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Abstract:
Considering a linear system, we construct hybrid loops consisting in a (possibly non stabilizing) given linear dynamic continuous-time controller with a jump rule when some conditions of the state occur. This allows us to study the performance improvement problem. More precisely, we firstly show the interest of an hybrid loop to maximize the decay rate when the gain of the controller is limited. Secondly, we show how adding a hybrid loop can be useful to reduce the overshoot of an output. The proposed approaches are illustrated on two examples.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Even for linear control systems which follow a purely continuous dynamics, it may be useful to consider dynamic controllers having a mixed discrete/continuous dynamics. This leads to the class of hybrid control laws, and the closed-loop system turns out to be a hybrid system. Such controllers are now instrumental in many feedback control designs, for their capability to provide asymptotic stability of the closed-loop system (see e.g. Hespanha and Morse (1999); Hespanha et al. (2004)). They are also interesting for their capability to guarantee a robustness with respect to small errors in the loop, which cannot be obtained using classical (i.e. with a continuous dynamics) controllers (see e.g. Prieur (2005); Goebel and Teel (2009)).

Hybrid controllers are also instrumental to improve the performance for linear systems in presence of disturbances. See Chen et al. (2001); Beker et al. (2004); Nešić et al. (2008), where reset controllers are used to decrease the $L_2$-gain between perturbations and the output. Consider also Aangenent et al. (2008) (resp. Witvoet et al. (2007)) where it is shown that reset controllers may be useful to improve the $L^2$ (resp. $H^2$)-stability of linear systems. See Loquen et al. (2007) for further results on reset systems with saturation in the input.

The aim of this paper is to design new hybrid strategies to improve the performance of the closed-loop system. More precisely, for linear control systems this hybrid loop may be connected to the maximization problem of the decay rate with a limited controller gain. Moreover we show that adding hybrid loops yields a solution to the reduction of the overshoot of an output, by solving a convex optimization problem. This part of our work is related to Haddad et al. (2001b) (see also Haddad et al. (2001a), and Haddad et al. (2003) for Hamiltonian systems) where Lyapunov conditions are designed for the feedback interconnections of impulsive nonlinear systems. However in our paper, an a priori Lyapunov-like function is used for the designs of the hybrid controllers.

These performance results are based on the derivation of a sufficient condition for the closed-loop system with the hybrid loop to be asymptotically stable. This stability analysis relies on a LaSalle invariance principle argument and on a result of Prieur et al. (2010). In this latter work, some a priori Lyapunov-like functions are used to design hybrid loops that stabilize the whole state or the state variable only.

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. The problems under consideration in this paper are introduced in Section 2. Some preliminaries are also given in this section. In Section 3, we show how we can guarantee the stability by adding a hybrid loop, this is our first main result. Section 4 contains the two other main results, namely the maximization of the decay rate with a limited jumping gain (see Section 4.1), and the reduction of the overshoot by the design of a hybrid loop (see Section 4.2). Some numerical examples illustrate the latter two results.
Some concluding remarks and open questions are given in Section 5. Due to space limitation, all proofs are omitted.

**Notation.** The Euclidean norm is denoted by $|\cdot|$ and the scalar product by $(\cdot, \cdot)$. For positive real integers $n$ and $m$, $I_n$ (resp. $I_{n \times m}$) denotes the identity matrix (resp. the null matrix) in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ (resp. in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$). The subscripts may be omitted when there is no ambiguity. Moreover, for a vector $x$, the diagonal matrix defined by the entries of $x$ is noted $\text{diag}(x)$, and for a matrix $M$, $\text{He}(M) = M + M^T$, where $M^T$ denotes the transpose matrix of $M$. For any symmetric matrix, $\star$ stands for a symmetric term.

## 2. PROBLEM STATEMENT AND PRELIMINARIES

Consider the following linear plant:

$$\dot{x}_p = A_p x_p + B_p u, \quad y = C_p x_p + D_p u,$$

(1)

with $x_p \in \mathbb{R}^{n_p}$, in feedback interconnection with a (not necessarily stabilizing) linear dynamic controller:

$$\dot{x}_c = A_c x_c + B_c y, \quad u = C_c x_c + D_c y,$$

(2)

with $x_c \in \mathbb{R}^{n_c}$. Assuming that $I - D_c B_c$ is nonsingular, the closed-loop is well posed and described by the following linear system

$$\dot{x} = Ax := \begin{bmatrix} A_p & B_p \\ B_c & A_c \end{bmatrix} x,$$

(3)

where $x = (x_p', x_c')'$ and $A_p$, $B_p$, $A_c$, and $B_c$ are matrices of appropriate dimensions uniquely defined based on the matrices in (1) and (2). In the reminder of the paper, we consider directly (3) and we do not consider anymore from which this linear system has been computed (in particular (1) and (2) will not be used anymore).

By exploiting the properties of Lyapunov functions, the aim of this paper is to construct a hybrid closed-loop system which follows the flow dynamics (3) when the state is in a set (called the flow set) and follows a suitable discrete dynamics when the state is in another set (called the jump set). These flow and jump sets, together with the discrete dynamics, define a hybrid system and have to be designed to guarantee some performance properties of the closed-loop state $x$ and of the plant state $x_p$.

More precisely, we will address the following two problems.

**Problem 1.** Consider the closed-loop system (3). Given a limitation on the amplitude of the gain $K$, design a hybrid system which follows the dynamics (3) when flowing, follows the dynamics

$$x^+ = Kx$$

when jumping, and is such that the $x_p$-variable have the best decay rate of the $x_p$-variable as possible.

The second problem is related to the reduction of the overshoot of the output $y$ of (1) by means of a hybrid loop.

**Problem 2.** Consider the closed-loop system (3). Design a hybrid system which follows the dynamics (3) when flowing and the output $y$ of (1) converges to zero and is non-increasing along solutions.

Both problems are solved in Theorems 2 and 3 respectively. Note that Problem 2 is solved indirectly. More precisely, to solve it, we introduce a function $V_p : \mathbb{R}^{n_p} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, and we design a hybrid loop such that $V_p$ is non-increasing along the solutions of the hybrid system, and $V_p(x_p)$ is close (in a certain manner) to $|y|^2$. See Theorem 3 for more details.

Let us shortly describe the framework of the hybrid systems that is considered in this paper. For an introduction, see e.g. the recent survey Goebel et al. (2009). Such a system combines a continuous dynamics in a set $F$ (called flow set) and discrete dynamics in a set $J$ (called jump set), and it is formally written as

$$\dot{x} = Ax \text{ if } x \in F,$$

$$x^+ = Kx \text{ if } x \in J,$$

(4)

where $x = (x_p', x_c')' \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $n = n_p + n_c$, $A$ and $K$ are two matrices of appropriate dimensions. We recall some basic ingredients on hybrid system theory, and solutions of (4).

Due to mixed discrete/continuous dynamics, a solution of (4) will be defined on a mixed discrete/continuous time domain. More precisely, a set $E$ is a hybrid time domain if for all $(t_0, J_0) \in E$, $E \cap \{0 \times (0, 1, \ldots, J_0)\}$ is a compact hybrid time domain, i.e. it can be written as $\bigcup_{j=0}^{J_0-1} ([t_j, t_{j+1}^+], J)$, for some finite sequence of times $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \ldots \leq t_J$. A solution $x$ to (4) consists of a hybrid time domain dom $x$ and a function $x : \text{dom } x \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x(t, j)$ is absolutely continuous in $t$ for a fixed $j$ and $(t, j) \in \text{dom } x$ satisfying

(S1) for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and almost all $t$ such that $(t, j) \in \text{dom } x$,

$$x(t, j) \in F,$$

$$\dot{x}(t, j) = Ax(t, j);$$

(S2) For all $(t, j) \in \text{dom } x$ such that $(t, j+1) \in \text{dom } x$,

$$x(t, j) \in J,$$

$$x(t, j+1) = Kx(t, j).$$

Then, the state solution $x$ is parameterized by $(t, j)$ where $t$ is the ordinary time and $j$ is an independent variable that corresponds to the number of jumps of the solution. When the state $x(t, j)$ belongs to the intersection of the flow set and of the jump set, then the solution can either flow or jump. This parameterization may be omitted when there is no ambiguity.

A solution $x$ to (4) is said to be complete if dom $x$ is unbounded, Zeno if it is complete but the projection of dom $x$ onto $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is bounded, and maximal if there does not exist another solution $x$ of (4) such that $x$ is a truncation of $x$ to some proper subset of dom $x$. Hereafter, only maximal solutions will be considered. For more details about this hybrid systems framework, we refer the reader to Goebel et al. (2009) or Prieur et al. (2007).

**Definition 1.** The hybrid system (4) is said to be

- **stable:** if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that each solution $x$ to (4) with $|x(0,0)| \leq \delta$ satisfies $|x(t,j)| \leq \epsilon$ for all $(t,j) \in \text{dom } x$.
- **attractive:** if every solution $x$ to (4) is complete and satisfies $\lim_{t \to +\infty} |x(t,j)| = 0$.
- **globally asymptotically stable:** if it is both stable and attractive.

## 3. GUARANTEEING ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY

Before solving Problems 1 and 2, we first state a result suggesting a sufficient condition for the hybrid system (4) to be globally asymptotically stable. To do that, some
Lyapunov functions are used and since the closed-loop system (3) is linear, we restrict to the class of quadratic functions, and to sets $F$ and $J$ depending on the sign of a quadratic function of the state.

Moreover since the $x_p$-variable is the state of the plant, it is natural to impose the following structure on the gain $K$ defining the discrete dynamics in (4): $K = \begin{bmatrix} P_p & 0 \\ 0 & K_p \end{bmatrix}$, where $K_p$ is a given matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_p}$.

More precisely given a symmetric positive definite matrix $P_p$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x}$, a matrix $N$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x}$, a matrix $K_p$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_p}$, and a positive value $\alpha$, we will consider the following structure for the hybrid system (4):

$$
\dot{x} = Ax \quad \text{if} \quad x \in F,
$$

$$
\begin{bmatrix} x^+ \cr x^c \end{bmatrix} = (x_p, K_p x_p) \quad \text{if} \quad x \in J,
$$

where $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $J \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are the closed subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$
F = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ x' \tau x \leq -\alpha x'_p P_p x_p \},
$$
$$
J = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ x' \tau x \geq -\alpha x'_p P_p x_p \},
$$

where $N, \alpha, P_p$ will be specified in the sequel.

Our first main result is based on the following proposition which gives a convergence property of the $x_p$-variable only:

**Proposition 1.** [Prieur et al. (2010)] Consider the closed-loop system (3) and a symmetric positive definite matrix

$$
P = \begin{bmatrix} P_p & P_{pc} \\ P_{pc}^T & P_c \end{bmatrix}
$$

in $(\mathbb{R}^{n_x+n_p}) \times (\mathbb{R}^{n_x+n_p})$ such that the matrix $P_p := P_p - P_p P_c^{-1} P_{pc}$ satisfies

$$
\text{He}(P_p (A_p + B_p K_p)) < -\alpha P_p,
$$

for some $\alpha > 0$ and for $K_p := -P_p^{-1} P_{pc}$ Then, letting

$$
N := \text{He} \left( \begin{bmatrix} P_p A_p & P_p B_p \\ B_p & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right),
$$

the hybrid system (5), (6) is such that the plant state $x_p$ converges to zero, and $x_p \mapsto V_p(x_p) := x'_p P_p x_p$ is non-increasing along solutions.

With Proposition 1, there is no guarantee that the state of the controller will converge to zero. It turns out to be sufficient that the controller dynamics is detectable from the output matrix $B_p$. Intuitively, this requirement corresponds to asking that any nonzero controller evolution will be detected by the plant states so that a LaSalle result can be applied to show convergence. This is the basic tool to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Consider any state stabilizing gain $K_p$ such that $A_p + B_p K_p$ is Hurwitz and a pair $P_p$, $\alpha$ satisfying (7). Consider now any $\bar{\alpha} \leq \alpha$ and the flow and jump sets in (6). If the pair $(B_p, A_c)$ is detectable, then the hybrid system (5) is globally exponentially stable.

### 4. Improving the Performance

In this section, we rely on the results of Theorem 1 to propose a suitable jump rule to be incorporated in a linear continuous-time control system to maximize the decay rate or to reduce the plant output overshoot (see respectively Sections 4.1 and 4.2 below). This will resolve Problems 1 and 2.

#### 4.1 Maximizing the Decay Rate

Consider equation (7) and note that it resembles the classical state-feedback stabilization problem with guaranteed convergence rate, which is well known to be solvable via a generalized eigenvalue problem. Given a plant, a possible way to address the search for $P_p$, $K_p$ and $\alpha$ satisfying (7) is to impose a bound $\kappa_M$ on the size of $K_p$ and compute the maximum $\alpha$ satisfying (7) (or a conservative estimate of it) while guaranteeing $|K_p| \leq \kappa_M$. This type of goal is achieved by solving the following generalized eigenvalue problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{Find a symmetric positive matrix } Q_p \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{n_p \times n_p}, \text{ a matrix } X \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_p} \text{ and a positive value } \alpha \text{ of solution of }
& \quad \begin{cases}
\max_{Q_p, \alpha} \ 
\hat{Q}_p = Q_p, & \alpha, \text{ s.t.} \\
\bar{Q}_p \geq I \\
0 \leq \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_M I & X' \\
X & \kappa_M I \end{bmatrix} \\
-\alpha \bar{Q}_p \geq \text{He}(A_p Q_p + B_p X),
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\kappa_M > 0$ is given. The optimal solution to (9) will lead to the gain $K_p = X Q_p^{-1}$ and to $P_p = \bar{Q}_p$ satisfying (7) and such that $|K_p| \leq |X||Q_p^{-1}| \leq \kappa_M$ because the first constraint imposes $|Q_p^{-1}| \leq 1$ and the second constraint imposes $|X| \leq \kappa_M$. Using this gain, we may solve Problem 1 and the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 2.** Consider a symmetric positive matrix $Q_p$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n_p \times n_p}$, a matrix $X$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_p}$ and a positive value $\alpha$ satisfying (9). Let $K_p = X Q_p^{-1}$ and $P_p = \bar{Q}_p$. For any selection of $0 < \bar{\alpha} \leq \alpha$ and the flow and jump sets in (6), then

1. for a suitable $k > 0$, the plant state response satisfies the following exponential bound for all $(t,j) \in \text{dom} x$:

$$
|x_p(t,j)| \leq k \exp \left(-\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2}\right) |x_p(0,0)|
$$

2. if the pair $(B_p, A_c)$ is detectable, then the hybrid closed-loop is globally exponentially stable.

The optimization problem in (9) can be used to build a curve providing the maximum decay $\alpha$ achievable with a certain bound $\kappa_M$ on the gain $K_p$. Then in many practical cases, it might well be that the optimal $\alpha$ grows unbounded as the bound $\kappa_M$ becomes arbitrarily large. This fact is illustrated in the next example study.

**Example 1.** Consider a one-dimensional linear plant connected in negative feedback with a one-dimensional linear controller:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_p &= a_p x_p + b_p x_c \\
\dot{x}_c &= a_c x_c - x_p,
\end{align*}
$$

where we assume $b_p > 0$.

For this example, the solution to (9) can be computed explicitly as a function of $\kappa_M$ and corresponds to $K_p = -\kappa_M$, while the optimal performance is $\alpha = -2a_p + 2b_p \kappa_M$, achieved with $Q_p = 1$ and $X = -\kappa_M$. It is instructive to study the shape of the jump and flow sets $F$ and $J$ defined in (6), which are symmetric cones in the plane $(x_p, x_c)$. Using, for example, $\bar{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{2} = -a_p + b_p \kappa_M$, the sets in (6) become.
According to the results in Theorem 2 the hybrid closed-loop system given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_p &= a_p x_p + b_p x_c, & x_p \in F, \\
\dot{x}_c &= a_c x_c - x_p, & x_c \in F, \\
x^+_p &= x_p, & x^+_c = -\kappa_M x_p,
\end{aligned}$$

is globally exponentially stable.

The flow set in (10) defines a symmetric cone in the \((x_p, x_c)\) plane whose boundaries correspond to the two subspaces \(\{(x_p, x_c) : x_p = 0\}\), namely the vertical axis, and \(\{(x_p, x_c) : 2b_p x_c = -(a_p + b_p \kappa_M) x_p\}\), namely (by the fact that \(b_p > 0\) and \(\kappa_M > 0\), a line which rotates clockwise as \(\kappa_M\) (and, consequently, the guaranteed convergence rate \(\alpha = -a_p + b_p \kappa_M\)) increases. An example corresponding to \(a_p = -1\), \(b_p = 1\) and \(\kappa_M = 1\) is shown in the top left plane of Figure 1. In this case, the flow set (striped area) corresponds to the second and fourth quadrants and the set \(x_c = K_p x_p = -\kappa_M x_p = -x_p\), where the controller state is reset, (depicted as a bold dashed line) corresponds to the bisector of the second and fourth quadrant. The top right plane in the same figure shows how these sets change when increasing the gain to \(\kappa_M = 2\).

Some trajectories are also reported in Figure 1, corresponding to the case \(x_c = 0\) which, in the case \(\kappa_M = 1\) corresponds to a generalized version of the behavior of the so-called Clegg integrator (see Clegg (1958); Zaccarian et al. (2005)) in negative feedback interconnection with the plant \(P(s) = \frac{1}{s+1}\). The generalization consists in resetting the Clegg integrator state to the value of the input rather than to zero but essentially coincides with the original resetting rule where the integrator was supposed to be reset only at zero crossings of the integrator input (see Clegg (1958); Zaccarian et al. (2005) for details). Note that in the case \(\kappa_M = 2\) reported to the righ of Figure 1, the horizontal boundary of the flow set is tilted, reducing the size of the flow set, and the reset manifold \(x_c = K_p x_p\) is tilted as well, to speed up the state decay rate. Nevertheless, the vertical boundary corresponding to \(\{(x_p, x_c) : x_c = 0\}\), remains unchanged thus preserving the peculiarity that the response exhibits no overshoot. This property arises from the fact that the function \(x_p \mapsto x_p^+\) is nonincreasing along the solutions. This fact is further illustrated by a few simulations starting from the initial conditions \((x_p(0,0), x_c(0,0)) = (-1,0)\), reported at the bottom plot of Figure 1. In both simulations, the controller state is first reset to the reset manifold and then exhibits a flow interval until the state reaches the vertical axis, where the solution is finally reset to zero.

4.2 Overshoot reduction

Perhaps the first example study where hybrid control systems were shown to overcome intrinsic limitations of classical linear control was the one in Bekker et al. (2001), were a reset controller was shown to improve upon linear control in terms of overshoot reduction. If the plant (1) is strictly proper (namely, \(D_p = 0\)), a possible way to mathematically formalize the requirement of overshoot reduction in terms of the Lyapunov functions introduced here is to construct a quadratic function \(x_p \mapsto V_p(x_p) = x_p^T P x_p\) and the stabilizing gain \(K_p\) in such a way that, to a certain extent, \(V_p(x_p) \approx |y|^2\). Then achieving non-increase of \(V_p\) via the hybrid loops of this paper will induce (almost) no overshoot, namely \(|y(t)|\) is (almost) non-increasing along trajectories. Let us make more explicit this informal discussion.

Finding the above discussed pair \((V_p, K_p)\) can be done, for example, by writing the dynamics (1) in observability canonical form, so that \(x_p = (x_1, x')^T\) and solving the following LMI eigenvalue problem: Find a symmetric positive matrix \(Q_p\) in \(\mathbb{R}^{n_p \times n_p}\), a matrix \(X\) in \(\mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x}\) and positive value \(\alpha\), \(\rho_x\), and \(\rho_y\) solution of

$$\begin{aligned}
\min_{Q_p, Q_p, \rho_x, \rho_y, X} & Q_p = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & q_{1x} \\
q_{1x}^T & q_{xx} \end{bmatrix} > I \\
0 & < \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_M I & X^T \\
X & \kappa_M I \end{bmatrix} \\
0 & < \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \rho_x \\
\rho_x & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
\rho_x & < Q_1, \\
q_{xx} & < 1 + \rho_y,
\end{aligned}$$

where \(\kappa_M > 0\) is given. Similar to the case addressed in Section 4.1, the optimal solution to (11) will lead to the gain \(K_p = X Q_p^{-1}\) and to \(P_p = Q_p^{-1}\) satisfying (7) with a small enough \(\alpha\) and such that \(|K_p| \leq |X||Q_p^{-1}| \leq \kappa_M\). Moreover, the bounds given by the last three constraints can be shown to imply that smaller values of \(\rho_y\) will lead to a function \(V_p(x_p) = x_p^T Q_p^{-1} x_p\) closer to \(|y|^2\). This fact,
Theorem 3. Consider a sequence of solutions $(\tilde{Q}_p^k, p_k^k, x_k^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ to the constraints (11) such that $\rho_y^k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Then, defining $V^k_p(x_p) = x_p'(\tilde{Q}_p^k)^{-1} x_p$, we have, for each $x_p$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} V^k_p(x_p) = |y|^2,$$

(12)

namely as $\rho_y$ approaches zero, $V^k_p(x_p)$ approaches $|y|^2$.

Moreover, given any pair $(\tilde{Q}, X)$ satisfying (11), let $K_p = X\tilde{Q}_p^{-1}$ and $P_p = \tilde{Q}_p^{-1}$. Then, for a small enough selection of $\alpha$, equation (7) is satisfied. Moreover, given any selection of $0 < \tilde{\alpha} \leq \alpha$, the reset system (5) with the flow and jump sets in (6), is such that:

1. the plant state $x_p$ converges to zero, and the function $x_p \mapsto V_p(x_p) = x_p'P_p x_p$ is non-increasing along solutions;
2. if the pair $(B_p, A_c)$ is detectable, then the hybrid closed-loop is globally exponentially stable.

Just as in the case discussed in Section 4.1, the optimization problem in (9) can be used to build a curve providing the overshoot reduction level $\rho_y^{-1}$ achievable with a certain bound $\kappa_M$ on the gain $K_p$. Similar to before, it might well be that the optimal $\rho_y$ grows unbounded as the bound $\kappa_M$ becomes arbitrarily large.

Example 2. We consider an example originally discussed in Beker et al. (2001), where a First Order Reset Element whose linear part is characterized by the transfer function $\frac{s+1}{s+0.2}$ controls via a negative unitary feedback a SISO plant whose transfer function is $P = \frac{1}{s+0.2}$. For this example, the control system involving the FORE is shown in Beker et al. (2001) to behave more desirably than the linear control system because it has only about 40% overshoot as compared to the linear closed-loop system, while retaining the rise time of the linear design (this example was also later discussed later in Nešić et al. (2005); Zaccarian et al. (to appear). In particular, we implement the hybrid loops arising when using the values in the table within the scheme proposed in Theorem 3. Note that since in this case the pair $(B_p, A_c)$ is observable, then according to the results of Theorem 1, the trajectories are all convergent to zero. The resulting plant input and output responses are shown in Figure 3, where we also show the linear response (thin solid) and the response obtained with the FORE used in Beker et al. (2001) (thin dashed).
The results in Figure 3 are indicative of the potential of the proposed approach with the goal of reducing overshoots in linear control systems and correspond to trajectories of the reset system (5) with the flow and jump sets in (6), with the parameters in (13) and with the gains $K_p$ in Table 2. It should be pointed out that even though we manage to improve the overshoot reduction as compared to the FORE resetting strategy proposed in Beker et al. (2001) (see the thin dashed curve in Figure 3), this last strategy is more appealing from an implementation viewpoint because it only requires a measurement of the plant output. Conversely, the resetting strategy of the improved bold curves of the figure are obtained using a full measurement of the plant state.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The design problem of a stabilizing hybrid loop is considered in this paper. This class of system mixes discrete and continuous dynamics depending on the value of a quadratic function of the state. Adding a hybrid loop to a closed-loop linear system can be instrumental when maximizing the decay rate with an amplitude-limited controller. Finally it has been proven that adding a hybrid loop may be useful to reduce an overshoot of a given output. Some simulations highlighted the interest of the results.
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