



HAL
open science

Dioxins and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in fish oil dietary supplements: Occurrence and human exposure in the UK

Alwyn R Fernandes, Martin Rose, Shaun White

► To cite this version:

Alwyn R Fernandes, Martin Rose, Shaun White. Dioxins and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in fish oil dietary supplements: Occurrence and human exposure in the UK. *Food Additives and Contaminants*, 2006, 23 (09), pp.939-947. 10.1080/02652030600660827 . hal-00577582

HAL Id: hal-00577582

<https://hal.science/hal-00577582v1>

Submitted on 17 Mar 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Dioxins and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in fish oil dietary supplements: Occurrence and human exposure in the UK

Journal:	<i>Food Additives and Contaminants</i>
Manuscript ID:	TFAC-2005-191.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	14-Feb-2006
Complete List of Authors:	Fernandes, Alwyn; Central Science Laboratory Rose, Martin; Central Science Laboratory White, Shaun; Central Science Laboratory
Methods/Techniques:	Survey, Exposure
Additives/Contaminants:	Dioxins, PCBs
Food Types:	Fish and fish products

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Dioxins and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in fish oil dietary supplements: Occurrence and human exposure in the UK

A. R. Fernandes*¹, M. Rose¹, S. White¹, D. N. Mortimer² & M. Gem²

Deleted: C. Vaughan², A. Greaves²,

¹Central Science Laboratory, Sand Hutton, York, North Yorkshire, YO41 1LZ, UK.

²Food Standards Agency, Aviation House, 125 Kingsway, London, WC2B 6NH, UK.

Author for correspondence: A R Fernandes, e-mail: a.fernandes@csl.gov.uk

Key-Words – Dioxins, PCDD/Fs, PCBs, fish oil, WHO-TEQ, ICES-7 PCBs

Abstract

Commercially available fish oil supplements sourced from retail outlets in the UK, as well as by mail order, were surveyed in 2001-2 for dioxin (PCDD/Fs) and PCB content. Sampled products were representative of market share. The WHO-TEQ values for these products ranged from 0.18 – 8.4 ng/kg for Σ PCDD/F and 1.1 – 41 ng/kg for Σ dioxin-like PCBs. The results suggest a downward trend in the levels of dioxins in fish oil supplements over the last decade, since levels for similar products ranged from 0.3 – 10 ng/kg for Σ PCDD/F WHO-TEQ in 1996. Levels of ICES (International Council for the Exploration of the Seas) 7 PCBs in the current study ranged from 8.3 – 267 μ g/kg. Subsequent to this survey, EU legislation has been introduced that includes a maximum limit of 2 ng/kg WHO-TEQ for dioxins in fish oil products for human consumption. 12 of the 33 products reported here would have exceeded this limit. Negotiations are in progress to incorporate dioxin-like PCBs into the EU regulations.

Deleted: s

Deleted: s,

Deleted: support the observation of

Deleted: when

Deleted: that

Deleted: since this survey defines

Deleted: and

Deleted: surveyed

Deleted: that will

Deleted: se

Deleted: limits

Deleted:

Deleted:

When manufacturer-recommended doses were applied to the observed levels, the estimated upper bound human exposure to dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs from dietary

1 intake of these products ranged from 0.02 to 7.1 pg WHO-TEQ/ kg bodyweight/ day
2
3 for adults and 0.02 – 10 pg WHO-TEQ/ kg bodyweight/ day for school children. This
4
5 level rises to 1.8 – 8.9 pg WHO-TEQ/ kg bodyweight/ day for adults and 1.4 – 14 pg
6
7 WHO-TEQ/ kg bodyweight/ day for school children when combined with average
8
9 exposure from the whole diet in 1997. Again subsequent to this survey, the
10
11 Committee on Toxicity of Chemicals in Food, Consumer Products and the
12
13 Environment (COT) revised the UK TDI for mixtures of dioxins and dioxin-like
14
15 PCBs from 10 pg WHO-TEQ/kg bodyweight per day to 2 pg WHO-TEQ/kg
16
17 bodyweight/day. This is in line with the Tolerable Weekly Intake of 14 pg WHO-
18
19 TEQ/kg bodyweight set by the Scientific Committee on Food.
20
21
22
23

Deleted: T

Deleted: had recently

24 Introduction

25
26 Fish oils derived from the liver or tissue (body oil) of cold-water fish species such as
27
28 cod, salmon, halibut etc. have enjoyed widespread use as dietary supplements and
29
30 licensed medicines. These products are commercially available at retail outlets and by
31
32 mail-order and are commonly sold either as capsules, liquid oils or as oils in
33
34 combination with more palatable diluents such as fruit syrups. Fish oils are known to
35
36 be a source of Vitamins A and D, as well as long chain fatty acids, particularly
37
38 Omega-3 fatty acids.
39

Deleted: ,

Deleted: and

40
41 Over the last two decades, the popularity of these products has increased concurrently
42
43 with scientific research that has investigated the benefits of these oils in addressing a
44
45 number of human maladies, including cardiovascular disease, stroke, high blood
46
47 pressure, renal injury, rheumatoid arthritis and autoimmune disorders (Tran 2001,
48
49 Mori et al. 1994, Donadio et al 1994, Schmitz et al 2002, Mason P 2000). At least
50
51 some of the benefits of fish oils are thought to be due to the long chain n-3
52
53 polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC n-3 PUFA), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Deleted: such as

Deleted: amongst others

docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), the presence and levels of which are increasingly being included on the labels of fish oil products. The latter compound is essential for the development of the central nervous system, whilst the former is used by the body to produce prostaglandins and is also incorporated into cell lipids, including those in the cell membrane. In recent years, these products have been specifically targeted towards children suffering from dyslexia, dyspraxia, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and other similar disorders.

Deleted: contents

One of the main non-clinical disadvantages of using fish oils as dietary supplements, which has emerged in recent years, is the presence of environmental contaminants in these products. The natural habitat of these fish species – the continental shelf specially around the North Sea and the Atlantic Ocean, has experienced the build-up of persistent organic contaminants such as PCBs, dioxins, polybrominated diphenylethers (PBDEs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and various pesticides through atmospheric deposition, run-off and pollution from shipping. This has resulted in an enrichment of these pollutants, particularly in coastal sediments and, consequently, in the marine food chain. Many fish species bio-accumulate these contaminants, which are lipophilic and thus associate with lipid-rich tissues such as the liver and oil-rich muscle. As noted earlier, fish oil dietary supplements are produced from such tissues and the initial crude product can contain very high levels of these contaminants. The actual concentrations observed are dependent not only on the species but also on the geographical location (Brevik et al. 1990), with products sourced from the Southern Hemisphere generally showing lower levels of environmental contamination than those from Northern Hemisphere locations such as parts of the North Sea and especially the Baltic Sea, which is very contaminated with dioxins. The age of the fish and type of tissue used may also influence the

Deleted: that

Deleted:

Deleted: suffered

Deleted: depositions [DN: I don't know but I imagine that run-off is more significant than aerial deposition for PCBs. I should need to check with DEFRA if this is important.]

Formatted

Deleted: amongst others

Deleted: These depositions have

Deleted: This has resulted in a

Deleted: number of the

Deleted: ing

Deleted: that

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: observ

Deleted: relatively

Deleted: ,

Deleted:

Deleted: much

Deleted:

Deleted: the Baltic Sea or

Deleted: Additionally, t

contaminant levels, with data suggesting that oil derived from the liver is often more contaminated than muscle tissue oil.

Deleted: may be

In an effort to remove these contaminants, the industry employs a number of refining processes. General refining may include processes such as odour removal by steam stripping, exclusion of high molecular weight compounds by cold filtration, and removal of other undesirable contaminants by activated charcoal filtration, as well as

Deleted: e

Deleted: xclude

Deleted: ,

Deleted: and

other chemical methods (Brevik et al. 1990). However, molecular distillation (using a temperature range of 180 – 220⁰C at < 100Pa) is far more effective at removing halogenated contaminants, especially PCBs. A drawback of some of the refining

Deleted: such as

processes is that beneficial components of the fish oils, such as EPA and DHA, are removed at the same time as the contaminants, and the refining process employed must therefore strike a balance between contaminant removal and omega-3 fatty acid retention. Thus, some manufacturers have invested in developing newer (undisclosed)

Deleted: lost along with

Deleted: exclusion

purification methods that are able to achieve this balance and are claiming success.

Deleted: have

Deleted: ed

Deleted: other

An alternative means of reducing the dietary exposure to consumers but without further purification is, of course, to reduce the manufacturer recommended dosage, especially for children.

Deleted: way

The last survey of fish oil dietary supplements for PCBs and dioxins, carried out by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food in the UK in the mid-1990s, studied

Deleted: nineties

levels of these contaminants in retail products purchased in 1994 and 1996.

Deleted: in

Combined (dioxin plus dioxin-like PCB) concentrations for cod liver oils ranged from 7.3 - >44 ng WHO-TEQ/kg in 1994 and from 18 – 41 ng WHO-TEQ/kg in 1996

Deleted: and

(MAFF 1997). The Tolerable Daily Intake (TDI) at the time was 10 pg I-TEQ/kg bodyweight/day, and a typical consumer taking the manufacturer recommended dose

Deleted:

1 would not have exceeded this level except in the case of toddlers (because of low
 2 body mass). In combination with the whole diet in 1997, however, all groups would
 3
 4 have exceeded the upper end of the 1998 WHO recommended TDI range of 1-4 μ g
 5
 6 WHO-TEQ/kg bw/day (Leeuwen and Younes, 2000).
 7
 8
 9

Deleted: for

Deleted: the

10
 11 Those findings were followed by discussions between the regulators, the Department
 12 of Health, the Medicines Control Agency and representatives of the fish oils industry
 13 in the UK with the aim of exploring measures that would help to reduce the dioxin
 14 and PCB exposure from fish oil-based dietary supplements. This study represents
 15
 16 continued monitoring of dioxin and PCB levels in fish oil dietary supplements. It will
 17
 18 demonstrate the effectiveness of improved purification procedures employed by
 19
 20 manufacturers as well as establish current levels in these products within the UK.
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26

Deleted: se

Deleted: intakes

Deleted: product

Deleted: s

27 Sampling and Analysis

28
 29 A total of 33 dietary supplement products, comprising mostly cod liver oils but also
 30 salmon oils, other fish oils and formulations of cod liver oil with orange syrup, or
 31
 32 lemon flavouring, were purchased from retail outlets and by mail order during 2001-
 33
 34 02. It was assumed that sampling would be representative, as these products are
 35
 36 distributed nationally across the UK. Products that were sampled in previous surveys
 37
 38 in the UK (MAFF 1997) were included where they were still available for purchase,
 39
 40 along with a number of additional products. On receipt at the laboratory, each sample
 41
 42 was given a unique laboratory reference number and the sample details logged into a
 43
 44 database.
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49

Deleted: which in the main included

Deleted: ,

50 Product expiry dates were checked to ensure validity of the shelf-life of the samples
 51 during the measurement period. Information on recommended dosage provided on
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60

1
2 the product labels was recorded, together with any other relevant sample details,
3
4 Samples were stored sealed in their original containers at ambient temperature prior to
5
6 analysis. Where samples were sold as capsules, the casings were excluded from the
7
8 analytical sample.
9

Deleted: was recorded

10
11 The method used for the extraction and analysis of samples have been reported
12
13 previously (Fernandes et al. 2004 a). In brief, samples were fortified with ¹³C-labelled
14
15 analogues of target compounds and exhaustively extracted using mixed organic
16
17 solvents. *Ortho* substituted PCBs were separated from non-*ortho* substituted PCBs
18
19 and PCDD/Fs by fractionation on activated carbon. The two fractions were further
20
21 purified using adsorption chromatography on alumina. Analytical measurement was
22
23 carried out using high resolution gas chromatography/ high resolution mass
24
25 spectrometry (HRGC-HRMS) for the PCDD/Fs and non-*ortho* substituted PCBs
26
27 (IUPAC Nos. 77, 81, 126 and 169) and high resolution gas chromatography/ low
28
29 resolution mass spectrometry (HRGC-LRMS) for the *ortho* substituted PCBs (IUPAC
30
31 Nos. 18, 28, 31, 47, 49, 51, 52, 99, 101, 105, 114, 118, 123, 128, 138, 153, 156, 157,
32
33 167, 180, 189).
34
35
36
37

38
39 All analyses and results were UKAS accredited to ISO 17025 standards. Sample
40
41 batches included a blank and the following BCR (Bureau of community reference)
42
43 reference materials: CRM 350 (mackerel oil) for PCBs and RM 534 (milk) for the
44
45 PCDD/Fs. Further quality assurance measures included the successful participation,
46
47 during the course of this study, in international inter-comparison exercises (FAPAS
48
49 2002, Dioxins in Food, 2000, Dioxins in Food 2001) on dioxins and dioxin-like
50
51 PCBs, which included cod liver and cod liver oil among the test matrices.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Deleted: that

Deleted: used

Deleted: some of

Deleted: erials

Results and Discussion

All of the samples that formed part of this study showed detectable levels of PCDD/Fs and PCBs apart from one cod liver oil sample in which the PCDD/Fs were below detection limits. The reporting limit quoted for non-ortho-PCBs and dioxin congeners was the limit of determination that prevailed in that instance. The limit varied for different congeners but, at its lowest value, was 0.02 ng/kg fat. For the ortho-PCBs, a reporting limit of 0.10 µg/kg fat was applied.

Deleted: except for

Deleted: where

Deleted: depending on the

Deleted: various

Deleted: .

Insert Table 1 here

Summary data for all individual samples, presented as Σ WHO-TEQ for each class of PCDD/Fs, non-ortho-PCBs, and ortho-PCBs, as well as total Σ PCDD/F+PCB WHO-TEQ, is presented in Table 1. Details of the origin and brand of the products is given elsewhere (FSA 2002). The Σ ICES 7 PCBs is also included. The WHO-TEQ data were calculated using the appropriate WHO-TEF values (Van den Berg et al. 1998) and summed to give Σ WHO-TEQ (all WHO-TEQ data quoted in this work has been calculated in this way). Data are reported as upper-bound, which means that, in cases where congeners were not detected, they were assumed to be present at a concentration equivalent to the detection limit. As most of the samples in this survey were oils, whole weight basis TEQs would be equivalent to the fat weight basis data and have therefore not been reported separately. One sample that was a mixture (of unspecified proportions) of cod liver oil and orange syrup has been excluded from the statistical analysis which is shown in Table 2.

Deleted: A summary of t

Deleted: he

Deleted: individual

Deleted:

Deleted: Σ

Deleted: (16)

Deleted: full value of

Deleted: has been included

Deleted: not

Deleted: included

Deleted: in

Deleted: summary

Deleted: . The data are summarised

Deleted: which also includes descriptive statistics

Deleted: greater

Deleted: is made by

Insert Table 2 here

These data show that the major contribution to the total Σ WHO-TEQ comprises the PCBs, with approximately 55% from the non-ortho-PCBs and 24% from the ortho-

PCBs, the remainder coming from the PCDD/F contribution. This is in agreement with observations on fish oil and fish in general, as reported by other workers (Fernandes et al 2004b, Falandysz et al 1994, Jiminez et al 1996, Jacobs et al 2002 CFIA 2004, Bordajandi et al 2000, MAFF 1999). In particular, when compared to another contemporaneous survey of fish oils commissioned by the Food Safety Authority of Ireland (FSAI, 2002), the contribution from PCDD/Fs to the Σ WHO-TEQ is the same, at 21% (Table 3). The data are not directly comparable with the UK data. The apparent differences between the countries are largely due to the range and relative quantities of products and brands surveyed. In the UK survey, twelve of the 32 samples are derived from a single manufacturer's product. These samples showed consistently lower TEQ levels than the majority of other fish oil supplements. The Irish survey, on the other hand, only contains one sample of this product. Consequently, the UK data show a much higher proportion of lower concentrations compared to the Irish data. Therefore, the UK data has a lower mean and median, and is more skewed. The Irish data shows a much more even distribution with good agreement between the mean and median values and a very low skewness. Nevertheless, the results for the two countries are broadly similar. Both sets of data are positively skewed, and the observed TEQ levels are of the same order of magnitude. The distributions for both sets of PCB WHO-TEQ data are shown in Figure 1, which gives histograms for both sets of data.

Insert Table 3 here

Insert Figure 1 here

The congener profiles for samples in this study are generally similar with the PCDD/Fs dominated by the presence of 2378-TCDF, and with a few samples showing higher levels of OCDD. Among the PCBs, 77 and 126 show the highest levels of occurrence among the non-ortho PCBs and the ICES 7 congeners (particularly 101,

Deleted: with

Deleted: by other workers

Deleted: (22)

Deleted:

Deleted: The data are

Deleted: therefore

Deleted: directly comparable with the UK data, showing similar concentration ranges for the dioxins (0.2

Deleted:

Deleted: -8.4 ng/kg WHO-TEQ, compared to 0.2

Deleted:

Deleted: -11 ng/kg WHO-TEQ for the Irish results). Both sets of data are positively skewed, although the UK data show a much higher proportion of lower concentrations (median 0.9 ng/kg WHO-TEQ) compared to the Irish data, which show similar mean and median values (3.6 and 3.2 ng/kg WHO-TEQ respectively). However, data for the PCB TEQ show slightly different ranges with a lower range of 0.2 – 29.8 for the Irish data compared to 1.1 – 41.5 ng/kg PCB TEQ. These lower values can be ascribed to the greater proportion of samples of products with lower concentrations in the UK survey. [DN: This last sentence doesn't seem to follow] This view is supported by the lower median value of 4.8 ng/kg and a skewness value of 1.7. The Irish data, on the other hand, show a much more even distribution with good agreement between the mean and median values and a very low skewness. Both sets of data will, however, be influenced by the variation in the range of products and brands surveyed, and the data sets are therefore more notable for their similarity rather than their differences.

Formatted

Formatted

118, 138 and 153) dominate the ortho substituted PCBs. In general these observations are similar to those observed in other studies (Jacobs et al, Sandell and Kokkenen, FSAI 2002b). A more detailed assessment within individual analyte groups shows that in order of contribution, PCB 126 is the largest contributor to total Σ WHO-TEQ followed by PCB 118 and PCB 156. 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD, 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF, 2,3,7,8-TCDD and 2,3,7,8-TCDF also make significant, though smaller contributions. It must be emphasised that these observations refer to the fish oil data in the group of samples discussed in this paper and may reflect the effects of the purification procedures used by manufacturers, rather than the original contaminant levels in the oil. An important implication that follows is that the reduction in levels observed in this data set compared to previous data may be the result of better purification procedures or more selective sourcing of products based on geography, rather than actual reductions in the levels of contamination in the crude oil products. This view needs to be balanced with the observations on gradually declining dioxin and PCB levels in fish in general (Fernandes et al 2004b).

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: that are

Maximum limits

In May 2001, the EU Scientific Committee on Food (SCF) adopted an opinion on the Risk Assessment of Dioxins and Dioxin-like PCBs in Food based on available scientific information (SCF 2001). The SCF fixed a tolerable weekly intake (TWI) for dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs of 14 pg WHO-TEQ/kg body weight. Exposure estimates indicate that a considerable proportion of the Community population still exceeded the tolerable intake. More than 90% of human exposure is through the diet.

Deleted: Reference?

Formatted

The EU therefore adopted a strategy to reduce the presence of dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs in food. The strategy focussed on two aspects – current and future measures to

Deleted:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

reduce the release of these compounds to the environment and the setting of maximum and target levels for them in food and animal feed (Verstraete 2002, Council Regulation 2375/2001, Commission Recommendation 2002). Current measures included the setting of maximum permissible limits of PCDD/Fs in a range of foods including fish oils, whereas future measures concentrated on the target PCDD/F level reductions to be achieved in the future, as well as the inclusion of dioxin-like PCBs in the current PCDD/F maximum limits. Thus, from July 2002 limits were set for PCDD/Fs, with a view to including dioxin-like PCBs by 31 December 2004. Due to the complexity of negotiations, this is unlikely to have been achieved before the second half of 2005. Since July 2002, and subsequent to the collection of samples for this survey, the maximum permissible and target levels applying to PCDD/Fs in fish oil intended for human consumption, have been 2 ng/kg and 1.5 ng/kg WHO-TEQ, respectively.

Deleted: The strategy focussed on two aspects – current and future measures to

Deleted: to establish

Deleted: of these contaminants

Deleted: One of the

Deleted: food products that has been assigned

Deleted: limits

Deleted: is

Deleted:

Deleted: . The levels that have been set

Deleted: are

Deleted: as the maximum limit

Deleted: as the target limit

Deleted: WHO-TEQ

Deleted: show

Deleted: the maximum

Deleted:

Deleted: n

Deleted: additional

Deleted: showed a concentration above

Deleted: Thus, as far as dioxin TEQ is concerned, a

Deleted: showed

Deleted: well

Deleted: EU maximum

Deleted: As the

Deleted: is

Deleted: include

Deleted: by the end of 2004

Deleted: it is prudent to

Deleted: C

Deleted: s

Deleted: are

Deleted: limit

Deleted: (although this will be reviewed in 2007 with the expectation of a significant lowering) Any value within this range would result in

Deleted: exceeding the proposed limit

30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

It is evident from the data for PCDD/Fs presented in Table 1, that 12 products contained PCDD/Fs at concentrations in excess of what was to become the limit. Of these, 11 were cod liver oils and one was a salmon oil. A further cod liver oil product exceeded the future action limit. On the other hand, approximately 64% of the products surveyed contained PCDD/F concentrations below the limit. In view of the intention, to bring dioxin-like PCBs into the Regulation, assessment is included of the dioxin-like PCB concentrations of the products surveyed. At the time of writing, the current proposal is for a total WHO-TEQ limit (dioxins plus dioxin-like PCBs) of 10 ng/kg WHO-TEQ in marine oils intended for human consumption, based on a contribution of up to 8 from dioxin-like PCBs. On this basis, 13 of the products tested would be in exceedence.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

This limit will be reviewed in 2007 with the expectation of a significant reduction, which is likely to require the introduction of new purification techniques by producers of fish oil supplements. Norway already applies a national limit of 3 ng/kg TEQ for dioxin-like PCBs, although it should be noted that consumption of fish oil, as well as fish, is particularly high in Norway. Furthermore, Norwegian oil producers already use molecular distillation techniques to reduce the PCB content. According to the data in Table 1, only 8 products contain PCB concentrations below 3 ng/kg WHO-TEQ.

Deleted:

Deleted: . However

Deleted: As the maximum limits are based on toxicity considerations, this value is similar to the existing PCDD/F TEQ limit of 2 ng/kg. The data for dioxin-like PCB WHO-TEQ (non-ortho + ortho, PCB) in

Deleted: show that

Deleted: have

Deleted:

Formatted

The WHO-TEQ values for cod liver oil (the majority of products – 82% of the samples) in this survey (2001-2002) ranged from 0.18 – 8.4 (mean 2.7, median 0.9) ng/kg for PCDD/Fs. This compares to a range of 1.6 – 10 (mean 5.7, median 6.7) ng/kg WHO-TEQ for cod liver oil products surveyed in 1996 (MAFF 1997). The decrease in levels indicates a trend towards lower PCDD/F levels relative to earlier surveys. This is borne out by the fact that the median exceeds the mean in the earlier survey, indicating that a greater number of samples were towards the higher end of the range of measured values. A very similar decrease in mean and median can be observed for PCBs, with the mean concentration in cod liver oil falling from 25 to 10 ng/kg Σ PCB WHO-TEQ and the median even more significantly, from 28 to 5.1 (FSA 2002). This is in line with the general downward trend for dioxins and PCBs in food in the UK (Fernandes et al. 2004b), although levels of PCBs remain higher than the corresponding levels of dioxins. The general fall may reflect either alternative sourcing of crude oils and/or the introduction or increased use of carbon filtration for the processing of fish oils, since carbon is known to remove dioxins and furans more effectively than it does PCBs. In any event, to achieve lower PCB levels in fish oil in the future, it is highly probable that producers will need to make further technological changes.

Deleted: the range

Deleted: suggest

Deleted: [DN: We need to quote the mean and median values from each survey – the ranges do not effectively illustrate the point that is being made]

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Deleted: ¶

Human Exposure

In 2001, the UK Committee on Toxicity of Chemicals in Food, Consumer Products and the Environment (COT) issued a statement (DOH 2001) on human dietary exposure to dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs, where by the UK tolerable daily intake (UK TDI) for combined dioxin and dioxin-like PCBs was revised from 10 pg/kg bodyweight/day to 2 pg/kg TEQ bodyweight/day. This value is in line with that recommended by the EU SCF for dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs of 14 pg WHO-TEQ/kg bw/week (SCF 2001) or the WHO/JECFA recommended limit of 70 pg WHO-TEQ/kg bw/month (FAO/WHO 2001).

Deleted: Thus where to some extent contaminant exclusion methodologies have had some success in reducing the dioxin component of the WHO-TEQ, the emphasis should now move to excluding PCBs as well, from these products. As crude fish oils are not used it is difficult to identify a trend in these data that might reflect a decline in environmental or marine levels of these contaminants.

Deleted: ody

Deleted: eight

Deleted:

The UK Food standards Agency (FSA) has estimated a range of exposures (FSA 2002) for a wide section of the population ranging from schoolchildren to adults and the elderly, based on the data obtained from this survey, and these are summarized in Table 4. The summary distinguishes exposure from cod liver oil products and from other fish oil products, such as salmon oil. These two headings cover bottled product as well as capsules. The exposures were estimated by taking into account the suggested dosages for each of the age groups for which the products were recommended. The summary also provides estimate ranges for consumption of the products alone as well as in combination with the whole of the diet. Estimates for the dietary contribution were made from figures for mean dietary exposure as well as high level dietary exposure and were based on the 1997 Total Diet Study (FSA 2000), the most recent data available at the time.

Deleted: product label recommended

Deleted: at

Deleted: they are

Insert Table 4 here

1 The ranges summarized in Table 4 show that exposure resulting from cod liver oil
 2 products was generally higher than that from other fish oil products, although it is
 3 important to bear in mind that there were only 6 products in the latter group. The data
 4 also show that the consumption of some products at the manufacturer recommended
 5 doses would result in exposures exceeding the UK TDI and would provide a higher
 6 intake of dioxins than from the whole diet (FSA 2002). The 1997 TDS provided
 7 figures for an average dietary exposure of 1.8 pg WHO-TEQ/kg bodyweight/day for
 8 adults and 2.2 pg WHO-TEQ/kg bodyweight/day for schoolchildren. Subsequent
 9 estimates by the FSA (FSA 2003) show that intakes had apparently fallen by around
 10 50% between 1997 and 2001. Products with PCDD/F and PCB concentrations leading
 11 to exposure at the upper end of the ranges given for fish oil supplements would
 12 therefore make a significant contribution to the total exposure, amounting to twice the
 13 TDI for two of the products. On the advice of the COT, the UK Food Standards
 14 Agency requested manufacturers to withdraw the affected batches of those two
 15 products (FSA 2002). Fish oil supplement producers were also advised to consider
 16 measures to further reduce dioxin and dioxin-like PCB exposure from their products,
 17 for example by differential sourcing of fish oils with lower contaminant contents,
 18 more effective purification of the oils or changes to recommended dosages and age
 19 ranges of products if they were not already doing so (FSA 2002). The Agency also
 20 reiterated the need for dosages and age recommendations to be clearly stated in order
 21 to minimize the potential for excessive intakes of dioxins and PCBs. A more recent
 22 exposure assessment resulting from fish oil consumption made in Norway
 23 (Norwegian Scientific Committee for Food Safety, 2004) reports a dioxin and dioxin-
 24 like PCB intake of 0.2 pg WHO-TEQ/kg bodyweight/week for adults and 0.9 pg
 25 WHO-TEQ/kg bodyweight/week for children. This value is based on the consumption
 26 of a popular brand of fish oil and may not reflect the variation observed in the
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60

Deleted: exposure

Deleted: the number of other fish oil products that were estimated for exposure were 6 as compared to 18 cod liver oil products

Deleted: table

Deleted: suggests

Deleted: even on their own

Deleted: from

Deleted: to

Deleted: that occur

Deleted: exposure

Deleted: only

Deleted: it was recommended by

Deleted: that

Deleted: at the upper end of the exposure range

Deleted: It was also recommended that f

Deleted: with approaches such as

Deleted: . It should be recognized that a number of the products with exposures at the lower end of the ranges may already be using these approaches.

Deleted: accepted the COT members advice

Deleted: that

Deleted: need

Deleted: minimise

1 contents of dioxin and PCBs in these products. As observed earlier, the gradually
 2 declining dioxin and PCB levels in fish or better purification techniques, (or a
 3
 4 combination of the two) may account for these reported exposure values.
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9

10 Conclusions

11 This survey of fish oil dietary supplements for dioxins/furans and dioxin-like PCBs
 12 demonstrates continued surveillance in the UK of a product that can make a
 13 significant contribution to the total human exposure to these contaminants. The data
 14 obtained for dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs are in good agreement with a
 15 contemporaneous survey of fish oils carried out by the [Food Safety Authority of](#)
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22 [Ireland \(FSAI\)](#).
 23
 24

25 The results of this survey suggest that, while some products [contain](#) lower PCDD/F
 26 [levels compared with](#) earlier surveys, [dioxin and dioxin-like PCB concentrations for a](#)
 27 [number of products in this survey would exceed a proposed EU limit of 10 ng/kg total](#)
 28 [WHO-TEQ, whilst](#) the majority of products exceed [an existing](#) Norwegian limit of 3
 29 ng/kg WHO-TEQ [for dioxin-like PCBs](#). [Since a future review is likely to drive limits](#)
 30 [down towards the values applied by Norway](#), fish oil purification processes [will](#)
 31 [probably need to be modified to achieve a significant](#) reduction of PCB levels.
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41

42 Estimates of human exposure to different population groups resulting from
 43 consumption of the fish oil products [alone](#), as well as in combination with an average
 44 diet, show that some products would give exposures in excess of the TDI.
 45
 46
 47
 48 [Consequently](#), the UK FSA recommended that the relevant manufacturers withdraw
 49 affected batches of the products from sale.
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60

Deleted: s

Deleted: show

Deleted: concentrations

Deleted: relative to

Deleted: concentrations

Deleted: the

Deleted: surveyed here would

Deleted: proposed

Deleted: Thus

Deleted: should

Deleted: extend to the

Deleted: the

Deleted: content of the oil as well as dioxins

Deleted: on their own

Deleted: As a result

1
2 The toxicological significance of the exposure data for these products needs to be
3
4 balanced with the many beneficial effects that they are reported to have on human
5
6 health. It is desirable to continue to benefit from these products, whilst reducing
7
8 exposure to contaminants. It is therefore recommended that surveillance of these
9
10 products for PCBs and dioxins should continue.

Deleted: utilise the

Deleted: s

Deleted: of

Deleted: e

Deleted: excluding

Deleted: the

Deleted: content

Deleted: thus

13 Acknowledgements

14
15 The authors are grateful to the UK Food Standards Agency for funding this work

18 References

19
20 Bordajandi L, Herrero L, Abad E, Rivera J and Gonzalez M. (2002) Presence of
21
22 PCDDs, PCDFs and PCBs in selected food samples from Huelva, Spain.

23
24 *Organohalogen Compounds*, **57**, 69 – 72.

25
26
27
28 Brevik E, Biseth A and Oehme M (1990) Levels of PCDDs and PCDFs in crude and
29
30 processed fish oils in relation to origin and cleaning method. *Organohalogen*
31
32 *Compounds*, **1**, 467-470.

33
34
35
36 Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) (2004), Summary Report of Contaminant
37
38 Results in Fish Feed, Fish Meal and Fish Oil
39
40 Available at <http://www.inspection.gc.ca/english/anima/feebet/dioxe.shtml>

41
42
43
44 Commission Recommendation 2002/201/EC of 4 March on the reduction of the
45
46 presence of dioxins, furans and PCBs in feedingstuffs and foodstuffs. *Official Journal*
47
48 *of the European Communities*, 09.03.2002, 69-73.

1
2 Council Regulation (EC) No 2375/2001 of 29/11/2001 amending Commission
3
4 Regulation No 466/2001 setting Maximum levels for certain contaminants in
5
6 foodstuffs, *Official Journal of the European Communities*, L321 06.12.2001, p1-5.
7
8

9
10 Department of Health (DOH), (2001). Committee on Toxicity of Chemicals in Food,
11
12 Consumer Products and the Environment. Statement on the Tolerable Daily Intake for
13
14 dioxins and dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls. Dept of Health. Available
15
16 at:www.food.gov.uk/multimedia/pdfs/cot-diox-full.
17

18
19
20 Dioxins in Food (2000), “Intercalibration on Dioxins in Food - An International
21
22 Study” National Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway, ISBN 82-7364-167-8
23

24
25
26 Dioxins in Food (2001) “Interlaboratory Comparison on Dioxins in Food – Second
27
28 round of an International Study” National Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway,
29
30 ISBN 82-7364-178-3
31

32
33
34 Donadio J, Bergstralh E, Offord K, Spencer D and Holley K (1994) A controlled trial
35
36 of fish oil in nephropathy. *New England Journal of Medicine*, **331**, 1194-1199.
37

38
39
40 Falandysz J, Tanabe S and Tatsukawa R. (1994) Most toxic and highly
41
42 bioaccumulative PCB congeners in cod-liver oil of Baltic origin processed in Poland
43
44 during the 1970s and 1980s, their TEQ-values and possible intake. *The Science of the*
45
46 *Total Environment*, **145**, 207 – 212.
47

48
49
50 FAPAS[®] (2002), Environmental Contaminants Report 0612. Series 6, Round 12.
51
52 FAPAS, Central Science Laboratory, Sand Hutton, York, UK..
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Fernandes A White S, DSilva K and Rose M (2004), Simultaneous Determination of
5 PCDDs, PCDFs, PCBs and PBDEs in Food, *Talanta*, **63**, 1147-1155
6
7

8
9
10 Fernandes A, Gallani B, Gem M, S White S, and Rose M (2004) Trends in the
11 dioxins and PCB content of the UK diet, *Organohalogen compounds*, **66**, 2053 - 2060
12
13

14
15
16 Food Safety Authority of Ireland (FSAI) (2002), Summary of Investigation of
17 Dioxins Furans and PCBs in Farmed Salmon, Wild Salmon, Farmed Trout and Fish
18 Oil Capsules. March 2002. Available at
19
20 www.fsai.ie/surveillance/food/surveillance_food_summarydioxins.asp
21
22
23

24
25
26 Food Safety Authority of Ireland (FSAI) (2002), Investigation on PCDD/Fs and
27 several PCBs in Fish Liver Oil Capsules. Available through:
28
29
30 www.fsai.ie/surveillance/food/surveillance_food_summarydioxins.asp
31
32

33
34 Food Standards Agency (FSA), 2000, Dioxins and PCBs in the UK diet: 1997 total
35 diet study samples, *Food Surveillance Information Sheet* No. **4/00**, Food Standards
36 Agency, London, available at [http://www.food.gov.uk/science/surveillance/fsis-](http://www.food.gov.uk/science/surveillance/fsis-2000/4diox)
37
38
39
40 [2000/4diox](http://www.food.gov.uk/science/surveillance/fsis-2000/4diox).
41

42
43
44 Food Standards Agency (FSA), 2002, Survey of Dioxins and Dioxin-like PCBs in
45 Fish oil Supplements, *Food Surveillance Information Sheet* No. **26/02**, FSA, London,
46
47
48 available at <http://www.food.gov.uk/science/surveillance/fsis-2002/26diox>.
49
50

1
2 Food Standards Agency (FSA), 2003, Dioxins and polychlorinated biphenyls in the
3
4 UK diet – 2001 Total Diet Study samples, *Food Surveillance Information Sheet*,
5
6 **38/03**. Food Standards Agency, available at
7
8 http://www.food.gov.uk/multimedia/pdfs/fsis38_2003.pdf.
9

10
11
12 Jacobs M, Covaci A and Schepens P (2002) Investigation of selected Organic
13
14 Pollutants in Farmed Atlantic Salmon, Salmon Aquaculture feed and fish oil
15
16 componenets of the feed. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **36**, 2797-2805.
17

18
19
20 Jiminez B, Wright C, Kelly M and Startin J (1996) Levels of PCDDs, PCDFs and
21
22 non-ortho PCBs in dietary supplement fish oil obtained in Spain. *Chemosphere*, **32(3)**
23
24 461-468.
25

26
27
28 Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, 2001,. Fifty-seventh meeting.
29
30 Summary and Conclusions available at www.who.int/pcs/jecfa/Summary57.pdf
31
32

33
34 Leeuwen F X R and Younes M (2000), Assessment of the health risk of dioxins:re-
35
36 evaluation of the TDI, *Food Additives and Contaminants*, **17(4)**, 233-269.
37

38
39
40 Mason P (2000). Fish oils – an update. *The Pharmaceutical Journal* **265** (7122) 720-
41
42 724
43

44
45
46 Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF), 1997, Dioxins and PCBs in fish
47
48 oil dietary supplements and licensed medicines, *Food surveillance Information Sheet*,
49
50 No **106**, MAFF, London, available at
51
52 <http://archive.food.gov.uk/maff/archive/food/infosheet/1997/no106/106diox.htm>
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF), 1999, Dioxins and PCBs in UK
5 and imported marine fish, *Food surveillance Information Sheet*, No **184**, MAFF,
6 London, available at
7

8
9
10 <http://archive.food.gov.uk/maff/archive/food/infosheet/1999/no184/184diox.htm>
11

12
13
14 Mori T, Vandongen L, Beilin L, Burke V, Morris J and Ritchie J (1994), Effects of
15 varying dietary fat, fish and fish oils on blood lipids in a randomised trial in men at
16 risk of heart disease. *Am J. Clin. Nutr.* **59**, 1060- 1068
17

18
19
20 Norwegian Scientific Committee for Food Safety, 2004 Comments on proposed
21 maximum levels for dioxins in food. Available at: www.vkm.no/dav/3ff7d5ceff.pdf
22

23
24
25
26 Sandell E, Kokkonen J (2004), The content of PCDD/Fs in batches of raw material for
27 feedingstuffs analysed during a one year period at VTT processes. *Organohalogen*
28 *Compounds*, **66**, 2074- 2079..
29

30
31
32
33
34 SCF (2001) Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food, on the Risk Assessment of
35 Dioxins and Dioxin-like PCBs in Food. 30 May 2001. Available at
36
37 http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/fs/sc/scf/out90_en.pdf
38

39
40
41
42 Schmitz P and Antony K (2002) Omega-3 fatty acids in ESRD: should patients with
43 ESRD eat more fish. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*, **17**, 11-14
44

45
46
47
48 Tran M, "Fish Oil" in Gale Encyclopaedia of Alternative Medicine, 2001. Gale
49 Group. Available at [www.findarticles.com/p/articles/](http://www.findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_g2603/is_0000/ai_2603000095)
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
mi_g2603/is_0000/ai_2603000095 - 31k -

1
2
3
4 Van den Berg M., Birnbaum L., Bosveld A.T.C., Brunström B., Cook P., Feeley M.,
5
6 Giesy J.P., Hanberg A., Hasegawa R., Kennedy S.W., Kubiak T., Larsen J.C., van
7
8 Leeuwen F.X.R., Liem A.K.D., Nolt C., Peterson R.E., Poellinger L., Safe S.,
9
10 Schrenk D. Tillitt D., Tysklind M., Younes M., Waern F. and Zacharewski T. (1998)
11
12 Toxic Equivalency Factors (TEFs) for PCBs PCDDs and PCDFs for humans and
13
14 wildlife. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, **106**, 775-792

15
16
17
18 Verstraete F (2002), Development and implementation of an EC strategy on dioxins,
19
20 furans and dioxin-like PCBs in food and feed. *Organohalogen Compounds*, **55**, 1-4.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1: Concentrations of dioxins and PCBs (upper bound) in individual fish oil products. (n=33)

Deleted: Individual concentrations

Deleted: ΣWHO-TEQ

Sample No	Σ PCDD/F WHO-TEQ	Σ non-ortho PCB WHO-TEQ	Σ o-PCB WHO-TEQ	Σ Total WHO-TEQ	Σ o-PCBs & non-ortho PCB WHO-TEQ	Σ ICES 7 PCBs
	ng/kg fat	ng/kg fat	ng/kg fat	ng/kg fat	ng/kg fat	µg/kg fat
5897	4.84	7.73	2.06	14.6	9.79	73.1
5898	4.58	10.3	3.11	18.0	13.4	115
5899	1.52	9.17	2.18	12.9	11.4	53.2
5900	2.54	7.58	2.07	12.2	9.65	53.1
5901	4.55	31.3	10.1	46.0	41.5	267
5902	0.38	1.17	1.69	3.24	2.86	81.2
5903	0.85	0.56	2.93	4.34	3.49	115
5908	0.23	2.48	0.53	3.24	3.01	22.6
5909	0.86	0.82	0.3	1.98	1.12	8.3
5910	5.9	20.3	7.4	33.6	27.7	224
5911	5.29	17.1	4.91	27.3	22.0	146
6465	6.47	10.0	3.24	19.7	13.3	124
6466	0.87	0.98	3.81	5.66	4.79	177
6467	0.49	1.92	0.33	2.74	2.25	12.0
6468	0.77	1.72	0.41	2.9	2.13	14.6
6469	5.11	8.33	2.8	16.2	11.1	101
6470	3.92	9.82	3.1	16.8	12.9	93.9
6471	0.61	1.25	1.86	3.72	3.11	65.5
6472	0.93	2.68	2.39	6.0	5.07	81.9
6473	0.5	1.24	1.89	3.63	3.13	65.6
6474	0.73	2.24	2.93	5.9	5.17	107
6475	0.53	1.65	2.81	4.99	4.46	103
6476	0.57	2.15	2.95	5.67	5.1	107
6477	0.7	0.62	1.8	3.12	2.42	87.7
6478	0.73	1.06	1.86	3.65	2.92	89.3
6479	0.18	0.67	2.37	3.22	3.04	98.4
6480	6.34	14.2	4.62	25.1	18.8	153
6481	8.4	18.5	6.04	33.0	24.6	196
6710	7.87	19.1	5.83	32.8	24.9	196
6711*	0.32	0.17	0.28	0.77	0.45	7.4
6712	0.22	0.78	1.03	2.03	1.81	43.8
6731	1.33	3.17	0.83	5.33	4.0	30.8
6995	0.31	0.58	0.96	1.85	1.54	39.5

* Unspecified fish oil content, data presented on a whole weight basis. This datapoint is generally not included in discussion.

Table 2: Summary of concentration data with descriptive statistics

Parameter	Σ PCDD/F	Σ non-ortho PCBs	Σ o-PCBs	Σ WHO-TEQ	Σ ICES 7 PCBs
N=32*					
ng/kg fat weight					
Mean	2.5	6.6	2.8	11.9	98.3
Median	0.9	2.4	2.4	5.7	91.6
Skewness	1.0	1.5	1.6	1.3	0.8
Minimum	0.2	0.6	0.3	1.9	8.3
25th Percentile	0.6	1.1	1.8	3.2	53.2
50th Percentile	0.9	2.4	2.4	5.7	91.6
75th percentile	4.6	9.9	3.1	17.1	117.5
Maximum	8.4	31.3	10.1	46.0	266.6
SD	2.6	7.7	2.2	11.8	63.0
Mean+2sd	7.6	21.9	7.2	35.6	224.4
% contribution to ΣWHO-TEQ	21	55	24		

* One sample not included due to unspecified fish oil content.

Skewness – extent of asymmetry of a distribution relative to the mean

Table 3: Comparison with recent literature data (FSAI, 2002).

Parameter	Σ PCDD/F TEQ		Σ o-PCB & non-ortho PCB TEQ		Σ WHO-TEQ	
	UK	Ireland	UK	Ireland	UK	Ireland
UK, n=32						
Ireland, n=15						
Mean	2.5	3.6	9.4	13.4	11.9	17.0
Median	0.9	3.1	4.8	14.0	5.7	17.9
Skewness	1.0	1.0	1.7	0.2	1.3	0.2
Minimum	0.2	0.2	1.1	0.2	1.9	0.6
Maximum	8.4	11.0	41.5	29.8	46.0	37.6
SD	2.6	3.1	9.6	8.3	11.8	10.9
Mean+2sd	7.6	9.7	28.7	30.0	35.6	38.7
% contribution to Σ WHO-TEQ	21	21	79	79		

Table 4: Human exposure arising from fish oil supplement consumption.

Exposure in pg WHO-TEQ/kg bodyweight/day		
Population group	Cod liver oil products	Other fish oil products
<i>Fish oil supplements only</i>		
Children, 4-18 yrs	0.02 to 10	0.04 to 4.9
Adults	0.02 to 7.1	0.04 to 1.7
Elderly	0.01 to 6.9	0.03 to 1.6
<i>Fish oil supplements + average diet in 1997</i>		
Children, 4-18 yrs	1.4 to 14	1.4 to 8.1
Adults	1.8 to 8.9	1.8 to 3.5
Elderly	1.3 to 8.6	1.3 to 3.3
<i>Fish oil supplements + high level diet in 1997</i>		
Children, 4-18 yrs	2.5 to 16	2.5 to 11
Adults	3.1 to 10	3.1 to 4.8
Elderly	2.3 to 9.8	2.3 to 4.5




Figure 1. Data distribution for UK and Irish studies