



HAL
open science

On domination of Cartesian product of directed cycles

Michel Mollard

► **To cite this version:**

| Michel Mollard. On domination of Cartesian product of directed cycles. 2011. hal-00576481v3

HAL Id: hal-00576481

<https://hal.science/hal-00576481v3>

Preprint submitted on 24 May 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the domination of Cartesian product of directed cycles: Results for certain equivalence classes of lengths

Michel Mollard*

Institut Fourier
100, rue des Maths
38402 St Martin d'Hères Cedex FRANCE
michel.mollard@ujf-grenoble.fr

Abstract

Let $\gamma(\vec{C}_m \square \vec{C}_n)$ be the domination number of the Cartesian product of directed cycles \vec{C}_m and \vec{C}_n for $m, n \geq 2$. Shaheen [13] and Liu et al. ([11], [12]) determined the value of $\gamma(\vec{C}_m \square \vec{C}_n)$ when $m \leq 6$ and [12] when both m and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. In this article we give, in general, the value of $\gamma(\vec{C}_m \square \vec{C}_n)$ when $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and improve the known lower bounds for most of the remaining cases. We also disprove the conjectured formula for the case $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ appearing in [12].

AMS Classification[2010]:05C69,05C38.

Keywords: Directed graph, Cartesian product, Domination number, Directed cycle.

1 Introduction and definitions

Let $D = (V, A)$ be a finite directed graph (digraph for short) without loops or multiple arcs.

A vertex u *dominates* a vertex v if $u = v$ or $uv \in A$. A set $W \subseteq V$ is a *dominating set* of D if any vertex of V is dominated by at least one vertex of W . The *domination number* of D , denoted by $\gamma(D)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set. The set V is a dominating set thus $\gamma(D)$ is finite. These definitions extend to digraphs the classical domination notion for undirected graphs.

The determination of the domination number of a directed or undirected graph is, in general, a difficult question in graph theory. Furthermore this problem has

*CNRS Université Joseph Fourier

connections with information theory. For example the domination number of hypercubes is linked to error-correcting codes. Among the lot of related works, Haynes et al. ([7], [8]), mention the special cases of the domination of Cartesian products of undirected paths, cycles or more generally graphs([1] to [6], [9], [10]).

For two digraphs $D_1 = (V_1, A_1)$ and $D_2 = (V_2, A_2)$ the *Cartesian product* $D_1 \square D_2$ is the digraph with vertex set $V_1 \times V_2$ and $(x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) \in A(D_1 \square D_2)$ if and only if $x_1 y_1 \in A_1$ and $x_2 = y_2$ or $x_2 y_2 \in A_2$ and $x_1 = y_1$. Note that $D_2 \square D_1$ is isomorphic to $D_1 \square D_2$. In [13] Shaheen determined the domination number of $\overrightarrow{C}_m \square \overrightarrow{C}_n$ for $m \leq 6$ and arbitrary n . In two articles [11], [12] Liu et al. considered independently the domination number of the Cartesian product of two directed cycles. They gave also the value of $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C}_m \square \overrightarrow{C}_n)$ when $m \leq 6$ and when both m and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ [12]. Furthermore they proposed lower and upper bounds for the general case.

In this paper we are able to give, in general, the value of $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C}_m \square \overrightarrow{C}_n)$ when $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and we improve the lower bounds for most of the still unknown cases. We also disprove the conjectured formula appearing in [12] for the case $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

We denote the vertices of a directed cycle \overrightarrow{C}_n by $C_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, the integers considered modulo n . Thus, when used for vertex labeling, $a+b$ and $a-b$ will denote the vertices $a+b$ and $a-b \pmod{n}$. Notice that there exists an arc xy from x to y in \overrightarrow{C}_n if and only if $y \equiv x+1 \pmod{n}$, thus with our convention, if and only if $y = x+1$. For any i in $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ we will denote by \overrightarrow{C}_m^i the subgraph of $\overrightarrow{C}_m \square \overrightarrow{C}_n$ induced by the vertices $\{(k, i) \mid k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}\}$. Note that \overrightarrow{C}_m^i is isomorphic to \overrightarrow{C}_m . We will denote by C_m^i the set of vertices of \overrightarrow{C}_m^i .

2 General bounds and the case $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

We start this section by developing a general upper bound for $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C}_m \square \overrightarrow{C}_n)$. Then we will construct minimum dominating sets for $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. These optimal sets will be obtained from integer solutions of a system of equations.

Proposition 1 *Let W be a dominating set of $\overrightarrow{C}_m \square \overrightarrow{C}_n$. Then for all i in $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ considered modulo n we have $|W \cap C_m^{i-1}| + 2|W \cap C_m^i| \geq m$.*

Proof : The m vertices of C_m^i can only be dominated by vertices of $W \cap C_m^i$ and $W \cap C_m^{i-1}$. Each of the vertices of $W \cap C_m^i$ dominates two vertices in C_m^i . Similarly each of the vertices of $W \cap C_m^{i-1}$ dominates one vertex in C_m^i . The result follows. \square

Theorem 2 *Let $m, n \geq 2$ and $k_1 = \lfloor \frac{m}{3} \rfloor$ then*

- (i) *if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ then $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C}_m \square \overrightarrow{C}_n) \geq nk_1$, or*
- (ii) *if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ then $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C}_m \square \overrightarrow{C}_n) \geq nk_1 + \frac{n}{2}$, or*
- (iii) *if $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ then $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C}_m \square \overrightarrow{C}_n) \geq nk_1 + n$.*

Proof :

Let W be a dominating set of $\overrightarrow{C}_m \square \overrightarrow{C}_n$ and for any i in $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ let $a_i = |W \cap C_m^i|$. Notice first, as noticed by Liu et al.([12]), that each of the vertices of W dominates three vertices of $\overrightarrow{C}_m \square \overrightarrow{C}_n$ and thus $|W| \geq \frac{mn}{3}$. This general bound give the announced result for $m = 3k_1$, $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C}_m \square \overrightarrow{C}_n) \geq nk_1 + \frac{n}{3}$ for $m = 3k_1 + 1$ and $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C}_m \square \overrightarrow{C}_n) \geq nk_1 + 2\frac{n}{3}$ for $m = 3k_1 + 2$. We will improve these two last results to verify parts (ii) and (iii) of the theorem.

Assume first $m = 3k_1 + 1$. Let J be the set of $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $a_j \leq k_1$. If $J = \emptyset$ then $|W| \geq n(k_1 + 1) \geq nk_1 + \frac{n}{2}$ and we are done. Otherwise let $J' = \{j \mid j+1 \pmod{n} \in J\}$. By Proposition 1, for any i in $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ considered modulo n , we have $a_{i-1} + 2a_i \geq 3k_1 + 1$. Then if i belongs to J , $a_{i-1} + a_i \geq 2k_1 + 1$. A first consequence is that there are no consecutive indices, taken modulo n , in J . Indeed if $j-1$ and j are in J then, by definition of J , $a_{j-1} + a_j \leq 2k_1$ in contradiction with the previous inequality. By definition of J' we have thus $J \cap J' = \emptyset$.

Now let $K = \{j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} \mid j \notin J \cup J'\}$. We can write $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} = J \cup J' \cup K$ where J, J' and K are disjoint sets. Notice that $\theta : j \mapsto j-1 \pmod{n}$ induces a one to one mapping between J and J' .

The cardinality of W is $|W| = \sum_{i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}} a_i = \sum_{i \in J} a_i + \sum_{i \in J'} a_i + \sum_{i \in K} a_i$. We can use θ for grouping 2 by 2 the elements of $J \cup J'$ and write $\sum_{i \in J} a_i + \sum_{i \in J'} a_i = \sum_{i \in J} a_i + \sum_{i \in J} a_{\theta(i)} = \sum_{i \in J} (a_i + a_{i-1})$. Using $a_{i-1} + a_i \geq 2k_1 + 1$, because $i \in J$, we obtain $\sum_{i \in J} a_i + \sum_{i \in J'} a_i \geq |J|(2k_1 + 1)$.

If $i \in K$ then $i \notin J$ and $a_i \geq k_1 + 1$. Since $|K| = n - 2|J|$ we have $\sum_{i \in K} a_i \geq (n - 2|J|)(k_1 + 1)$. Then $|W| = \sum_{i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}} a_i \geq |J|(2k_1 + 1) + (n - 2|J|)(k_1 + 1) = nk_1 + n - |J|$.

Since $|J| = |J'|$ and $J \cap J' = \emptyset$, $n - |J| \geq \frac{n}{2}$ and the conclusion for (ii) follows.

The case $m = 3k_1 + 2$ is similar. Let J be the set of $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $a_j \leq k_1$. If $J = \emptyset$ then we are done. Otherwise let $J' = \{j \mid j+1 \pmod{n} \in J\}$. If $i \in J$ we have $a_{i-1} + 2a_i \geq 3k_1 + 2$ thus $a_{i-1} + a_i \geq 2k_1 + 2$. Then $J \cap J' = \emptyset$ and $\sum_{i \in J \cup J'} a_i \geq |J|(2k_1 + 2)$. Therefore $\sum_{i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}} a_i \geq |J|(2k_1 + 2) + (n - 2|J|)(k_1 + 1) \geq n(k_1 + 1)$.

□

Let us now study in detail the case $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Assume $m = 3k_1 + 2$. Let A be the set of $k_1 + 1$ vertices of \overrightarrow{C}_m defined by $A = \{0\} \cup \{2 + 3p \mid p = 0, 1, \dots, k_1 - 1\} = \{0\} \cup \{2, 5, \dots, m-6, m-3\}$. For any i in $\{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ let us call $A_i = \{j \mid j-i \pmod{m} \in A\}$ the *translate*, considered modulo m , of A by i . We have thus $A_i = \{i\} \cup \{i+2, i+5, \dots, i-6, i-3\}$ (see Figure 1).

We will call a set S of vertices of $\overrightarrow{C}_m \square \overrightarrow{C}_n$ a A -set if for any j in $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ we have $S \cap C_m^j = A_i$ for some i in $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. It will be convenient to denote this index i , function of j , as i_j . If S is a A -set then $|S| = n(k_1 + 1)$; thus if a set is both a A -set and a dominating set, by Theorem 2, it is minimum and we have $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C}_m \square \overrightarrow{C}_n) = n(k_1 + 1)$.

Lemma 3 *Let $m = 3k_1 + 2$. Let S be a A -set and for any j in $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$*




Figure 1: A_i, A_{i-1} and A_{i+2}

define i_j as the index such that $S \cap C_m^j = A_{i_j}$. Assume that:

$$\begin{cases} \text{for any } j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \text{ } i_j \equiv i_{j-1} + 1 \pmod{m} \text{ or } i_j \equiv i_{j-1} - 2 \pmod{m} \\ \text{and} \\ i_0 \equiv i_{n-1} + 1 \pmod{m} \text{ or } i_0 \equiv i_{n-1} - 2 \pmod{m}. \end{cases}$$

then S is a dominating set of $\overrightarrow{C_m} \square \overrightarrow{C_n}$.

Proof :

Note first that for any i in $\{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ the set of non dominated vertices of C_m by A_i is $T = \{i+4, i+7, \dots, i-4, i-1\}$. Note also that $A_{i+2} = \{i+2\} \cup \{i+4, i+7, \dots, i-4, i-1\}$ and $A_{i-1} = \{i-1\} \cup \{i+1, i+4, \dots, i-7, i-4\}$. Thus $T \subset A_{i+2}$ and $T \subset A_{i-1}$.

Let j in $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Let us prove that the vertices of C_m^j are dominated. Indeed, by the previous remark and the lemma hypothesis, the vertices non dominated by $S \cap C_m^j$ are dominated by $S \cap C_m^{j-1}$ (see Figure 1). For the same reasons the vertices of C_m^0 are dominated by those of $S \cap C_m^0$ and $S \cap C_m^{n-1}$. □

We will prove next that the existence of solutions to some system of equations over integers implies the existence of a A -set satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 3.

Lemma 4 Let $m = 3k_1 + 2$. If there exist integers $a, b \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} a + b = n - 1 \\ \text{and} \\ a - 2b \equiv 2 \pmod{m} \text{ or } a - 2b \equiv m - 1 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

then $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C_m} \square \overrightarrow{C_n}) = n(k_1 + 1)$.

Proof : Consider a word $w = w_1 \dots w_{n-1}$ on the alphabet $\{1, -2\}$ with a occurrences of 1 and b of -2 . Such a word exists, for example $w = 1^a(-2)^b$. We can associate with w a set S of vertices of $\overrightarrow{C_m} \square \overrightarrow{C_n}$ using the following algorithm:

- $S \cap C_m^0 = A_0$
- For $i = 1$ to $n - 1$ do
 - begin
 - Let k such that $S \cap C_m^{i-1} = A_k$
 - If $w_i = 1$ let $k' \equiv k + 1 \pmod{m}$ else $k' \equiv k - 2 \pmod{m}$
 - $S \cap C_m^i := A_{k'}$
 - end

By construction S is a A -set. Notice that we have $S \cap C_m^{n-1} := A_{i_{n-1}}$ where $i_{n-1} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} w_k \equiv a - 2b \pmod{m}$. Thus $i_{n-1} \equiv 2 \pmod{m}$ or $i_{n-1} \equiv m - 1 \pmod{m}$. By Lemma 3 S is a dominating set. Furthermore, because S is a A -set, $|S| = n(k_1 + 1)$, thus by Theorem 2 it is minimum and we have $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C_m} \square \overrightarrow{C_n}) = n(k_1 + 1)$. \square
 With the exception of one sub case we can find solutions (a, b) of the system and thus obtain minimum dominating sets for $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

Theorem 5 Let $m, n \geq 2$ and $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Let $k_1 = \lfloor \frac{m}{3} \rfloor$ and $k_2 = \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$.

- (i) if $n = 3k_2$ then $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C_m} \square \overrightarrow{C_n}) = n(k_1 + 1)$, and
- (ii) if $n = 3k_2 + 1$ and $2k_2 \geq k_1$ then $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C_m} \square \overrightarrow{C_n}) = n(k_1 + 1)$, and
- (iii) if $n = 3k_2 + 1$ and $2k_2 < k_1$ then $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C_m} \square \overrightarrow{C_n}) > n(k_1 + 1)$, and
- (iv) if $n = 3k_2 + 2$ and $n \geq m$ then $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C_m} \square \overrightarrow{C_n}) = n(k_1 + 1)$, and
- (v) if $n = 3k_2 + 2$ and $n \leq m$ then $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C_m} \square \overrightarrow{C_n}) = m(k_2 + 1)$.

Proof : We will use Lemma 4 considering the following integer solutions of

$$\begin{cases} a, b \geq 0 \\ a + b = n - 1 \\ a - 2b \equiv 2 \pmod{m} \text{ or } a - 2b \equiv m - 1 \pmod{m}. \end{cases}$$

- (i) if $n = 3k_2$ then $k_2 \geq 1$. Take $a = 2k_2$ and $b = k_2 - 1$.
- (ii) if $n = 3k_2 + 1$ and $2k_2 \geq k_1$ then take $a = 2k_2 - k_1$ and $b = k_2 + k_1$.
- (iii) if $n = 3k_2 + 1$ and $2k_2 < k_1$ then $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C_m} \square \overrightarrow{C_n}) = \gamma(\overrightarrow{C_n} \square \overrightarrow{C_m}) \geq \frac{(2k_2+1)m}{2}$ by Theorem 2. Furthermore $\frac{(2k_2+1)m}{2} - n(k_1 + 1) = \frac{k_1}{2} - k_2 > 0$.
- (iv) if $n = 3k_2 + 2$ and $k_2 \geq k_1$ then take $a = 2k_2 - 2k_1$ and $b = k_2 + 2k_1 + 1$.
- (v) if $n = 3k_2 + 2$ and $k_2 \leq k_1$ then use $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C_m} \square \overrightarrow{C_n}) = \gamma(\overrightarrow{C_n} \square \overrightarrow{C_m})$.

\square

3 The case $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$

In [12] Liu et al. conjectured the following formula:

Conjecture 6 Let $k \geq 2$. Then $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C_{3k}} \square \overrightarrow{C_n}) = k(n+1)$ for $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

Our Theorem 5 confirms the conjecture when $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Unfortunately the formula is not always valid when $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

Indeed consider $C_{3k} \square C_4$. In [11] the following result is proved:

Theorem 7 Let $n \geq 2$. Then $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C_4} \square \overrightarrow{C_n}) = \frac{3n}{2}$ if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ and $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C_4} \square \overrightarrow{C_n}) = n + \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$ otherwise.

We have thus $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C_{3k}} \square \overrightarrow{C_4}) = \gamma(\overrightarrow{C_4} \square \overrightarrow{C_{3k}}) = 3k + \lceil \frac{3k+1}{2} \rceil$ when $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. Alternately, Conjecture 6 proposes the value $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C_{3k}} \square \overrightarrow{C_4}) = 5k$. These two numbers are different when $k \geq 3$.

4 Conclusion

Consider the possible remainder of m, n modulo 3. For some of the nine possibilities, we have found exact values for $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C_m} \square \overrightarrow{C_n})$. The remaining cases are:

- a) $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
- b) The symmetrical case $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.
- c) m and $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.
- d) The case m or $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ is not completely solved by Theorem 5. The following subcases are still open
 - i) $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ with $m > 2n + 1$
 - ii) the symmetrical case $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ with $n > 2m + 1$.

For these values of m, n there does not always exist a dominating set reaching the bound stated in Theorem 2 and thus the determination of $\gamma(\overrightarrow{C_m} \square \overrightarrow{C_n})$ seems to be a more difficult problem.

References

- [1] T.Y. Chang, W.E. Clark: "The Domination numbers of the $5 \times n$ and $6 \times n$ grid graphs", *J. Graph Theory*, **17** (1993) 81-107.
- [2] M. El-Zahar, C.M. Pareek: "Domination number of products of graphs", *Ars Combin.*, **31** (1991) 223-227.
- [3] M. El-Zahar, S. Khamis, Kh. Nazzal: "On the Domination number of the Cartesian product of the cycle of length n and any graph", *Discrete App. Math.*, **155** (2007) 515-522.

- 182 [4] R.J. Faudree, R.H. Schelp: “The Domination number for the product of
graphs”, *Congr. Numer.*, **79** (1990) 29-33.
- 184 [5] S. Gravier, M. Mollard: “On Domination numbers of Cartesian product of
paths”, *Discrete App. Math.*, **80** (1997) 247-250.
- 186 [6] B. Hartnell, D. Rall: “On dominating the Cartesian product of a graph and
 K_2 ”, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, **24(3)** (2004) 389-402.
- 188 [7] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, and P.J. Slater: *Fundamentals of Domination
in Graphs*, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1998.
- 190 [8] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, and P.J. Slater eds.: *Domination in Graphs:
Advanced Topics*, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1998.
- 192 [9] M.S. Jacobson, L.F. Kinch: “On the Domination number of products of graphs
I”, *Ars Combin.*, **18** (1983) 33-44.
- 194 [10] S. Klavžar, N. Seifter: “Dominating Cartesian products of cycles”, *Discrete
App. Math.*, **59** (1995) 129-136.
- 196 [11] J. Liu, X.D. Zhang, X. Chen, J. Meng: “On domination number of Cartesian
product of directed cycles”, *Inf. Process. Lett.*, **110(5)** (2010) 171-173.
- 198 [12] J. Liu, X.D. Zhang, X. Chen, J. Meng: “Domination number of Cartesian
products of directed cycles”, *Inf. Process. Lett.*, **111(1)** (2010) 36-39.
- 200 [13] R.S. Shaheen: “Domination number of toroidal grid digraphs”, *Utilitas Math-
ematica* **78**(2009) 175-184.