



HAL
open science

Mycotoxins in cattle feeds and carry-over to dairy milk

Johanna Fink-Gremmels

► **To cite this version:**

Johanna Fink-Gremmels. Mycotoxins in cattle feeds and carry-over to dairy milk. Food Additives and Contaminants, 2008, 25 (02), pp.172-180. 10.1080/02652030701823142 . hal-00577279

HAL Id: hal-00577279

<https://hal.science/hal-00577279>

Submitted on 17 Mar 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Mycotoxins in cattle feeds and carry-over to dairy milk

Journal:	<i>Food Additives and Contaminants</i>
Manuscript ID:	TFAC-2007-329.R1
Manuscript Type:	Special Issue
Date Submitted by the Author:	22-Nov-2007
Complete List of Authors:	Fink-Gremmels, Johanna; Utrecht University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Div. Veterinary Pharmacology, Pharmacy and Toxicology
Methods/Techniques:	Clinical study, Toxicology, Toxicology - animal study
Additives/Contaminants:	Mycotoxins, Mycotoxins - fungi, Natural toxicants
Food Types:	Animal feed, Milk

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Mycotoxins in cattle feeds and carry-over to dairy milk – a review

Abstract

The complex diet of ruminants, consisting of forages, concentrates and preserved feeds, can be a source of very diverse mycotoxins that contaminate individual feed components. A number of mycotoxins are successfully inactivated by the rumen flora, whereas others pass unchanged, or are converted into metabolites that retain biological activity. Hence, the barrier function of the rumen largely determines the susceptibility of dairy cows and other ruminant species towards individual mycotoxins. An impairment of this barrier function due to diseases or the direct antimicrobial effect of certain mycotoxins, may increase absorption rates. The rate of absorption determines not only the internal dose and risk for adverse health effects, but also the excretion of mycotoxins and the biologically active metabolites into milk.

Key words:- mycotoxins, ruminants, dairy cows, aflatoxins, ergot alkaloids, carry over, milk, biological barriers

Introduction

The contamination of feedstuffs with mycotoxins is of increasing concern, as changes in agricultural practice and probably climatic changes seem to have increased the prevalence of mycotoxin contamination. Contamination of feeds with mycotoxins accounts for significant economic losses in animal husbandry, as well as in undesirable trade barriers for raw materials and consumable products (Wu, 2006). Experimental data and clinical experience suggest that ruminants are less susceptible than other animal species to the adverse health effects associated with mycotoxin exposure. This assumption is based on the finding that the forestomach (rumen) flora is able to convert a number of mycotoxins into metabolites that are less potent or even biologically inactive at common exposure levels. This applies, however, not to all mycotoxins that contaminate feed materials.

It is the aim of this brief review to identify and describe the uncertainties in the assessment of mycotoxins in the diet of dairy cows in terms of exposure assessment with reference to the physiological and pathophysiological parameters that modulate mycotoxin exposure. Moreover, the mechanisms involved in the excretion of mycotoxins with milk, and potential risk factors associated with the transfer to milk are reviewed.

Mycotoxins in feeds for dairy cattle

In professional animal operations, monogastric species such as pigs, poultry and fish receive a standardized diet designed to meet the nutritional requirements of the species and age group. The components used in the production of these mixed feeds can be monitored, and allow the formulation of diets that are well tolerated by the animals. In contrast, the unique physiology of ruminant species, which is characterized by a pre-systemic fermentation and digestion of plant constituents such as cellulose by microbes comprising the ruminal flora, requires

1
2
3 feeding regimes that include sufficient amounts of roughages to maintain a functional rumen
4
5 flora.
6
7
8
9

10 Genetic selection for high milk yield made it necessary to add increasing quantities of
11 digestible energy-rich feed components to the ruminant diet. In extensive farming, grazing
12 makes up a large portion of the diet and the intake of concentrates is limited to a few percent
13 of the total feed intake. In contrast, in dairy cattle operation, concentrates may feature up to
14 70% of the daily feed ration. A direct consequence of the complex and variable composition
15 of ruminant diets is the risk of exposure to more than one mycotoxins or mycotoxin cluster;
16 the term cluster refers to a set of mycotoxins produced by an individual fungal species (Table
17 1).
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 “[insert Table 1 here]”
34
35

36 The first identified source of mycotoxins in ruminant diets was the contamination of
37 concentrates with aflatoxins. Aflatoxins occur in many typical energy-rich concentrates as for
38 example cereal grains, corn gluten, soy bean products, as well as in press cakes from oil plants
39 such as peanuts, sunflower seeds, cotton seeds, palm kernels and copra. Other prominent
40 mycotoxins, such as fumonisins and zearalenone, occur in maize (and maize derived
41 products), whereas cereal grains are contaminated frequently with trichothecenes, particularly
42 with deoxynivalenol , ochratoxins and ergot alkaloids (Nawaz et al., 1997, Scudamore et al.,
43 1998a, Scudamore et al., 1998b, Placinta et al., 1999).
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 At the same time, ruminants might be exposed to entirely different classes of mycotoxins that
58 occur in forages (pasture grasses), such as the *Neotyphodium* toxins of the lolitrem-paxilline
59
60

1
2
3 group and ergovaline as well as other ergot alkaloids. The level of contamination of (cold
4 season) grasses shows significant geographical differences (Cheeke, 1995), and is gaining
5 increasing attention.
6
7
8
9

10
11
12
13 The third source of mycotoxins in the diet of dairy cows results from the consumption of
14 preserved feeding stuffs such as silage, hay and straw (O'Brien et al., 2005, Mansfield and
15 Kuldau, 2007). Particularly after a longer storage period, silage can be spoiled by a variety of
16 fungal species, which are acid-tolerant and micro-aerobe. Mycological investigation identified
17 *Penicillium* species such as *P. roqueforti* and *P. paneum*, *Aspergilli* (*A. fumigatus* and *A.*
18 *flavus*) (Cole et al., 1977), *Monascus* spp. (Schneweis et al., 2001), and *Byssoschlamys nivea*
19 (Escoula, 1975) as the most prevalent fungal species in silage. Mycotoxins produced by these
20 fungi include patulin, mycophenolic acid, penicillic acid, roquefortins, marcfortine A,
21 andrastin A, gliotoxin, and toxins of the verruculogen/fumitremorgen group (Garon et al.,
22 2006, O'Brien et al., 2006). It should be mentioned that mycotoxins originating from pre-
23 harvest contamination of forages that are ensiled are often unaffected by the ensiling process,
24 and add to the overall mycotoxin contamination.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 The ratio in which these different feed sources are used in the diet of dairy cows varies
45 considerably, and is determined by regional differences, production stage of the animal and
46 farm management. This also implies that a generally applicable exposure assessment is not
47 feasible, and hence data relating exposure to multiple toxins to quantifiable markers of animal
48 health and productivity are scarce.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 **Clinical mycotoxicoses in dairy cows**

59
60

1
2
3 Typical clinical intoxications that are well described in ruminants are fescue toxicosis and
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Typical clinical intoxications that are well described in ruminants are fescue toxicosis and staggers (reviewed by Fink-Gremmels (2005)). Both disease complexes are related to pasture grasses that are infected with endophytes. Tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) is a major forage grass in North America, and infection with the endophyte *Neotyphodium coenophialum* is associated with the production of various alkaloids, the most prominent of which is ergovaline. Cattle exposed to contaminated forages or hay develops a heat intolerance characterized by malign hyperthermia, and peripheral gangrene (fescue foot), reflecting the vasoconstrictive properties of ergot alkaloids. In addition, ergovaline acts as a dopamine-receptor agonist, causing a reduced milk yield and lower conception rates (Browning, 2000). Recent data show that following ingestion of ergovaline contaminated tall fescue straw, not only ergovaline but also lysergic acid is detectable in the urine and faeces of exposed cattle. A mass-balance study revealed that the toxin concentration in ruminal fluid apparently increases over time (De Lorme et al., 2007). These unexpected findings resulted in the hypothesis that rumen fermentation processes may liberate non-extractable toxins (escaping the initial feed analysis), and metabolize ergovaline into lysergic acid that might be even be absorbed through the ruminal wall (Hill et al., 2001). Although, certainly, further investigations are necessary to support these assumptions, the data clearly indicate that the rumen metabolism not necessarily results in toxin inactivation. At the same time, these data also demonstrate the uncertainties in correlating in-feed concentrations of mycotoxins to the internal dose and to predictable biological effects.

The staggers syndrome observed in cattle and sheep, but also horses, is associated with the exposure to lolitrems and probably paxilline. Perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) harbours the endophyte *Neotyphodium lolii*, and for many years, outbreaks of intoxication were only reported in Australia and New Zealand. However, already in the 1990s, clinical intoxications

1
2
3 were reported from North- and South America, as well as from Europe (Fink-Gremmels
4 (2005) and references therein). Typical clinical symptoms are muscle fasciculation, tremor
5 and ataxia, which might even progress into tonic convulsions. As probable mechanisms of
6 action, the impairment of the GABAergic pathways (Smith et al., 1997, Wang et al., 2003),
7 was recently challenged by the finding that lolitrem has a potent effect on potassium-channel
8 conductance (Dalziel et al., 2005). *Neothlyphodium lolii* produces not only tremorgenic toxins,
9 but also peramine, which exerts a potent repellent effect that protects infected plants from
10 insect plagues and earthworm damage, exemplifying the unique symbiosis between
11 endophytes and their hosts.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 In addition to these typical mycotoxicoses, the potential adverse effects of other mycotoxins
28 are less well documented in dairy cows. Various reports describe a reduced feed consumption
29 and other adverse health effects associated with the consumption of mouldy feed (hay, silage),
30 and feed materials contaminated with mycotoxins (Osweiler, 2000, Hussein and Brasel, 2001,
31 Puntenny et al., 2003). A critical analysis of the individual reports indicates, however,
32 obvious gaps in many of the individual case descriptions, as often essential data, such as the
33 amount of feed consumed per day, the animal's body weight, the time of exposure, the
34 presence of other contaminants in the diet, and the animal health status, are not reported. This
35 applies also to the reported outbreaks of acute mycotoxicoses such as *Aspergillus clavatus*
36 toxicosis (Sabater-Vilar et al., 2004), pithomycoxicosis, which is only incidentally observed
37 in Europe (Pinto et al., 2005), and *Diplodia maydis* toxicosis (Odrizola et al., 2005)
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 **Conversion of mycotoxins by the rumen flora**

56
57 Kiessling et al., already stated already in 1984 that ruminating animals are less frequently
58 developing mycotoxicoses, as the rumen flora acts as a first line of defence against
59
60

1
2
3 mycotoxins (Kiessling et al., 1984). For example, ochratoxin A is rapidly converted into the
4 less toxic ochratoxin α (lacking the phenylalanine moiety) by the forestomach flora, and only
5 very small amounts of intact ochratoxin A are absorbed. *In vitro* studies showed that
6 ochratoxin A is mainly degraded by rumen protozoae, and that in healthy cattle up to 12 mg
7 ochratoxin A/kg feed could be inactivated. This effective de-activation explains the high
8 comparable high tolerance of ruminants to ochratoxin A exposure (Hult et al., 1976,
9 Pettersson et al., 1982). Drastic changes in the feed composition, and a high percentage of
10 protein-rich concentrates in the daily diet modify, however, the cleavage capacity of rumen
11 micro organisms (Xiao et al., 1991; Muller et al, 2001), which explains why incidentally
12 small amounts of ochratoxin A could be detected in milk (Skaug, 1999)..
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 The susceptibility of ruminants to deoxynivalenol (DON) is low, as DON is converted almost
30 completely into the less toxic DOM (the de-epoxidized metabolite of DON) by the rumen
31 flora. Studies by Ingalls (1996) showed that ruminating cattle may tolerate diets containing up
32 to 8.5 mg DON/kg for several weeks without major health effects. In a recent study, dietary
33 DON concentrations ranging between 3.1 and 3.5 mg/kg feed (88% DM) did not cause any
34 significant adverse health effects, but transiently increased postprandial ammonia
35 concentrations (Dänicke et al., 2005, Seeling et al., 2006a, b).
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Aflatoxins are only partly degraded by the ruminal flora, and a typical secondary metabolite
49 of rumen metabolism is aflatoxicol. Exposure to aflatoxins results in an impairment of liver
50 function and reduced feed intake, which might explain also the reduced milk production in
51 dairy cattle exposed to aflatoxins. The impairment of hepatic functions may also account for
52 the photosensitization associated with aflatoxin exposure (Miller and Wilson, 1994).
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Zearalenone is converted by the rumen flora into its hydroxy-metabolite α -zearalenol
4 (approximately 90%) and to a lesser extent to β -zearalenol (Kiessling et al., 1984, Kennedy et
5
6 al., 1998). Although α -zearalenol has a higher oestrogenic potency compared to the parent
7
8 zearalenone, its lower rate of absorption and its interconversion in the liver to the less potent
9
10 β -zearalenol, might account for the low susceptibility of dairy cattle (Diekman and Green,
11
12 1992, Dänicke et al., 2005, Seeling et al., 2005). Zearalenone and its metabolites can be
13
14 excreted with milk, but levels are very low remaining often below the limit of quantification
15
16 (Seeling et al., 2005).
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 Fumonisin pass the rumen, and an intake of 3 mg fumonisin B₁/kg b.w./day by Jersey cows
26
27 for 14 days led to a decreased feed intake and milk production (Richard et al., 1996, Caloni et
28
29 al., 2000). Signs of intoxication included also an elevated serum enzyme activity of diagnostic
30
31 liver enzymes (AST and GGT), suggesting mild hepatocellular injury. Feeder calves showed
32
33 signs of immunotoxicity, in form of a significantly reduced lymphoblastogenesis (Osweiler et
34
35 al., 1993). These effects were observed at feed concentrations that corresponded to exposure
36
37 rates varying between 2.4 – 3.5 mg/kg b.w..
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 Mould contamination of may also change the digestibility of individual feed components.
45
46 Seeling et al., 2006a describe for example an increased crude protein degradation and a lower
47
48 molar percentage of propionate in the rumen when *Fusarium*-contaminated wheat was fed to
49
50 dairy cows. Certain mycotoxins such as for example patulin affect the rumen fermentation
51
52 (Morgavi et al., 2003) and decrease acetic acid production and protein synthesis (Escoula,
53
54 1992).
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Taken together, these examples demonstrate the correlation between the capacity of the rumen
4 to inactivate mycotoxins, and the likelihood of adverse health effects in cattle. At the same
5 time, it becomes evident that for many toxins that can be expected in the diet of dairy cows
6 the rumen stability and the oral bioavailability have not been investigated yet. In addition, the
7 increasing use of protected concentrated (proteins) that are designed to by-pass the rumen,
8 might influence the oral bioavailability of mycotoxins.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 **Feed-to-milk transmission of aflatoxins in dairy cows as an example of intra-species** 21 **variability related to different feeding regimes**

22
23 Aflatoxins are the most intensively studied mycotoxins in dairy cattle, as the excretion of
24 aflatoxin M₁ in dairy milk is of public health concern. Following ingestion of aflatoxin-
25 contaminated feeds, a part of the ingested aflatoxin B₁ is degraded in the rumen, resulting in
26 the formation of aflatoxicol. The remaining fraction is absorbed in the digestive tract by
27 passive diffusion and is hydroxylated in the liver to aflatoxin M₁ (Kuilman et al., 2000).
28 Aflatoxin M₁ is either conjugated to glucuronic acid, and subsequently excreted via bile, or
29 enters the systemic circulation. Circulating aflatoxin M₁ can be excreted in the urine or appear
30 in milk.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 Initially, the excreted amount of aflatoxin M₁ in milk of dairy cows was estimated to represent
47 1-2 % of the ingested aflatoxin B₁ (for review see Van Egmond, (1989)). The extent of
48 transfer from feed-to-milk (carry-over) is influenced by various nutritional and physiological
49 factors, including feeding regimes, rate of ingestion, rate of digestion, health of the animal,
50 hepatic biotransformation capacity, and actual milk production. This implies that the rate of
51 absorption of aflatoxins, and the excretion of aflatoxin M₁ in milk varies between individual
52 animals, from day to day, and from one milking to the next. In high yielding cows, the
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 consumption of significantly higher amounts of concentrated feeds may result in carry-over
4 percentages as high as 6.2% (Veldman et al., 1992).
5
6
7
8
9

10 The carcinogenic potency of aflatoxin M₁ is almost as high as that of aflatoxin B₁, and the
11 toxicological properties are generally comparable (Henry et al., 2001). In consideration of
12 these toxicological findings, many countries have set maximum acceptable levels for aflatoxin
13 M₁ in milk and dairy products. Following the risk evaluation by JECFA *Codex alimentarius*,
14 regulatory bodies in many countries, including the US FDA set a maximum permissible level
15 for aflatoxin M₁ in milk of 0.5 µg/kg. In contrast, in Europe, as well as some countries in
16 Africa, Asia and Latin America, the maximum acceptable level is set at 0.05 µg aflatoxin
17 M₁/kg milk, with reference to the relative high consumption of milk and dairy products by
18 children (reviewed by Van Egmond et al., 2007). To achieve this objective, statutory limits
19 were defined for animal feeds, including feeds for dairy cows.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Subsequently, several authors have tried to determine whether the current legislation on
37 aflatoxin B₁ in feed (EC 2002/32) for lactating animals is sufficient to keep aflatoxin M₁
38 levels in milk below the threshold of 0.05 µg/kg. Already in 1998, Pettersson established a
39 model calculation to determine the carry-over of ingested aflatoxin B₁ to aflatoxin M₁ in milk
40 (Pettersson, 1998). This equation was based on 10 observations from 5 controlled
41 experiments, and is expressed as follows ($r^2 = 0.915$):
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

$$\text{Aflatoxin M}_1 \text{ (ng/kg milk)} = 10.95 + 0.787 \times (\mu\text{g aflatoxin B}_1 \text{ intake per day})$$

52
53
54

55 However, a data analysis performed in 2004 on all trials in which daily feeding contained less
56 than 150 µg aflatoxin B₁/kg feed (21 observations, from 6 individual studies) yielded a lower
57 regression coefficient ($r^2 = 0.417$), pointing towards a larger margin of uncertainty. In
58
59
60

1
2
3 addition, a model calculation for a worst case scenario of aflatoxin carry-over into milk was
4 performed for the major milk producing animal species, including dairy cattle, sheep, goats,
5 camels and buffalos, and included carry-over rates of 2 % (assumed average) and 6 % (high
6 yielding cows) (EFSA, 2004). This model calculation indicated that in a worst-case situation,
7 aflatoxin M₁ levels in milk may exceed the maximum acceptable level of 0.05 µg/kg, set by
8 the EU, even if the given feed materials comply with the current feed legislation. This may
9 occur in all mentioned animal species.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 As yet, aflatoxin M₁ has been considered to be the major metabolite excreted with milk in
23 dairy cows and other ruminants. In addition, aflatoxin M₂ and M₄, originating from hepatic-
24 biotransformation reactions of other natural aflatoxins, have been found to be excreted with
25 milk, albeit at very low amounts. However, recent data show that also aflatoxicol is excreted
26 with milk (Carvajal et al, 2003). As mentioned above, aflatoxicol is the major metabolite of
27 aflatoxin B₁, produced by microorganisms of the rumen flora. This could be elegantly
28 demonstrated in *in vitro* studies using radio-labelled aflatoxin B₁ (Auerbach et al., 1998).
29 Studies with isolated functional bovine hepatocytes, however, failed to show any formation of
30 aflatoxicol, excluding that hepatic biotransformation contributes to aflatoxicol tissue levels
31 (Kuilman et al., 2000). The carcinogenicity of aflatoxicol has been investigated only in the
32 rainbow trout, an experimental animal model known to be very sensitive to the hepato-
33 carcinogenicity of aflatoxin B₁. Results demonstrated that the carcinogenic potency of
34 aflatoxicol is comparable to that of aflatoxin B₁, and that it is even more potent than aflatoxin
35 M₁ in this model (Hendricks et al., 1980, Schoenhard et al., 1981, Hendricks, 1994). A recent
36 study in Mexico, conducted between 1996 and 1998, measured aflatoxicol levels in 580
37 samples of (ultra)pasteurised milk from different regions in Mexico (Carvajal et al., 2003).
38 Aflatoxicol was present in 13% of the samples at concentrations of ≥ 0.05 µg/L and in 8% of
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the samples at $\geq 0.5 \mu\text{g/L}$, and levels were not influenced by pasteurisation. These results need
4
5 to be confirmed, as they suggest a need to monitor the occurrence of aflatoxicol in milk and
6
7 dairy products. The likely reason why aflatoxicol has not been described earlier in milk, is
8
9 the lack of fluorescence of aflatoxicol, while aflatoxin M₁, M₂ and M₄ retain the fluorescence
10
11 spectrum typical for aflatoxins. The lack of fluorescence requires detection methods that are
12
13 different from those commonly used of aflatoxin M₁.
14
15

16
17
18
19
20 “[Insert Table 2 here]”
21
22
23
24

25 **Feed-to-milk transmission of other mycotoxins and factors affecting transmission rates**

26
27 As yet, aflatoxin M₁ is the only mycotoxins for which maximum permissible levels in milk
28
29 have been established. However, considering the wide range of mycotoxins that may occur in
30
31 ruminant diets, the number of available studies addressing the transfer of mycotoxins into
32
33 milk is very limited. Table 2 provides a summary of the available data (for detailed references
34
35 see Jouany and Diaz (2005)). These studies, addressing the transfer of mycotoxins into milk,
36
37 have been conducted in healthy animals with an intact blood-milk barrier. However, various
38
39 systemic diseases and local (mammary) infections may alter the functionality of this barrier
40
41 and hence transmission rates may be higher in daily practice.
42
43
44
45
46
47

48
49 The blood-milk barrier comprises different anatomical structures and active transport
50
51 processes. The physical barrier is formed by the epithelium of the blood capillaries that span
52
53 and supply the secretory epithelium of the mammary gland. Polar substances and large
54
55 molecules can not pass this barrier by passive diffusion. Factors that determine the excretion
56
57 with milk are the molecular weight and lipophilicity of a compound (including mycotoxins
58
59 and their metabolites), as well as the degree of binding to plasma proteins, as only the
60

1
2
3 unbound fraction can be transported. The transport rate is also influenced by the pH gradient
4
5 between blood plasma and milk. In a healthy animal, the pH of milk is lower than the plasma
6
7 pH, whereas in a diseased animal (suffering for example from mastitis) the pH of milk is
8
9 equal to or even exceeds the blood plasma pH. These differences modulate the rate of transfer
10
11 into milk as demonstrated for various drugs. Recently, a distinct class of transmembrane
12
13 transporters, which facilitate the active excretion of endogenous and exogenous compounds
14
15 from the bloodstream into milk, gains increasing attention. In the mammary gland, BCRC (the
16
17 gene product of ABC transporter ABCG2) has been described to be a major excretory
18
19 transporter (Borst and Oude Elferink, 2002). Substrates for these transporters are likely to
20
21 appear in high concentrations in milk. Recently, we could show that for example ochratoxin A
22
23 is a substrate for BCRP (Schrickx et al., 2006), which correlates with the high prevalence of
24
25 ochratoxin A in human breast milk samples. In addition, it has been shown that aflatoxin B1
26
27 is a substrate for BCRP (Schrickx et al., 2006), which correlates with the high prevalence of
28
29 ochratoxin A in human breast milk samples. In addition, it has been shown that aflatoxin B1
30
31 is a substrate for BCRP, making it likely that also aflatoxin M₁ and aflatoxicol are excreted
32
33 actively into milk (Van Herwaarden et al., 2006). These findings offer the possibility to
34
35 estimate the likelihood of galactogenic excretion through rapid *in vitro* assays, which can be
36
37 applied to all chemical classes of mycotoxins.
38
39
40
41
42

43 It is worthwhile to mention that investigations devoted to the galactogenic excretion of
44
45 various veterinary medicinal products did show significant differences in rumen metabolism
46
47 and transfer into milk between individual ruminating species, such as dairy sheep, goats and
48
49 buffaloes (Merino et al., 2006). The increasing market for milk products from these animal
50
51 species underlines the need for data from these animals as well.
52
53
54
55
56

57 The excretion of mycotoxins with milk is generally reviewed with respect to potential adverse
58
59 effects on human health, particularly children, who are high milk consumers. Contamination
60

1
2
3 of dairy milk with mycotoxins may, however, also impair milk quality and the use of milk for
4 typical fermented dairy products such as yoghurt. As mentioned above, various mycotoxins,
5 particularly those from silage moulds, exert strong antimicrobial effects. Even minor amounts
6 of these toxins might affect milk technologies and the control of tank milk for undesirable
7 residues of therapeutic antibiotics (false positive results).
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 **Current uncertainties in the assessment mycotoxins in the diet of dairy cows**

18
19 The uncertainties in the exposure of dairy cows are attributable to significant differences in
20 the composition of individual diets, depending on the feeding regime, the availability of
21 natural pastures, and the methods of feed preservation. Subsequently, the animals are
22 potentially exposed to highly variable and complex mixtures of mycotoxins, and the health
23 consequences of these mixtures are difficult to assess. Major points of interests are:
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 - Various mycotoxins have the ability to modify the rumen flora due to their antimicrobial
32 activity. This may decrease the degrading capacity of the rumen resulting in an unexpected
33 passage rate of intact toxins from other sources. A comparable effect can be expected also in
34 cases in which the rumen flora is affected in the course of metabolic diseases, as for example
35 rumen acidosis.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 - Toxin-toxin interactions at the level of absorption and biotransformation are likely, but the
44 clinical significance of these interactions remains to be elucidated.
45
46
47

48 - The excretion of mycotoxins with milk is generally low. Changes in the blood-milk barrier
49 due to systemic, and particularly local infections (mastitis) affect the integrity of the blood
50 milk barrier and the pH gradient between blood and milk. This may in turn alter the rate of
51 excretion, and facilitate the excretion of mycotoxins that are not expected in milk. As
52 mentioned above, a number of recent reports refer to the likelihood, that the excretion of
53 mycotoxins influences the standard tests for undesirable residues of antibiotics in milk.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 - Various mycotoxins exert a modulating effect on the immune system, even at low doses.
4
5 This effect may result in an increased prevalence of infectious diseases or an acceleration of
6
7 minor infections. An increased incidence of mastitis and lower leg problems in dairy cows has
8
9 been associated with a poor quality of the given silage (Nyman et al., 2007). To what extent
10
11 this phenomenon is directly attributable to mycotoxins in the silage needs to be investigated.
12
13
14

15
16
17 In conclusion, dairy cows are protected against exposure to mycotoxins by their rumen flora.
18
19 Various mycotoxins, however, pass this barrier or are converted into metabolites that retain
20
21 biological activity. The assessment of undesirable effects exerted in ruminants should include
22
23 the antimicrobial activity of various mycotoxins that results in an impairment of the function
24
25 of the rumen flora, followed by a poor feed utilization and reduced weight gain and
26
27 productivity.
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 **Acknowledgements**

35
36 The valuable support of Drs. N. Visser in finalizing this manuscript was highly appreciated.
37
38
39
40

41 **References**

- 42
43 Auerbach H, Maas RFM, Op Den Camp HJM, Pol A, Fink-Gremmels J. 1998.
44
45 Biodegradation of aflatoxin B1 by bovine rumen microorganisms *in vitro* and its
46
47 effects on rumen fermentation. Rev. Méd. Vét. 149:
48
49
50 Borst P, Oude Elferink, R. 2002. Mammalian ABC transporters in health and disease. Ann.
51
52 Rev. Biochem. 71:537-592.
53
54
55 Browning R, Jr. 2000. Physiological responses of Brahman and Hereford steers to an acute
56
57 ergotamine challenge. J Anim Sci 78:124-130.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Caloni F, Spotti M, Auerbach H, Op den Camp H, Fink-Gremmels J, Pompa G, 2000. *In vitro*
4
5 metabolism of fumonisin B1 by ruminal microflora. *Vet Res Commun* 24:379-387.
6
7
8 Carvajal M, Rojo F, Mendez I, Bolanos A. 2003. Aflatoxin B1 and its interconverting
9
10 metabolite aflatoxicol in milk: the situation in Mexico. *Food Addit Contam* 20:1077-
11
12 1086.
13
14
15 Cheeke PR. 1995. Endogenous toxins and mycotoxins in forage grasses and their effects on
16
17 livestock. *J Anim Sci* 73:909-918.
18
19
20 Cole RJ, Kirksey JW, Dorner JW, Wilson DM, Johnson J, Jr., Bedell D, Springer JP, Chexal
21
22 KK, Clardy J, Cox RH. 1977. Mycotoxins produced by *Aspergillus fumigatus* isolated
23
24 from silage. *Ann Nutr Aliment* 31:685-691.
25
26
27 Dalziel JE, Finch SC, Dunlop J. 2005. The fungal neurotoxin lolitrem B inhibits the function
28
29 of human large conductance calcium-activated potassium channels. *Toxicol Lett*
30
31 155:421-426.
32
33
34 Dänicke S, Matthaus K, Lebzien P, Valenta H, Stemme K, Ueberschar KH, Razzazi-Fazeli E,
35
36 Bohm J, Flachowsky G. 2005. Effects of *Fusarium* toxin-contaminated wheat grain on
37
38 nutrient turnover, microbial protein synthesis and metabolism of deoxynivalenol and
39
40 zearalenone in the rumen of dairy cows. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl)* 89:303-315.
41
42
43 De Lorme MJ, Lodge-Ivey SL, Craig AM. 2007. Physiological and digestive effects of
44
45 *Neotyphodium coenophialum*-infected tall fescue fed to lambs. *J Anim Sci* 85:1199-
46
47 1206.
48
49
50 Diekman MA, Green ML. 1992. Mycotoxins and reproduction in domestic livestock. *J Anim*
51
52 *Sci* 70:1615-1627.
53
54
55 EFSA. 2004. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain on a request
56
57 from the Commission related to Aflatoxin B1 as undesirable substance in animal feed.
58
59 European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Request no: EFSA-Q-2003-035.
60

- 1
2
3 Egmond van HP, Schothorst RC, Jonker MA, 2007. Regulations relating to mycotoxins in
4
5 food: perspectives in a global and European context. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 389: 147-57.
6
7
8 Escoula L. 1992. Patulin production by *Penicillium granulatum* and inhibition of ruminal
9
10 flora. *J. Environ Pathol Toxicol Oncol* 11:45-48.
11
12
13 Escoula L. 1975. [Toxinogenic moulds of silage. IV. - Patulin production in liquid medium
14
15 using fungus species isolated in silages (author's transl)]. *Ann Rech Vet* 6:303-
16
17 310. Fink-Gremmels J. 2005. Mycotoxins in forages. In: Diaz DE, editor. *The*
18
19 *Mycotoxin Blue Book*. Nottingham, United Kingdom: Nottingham University Press.
20
21 249-268.
22
23
24 Galtier P. 1998. Biological fate of mycotoxins in animals. *Rev. Méd. Vét.* 149:549-554.
25
26
27 Galtier P. 1999. Biotransformation and fate of mycotoxins. *J. Toxicol.-Toxin Rev.* 18:295-
28
29 312.
30
31
32 Garon D, Richard E, Sage L, Bouchart V, Pottier D, Lebailly P. 2006. Mycoflora and
33
34 multimycotoxin detection in corn silage: experimental study. *J Agric Food Chem*
35
36 54:3479-3484.
37
38
39 Hendricks JD. 1994. Carcinogenicity of Aflatoxins in Nonmammalian Organisms. In: Eaton
40
41 DL, Groopman JD, editors. *The Toxicology of Aflatoxins: Human Health, Veterinary,*
42
43 *and Agricultural Significance*. San Diego: Academic Press Inc. 103.
44
45
46 Hendricks JD, Wales JH, Sinnhuber RO, Nixon JE, Loveland PM, Scanlan RA. 1980.
47
48 Rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) embryos: a sensitive animal model for experimental
49
50 carcinogenesis. *Fed Proc* 39:3222-3229.
51
52
53 Henry SH, Whitaker T, Rabbini I, Bowers J, Park D, Price WD, Bosch FX, Pennington J,
54
55 Verger P, Yoshizawa T, van Egmond HP, Jonker MA, Coker RD. 2001. Aflatoxin
56
57 M1. In: *Safety Evaluation of Certain Mycotoxins in Food*. Prepared by the Fifty-sixth
58
59 meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA). FAO
60

1
2
3 Food and Nutrition Paper 74. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United
4 Nations, Rome, Italy.
5
6

7
8 Hill NS, Thompson FN, Stuedemann JA, Rottinghaus GW, Ju HJ, Dawe DL, Hiatt EE, 3rd.
9

10 2001. Ergot alkaloid transport across ruminant gastric tissues. *J Anim Sci* 79:542-549.
11

12
13 Hult K, Teiling A, Gatenbeck S. 1976. Degradation of ochratoxin A by a ruminant. *Appl*
14
15 *Environ Microbiol* 32:443-444.
16

17
18 Hussein HS, Brasel JM. 2001. Toxicity, metabolism, and impact of mycotoxins on humans
19
20 and animals. *Toxicology* 167:101-134.
21

22
23 Ingalls JR. 1996. Influence of deoxynivalenol on feed consumption by dairy cows. *Anim Feed*
24
25 *Science and Technology* 60:297-300.
26

27
28 Jouany J, Diaz D. 2005. Effects of mycotoxins in ruminants. In: Diaz DE, editor. *The*
29
30 *Mycotoxin Blue Book*. Nottingham, United Kingdom: Nottingham University Press.
31
32 pp.295-321.
33

34
35 Kennedy DG, Hewitt SA, McEvoy JD, Currie JW, Cannavan A, Blanchflower WJ, Elliot CT.
36
37 1998. Zeranol is formed from *Fusarium* spp. toxins in cattle in vivo. *Food Addit*
38
39 *Contam* 15:393-400.
40

41
42 Kiessling KH, Pettersson H, Sandholm K, Olsen M. 1984. Metabolism of aflatoxin,
43
44 ochratoxin, zearalenone, and three trichothecenes by intact rumen fluid, rumen
45
46 protozoa, and rumen bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 47:1070-1073.
47

48
49 Kuilman ME, Maas RF, Fink-Gremmels J. 2000. Cytochrome P450-mediated metabolism and
50
51 cytotoxicity of aflatoxin B(1) in bovine hepatocytes. *Toxicol In Vitro* 14:321-327.
52

53
54 Morgavi DP, Boudra H, Jouany J-P, Graviou D, 2003. Prevention of patulin toxicity on
55
56 rumen microbial fermentation by SH containing reducing agents. *J Agric Food Chem*
57
58 51:6906-6910.
59
60

- 1
2
3 Mansfield MA, Kuldau GA. 2007. Microbiological and molecular determination of mycobiota
4
5 in fresh and ensiled maize silage. *Mycologia* 99:269-278.
6
7
8 Merino G, Alvarez AI, Pulido MM, Molina AJ, Schinkel AH, Prieto JG. 2006. Breast cancer
9
10 resistance protein (BCRP/ABCG2) transports fluoroquinolone antibiotics and affects
11
12 their oral availability, pharmacokinetics, and milk secretion. *Drug Metab Dispos*
13
14 34:690-695.
15
16
17 Miller DM, Wilson DM. 1994. Veterinary Diseases Related to Aflatoxins. In: Eaton DL,
18
19 Groopman JD, editors. *The Toxicology of Aflatoxins*. San Diego, California:
20
21 Academic Press Inc. pp.347-364.
22
23
24 Muller HM, Muller K, Steingass H, 2001. Effect of feeding regime on the metabolism of
25
26 ochratoxin A during the in vitro incubation in buffered rumen fluid from cows. *Arch*
27
28 *Tierernahr* 54:265-279.
29
30
31 Nawaz S, Scudamore KA, Rainbird SC. 1997. Mycotoxins in ingredients of animal feeding
32
33 stuffs: I. Determination of *Alternaria* mycotoxins in oilseed rape meal and sunflower
34
35 seed meal. *Food Addit Contam* 14:249-262.
36
37
38 Nyman AK, Ekman T, Emanuelson U, Gustafsson AH, Holtenius K, Waller KP, Sandgren
39
40 CH. 2007. Risk factors associated with the incidence of veterinary-treated clinical
41
42 mastitis in Swedish dairy herds with a high milk yield and a low prevalence of
43
44 subclinical mastitis. *Prev Vet Med* 78:142-160.
45
46
47
48 O'Brien M, Nielsen KF, O'Kiely P, Forristal PD, Fuller HT, Frisvad JC. 2006. Mycotoxins
49
50 and other secondary metabolites produced in vitro by *Penicillium paneum* Frisvad and
51
52 *Penicillium roqueforti* Thom isolated from baled grass silage in Ireland. *J Agric Food*
53
54 *Chem* 54:9268-9276.
55
56
57
58 O'Brien M, O'Kiely P, Forristal PD, Fuller HT. 2005. Fungi isolated from contaminated baled
59
60 grass silage on farms in the Irish Midlands. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 247:131-135.

- 1
2
3 Odrizola E, Odeon A, Cabton G, Clemente G, Escande A, 2005. *Diplodia maydis*: a cause of
4 death of cattle in Argentina. *N Z Vet J* 53:160-161.
5
6
7
8 Oliviera CA, Rosmaninho J, Rosim R, 2006. Aflatoxin M1 and cyclopiazonic acid in fluid
9 milk traded in Sao Paulo, Brazil. *Food Add Contam* 23:196-201.
10
11
12
13 Osweiler GD. 2000. Mycotoxins. Contemporary issues of food animal health and
14 productivity. *Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract* 16:511-530, vii.
15
16
17
18 Osweiler GD, Kehrli ME, Stabel JR, Thurston JR, Ross PF, Wilson TM. 1993. Effects of
19 fumonisin-contaminated corn screenings on growth and health of feeder calves. *J*
20 *Anim Sci* 71:459-466.
21
22
23
24
25 Pettersson H. 1998. Concerning Swedish derogation on aflatoxin. Complement to the Memo
26 of 97-03-03 on "Carry-over of aflatoxin from feedingstuffs to milk". Department of
27 Animal Nutrition and management, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences.
28
29 Uppsala, Sweden
30
31
32
33
34 Pettersson H, Kiessling KH, Ciszuk P. 1982. Degradation of ochratoxin A in rumen. In: Proc.
35 V International IUPAC Symposium Mycotoxins and Phycotoxins. Austrian Chemical
36 Society, Vienna, Austria.
37
38
39
40
41 Pinto C, Santos VM, Dinis J, Peleteiro MC, Fitzgerald JM, Hawkes AD, Smith BL, 2005.
42 Pithomycotoxicosis (facial eczema) in ruminants in the Azores, Portugal. *Vet Rec*
43 157:805-810.
44
45
46
47
48 Placinta CM, d'Mello JPF, MacDonald EK. 1999. A review of worldwide contamination of
49 animal feed with fusarium mycotoxins. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 78:21-
50 37.
51
52
53
54
55 Puntenny SB, Wang Y, Forsberg NE, 2003. Mycotic infections in livestock: recent insight and
56 studies on aetiology, diagnosis and presentation of Hemorrhagic Bowel Syndrome. In:
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Southwest Nutrition & Management Conference, Pheonix, University of Arizona,
4
5 Department of Animal Science, Tuscon. Pp. 49-63.
6
7

8 Richard JL, Meerdink G, Maragos CM, Tumbleson M, Bordson G, Rice LG, Ross PF. 1996.
9
10 Absence of detectable fumonisins in the milk of cows fed *Fusarium proliferatum*
11
12 (Matsushima) Nirenberg culture material. *Mycopathologia* 133:123-126.
13
14

15 Sabater-Vilar M, Maas RF, De Bosschere H, Ducatelle R, Fink-Gremmels J, 2004. Patulin
16
17 produced by *Aspergillus clavatus* isolated from feed containing malting residues
18
19 associated with a lethal neurotoxicosis in cattle. *Mycopathologia* 158:419-426.
20
21

22 Schneweis I, Meyer K, Hormansdorfer S, Bauer J. 2001. Metabolites of *Monascus ruber* in
23
24 silages. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl)* 85:38-44.
25
26

27 Schoenhard GL, Hendricks JD, Nixon JE, Lee DJ, Wales JH, Sinnhuber RO, Pawlowski NE.
28
29 1981. Aflatoxicol-induced hepatocellular carcinoma in rainbow trout (*Salmo*
30
31 *gairdneri*) and the synergistic effects of cyclopropanoid fatty acids. *Cancer Res*
32
33 41:1011-1014.
34
35

36 Schrickx J, Lektarau Y, Fink-Gremmels J. 2006. Ochratoxin A secretion by ATP-dependent
37
38 membrane transporters in Caco-2 cells. *Arch Toxicol* 80:243-249.
39
40

41 Scudamore KA, Nawaz S, Hetmanski MT. 1998a. Mycotoxins in ingredients of animal
42
43 feeding stuffs: II. Determination of mycotoxins in maize and maize products. *Food*
44
45 *Addit Contam* 15:30-55.
46
47

48 Scudamore KA, Nawaz S, Hetmanski MT, Rainbird SC. 1998b. Mycotoxins in ingredients of
49
50 animal feeding stuffs: III. Determination of mycotoxins in rice bran. *Food Addit*
51
52 *Contam* 15:185-194.
53
54

55 Seeling K, Dänicke S, Ueberschar KH, Lebzien P, Flachowsky G. 2005. On the effects of
56
57 *Fusarium* toxin-contaminated wheat and the feed intake level on the metabolism and
58
59 carry over of zearalenone in dairy cows. *Food Addit Contam* 22:847-855.
60

- 1
2
3 Seeling K, Dänicke S, Valenta H, Van Egmond HP, Schothorst RC, Jekel AA, Lebzien P,
4
5 Schollenberger M, Razzazi-Fazeli E, Flachowsky G. 2006a. Effects of Fusarium
6
7 toxin-contaminated wheat and feed intake level on the biotransformation and carry-
8
9 over of deoxynivalenol in dairy cows. *Food Addit Contam* 23:1008-1020.
10
11
12 Seeling K, Boghun J, Strobel E, Dänicke S, Valenta H, Ueberschar KH, Rodehutschord M,
13
14 2006. On the effects of Fusarium toxin contaminated wheat and what chaff on nutrient
15
16 utilization and turnover of deoxynivalenol and zearlanone in vitro (Rusitec). *Toxicol*
17
18 *in Vitro* 20:703-711.
19
20
21
22 Smith BL, McLeay LM, Embling PP. 1997. Effect of the mycotoxins penitrem, paxilline and
23
24 lolitrem B on the electromyographic activity of skeletal and gastrointestinal smooth
25
26 muscle of sheep. *Res Vet Sci* 62:111-116.
27
28
29 Skaug MA, 1999. Analysis of Norwegian milk and infant formulas for ochratoxin A. *Food*
30
31 *Addit Contam* 16:75-78.
32
33
34 Van Egmond HP. 1989. Aflatoxin M1: Occurrence, Toxicity, Regulation. In: Van Egmond
35
36 HP, editor. *Mycotoxins in Dairy Products*. London and New York: Elsevier Applied
37
38 Science.
39
40
41 Van Herwaarden AE, Wagenaar E, Karnekamp B, Merino G, Jonker JW, Schinkel AH. 2006.
42
43 Breast cancer resistance protein (Bcrp1/Abcg2) reduces systemic exposure of the
44
45 dietary carcinogens aflatoxin B1, IQ and Trp-P-1 but also mediates their secretion into
46
47 breast milk. *Carcinogenesis* 27:123-130.
48
49
50
51 Veldman A, Meijst JAC, Borggreve GJ, Heeres-van Tol JJ. 1992. Carry-over of aflatoxin
52
53 from cow's food to milk. *Anim. Prod.* 55:163-168.
54
55
56 Wang L, Cross AL, Allen KL, Smith BL, McLeay LM. 2003. Tremorgenic mycotoxins
57
58 increase gastric smooth muscle activity of sheep reticulum and rumen in vitro. *Res Vet*
59
60 *Sci* 74:93-100.

1
2
3 Wu F. 2006. Mycotoxin reduction in Bt corn: potential economic, health, and regulatory
4 impacts. *Transgenic Res* 15:277-289.
5
6

7
8 Xiao H, Marquardt RR, Frohlich AA, Ohilipps GD, Vitti TG, 1991. Effect of a hay and grain
9 diet in the rate of hydrolysis of ochratoxin A in the rumen of sheep. *J. Anim. Sci.*
10 69:3706-3014.
11
12
13

14
15 Yiannikouris A, Jouany J-P. 2002. Mycotoxins in feeds and their fate in animals: a review.
16 *Anim. Res.* 51:81-99.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Examples of possible co-exposure of dairy cows to mycotoxins in different components of a ruminant diet

Diet component	Mycotoxins
Concentrates	aflatoxins, fumonisins, zearalenone, trichothecenes (DON), ergot alkaloids
Pasture grasses	lolitrems, paspalitrems, penitrem A, ergovaline and associated ergot alkaloids, trichothecenes
Preserved feeds (silage)	patulin, mycophenolic acid, roquefortines, fumitremorgens, verruculogen, monacolines, and others

Table 2. Products of ruminal bioconversion and transfer of mycotoxins from feed to milk^a

Mycotoxin	Main Product of Rumen Metabolism	Reduction of Biological Potency	Estimated Carry-over Rates
Aflatoxin B ₁	Aflatoxicol	minor	n.d. ^b 0-12.4 µg/L ^c
	Aflatoxin M ₁ ^d	minor	2 - 6.2 %
Cyclopiazonic acid	unchanged	unchanged	n.d. 6.4 – 0.7 µg/L ^e
Fumonisin B ₁	unchanged	unchanged	0 – 0.05 %
Ochratoxin A	Ochratoxin-α	significant ^f	n.d.
T-2 toxin	Various	significant	0.05 – 2 %
DON (and related trichothecenes)	De-epoxy-DON (DOM)	significant	DON: 0.0001-0.0002 DOM: 0.0004-0.0024 ^g
Zearalenone	α-Zearalenol	none	0.06 – 0.08 % ^h
Patulin ⁱ	unchanged	unchanged	n.d.
Ergovalin	unchanged	unchanged	n.d.
Lolitrems	unchanged	unchanged	n.d.

^a According to Galtier, 1998, 1999; Yiannikouris and Jouany (2002); and other sources as indicated.

^b n.b. not determined.

^c aflatoxicol has been detected, however, in commercial milk samples (Carvajal et al., 2003)

^d Aflatoxin M₁ is not a product of rumen metabolism but originates from hepatic metabolism of aflatoxin B₁

^e According to Oliviera et al., 2006

^f Ochratoxin-α is considered to be less toxic than Ochratoxin A, but it may be esterified to yield Ochratoxin C, which is a toxic form.

^g According to Seeling et al., 2006.

^h Total milk analysis shows also minor concentrations of β-zearalenol.

ⁱ Patulin is metabolised in the liver.