



HAL
open science

Regional planning in the land reform literature: a gap to be bridged

Saulo Souza, Elisabete Silva

► **To cite this version:**

Saulo Souza, Elisabete Silva. Regional planning in the land reform literature: a gap to be bridged. *Regional Studies*, 2010, pp.1. 10.1080/00343400903496386 . hal-00574806

HAL Id: hal-00574806

<https://hal.science/hal-00574806>

Submitted on 9 Mar 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Regional planning in the land reform literature: a gap to be bridged

Journal:	<i>Regional Studies</i>
Manuscript ID:	CRES-2008-0159.R2
Manuscript Type:	Critical Surveys
JEL codes:	O21 - Planning Models Planning Policy < O2 - Development Planning and Policy < O - Economic Development, Technological Change, and Growth, Q15 - Land Ownership and Tenure Land Reform Land Use Irrigation < Q1 - Agriculture < Q - Agricultural and Natural Resource Economics, R14 - Land Use Patterns < R1 - General Regional Economics < R - Urban, Rural, and Regional Economics, R52 - Land Use and Other Regulations < R5 - Regional Government Analysis < R - Urban, Rural, and Regional Economics
Keywords:	Land reform, Planning, Regional development, Developing countries



1
2
3
4 CRITICAL SURVEY!
56
7 Regional planning in the land reform literature: a gap to be bridged
8
910
11
12 SAULO S. SOUZA (corresponding author)¹
1314 Department of Land Economy and Darwin College
1516 University of Cambridge
1718 19 Silver Street, Cambridge CB3 9EP, UK
1920 Phone: ++44(0)1223337141, Fax.:++44(0)1223337130
2122 Email: ssds2@cam.ac.uk
23
24
25
2627 ELISABETE A. SILVA
2829 Department of Land Economy & Robinson College
3031 University of Cambridge
3233 19 Silver Street, Cambridge CB3 9EP, UK
3435 Phone: ++44(0)1223337141, Fax.:++44(0)1223337130
3637 Email: es424@cam.ac.uk
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
5455 Received July 2008, in revised form January 2009, August 2009, accept October 2009
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Regional planning in the land reform literature: a gap to be bridged
5
6
7
8

9
10 Abstract:
11

12
13 This work reviews recent research efforts in the area of land reform in the developing world and
14 comparatively evaluates different planning approaches per country. The historical antecedents,
15 socio-economic circumstances, legal framework and different degrees of governmental
16 intervention influencing the access to land in the countryside are covered. A snapshot of
17 empirical findings in a group of developing countries highlights the need to systematically adopt
18 regional planning strategies that are able to maximise the positive socio-economic impacts of the
19 schemes. It is also concluded that a combination of market and non-market approaches to land
20 reform could be beneficial for developmental purposes.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Key words: Land reform, planning, regional development, developing countries.
30

31 JEL: O21, Q15, R14, R52.
32
33
34
35

36 1. Introduction: the terms of the debate
37

38
39 In the developing world, state-led land reform has long been viewed as instrumental for
40 providing low incomers with access to land. In the last few decades, a non-interventionist,
41 market-based approach has been adopted by an increasing number of developing countries as a
42 means to both reduce poverty and secure property rights for the landless. Accordingly, there has
43 been a steadily growth in research concerned with market-based land reform (for instance,
44 BORRAS, 2003; DEININGER et al, 2004; BRINK et al, 2005). Although many aspects of this
45 complex research body can be (and have been) criticised (NETO, 2004; MEDEIROS, 2007;
46 PEREIRA, 2007), the fact remains that this literature has not, as yet, been well integrated into the
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 existing and very substantial body of research on regional planning in developing economies
5
6 (see, for instance, DALE, 2000; BARRET et al, 2005; KAY, 2006).
7
8

9
10 Regional planning involves the efficient employment of a range of pro-growth resources
11
12 across areas significantly larger than individual cities that in some instances are designed to
13
14 bridge the urban/non-urban divide. Being the areas close to urban centres and coexisting with
15
16 urban and rural livelihoods, peri-urban fringes are regions under increasing pressure, as they are
17
18 in a transition phase (from the physical land use to the socio-economic structures) and have
19
20 increasingly become the focus of unregulated occupations of land in developing countries.
21
22 Therefore, a vital element in the regional planning process in this context is to lay down a
23
24 strategy to achieve the socio-economic goals of land reform, whereby a need has arisen to
25
26 integrate regional planning and land reform policy.
27
28

29
30 While researchers have recognised a call for disentangling the effects of various aspects
31
32 of land reform, and tracing the influence on socio-economic outcomes of different degrees of
33
34 government intervention on land issues, there is a dearth of research that has drawn lessons from
35
36 a regional planning perspective. Also, different approaches to the role of the state versus the role
37
38 of land markets have been reported in the literature. However, by integrating the findings of
39
40 separate studies (ARIMAH, 2003; BAHIGWA et al, 2005; HERRERA and ROUBAUD, 2005;
41
42 IKEJIOFOR, 2005, and others), the contentious field of the foregoing review is that the studies of
43
44 land reform can be usefully informed through the existing literature on regional planning and that
45
46 such studies can potentially make an important contribution to the broader body of knowledge
47
48 concerned with sustainable socio-economic development in less developed economies.
49
50
51
52

53
54 This article is divided into seven sections. In this introductory section we present the
55
56 paper objectives and its main themes. The second section traces the literature on the historical
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 antecedents of land reform in developing countries. In the third section prevalent works on the
5 socio-economic circumstances influencing the access to land are covered. The fourth section
6 scrutinises the body of literature on governmental intervention whereas the fifth extracts different
7 approaches to land reform from a sample of developing countries. In the sixth section we
8 comment on contemporary scholarly research focusing on the role of regional planning. The last
9 section summarises the paper and gives final remarks.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 2. Historical background: tracking back the roots of land reform

22
23 A retrospective analysis of land redistribution initiatives in the literature² helps
24 explaining the current countryside and peri-urban landownership structure in developing
25 countries, as well as an observed need to pursue regional planning endeavours to develop these
26 areas. For instance, THIESENHUSEN (1995) explains how early ‘revolutionary’ reforms in
27 Latin America had far reaching consequences for development and poverty alleviation among the
28 *campesinos* (subsistence farmers in the Spanish-speaking world) and why the results of the
29 reforms have influenced the current debate of land reform in that continent. FINAN (2007) draws
30 attention to the fact that recent increases of agriculture output in Peru have been chiefly ascribed
31 to the 1969 agrarian reform, when vast properties were expropriated by the Peruvian government
32 and the resulting plots being redistributed to groups of former farm workers.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 GOULD (2006) and MUSYOKA (2006) argue in analogous fashion that a systematic
48 interpretation of challenges facing people attempting to obtain land regularization in rural
49 settlements requires examining the historical facts and events leading to the creation of those
50 settlements. In the cases of Guatemala and Kenya, respectively, continuous processes of
51 displacement of indigenous people from high quality lands as a means to implement agrarian
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 policies were a frequent course of action during colonial times. As in Latin America
5
6 (THIESENHUSEN, 1995), it is reported that a highly inequitable landholding structure has
7
8 resulted that became a source of conflict involving landless and landowners. In order to appease
9
10 the contenders, governments have attempted to develop more appropriate land policies and
11
12 legislation, such as property rights restitution and redistribution schemes.
13
14

15
16 Analyses of previous experiences with land reform as a means to assess the potential for
17
18 implementation of successful schemes are found in a range of contributions, although past
19
20 schemes have scarcely adopted regional planning as a means to seek the best location according
21
22 to the needs of settlers and landowners. In the view of DEININGER et al. (2004), for instance,
23
24 governments' approaches to land issues tend to shift substantially over time, dependant upon
25
26 political as well as economic motivations. Among given examples are the cases of Peru,
27
28 Nicaragua, Honduras, and Cuba, where large pieces of land were redistributed exclusively to
29
30 local farm workers in the decades following the Second World War, but agricultural outputs in
31
32 reformed farms were far less than expected basically owing to a lack of complimentary
33
34 infrastructure and pervasive labour problems.
35
36
37
38

39
40 An opposing point is made by BORRAS (2005), for whom the failure of past
41
42 government interventions in the countryside should not be judged only by the level of production
43
44 in settled areas, but also by the fact that the programmes did not aim at eliminating the persistent
45
46 land monopoly as the main underlying cause of poverty and social unrest throughout the years. In
47
48 a similar vein, PETRAS and VELTMEYER (2007) set forth that a long record of violence by the
49
50 state against the peasantry fighting for arable land inspired the land reform programmes of the
51
52 1960s and 1970s in Latin America. Still on the same grounds, ASSIES (2006) recalls the scant
53
54 results entailing the 1953 land reform in Bolivia to exemplify how biased legal provisions for
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 land redistribution have been contested over time and JUSTINIANO (2002) explains how the
5
6 1996 INRA Act was deemed to meet the same fate as previous arrangements for favouring
7
8 traditionally dominant groups like the rural elites that produced cotton for the international
9
10 market in the eastern part of the district of Santa Cruz.
11
12

13
14 The progressive change of the state's role in land issues has also been the subject of
15
16 much academic debate. WEGREN (2007) gives an overview of Russian's intervention in
17
18 agriculture during both the Soviet and post-Soviet periods, showing that land policies in Russia
19
20 have seen state withdrawal in some respects, whereas in other policy realms the state has become
21
22 even more interventionist. A corresponding study was made by DAS (2007) about the history of
23
24 government intervention in India. The author finds that some of the reasons that made capitalism
25
26 the dominant mode of production in rural areas in the past still play a role in the modern Indian
27
28 state, hindering a successful government approach to the agrarian question.
29
30
31

32
33 Therefore, landholding structures are believed to have evolved over time as a result of a
34
35 milieu of factors. On the other hand, there has also been a shift in planning and governance
36
37 exercises away from traditional land use and intense state intervention towards more
38
39 collaborative private/state initiatives. As observed by HUDALAH et al (2007: 513), 'these
40
41 innovative approaches have been an inevitable consequence of the increasing role of actors
42
43 beyond government agencies in decision-making processes and the implementation of spatial
44
45 development frameworks in peri-urban areas'. Accordingly, for a range of studies in the
46
47 academy, government approaches to land reform have been shaped one way or the other by
48
49 historical factors, although commentators do not necessarily share the same views about the
50
51 extent to which such events have reflected in planning practices capable of positively affecting
52
53 the path of socio-economic development in exurban or peri-urban areas. Given a wide research
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 tradition on the socio-economic factors involving land policy, however, it seems prudent to
5
6 review it for guidance prior to an assessment of the approaches to land reform in developing
7
8 countries.
9

10 11 12 13 14 3. Seeking the socio-economic determinants of land allocation 15

16 Contributions from the academy abound that identify close links between the access to
17
18 property rights and the well-being of countryside dwellers (RAVALLION and CHEN, 2004;
19
20 BARRETT et al., 2005; FINAN, 2007; PETRAS and VELTMEYER, 2007). Also, a number of
21
22 studies suggest that the arrows of causality run in both directions and there are a variety of
23
24 perspectives on the matter. In some cases the situation in these areas is believed to depend on the
25
26 socio-economic condition in urban or peri-urban centres and the overall state of the economy.
27
28 The role of regional planning to achieve broader socio-economic development is, however, an
29
30 issue that requires more attention by the literature on land reform, owing to the expected social
31
32 gains of planning the framework to bring about an overall increase in profitability in the
33
34 redistributed land.
35
36
37
38

39 Undoubtedly, much investigation has been conducted on the social and economic effects
40
41 of the allocation of property rights. FINAN (2007) looks at implications of the conditions of
42
43 Peruvian small farmers for the sustainability of the export agriculture in coastal regions of Peru.
44
45 The size of redistributed plots is also believed to make a difference, as seen in RAVALLION and
46
47 CHEN (2004). For the authors, sustained rates of poverty reduction in rural China were a clear
48
49 response to changes in the landholding structure from collective large sized farms to smaller
50
51 family-based units. BARRETT et al. (2005) provide further evidence from a wide range of
52
53 countries that equity in land allocation is positively associated with decreased poverty rates.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 A second group of studies focuses on the effects of socio-economic conditions on the
6 success of property rights redistribution. As before, no explicit reference is made on dealing with
7 problems such as poverty and deprivation within a framework of regional planning so as to
8 reinforce complementarity between state/market approaches, particularly in the case of joint
9 actions required by the development of equipments as schools and hospitals, or transportations
10 infrastructure (commonly called as hard infrastructure & hard policy approaches) and in the case
11 of the development of professional development skills that will improve labour productivity
12 (commonly called as soft policy approaches).
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 The effect of land's rights on the rural economy is corroborated by evidence from
24 CHALAMWONG and FEDER's (1988) study in Thailand, where increased credit supply was
25 found as the primary benefit from formalised landownership. Titling stimulated higher
26 investment in farms and as a result higher agricultural productivity. In three of the four Thai
27 provinces analysed, households' investments in their land significantly improved with
28 accessibility to title. GOULD (2006) uses a case study approach to assess the impacts of land
29 regularization programmes in Guatemala. The results show that the predicted benefits of the
30 reforms were strongly constrained by socio-economic elements, specifically in frontier regions.
31 Continuing rural unrest is also reported following the Guatemalan reforms (THIESENHUSEN,
32 1995). Also in the Philippines, where roughly half of the country's workers have been employed
33 in the agricultural sector, the rural economy has caused a remarkable impact on the Filipino
34 political institutions (BORRAS, 2005). However, most of rural workers' needs have been
35 overlooked by the 1988 reform and poverty remains widespread, as possession and/or control
36 over agricultural lands continued chiefly monopolised by rich landed classes.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 The strategic role of organised groups also permeates a considerable part of the
5 literature, mostly Marxist in orientation. Approaches of the kind have been taken by scholars
6 including PETRAS and VELTMEYER (2007), who believe that class struggle over state power
7 in Latin America is a fundamental avenue to social change in the non-metropolitan ambience. In
8 Brazil, the actions of the Landless Workers' Movement (MST) in organising land invasions
9 abound in the literature (HOEFLE, 2006; MEDEIROS, 2007). Yet a more nuanced, non-Marxist
10 view of the matter is described by DESMARAIS (2008). The author explores the expansion of
11 social movements and their commitment to represent land-related interests of non-urban
12 communities in the policy-making process. One way or another, scholars with both Marxist and
13 non-Marxist conceptions of land reallocation expect popular involvement in land reallocation to
14 play a part, even though they give little or no attention to the use of planning to release the
15 tensions arising from the concentration of land and the resulting need of establishing more
16 balanced policies through consensus and monitoring goals of policies being implemented.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 In short, a large body of research demonstrates that changes in the landholding structure
36 can have an impact on the socio-economic status of countryside populations, and such evidence
37 has in itself made land reform a highly debatable issue in academic circles. On the flip side,
38 various country case studies seem to confirm the reverse hypothesis that land reform initiatives
39 might be shaped by intense socio-economic pressure, owing mainly to high levels of deprivation
40 and social exclusion. Notwithstanding, the literature lacks studies that clearly point out to the role
41 of regional planning in improving the mechanisms of high quality land reallocation to secure
42 sustainable growth in the countryside, for example, by encouraging the redistribution of lands
43 that mutually reinforce one another's profitability. This role necessarily includes designing
44 legislation leading to a well-planned transfer of property rights.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

4. The legal framework and scope for government intervention

In general, the legal aspect on land redistribution has been widely examined by scholars from different perspectives. In general, the scope of governmental involvement in the issue is defined as the main catalyst for social and economic advancement. Yet some have stressed that private sector initiatives are quintessential to supplement/complement government interventions. HUDALAH et al (2007) notice that although private corporations function to fill the gaps caused by shortcomings of the state, 'they tend to take action only in the areas that are directly connected to their interests'. Consequently, private/state analyses are commonly reported, including in transitional peripheral areas, where the land market is characterized by high levels of uncertainty and widespread conflicts. Views on the matter vary across academic writings in terms of methodology and coverage, although there is little reference to the fact that regional planning can play a part in coordinating seemingly opposing (market/non-market) approaches to land reform through, for instance, shaping the purchase of subsidised land by target groups in selected areas of the region while at the same time designing infrastructure programmes and establishing zoning and other land use regulations to complement the market mechanisms.

In broad lines, study contents comprise but are not limited to the background or initial experiences involving statutory regulation of land use, as well as the measurable impacts of the proposed legislation to land development and future policy challenges. CHIMHOWU and WOODHOUSE (2006), for instance, view that equitable allocation does not discard non-state alternatives. Their article draws on the example of some African countries that have reaffirmed customary rights other than legal arrangements as the legitimate form of securing access to land

1
2
3
4 by the poor. Additionally, BARRETT et al. (2005) explicit that the type of rules a country adopts
5
6 would matter less than the effective enforcement and monitoring of those rules.
7
8

9 As approaches to land reform change over time, commentators also vacillate between
10 liberal interpretations of property rights and more interventionist visions of the issue. In
11 comparing instances of success or failure by the state, by civil society and by international
12 organisations, KAY (2006) asserts that governments still have an active role to play in tackling
13 the problems of marginality and social exclusion in Latin America. LI and YAO (2002)
14 characterise China's current landholding structure as a state response to the market's
15 unsuccessful attempts to provide egalitarian land distribution and to impede emerging land
16 markets from inciting further concentration of land in favour of a powerful minority. BORRAS
17 (2003) renders a pro-state critique of recent market-oriented incursions into land policy, stressing
18 that previous experiences have fallen short of expectations. Similarly, ASSIES (2006) views
19 current market-driven legislation with ample limitations on its application and conclude that
20 caution must be taken before departing from classic state-led approaches to land reform.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 On the opposite side of the debate, DEININGER et al. (2004) argue that much of the
38 inequality observed in land distribution has derived from former non-market interventions. Their
39 argument is based on a comprehensive survey conducted in Colombia to compare the
40 effectiveness of land markets and land reform. Interventionist land reform, they so concluded,
41 was by far less effective than were land markets in conveying land to the landless, although they
42 admit there might have been some exceptions. Dysfunctions of state administrations have also
43 been cited among the causes of government failure to tackle the difficulties facing peasants
44 (XIANDE, 2003). However, a wide step away from the state control over land markets is
45 advocated by NETO (2004), who salutes the market-based programmes as a useful alternative to
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 conventional forms of state intervention in the non-urban sector. FRASER (2007) takes a hybrid
5
6 position in arguing that distinctive geo-historical contexts might in some cases lead governments
7
8 to combine market-led approaches with direct forms of intervention in laying down regulations
9
10 for land use.
11
12

13
14 A great divide in the literature is thus observed that casts either the government or the
15
16 market itself as culpable for landownership imperfections. However, mainstream scholarship
17
18 concurs to the perception that neither the markets nor the state alone are likely to be able to
19
20 overcome the detrimental effects of land concentration. Conceptually, we argue that it is not
21
22 primarily from the deficiencies of the price mechanism that the need for regional planning arises,
23
24 but from the inadequacies of land redistribution patterns for socio-economic growth that can
25
26 develop in the absence of planning. The land market could work perfectly transferring property
27
28 rights, but it still might not bring about an efficient use of resources to make the land productive
29
30 and profitable. In order to reach this, more integrated soft and hard policies need to be developed
31
32 at a regional scale, that link the national and the local interests though an efficient planning of
33
34 strengths and weaknesses.
35
36
37
38

39
40 Hence, assuming that land reform is by no means the only factor in determining socio-
41
42 economic development, an argument can be constructed on the grounds that regional planning
43
44 could perform a role in combining market and non-market interactions to encourage a greater
45
46 variety of economic activities in order to raise the income of land reform beneficiaries.
47
48 Accordingly, extensive coordination with different sectors would be a sine qua non condition for
49
50 the pursuit of integrated projects and thus close the development gap between urban and non-
51
52 urban areas. A range of joint strategies is hence believed to provide the basis for socio-economic
53
54 development, through for instance infrastructure development and educational programmes
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 (addressing both soft and hard policy actions through regional planning initiatives). In
5
6 considering this question, a step could be to consider how to improve the quality of resources by
7
8 better using the social capital and by changing the education and training of the labour force to
9
10 meet the future requirements of the regional economy. The next section examines how land
11
12 reform schemes in developing countries have addressed the issue of regional development.
13
14

15 16 17 18 19 5. Land reform in the developing world: brief overview

20
21 Land reform has occurred in the developing world as an important step in achieving
22
23 economic development. Yet approaches have varied in terms of the degree to which governments
24
25 intervene. For reasons outlined in the introduction, the following survey of land reform initiatives
26
27 does not restrict discussion of the socio-economic impacts of the schemes, especially where there
28
29 is sound evidence of these impacts. The role of the state is also given special attention.
30
31 Information and data provided by a range of studies (e.g. VALLETTA, 2002; BORRAS, 2003;
32
33 DEININGER et al, 2004; BRINK et al, 2005) are used as an input for a comparative analysis of
34
35 land reform in a selected group of countries.
36
37
38

39
40 Although in some countries of Eastern Europe collective structures of production have
41
42 barely contributed to economic growth, mainland China stands as a good example of a
43
44 transitional economy that succeeded in this matter without allowing private sales of rural land in
45
46 their processes of land reform (HO and SPOOR, 2006). An intermediary step was taken by
47
48 Ukraine to change the country's common land tenure structure into a lease system in order to
49
50 give peasants the right to work small parcels of land. Poverty decreased as the system provided
51
52 rural workers with a stable income for the term of the lease (VALLETTA, 2002). At the opposite
53
54 end of the spectrum, Belarus was openly committed to the privatisation of lands in the 1990s. The
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 country saw deep declines in agricultural output leading to a scanty GDP growth after an intense
5
6 privatisation process of rural lands (SWINNEN, 2003). Thus, by looking at the transition
7
8 experience, the question might be raised whether state-free negotiation of land is a pre-requisite
9
10 for sustainable regional development, all other factors equal.
11
12

13
14 In non-transitional economies as well, the purchase and sale of properties do not tell
15
16 much about the success of land reform driven by market rules. For instance, formal land markets
17
18 in the 1980s in Kenya could not meet the landless' huge demand for land, what caused the
19
20 development of informal settlements and non-compliance with property rights' legislation
21
22 (MUSYOKA, 2006). Hence analysts believe that more infrastructure investments by the state
23
24 would have facilitated the setting-up process of family farms while expediting the combat of
25
26 poverty in that African country. Another interesting case from Africa involves the 1995 Rural
27
28 Development Programme (RDP), put in place in South Africa to mitigate extreme poverty and
29
30 land concentration resulting from the apartheid regime. The standard idea was to offer loans at
31
32 subsidize interest rates for the landless to buy land on the market. BRINK et al. (2005) examined
33
34 changes in basic socio-economic indicators in the areas reached by the RDP to find a very slow
35
36 increase in the household expenditure level, but also an increase in severe poverty and inequality
37
38 indexes.
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 In Asia, most countries have legal restrictions to land rentals and formal land markets
46
47 have developed only recently. In the Philippines however, the very first prototype of land reform
48
49 of a free market kind was implemented in 1988 under the Comprehensive Agrarian Reform
50
51 Programme (CARP). The scheme came into being in the form of voluntary land transfer schemes
52
53 through lease contracts. Although the programme achieved reasonable land redistribution,
54
55 agricultural development in CARP areas has been slow and poverty still abound in targeted areas
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 because most economically productive lands remain in the hands of powerful landowners
5
6 (BORRAS, 2003).
7
8

9 Similarly, administrative redistributions of property rights abound in Latin America,
10 where free land market projects have increasingly been designed with a view to replace direct
11 government intervention through land expropriation. However, unintended consequences have
12 derived from insufficient public investments as a complement to market-led land distribution
13 schemes. An analysis of a 1998 World Bank-funded land regularization project in Guatemala
14 gives an example of how the expected benefits of land markets' allocation of property rights can
15 be severely constrained by socio-economic factors alongside a lack of robust government
16 strategies in the agricultural frontier region of Petén (GOULD, 2006). More specifically, land-
17 attached investments have not been sufficient to overcome the absence of strong markets in the
18 region capable of absorbing the crops produced in settlements.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 Colombia has also made an option for land reform giving attention to subsidized
34 transactions of land, as regulated by Law 160 of 1994. According to the scheme, the government
35 has been responsible solely to provide loans and a range of basic post-land purchase support
36 services, but the marginal status of most acquired properties has required many more resources
37 than anticipated and high interest rates have led to defaults in loan paybacks. However,
38 contrasting views are found about the success of the scheme in Colombia. While DEININGER et
39 al. (2004) understand that the land market was more effective in transferring land to the poor than
40 had been administrative land reform, BORRAS (2005) argues that the pace of socio-economic
41 development has been slow and uncertain as substantial increments in agricultural output and
42 employment are still to be seen.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 In Brazil, market-led land reform programmes have been introduced since mid-1990s in
6
7 order to stimulate land transactions between major landowners and the landless in non-urban
8
9 areas (PEREIRA, 2007). The programmes' main goal has been to fight countryside poverty
10
11 resulting from the country's high level of land concentration. However, major infrastructure
12
13 strategies and other state-supported activities in the areas reached by the programmes have been
14
15 less than sufficient (BORRAS, 2003). So far, results have been meagre in terms of poverty
16
17 alleviation and the Brazilian countryside exhibits slow socio-economic development. At the same
18
19 time, organised groups of low-income people continue invading exurban lands as well as peri-
20
21 urban properties in order to force land expropriation by the state (MEDEIROS, 2007).
22
23
24

25
26 By the same token, Bolivia launched the National Agrarian Reform Service Act (INRA)
27
28 in 1996, establishing public auctions for surplus land. Access to land has been made preferential
29
30 for indigenous groups and landless peasants. The INRA Act counts on a taxation system over
31
32 land use to provide local governments with funds to support production in the settlements.
33
34 However, the government has failed to fully enforce the tax legislation and the pattern of access
35
36 to land has not significantly been altered. The availability of World Bank's funds also led
37
38 Ecuador (under the PROTIERRAS programme)
39
40 and Peru to design property rights redistribution schemes according to market forces, but socio-
41
42 economic results in both countries have not been disparate from prevalent results in their Latin
43
44 American counterparts.
45
46
47
48

49
50 In a somewhat different fashion, the Agrarian Development Institute in Costa Rica has
51
52 purchased and redistributed land for the creation of small-sized settlements in addition to offering
53
54 a range of infrastructure services to help family farms succeed in the agricultural market.
55
56 However, the amount of public investments has not been homogeneously allocated and income
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 inequality in the countryside remains a matter of concern. Finally, mixed results in terms of
5
6 socio-economic equity can be found in diverse South Asian countries, as a series of World Bank
7
8 reports have pointed out, where the scope of government intervention has varied considerably.
9
10 Table 1 summarizes the approach to land reform and its socio-economic impacts in a selected
11
12 group of developing countries.³
13
14
15
16
17

18 [Table 1 about here]
19
20
21

22 To sum up so far: the pendulum swings and will probably continue to swing between
23
24 more and less state intervention, even in models relying on land market mechanisms.
25
26 Nonetheless, the above discussion indicates that great care must be taken in considering which
27
28 findings from studies of land reform may be applicable to a particular context. Moreover, the
29
30 above summary of the recent trend in adopting market-based schemes as well as former state-led
31
32 approaches presents a bleak picture, clearly lacking in success stories.⁴
33
34
35

36 In view of this and the previously mentioned arguments, it stands to reason that an
37
38 absence of concerted actions has contributed to the failure of either market or non-market
39
40 attempts to reduce poverty. And as stated in the previous sections, the adoption of regional
41
42 planning coupled with land reform policy could have contributed to a more efficient use of the
43
44 settlements' resources and to an improved quality of labour whereby yielding higher standards of
45
46 living in the region. However, despite extensive and often opposite assessments of various land
47
48 reform attempts in the literature, there is very limited evidence of the study and implementation
49
50 of comprehensive regional planning as a key vehicle to spur wider socio-economic development
51
52 in developing countries.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

6. Regional planning in support of socio-economic development

As seen from our countries sample, the problem of slow socio-economic development has persisted notwithstanding numerous land reform initiatives that counted on different degrees of government interposition in land-related issues. In many cases, observers have reported serious obstacles to the expansion of the regional economy, concluding that infrastructure constraints impose high barriers to entry for low incomers. Arguably, this unveils a lack of planning at the regional level to encourage private investments benefiting the redistributed areas through strategies such as the creation of a system of subsidies, grants or rebates, thus creating the conditions that are particularly attractive to firms setting up in the regions, with high multiplier effects. As mentioned before, the integration of regional planning and land reform efforts is paramount for developmental intents. Being a category of land use planning, regional planning involves designing and placing infrastructure and other pro-growth activities in a regional outreach. However, the use of the literature on regional planning to land reform in developing countries first requires a determined effort to make some sense out of a seemingly divergent set of studies and empirical findings.

Much attention has been given by this literature to the need for housing and access to basic services, such as piped water, sewage and electricity, by the poor living not only in the countryside but also along the fringes of large cities. Particularly if it is considered that the creation of market economies will be at the basis of more sustainable land reforms and the proximity to existent markets might be a plus in such dynamics, peri-urban areas might be seen as some of the most suitable places to enable a land reform capable of sustaining these deprived populations through time. However, the areas beyond the redistributed settlements have not

1
2
3
4 significantly been reached by the expected benefits of land reform. As a result, a series of
5
6 problems have involved the governance of peri-urban spaces. Difficulties range from severe
7
8 resource constraints facing local and central governments, to the absolute lack of governance
9
10 institutions in these areas that could bridge this gap.
11
12

13
14 Despite some advancements in the condition of peri-urban populations, it has been noted
15
16 in the literature a clear mismatch between unregulated peri-urban sprawls and elementary land
17
18 use planning instruments such as zoning, density distribution and equitable provision of public
19
20 services. MORELLO et al. (2003), for example, discuss the conflict between rural and urban
21
22 development in Argentine settlements outside Buenos Aires, stressing the lack of planning over
23
24 the expansion process of suburban agglomerations. In India, the management of these inordinate
25
26 mixed areas is particularly intricate as most of them are situated beyond the administrative limits
27
28 of the city and are commonly not rendered as specific zones for planning. However, an
29
30 infrastructure-led growth model has been proposed by KENNEDY (2007), whose dominant
31
32 strategy is based on public–private partnerships to attract investments to Indian peri-urban areas
33
34 through regional industrial policies.
35
36
37
38

39
40 This literature has also recognised the importance of identifying the elements
41
42 contributing to inveterate poverty in urban and peri-urban areas, as in HERRERA and
43
44 ROUBAUD (2005). The possibility of entering the job market, as well as infrastructure features
45
46 in the location, have been rendered as relevant factors leading to exit from chronic deprivation. A
47
48 panel data analysis in that regard was performed by ARIMAH (2003) on the provision of primary
49
50 infrastructure in African countries. The author's investigation imparted that public sector
51
52 expenditure is a significant variable explaining intercity differences in the provision of basic
53
54 infrastructure, such as water, sewerage, sanitation, electricity and telecommunication services. A
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 similar analysis was made for Israel by PORTNOV (2002), who looked at intra-urban differences
5
6 in income levels, founding the distribution of income across population groups to be a function of
7
8 housing and commuting expenses, among other determinants. The work proposed a series of
9
10 development strategies that include ameliorating peripheries' physical infrastructure. However,
11
12 the great majority of such studies cover urban areas where infrastructure efforts by the state have
13
14 been concentrated upon.
15
16

17
18 Some academic debates on the private/state relationship seem to be adamant that peri-
19
20 urban areas cannot change significantly without the support of the state. For instance, while
21
22 approaching the peri-urbanisation surge in East Asia, HUDALAH et al (2007) mentioned that the
23
24 national government in countries like Thailand and China has upgraded peri-urban areas through
25
26 the provision of infrastructure for industries. The authors pay attention to the fact that the
27
28 government has played a part not only as an instigator but also the developer of pro-growth peri-
29
30 urbanisation through local state-owned business organisations. Additionally, the literature unveils
31
32 that the state has adopted principles such as decentralisation and the rule of law in association
33
34 with planning strategies to encourage private investment. For instance, decentralization to combat
35
36 poverty has been emphasised in the Ugandan Plan for Modernization of Agriculture
37
38 (BAHIIGWA and WOODHOUSE, 2005).
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 For the segment of the literature dealing with the countryside, the integration of exurban
46
47 areas into economic growth processes requires the creation of territorial policy networks in the
48
49 different state structures and scales of intervention, the economy and society. DALE (2000) has
50
51 already sustained that regional development programmes could be more effective should
52
53 governments opt for more flexible instruments such as decentralised planning processes, coupled
54
55 with monitoring systems and coordination, so as to encourage initiatives from below. On the
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 other hand, SONN (2007) points out that it is recommendable in some cases that national
5
6 authorities take precautions during the planning-making process not to allow local governments
7
8 to channel resources into their own backyards. Most such studies corroborate with the idea that
9
10 for strategic planning to become an effective tool, there must be a will to reconcile local and
11
12 regional interests. Conclusions converge towards the need of a suited space for planned conjunct
13
14 actions to map out the actual situation and specify the goals and means required for achieving
15
16 environment-friendly regional development.
17
18
19

20
21 Opening space for comprehensive planning initiatives has been a common
22
23 recommendation arising from the literature examining diverse aspects of land policy in the
24
25 developing world. Examples abound. The goal of providing affordable housing following
26
27 processes of land delivery in Nigeria has been examined by IKEJIOFOR (2005), who stressed the
28
29 need for an institutional capacity to meet the government's policy commitments to socio-
30
31 economic development. Whilst assessing the role of municipalities in fighting poverty,
32
33 PARNELL (2004) realises the increasing importance of creating better organisational interfaces
34
35 between political and administrative functions to answer the critical question of how to foster
36
37 distributive justice. As slum relocation has become a huge challenge facing crowded cities in
38
39 Thailand, VIRATKAPAN et al. (2004) acknowledge the requirement of specialised activities at
40
41 the formulation and consolidation stages of the projects. For China, a policy package has been
42
43 proposed by TAO and XU (2007), to address the challenge of rural land tenure insecurity in a
44
45 holistic manner, covering local urban planning and land use planning. Concerns of the kind have
46
47 also been raised by DE GRASSI (2007), who focuses on new methods of planning for application
48
49 in the African agriculture and concludes that pro-poor planning strategies require
50
51 contextualisation with aspects of contemporary politics and society.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 From the previous accounts, it is inferable that recent planning studies on developing
5 countries tend to emphasise urban contexts as opposed to countryside settings. In particular, the
6 peri-urban space has received less than enough attention, despite the fact that settlements in
7 peripheral zones have rapidly been occupied by low-incomers without appropriate infrastructure
8 services, resulting in increased social discontent and conflict. As a result, the literature has not
9 reported clear-cut findings or unambiguous lessons from planning strategies adopted in the
10 general context of land reform, particularly as regards the role of the state and the market. With
11 the interdependency between public investment and private economic activity, land reform
12 policies could have fostered better results in the regional level, had such interdependency been
13 taken in greater account.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 In fact, a lack of strategic planning has been noted in both state-led, administrative land
29 reform and market-driven, negotiated schemes. Under the former approach, state intervention
30 based mainly on land expropriation does not ensure that the expropriated properties are suitable
31 for agricultural purposes. Under the latter, market failures may obstruct low-income individuals'
32 access to productive land. In both cases, deficient local infrastructure in settled areas as well as
33 long distances to dynamic markets have followed the implementation of the schemes. In addition,
34 the regions beyond the redistributed settlements have not significantly been reached by the
35 expected benefits of the reform and hence the impacts of the schemes for the regional economy
36 have been uncertain.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 Finally, from the literature review, it is not possible to say how acquainted governments
50 in the developing world are with the importance of regional planning for the success of land
51 reform, despite ample evidence of the persistence of deprivation associated with inequitable
52 distributions of property rights. It can be pointed out, however, that no matter what kind of land
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 reform programmes have been disseminated, they have to a large extent been detached from
5
6 comprehensive planning strategies, such as influencing industrial location, specialisation or
7
8 diversification of activities in settled areas, improvement of the population's labour skills
9
10 encouragement of tertiary industries, and so forth, which poses the sternest challenges for those
11
12 governments willing to ameliorate the situation in the countryside through the use of soft and
13
14 hard policy. Thus, more studies are needed to bridge a perceived gap in the cutting edge of
15
16 research concerning the role of regional planning in land reform.
17
18
19

20 21 22 23 7. The literature at a glance: conclusions and final remarks 24

25
26 This survey of the literature has ranged over a wide area in the field of land reform in
27
28 developing countries. Some factors analysed are apparently disparate, but all are in some way
29
30 related to the regional development status of the countries. For some topics the developmental
31
32 implications of land reform were clear, whereas for others only punctual impacts could be
33
34 credited to the scope of state intervention in land issues. Nonetheless, mainstream academic
35
36 studies fall short of fully answering questions about the role regional planning could perform in
37
38 integrating market and non-market channels to undertake one of the greatest long-term challenges
39
40 facing developing countries, namely redistributing land along with inaugurating a pro-growth
41
42 trend in the countryside.
43
44
45

46
47 As was made clear along the review, this work has distanced itself from the cycle of
48
49 market-based versus state-led critiques that have formed the original impetus of many studies.
50
51 While we would not wish to foreclose the debates about the extent of the state's intervention in
52
53 the area or on how to interpret its impacts, we have not limited ourselves to a narrow approach to
54
55 this matter. Yet we intended to provide a short compendium of existing research efforts in the
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 areas of land reform and planning, with a view to pointing out that different policy approaches
5
6 have not been able to magnify the pro-growth benefits of current land reform in the developing
7
8 world. The following table summarises the main issues addressed in our review.
9
10

11
12
13 [Table 2 about here]
14
15
16

17
18 Undoubtedly, much research has been carried out on developing countries, where
19
20 different degrees of state intervention on land issues have been observed. A snapshot of empirical
21
22 findings in a group of such countries has shown scarce evidence of the systematic use of planning
23
24 strategies associated with land reform, particularly negotiated land reform, although more limited
25
26 plan-based approaches have been reported to harness basic deficiencies in land allocation,
27
28 particularly in urban and periurban spaces. As a result, the various land reform attempts have
29
30 never completely eliminated the structural blockages to socio-economic development in the
31
32 countryside, a socially inclusive advancement that neither market forces alone nor isolated
33
34 government intervention have had full capacity to foment.
35
36
37

38
39 Although prudence needs to be employed in comparisons of land reform approaches
40
41 between countries, due to striking dissimilarities in terms of socio-economic factors, the
42
43 characteristics of their legal systems, and many other country-specific elements, the possibility of
44
45 applying comprehensive regional planning as a mechanism to reconcile the conflicts of interests
46
47 over land ownership and mutually enhance the economic benefits of land reform in countryside
48
49 and periurban areas must by no means be discarded, if the socially desirable goals of socio-
50
51 economic development and property rights security in developing countries are to be achieved.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

ARIMAH, B. C. (2003) Measuring and explaining the provision of infrastructure in African cities. *International Planning Studies*, 8(3), 225-240;

ASSIES, W. (2006) Land tenure legislation in a pluri-cultural and multi-ethnic Society: The case of Bolivia. *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 33(4), 569-611;

BAHIIGWA, G., RIGBY, D. and WOODHOUSE, P. (2005) Right target, wrong mechanism? Agricultural modernization and poverty reduction in Uganda. *World Development*, 33(3), 481-496;

BARRETT, C., LEE, D. and MCPEAK, J. (2005) Institutional arrangements for rural poverty reduction and resource conservation. *World Development*, 33(2), 193-197;

BORRAS, S. M. (2003) Questioning market-led agrarian reform: Experiences from Brazil, Colombia and South Africa. *Journal of Agrarian Change*, 3(3), 367-394;

BORRAS, S. M. (2005) Can redistributive reform be achieved via market-based voluntary land transfer schemes? Evidence and lessons from the Philippines. *Journal of Development Studies*, 41(1), 90-134;

BRINK, R., THOMAS, G., BINSWANGER, H., BRUCE, J. and BYAMUGISHA, F. (2005) Consensus, confusion, and controversy: Selected land reform issues in Sub-Saharan Africa. Working Paper No.71, The World Bank;

CHALAMWONG, Y. and FEDER, G. (1988) The Impact of Landownership Security: Theory and Evidence from Thailand. *World Bank Economic Review*, 2(2), 187-204;

1
2
3
4 CHIMHOWU, A. and WOODHOUSE, P. (2006) Customary vs private property rights?
5
6 Dynamics and trajectories of vernacular land markets in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Agrarian*
7
8 *Change*, 6(3), 346-371;

9
10
11 DALE, R. (2000) Regional development programmes: From prescriptive planning to flexible
12
13 facilitation? *Public Management Review*, 2(4), 499-524;

14
15
16 DAS, R. J. (2007) Looking, but not seeing: The state and/as class in rural India. *Journal of*
17
18 *Peasant Studies*, 34(3 & 4), 408-440;

19
20
21 DE GRASSI, A. (2007) Envisioning futures of African agriculture: representation, power, and
22
23 socially constituted time. *Progress in Developing Studies*, 7(2): 79-98;

24
25
26 DEININGER, K., CASTAGNINI, R. and GONZÁLEZ, M. (2004) Comparing land reform and
27
28 land markets in Colombia: Impacts on equity and efficiency. Working paper No. 3258, The
29
30 World Bank;

31
32
33 DESMARAIS, A. A. (2008) The power of peasants: Reflections on the meanings of La Vía
34
35 Campesina. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 24(2), 138-149;

36
37
38 FINAN, A. (2007) New markets, old struggles: Large and small farmers in the export agriculture
39
40 of coastal Peru. *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 34(2), 288-316;

41
42
43 FRASER, A. (2007) Hybridity emergent: Geo-history, learning, and land restitution in South
44
45 Africa. *Geoforum*, 38(2), 299-311;

46
47
48 GOULD, K. (2006) Land regularization on agricultural frontiers: The case of Northwestern
49
50 Petén, Guatemala. *Land Use Policy* 23, 395-407;

51
52
53 HERRERA, J. and ROUBAUD, F. (2005) Urban poverty dynamics in Peru and Madagascar,
54
55 1997-99: A panel data analysis. *International Planning Studies*, 10(1), 21-48;

- 1
2
3
4 HO, P. and SPOOR, M. (2006) Whose land? The political economy of land titling in transitional
5
6 economies. *Land Use Policy*, 23, 580-587;
7
8
9 HOEFLE, S. W. (2006) Twisting the knife: Frontier violence in the central Amazon of Brazil.
10
11 *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 33(3), 445-478;
12
13
14 HUDALAH, D., WINARSO, H. and WOLTJER, J. (2007) Peri-urbanisation in East Asia: A new
15
16 challenge for planning? *International Development Planning Review*, 29(4), 503-520;
17
18
19 IKEJIOFOR, U. (2005) Land issues in the new national housing policy for Nigeria: lessons from
20
21 research experience. *International Development Planning Review*, 27(1), 91-111;
22
23
24 JUSTINIANO, J. (2002) Land policy: The case of Bolivia. Regional World Bank Workshop on
25
26 Land Issues in Latin America and the Caribbean. Pachuca, Mexico: May 19-22, 2002.
27
28
29 KAY, C. (2006) Rural poverty and development strategies in Latin America. *Journal of Agrarian*
30
31 *Change*, 6(4), 455-508;
32
33
34 KENNEDY, L. (2007) Regional industrial policies driving periurban dynamics in Hyderabad,
35
36 India. *Cities*, 24(2), pp. 95-109.
37
38
39 LI, J. and YAO, Y. (2002) Egalitarian land distribution and labour migration in rural China.
40
41 *Bulletin No. 2002/1: Land Reform, Land Resettlement and Cooperatives*, 80-91, FAO;
42
43
44 MEDEIROS, L. S. (2007) Social movements and the experience of market-led agrarian reform in
45
46 Brazil. *Third World Quarterly*, 28(8), 1501-1518;
47
48
49 MORELLO, J., MATTEUCCI, S. and RODRÍGUEZ, A. (2003) Sustainable development and
50
51 urban growth in the Argentine pampas region. *Annals of the American Academy of Political &*
52
53 *Social Science*, 590, 116-130;
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 MUSYOKA, R. (2006) Non-compliance and formalisation - Mutual accommodation in land
5 subdivision processes in Eldoret, Kenya. *International Development Planning Review*, 28(2),
6 235-261;

7
8
9
10
11 NETO, F. (2004) Innovative approaches to rural development: Moving from state-controlled
12 towards market-based land reform. *Natural Resources Forum*, 28(1), 50-60;

13
14
15
16 PARNELL, S. (2004) Building developmental local government to fight poverty: Institutional
17 change in the city of Johannesburg. *International Development Planning Review*, 26(4), 377-399;

18
19
20
21 PEREIRA, J. M. (2007) The World Bank's market-assisted land reform as a political issue:
22 Evidence from Brazil (1997-2006). *European Review of Latin American and Caribbean Studies*,
23 82, 21-49;

24
25
26
27
28 PETRAS, J. and VELTMEYER, H. (2007) The 'development state' in Latin America: Whose
29 development, whose state? *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 34(3 & 4), 371-407;

30
31
32
33 PORTNOV, B. A. (2002) Intra-urban inequalities and planning strategies: A case study of Be'er
34 Sheva, Israel. *International Planning Studies*, 7(2), 137-156;

35
36
37
38 POWELSON, J. P. and STOCK, R. (eds) (1987) *The peasant betrayed: agriculture and land*
39 *reform in the Third World*. Oelgeschlager, Gunn and Hain, Boston, MA.

40
41
42
43 RAVALLION, M. and CHEN, S. (2004) China's (uneven) progress against poverty. Policy
44 Research Working Paper No. 3408, The World Bank;

45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52 SONN, J. W. (2007) Insulation with solidarity as a political condition for implementation of
53 polarized development strategy: The South Korean experience and its theoretical implications.
54 *International Planning Studies*, 12(3), 221-240;

55
56
57
58
59
60
61 SWINNEN, J. (2003) Lessons from ten years of rural transition, in: S. Max (ed.) *Transition,*
Institutions, and the Rural Sector, (Lexington Books, Lanham and Oxford), 27-46;

1
2
3
4 TAO, R. and XU, Z. (2007) Urbanization, rural land system, and social security for migrants in
5 China. *Journal of Development Studies*, 43(7), 1301-1320;
6
7

8
9 THIESENHUSEN, W. C. (1995) *Broken Promises: Agrarian Reform and the Latin American*
10 *Campesino*. Westview Press, Boulder, CO.
11
12

13
14 VALLETTA, W. (2002) The agricultural land (share) lease in Ukraine as an instrument for
15 reconsolidation of farm operations. Bulletin No. 2002/1: Land Reform, Land Resettlement and
16 Cooperatives, 53-61, FAO;
17
18

19
20
21 VIRATKAPAN, V., PERERA, R. and WATANABE, S. (2004) Factors contributing to the
22 development performance of slum relocation projects in Bangkok, Thailand. *International*
23 *Development Planning Review*, 26(3), 231-260;
24
25

26
27
28 WEGREN, S. K. (2007) The state and agrarian reform in post-communist Russia. *Journal of*
29 *Peasant Studies*, 34(3 & 4), 498-526;
30
31

32
33 XIANDE, L. (2003) Rethinking the peasant burden: evidence from a Chinese village. *Journal of*
34 *Peasant Studies*, 30(3 & 4), 45-74.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1: Land negotiation and government intervention in selected countries

	Market-based land negotiation	Scope of government intervention	Effect to rural economy
Belarus	▫Yes (formal land market)	▫Regulation of land privatisation	▫Low rates of rural economic growth
Bolivia	▫Mixed (public auctions of surplus land)	▫Taxation system to support settlements	▫Limited rural poverty decrease
Brazil	▫Yes (free negotiation of land)	▫Loans and limited support services	▫Limited rural poverty decrease
China	▫None (common tenure structure)	▫Regulation, basic support and overview	▫Increased overall economic growth
Colombia	▫Yes (subsidized land transactions)	▫Loans and basic support services	▫Slow rural development
Costa Rica	▫Mixed (land acquisition and redistribution)	▫Infrastructure services limited to some areas	▫Small-scale redistribution of wealth
Ecuador	▫Mixed (land acquisition fund)	▫Registration and basic support services	▫Unsustained poverty alleviation
Guatemala	▫Yes (land market allocations)	▫Loans and regularisation of land	▫Limited rural poverty decrease
Kenya	▫Mixed (restitution and redistribution)	▫Limited support services	▫Limited rural poverty decrease
Peru	▫Yes (free negotiation of land)	▫Limited government investments	▫Increased social inequality
Philippines	▫Yes (voluntary land lease contracts)	▫Loan regulation and limited support services	▫Slow rural development
South Africa	▫Yes (free negotiation of land)	▫Loans and limited support services	▫Household income increase mostly urban
Ukraine	▫Mixed (land lease system)	▫Regulation and overview of land use	▫Moderate rural poverty decrease

Table 2. Highlights of the literature: land policy and planning

Issues	Developing countries in general	Brazil
Historical underpinnings	<p>Historical circumstances explain the evolution of rural land systems</p> <p>Former approaches did not focus on eliminating the persistent land monopolies</p> <p>Failure of past government interventions as underlying cause of rural poverty and unrest</p> <p>Past experiences affected government approaches to rural land issues</p> <p>The shaping of rural policy seeks to reverse historical tendencies to land concentration</p>	<p>Past social and economic crises have aggravated the living conditions of the peasantry</p> <p>Displacement of family farmers among the main causes of inequities in rural land structures</p> <p>Earlier land reform attempts subject to extensive and often critical assessments</p> <p>Negative impacts of land concentration overlooked by former government approaches</p> <p>Rural poverty historically perceived as an obstacle to developmental efforts</p>
Socio-economic determinants	<p>Access to arable land positively associated with decreased poverty rates</p> <p>Socio-economic pressure, e.g. rural deprivation and conflict, influences land reform initiatives</p> <p>Organised peasant movements play a part in land reallocation</p> <p>Scant rural development undermines the success of land redistribution schemes</p> <p>Equitable land redistribution likely to improve the socio-economic status of rural populations</p>	<p>The spread of deforestation as a consequence of land concentration</p> <p>Farm and non-farm activities have measurable effects on rural poverty rate</p> <p>Conflicts involving the peasantry and landowners as a result of failed land reform processes</p> <p>The role of the Landless Workers' Movement (MST) in forcing land de-concentration</p> <p>Settled families' agricultural production affects regional development</p>
Legal framework	<p>Legislative provisions define the range of governmental involvement in rural economy</p> <p>Access to land mainly an issue of national policy</p> <p>Little evidence of rural development as a result of isolated rural policy</p> <p>Improvements in rural legislation believed to foster better living conditions</p> <p>Negative and positive implications observed in market-driven land reform legislation</p>	<p>Contradictions within legal framework lead to various types of violence in the countryside</p> <p>Property rights not secured to targeted groups by land reform regulation</p> <p>Socio-economic impacts originally intended by the programmes also uncertain</p> <p>Slowness of the judiciary contributes to increasing costs of land expropriation</p> <p>Current legislation mainly market oriented</p>

Table 2. (Cont'd)

Issues	Developing countries in general	Brazil
Degree of state intervention	<p>Opposing views on proper extent of government intervention in the rural sphere</p> <p>Inequality in landownership as deriving from former non-market interventions</p> <p>Effectiveness of interventionist land reform in comparison with land markets in reallocating land</p> <p>Markets forces or state intervention alone are not sufficient to eliminate rural poverty</p> <p>Joint strategies with multiple actors believed to be an efficient developmental tool</p>	<p>Deployment of market mechanisms to stimulate land access seen as neo-liberal</p> <p>Distortions within state apparatuses weaken the effectiveness of land reform programmes</p> <p>State intervention does not guarantee quality of expropriated land</p> <p>Loan-based land programmes as a convenient justification for not spending in redistributed land</p> <p>Market forces or state intervention alone have limited capacity to foment social inclusion</p>
Land policy	<p>Land policy formulation dependant upon country-specific reasons</p> <p>Governments' approach shifts over time</p> <p>Land regularization and restitution, also expropriation</p> <p>Examples of rural properties for collective use</p> <p>State-market hybrid land transfer schemes</p> <p>Market-based approaches to land redistribution</p>	<p>Contemporary programmes are mostly market-based</p> <p>Land Bill Programme (PCT) provides loans and stimulate the purchase of rural land</p> <p>National Programme of Assistance to Family Farms (PRONAF), a post-purchase loans-based scheme</p> <p>Programmes paying little attention to beneficiaries' quality of life in the settlements</p>
Regional planning	<p>Recent planning literature as limited mostly to urban areas as opposed to rural areas</p> <p>Countryside development believed to have links with infrastructure investments and basic services</p> <p>Absence of comprehensive actions, but instances of pro-poor cooperative partnerships</p> <p>Decentralisation, coordination and participation as essential to diminish rural deprivation</p> <p>Sustained rural development unlikely without territorial policy networks</p>	<p>Limited evidence in the literature of the use of regional planning instruments</p> <p>Land redistribution schemes implemented without adequate on-site improvements</p> <p>Deficient local infrastructure in settlements in addition to long distances to dynamic markets</p> <p>Technological advancements not benefiting most family-based units</p> <p>Persistent post-purchase difficulties and lack of comprehensive regional planning</p>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 ¹ Supported by the Programme AlBan, the European Union Programme of High Level
8
9 Scholarships for Latin America, scholarship no. E07D402641BR.

10
11 ² There is a fundamental difference in the literature between land tenure reforms (changes
12 in land tenure rules without necessarily redistributing land) and land reforms (policy
13 initiatives aiming at redistributing land). This article focuses on the latter.
14
15

16
17 ³ Also, a comparison of the % of rural population with access to sanitation facilities in those
18 countries gives an idea of their socio-economic situation. Belarus: 97.0; Costa Rica: 95.0;
19
20 Ukraine: 83.0; Guatemala: 79.0; Philippines: 72.0; Ecuador: 72.0; China: 59.0; Colombia:
21
22 58.0; South Africa: 49.0; Kenya: 48.0; Brazil: 37.0; Peru: 36.0; Bolivia: 22.0. Source: The
23
24 World Bank, 2006.
25
26
27

28
29 ⁴ A comprehensive view of the factors leading to the negative results of land reform in
30 various developing countries, or the reasons for their relative success in some cases, can be
31
32 found in Powelson and Stock's *The Peasant Betrayed: agriculture and land reform in the*
33
34 *Third World* (1987), Oelgeschlager, Gunn and Hain publishers, Boston. For Latin America
35
36 more specifically, please see W. C. Thiesenhusen's *Broken Promises: Agrarian Reform and*
37
38 *the Latin American Campesino* (1995), Westview Press.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60