of 2-acetyl-4-
45	457	tetrahydroxybutylimidazole in caramel colors and beers by high performance liquid
46 47	458	chromatography with absorbency detection. Journal of Chromatography 407:405-407.
48	459	Moravcová J, Staněk J, Mílová B, Jarý J. 1992. Determination of 4-methylimidazole in
49 50	460	couler. Czech Journal of Food Sciences 10:233-238.
51		
52 52		
53 54		15
55 56		
57		
58		

- 461 Müller L, Langseth W, Solheim E, Sivertsen T. 1998. Ammoniated forage poisoning:
 462 Isolation and characterization of alkyl-substituted imidazoles in ammoniated forage and in
- 463 milk. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry 46:3172-3177.
- 464 Ong CP, Ng CL, Lee HK, Li SFY. 1994. Separation of imidazole and its derivatives by
 465 capillary electrophoresis. Journal of Chromatography A 686:319-324.
- 466 Thomsen M, Willumsen D. 1995. Quantitative ion-pair extraction of 4(5)-methylimidazole
 467 from Caramel colour and its determination by reverse phase ion pair liquid
 468 chromatography. Journal of Chromatography 211:213-221.
- 13 408 chromatography. Journal of Chromatography 211.2
- 14 469 Tomko J. 1999. Farmakognózia, Osveta, Martin.

- 15
 470 Reeve VE, Boehm-Wilcox C, Bosnic M, Rozinova E. 1993. Suppresive effect of 2-acetyl-4471 tetrahydroxybutylimidazole on contact hypersensitivity in the SKH-HR hairless mouse.
 18
 472 International Archives of Allergy and Immunology 1102:101-106.
- 473 Vávrová J, Mareková-Řezačová M, Vokurková D, Szkanderová S, Psutka J. 2003. Caffeine
 474 induces a second wave of apoptosis after low dose-rate gamma radiation of HL-60 cells.
 475 Radiation and Environmental Biophysics 42:193-9.
 - 476 Wagner J, Jork H, Koglin E. 1993. HPTLC as a reference method in clinical-chemistry –
 - 477 Online coupling with spectroscopic methods. JPC Journal of Planar Chromatography478 and Modern TLC 6:21-28.

1		
2	479	CAPTIONS TO FIGURES
3 4	480	
5	481	Fig. 1 Probable chemical structures of THI tautomers
6 7	482	
8	483	Fig. 2 HPLC/MS chromatograms (SIM mode for m/z 231 THI, for m/z 83 4MeI) of real
9 10	484	samples A, standards B. Peak identification 1 - THI, 2 - 4MeI. For chromatographic
11	485	conditions see text.
12 13	486	
14	487	Fig. 3 Optimisation of extraction parameters. All extraction performed from spike samples
15 16	488	under following conditions: T = 150 °C, p = 40 MPa, t = 45 min, c (modifier) = 10 %
17	489	(v/v), in situ modifier: 200 µl, trapping: 30 ml acetonitrile, ACF: modifier THI -
18	490	MeOH/H ₂ O 5:1 (v/v), 4MeI MeOH/H ₂ O 9:1(v/v), BDE: modifier MeOH/H ₂ O 5:1 (v/v)
19 20	491	for both analytes. RSDs were in the range 1,2 % - 4,7 %.
21		
22		
24		
25 26		
27		
28 20		
30		
31		
32 33		
34		
35 36		
37		
38 39		
40		
41 42		
43		
44 45		
46		
47 48		
49		
50		
52		
53		17
54 55		1,
56		
57 58		
59		
60		

Table 1: Retention time (R.T.), peak area (P.A.) and their repeatabilities (RSDs given in brackets, n = 6) and calibration data and LODs for 4MeI and THI obtained under optimised separation conditions using UV and MS detection (injection volume 5 µl)

	4N	ſeI	Т	ΉI
	UV^a	SIM	UV^{a}	SIM
R.T. $(\min)^b$		11.25(0.09) ^b		$6.94(0.14)^{c}$
P.A. $(x \ 10^5)^c$		$4.45(1.12)^{d}$		2.23(2.24) ^e
Concentration range (ng.ml ⁻¹)	40 - 650	1 – 70	22 - 850	1 - 60
Correlation coefficient $(n = 6)$	0.9998	0.9993	0.9999	0.9996
LOD (ng.ml ⁻¹)	14.5 ^f	0.1	8.2 ^g	0.2
LOQ (ng.ml ⁻¹)	48.3 ^f	0.4	27.4 ^g	0.6
range 4.39 – 4.53, ^f 215 nm, ^g 290	nm			1



3	
4	

499 Table 2: HPLC-MS validation: determination of THI and 4MeI in real coffee extracts,

500 standard solutions and coffee extracts spiked with known concentrations of analytes (n=6) 501

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
C [µg/g] 0.5 1 2 3 Standard solutions of known analyte concentration C [µg/g] 0.505 0.498 0.993 0.991 1.973 2.021 3.048 3.030 SD [µg/g] 0.009 0.006 0.015 0.020 0.027 0.054 0.078 0.062 RSD [%] 1.76 1.19 1.51 2.04 1.38 2.69 2.55 2.05 R [%] 100.93 99.67 99.32 99.12 98.67 101.03 101.59 101.00 Ground roasted coffee extract spiked with known analyte concentration C [µg/g] 0.050 0.019 0.012 0.024 0.010 0.005 0.032 0.026 RSD [%] 0.91 1.15 1.18 1.13 0.47 0.16 1.05 0.63 R [%] 100.48 101.06 98.14 100.50 100.04 100.17 99.44 99.83 C [µg/g] 0.034 1.145
Standard solutions of known analyte concentration C [µg/g] 0.505 0.498 0.993 0.991 1.973 2.021 3.048 3.030 SD [µg/g] 0.009 0.006 0.015 0.020 0.027 0.054 0.078 0.062 RSD [%] 101.03 101.76 1.19 1.51 2.04 1.38 2.69 2.55 2.05 R [%] 100.03 90.73 2.04 1.13 1.01.03 101.59 101.00 Ground roasted coffee extract spiked with known analyte concentration C [µg/g] 0.005 0.012 0.024 0.010 0.005 0.032 0.026 RSD [%] 0.916 1.05 0.016 0.016 0.016 0.016 0.010 0.005 </td
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
RSD [%] 1.76 1.19 1.51 2.04 1.38 2.69 2.55 2.05 R [%] 100.93 99.67 99.32 99.12 98.67 101.03 101.59 101.00 Ground roasted coffee extract spiked with known analyte concentration C [µg/g] 0.536 1.662 1.014 2.156 2.034 3.150 3.017 4.138 SD [µg/g] 0.005 0.019 0.012 0.024 0.010 0.005 0.032 0.026 RSD [%] 0.91 1.15 1.18 1.13 0.47 0.16 1.05 0.63 R [%] 100.48 101.06 98.14 100.50 100.04 100.17 99.44 99.83 Real sample (coffee Mexico) C [µg/g] 0.005 0.0073 0.004 100.17 99.44 99.83 RSD [%] 1.57 0.64 0.005 0.0073 0.004 0.012 0.024 0.010 0.025 0.026 SD [µg/g] 0.005 0.0073 0.005 0.0073 0.005 0.005
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
Ground roasted coffee extract spiked with known analyte concentration C [µg/g] 0.536 1.662 1.014 2.156 2.034 3.150 3.017 4.138 SD [µg/g] 0.050 0.019 0.012 0.024 0.010 0.005 0.032 0.026 RSD [%] 0.91 1.15 1.18 1.13 0.47 0.16 1.05 0.63 R [%] 100.48 101.06 98.14 100.50 100.017 99.44 99.83 Real sample (coffee Mexico) C [µg/g] 0.034 1.145
C [µg/g] 0.536 1.662 1.014 2.156 2.034 3.150 3.017 4.138 SD [µg/g] 0.005 0.019 0.012 0.024 0.010 0.005 0.032 0.026 RSD [%] 0.91 1.15 1.18 1.13 0.47 0.16 1.05 0.63 R [%] 100.48 100.69 98.14 100.50 100.04 100.17 99.44 99.83 Real sample (coffee Mexico) C [µg/g] 0.034 1.145
C [µg/g] 0.330 1.002 1.014 2.130 2.034 3.130 3.017 4.138 SD [µg/g] 0.005 0.019 0.012 0.024 0.010 0.005 0.032 0.026 RSD [%] 0.91 1.15 1.18 1.13 0.47 0.16 1.05 0.63 R [%] 100.48 101.06 98.14 100.50 100.04 100.17 99.44 99.83 Real sample (coffee Mexico) C [µg/g] 0.034 1.145
SD [µg/g] 0.005 0.019 0.012 0.024 0.010 0.005 0.032 0.026 RSD [%] 0.91 1.15 1.18 1.13 0.47 0.16 1.05 0.63 R [%] 100.48 101.06 98.14 100.50 100.04 100.17 99.44 99.83 Real sample (coffee Mexico) C [µg/g] 0.0005 0.0073
RSD [%] 0.91 1.15 1.18 1.13 0.47 0.16 1.05 0.63 R [%] 100.48 101.06 98.14 100.50 100.04 100.17 99.44 99.83 Real sample (coffee Mexico) C [µg/g] 0.034 1.145
R [%] 100.48 101.06 98.14 100.50 100.04 100.17 99.44 99.83 Real sample (coffee Mexico) C [µg/g] 0.034 1.145 Image: Coffee Mexico) Image: Coffee Mexico) SD [µg/g] 0.0005 0.0073 Image: Coffee Mexico) Image: Coffee Mexico) RSD [%] 1.57 0.64 Image: Coffee Mexico) Image: Coffee Mexico)
Real sample (coffee Mexico) C [µg/g] 0.034 1.145 Image: Confee Mexico in the
C [µg/g] 0.034 1.145
SD [µg/g] 0.0005 0.0073
RSD [%] 1.57 0.64

Table 3: HPLC/MS within-day and interday repeatability of THI and 4MeI determination for standard solution and spiked coffee extracts (n = 6, n = 30)

	\$	

Compound	THI	4MeI	THI	4MeI	THI	4MeI	THI	4MeI
	1 (int	raday)	1 (inte	erday)	3 (intr	aday)	3 (inte	erday)
			Stan	dard soluti	on			
C [µg/g]	0.994	1.013	1.006	1.015	3.000	2.985	3.01	2.97
SD [µg/g]	0.010	0.011	0.006	0.012	0.022	0.029	0.02	0.05
RSD [%]	1.01	1.04	0.60	1.16	0.72	0.98	0.60	1.57
R [%]	99.40	101.28	100.60	101.46	99.99	99.51	100.17	99.08
			Spiked	l coffee ex	tract			
C [µg/g]	1.343	2.087	1.329	2.099	3.318	4.157	3.377	4.138
SD [µg/g]	0.008	0.014	0.007	0.027	0.046	0.032	0.039	0.053
RSD [%]	0.59	0.69	0.52	1.28	1.39	0.76	1.16	1.29
R [%]	100.33	99.21	99.28	99.76	99.39	101.29	101.17	100.85
Extract of coffee								
C [µg/g]	0.338	1.104						
SD [µg/g]	0.002	0.015						
RSD [%]	0.48	1.33						



503 504

1 2 3 4	508 §90
5 6 7	
8 9 10 11	
12 13 14	
15 16 17	
18 19 20	
21 22 23	
24 25 26 27	
28 29 30	
31 32 33	
34 35 36	
37 38 39 40	
40 41 42 43	
44 45 46	
47 48 49	
50 51 52	
53 54 55 56	
57 58	

Table 4: Quantification of imidazoles in real sample (ground roasted coffee).

Table 5: SFE validation: the extraction of THI and 4MeI from real coffee sample, sea sand

model matrix and real coffee sample spiked with known concentrations of analytes (n=5)

Compound		T	HI			4N	ſeI	
C [ug/g]	0.05	0.10	0.15	0.20	1	2	3	4
4003	Sea sar	nd (p.a.) m	atrix spike	d with kno	wn analyt	e concentra	ation	
C [µg/g]	0.0509	0.103	0.147	0.199	0.992	2.038	2.949	4.088
$SD [\mu g/g]$	0.0019	0.005	0.005	0.009	0.047	0.105	0.132	0.230
RSD [%]	3.78	4.57	3.35	4.73	4.75	5.14	4.48	5.62
R [%]	101.71	102.55	98.19	99.30	99.17	101.92	98.30	102.19
	Ground roa	asted coffe	e matrix s	oiked with	known an	alyte conce	entration	
C [µg/g]	0.085	0.131	0.184	0.231	2.076	3.033	4.065	4.975
SD [µg/g]	0.004	0.006	0.008	0.011	0.064	0.096	0.176	0.195
RSD [%]	4.71	4.81	4.43	4.90	3.09	3.18	4.32	3.91
R [%]	101.97	97.78	100.07	100.23	98.61	97.51	98.92	97.36
			Real samp	le (coffee]	Mexico)			
C [µg/g]	0.034	1.110						
SD [µg/g]	0.001	0.041						
RSD [%]	2.32	3.70						







MSD1 TIC, MS File API-ES Positive



