



**HAL**  
open science

## Posterior approach white-line advancement ptosis repair: the evolving posterior approach to ptosis surgery.

Vikesh Patel, Aysha Salam, Raman Malhotra

### ► To cite this version:

Vikesh Patel, Aysha Salam, Raman Malhotra. Posterior approach white-line advancement ptosis repair: the evolving posterior approach to ptosis surgery.. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, 2010, 94 (11), pp.1513. 10.1136/bjo.2009.172353 . hal-00575247

**HAL Id: hal-00575247**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00575247>**

Submitted on 10 Mar 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Title: Posterior approach white-line advancement ptosis repair: the evolving posterior  
2 approach to ptosis surgery.

3

4 i) Vikesh Patel FRCSEd , Aysha Salam FRCSEd , Raman Malhotra FRCOphth

5

6

7 ii) Affiliation: CorneoPlastic Unit, Queen Victoria Hospital, East Grinstead UK

8

9

10 iii) Financial support: The authors received no financial support in the preparation  
11 of this manuscript.

12

13

14 iv) Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflict of interest or financial  
15 interest related to this manuscript.

16

17 v) Address for reprints: Mr Raman Malhotra, Corneoplastic Unit, Queen Victoria  
18 Hospital, Holtye Road, East Grinstead, West Sussex, RH19 3DZ, UK

19

20 vi) Corresponding author: Raman Malhotra, Corneoplastic Unit, Queen Victoria  
21 Hospital, Holtye Road, East Grinstead, West Sussex, RH19 3DZ, UK.

22

23

24 Introduction

25

26 We describe a surgical technique for ptosis correction in moderate to good levator  
27 function involving advancement of the levator aponeurosis via a transconjunctival  
28 posterior approach without resection of Muller's muscle. We present our experience of  
29 and the results from this method and review the evolution of posterior approach ptosis  
30 surgery.

31

32 Purpose

33

34 To assess the efficacy and predictability of posterior approach white line advancement  
35 ptosis repair.

36

37 Methods

38

39 Retrospective analysis of all patients with primary aponeurotic ptosis undergoing  
40 posterior-approach repair using white-line advancement between December 2007 to June  
41 2008. We describe a technique whereby after dissection of the Muller's-conjunctiva  
42 composite flap, the levator aponeurosis is advanced with double armed sutures through  
43 the white line, then through tarsus and out through skin.

44

45 Results

46

47 112 ptosis procedures in total during this period of which 71 eyelids of 41 patients were  
48 eligible for inclusion. There were 14 males and 27 females. The mean age was 63.76  
49 years (range 24 to 87 years). Minimum follow up was 3 months (12 weeks-43 weeks). Of  
50 the 71 procedures, 42 were combined with a blepharoplasty. 62 achieved their desired lid  
51 height, contour and symmetry (87.3% success rate). No patients were overcorrected.  
52 Success rate in the phenylephrine positive group (42/71) was 88.1% compared to 100  
53 % in phenylephrine negative group (4/71).

54

55 Conclusions

56

57 We present a modified approach to ptosis correction via a posterior approach. It has a  
58 high success rate and good cosmetic outcome. It is technically straightforward and easy  
59 to learn.

60

61 Keywords: aponeurotic ptosis, posterior approach

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70 **Introduction**

71

72 Surgery for aponeurotic ptosis has conventionally been directed upon the levator  
73 aponeurosis complex, in the majority of cases, through an anterior approach<sup>1</sup>. The  
74 transconjunctival route was probably the first method of surgery employed to shorten the  
75 levator muscle.<sup>2-6</sup> Posterior approach surgery was further popularised utilising Muller's  
76 muscle and tarsoconjunctival resection<sup>7,8</sup>. Traditionally this posterior approach is  
77 reserved for those with minimal ptosis and a positive response to phenylephrine test<sup>7,8,9</sup>.  
78 In 1961 Fasanella and Servat<sup>7</sup> first described their technique initially describing a “  
79 resection of Muller's muscle, levator, tarsus and conjunctiva.” Histological studies have  
80 since shown that the tissue resected consisted of a predominately tarsoconjunctival  
81 layer<sup>10,11</sup> and we now regard the Fasanella servat technique as a tarsoconjunctival  
82 resection. Muller's muscle conjunctival resection (MMCR) was first reported by  
83 Putterman in 1975<sup>8</sup>, where he dissected Muller's blindly off its bed on the aponeurosis  
84 with the aid of a special clamp. This was found to be effective in mild phenylephrine  
85 positive ptosis cases. Further modifications to this technique include an open sky MMCR  
86 and a conjunctival sparing muller resection<sup>12,13</sup>. We describe a surgical technique  
87 involving advancement of the posterior surface of the levator aponeurosis (the white-line)  
88 via a transconjunctival posterior approach without resection of Muller's muscle.  
89 Abraham Werb<sup>29</sup>, working in our unit in East Grinstead, in the 1970's was the first to  
90 highlight the importance of advancing the white-line via a posterior approach. The white-  
91 line is best described as the distal levator aponeurosis viewed from posteriorly. Although  
92 similar techniques have been reported<sup>13</sup>, to our knowledge, the outcomes of advancing

93 the posterior surface of the levator aponeurosis (white-line) through a transconjunctival  
94 approach, without resection of Muller's muscle has not been reported.

95

## 96 **Materials and Methods**

97

98 This was a retrospective case series, in which the notes of all patients undergoing surgery  
99 for primary involutional aponeurotic ptosis between December 2007 and June 2008 at the  
100 Queen Victoria Hospital, East Grinstead, UK, were reviewed. Institutional review board  
101 approval was obtained for this retrospective study.

102

103

104 Primary involutional aponeurotic ptosis had been diagnosed in patients with ptosis that  
105 was constant in all positions of gaze, moderate to good levator function (levator function  
106 > 8mm) and a high or absent skin crease. Patients with congenital, myogenic, neurogenic  
107 or traumatic aponeurotic ptosis, inadequate data, including post-operative follow up data  
108 with photographs less than 3 months, and those who underwent anterior approach or  
109 Muller's muscle excision were excluded.

110

111 A total of 41 patients undergoing 71 procedures for involutional aponeurotic posterior  
112 approach ptosis repair were identified.

113

114 Data collected included age, gender, type of ptosis (primary or recurrent), details of any  
115 previous ptosis surgery, preoperative upper eyelid margin-reflex distance (MRD), levator

116 function and skin crease height. Response to instillation of phenylephrine 2.5% was also  
117 documented preoperatively, with an elevation in lid height deemed a positive result.

118

119 Patients were examined postoperatively at 2 weeks and 3 months. Post operative  
120 measurements at the last follow up visit were used for comparison. Post operative  
121 complications and follow up time were documented. Surgical success was defined as a  
122 postoperative MRD of  $\geq 2$ mm and  $\leq 4.5$ mm, inter-eyelid height asymmetry of  $\leq 1$ mm, and  
123 satisfactory eyelid contour.

124

125 ***Surgical technique:***

126

127 All cases were performed under local anaesthesia with 1ml subcutaneous infiltration,  
128 both along the skin crease and in the mid-pupil pretarsal region and 0.5ml  
129 subconjunctival infiltration upon eyelid eversion using 2% lignocaine with 1:80000  
130 adrenaline (Figure 1A). Most patients also received a bolus injection of intravenous  
131 sedation immediately prior to local anaesthetic infiltration, but were alert for the  
132 remainder of the procedure.

133 The desired skin crease was marked and a 4-0 silk traction suture placed in the grey line  
134 of the upper eyelid, which was then everted over a Desmarres retractor. Gentle diathermy  
135 was applied prior to a conjunctival incision made with a no 15 Bard parker blade along  
136 but above the superior border of the tarsus (Figure 1C). Muller's muscle and conjunctiva  
137 was dissected off as a composite flap until the white-line, which represents the posterior  
138 border of the levator aponeurosis, was identified (Figure 1D). A double armed 5-0 vicryl

139 suture was placed centrally through the posterior belly of the white-line (Figure 1E), in a  
140 forehand manner and was then passed through the conjunctival surface of the tarsal plate,  
141 1mm below its superior border (Figure 1F), and then through to the skin (Figure 1G). The  
142 suture was captured through the skin in the region of the skin crease. The lid height and  
143 contour was assessed after tying this first suture in a bow (Figure 1 H,I) and care was  
144 taken to ensure there was no slippage of the suture. If the eyelid position was deemed to  
145 be satisfactory, the suture was relaxed and a second suture was placed within 2-3mm  
146 lateral to the first in the method described above. Both sutures were then tied. If the lid  
147 height was too low after the first suture, it was then relaxed and a second suture was  
148 passed higher through the white-line and again through the tarsal plate and skin. If the  
149 upper eyelid contour appeared peaked after the first suture, then this was relaxed and a  
150 second suture was placed more central to the location of the peak. Using this method of  
151 altering the position of the second suture enabled minor adjustments to eyelid height and  
152 contour without the undue delay of removing the first suture in the majority of cases. In  
153 such situations, the first suture was gently tied so as to act a “support” rather than a  
154 “cardinal” suture. In the post-operative period the absorbable sutures were not removed  
155 and were left to dissolve spontaneously. Both Muller’s and conjunctiva were left to heal  
156 spontaneously with no excision of these structures.

157

158

159 In those cases with significant dermatochalasis the procedure was combined with a  
160 blepharoplasty. This was carried out prior to eyelid eversion and white-line advancement  
161 (Figure 1B). The technique involved either a skin only or skin-muscle blepharoplasty.



162 The orbital septum and orbital fat were not violated and therefore the anterior surface of  
163 the aponeurosis was never exposed. The double armed 5-0 vicryl sutures came out within  
164 the wound but through the soft tissue overlying the anterior surface of the tarsal plate. By  
165 tying the sutures here they remained buried when skin closure was carried out, usually  
166 with continuous 6-0 vicryl rapide.

167

168

169

## 170 **Results**

171

### 172 ***Patients:***

173 112 ptosis procedures in total during this period of which 71 eyelids of 41 patients were  
174 eligible for inclusion. There were 14 males and 27 females. The mean age was 63.76  
175 years  $\pm$  14.9 (range 24 to 87 years).

176 All the procedures were performed for primary involutional ptosis. 41 procedures were  
177 excluded as they didn't fit the inclusion criteria. The majority of procedures (84.5%)  
178 were simultaneous bilateral ptosis repairs.

179

### 180 ***Additional upper eyelid/brow procedures performed:***

181

182 Blepharoplasty was simultaneously performed in 59% (42/71) of ptosis procedures.

183 Additional endoscopic (2/71) and pretricheal (4/71) brow lifts were carried out.

184

185 ***Preoperative findings:***

186

187 Mean MRD was  $1.32 \pm 1.23$

188 59% (42/71) of cases were phenylephrine positive, 6% (4/71) were phenylephrine

189 negative and in 35% (25/71) it was not documented.

190

191 ***Outcomes:***

192 All patients had a minimum of 3 months follow up with a median post operative follow

193 up of 17 weeks and the mean follow up was  $20.35 \text{ weeks} \pm 9.6$  (12-43).

194 The overall success rate was 87.3% (62/71). The mean MRD improved to  $3.50 \pm 1.06$

195 All failures (9/71 eyelids of 9 patients) were due to undercorrection, rather than

196 unsatisfactory contour. The mean time to failure was  $4 \text{ weeks} \pm 4.07$  (1-14 weeks).

197 The success rate in the phenylephrine positive group was 88.1% versus 100% in the

198 phenylephrine negative group.

199

200

201 **Discussion**

202

203 We describe a surgical technique for involutional ptosis involving advancement of the

204 posterior surface of the levator aponeurosis via a transconjunctival posterior approach

205 without resection of Muller's muscle or conjunctiva. Overall success rate was 87.3% and

206 all patients achieved a satisfactory contour. Of those that needed further surgery (9/71,

207 12.7%), all were undercorrected with eyelid height asymmetry and no patients were

208 overcorrected. Our technique differs from previous reports of posterior approach ptosis  
209 surgery<sup>12,14,15</sup> as we do not dissect septum to expose the anterior surface of the levator  
210 nor is there any MMCR.

211

212 Advancement of a dehisced levator aponeurosis, as described in our technique, combines  
213 achievement of a predictable post-operative height expected with aponeurosis re-  
214 attachment and a predictable normal-looking eyelid contour seen with posterior-approach  
215 Muller's muscle resection surgery, yet avoids the need to excise any tissue, namely  
216 Muller's muscle or conjunctiva.

217

218 Although it would have been useful to compare the phenylephrine response positive with  
219 the response negative group the numbers were not sufficient to do so. However the  
220 principal of our technique is advancement of the posterior border of the aponeurosis  
221 rather than MMCR. One would therefore expect it to be effective irrespective of the  
222 response to phenylephrine.

223

224 Historically posterior approach ptosis surgery was first described by Blaskovic's<sup>2,3</sup> in  
225 1923. He described a technique of extensive dissection of levator from its surrounding  
226 structures prior to its resection. Two sets of sutures were used, the first three were  
227 through the levator and the cut edge of the conjunctiva and the second three were "fold-  
228 forming" sutures through the levator only, and then both were passed anteriorly through  
229 the tarsus and skin. This was combined with a tarsectomy in all cases. Posterior approach  
230 surgery was further addressed by Agatston<sup>4</sup> who stated in 1942 that this technique was

231 becoming increasingly popular in the United States. In 1953 Berke<sup>5</sup>, operating mainly on  
232 congenital cases, further simplified Blaskovic's technique. His technique still involved  
233 extensive dissection of levator from its surrounding structures however only one set of  
234 sutures was used and no tarsal excision was carried out. Following the early papers  
235 demonstrating the technique of anterior approach ptosis repair, posterior approach  
236 surgery was preferred when focussing on the posterior lamellar structures; tarsus,  
237 conjunctiva and Muller's muscle. MMCR is traditionally performed in patients who show  
238 eyelid elevation following instillation of topical phenylephrine. In 1961 Fasanella and  
239 Servat<sup>7</sup> described a procedure for patients with minimal blepharoptosis in which they  
240 applied two curved haemostats to the everted superior tarsus, ran a suture above them,  
241 and excised the tissues held by them. The Fasanella-Servat procedure is suitable for mild  
242 ptosis and involves a blind approach with predominately tarso-conjunctival resection. In  
243 1975 Putterman and Urist<sup>8</sup> described their technique of MMCR. After separating the  
244 Muller's and conjunctiva from the surrounding structures a modified clamp was used and  
245 the tissue excised. They found that in most patients the upper eyelid was elevated to a  
246 normal level following instillation of 10% phenylephrine and an 8 mm MMCR produced  
247 a similar result. The authors recommended a 9mm resection if the phenylephrine test  
248 demonstrated a lower eyelid response, or a 7 mm resection if the response was higher than  
249 desired. At the same time, in Europe a technique for Muller's muscle resection was being  
250 popularized by Abraham Werb in our unit. This involved an incision at the superior  
251 border of the tarsal plate, dissection and excision of a conjunctival-Muller flap and  
252 advancement of the white-line to the tarsal plate and through to the skin crease. To our  
253 knowledge, Mr Werb<sup>29</sup> was the first to emphasise the importance of advancing the

254 white-line in this technique. His findings paved the way for others who had worked with  
255 Mr. Werb, namely Mr. Collin , to develop techniques in posterior approach levator  
256 advancement which are described later in the discussion.

257

258

259 Subsequent modifications to the MMCR technique describe a wide variety of algorithms  
260 to determine the appropriate amount of tissue resection to correct a given degree of ptosis  
261 <sup>16,17</sup>. Weinstein and Berger <sup>16</sup> suggest that a linear relation may exist between the  
262 resultant eyelid elevation and quantity of Muller's muscle resection. This technique  
263 begins with 8mm of resection to correct 2mm of ptosis, then adds or subtracts 1mm of  
264 resection to affect the final eyelid position by 0.25mm.

265

266 Dresner <sup>17</sup> describes a further modification of the MMCR technique whereby the amount  
267 of resection depends on the response to phenylephrine testing. When phenylephrine  
268 testing results in at least 2mm of eyelid elevation, Dresner applied the following  
269 algorithm: 4mm of resection for 1mm of ptosis, 6mm of resection for 1.5 mm of ptosis,  
270 10mm of resection for 2mm of ptosis, and 11 or 12mm of resection for >3mm of ptosis.

271

272 It appears that widely disparate amounts of resection may yield acceptable surgical  
273 results for similar degrees of ptosis. Buchman et al <sup>18</sup> analysed histological specimens  
274 from 40 Fasanella-Servat cases which revealed 88% of cases had absent to minimal  
275 smooth muscle resection. However these patients had equally successful results in  
276 comparison to patients with moderate or large amounts of smooth muscle resection. Perry

277 et al <sup>19</sup> concluded that the success of this technique may result from either vertical  
278 posterior lamellar shortening, secondary contractile cicatrisation of the wound, or  
279 advancement of the Muller's muscle-levator aponeurosis complex on the tarsus. Perry et  
280 al <sup>19</sup> devised a new algorithm based on this theory whereby 9mm of Muller's resection  
281 should result in the same elevation that is produced by maximal stimulation with  
282 phenylephrine. If phenylephrine testing results in an undercorrection then tarsus was  
283 added to the resection.

284

285 More recently Lake et al <sup>12</sup> and Baldwin et al<sup>14</sup> reported their series of open sky MMCR  
286 in both phenylephrine test positive and negative groups. Their technique allowed direct  
287 visualization of Muller's muscle before its subtotal resection. Double-armed sutures were  
288 passed through the Muller's muscle stump, and the upper border of the tarsus through to  
289 the skin crease. They suggested that the success with the procedure lay, not from the  
290 degree of resection of Muller's muscle, but from the consequent advancement of the  
291 levator. As the sutures are passed through the stump of Muller's muscle (which originates  
292 at the distal edge of the inferior surface of the levator muscle) and then through the  
293 tarsus, one is in effect advancing the levator and attaching it to the tarsal plate and skin  
294 crease. This theory was further supported by the success of the procedure in  
295 phenylephrine negative patients. <sup>14</sup> More recently the authors have also reported a  
296 conjunctival sparing technique. <sup>13</sup>

297

298 Our technique is similar to that reported by Khooshabeh<sup>12-14</sup> in so far as it involves initial  
299 dissection of the composite flap of Muller's muscle and conjunctiva with direct

300 visualization of the tissues concerned. However once the posterior border of the levator  
301 aponeurosis is identified (the “white-line”), double armed sutures are passed through  
302 this white-line and then through the superior tarsus and skin. Only the aponeurosis is  
303 therefore advanced and neither Muller’s muscle or conjunctiva are excised. Although our  
304 current technique involves passing the suture through the epithelial surface of the  
305 superior border of the tarsal plate, since presenting this technique to colleagues it has  
306 been suggested that gentle diathermy of this area and scraping away of the epithelium  
307 with a 15-blade may provide better, more reliable long-term adhesion between the white-  
308 line and the tarsus by elimination of intervening epithelium. We have since included this  
309 step and have not encountered any adverse events since doing so.

310

311 We know from previous reports<sup>20,21</sup> that the levator aponeurosis particularly in asian  
312 eyelids comprises two layers, of which the anterior layer is thick with less smooth muscle  
313 fibres, and reflects superiorly a few millimeters above the tarsus to become contiguous  
314 with the orbital septum. The posterior layer is thin with more smooth muscle fibres, and  
315 becomes confluent with the lower one third of the tarsal plate and subcutaneous tissue.  
316 Occasionally, after placement of sutures in what appears to be a white line, there can be  
317 an undercorrection of ptosis. We have found that this usually arises from erroneous  
318 placement of sutures into the orbital septum (anterior layer of levator) that can  
319 occasionally appear as a white line. In such cases, the levator aponeurosis is often  
320 significantly thin and can be found by further dissection closer to the conjunctiva beyond  
321 a thin Muller’s muscle. As Muller’s muscle disappears, a thin white line can be  
322 identified. Following this, further dissection between this white line and the conjunctiva

323 can reveal a more healthy white sheet, that of the posterior surface of the levator  
324 aponeurosis. Placement of sutures into this white sheet, that is to say the healthier  
325 posterior surface of levator aponeurosis, will achieve the desired correction by a more  
326 effective advancement than simply plicating orbital septum to the tarsus.

327

328 The anatomical reasons for the success of Muller muscle conjunctival resection has been  
329 a matter of debate for some time now. Increasingly, it has been felt that the success of the  
330 procedure is due to advancement of the levator muscle itself, along with the aponeurosis.  
331 The mechanism by which Muller's muscle resection alleviates ptosis would therefore be  
332 by transmitting the contraction force of the levator muscle directly to the tarsal plate  
333 instead of through its aponeurotic attachment.

334

335

336 Levator advancement through a posterior approach has been previously reported. Collin  
337 et al <sup>15</sup> reported a technique in 1979 of reinserting the aponeurosis via a posterior  
338 approach. However their technique involved exposing the anterior surface of levator by  
339 dissection of the orbital septum and retraction of the preaponeurotic fat pad, effectively  
340 converting a posterior approach to the familiar anatomical view of an anterior approach  
341 ptosis repair. The initial posterior incision was recommended to be made 2 mm below the  
342 superior border of the tarsus in order to enter a tissue plane anterior to Muller's muscle.  
343 Consequently, although conjunctiva was largely preserved, the distal 2mm of Muller's  
344 muscle and 2mm of superior tarsus were excised. It is noteworthy that any degree tarsal



345 resection will further contribute to eyelid elevation regardless of muscle resection or  
346 levator advancement.

347

348 Ichinose et al <sup>22</sup> more recently used a similar technique to Collin et al without resecting  
349 Muller's muscle. The initial incision was 1mm below the superior border of the tarsus.  
350 Their technique also involved dissection of the orbital septum and exposure of the  
351 anterior surface of the levator. The aponeurosis was advanced down and sutured to the  
352 frontosuperior part of the tarsus. In addition Muller's muscle was reattached to the  
353 superior edge of the tarsal plate. Both of these techniques involved dissection of the  
354 levator anteriorly from its surrounding structures before its advancement.

355

356 Our technique differs in several ways. We do not dissect septum to expose the anterior  
357 surface of levator. We simply pass the sutures through the distal posterior border of the  
358 aponeurosis. Our initial incision is just above the superior border of the tarsus as opposed  
359 to below, avoiding any excision of tarsus. There is no excision of Muller's or conjunctiva  
360 and the Muller's-conjunctiva composite flap we create is simply replaced without the  
361 need for resuturing.

362

363 Although it has been suggested that advancement of the levator muscle may be the reason  
364 for the efficacy of the open sky MMCR <sup>14</sup>, this is the first reported series whereby the  
365 principle goal is to identify and advance the lower border and posterior surface of the  
366 aponeurosis without any exposure of the anterior surface of the levator and without  
367 excision of any tissue. Conventional ptosis surgery in the presence of a functioning

368 levator muscle is now predominately on the aponeurosis of the levator complex via an  
369 anterior approach. There are some concerns regarding unpredictability of lid height but  
370 perhaps more so, contour with this procedure. This is particularly so for the medial  
371 undercorrection that occurs more commonly in anterior approach ptosis surgery.<sup>23,24</sup>  
372 Levator aponeurosis surgery was first advocated by Jones, Quickert and Wobig<sup>1</sup> for the  
373 treatment of involutional ptosis. They achieved a success rate of 89% in 57 patients in  
374 their original paper describing their technique.<sup>1</sup> In 1979 Anderson and Dixon<sup>25</sup> achieved  
375 a 83% success rate in acquired ptosis using the criteria of 1mm or less of residual ptosis  
376 as a success. In 1983, Older<sup>26</sup> reported a series of cases of aponeurotic repair for  
377 acquired ptosis and had a 95 % success rate within 1mm of the desired height in 113  
378 patients with a minimum of 6 months follow up. However, Berlin and Vestal<sup>27</sup> reported  
379 for aponeurotic ptosis a 74% success rate within 1mm of desired height in 116 patients. A  
380 recent large representative study<sup>28</sup> of anterior levator advancement has shown that 77%  
381 of eyelids were symmetrical to within 1mm of the fellow eye after one operation, with  
382 8.8% eyelids requiring further surgery to obtain this outcome. Bilateral cases were twice  
383 as likely to require reoperation.

384

385 Reports of Muller's muscle resection would suggest a higher success rate compared to  
386 that for anterior approach levator advancement. Putterman<sup>9</sup> published a study showing  
387 90% of eyelids achieving within 1.5mm symmetry of the fellow eye. However these  
388 results were for predominately mild phenylephrine positive ptosis and if applied to our  
389 success criteria would result in a success rate of 75%. Dresner<sup>17</sup> reported 85% of eyelids  
390 being within 0.5 mm symmetry. Lake et al<sup>12</sup>, describing their open sky technique in

391 phenylephrine positive patients, showed 98% were within 1mm symmetry with the fellow  
392 eye. In their second study <sup>14</sup> looking at phenylephrine negative patients all were within  
393 0.5mm symmetry of the fellow eye.

394

395 Our Muller's muscle-conjunctival sparing technique results in a predictable degree of lid  
396 height and contour. In our series there was an 87% success rate and all patients had a  
397 good contour. Our criteria for success was somewhat more stringent than other reports in  
398 the literature where only an improvement in MRD is defined as success. The procedure  
399 can also be combined with a blepharoplasty and this was the case in the majority of our  
400 patients. We feel that a posterior approach is still justified in these cases because the  
401 septum has not been disturbed following a blepharoplasty. We feel it can be used in the  
402 majority of ptosis patients with moderate to good levator function.

403

404 This technique is easy to teach and learn. The anatomy is easily identifiable, there is  
405 minimal dissection and unlike the anterior approach there is little chance of losing your  
406 'anatomical bearings'. We have demonstrated here its predictability and excellent success  
407 rate in involutional ptoses with moderate to good levator function.

408

409 *The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant*  
410 *on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non exclusive for government*  
411 *employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and its Licensees to*  
412 *permit this article (if accepted) to be published in BJO editions and any other BMJ PGL*

413 *products to exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our*

414 *licence*

415

416

417 **References**

418

419 1. Jones LT, Quickert MH, Wobig JL. The cure of ptosis by aponeurotic repair. Arch

420 Ophthalmol. 1975;93:629-34

421

422 2. Blaskovicz L. A new operation for ptosis with shortening of the levator and tarsus.

423 Arch Ophthalmol 1923; 52:563-573

424

425 3. Blaskovicz L. Treatment of ptosis. The formation of a fold in the eyelid and resection

426 of the levator and tarsus. Arch Ophthalmol 1929;1:672-680

427

428 4. Agatston SA. Resection of Levator Palpebrae muscle by the conjunctival route for

429 ptosis. Arch Ophthalmol 1942; 27:994-996

430

431 5. Berke RN. A simplified Blaskovics operation for blepharoptosis; results in

432 ninety-one operations. AMA Arch Ophthalmol. 1952; Oct;48(4):460-95

433

434 6. Iliff CE. A simplified ptosis operation. Am J Ophthalmol. 1954

435

- 436 7. Fasanella RM , Servat J. Levator resection for minimal ptosis: another simplified  
437 operation. Arch Ophthalmol 1961;5: 493  
438
- 439 8. Putterman AM, Urist MJ. Müller muscle-conjunctiva resection. Arch Ophthalmol  
440 1975;93:619-23  
441
- 442 9. Putterman AM, Fett DR. Müller's muscle in the treatment of upper eyelid ptosis: a ten-  
443 year study. Ophthalmic Surg. 1986 Jun;17(6):354-60.  
444
- 445 10. Fox SA. A modified Fasanella-Servat procedure for ptosis. Arch Ophthalmol. 1975  
446 Aug;93(8):639-40.  
447
- 448 11. Beard C. Blepharoptosis repair by modified fasanella servat operation. Am J  
449 Ophthalmol 69: 850-857. 1970  
450
- 451 12. Lake S, Mohammad-Ali FH, Khooshabeh R. Open sky Müller's muscle-conjunctiva  
452 resection for ptosis surgery. Eye. 2003 Nov;17(9):1008-12.  
453
- 454 13. Khooshabeh R, Baldwin HC. Isolated Muller's muscle resection for the correction of  
455 blepharoptosis. Eye. 2008 Feb;22(2):267-72. Epub 2006 Dec 8.  
456
- 457 14. Baldwin HC, Bhagey J, Khooshabeh R. Open sky Müller muscle-conjunctival  
458 resection in phenylephrine test-negative blepharoptosis patients. Ophthal Plast Reconstr

459 Surg. 2005 Jul;21(4):276-80.

460

461 15. Collin JR. A ptosis repair of aponeurotic defects by the posterior approach. Br J

462 Ophthalmol. 1979 Aug;63(8):586-90.

463

464 16. Weinstein GS, Buerger GF Jr. Modification of the Müller's muscle-conjunctival

465 resection operation for blepharoptosis. Am J Ophthalmol. 1982 May;93(5):647-51.

466

467 17. Dresner SC. Further modifications of the Müller's muscle-conjunctival resection

468 procedure for blepharoptosis. Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg. 1991;7(2):114-22.

469

470 18. Buchman G, Jakobiec FA, Hyde K et al. Success of Fasanella Servat operation

471 independent of Muller's smooth muscle excision. Ophthalmology 1989; 96: 413-8

472

473 19. Perry JD, Kadakia A, Foster JA, A new algorithm for ptosis repair using conjunctival

474 Müllerectomy with or without tarsectomy. Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg. 2002

475 Nov;18(6):426-9.

476

477 20. Kakizaki H, Zako M, Nakano T, et al. The levator aponeurosis consists of two layers

478 that include smooth muscle. *Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2005; 21: 379-382.

479

480 21. "Upper Eyelid Anatomy: An Update" H Kakizaki, R Malhotra, D Selva. (Accepted

481 *Ann Plast Surg* Jan 2009)

482

483

484 22. Ichinose A, Tahara S. Transconjunctival levator aponeurotic repair without resection  
485 of Müller's muscle. *Aesthetic Plast Surg.* 2007 May-Jun;31(3):279-84.

486

487 23. Shore JW, Mccord CD. Anatomic changes in involutional blepharoptosis. *Am J*  
488 *Ophthalmol* 1984; 98: 21-7

489

490 24. Kakizaki H, Zako M, Ide A, Mito H, Nakano T, Iwaki M. Causes of undercorrection  
491 of medial palpebral fissures in blepharoptosis surgery. *Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2004  
492 May;20(3):198-201

493

494 25. Anderson RL, Dixon RS. Aponeurotic ptosis surgery. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1979;  
495 97:1123-8

496

497 26. Older JJ. Levator aponeurosis surgery for the correction of acquired ptosis: analysis  
498 of 113 procedures. *Ophthalmology* 1983; 90: 1056-9

499

500 27. Berlin AJ, Vestal KP. Levator aponeurosis surgery: a retrospective review.  
501 *Ophthalmology* 1989; 96:1033-6

502

503 28. McCulley TJ, Kersten RC, Kulwin DR, Feuer WJ. Outcome and influencing factors  
504 of external levator palpebrae superioris aponeurosis advancement for blepharoptosis.  
505 *Apr*;37(4):529-33.

506

507 29. Werb A. Ptosis. Aus J Ophthalmol 1976; 4 :40-43

508

509

510 Figure Legend:

511

512 Figure 1 : Surgical Technique

513 A. local anaesthetic infiltration, B. blepharoplasty if indicated and grey line suture prior  
514 to everting the lid, C. Diathermy and conjunctival incision along but above the superior  
515 border of the tarsus, D. Muller's muscle and conjunctiva dissected off as a composite flap  
516 until the white-line is identified: long arrow highlighting white line, short arrow  
517 highlighting desmarres retractor showing, but not breaching, through a thin septum E. A  
518 double armed 5-0 vicryl suture is placed centrally through the white-line, F/G. suture  
519 passed through the conjunctival surface of the tarsal plate, 1mm below its superior  
520 border, and then through to the skin, H/I. Lid height and contour assessed after tying  
521 suture in a bow.

522

523 Figure 2:

524

525 Pre and postoperative photographs at 3 month follow up of three patients who underwent  
526 bilateral posterior approach white line advancement for aponeurotic ptosis.





