



HAL
open science

Evaluation of daily intake of PCDD/Fs and indicator PCBs in formula-fed Spanish children

Susana Lorán, Pilar Conchello, Susana Bayarri, Antonio Herrera

► **To cite this version:**

Susana Lorán, Pilar Conchello, Susana Bayarri, Antonio Herrera. Evaluation of daily intake of PCDD/Fs and indicator PCBs in formula-fed Spanish children. *Food Additives and Contaminants*, 2009, 26 (10), pp.1421-1431. 10.1080/02652030903100034 . hal-00573902


HAL Id: hal-00573902

<https://hal.science/hal-00573902>

Submitted on 5 Mar 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Evaluation of daily intake of PCDD/Fs and indicator PCBs in formula-fed Spanish children

Journal:	<i>Food Additives and Contaminants</i>
Manuscript ID:	TFAC-2009-049.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-May-2009
Complete List of Authors:	Lorán, Susana; Veterinary Faculty, University of Zaragoza, Department of Animal Production and Food Science Conchello, Pilar; Veterinary Faculty, University of Zaragoza, Department of Animal Production and Food Science Bayarri, Susana; Veterinary Faculty, University of Zaragoza, Department of Animal Production and Food Science HERRERA, ANTONIO; Veterinary Faculty, University of Zaragoza, Department of Animal Production and Food Science
Methods/Techniques:	Exposure assessment
Additives/Contaminants:	Dioxins - TEQs, PCBs
Food Types:	Infant formulae

SCHOLARONE™
 Manuscripts

Evaluation of daily intake of PCDD/Fs and indicator PCBs in formula-fed Spanish children

Susana Lorán, Pilar Conchello, Susana Bayarri, Antonio Herrera

University of Zaragoza, Department of Animal Production and Food Science, Veterinary Faculty, C/ Miguel Servet, 177, 50013 Zaragoza, Spain

Abstract

Human exposure to polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs), dibenzofurans (PCDFs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) occurs predominantly via food intake. In this study, the exposure assessment of these contaminants has been estimated for infant formula fed children up to one year of age. PCDD/Fs concentration in the infant formulae was low, ranging between 0.09 and 0.17 pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ fat and between 0.30 and 0.46 pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ fat when results were calculated with the lower and medium bound values, respectively. Indicator PCBs contamination level were below 1 ng g⁻¹ fat in all cases. Thus, the estimated PCDD/Fs and indicator PCBs daily intake of infants has been assessed taking into account the above mentioned contamination levels as well as different scenarios of body weight and food consumption data for babies aged 0 to 12 months. The results vary in the different scenarios considered, but on the whole, the daily estimated dioxin and indicator PCBs intake of the average infant population due to the consumption of infant formulae does not exceed the tolerable daily intake (TDI) of 2 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ (SCF, 2001) nor the threshold of 10 ng kg⁻¹

1
2
3 b.w. day⁻¹, value proposed by the Dutch National Institute of Health and Environment
4
5
6 (RIVM), respectively (Baars et al. 2001).
7
8
9

10 **Keywords:** PCDD/Fs, PCBs, infant formulae, dietary intake, children, exposure
11
12 assessment
13
14

17 **Introduction**

18
19 Organochlorine compounds such as polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs),
20 polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)
21 represent a class of widely distributed environmental contaminants which exhibit a
22 potential risk for human health. Dioxins are mainly by-products of industrial processes,
23 being waste incineration, especially if combustion is incomplete, the largest contributor
24 of dioxin release into the environment. But dioxins can also result from natural
25 processes like volcanic eruptions and forest fires (SCF, 2001; Baars et al. 2004). Unlike
26 dioxins, PCB mixtures were commercially produced for about five decades for its use in
27 a wide scale of applications such as electronic appliances, heat transfer systems,
28 hydraulic fluids and many other different industrial purposes (Baars et al. 2004; EFSA,
29 2005). Although PCB production was banned in industrialized countries in the 1970s,
30 residues can still enter the environment due to their lipophilic nature, persistence and
31 ample use in the past.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 Previous reports have revealed that dietary intake is the main route (> 90%) for
52 non-occupational human exposure to these toxicants; foods of animal origin usually
53 being the main contributors of the total intake (Baars et al. 2004; EFSA, 2005). In many
54 countries concentrations of PCDD/Fs and PCBs have regularly been monitored in a
55 great variety of food matrices. Available data indicate a reduction in the past decades
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 due to measures implemented to control the emissions of these compounds and so
4 exposures and body burden are lower now than years before (Llobet et al. 2008). Yet,
5
6 despite these extensive efforts, foods are still contaminated (Fernández et al., 2004;
7
8 EFSA, 2005; Gómara et al., 2005; Bordajandi et al., 2006; Bocio et al. 2007; Llobet et
9
10 al. 2008).

11
12
13
14
15 Like adults, children's primary source of exposure to these contaminants is food.
16
17 Exposure assessments of PCDD/Fs and PCBs for the general population have been
18
19 made in several industrialized countries but most of them have no consideration of
20
21 young children (Lázaro et al. 2002; Tard et al. 2007), although many studies have also
22
23 been made for breast-fed infants (Focant et al. 2002; Hsu et al. 2007; Szyrwińska and
24
25 Lulek, 2007). This is probably due to the fact that as these compounds accumulate in
26
27 fatty tissues, breast milk has been one of the preferred matrices to evaluate human
28
29 background contamination. Additionally, there has been a particular concern in recent
30
31 years about the characterization of breast-fed exposure to these substances and the
32
33 associated potential health risk.

34
35
36
37
38 Infant formulae are an alternative to human milk which is recommended for
39
40 infants who are not breast-fed or during the weaning period and they can play an
41
42 important role in the infant diet. However, only a few reports are available on the
43
44 PCDD/Fs and PCB levels in infant formulae, usually showing low concentrations of
45
46 these pollutants (Ramos et al. 1998; FSA UK, 2004; Hsu et al. 2007).

47
48
49
50
51 Related to the daily PCDD/Fs intake, World Health Organization (WHO)
52
53 recommended in 1998 a tolerable daily intake (TDI) of PCDD/F in a range of 1 to 4 pg
54
55 WHO-TEQ kg^{-1} b.w.day⁻¹ (WHO, 1998). In later revisions, a tolerable weekly intake of
56
57 14 pg WHO-TEQ kg^{-1} b.w.week⁻¹ (SCF 2001) and a tolerable monthly intake of 70 pg
58
59 WHO-TEQ kg^{-1} b.w.month⁻¹ (JECFA 2002) have been established. For practical
60

1
2
3 reasons these maximum intakes can be handled as a tolerable daily intake of 2 pg TEQ
4 per kg b.w. per day. In addition, the European Commission recently set maximum levels
5 of PCDD/Fs and dioxin-like PCBs permitted in food (EC, 2006). For non-dioxin like
6 PCBs neither a tolerable daily intake nor a maximum limit in feed and food have been
7 established for these compounds in the European Union, which is why some countries
8 have established reference values that can be used in order to evaluate food
9 contamination or human exposure to these compounds.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19
20 Current PCDD/Fs exposure of breast-fed infants is about 13 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹
21 b.w. day⁻¹ for one year old children in Taiwan (Hsu et al. 2007), 51 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹
22 b.w. day⁻¹ in Portugal (Reis et al. 2002) or 76 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ in Belgium
23 (Focant et al. 2002). Mean exposure to the seven indicator PCBs has been calculated
24 between 364 and 375 ng kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ for first and second breast-fed infants in Poland,
25 respectively (Szyrwińska and Lulek, 2007), and 1249 ng kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ in the European
26 Union (EFSA, 2005). There are few surveys which have studied the exposure of non
27 breast-fed children to these pollutants revealing PCDD/Fs intake levels of 2.1 pg WHO-
28 TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ in one year old children (Hsu et al. 2007) or varying between <
29 0.01 and 0.3 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ in 6 month old babies and between < 0.01
30 and 0.2 pg WHO-TEQ/kg. b.w./day in 9 and 12 month old English children (FSA UK,
31 2004).
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 One of the main difficulties when comparing and evaluating infant babies
49 dietary exposure to these compounds is the children's different ages, body weights and
50 food consumption data used in these estimations, which are not consistent in the
51 published literature. Milk consumption intakes between 600 and 800 ml/day have been
52 considered for 5-7 kg of body weight children (Focant et al. 2002; Reis et al. 2002;
53 EFSA, 2005). In Taiwan, PCDD/Fs dietary intake was estimated for breast and non-
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 breast-fed children by using body weight and food consumption data reported by the
4
5 Taiwanese Department of Health (Hsu et al. 2007). However, this information is not
6
7 available in many countries. Concerning this point, it is important to mention the Euro-
8
9 Growth study which is a multi-center, longitudinal cohort study performed in different
10
11 European regions. The main objectives of this study were to evaluate: a) the
12
13 longitudinal growth patterns of European children and to develop reference growth
14
15 charts, b) the dietary habits of European infants and toddlers and c) the influence of
16
17 nutritional, socioeconomic and geographical factors on growth and health (Haschke and
18
19 van't Hof, 2000; van't Hof et al. 2000). In this survey, the patterns of milk and food
20
21 intake in infants from birth to age 36 month were evaluated (Freeman et al. 2000;
22
23 Haschke et al. 2000a).
24
25
26
27
28

29
30 When estimating the dietary exposure to potential dangerous substances
31
32 contained in commercial infant food we should also consider the DONALD study
33
34 (Dortmund Nutritional and Anthropometrical Longitudinally Designed). This is a
35
36 longitudinal study collecting detailed data on diet, growth, development and metabolism
37
38 between infancy and adulthood in Germany. As a part of this study dietary records from
39
40 3-, 6-, 9 and 12-month old infants regarding consumption of commercial infant food
41
42 were evaluated (Kersting et al. 1998).
43
44
45

46
47 The main goal of the present study is to estimate the dietary intake of PCDD/Fs
48
49 and indicator PCBs of Spanish infant babies up to one year of age through the
50
51 consumption of commercial infant formulae. In so doing we have analyzed the presence
52
53 of these contaminants in a number of samples of initial, follow-on and lactose-free
54
55 formulae. Thus, a congener-specific analysis of the 17 toxic PCDD/Fs congeners and
56
57 the seven indicator PCBs (PCB 28, 52, 101, 118, 138, 153 and 180) has been
58
59 performed. Estimated intakes have been calculated by using different scenarios of body
60

1
2
3 weight and food consumption data. The present study focus on the complexity of
4 finding those suitable referential values as well as on the different estimated intake
5 values of these pollutants depending on the scenarios considered. This survey is one of
6 the scarce studies in which the exposure of formula-fed children to these pollutants is
7 assessed.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

17 **Materials and Methods**

19 *Sampling collection*

20 Samples of infant formulae were acquired from local markets, big supermarkets and
21 pharmacies in Zaragoza (Spain) between 2004 and 2005. Trade marks were selected
22 among the most popular in Spain. A total of 70 samples (5 different batches from the
23 same manufacturer) was studied. Infant formulae were divided in three groups, 25 infant
24 formula, 25 follow-on formula and 20 special lactose-free formulas. Infant formulae are
25 those for children from 0 to 6 months of age and follow-on formulas are for infants 6
26 months and older. Among special formulae, lactose-free formulas are specially adapted
27 to children with lactose intolerance. These commercial infant foods contain skimmed
28 cow milk and mixtures of vegetable oils which are added to these products in order to
29 reach the nutrient requirements for children at this age.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 As for the sample preparation, an aggregate sample (1 kg) was made by mixing
46 proportional pooled samples of 5 different batches from the same commercial trade.
47
48 Finally, 14 analytical samples were made up, 5 samples of infant formula, 5 samples of
49 follow-on formula and 4 samples of special lactose-free formulas.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 *Analytical procedure*

1
2
3 The methodology used for PCDD/Fs analysis has been described in detail elsewhere
4 (Lorán et al. 2007). In brief, about 20 grams of the analytical samples were mixed with
5 sodium sulphate anhydrous and extracted with acetone-n-hexane (1:1, v/v) in an
6 automated Soxhlet extractor (Soxtec System®, Foss Tecator Cat. No. 1045 HT2,
7 Höganäs, Sweden). For identification and quantification, samples were spiked with
8 $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -PCDD/Fs labelled internal standard prior to extraction. Each extract was purified
9 in a sequence that comprises purification on a column with sodium sulphate and
10 diatomaceous earth (Isolute®) with sulphuric acid and then by using a multilayer
11 chromatography column (with sodium sulfate anhydrous, silica gel, a mixture of sodium
12 sulphate and sodium hydrogen carbonate, diatomaceous earth, a mixture of
13 diatomaceous earth and sulfuric acid and a mixture of sodium sulfate and sodium
14 chloride). Finally, the organic extract was subjected to a chromatographic filtration on
15 activated alumina. Fraction containing PCDD/Fs was eluted with dichloromethane. For
16 indicator PCBs analysis, an aliquot of approximately 0.5 g of the extracted fat was
17 dissolved in hexane and purified on alumina chromatographic column partially
18 deactivated. Elution was carried out with n-hexane. Prior to use, sodium sulphate
19 anhydrous (Na_2SO_4) and sodium sulphate anhydrous mixtures were kept at 120 °C
20 overnight, and alumina was activated in a furnace at 500 °C for 12-24 hours.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 Determination of PCDD/Fs and indicator PCBs was carried out after adding the
47 internal standard solution, EPA 1613-ISS (PCDD/Fs) and PCB 209 (indicator PCBs).
48 The eluting fractions containing the compounds of interest were analyzed by high
49 resolution gas chromatography (HRGC) coupled to ion trap mass spectrometry (Varian,
50 Saturn 2000) in the MS/MS mode. This is a three step process where analytes undergo
51 electron ionization and then, a parent ion is selectively stored and fragmented into
52 characteristic product ions through collision induced dissociation (CID). The product
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ions are sequentially ejected from the trap according to their mass/charge (m/z) ratio and further detected by an electron multiplier.

Chromatographic separation was achieved with a DB-5 fused-silica capillary column (60 m x 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 μm film thickness) with helium as the carrier gas at a linear velocity of 1 ml/min. As for dioxin analysis, injection was carried out in the splitless injection mode (2 μl) at a constant temperature of 300 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ during 1.50 min with pressure pulse of 45 psi for 1.60 min. The oven temperature program was 120 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and held for 2 min, then to 200 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ (held for 3 min) at 30 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$, to 230 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ (held 15 min) at 3 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$ and to 280 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ (held for 12 min) at 5 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$ and finally to 310 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$ (held for 3 min) at 10 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$. PCBs injection was also made in the splitless injection mode (2 μl) at 280 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and with pressure pulse of 40 psi. The oven temperature program was 65 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and held for 2 min, then to 235 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ (held for 10 min) at 25 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$, to 310 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ at 10 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$ and finally 4 minutes at 310 $^{\circ}\text{C}$.

The quantification of PCDD/Fs was carried out by the isotopic dilution method and methodology was validated according to EPA Method 1613 by doing an initial and ongoing precision and recovery study. Instrumental limit of detection (LOD) ranged between 0.05 ng ml^{-1} for tetra-chloro substituted congeners to 5.0 ng ml^{-1} for OCDF, depending on the specific congener. PCBs methodology was validated according to international recommendations (EC, 2002) and detection limits for the different PCB congeners were set at 0.5 ng ml^{-1} . In both cases, samples were analyzed in batches constituted by a calibration verification standard solution, a method blank, one spiked sample to check both the ongoing precision and recovery, along with a set of four to six analytical samples. To assess the reliability of our results we have participated in interlaboratory studies related to dioxins and PCBs (Interlaboratory Comparison on

1
2
3 Dioxins in Food, 2003/2006, Division of Environmental Medicine, Norwegian Institute
4 of Public Health, (Folkehelse, Norway).
5
6

7
8 As for PCDD/Fs global concentrations, toxic equivalents (TEQ) were calculated
9 using the toxic equivalent factors (TEFs) reported by the World Health Organisation in
10 1998 (van den Berg et al. 1998). The total concentrations of PCDD/Fs and indicator
11 PCBs have been calculated assuming that non-detected congener concentration is equal
12 to zero (lower bound) and equal to half the limit of detection (medium bound). In order
13 to express the results on a lipid basis, fat lipid content was determined by the Gerber
14 Method modified for powdered milk (Egan et al., 1981).
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 *Intake estimation*

28
29 PCDD/Fs and indicator PCBs daily dietary exposure has been calculated multiplying
30 the concentrations of the pollutants found in the samples analyzed by the consumed
31 amount of infant formulae in the different considered periods during the first year of
32 life. In order to calculate the exposure per kilogram of body weight, the estimated daily
33 exposure has been divided by the body weight of children at those ages.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Food consumption data of children up to one year of age have been reviewed in
42 order to measure the intake of these pollutants by Spanish infant babies. Although many
43 nutritional recommendations and studies related with the food consumption habits of the
44 infant babies can be found in the literature (Franch et al. 2001; Bueno et al. 2006) no
45 surveys which quantify the food consumption for Spanish children at these ages have
46 been found. Average daily milk intake has then been obtained from two main sources:
47 the Euro-Growth (Freeman et al. 2000) and the DONALD study (Kersting et al. 1998).
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In the scenario 1, in order to estimate the daily exposure to PCDD/Fs and
4 indicator PCBs we have used data from the Euro-Growth study. It is interesting to note
5 that 25% of these data come from Spanish children. In this case, the food consumption
6 quantities considered correspond to children who are not breast-fed and the volume of
7 milk consumed is for the mean population (Freeman et al. 2000). Body weight data,
8 which has also been obtained from the Euro-Growth study (Haschke et al. 2000b), have
9 been given for the mean population as well as for different percentiles. Some of the
10 extreme body weight values have been considered to estimate the exposure of the
11 highest and lowest exposed children to these compounds, that is the 95 and 5 percentile
12 of body weight respectively. The milk consumption quantities and body weight data
13 used for the intake estimations carried out in the scenario 1 are shown in Table I.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 For the scenario 2, food consumption quantities as described in the DONALD
30 Study were used (Table II). These values are given for the mean population but also for
31 those children with different consumption patterns: minimum, maximum and
32 percentiles 5 and 95. However, weight data of infant babies were just described for the
33 mean population (Kersting et al. 1998). These P 95 and P 5 values together with the
34 mean intake of commercial infant food were used in this case for estimating the
35 PCDD/Fs and indicator PCBs dietary intake.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 “[Insert Table I about here]”
46

47 “[Insert Table II about here]”
48
49

50 Regarding other considerations and according to nutritional recommendations
51 and food consumption habits for infant babies we have considered that initial formula is
52 only consumed by 3-month old children while 6 month children and older drink follow-
53 on formulas. Besides, milk consumption quantities as described in the Euro-Growth
54 study are referred in volume, however all samples analyzed were powdered infant
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 formulae. The transformation of these values given in ml of milk consumed to grams of
4
5 powdered infant formulae has been made taking into account the recommendations
6
7 given in the information nutritional label. In addition, infants' weight data used in these
8
9 estimations have been given separately for boys and girls in both studies and so we have
10
11 made in our calculations.
12
13

14 15 16 17 **Results and discussion**

18
19 The PCDD/Fs (pg WHO-TEQ) concentrations of initial and follow-on formulae have
20
21 been reported elsewhere (Lorán et al. 2007). Results for the sum of the 17 toxic
22
23 compounds at the lower bound values are 0.09 pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ fat (0.023 pg WHO-
24
25 TEQ g⁻¹ product) for the former and 0.17 pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ fat (0.039 pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹
26
27 product) for the latest. Besides, 4 composite samples of lactose-free formulae have
28
29 also been studied with lower bound values of 0.11 pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ fat (0.030 pg
30
31 WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ product). When infant formulae contamination have been calculated
32
33 with the medium bound values these concentrations add up to 0.30 pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹
34
35 fat or 0.08 pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ product (initial formulae); 0.46 pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ fat or
36
37 0.11 pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ product (follow-on formulae) and 0.43 pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ fat or
38
39 0.11 pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ product (lactose-free formulae).
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 The contamination pattern of the three kind of infant formulae analyzed is
47
48 represented in Figure 1. The mean concentration of each PCDD/F has been expressed as
49
50 pg g⁻¹ fat and calculated as medium bound values.
51

52
53 “[Insert Figure 1 about here]”
54

55
56 The pattern in follow-on and lactose free formulae is characterized by the
57
58 dominance of PCDFs while PCDDs predominate in initial formulae. For most of the
59
60 samples, those congeners present in higher concentration are those with a high-

1
2
3 chlorination degree, especially octachlorinated congeners followed by 1,2,3,6,7,8-
4 HxCDD, 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD and 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF in initial formulae and
5
6
7 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD and 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF in the others. However, main
8
9 contributors to the total TEQ values are 2,3,4,7,8 PCDF, 1,2,3,7,8 PCDD and 2,3,7,8
10
11 TCDD. This contamination pattern is similar to that found by Hsu et al. (2007) in the
12
13 analysis of infant formulae commercialized in Taiwan and also similar to the
14
15 contamination pattern of soybean infant formulae analyzed by Schecter et al. (1989).
16
17 Schecter et al. (1989) also found a high percent of non detected congeners; besides,
18
19 those present in higher concentrations were: OCDD > OCDF > 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD >
20
21 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF. Nonetheless, it is important to point out that in this work the
22
23 higher concentration of those congeners with a high chlorination degree is also due to
24
25 the fact that these compounds have a lower detection limit than those with less chlorine
26
27 content.
28
29
30
31
32

33
34 The overall contamination of the infant formulae analyzed, expressed in pg
35
36 WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ fat and considering the medium bound value is shown in Table III. For
37
38 comparative purposes, levels found in the analysis of similar products in other countries
39
40 are also shown.
41
42

43 “[Insert Table III about here]”
44

45
46 PCDD/Fs concentrations calculated in this work, both with the lower and
47
48 medium bound values, are higher in follow-on than in lactose-free formulae; these
49
50 values are also higher than those observed in the initial formulae studied. However, the
51
52 differences are not significant when results are calculated with the lower bound values.
53
54 When this contamination is expressed with the medium bound values PCDD/Fs levels
55
56 in the initial formulae analyzed are significantly lower ($p < 0,05$) than those measured in
57
58 the other two kind of samples studied. This difference has previously been found for
59
60

1
2
3 *ortho* PCBs by the FSA UK (2004) in the analysis of this kind of food and it is
4 explained by the different nutrients content.
5
6

7
8 As it can be seen in Table III, infant formulae contamination is similar to that
9 reported for this kind of products in the UK (FSA UK, 2004) with values for the sum of
10 PCDD/Fs and dioxin-like PCBs of 0.2-0.4 pg-TEQ g⁻¹ fat and lower than those
11 measured in infant formulae in Slovakia for the sum of PCDDs and PCDFs of 0.64 pg
12 WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ fat (Chovancová et al. 2005) or the ones analyzed in Taiwan (0.713 ±
13 0.163 pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ fat) by Hsu et al. (2007). However, in these two last studies
14 sample acquisition was carried out years before: in 2001 (Chovancová et al. 2005) and
15 between 2000 and 2001 (Hsu et al. 2007). Besides, as these compounds accumulate in
16 fatty tissues, levels found in the infant formulae analyzed are lower than the PCDD/Fs
17 concentrations found in breast-milk samples. Therefore, concentrations of 7.77 pg
18 WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ fat have been recently found in samples of breast-milk analyzed in Spain
19 (Bordajandi et al. 2008). In addition, other studies on the levels of these pollutants in
20 human milk carried out in Spain in recent years, have revealed concentrations which
21 have declined from 11.82 pg I-TEQ g⁻¹ fat in samples collected in 1996 (Schuhmacher
22 et al. 1999) to 9.1 pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ fat (Schuhmacher et al. 2004). The current levels
23 of PCDD/Fs found in human milk samples in other countries, with values of 14.85 pg
24 WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ fat in Japan (Guan et al. 2006); 14.7 pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ fat in Taiwan
25 (Hsu et al., 2007) or 29.4 pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ fat in Belgium (Focant et al. 2002), are
26 slightly higher than the results reported for Spanish breast milk samples and clearly
27 higher than those found in formula milk samples.
28
29

30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
The indicator PCB concentration in the samples analyzed is summarized in
Table IV. Results are presented for the seven indicator congeners; the sum of all of them
is also shown both in a fat and product basis. PCB concentration is below 1 ng g⁻¹ fat for

1
2
3 the three kinds of samples analyzed and the differences between them are not
4 significant. These values are usually lower than those found in the literature for this
5 kind of food. Schechter et al. (1989) analysed PCBs 28, 138, 153 and 180 in one soybean
6 infant formula and found concentrations below detection limits (2 ng g^{-1} of lipids).
7
8 Ramos et al. (1998) studied the presence of 15 congeners of PCBs in 8 soya based
9 infant formulae collected in Spain. Levels found for the sum of the seven indicator
10 PCBs were 7.36 ng g^{-1} fat. The indicator PCBs concentrations, as well as the analysis of
11 PCDD/Fs, are much higher in breast milk samples than in infant formulae: 149.81 ng g^{-1}
12 fat in Sweden (Glynn et al. 2001), 55.42 ng g^{-1} fat in Taiwan (Wang et al. 2004) or
13 108.3 ng g^{-1} fat in Poland (Szyrwinska y Lulek, 2007).
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26
27 “[Insert Table IV about here]”
28

29 The most frequently detected congeners are PCB 28 and 52 (86% of the samples
30 analyzed) have also been dominant in the three kind of samples analyzed. In fact, the
31 average concentration of PCBs gets lower as the chlorination grade goes up from
32 trichloro to heptachloro substituted congeners. This contamination pattern is similar to
33 that of the soya based infant formulae analyzed in Spain by Ramos et al. (1998), where
34 PCB 101, 28 and 77 are the most abundant congeners followed in this case by PCB 153,
35 138 and 180. This profile is usually different to that observed in cow or breast milk
36 samples where the most abundant congeners are the highest chlorinated ones
37 (Paumgarten et al. 2000; Glynn et al. 2001). However, it is important to take into
38 account that mixtures of vegetable oils are added to these skimmed-milk based products
39 in order to meet the nutrient requirements for children at this age. Unlike to animals,
40 plants lack the ability to metabolise the lower chlorinated congeners and usually have
41 PCB profiles different from other food categories (EFSA, 2005).
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

61 Assessment of dietary exposure is vital to obtain fundamental data concerning both food
62 safety and trends in the intake of chemicals as well as to identify the sources of unusual

1
2
3 residues. The estimated intake of PCDD/Fs and indicator PCBs in the two scenarios
4 considered and calculated with the contamination of the samples previously described
5 are depicted in Figure 2 (PCDD/Fs) and Figure 3 (PCBs). In these figures the estimated
6 mean intake of these pollutants has been represented together with the 5 and 95
7 percentiles.
8
9

10
11
12
13
14 “[Insert Figure 2 about here]”

15
16 “[Insert Figure 3 about here]”
17

18
19 It is important to take into account that in scenario 1, the highest PCDD/Fs and
20 indicator PCBs intakes correspond to those children with a mean food intake and lower
21 body weight (Percentile 5) whereas those children with a mean food intake and higher
22 body weight (Percentile 95) have the lowest intake of these contaminants. However, in
23 scenario 2, P 5 stand for those children with the lowest food consumption (Percentile 5)
24 and mean body weight, and so the lowest PCDD/Fs and indicator PCBs intake and P 95
25 represents those children with the highest food consumption (Percentile 95) and mean
26 body weight, and so the highest pollutants intake.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37
38 Estimated PCDD/Fs daily dietary intake during the first year of life due to the
39 consumption of commercial infant formulae goes from 0.22 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w.
40 day⁻¹ to 0.56 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ in the first scenario and from 0.05 pg WHO-
41 TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ to 0.65 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ in the second one, when
42 results are calculated with the lower bound values. The intake of indicator PCBs in
43 children at this age goes from 0.92 to 2.32 ng kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ and from 0.20 to 2.69 ng
44 kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ in the first and second scenario respectively. These values go up to 0.61-
45 1.93 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ (scenario 1) and 0.13-2.08 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w.
46 day⁻¹ (scenario 2) in the case of PCDD/Fs exposure when these estimations are
47 calculated with the medium bound values of the infant formulae analyzed. In this case,
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 indicator PCB children exposure reaches values of 0.97-2.43 ng kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ in the
4
5 first scenario and from 0.21 to 2.82 ng kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ in the second one.
6
7

8 As it can be seen in Figures 2 and 3, mean exposures to PCDD/Fs and indicator
9
10 PCBs are higher in the first scenario than in the second one. This is due to the fact that
11
12 milk consumption quantities used in the first scenario are higher than those described in
13
14 the DONALD study (Kersting et al. 1998), which is the reference used in the second
15
16 situation. However, infant body weight data are very similar in the references used in
17
18 both scenarios. Besides, in order to use the milk consumption quantities referred in the
19
20 Euro-Growth study it was necessary to convert the values given in ml into grams of
21
22 powdered infant formulae. Because of this transformation, the second scenario, where
23
24 milk consumption quantities are given in grams of powdered infant formulae, is thought
25
26 to provide a better estimation of these contaminant intakes. However, the highest and
27
28 lowest PCDD/Fs and indicator PCBs intakes are found in the second scenario in which
29
30 remarkable differences were observed between the different percentiles considered. In
31
32 this case, P 95 and P 5 represent those children with a respectively higher and lower
33
34 food intake than the average population but with the same body weight data. As
35
36 important variations in food consumption quantities are observed between these two
37
38 percentiles, so the estimated contaminant intakes also do. Though, it would be
39
40 reasonable to think that the higher the food intake is, the more the body weight data
41
42 increase. Since this circumstance has not been taken into account, it is possible that an
43
44 underestimation (P 5) and an overestimation (P 95) of the estimated intake values have
45
46 been produced when considering those extreme cases. Conversely, in the first scenario
47
48 differences between the different percentiles considered are just due to the variations in
49
50 the body weight which are not as significant as those mentioned for the second scenario.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In all the cases girl's exposure to these compounds is higher than those observed
4
5 in boys of the same age. This is only due to the fact that for the same food intake in both
6
7 scenarios girls have a lower body weight than boys of the same age have. In addition,
8
9 important differences are observed in these estimations when calculations are made with
10
11 the lower or the medium values of the samples analyzed. These variations are higher in
12
13 PCDD/Fs exposure than in those calculated for indicator PCBs. This is due to the higher
14
15 L.O.D of the MS/MS methodology in relation to the HRMS methodology and the
16
17 elevated percentage of non-detected congeners in the samples analyzed.
18
19
20
21

22 Although levels of these compounds are higher in follow-on than in infant
23
24 formulae, a decrease of the estimated daily intake in the second semester of the year was
25
26 observed in all cases. This is due to the lower food consumption of this kind of products
27
28 in relation to the body weight as children get older. In most cases, the highest PCDD/Fs
29
30 exposure for the mean population occurs in 3 month old babies while the highest
31
32 indicator PCBs intake was observed in 6 month old babies. As far as we know, the
33
34 introduction of complementary feeding, especially meat and dairy products, which
35
36 occurs from 4-6 months, usually contributes in a higher proportion of the total dietary
37
38 intake of these pollutants in children at these ages (Sasamoto et al. 2006; Weijs et al.
39
40 2006). This is why the decline with age observed in these intake estimations would not
41
42 occur in a total diet study.
43
44
45
46
47

48 When children are fed with lactose-free formulae, an increase in PCDD/Fs and
49
50 indicator PCBs exposure was observed particularly for 3 month old infants (Figure 4).
51
52 In this case PCDD/Fs intakes for the average 3 month old population and with the lower
53
54 bound values of the samples analyzed reach values up to 0.53 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w.
55
56 day⁻¹ and 0.58 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ for boys and girls respectively in the first
57
58 scenario and 0.49 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ and 0.54 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹
59
60

1
2
3 in the second. Indicator PCB exposure in children fed with lactose-free formulae also
4
5 increase in 3 month old infants while an important decrease is observed in 6, 9 and 12
6
7 month old babies. This decrease is just due to the decline in the consumption of this
8
9 product with age.
10
11

12 “[Insert Figure 4 about here]”
13
14

15 Similarly to our results, previous reports which have studied the PCDD/Fs
16
17 exposure of non breast-fed children show regular intake levels in the range of the TDI
18
19 of 1-4 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ (WHO, 1998) and near to the TDI of 2 pg WHO-
20
21 TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ (SCF, 2001) as it can be seen in the estimations calculated by Hsu
22
23 et al. (2007) of 2.1 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ in one year old children. Lower
24
25 exposure levels have been also seen in the UK with values varying between < 0.01 and
26
27 0.3 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ in 6 month old babies and between < 0.01 and 0.2 pg
28
29 WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ in 9 and 12 month old English children (FSA UK, 2004).
30
31 Nonetheless, PCDD/Fs intakes by breast-fed infants, which usually have a higher
32
33 exposure to these pollutants than non breast-fed children, habitually exceed the tolerable
34
35 daily intake values. This can be seen in the results reported in previous works with
36
37 exposure levels of 13 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ (Hsu et al. 2007), 51 pg WHO-
38
39 TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ (Reis et al. 2002) or 76 pg WHO-TEQ kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ (Focant et al.
40
41 2002).
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Regarding indicator PCBs intake, estimated exposure levels for breast-fed
49
50 infants are also usually high. Considering 5 kg babies which consume 800 ml of milk
51
52 per day the intake of PCBs has been estimated in the European Union in 1249 ng kg⁻¹
53
54 b.w. day⁻¹ (EFSA, 2005); in Poland they have calculated a lower intake with values of
55
56 364 ng kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ for the first breast-fed infants and 375 ng kg⁻¹ b.w. day⁻¹ for the
57
58 second (Szyrwińska and Lulek, 2007). These values are both higher than those
59
60

1
2
3 estimated in this work for non-breast fed children and higher than the TDI of 10 ng kg^{-1}
4
5 b.w. day^{-1} , value proposed by the Dutch National Institute of Health and Environment
6
7 (RIVM), for the sum of the seven indicator PCBs (Baars et al. 2001). We should
8
9 consider that since this Maximum Permissible Risk level (MPR) was estimated on the
10
11 basis of an experiment with a commercial PCB mixture it has to be appreciated as
12
13 provisional and limited in its applicability (Bakker et al. 2003). As far as we know,
14
15 there are no studies estimating indicator PCBs exposure for children at this age fed with
16
17 commercial infant formulae but, in any case, these exposures are expected to be similar
18
19 to our results and lower than those observed in breast fed children.
20
21
22
23

24
25 The fact that the highest PCDD/Fs and PCBs human exposure occurs in infants
26
27 via breast-feeding has been indicated by several authors (Guan et al. 2006; Szyrwińska
28
29 and Lulek, 2007) who think this fact is due to the elevated concentrations of these
30
31 compounds in breast milk together with the higher food consumption in relation to body
32
33 weight. As a consequence and due to the dioxins and PCBs bioaccumulation capability
34
35 the accumulated doses of these contaminants are also higher in breast fed children.
36
37 Patandin et al. (1999) found that breast feeding during a period of six months increases
38
39 the PCDD/Fs and PCBs TEQ body burden by 12% in boys and 14% in girls until the
40
41 age of 25 years. However, evidence for the health advantages of breastfeeding and
42
43 recommendations for practice have continued to increase over the past decades. Breast
44
45 feeding provides all the energy and nutrients that the infant needs for the first months of
46
47 life, promotes sensory and cognitive development, protects the infant against infectious
48
49 and chronic diseases and reduces infant mortality due to common childhood illnesses
50
51 (León-Cava et al. 2002; Horta et al. 2007). Consequently, despite the presence of
52
53 PCDD/Fs and PCBs in breast milk and the risk those contaminants pose to the nursing
54
55 infant WHO supports and encourages breast-feeding due to their demonstrated benefits.
56
57
58
59
60

Conclusions

Levels of PCDD/Fs and indicator PCBs in the samples of infant formulae analyzed are low and similar to those found in the analysis of this kind of food in other countries, but yet they are lower than the levels found in breast-milk samples. Moreover, PCDD/Fs content is in all cases below the maximum limits established for the EU for the presence of these contaminants in dairy products. Estimated mean daily intake of PCDD/Fs and indicator PCBs as calculated in this work shows that exposure to these contaminants through the consumption of infant formulae is also low and below the tolerable daily intake values for the exposure to these contaminants. Although consumption of infant formulae is not supposed to be a risk due to the low levels of these contaminants found in this study, Health Departments, WHO and FSA encourage breastfeeding for the overall health and development of the infant on the basis of the convincing evidence. However the introduction of complementary feeding can increase this exposure to significant values. Furthermore, the observed differences between the diverse scenarios and percentiles studied show the importance of data selection when estimating exposure to chemicals. In fact, it is essential to know the uncertainties concerning intake estimation. In order to improve this situation it is necessary to publish guides collecting data about the food consumption of the infant population similar to those published by the Spanish government and the European Union regarding the consumption of food items of the average population.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology (Project AGL 2001-2533) and Gobierno de Aragón (Group A01/2003-2008). Author S.

1
2
3 Lorán was supported by a doctoral fellowship from the Spanish Ministry of Science and
4
5
6 Technology (FP 2001-2727).
7
8
9

10 **References**

11
12 Baars AJ, Theelen RMC, Janssen PJCM, Hesse JM, Van Apeldoorn ME, Meijerink
13
14 MCM, Verdam L, Zeilmaker, MJ. 2001. Re-evaluation of human toxicological
15
16 maximum permissible risk levels. Report no. 711701025, National Institute of Public
17
18 Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands.
19
20
21

22 Baars AJ, Bakker MI, Baumann RA, Boon, PE, Freijer JI, Hoogenboom LAP,
23
24 Hoogerbrugge R, van Klaveren JD, Kiem AKD, Traag WA, de Vries J. 2004. Dioxins,
25
26 dioxin-like PCBs and non-dioxin-like PCBs in foodstuffs: occurrence and dietary intake
27
28 in The Netherlands. *Toxicology Letters* 151: 51-61.
29
30
31

32 Bakker MI, Baars AJ, Baumann RA, Boon PE, Hoogerbrugge R. 2003. Indicator
33
34 PCBs in foodstuffs: occurrence and dietary intake in The Netherlands at the end of the
35
36 20th century. RIVM report no. 639102025/2003. National Institute of Public Health and
37
38 the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands.
39
40
41

42 Bocio A, Domingo JL, Falcó G, Llobet JM. 2007. Concentrations of
43
44 PCDD/PCDFs and PCBs in fish and seafood from the Catalan (Spain) market:
45
46 Estimated human intake. *Environment International* 33: 170–175.
47
48
49

50 Bordajandi LR, Martín I, Abad E, Rivera J, González MJ. 2006. Organochlorine
51
52 compounds (PCBs, PCDDs and PCDFs) in seafish and seafood from the Spanish
53
54 Atlantic Southwest Coast. *Chemosphere* 64: 1450-1457.

55 Bordajandi LR, Abad E, González MJ. 2008. Occurrence of PCBs, PCDD/Fs,
56
57 PBDEs and DDTs in Spanish breast milk: Enantiomeric fraction of chiral PCBs.
58
59 *Chemosphere* 70: 567–575.
60

1
2
3 Bueno M, Sarría A, Pérez-González, JM. 2006. Nutrición en Pediatría. 3^{er}
4 edition. Madrid, (España): Ergon.
5
6

7 Egan H, Kirk RS, Sawyer R, 1981. Pearson's Chemical Analysis of Foods. 8th
8 Ed. Essex, England.
9
10

11 Chovancová J, Kočan A, Jursa S. 2005. PCDDs, PCDFs and dioxin-like PCBs in
12 food of animal origin (Slovakia). Chemosphere 61: 1305-1311.
13
14

15 European Commission (EC), 2002. Commission Decision of 12 August 2002
16 implementing Council Directive 96/23/EC concerning the performance of analytical
17 methods and the interpretation of results. OJEC L221/8-36.
18
19

20 European Commission (EC), 2006. Commission regulation (EC) No. 1881/2006
21 of 19 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs.
22 OJEC L364/5-24.
23
24

25 European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). 2005. Opinion of the scientific panel
26 on contaminants in the food chain on a request from the commission related to the
27 presence of non-dioxin-like Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) in feed and food
28 (Question N° EFSA-Q-2003-114). Adopted on 8 November 2005. The EFSA Journal
29 284: 1-137.
30
31

32 Fernández MA, Gómara B, Bordajandi LR, Herrero L, Abad E, Abalos M,
33 Rivera J, González MJ. 2004. Dietary intakes of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins,
34 dibenzofurans and dioxinlike polychlorinated biphenyls in Spain. Food Additives and
35 Contaminants 21(10): 983-991.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Focant JF, Pirard C, Thielen C, De Pauw E. 2002. Levels and profiles of
44 PCDDs, PCDFs and cPCBs in Belgian breast milk. Estimation of infant intake.
45 Chemosphere 48: 763-770.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

1
2
3 Food Standards Agency UK, (FSA, UK). 2004. Dioxin and Dioxin-like PCBs in
4 Infant Formulae. Food Survey Information Sheet 49/04. Available:
5
6 <http://www.food.gov.uk/multimedia/pdfs/fsis6004.pdf>
7
8

9
10 Franch MA, Bedate Calderón P, Calvo Romero C. 2001. Recomendaciones de
11 ingesta durante el primer año de vida. *Anales Españoles de Pediatría* 54 (2): 153-156.
12
13

14
15 Freeman V, van't Hof M, Haschke F, Euro-Growth Study Group. 2000. Patterns
16 of Milk and Food Intake in Infants from Birth to Age 36 Months: The Euro-Growth
17 Study. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 31 (SSp 1 July): S76-S85.
18
19
20

21
22 Glynn AW, Atuma S, Aune M, Darnerud PO, Cnattingius S. 2001.
23 Polychlorinated Biphenyl Congeners as Markers of Toxic Equivalents of
24 Polychlorinated Biphenyls, Dibenzo-p-dioxins and Dibenzofurans in Breast Milk.
25 *Environmental Research* 86 (3): 217-228.
26
27
28
29

30
31 Gómara B, Bordajandi LR, Fernández MA, Herrero L, Abad E, Abalos M,
32 Rivera J, González, MJ. 2005. Levels and trends of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-
33 dioxins/furans (PCDD/Fs) and dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in Spanish
34 commercial fish and shellfish products, 1995-2003. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
35 *Chemistry* 53(21): 8406-8413.
36
37
38
39
40

41
42 Guan P, Tajimi M, Uehara R, Watanabe M, Oki I, Ojima T, Nakamura Y. 2006.
43 Congener profiles of PCDDs, PCDFs, and dioxin-like PCBs in the breast milk samples
44 in Tokyo, Japan. *Chemosphere* 62: 1161–1166.
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 Haschke F, Vant't Hof M. 2000. Foreword. *Journal of Pediatric*
52 *Gastroenterology and Nutrition*, 31 (SSp 1July): S1-S2
53

54
55 Haschke F, van't Hof MA, the Euro-Growth Study Group. 2000a. Euro-Growth
56 References for Breast-Fed Boys and Girls: Influence of Breast-Feeding and Solids on
57 Growth Until 36 Months of Age. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 31
58 (SSp 1July): S60-S71.
59
60

1
2
3 Haschke F, van't Hof MA, Euro-Growth Study Group. 2000b. Euro-Growth
4
5 References for Length, Weight, and Body Circumferences. *Journal of Pediatric*
6
7 *Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 31 (SSp 1July): S14-S38.

8
9
10 Horta BL, Bahl R, Martines J, Victora C. Evidence on the long-term effects of
11
12 breastfeeding: systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Geneva: World Health
13
14 Organization, 2007.

15
16
17 Hsu JF, Guo YL, Liu CH, Hu SC, Wang JN, Liao PC. 2007. A comparison of
18
19 PCDD/PCDFs exposure in infants via formula milk or breast milk feeding.
20
21 *Chemosphere* 66: 311-319.

22
23
24
25 Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA). 2002. Safety
26
27 Evaluation of Certain Food Additives and Contaminants. Polychlorinated
28
29 dibenzodioxins, Polychlorinated dibenzofurans, and coplanar Polychlorinated
30
31 biphenyls. WHO Food Additives Series 48. Available:
32
33 <http://www.fao.org/es/ESN/Jecfa/57corr.pdf>

34
35
36
37 Kersting M, Alexy U, Sichert-Hellert W, Manz F, Schöch G. 1998. Measured
38
39 consumption of commercial infant food products in German infants: results from the
40
41 DONALD study. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 27: 547-552.

42
43
44 Lázaro R, Bayarri S, Herrera A, Conchello P, Ariño A, Yagüe C, Pérez, C. 2002.
45
46 Estimated dietary intake of polychlorinated biphenyls and organochlorine pesticides
47
48 from Aragonese (NE Spain) diet. *Organohalogen Compounds* 55: 323-326.

49
50
51 León-Cava N, Lutter C, Ross J, Martin L. Quantifying the benefits of
52
53 breastfeeding: a summary of the evidence. Washington DC: Pan American Health
54
55 Organization, 2002.

1
2
3 Llobet JM, Martí-Cid R, Castell V, Domingo JL. 2008. Significant decreasing
4 trend in human dietary exposure to PCDD/PCDFs and PCBs in Catalonia, Spain.
5 Toxicology Letters 178: 117–126.
6
7

8
9
10 Lorán S, Bayarri S, Conchello P, Herrera A. 2007. Evaluation of GC-ion
11 trap-MS/MS methodology for monitoring PCDD/Fs in infant formulas. Chemosphere,
12 67, 513-520.
13
14

15
16
17 Patandin S, Dagnelie PC, Mulder PGH, Op de Coul E, van der Veen JE,
18 Weisglas-Kuperus N, Sauer, PJJ. 1999. Dietary exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls
19 and dioxins from infancy until adulthood: a comparison between breast-feeding,
20 toddler, and long-term exposure. Environmental Health Perspectives 107 (1): 45-52.
21
22
23

24
25
26 Paumgarten FJR, Cruz CM, Chahoud I, Palavinskas R, Mathar W. 2000.
27 PCDDs, PCDFs, PCBs and other organochlorine compounds in human milk from Rio
28 de Janeiro, Brazil. Environmental Research 83(3): 293-297.
29
30
31

32
33
34 Ramos L, Torre M, Laborda F, Marina ML. 1998. Determination of
35 polychlorinated biphenyls in soybean infant formulas by gas chromatography. Journal
36 of Chromatography A 823: 365-372.
37
38
39

40
41 Reis M.F, Miguel JMP, Pizarra MI, Sampaio C, Calheiros J. 2002. Infant
42 exposure to PCDD/Fs in Portugal: first results from an environmental health survey
43 program near Lisbon. Organohalogen Compounds 55: 247-249.
44
45
46

47
48 Sasamoto T, Tabebe H, Hashimoto T, Ushio F, Ibe A. 2006. Estimation of daily
49 intake of PCDDs, PCDFs and Co-PCBs from baby foods. Abstract. Shokuhin Eiseigaku
50 Zasshi 47 (4): 157-163.
51
52
53

54
55 Schecter A, Fürst P, Fürst C, Meemken HA, Groebel W, Vu DQ. 1989. Levels
56 of polychlorinated dibenzodioxins and dibenzofurans in cow's milk and in soy bean
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 derived infant formulas sold in the United States and other countries. *Chemosphere* 19
4
5 (1-6): 913-918.
6
7

8 Schuhmacher M, Domingo JL, Llobet JM, Kiviranta H, Vartiainen T. 1999.
9
10 PCDD/F concentrations in milk of nonoccupationally exposed women living in southern
11
12 Catalonia, Spain. *Chemosphere* 38: 995-1004.
13
14

15 Schuhmacher M, Domingo JL, Kiviranta H, Vartiainen T. 2004. Monitoring
16
17 dioxins and furans in a population living near a hazardous waste incinerator: levels in
18
19 breast milk. *Chemosphere* 57: 43-49.
20
21

22 Scientific Committee on Food (SCF). 2001. Opinion of the Scientific Committee
23
24 on Food on the risk assessment of dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs in food (update based
25
26 on new scientific information available since the adoption of the SCF opinion of 22
27
28 November 2000) (adopted by the SCF on 30 May 2001). CS/CNTM/DIOXIN/20 final.
29
30 Available: http://ec.europa.eu/food/fs/sc/scf/out90_en.pdf.
31
32
33

34 Szyrwińska K, Lulek J. 2007. Exposure to specific polychlorinated biphenyls
35
36 and some chlorinated pesticides via breast milk in Poland. *Chemosphere* 66: 1895-1903.
37
38

39 Tard A, Gallotti S, Leblanc JC, Volatier JL. 2007. Dioxins, furans and dioxin-
40
41 like PCBs: Occurrence in food and dietary intake in France. *Food Additives and*
42
43 *Contaminants* 24: 1007-1017.
44
45

46 van den Berg M, Birnbaum L, Bosveld ATC, Brunström B, Cook P, Feeley M,
47
48 Giesy JP, Hanberg A, Hasegawa R, Kennedy SW, Kubiak T, Larsen JC, van Leeuwen
49
50 FXR, Liem AKD, Nolt C, Peterson RE, Poellinger L, Safe S, Schrenk D, Tillitt D,
51
52 Tysklind M, Younes M, Wærn F, Zacharewski T. 1998. Toxic Equivalency Factors
53
54 (TEFs) for PCBs, PCDDs, PCDFs for Humans and Wildlife. *Environmental Health*
55
56 *Perspectives* 106 (12): 775-792.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 van't Hof MA, Haschke F, Euro-Growth Study Group. 2000. The Euro-Growth
4 Study: Why, Who, and How. Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition 31
5
6 (SSp 1July): S3-S13.
7
8

9
10 Wang SL, Lin CY, Guo YL, Lin LY, Chou, WL, Chang LW 2004. Infant
11 exposure to polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins, dibenzofurans and biphenyls (PCDD/Fs,
12 PCBs)-correlation between prenatal and postnatal exposure. Chemosphere 54: 1459-
13
14 1473.
15
16
17

18
19 Weijs PMJ, Bakker MI, Korver KR, van Goor Ghanaviztchi K, van Wijnen JH.
20 2006. Dioxin and dioxin-like PCB exposure of non-breastfed Dutch infants.
21 Chemosphere 64: 1521-1525.
22
23
24

25
26 World Health Organization, (WHO). 1998. Executive summary: Assessment of
27 the health risk of dioxins: reevaluation of the Tolerable Daily Intake (TDI). WHO
28 Consultation, May 25-29, 1998, Geneva, Switzerland.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table I. References of milk consumption quantities and body weight data used in the Scenario 1 obtained from the Euro-Growth Study (Freeman et al. 2000; Haschke et al. 2000b)

Age (months)	Infant formulae intake (ml)	Body weight (kg)					
		P 5		Mean		P 95	
		Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls
3	841	5.143	4.817	6.216	5.708	7.340	6.752
6	626	6.701	6.310	8.051	7.491	9.477	8.911
9	500	7.715	7.194	9.284	8.677	11.003	10.444
12	485	8.586	8.023	10.268	9.648	12.196	11.616

Table II. References of milk consumption quantities and body weight data used in the scenario 2 obtained from the DONALD Study (Kersting et al. 1998)

Age (months)	Infant formulae intake (g of dry wet)			Body weight (kg)	
	P 5	Mean	P 95	Boys	Girls
3	38.4	105.3	143.9	6.4	5.8
6	22.1	67.1	124.6	8.1	7.5
9	17.6	46.8	90.2	9.3	8.6
12	12.9	44.9	90.4	10.3	9.4

For Peer Review Only

Table III. PCDD/Fs (pg WHO-TEQ g⁻¹ fat) concentration in the infant formulae analyzed in this work and in samples analyzed in other countries.

Country	No. of samples	PCDD/Fs	Year of collection	Reference
Brazil	4	0.22-0.45*	1998	Päpke and Tritscher, 2000.
UK	96	0.2-0.4**	2003	FSA UK, 2004
Slovakia	4	0.64	2001	Chovancová et al. 2005
Taiwan	10	0.71	2000-2001	Hsu et al. 2007.
Spain	5 (Initial formula)	0.30	2004-2005	This study
	5 (Follow-on formula)	0.46		
	4 (Lactose-free formula)	0.43		

* pg I-TEQ g⁻¹ fat

** Sum of PCDD/Fs and dioxin-like PCBs

Table IV. Indicator PCBs in samples of infant formulae analyzed in this study.

PCB	Initial (n=5) (ng g ⁻¹ fat)		Follow-on (n=5) (ng g ⁻¹ fat)		Lactose-free (n=4) (ng g ⁻¹ fat)	
	Lower bound	Medium bound	Lower bound	Medium bound	Lower bound	Medium bound
28	0.17	0.17	0.53	0.53	0.35	0.35
52	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.06
101	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01
118	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	n.d.	0.01
153	n.d.	0.01	n.d.	0.01	n.d.	0.01
138	n.d.	0.01	0.01	0.02	n.d.	0.01
180	n.d.	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01
TOTAL						
Σ PCBs ngg⁻¹ fat	0.23	0.29	0.70	0.73	0.42	0.46
Σ PCBs ng g⁻¹ product	0.06	0.08	0.16	0.17	0.12	0.13

n.d.= non detected

Figure 1. Contamination pattern of the three kind of infant formulae analyzed. White bar represents follow-on formula. Grey bar represents lactose-free formula. Black bar represents initial formula.




Figure 2. Estimated intake of PCDD/Fs ($\text{pg WHO-TEQ/kg}^{-1} \text{ b.w. day}^{-1}$) in children up to one year of age through the consumption of infant formulae calculated with the lower (A) and medium (B) bound values of the samples analyzed. Whiskers above and below the mean value indicate the 5th and 95th percentiles.




Figure 3. Estimated intake of PCB ($\text{ng/kg}^{-1} \text{ b.w. day}^{-1}$) in children up to one year of age through the consumption of infant formulae calculated with the lower (A) and medium (B) bound values of the samples analyzed. Whiskers above and below the mean value indicate the 5th and 95th percentiles.




Figure 4. Estimated intake of PCDD/Fs ($\text{pg WHO-TEQ/ kg}^{-1} \text{ b.w. day}^{-1}$) and indicator PCB($\text{ng/kg}^{-1} \text{ b.w. day}^{-1}$) in children up to one year of age through the consumption of lactose-free infant formulae calculated with the lower (A) and medium (B) bound values of the samples analyzed. Whiskers above and below the mean value indicate the 5th and 95th percentiles.

