



HAL
open science

**Crystal Structures of the Europium and Yttrium Hydroxochromate: $\text{Eu}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ and $\text{Y}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$.
Structural evolution as a function of the Ln^{3+} ionic radius.**

Isabelle Gautier-Luneau, Delphine Phanon

► **To cite this version:**

Isabelle Gautier-Luneau, Delphine Phanon. Crystal Structures of the Europium and Yttrium Hydroxochromate: $\text{Eu}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ and $\text{Y}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$. Structural evolution as a function of the Ln^{3+} ionic radius.. *Journal of Inorganic and General Chemistry / Zeitschrift für anorganische und allgemeine Chemie*, 2010, 636 (15), pp.2579. 10.1002/zaac.201000261 . hal-00574816

HAL Id: hal-00574816

<https://hal.science/hal-00574816>

Submitted on 9 Mar 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



**Crystal Structures of the Europium and Yttrium
 Hydroxochromate: $\text{Eu}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ and $\text{Y}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$.
 Structural evolution as a function of the Ln^{3+} ionic radius.**

Journal:	<i>Zeitschrift für Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie</i>
Manuscript ID:	zaac.201000261.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-Jul-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Gautier-Luneau, Isabelle; Institut Néel, MCMF Phanon, Delphine; Institut Néel, MCMF
Keywords:	Chromate, Crystal structure, Lanthanide, Yttrium, Bond Valence Sum

SCHOLARONE™
 Manuscripts

1
2
3 Crystal Structures of the Europium and Yttrium Hydroxychromate: $\text{Eu}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$
4
5
6 and $\text{Y}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$. Structural evolution as a function of the Ln^{3+} ionic radius.
7
8
9

10 Delphine Phanon and Isabelle Gautier-Luneau*

11
12
13 Grenoble / France – Institut Néel
14
15
16
17
18

19
20 Abstract: The $\text{Eu}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ and $\text{Y}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ compounds were obtained under hydrothermal
21
22 conditions and characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. They are isostructural
23
24 and crystallize in the monoclinic system, space group $P2_1/n$ (no. 14) with lattice parameters $a =$
25
26 $8.278(1) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 11.400(2) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 8.393(1) \text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 93.76(2)^\circ$, $V = 790.3(2) \text{ \AA}^3$, $Z = 4$, $d = 4.79$
27
28 g.cm^{-3} for $\text{Eu}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ and $a = 8.151(1) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 11.362(2) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 8.285(1) \text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 94.23(1)^\circ$, V
29
30 $= 765.2(2) \text{ \AA}^3$, $Z = 4$, $d = 3.85 \text{ g.cm}^{-3}$ for $\text{Y}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$. The $[\text{EuO}_8]$ polyhedra form infinite
31
32 double chains along the a direction which are connected by common edges and corners. These
33
34 double chains are related together in the two other directions *via* the $[\text{CrO}_4]^{2-}$ tetrahedra to form a
35
36 three-dimensional network in which channels appear parallel to the $[100]$ direction. We examine
37
38 the structural evolution, as a function of the Ln^{3+} ionic radius, in the series $\text{Ln}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$
39
40 compounds (with $\text{Ln} = \text{Nd, Eu, Gd, Tb, Er, Yb}$) and $\text{Y}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$. To determine the best
41
42 coordination number of each lanthanide and yttrium ions, different calculations of bond valence
43
44 sum have been realized.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52
53 Keywords: Bond Valence Sum; Chromate; Crystal structure; Europium; Yttrium
54
55
56
57
58

59
60
* Pr. Isabelle Gautier-Luneau
Institut Néel, CNRS, UPR 2940, associé à l'Université Joseph Fourier
25 rue des Martyrs, BP 166
38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France
Fax: +33 4 76 88 10 38
E-mail: Isabelle.Gautier-Luneau@grenoble.cnrs.fr

1
2
3 The research of materials for quadratic nonlinear optics (NLO) is bound by many severe
4 requirements and the first one is that the crystal structure must be acentric [1]. The coordination
5 of acentric ligands to cations favours the formation of non-centrosymmetric structures. Among
6 these ligands, we can mention the iodate ion IO_3^- with a lone pair of electrons on iodine and the
7 chromate ion CrO_4^{2-} , having a trigonal pyramidal and tetrahedral geometry, respectively. The first
8 one leads us to synthesize many compounds some of which crystallizing in polar space groups [2-
9 4]. The second one also leads to materials crystallizing with acentric structures such as
10 RbLiCrO_4 , $\text{KTb}(\text{CrO}_4)_2$ and $\text{AgBi}(\text{CrO}_4)_2$ [5-7]. Here, we report on the crystal structure of
11 $\text{Eu}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ and $\text{Y}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ obtained by hydrothermal procedure. Then we examine the
12 structural evolution, as a function of the Ln^{3+} ionic radius along the series $\text{Ln}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ for
13 which crystal structures have been characterized in this paper (Eu and Y) or previously (Nd, Gd,
14 Tb, Er and Yb) [8-11]. Bueno *et al.* had already highlight the existence of $\text{Y}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ and
15 $\text{Ln}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ (with $\text{Ln} = \text{Dy}, \text{Yb}, \text{Lu}$) isotypic phases based on the analogy of the diffraction
16 patterns with the one of $\text{Er}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ [8]. Only unit cell parameters had been given.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Results and discussion**

41
42
43 The $\text{Eu}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ and $\text{Y}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ are isostructural with other $\text{Ln}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ compounds (Ln
44 = Nd, Gd, Tb, Er and Yb) [8-11] and crystallize in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$. The
45 following description is exemplified for the $\text{Eu}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ compound, as structural features are
46 similar in both compounds with only slight variations in bond length and angles. Asymmetric unit
47 is built up by two europium ions, two chromate groups and two hydroxyl groups leading to a
48 three-dimensional network. Each europium atom is surrounded by seven oxygen atoms (provided
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 by three hydroxyl functions and four chromate groups) with bond lengths ranging from 2.321(8)
4
5 Å to 2.446(6) Å for Eu1 and from 2.349(7) Å to 2.481(6) Å for Eu2. A longer Eu-O distance with
6
7 a fifth chromate group (2.640(7) Å and 2.572(7) Å for Eu1 and Eu2 respectively) (Table 1) is
8
9 observed. The gap between the seventh and the eighth Eu-O distance is around 0.2 and 0.1 Å for
10
11 Eu1 and Eu2 respectively. Taking into account only the seven shorter bond lengths, the bond
12
13 valence sum (BVS) calculation gives a value of 2.98 and 2.93 for Eu1 and Eu2 respectively [12].
14
15 If the eighth bond length is considered, the BVS value is equal to 3.19 for Eu1 and Eu2. The
16
17 values of 2.98 and 2.93 being closer to 3, a coordination number (CN) of 7 could be considered.
18
19 However in the description of isotopic compounds, a coordination number equal to 8 was
20
21 considered. To determine the best coordination number of each lanthanide and yttrium ions,
22
23 different calculations of bond valence sum have been realized. The results will be presented later
24
25 in the discussion. At this step, considering seven oxygen atoms in the coordination sphere, the
26
27 polyhedron may be described as a distorted monocapped trigonal prism. The dihedral angle
28
29 between the two trigonal faces is equal to 10.6° and 18.1° for Eu1 and Eu2, respectively. With
30
31 eight oxygen atoms, the polyhedron is described as a square antiprism. The mean deviations of
32
33 the square planes are equal to 0.033 Å and 0.146 Å for Eu1 (faces defined by (O1, O1^{IV}, O12^{II}
34
35 and O2) and (O11, O14^I, O21 and O24^{III}) respectively) and equal to 0.073 Å and 0.165 Å for Eu2
36
37 (faces defined by (O1, O2, O2^{VII} and O22^V) and (O11^{VII}, O13^{VI}, O21^{VII} and O23^{II}) respectively).
38
39 The dihedral angle between these two faces is equal to 5.44° and 4.97° for Eu1 and Eu2,
40
41 respectively. The eight CN is considered since the distortion of the square antiprism polyhedron
42
43 is lower than the monocapped trigonal prism one.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 The [CrO₄]²⁻ chromate groups possess the usual tetrahedral geometry. For Cr1 and Cr2
54
55 respectively, the Cr-O mean bond lengths are 1.642(8) Å and 1.648(7) Å (Table 1) and the O-Cr-
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 O angles are ranged from $107.4(4)^\circ$ to $113.0(4)^\circ$ and from $106.9(4)^\circ$ to $112.1(4)^\circ$. Both chromate
4
5 groups coordinate three europium cations in a monodentate way and the fourth oxygen atom
6
7 bridges two europium cations.
8
9

10
11 Each oxygen atom of the hydroxyl groups coordinates three europium cations. The Eu-O1(H)-Eu
12
13 angles are equal to $99.7(4)^\circ$, $104.3(4)^\circ$ and $135.6(4)^\circ$, and the Eu-O2(H)-Eu angles are $102.5(4)^\circ$,
14
15 $105.7(4)^\circ$ and $110.0(4)^\circ$. One Eu-O1(H)-Eu angle deviates from the tetrahedral geometry, as for
16
17 $Ln(OH)(CrO_4)$ ($Ln = Nd$ and Yb) [10-11], due to a rigid double chromate bridge between these
18
19 two Eu atoms.
20
21
22

23
24 The $[(Eu1)O_8]$ and $[(Eu2)O_8]$ polyhedra form double chains along the a direction by edge and
25
26 corner-sharing (Fig. 1), alternating $[(Eu1)O_8]$ and $[(Eu2)O_8]$ polyhedra in each chain. The
27
28 $[(Eu1)O_8]$ polyhedron shares two edges with another $[(Eu1)O_8]$ and one $[(Eu2)O_8]$ polyhedra and
29
30 two corners with two $[(Eu2)O_8]$ polyhedra. Reversely each $[(Eu2)O_8]$ polyhedron shares two
31
32 edges with one $[(Eu1)O_8]$ and another $[(Eu2)O_8]$ polyhedra and two corners with two $[(Eu1)O_8]$
33
34 polyhedra. These double chains are related together by corner-sharing with the $[CrO_4]^{2-}$
35
36 tetrahedra in the two other directions leading to the formation of a three-dimensional network.
37
38 The four chromate oxygen atoms are involved in these connections. Each $[(Cr1)O_4]^{2-}$ tetrahedra
39
40 are connected to three $[(Eu1)O_8]$ and two $[(Eu2)O_8]$ polyhedra and each $[(Cr2)O_4]^{2-}$ tetrahedra are
41
42 related to three $[(Eu2)O_8]$ and two $[(Eu1)O_8]$ polyhedra. Each europium atom is bridged to
43
44 sixteen other europium atoms either by chromate groups or by hydroxyl oxygen atoms with
45
46 distances ranging from $3.732(8) \text{ \AA}$ to $7.522(8) \text{ \AA}$. Europium and chromium polyhedra form
47
48 pentagonal and tetragonal channels parallel to the a direction; hydrogen atoms lie in the first type
49
50 of channel (Fig. 1). Hydroxyl functions establish a hydrogen bond network with chromate oxygen
51
52 atoms, evidenced by the $O \cdots O$ distances ($2.704(7)$ to $2.990(7) \text{ \AA}$). O1(H) and O2(H) are
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 surrounded by four and five oxygen atoms respectively with distances shorter than 3.0 Å. The
4
5 number of oxygen atoms around the hydroxyl groups in $Ln(OH)(CrO_4)$ ($Ln = Nd, Gd, Tb, Er$ and
6
7 Yb) [8-11] increases with the atomic number of the lanthanide, ranging up to eight oxygen atoms
8
9 in the $Yb(OH)(CrO_4)$ structure [11].
10
11

12
13 To confirm the coordination number of lanthanide and yttrium ions equal to eight, BVS
14
15 calculations have been realized with three different methods based on Brese's [12], Adams's [13-
16
17 14] and the recently reported Zocchi's works [15]. The Brese's method is the most often used. In
18
19 Adams's approach, a correlation between B and the absolute softnesses of the interacting atoms is
20
21 established and provides somewhat lower BV values than the conventional data. In his article,
22
23 Zocchi propose a dependence of the R_1 and B parameters on the coordination numbers for $Ln-O$
24
25 bonds. Results are presented in Table 2 and Figure 2. With the Brese's method, it appears that the
26
27 best value obtained for the valence of lanthanide and yttrium ions (expected value = 3.00)
28
29 corresponds to a same coordination number equal to 7. The Adams's method leads to a CN equal
30
31 to 8 for all lanthanide and yttrium ions. Zocchi's parameters lead to intermediate results: the
32
33 BVS values are closer to 3 with a CN equal to 7 and 8 for biggest Nd, Eu and Gd ions and for
34
35 smallest Tb, Er and Yb ions, respectively. Due to the lanthanide contraction, the coordination
36
37 number can't increase while the ionic radius decreases. Effectively, it is shown with the linear
38
39 decreasing of the unit cell volume with the ionic radius of lanthanide (Fig.3). Yttrium ion was
40
41 also presented on this figure in order to compare with the family of lanthanides. In this case, it is
42
43 reasonable to consider a same CN for all lanthanide and yttrium ions. Finally, taking into account
44
45 the best geometry of the coordination polyhedron formed by the ligands (square antiprism), the
46
47 best CN to be considered is 8.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Unlike to the chromate compounds, the previously studied lanthanide iodates α - $Ln(IO_3)_3$
4 and β - $Ln(IO_3)_3$ ($Ln = Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu$ and Y) [16]
5
6 demonstrate a change of CN between europium and gadolinium ions. For largest cations, the CN
7
8 is equal to 8 and for the smallest, it is equal to 7. In the $Ln(OH)(CrO_4)$ compounds, a and c
9
10 parameters increase faster than b parameter with the ionic radius ($\Delta a(Yb-Nd) = 0.311 \text{ \AA}$; $\Delta b(Yb-$
11
12 $Nd) = 0.128 \text{ \AA}$ and $\Delta c(Yb-Nd) = 0.359 \text{ \AA}$) whereas the β angle slightly increases from 93.73° for
13
14 Nd to 94.27° for Yb [8-11].
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 Conclusion

24
25
26 The $Eu(OH)(CrO_4)$ and $Y(OH)(CrO_4)$ compounds were obtained under hydrothermal
27
28 conditions. The crystal structures show they are isostructural with other $Ln(OH)(CrO_4)$
29
30 compounds ($Ln = Nd, Gd, Tb, Er$ and Yb). We examine the structural evolution, as a function of
31
32 the Ln^{3+} ionic radius, in the series $Ln(OH)(CrO_4)$ compounds. Calculations of bond valence sum
33
34 allow us to confirm a coordination number of each lanthanide and yttrium ions equal to 8. The
35
36 unit cell volume decrease linearly with the ionic radius of lanthanide.
37
38
39
40

41 Due to their centrosymmetric structures, the $Y(OH)(CrO_4)$ and $Ln(OH)(CrO_4)$ compounds
42
43 are unusable as quadratic NLO materials. However as they are isostructural, $Y_{1-x}Ln_x(OH)(CrO_4)$
44
45 solid solutions could be prepare. So, the interest of these bimetallic compounds is the preparation
46
47 of new laser crystals, the yttrium chromate can be used as host matrix as realized with $Y(IO_3)_3$
48
49 [17]. All lanthanide combinations could be inserted in order to vary the luminescence properties
50
51 of as-prepared materials.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Experimental Section

Synthesis of $\text{Eu}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$

$\text{Eu}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ has been obtained in two steps. The first one leads to the formation of $\text{KEu}(\text{CrO}_4)_2$. The reaction takes place in the solid state. This compound, which has already been characterized by Bueno et al. [18], was prepared by mixing CrO_3 , Eu_2O_3 and KNO_3 (2:1/2:1) powders in an agate mortar. Then the mixture was heated in a furnace under air at 500°C during 18 hours. A green powder was obtained and 53 mg was put in 10 mL of water into a Teflon-lined steel autoclave. After sealing, the autoclave was heated to 130°C in oven and held 18 hours at this temperature. Then the autoclave was gradually cooled at $10^\circ\text{C}/\text{h}$ down to 50°C . A green solution was obtained containing a green powder ($\text{KEu}(\text{CrO}_4)_2$ remaining powder), orange square platelets ($\text{Eu}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$) and yellow rod crystals (K_2CrO_4 , JCPDS file no. 01-089-8260). This solution was filtered and solid part was washed with deionised water and dried in furnace. Platelets were separated under binocular.

Synthesis of $\text{Y}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$

$\text{Y}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ has been obtained in one step. A mixing of Y_2O_3 (112 mg) and $\text{Na}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ (1.310 g) (Y:Na, 1:10 molar ratio) powders in 10 mL of water was put into a Teflon-lined steel autoclave. After sealing, the autoclave was heated to 200°C in oven and held 5 days at this temperature. After cooling, a yellow solution was obtained containing an amorphous yellow powder, orange square platelets ($\text{Y}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$) and yellow rod crystals (Na_2CrO_4 , JCPDS file no. 01-072-0593). This solution was filtered and solid part was washed with deionised water and dried in furnace. Platelets were separated under binocular.

Crystal structure determination

Crystal data and details of the data collections and refinements are summarized in Table 3. Crystals of $\text{Eu}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ and $\text{Y}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ were mounted, at 293 K, on a NONIUS k-CCD diffractometer using monochromatic silver radiation ($\lambda = 0.56087 \text{ \AA}$) for the first compound and monochromatic molybdenum radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$) for the second one. The reflections were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. An absorption correction was applied using the Gaussian integration method [19]. Both structures were solved by direct methods with the SIR92 program [20] and refined by full-matrix least-squares, based on F^2 , using the SHELXL software [21] through the WinGX program suite [22]. Refinements were performed with anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms.

¹⁾ Structure representation is made with Diamond software, version 3.1f [23].

²⁾ Further details of the crystal structure investigations may be obtained from the Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany (fax: (+49) 7247-808-666; e-mail: crysdata@fiz-karlsruhe.de), on quoting the depository number CSD-421744 and CSD-421743, the names of the authors and citation of the publication.

References

- [1] J. G. Bergman, G. D. Brown, A. Ashkin, S. K. Kurtz, *J. Appl. Phys.* **1969**, *40*, 2860.
- [2] D. Phanon, A. Mosset, I. Gautier-Luneau, *J. Mater. Chem.* **2007**, *17*, 1123.
- [3] D. Phanon, B. Bentría, D. Benbortal, A. Mosset, I. Gautier-Luneau, *Solid State Sci.* **2006**, *8*, 1466.
- [4] D. Phanon, E. Jeanneau, B. Bentría, D. Benbortal, A. Mosset, I. Gautier-Luneau, *Z. Kristallogr.* **2006**, *221*, 635.
- [5] I. Bueno, C. Parada, J. A. Hermoso, A. Vegas, M. Martinez-Ripoll, *J. Solid State Chem.* **1990**, *85*, 83.
- [6] I. P. Makarova, I. A. Verin, K. S. Aleksandrov, *Acta Crystallogr.* **1993**, *B49*, 19.

- 1
2
3 [7] A. Riou, G. Roult, *Acta Crystallogr.* **1979**, B35, 2494.
4
5 [8] I. Bueno, C. Parada, A. Monge, C. Ruiz Valero, *J. Solid State Chem.* **1991**, 90, 263.
6
7 [9] J. Leppä-Aho, *J. Solid State Chem.* **1993**, 106, 400.
8
9 [10] J. Leppä-Aho, *Acta Crystallogr.* **1994**, C50, 663.
10
11 [11] J. Leppä-Aho, J. Valkonen, *J. Solid State Chem.* **1992**, 99, 364.
12
13 [12] N. E. Brese, M. O'Keeffe, *Acta Crystallogr.* **1991**, B47, 192.
14
15 [13] S. Adams, *Acta Cryst.* **2001**, B57, 278.
16
17 [14] <http://kristall.uni-mki.gwdg.de/softbv/>
18
19 [15] F. Zocchi, *J. Mol. Struc.: THEOCHEM* **2007**, 805, 73.
20
21 [16] D. Phanon, A. Mosset, I. Gautier-Luneau, *Solid State Sci.* **2007**, 9, 496.
22
23 [17] D. Phanon, A. Brenier, I. Gautier-Luneau, *J. Lum.* **2009**, 129, 203.
24
25 [18] I. Bueno, C. Parada, R. Saez Puche, I. L. Botto, E. J. Baran, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **1990**,
26 51, 1117.
27
28 [19] R. H. Blessing, *Acta Crystallogr.* **1995**, A51, 33.
29
30 [20] A. Altomare, M. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1993**, 26,
31 343.
32
33 [21] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst.* **2008**, A64, 112.
34
35 [22] L. J. Farrugia, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1999**, 32, 837.
36
37 [23] K. Brandenburg, H. Putz, *DIAMOND Version 3.1e. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany*
38 2007.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1 Selected bond lengths (Å) (with e.s.d) in $M(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ ($M = \text{Y}, \text{Eu}$) (e.s.ds. in parentheses)

Atom 1	Atom 2	Y	Eu	
Ln1	O14 ^I	2.251(6)	2.321(8)	
	O12 ^{II}	2.325(6)	2.366(8)	
	O2(H)	2.315(5)	2.378(6)	
	O11	2.338(5)	2.389(6)	
	O24 ^{III}	2.363(6)	2.429(7)	
	O1(H)	2.376(6)	2.435(7)	
	O1(H) ^{IV}	2.405(5)	2.446(6)	
	O21	2.640(6)	2.640(7)	
	Ln2	O23 ^{II}	2.306(6)	2.349(7)
		O22 ^V	2.305(6)	2.358(7)
O2(H)		2.299(6)	2.360(7)	
O13 ^{VI}		2.346(6)	2.385(8)	
O2(H) ^{VII}		2.367(5)	2.419(6)	
O21 ^{VII}		2.386(5)	2.456(7)	
O1(H)		2.433(5)	2.481(6)	
O11 ^{VII}		2.571(5)	2.572(7)	
Cr1		O13	1.631(6)	1.618(7)
		O12	1.632(6)	1.627(8)
	O14	1.652(6)	1.636(8)	
Cr2	O11	1.678(5)	1.685(7)	
	O22	1.629(6)	1.630(7)	
	O24	1.633(5)	1.631(7)	
	O23	1.651(6)	1.652(7)	
	O21	1.684(6)	1.679(7)	

Equivalent positions are I: (x-1/2, -y-1/2, z-1/2); II (-x+1/2, y+1/2, -z+1/2); III (x-1/2, -y+1/2, z+1/2); IV (-x, -y+1, -z+1); V (x, y, z+1); VI (-x+1/2, y+1/2, -z+3/2); VII (-x+1, -y+1, -z+1).

Table 2 *Ln*-O bond lengths and BVS of *Ln* calculated for a CN equal to 7 and 8, with three methods, in *Ln*(OH)(CrO₄) (*Ln* = Nd, Eu, Gd, Tb, Er and Yb) and in Y(OH)(CrO₄)

Element / atomic number (Z)	Volume of the unit cell (Å ³)	Atoms	Range of bond lengths for the seven shorter bonds (Å)	Bond length for the eighth bond (Å)	BVS ^c / BVS ^f /BVS ^g for CN=7	BVS ^e / BVS ^f /BVS ^g for CN=8
Nd ^a / 60	816.5(4)	Nd1	2.353 – 2.500	2.670	2.95 / 2.67/ 2.91	3.18 / 2.89/ 3.17
		Nd2	2.398 – 2.505	2.610	2.93 / 2.65/ 2.88	3.19 / 2.91/ 3.18
Eu / 63	790.3(3)	Eu1	2.321 – 2.446	2.640	2.98 / 2.86/ 2.97	3.19 / 3.09/ 3.14
		Eu2	2.349 – 2.481	2.572	2.93 / 2.82/ 2.92	3.19 / 3.09/ 3.13
Gd ^b / 64	783.2(1)	Gd1	2.304 – 2.442	2.643	3.01 / 2.79/ 2.97	3.22 / 3.00/ 3.11
		Gd2	2.340 – 2.457	2.571	2.96 / 2.75/ 2.91	3.21 / 3.00/ 3.10
Tb ^b / 65	774.7(2)	Tb1	2.290 – 2.429	2.628	2.99 / 2.73/ 2.94	3.20 / 2.94/ 3.03
		Tb2	2.326 – 2.455	2.558	2.95 / 2.70/ 2.89	3.20 / 2.94/ 3.08
Y / 39	765.2(2)	Y1	2.251 – 2.405	2.640	3.01 / 2.83/ -	3.19 / 3.04/ -
		Y2	2.299 – 2.433	2.571	2.91 / 2.77/ -	3.15 / 3.02/ -
Er ^c / 68	755.4(3)	Er1	2.251 – 2.378	2.636	3.00 / 2.87/ 2.90	3.18 / 3.06/ 3.15
		Er2	2.289 – 2.432	2.533	2.90 / 2.78/ 2.79	3.14 / 3.03/ 3.09
Yb ^d / 70	744.2(1)	Yb1	2.224 – 2.373	2.620	2.98 / 2.92/ 2.85	3.16 / 3.12/ 3.02
		Yb2	2.268 – 2.409	2.544	2.88 / 2.83/ 2.74	3.10 / 3.07/ 2.95

^a Ref. [10], ^b Ref. [9], ^c Ref. [8], ^d Ref. [11], ^e Ref. [12], ^f Ref. [13-14], ^g Ref. [15]

Table 3 Crystal data and structure refinement details for Eu(OH)(CrO₄) and Y(OH)(CrO₄)


Formula	Eu(OH)(CrO ₄)	Y(OH)(CrO ₄)
<i>M</i> /g.mol ⁻¹	569.94	443.84
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>n</i> (no. 14)	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>n</i> (no. 14)
<i>a</i> /Å	8.278(1)	8.151(1)
<i>b</i> /Å	11.400(2)	11.362(2)
<i>c</i> /Å	8.393(1)	8.285(1)
β /°	93.76(2)	94.23(1)
<i>V</i> /Å ³	790.3(2)	765.2(2)
<i>Z</i>	8	8
ρ_{calcd} (g.cm ⁻³)	4.79	3.853
μ /mm ⁻¹	9.729	17.818
θ range for data	3.15 – 21.4	3.05 – 30
<i>N</i> _{hkl,collected}	10022	8486
<i>N</i> _{hkl,unique (<i>I</i>>2σ(<i>I</i>))}	1568	1427
<i>R</i> _{int}	0.0747	0.1038
Refined parameters	127	127
<i>R</i> ₁ (<i>I</i> > 2 σ (<i>I</i>))	0.0352	0.0556
w <i>R</i> ₂ (all data)	0.0838	0.1409
Goodness of fit on <i>F</i> ²	1.26	1.037
$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}}/\Delta\rho_{\text{min}}$ (e.Å ⁻³)	1.855/-1.253	1.634/-1.909

Figure Captions:

Fig. 1 Crystal structure of $\text{Eu}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$, view in a direction.


Fig. 2 Evolution of the BVS values for metal ions in $\text{Y}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ and $\text{Ln}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ ($\text{Ln} = \text{Nd}, \text{Eu}, \text{Gd}, \text{Tb}, \text{Er}$ and Yb) calculated with three methods [12, 15] versus atomic number of elements. Brese's method with $\text{CN}=8$ (\square), $\text{CN}=7$ (\blacksquare), Adams's method with $\text{CN}=8$ (Δ), $\text{CN}=7$ (\blacktriangle), Zocchi's method with $\text{CN}=8$ (\circ), $\text{CN}=7$ (\bullet). The a) and b) graphs correspond to the metal atom number 1 and 2 respectively.

Fig. 3 Evolution of the unit cell volume for $\text{Ln}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ ($\text{Ln} = \text{Nd}, \text{Eu}, \text{Gd}, \text{Tb}, \text{Er}$ and Yb) and $\text{Y}(\text{OH})(\text{CrO}_4)$ versus ionic radius ($\text{CN} = 8$), refined from single crystal X-ray diffraction.




Crystal structure of $\text{Eu(OH)(CrO}_4\text{)}$, view in a direction
85x79mm (600 x 600 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




78x68mm (150 x 150 DPI)



34 Evolution of the BVS values for metal ions in $Y(OH)(CrO_4)$ and $Ln(OH)(CrO_4)$ ($Ln = Nd, Eu, Gd, Tb,$
 35 Er and Yb) calculated with three methods [12, 15] versus atomic number of elements. Brese's
 36 method with $CN=8$ (□), $CN=7$ (■), Adams's method with $CN=8$ (△), $CN=7$ (▲), Zocchi's method
 37 with $CN=8$ (○), $CN=7$ (●). The a) and b) graphs correspond to the metal atom number 1 and 2
 38 respectively.

78x64mm (150 x 150 DPI)



Evolution of the unit cell volume for Ln(OH)(CrO₄) (Ln = Nd, Eu, Gd, Tb, Er and Yb) and Y(OH)(CrO₄) versus ionic radius (CN = 8), refined from single crystal X-ray diffraction.
82x68mm (150 x 150 DPI)