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#### Abstract

Let $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)$ be the domination number of the Cartesian product of directed cycles $\overrightarrow{C_{m}}$ and $\overrightarrow{C_{n}}$ for $m, n \geq 2$. Shaheen [13] and Liu and al.[11], [12] determined the value of $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)$ when $m \leq 6$ and [12] when both $m$ and $n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. In this article we give, in general, the value of $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)$ when $m \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ and improve the known lower bounds for most of the remaining cases. We also disprove the conjectured formula for the case $m \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ appearing in [12].
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## 1 Introduction and definitions

Let $D=(V, A)$ be a finite directed graph (digraph for short) without loops or multiple arcs.

A vertex $u$ dominates a vertex $v$ if $u=v$ or $u v \in A$. A set $W \subset V$ is a dominating set of $D$ if any vertex of $V$ is dominated by at least a vertex of $W$. The domination number of $D$, denoted by $\gamma(D)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set. The set $V$ is a dominating set thus $\gamma(D)$ is finite. These definitions extend to digraphs the classical domination notion for undirected graphs.

The determination of domination number of a directed or undirected graph is, in general, a difficult question in graph theory. Furthermore this problem has connections with information theory. For example the domination number of Hypercubes is linked to error-correcting codes. Among the lot of related works ([7], [8]) mention the special case of domination of Cartesian product of undirected paths or cycles ([1] to [6], [9], [10]).

[^0]For two digraphs $D_{1}=\left(V_{1}, A_{1}\right)$ and $D_{2}=\left(V_{2}, A_{2}\right)$ the Cartesian product $D_{1} \square D_{2}$ is the digraph with vertex set $V_{1} \times V_{2}$ and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in A\left(D_{1} \square D_{2}\right)$ if and only if $x_{1} y_{1} \in A_{1}$ and $x_{2}=y_{2}$ or $x_{2} y_{2} \in A_{2}$ and $x_{1}=y_{1}$. Note that $D_{2} \square D_{1}$ is isomorphic to $D_{1} \square D_{2}$. In [13] Shaheen determined the domination number of $\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}$ for $m \leq 6$ and arbitrary $n$. In two articles [11], [12] Liu and al. considered independently the domination number of Cartesian product of two directed cycles. They gave also the value of $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)$ when $m \leq 6$ and when both $m$ and $n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ [12]. Furthermore they proposed lower and upper bounds for the general case.

In this paper we are able to give, in general, the value of $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)$ when $m \equiv 2$ ( $\bmod 3$ ) and we improve the lower bounds for most of the still unknown cases. We also disprove the conjectured formula appearing in $[12]$ for the case $m \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$.

We denote the vertices of a directed cycle $\overrightarrow{C_{n}}$ by $C_{n}=\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$, the integers considered modulo $n$. Thus, when used for vertices labelling, $a+b$ and $a-b$ will denote the vertices $a+b$ and $a-b(\bmod n)$. Notice that there exists an $\operatorname{arc} x y$ from $x$ to $y$ in $\overrightarrow{C_{n}}$ if and only if $y \equiv x+1(\bmod n)$, thus with our convention, if and only if $y=x+1$. For any $i$ in $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ we will denote by $\overrightarrow{C_{m}^{i}}$ the subgraph of $\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}$ induced by the vertices $\{(k, i) / k \in\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}\}$. Note that $\overrightarrow{C_{m}^{i}}$ is isomorphic to $\overrightarrow{C_{m}}$. We will denote by $C_{m}^{i}$ the set of vertices of $\overrightarrow{C_{m}^{i}}$.

## 2 General bounds and the case $m \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$

Proposition 1 Let $W$ be a dominating set of $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)$ then for all i in $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ considered modulo $n$ we have $\left|W \cap C_{m}^{i-1}\right|+2\left|W \cap C_{m}^{i}\right| \geq m$.

Proof : The $m$ vertices of $C_{m}^{i}$ can only be dominated by vertices of $W \cap C_{m}^{i}$ and $W \cap C_{m}^{i-1}$. Each of the vertices of $W \cap C_{m}^{i}$ dominates two vertices in $C_{m}^{i}$. Similarly each of the vertices of $S \cap C_{m}^{i-1}$ dominates one vertex in $C_{m}^{i}$. The result follows.

Theorem 2 Let $m, n \geq 2$ and $k_{1}=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{3}\right\rfloor$ then

- if $m \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ then $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right) \geq n k_{1}$
- if $m \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ then $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right) \geq n k_{1}+\frac{n}{2}$
- if $m \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ then $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right) \geq n k_{1}+n$.

Proof :
Let $W$ be a dominating set of $\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}$ and for any $i$ in $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ let $a_{i}=\left|W \cap C_{m}^{i}\right|$. Notice first that each of the vertices of $W$ dominates three vertices of $\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}$. Thus $|W| \geq \frac{m n}{3}$. This general bound give the announced result for $m=3 k_{1}, \gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right) \geq n k_{1}+\frac{n}{3}$ for $m=3 k_{1}+1$ and $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right) \geq n k_{1}+2 \frac{n}{3}$ for $m=3 k_{1}+2$. We will improve these two last results.

Assume first $m=3 k_{1}+1$. Let $J$ be the set of $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $a_{i} \leq k_{1}$. If $J=\emptyset$ then $|W| \geq n\left(k_{1}+1\right) \geq n k_{1}+\frac{n}{2}$ and we are done. Otherwise let $J^{\prime}=\{i \mid i+1(\bmod n) \in J\}$. By proposition 1, we have $a_{i-1}+2 a_{i} \geq 3 k_{1}+1$. Then
if $i$ belongs to $J, a_{i-1}+a_{i} \geq 2 k_{1}+1$. Thus $J \cap J^{\prime}=\emptyset$ and $\sum_{i \in J \cup J^{\prime}} a_{i} \geq|J|\left(2 k_{1}+1\right)$. Therefore $\sum_{i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}} a_{i} \geq|J|\left(2 k_{1}+1\right)+(n-2|J|)\left(k_{1}+1\right)=n k_{1}+n-|J| \geq n k_{1}+\frac{n}{2}$.

Assume now $m=3 k_{1}+2$. Let $J$ be the set of $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $a_{i} \leq k_{1}$. If $J=\emptyset$ then we are done. Otherwise let $J^{\prime}=\{i \mid i+1(\bmod n) \in J\}$. If $i \in J$ we have $a_{i-1}+2 a_{i} \geq 3 k_{1}+2$ thus $a_{i-1}+a_{i} \geq 2 k_{1}+2$. Then $J \cap J^{\prime}=\emptyset$ and $\sum_{i \in J \cup J^{\prime}} a_{i} \geq|J|\left(2 k_{1}+2\right)$. Therefore $\sum_{i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}} a_{i} \geq|J|\left(2 k_{1}+2\right)+(n-$ $2|J|)\left(k_{1}+1\right) \geq n\left(k_{1}+1\right)$.

Let us now study in details the case $m \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$. Assume $m=3 k_{1}+2$. Let $A$ be the set of $k_{1}+1$ vertices of $\overrightarrow{C_{m}}$ defined by $A=\{0\} \cup\left\{2+3 p \mid p=0,1, \ldots, k_{1}-1\right\}=$ $\{0\} \cup\{2,5, \ldots, m-6, m-3\}$. For any $i$ in $\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$ let $A_{i}$ be the translate, considered modulo $m$, of $A$ by $i$; thus $A_{i}=\{i\} \cup\{i+2, i+5, \ldots, i-6, i-3\}$ (see Figure 1).

We will call a set $S$ of vertices of $\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}$ a $A$-set if for any $j$ in $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ we have $S \cap C_{m}^{j}=A_{i_{j}}$ for some $i_{j}$. Therefore $|S|=n\left(k_{1}+1\right)$; thus if a set is both a $A$-set and a dominating set, by Theorem 2 , it is minimum and we have $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)=n\left(k_{1}+1\right)$.


Figure 1: $A_{i}, A_{i-1}$ and $A_{i+2}$

Lemma 3 Let $m=3 k_{1}+2$. Let $S$ be a $A$-set and for any $j$ in $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ let $i_{j}$ such that $S \cap C_{m}^{j}=A_{i_{j}}$. Assume that:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { for any } j \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\} i_{j} \equiv i_{j-1}+1(\bmod m) \text { or } i_{j} \equiv i_{j-1}-2(\bmod m) \\
\text { and } \\
i_{0} \equiv i_{n-1}+1(\bmod m) \text { or } i_{0} \equiv i_{n-1}-2(\bmod m)
\end{array}\right.
$$

then $S$ is a dominating set of $\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}$.

## Proof :

Note first that for any $i$ in $\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$ the set of non dominated vertices of $C_{m}$ by $A_{i}$ is $T=\{i+4, i+7, \ldots, i-4, i-1\}$. Note also that $A_{i+2}=\{i+2\} \cup$ $\{i+4, i+7, \ldots, i-4, i-1\}$ and $A_{i-1}=\{i-1\} \cup\{i+1, i+4, \ldots, i-7, i-4\}$. Thus $T \subset A_{i+2}$ and $T \subset A_{i-1}$.

Let $j$ in $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Let us prove that the vertices of $C_{m}^{j}$ are dominated. Indeed, by the previous remark and the lemma hypothesis, the vertices non dominated by $S \cap C_{m}^{j}$ are dominated by $S \cap C_{m}^{j-1}$ (see Figure 1). For the same reasons the vertices of $C_{m}^{0}$ are dominated by those of $S \cap C_{m}^{0}$ and $S \cap C_{m}^{n-1}$.

Lemma 4 Let $m=3 k_{1}+2$. If there exist integers $a, b \geq 0$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a+b=n-1 \\
a n d \\
a-2 b \equiv 2(\bmod m) \text { or } a-2 b \equiv m-1(\bmod m)
\end{array}\right.
$$

then $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)=n\left(k_{1}+1\right)$.
Proof : Consider a word $w=w_{1} \ldots w_{n-1}$ on the alphabet $\{1,-2\}$ with $a$ occurrences of 1 and $b$ of -2 . Such a word exists, for example $w=1^{a}(-2)^{b}$. We can associate to $w$ a set $S$ of vertices of $\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}$ by the following algorithm:

- $S \cap C_{m}^{0}=A_{0}$
- For $i=1$ to $n-1$ do begin
Let $k$ such that $S \cap C_{m}^{i-1}=A_{k}$
If $w_{i}=1$ let $k^{\prime} \equiv k+1(\bmod m)$ else $k^{\prime} \equiv k-2(\bmod m)$
$S \cap C_{m}^{i}:=A_{k^{\prime}}$
end
By construction $S$ is a $A$-set. Notice that we have $S \cap C_{m}^{n-1}:=A_{i_{n-1}}$ where $i_{n-1} \equiv$ $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} w_{k} \equiv a-2 b(\bmod m)$. Thus $i_{n-1} \equiv 2(\bmod m)$ or $i_{n-1} \equiv m-1(\bmod m)$. Ву lemma $3 S$ is a dominating set. Furthermore, because $S$ is a $A$-set, $|S|=n\left(k_{1}+1\right)$, thus by theorem 2 it is minimum and we have $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)=n\left(k_{1}+1\right)$.

Theorem 5 Let $m, n \geq 2$ and $m \equiv 2 \bmod 3$. Let $k_{1}=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{3}\right\rfloor$ and $k_{2}=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor$.

- if $n=3 k_{2}$ then $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)=n\left(k_{1}+1\right)$
- if $n=3 k_{2}+1$ and $2 k_{2} \geq k_{1}$ then $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)=n\left(k_{1}+1\right)$
- if $n=3 k_{2}+1$ and $2 k_{2}<k_{1}$ then $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)>n\left(k_{1}+1\right)$
- if $n=3 k_{2}+2$ and $n \geq m$ then $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)=n\left(k_{1}+1\right)$.
- if $n=3 k_{2}+2$ and $n \leq m$ then $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)=m\left(k_{2}+1\right)$.

Proof : We will use lemma 4 considering the following integer solutions of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a, b \geq 0 \\
a+b=n-1 \\
a-2 b \equiv 2(\bmod m) \text { or } a-2 b \equiv m-1(\bmod m)
\end{array}\right.
$$

- if $n=3 k_{2}$ then $k_{2} \geq 1$. Take $a=2 k_{2}$ and $b=k_{2}-1$
- if $n=3 k_{2}+1$ and $2 k_{2} \geq k_{1}$ then take $a=2 k_{2}-k_{1}$ and $b=k_{2}+k_{1}$
- if $n=3 k_{2}+1$ and $2 k_{2}<k_{1}$ then $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)=\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{n}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{m}}\right) \geq \frac{\left(2 k_{2}+1\right) m}{2}$ by theorem 2. Furthermore $\frac{\left(2 k_{2}+1\right) m}{2}-n\left(k_{1}+1\right)=\frac{k_{1}}{2}-k_{2}>0$
- if $n=3 k_{2}+2$ and $k_{2} \geq k_{1}$ then take $a=2 k_{2}-2 k_{1}$ and $b=k_{2}+2 k_{1}+1$
- if $n=3 k_{2}+2$ and $k_{2} \leq k_{1}$ then use $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)=\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{n}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{m}}\right)$.


## 3 The case $m \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$

In [12] Liu and al. conjectured the following formula:
Conjecture 6 Let $k \geq 2$. Then $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{3 k}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)=k(n+1)$ for $n \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$.
Our theorem 5 confirms the conjecture when $n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$. Unfortunately the formula is not always valid when $n \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$.

Indeed consider $C_{3 k} \square C_{4}$. In [11] the following result is proved:
Theorem 7 Let $n \geq 2$. Then $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{4}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)=\frac{3 n}{2}$ if $n \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$ and $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{4}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)=$ $n+\left\lceil\frac{n+1}{2}\right\rceil$ otherwise

We have thus $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{3 k}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{4}}\right)=\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{4}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{3 k}}\right)=3 k+\left\lceil\frac{3 k+1}{2}\right\rceil$ when $k \not \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$. On the other side the conjecture 6 proposes the value $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{3 k}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{4}}\right)=5 k$. These two numbers are different when $k \geq 3$.

## 4 Conclusion

Consider the possible reminder of $m, n$ modulo 3 . In some of the nine possible values we are able to determine $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)$. The remaining cases are

- $m \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and $n \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$
- the symmetrical case $m \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ and $n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$.
- $m$ and $n \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$.

The case $m$ or $n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ is not completely solved by Theorem 5 . The following sub cases are still open

- $m \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ and $n \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ with $m>2 n+1$
- the symmetrical case $m \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ and $n \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ with $n>2 m+1$.

For these values of $m, n$ there does not always exist a dominating set reaching the bound stated if Theorem 2 and thus the determination of $\gamma\left(\overrightarrow{C_{m}} \square \overrightarrow{C_{n}}\right)$ seems to be a more difficult problem.
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