

## THE DETECTION, ACCUMULATION AND DISTRIBUTION OF NITROFURAN RESIDUES IN EGG YOLK, ALBUMEN AND SHELL.

David Glenn Kennedy, Robert J Mccracken

### ▶ To cite this version:

David Glenn Kennedy, Robert J Mccracken. THE DETECTION, ACCUMULATION AND DIS-TRIBUTION OF NITROFURAN RESIDUES IN EGG YOLK, ALBUMEN AND SHELL. Food Additives and Contaminants, 2007, 24 (01), pp.26-33. 10.1080/02652030600967214 . hal-00577507

## HAL Id: hal-00577507 https://hal.science/hal-00577507

Submitted on 17 Mar 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### **Food Additives and Contaminants**



#### THE DETECTION, ACCUMULATION AND DISTRIBUTION OF NITROFURAN RESIDUES IN EGG YOLK, ALBUMEN AND SHELL.

Journal:	Food Additives and Contaminants
Manuscript ID:	TFAC-2006-055.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	14-Aug-2006
Complete List of Authors:	Kennedy, David; Chemical Surveillance Branch, VSD, DARD McCracken, Robert; Chemical Surveillance Branch, VSD, DARD
Methods/Techniques:	Chromatographic analysis, Extraction, LC/MS
Additives/Contaminants:	Veterinary drug residues
Food Types:	Eggs





http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tfac Email: fac@tandf.co.uk

## The detection, accumulation and distribution of

## nitrofuran residues in egg yolk, albumen and shell

R.J. McCracken and D.G. Kennedy<sup>\*</sup>.

Chemical Surveillance Branch, Veterinary Sciences Division, AFBI, Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author:

Dr Glenn Kennedy Chemical Surveillance Department Veterinary Sciences Division, AFBI Stoney Road, Stormont, Belfast BT4 3SD Northern Ireland, UK.

E-mail: <u>glenn.kennedy@afbini.gov.uk</u> Telephone: 028 90525651 Facsimile: 028 90525626

Keywords: nitrofurans, eggs, LC-MSMS, eggshell, yolk, albumen.

#### Abstract

Nitrofuran antibiotics have been banned for use in food producing animals in many countries including European Union, because of the threat they pose to human health. Research continues into the accumulation of these drugs in animal tissues and into the appropriate methods for their detection. In this study, an LC-MS/MS method is presented for the detection of the parent compounds, furazolidone, nitrofurantoin, furaltadone and nitrofurazone in eggs. The parent compounds are first extracted into ethyl acetate, fats are removed by partition between acetonitrile and hexane, and the concentrated sample is analysed by LC-MS/MS. Decision limits (CC $\alpha$ ) for the parents were  $\leq 1 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$  for all four compounds. Within-day and between-day CVs are well within the limits stated in Commission Decision 2002/657/EC. The method provides an alternative to the testing of side-chain metabolites in eggs, which is particularly important in the case of nitrofurazone, where semicarbazide contamination of food has been attributed to sources other than nitrofurazone use. This method was used together with a method for the detection of the side-chain metabolite compounds, AOZ, AMOZ, AHD and SEM, to study the accumulation and distribution of the nitrofurans in eggs. Eggs were collected from 4 groups of hens that had been treated with one of the nitrofurans at a feed concentration of 300 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> for 1 week. Parent compounds and metabolites were found in the yolk, albumen and shell. The albumen/yolk ratios for the parent compounds were 0.7, 0.82, 0.83 and 0.31 for furazolidone, furaltadone, nitrofurantoin and nitrofurazone, respectively. Ratios for the side-chain metabolites were 1.02, 1.06, 0.83 and 0.55 for AOZ, AMOZ, AHD and SEM, respectively. However, 50% of the total SEM residues were found in eggshell. This may be significant if eggshell products reach the consumer.

#### INTRODUCTION

Nitrofuran antibiotics were prohibited for use in food-producing animals in the European Union (EU) and other countries, in response to evidence that these compounds are both carcinogenic and mutagenic (van Koten-Vermeulen et al. 1993). In 2002 the EU had to impose restrictions on the import of poultry products from Thailand (Commission Decision 2002/251/EC) and Brazil (Commission Decision 2002/794/EC), countries whose food exports to the EU were found to contain nitrofuran residues. Since May 2003, more than 150 Rapid Alerts have been issued by EU the through its Rapid Alert System for Food and Feeds (http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/fs/sfp/ras\_index\_en.html) regarding the detection of nitrofurans in food from 29 countries. The presence of such residues in food, either through illegal use of the drugs or through inadvertent contamination, has made it essential for monitoring authorities to have appropriate detection methods in place to prevent such residues reaching the consumer.

An analytical method using LC-MSMS was developed under the auspices of FoodBRAND (www.afsni.ac.uk/foodbrand), an EU funded project (Cooper et al. 2005a). This method and others, based on Solid-Phase Extraction (Leitner et al. 2001; Connely et al. 2003), are now used throughout the world to detect the marker residues of the nitrofuran drugs. Previous reports have shown that the tissue-bound side chain metabolites are the most suitable markers for nitrofuran detection in animal tissues, because they are more stable *in vivo* than the parent compounds and persist in tissues for many weeks (Cooper et al. 2005a). However, in the case of nitrofurazone (NFZ), whose side-chain metabolite is semicarbazide (SEM), this practice has proved unreliable, because SEM has been attributed to other sources of contamination in food

(Kennedy et al., 2004; Pereira et al. 2004). Following a spate of positive SEM detection in coated chicken products, the source of SEM contamination was attributed to the presence of azodicarbonamide, a flour treatment agent, used in the coating material. It did not therefore indicate the use of nitrofurazone in chicken production. Consequently, attention has focused upon finding alternatives to SEM as a marker for the detection of nitrofurazone abuse. Recently, it was reported that intact nitrofurazone accumulates in whole eyes of chickens treated with the drug (Cooper et al. 2005b). The detection of the parent drug in retina is therefore a realistic alternative to SEM to monitor for abuse in primary production. This, of course, is not possible for the analysis of processed meat products. Arising from the crisis in 2002 of nitrofuran contamination in imported poultry and shellfish, and the subsequent doubts over the use of SEM as a marker residue is the need for further information on the accumulation of nitrofurans in food products. This requirement goes hand-in-hand with the need for sensitive confirmatory methods for detecting appropriate residues, as required under Commission Decision 2002/657/EC.

As part of a continuing investigation into the most appropriate markers for nitrofuran detection and the development of confirmatory methods, an evaluation of the residues for the four main nitrofurans in chicken eggs was undertaken. It has been known for some time that furazolidone (FZD) accumulates as intact parent compound in chicken eggs (Botsoglou 1988, McCracken et al. 2001). Levels of the drug reached a plateau of approximately 300  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> after the fourth day of treatment, and parent compound could still be detected up to the ninth day after withdrawal from medication.

#### **Food Additives and Contaminants**

Two analytical methods were required to monitor the accumulation of residues in eggs. Firstly, the existing LC-MSMS method for nitrofuran metabolites in tissues was applied to the analysis of eggs. Secondly, a new LC-MSMS method for the detection of the intact parent compounds was developed. This new method is presented for the analysis of whole egg, with validation data obtained using the guidelines contained in Commission Decision 2002/657/EC. The accumulation of parent compound and side-chain metabolites in yolk, albumen and shell is presented in order to illustrate, that for poultry eggs, the analysis of intact parent compound residues is feasible as part of a residue monitoring programme. The procedure is less complicated than the detection of metabolites, and samples are processed rapidly, thus providing a satisfactory alternative to metabolite testing. This is particularly important in the case of nitrofurazone, because the parent compound can be determined instead of semicarbazide, which has been shown to be insufficiently specific as an indicator for nitrofurazone use.

The study of nitrofuran accumulation in chicken eggs was extended to include the analysis of the drugs and metabolites in eggshell. There are several varied applications for the utilisation of eggshell waste from poultry production. In the US, scientists have produced dietary phosphorous supplements for poultry, pigs and pets (Miller 2001). Eggshell has been used to replace limestone as a source of calcium, and has been combined with phosphoric acid to produce mono-and di-calcium phosphate. The use of eggshell powder as a source of calcium has also been evaluated for human nutrition (Schaafsma et al. 2000). It has been demonstrated that eggshell matrix proteins enhance calcium transport in human intestinal epithelial cells (Daengprok et al. 2002). Collagen, derived from eggshell membrane, is used in

cosmetics, wound dressings, surgical implants and intrusive device coatings. Gelatine is used in the food and confectionery industries, and sialic acid is a precursor for use in anti-inflammatory drugs. The utilisation of eggshell waste is therefore another route potentially for nitrofuran contamination to reach the consumer.

The work presented therefore has three important implications. Firstly, that confirmation of nitrofuran intact parent compounds in eggs is possible using LC-MS/MS. Secondly, that intact parent compounds accumulate in eggs and are appropriate markers for monitoring nitrofuran abuse in laying chickens. Thirdly, that nitrofurans accumulate in eggshell and because of the utilisation of eggshell waste there exists the potential for nitrofurans to reach the consumer by this route.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

#### Materials

Furazolidone (FZD), nitrofurazone (NFZ), furaltadone (FTD) and nitrofurantoin (NFT) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, UK). The metabolite compounds: 3-amino-2-oxazolidinone (AOZ) and semicarbazide (SEM) hydrochloride were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich; 1-amino-hydantoin (AHD) hydrochloride and 3-amino-5-morpholinomethyl-1,3-oxazolidin-2-one (AMOZ) were obtained from Chemical Synthesis Services (Craigavon, UK). D<sub>4</sub>-Furazolidone, D<sub>5</sub>-furaltadone, <sup>13</sup>C<sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub>-nitrofurazone, D<sub>4</sub>-AOZ, and D<sub>5</sub>-AMOZ were also obtained from Chemical Synthesis Services. <sup>13</sup>C<sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub>-SEM and <sup>13</sup>C<sub>3</sub>-AHD were obtained from Witega (Berlin, Germany). All other reagents and solvents were analytical and HPLC grade, respectively.

#### Determination of parent nitrofuran residues in eggs.

Samples of whole egg, yolk, albumen (5 g) or shell (0.5 g) were placed in 30 ml glass centrifuge tubes. Internal standard solution (50  $\mu$ l of 1  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> solutions in methanol) containing D<sup>4</sup>-FZD, D<sup>5</sup>-FTD and <sup>13</sup>C <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub> –NFZ was added to each tube. Ethyl acetate (12 ml) was added, and samples were homogenised using a Silverson high-speed emulsifier for 1 min. Following centrifugation at 2200 rpm for 15 min., supernatants were transferred to clean tubes and evaporated to dryness under nitrogen at 50 °C. Acetonitrile (5 ml) was added to the dry residue, and hexane (3 ml) was added while vortexing. Following centrifugation, the hexane layer was discarded and the acetonitrile evaporated under nitrogen at 50 °C. The dry residue was redissolved in 500 µl of methanol-water (1:1, v/v), transferred to Eppendorf vials and centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for 10 min. Aliquots (140 µl) were transferred to autosampler vials prior to analysis by LC-MS/MS.

#### LC-MS/MS analysis of parent nitrofurans.

An Agilent 1100 Series HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, USA) coupled to a Quattro Ultima<sup>®</sup> Platinum tandem mass detector (Micromass, UK) incorporating MassLynx<sup>®</sup> software were used for nitrofuran analysis. The mass spectrometer was operated in electrospray positive mode for the analysis of FZD and FTD, and in electrospray negative mode for NFT and NFZ. Data acquisition was performed in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode. The time-sectored ion transitions, dwell times, cone voltages and collision energies are shown in Table 1. The source settings were as follows: source and desolvation temperatures were 120 and 350°C, respectively, cone and desolvation gas flows were 99 and 800 L hr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively,

capillary 3.2 kV, RF lens 5.0. Argon was used as collision gas and the multiplier was operated at 650 V.

The HPLC analytical column was a Columbus C18 (2) 5 $\mu$ m, 2.0 x 150 mm (Phenomenex, UK). A binary gradient mobile phase was used at a flow rate of 0.2 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. Solvent A consisted of 0.5 mM ammonium acetate and methanol (80:20, v/v), and solvent B was methanol. The gradient ran from 10 % solvent B initially to a maximum of 80% after 8 min, was held until 15 min, returning to 10 % after 15.5 min. The sample injection volume was 5  $\mu$ l. Nitrofuran concentrations in samples were calculated by comparing the ratio of the nitrofuran base peak to the internal standard peak with the same ratio in the calibration curve standards.

#### Validation of the method for parent nitrofurans in eggs.

The method for the determination of parent nitrofuran compounds was validated for whole egg homogenates in accordance with the guidelines stated in Commission Decision 2002/657/EC. Whole egg homogenate, fortified with each of the nitrofurans (FZD, FTD, NFT and NFZ) at concentrations of 2, 3 and 4  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> were analysed on three separate occasions (n=7). Samples were quantified against standard calibration curves in the range, 0, 1, 2, 5, 10 and 20  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Determination of nitrofuran side-chain metabolites in eggs using LC-MS/MS.

The protein-bound, side-chain metabolites for each of the nitrofurans were determined using the extraction procedure reported previously for the determination of AOZ in eggs (McCracken et al. 2001). Identification and quantification was performed using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. The mass spectrometric

#### **Food Additives and Contaminants**

conditions used were developed under the auspices of EU project, QLK1-CT-1999-00142 known as "FoodBRAND" (Cooper et al. 2005a). Briefly, egg homogenate, albumen, yolk (1 g) or shell (0.5 g) was mixed in a 50 ml centrifuge tube with internal standard solution containing D<sup>4</sup>-AOZ, D<sup>5</sup>-AMOZ, <sup>13</sup>C <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub>-SEM and <sup>13</sup>C<sub>3</sub>-AHD (50µl of 100 ng ml<sup>-1</sup>), 4 ml of water, 0.5 ml of 1 M hydrochloric acid and 150 µl of 2-nitrobenzaldehyde (50 mM in dimethylsulphoxide). The tube was stoppered and the mixture incubated in a water bath at 37 °C for 16 hours. Nitrofuran side-chain metabolites (AOZ, AMOZ, SEM and AHD) present in the egg sample were derivatised by the 2-nitrobenzaldehyde to produce the corresponding nitrophenyl derivative. Following the incubation, the pH of the mixture was adjusted to approximately 7.4 by the addition of 5 ml of 0.1 M dipotassium hydrogen orthophosphate and 0.4 ml of 1M sodium hydroxide. The nitrophenyl derivatives were extracted by shaking twice with 5 ml of ethyl acetate. The solvent was removed under nitrogen at 50°C and the residue redissolved in 0.5 ml of methanol:water (1:1, v/v). The sample was transferred to a centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for 10 min. An aliquot of the supernatant was transferred to an autosampler vial for LC-MS/MS analysis.

#### Treatment of laying hens with nitrofurans.

Four groups of six laying hens were held in wire-floored cages. They were allowed *ad libitum* access to fresh water at all times. Each group was fed a standard layers diet for three days prior to commencement of the experiment. After this period one group was fed a layer feed medicated at 300 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> with furazolidone. In the same way the other groups were fed with furaltadone, nitrofurantoin or nitrofurazone. After feeding for 1 week and with no withdrawal from treatment, eggs were collected for 2

days from each cage. They were separated into yolk, albumen and shell, and analysed for the presence of bound residues of AOZ, AMOZ, SEM and AHD, and for parent compounds. The analysis for parent compounds was performed on the day of sampling.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Method validation results for the analysis of parent nitrofurans are shown in Tables 2 and 3. Calibration curves for standard solutions were linear in the range 1-20  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). Decision Limits (CC $\alpha$ ) for the parents were <1  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> for all four compounds (Table 3). Detection Capabilities (CC $\beta$ ) were lower for furazolidone and furaltadone by comparison with nitrofurazone and nitrofurantoin. Nevertheless, confirmation of residues was possible at 2  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> for all four compounds in all of the replicates analysed. Intra and inter run CVs are well within the acceptable values predicted by the Horwitz equation (Commission Decision 2002/657/EC). Concentrations for furazolidone, furaltadone and nitrofurazone were calculated using the appropriate internal standard. An internal standard was not available for nitrofurantoin, however concentrations were calculated using the D<sup>4</sup> furazolidone. For this reason, recovery values for nitrofurantoin were lower than the other 3 nitrofurans.

There are numerous methods reported for the determination of nitrofuran residues in eggs. Kumar et al. (1994) reported an LC method with UV detection. The limits of detection were 1  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> for nitrofurazone and furazolidone; and 2  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> for furaltadone. This procedure, however, would not meet present EU criteria as a confirmatory method. Draisci et al. (1997) confirmed nitrofuran residues in eggs

using LC-ion spray mass spectrometry with an atmospheric pressure ionisation interface. Limits of detection were 3.2, 1.6 and 1.0  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> for nitrofurazone, furazolidone and furaltadone, respectively. Only single protonated-molecular ions were monitored, and again this would not meet EU criteria as a confirmatory method. Since 2002, and the start of the crisis related to nitrofuran contamination in poultry and shellfish, most residues monitoring programmes have focussed upon the detection of the side-chain metabolites. This was justified on the basis of the stability in vivo of metabolite residues compared to the parent compounds. However, this is not necessarily the case when considering other matrices such as eggs. Botsoglou (1988) and McCracken et al. (2001) demonstrated that intact furazolidone residues accumulate in eggs and may still be detected as such up to 9 days after withdrawal of medicated feed. A Minimum Required Performance Limit (MRPL) of 1.0  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> has been set for all four side-chain metabolites in poultry meat (Commission Decision 2003/181/EC). Subsequently, Commission Decision 2005/34/EC extended the MRPL definition to cover all matrices. No MRPL has been established for the nitrofuran parent drugs in eggs, or in any other tissue. The developed method may find two potential applications. Firstly, it may be used as the primary test used to detect nitrofuran residues in eggs. Secondly, it is quicker and simpler than methods for the determination of the side chain metabolites. It may also be used to back up the results obtained using the more widely used method for the detection of the side chain metabolites. Specifically, it might be used to confirm the presence of nitrofurazone following the finding of semicarbazide in eggs. This would provide unambiguous evidence for the administration of nitrofurazone to the chickens and would remove any possible ambiguity associated with the use of semicarbazide as a marker. Stability experiments performed at this laboratory and reported elsewhere indicate that while

SEM has a depletion half-life in eggs of 2.4 days, the half-life for parent nitrofurazone is 1.1 days (Cooper et al., 2006). Parent nitrofurazone was detected in eggs up to 3 days post-withdrawal when chickens were fed at only 1% of the therapeutic dose (3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). In eggs pasteurised for 4 min. at 64-66 °C, followed by spray drying at 180-230 °C, parent nitrofurazone depleted by 65%. It is possible, however that albumen powder containing over 5  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>, SEM may contain detectable quantities of parent nitrofurazone.

The distributions of parent compound and side chain metabolite residues in yolk, albumen and shell for each nitrofuran are shown in Table 4. For all four drugs, residues of parent compounds accumulated in yolk, albumen and shell. The chemical basis for the detection of AOZ, AMOZ, AHD and SEM means that any parent compound present in the sample will also be measured as its corresponding metabolite together with any other moiety containing acid-releasable AOZ, AMOZ, AHD or SEM. In this study, where there was no withdrawal from treatment before eggs were collected, it was expected that most of the residues occurring in the yolk and albumen might be present as intact parent compound. This was not the case. In terms of the number of moles detected, the concentrations of side-chain metabolites greatly exceeded that of the parent compound for all four nitrofurans. This suggests that over the 6-day treatment period, and despite zero withdrawal, most of the drug was present not as the parent - but as other moieties which contain the intact side-chain. McCracken et al. (2001) reported that the concentration of furazolidone in egg homogenates stored at -20°C fell by 44% after 55 days. In the present study however, eggs were analysed immediately after collection for parent compound residues. Any

#### **Food Additives and Contaminants**

degradation therefore, occurred during the period of treatment, and much of it prior to deposition in the egg.

For furazolidone the albumen/yolk ratio of parent residues was 0.7 compared to 1.02 of AOZ. Similar values were found for furaltadone: 0.82 of parent and 1.06 for AMOZ; and for nitrofurantoin: 0.83 parent compared to 1.01 for AHD. Residue concentrations of nitrofurantoin were lower than the other nitrofurans, once again reflecting the poor absorption of this drug in chickens (McCracken et al. 2005). The concentration of residues and their distribution in yolk and albumen was quite different in the case of nitrofurazone. Higher concentrations of parent compound were detected in yolk (73%) compared to albumen (23%), giving an albumen/yolk ratio of 0.31. The albumen/yolk ratio for SEM was 0.55. Reasons for the difference in distribution between compounds may be attributable to the physiochemical characteristics of the drugs and to the physiology of the hen and the formation of the egg. Kan and Petz (2000) compiled a database from the literature on drug residues in egg yolk and white. White/yolk ratio values for the four nitrofurans compare favourably with those reported here, but the authors concluded that there was no single factor apparent that determines the distribution of drug between yolk and albumen. The difference between the yolk/albumen ratio for nitrofurazone and the other nitrofurans therefore may not be significant. Contrary to this, Kan and Petz also discussed the striking difference in distribution between yolk and white of narasin and salinomycin (white/yolk ratios of 0.31 and <0.007, respectively). These large molecules (molecular weights: 765 and 751 for narasin and salinomycin, respectively) differ only by one methyl group. Similarly, the differences in distribution of the nitrofurans may in part be due to the molecular structure of the compounds. The most striking difference in residue distribution of the nitrofurans however, was that 50% of the total SEM residues found in the egg were detected in the shell. A mean concentration of 24.2 nmol  $g^{-1}$  of SEM was found in shell compared to only0.24 nmol  $g^{-1}$  of parent nitrofurazone. Eggshells used as negative controls were free of SEM, which eliminates the possibility of SEM occurring by any means other than nitrofurazone treatment of the laying birds. This concentration is significantly higher than for the side-chain metabolites of the other nitrofurans and illustrates the potential for SEM contamination to occur in eggshell products. The concentration of residues in shell were slightly higher for furazolidone compared to furaltadone but did not amount to more than 10% of the total residues in the egg. The result reported for NFT in shell represents detection of the drug in only 1 of the six samples tested.

The utilisation of eggshell waste is a growing industry. Eggshell is mainly composed of calcium carbonate (94%), but also contains small amounts of magnesium carbonate, calcium phosphate and organic matter including protein. AOZ, AMOZ, AHD and SEM have been considered as part of the side-chain metabolites of the nitrofurans that are bound to protein in the tissues of the animal. It is not known whether all of the metabolites detected in the eggshell are bound to the eggshell proteins, but it remains a possibility. However, it is clear that nitrofuran residues accumulate in eggshell and therefore pose a threat to health, where eggshell products are consumed.

In conclusion, residues of nitrofurans are deposited throughout the stages of egg production: in the development of yolk, in the albumen and during the shelling process. These residues, at least with zero withdrawal from treatment, can be detected as intact parent compound. The method presented is suitable as a confirmatory

procedure and can be used together with the established method for the metabolites to form an effective residue control programme.

<text>

#### REFERENCES

- Botsoglou NA. 1988. Determination of furazolidone in eggs by high-performance liquid chromatography. Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry, 36, pp1224-1227.
- Commission Decision 2002/251/EC, of 27 March 2002 concerning certain protective measures with regard to poultry meat and certain fishery and aquaculture products intended for human consumption and imported from Thailand. Official Journal of the European Communities, L84, pp 77-78.
- Commission Decision 2002/657/EC, of 12 August 2002 implementing Council Directive 96/23/EC concerning the performance of analytical methods and the interpretation of results. Official Journal of the European Communities, L221, pp 8-36.
- Commission Decision 2002/794/EC, of 11 October 2002 concerning certain protective measures with regard to poultry meat, poultry meat products and poultry meat preparations intended for human consumption and imported from Brazil. Official Journal of the European Communities, L276 pp 66-67.
- Commission Decision 2003/181/EC, of 13 March 2003 amending Decision 2002/657/EC as regards the setting of minimum required performance limits (MRPLs) for certain residues in food of animal origin. Official Journal of the European Union, L71 pp 17-18.
- Commission Decision 2005/34/EC, of 11 January 2005 laying down harmonised standards for the testing for certain residues in products of animal origin imported from third countries. Official Journal of the European Union, L61 pp 61-63.

- Conneely A, Nugent A, O'Keeffe M, Mulder PPJ, Van Rhijn JA, Kovacsics L, Fodor A, McCracken RJ, Kennedy DG. 2003. Isolation of bound residues of nitrofuran drugs from tissue by solid-phase extraction with determination by liquid chromatography with UV and tandem mass spectrometric detection. Analytica Chimica Acta, 483, pp 91-98.
- Cooper KM, Mulder PPJ, Van Rhijn JA, Kovacsics L, McCracken RJ, Young PB, Kennedy DG. 2005a. Depletion of four nitrofuran antibiotics and their tissuebound metabolites in porcine tissues and determination using LC-MS/MS and HPLC-UV. Food Additives and Contaminants, 22, pp 406-414.
- Cooper KM, McCracken RJ, Kennedy DG. 2005b. Nitrofurazone accumulates in avian eyes—a replacement for semicarbazide as a marker of abuse. Analyst, 130, pp 824-827.
- Cooper KM, Jian L, Kane C, Kennedy DG. 2006. A study of the kinetics of semicarbazide and nitrofurazone in chicken eggs and egg powders. Presented at the Fifth International Symposium on Hormone and Veterinary Drug Residues Analysis, 16-19 May, Antwerp, Belgium.
- Daengprok W, Rupa P, Mine Y. 2002. Hen eggshell matrix proteins enhance calcium transport in the human intestinal epithelial cells. Annual meeting and food expo-Anaheim, California 17<sup>th</sup> June, session 61D, Nutraceuticals and Functional Foods II. Institute of Food Technologists, The society for Food Science and Technology.
- Draisci R, Giannetti L, Lucentini L, Palleschi L, Brambilla G, Serpe L, Gallo P. 1997.
   Determination of nitrofuran residues in avian eggs by liquid chromatography-UV photodiode array detection and confirmation by liquid chromatographyionspray mass spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography A 777, pp 201-211.
- European Commission Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed web site, http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/fs/sfp/ras\_index\_en.html.

- Kan CA, Petz M. 2000. Residues of veterinary drugs in eggs and their distribution between yolk and white. Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry, 48, pp 6397-6403.
- Kennedy, DG, van Rhijn, J.A., & Kanarat, S. 2004. Azodicarbonamide, a flour treatment agent, produces semicarbazide residues in bread. In: *EuroResidue V Conference on Residues of Veterinary Drugs in Food*. The Netherlands FECS Event No. 277 10-12 May, 2004 (Editors: van Ginkel, L. A. and Bergwerff, A. A.) pp 612-617.
- Kumar L, Toothill JR, Koon Bay Ho. 1994. Determination of nitrofuran residues in poultry muscle tissues and eggs by liquid chromatography. Journal of AOAC International, 77, pp 591-595.
- Leitner A, Zollner P, Lindner W. 2001. Determination of the metabolites of nitrofuran antibiotics in animal tissue by high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography A, 939, pp 49-58.
- McCracken RJ, Spence DE, Floyd SD, Kennedy DG. 2001. Evaluation of the residues of furazolidone and its metabolite, 3-amino-2-oxazolidinone (AOZ), in eggs. Food Additives and Contaminants, 18, pp 954-959.
- McCracken RJ, Van Rhijn JA, Kennedy DG. 2005. Transfer of nitrofuran residues from parent broiler breeder chickens to broiler progeny. British Poultry Science, 46, pp 287-292.
- Miller V. 2001. Using the old egg (shell). Research Nebraska, University of Nebraska-Lincoln Agricultural Research Division web site, http://ard.unl.edu/rn/0901/egg.html.
- Pereira AS, Donato JL, De Nucci G. 2004. Implications of the use of semicarbazide as a metabolic target of nitrofurazone contamination in coated products. Food Additives and Contaminants, 21, pp 63-69.

- Schaafsma A, Pakan I, Hofstede GJH, Muskiet FAJ, Van Der Deer E, De Vries PJF. 2000. Mineral, amino acid and hormonal composition of chicken eggshell powder and the evaluation of its use in human nutrition. Poultry science 79, pp 1833-1838.
  - Van Koten-Vermeulen, JEM, Wouters, MFA and Van Leeuwen, FXR 1993, Toxicological evaluation of certain veterinary drug residues in food. Report of the 40th Meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food

Woure. In of certain In J. World Health Organ.

## Table 1. Data acquisition parameters for the Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM) ofparent nitrofurans.

Compound	Ion transition	Dwell time (sec)	Cone voltage (V)	Collision energy (eV)
	Function: 1:	Electrospray	/ positive mode	(0 – 20 min)
Furazolidone	226.2 > 139.2	0.40	35	13
	226.2 > 209.3	0.60	35	13
D <sub>4</sub> FZD	230.2 > 139.2	0.30	35	13
	Function 2:	Electrospray	negative mode	(0 – 20 min)
Nitrofurazone	197.2 > 124.2	0.40	35	7
	197.2 > 150.2	0.70	35	7
<sup>13</sup> C <sup>15</sup> N <sub>2</sub> NFZ	200.2 > 153.2	0.70	35	7
Nitrofurantoin	237.1 >152.2	0.40	35	12
	237.1 > 194.2	0.70	35	8
	Function 3:	Electrospray	positive mode	(5 – 20 min)
Furaltadone	325.3 > 252.4	0.20	35	15
	325.3 > 281.3	0.20	35	9
D <sub>5</sub> -FTD	330.3 >286.3	0.20	35	10

2	
~	
3	
4	
5	
~	
6	
7	
8	
~	
9	
10	
11	
40	
12	
13	
14	
45	
15	
16	
17	
40	
18	
19	
20	
24	
21	
22	
23	
24	
24	
25	
26	
27	
21	
28	
29	
20	
30	
31	
32	
202	
33	
34	
35	
200	
30	
37	
38	
20	
39	
40	
41	
10	
42	
43	
44	
15	
40	
46	
47	
<u>،</u>	
40	
49	
50	
51	
51	
52	
53	
51	
54	
55	
56	
57	
57	
58	
59	

Table 2. Typical values for calibration of parent nitrofuran standard solutions in the	
range 1-20 μg kg <sup>-1</sup>	

	Typical equation of curve	Correlation coefficient (r)
Nitrofurazone	y = 0.7872x - 0.033	0.9989
Furazolidone	y = 0.8322x + 0.194	0.9971
Furaltadone	y = 0.9698x + 0.206	0.9993
Nitrofurantoin	y = 0.0781x + 0.011	0.9977

3	
1	
4	
5	
6	
7	
~	
8	
9	
10	
11	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
~~	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
24	
31	
32	
33	
21	
34	
35	
36	
37	
20	
30	
39	
40	
41	
10	
42	
43	
44	
45	
10	
40	
47	
48	
49	
FO	
50	
51	
52	
53	
55 E 4	
54	
55	
56	
57	
57	
28	
59	
60	

# Table 3. Summary of validation results for the determination of parent nitrofurans inwhole egg homogenate.

	Fortification Level (µg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Overall Mean (μg kg⁻¹) n=21	Overall recovery (%)	Within Day CV	Between Day CV
Furazolidone	2.0	2.2	110	6.4	7.4
	3.0	3.3	110	7.0	6.8
	4.0	4.5	113	5.7	9.0
	CCα	0.7 μg kg <sup>-1</sup>			
	ССВ	1.2 μg kg <sup>-1</sup>			
Furaltadone	2.0	2.3	115	6.7	6.2
	3.0	3.3	110	4.8	4.9
	4.0	4.6	115	4.5	7.6
	CCα	0.5 μg kg⁻¹			
	CCB	0.8 µg kg⁻¹			
Nitrofurazone	2.0	2.3	115	9.6	11.8
	3.0	3.3	110	10.1	12.9
	4.0	4.6	115	7.0	12.1
	CCα	1.0 μg kg <sup>-1</sup>			
	ССВ	1.7 μg kg <sup>-1</sup>			
Nitrofurantoin	2.0	1.5	75	10.0	23.5
	3.0	2.3	77	7.3	6.9
	4.0	2.8	70	7.3	8.4
	CCα	1.0 μg kg <sup>-1</sup>			
	ССВ	1.7 μg kg⁻¹			

Yolk         Albumen         Shell         Yolk         Albumen         Shell           Furazolidone nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $1.82 \pm 0.30$ $1.29 \pm 0.16$ $0.23 \pm 0.03$ $4.68 \pm 0.57$ $4.81 \pm 0.66$ $1.12 \pm 0.66$ Furaltadone nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $1.83 \pm 0.08$ $1.50 \pm 0.10$ $0.11 \pm 0.03$ $2.93 \pm 0.23$ $3.10 \pm 0.14$ $0.28 \pm 0.02$ Nitrofurantoin nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $0.28 \pm 0.02$ $0.23 \pm 0.03$ $0.15$ $1.47 \pm 0.12$ $1.49 \pm 0.11$ $0.17 \pm 0.12$ Nitrofurazone nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $4.18 \pm 0.59$ $1.30 \pm 0.13$ $0.24 \pm 0.04$ $15.13 \pm 0.81$ $8.44 \pm 1.19$ $24.2 \pm 2$		Par (N	rent compour lean ± SE, n=	nds 6)	-Side (M	chain metabo Iean ± SE, n=6	lites 5)
Furazolidone         nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $1.82 \pm 0.30$ $1.29 \pm 0.16$ $0.23 \pm 0.03$ $4.68 \pm 0.57$ $4.81 \pm 0.66$ $1.12 \pm 0.66$ Furaltadone       nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $1.83 \pm 0.08$ $1.50 \pm 0.10$ $0.11 \pm 0.03$ $2.93 \pm 0.23$ $3.10 \pm 0.14$ $0.28 \pm 0.02$ Nitrofurantoin       nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $0.28 \pm 0.02$ $0.23 \pm 0.03$ $0.15$ $1.47 \pm 0.12$ $1.49 \pm 0.11$ $0.17 \pm 0.12$ Nitrofurazone       nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $4.18 \pm 0.59$ $1.30 \pm 0.13$ $0.24 \pm 0.04$ $15.13 \pm 0.81$ $8.44 \pm 1.19$ $24.2 \pm 2$		Yolk	Albumen	Shell	Yolk	Albumen	Shell
nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $1.82 \pm 0.30$ $1.29 \pm 0.16$ $0.23 \pm 0.03$ $4.68 \pm 0.57$ $4.81 \pm 0.66$ $1.12 \pm 0$ Furaltadone nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $1.83 \pm 0.08$ $1.50 \pm 0.10$ $0.11 \pm 0.03$ $2.93 \pm 0.23$ $3.10 \pm 0.14$ $0.28 \pm 0$ Nitrofurantoin nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $0.28 \pm 0.02$ $0.23 \pm 0.03$ $0.15$ $1.47 \pm 0.12$ $1.49 \pm 0.11$ $0.17 \pm 0$ Nitrofurazone nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $4.18 \pm 0.59$ $1.30 \pm 0.13$ $0.24 \pm 0.04$ $15.13 \pm 0.81$ $8.44 \pm 1.19$ $24.2 \pm 2$	Furazolidone						
Furaltadone         nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $1.83 \pm 0.08$ $1.50 \pm 0.10$ $0.11 \pm 0.03$ $2.93 \pm 0.23$ $3.10 \pm 0.14$ $0.28 \pm 0.02$ Nitrofurantoin       nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $0.28 \pm 0.02$ $0.23 \pm 0.03$ $0.15$ $1.47 \pm 0.12$ $1.49 \pm 0.11$ $0.17 \pm 0.012$ Nitrofurazone       nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $4.18 \pm 0.59$ $1.30 \pm 0.13$ $0.24 \pm 0.04$ $15.13 \pm 0.81$ $8.44 \pm 1.19$ $24.2 \pm 2$	nmol g <sup>-1</sup>	$1.82\pm0.30$	$1.29\pm0.16$	$0.23\pm0.03$	$4.68\pm0.57$	$4.81\pm0.66$	$1.12\pm0.3$
Furalitatione         nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $1.83 \pm 0.08$ $1.50 \pm 0.10$ $0.11 \pm 0.03$ $2.93 \pm 0.23$ $3.10 \pm 0.14$ $0.28 \pm 0.02$ Nitrofurantoin       nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $0.28 \pm 0.02$ $0.23 \pm 0.03$ $0.15$ $1.47 \pm 0.12$ $1.49 \pm 0.11$ $0.17 \pm 0.12$ Nitrofurazone       nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $4.18 \pm 0.59$ $1.30 \pm 0.13$ $0.24 \pm 0.04$ $15.13 \pm 0.81$ $8.44 \pm 1.19$ $24.2 \pm 2$							
nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $1.83 \pm 0.08$ $1.50 \pm 0.10$ $0.11 \pm 0.03$ $2.93 \pm 0.23$ $3.10 \pm 0.14$ $0.28 \pm 0.02$ Nitrofurantoin nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $0.28 \pm 0.02$ $0.23 \pm 0.03$ $0.15$ $1.47 \pm 0.12$ $1.49 \pm 0.11$ $0.17 \pm 0.000$ Nitrofurazone nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $4.18 \pm 0.59$ $1.30 \pm 0.13$ $0.24 \pm 0.04$ $15.13 \pm 0.81$ $8.44 \pm 1.19$ $24.2 \pm 2$	Furaltadone						
Nitrofurantoin $nmol g^{-1}$ $0.28 \pm 0.02$ $0.23 \pm 0.03$ $0.15$ $1.47 \pm 0.12$ $1.49 \pm 0.11$ $0.17 \pm 0$ Nitrofurazone $nmol g^{-1}$ $4.18 \pm 0.59$ $1.30 \pm 0.13$ $0.24 \pm 0.04$ $15.13 \pm 0.81$ $8.44 \pm 1.19$ $24.2 \pm 2$	nmol g⁻¹	$1.83\pm0.08$	$1.50\pm0.10$	$0.11\pm0.03$	$2.93\pm0.23$	$3.10\pm0.14$	$0.28 \pm 0.0$
nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $0.28 \pm 0.02$ $0.23 \pm 0.03$ $0.15$ $1.47 \pm 0.12$ $1.49 \pm 0.11$ $0.17 \pm 0.000$ Nitrofurazone nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $4.18 \pm 0.59$ $1.30 \pm 0.13$ $0.24 \pm 0.04$ $15.13 \pm 0.81$ $8.44 \pm 1.19$ $24.2 \pm 2$	Nitrofurantoin						
Nitrofurazone nmol g <sup>-1</sup> 4.18 $\pm$ 0.59 1.30 $\pm$ 0.13 0.24 $\pm$ 0.04 15.13 $\pm$ 0.81 8.44 $\pm$ 1.19 24.2 $\pm$ 2	nmol g⁻¹	$0.28\pm0.02$	$0.23\pm0.03$	0.15	$1.47\pm0.12$	$1.49\pm0.11$	$0.17 \pm 0.0$
Nitrofurazone nmol g <sup>-1</sup> 4.18 ± 0.59 1.30 ± 0.13 0.24 ± 0.04 15.13 ± 0.81 8.44 ± 1.19 24.2 ± 2							
nmol g <sup>-1</sup> $4.18 \pm 0.59$ $1.30 \pm 0.13$ $0.24 \pm 0.04$ $15.13 \pm 0.81$ $8.44 \pm 1.19$ $24.2 \pm 2$	Nitrofurazone						
	nmol g⁻¹	$4.18\pm0.59$	$1.30\pm0.13$	$0.24\pm0.04$	$15.13 \pm 0.81$	8.44 ± 1.19	$24.2 \pm 2.9$
						2,	















Legends to figures.

Figure 1a. Chromatograms (un-smoothed data) of MRM in electrospray negative mode of a blank egg extract spiked with nitrofurazone at  $2 \mu g k g^{-1}$ , and similar chromatograms of a standard solution equivalent to  $2 \mu g k g^{-1}$ . Two transitions are shown for nitrofurazone: 197.2>150.2 and 197.2>124.2; and 200.2>153.2 corresponding to the internal standard <sup>13</sup>C<sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub>-nitrofurazone.

<u>Figure 1b.</u> Chromatograms (un-smoothed data) of MRM in electrospray positive mode of a blank egg extract spiked with furazolidone at  $2 \mu g k g^{-1}$ , and similar chromatograms of a standard solution equivalent to  $2 \mu g k g^{-1}$ . Two transitions are shown for furazolidone: 226.2>209.3 and 226.2>139.2; and 230.2>139.2 corresponding to the internal standard D<sub>4</sub>-furazolidone.

<u>Figure 1c.</u> Chromatograms (un-smoothed data) of MRM in electrospray positive mode of a blank egg extract spiked with furaltadone at  $2 \mu g k g^{-1}$ , and similar chromatograms of a standard solution equivalent to  $2 \mu g k g^{-1}$ . Two transitions are shown for furaltadone: 325.3>281.3 and 325>252; and 330.3>286.3 corresponding to the internal standard D<sub>5</sub>-furaltadone.

<u>Figure 1d.</u> Chromatograms (un-smoothed data) of MRM in electrospray negative mode of a blank egg extract spiked with nitrofurantoin at  $2 \mu g k g^{-1}$ , and similar chromatograms of a standard solution equivalent to  $2 \mu g k g^{-1}$ . Two transitions are shown for nitrofurantoin: 237.1>194.2 and 237.1>152.2.