



HAL
open science

ANALYTICAL APPROACHES TO IDENTIFY POTENTIAL MIGRANTS IN POLYESTER-POLYURETHANE CAN COATINGS

Emma Bradley, Malcolm Driffield, James Guthrie, Nick Harmer, Peter
Kenneth Thomas Oldring, Laurence Castle

► **To cite this version:**

Emma Bradley, Malcolm Driffield, James Guthrie, Nick Harmer, Peter Kenneth Thomas Oldring, et al.. ANALYTICAL APPROACHES TO IDENTIFY POTENTIAL MIGRANTS IN POLYESTER-POLYURETHANE CAN COATINGS. Food Additives and Contaminants, 2009, 26 (12), pp.1602-1610. 10.1080/19440040903252256 . hal-00573886

HAL Id: hal-00573886

<https://hal.science/hal-00573886>

Submitted on 5 Mar 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



**ANALYTICAL APPROACHES TO IDENTIFY POTENTIAL
MIGRANTS IN POLYESTER-POLYURETHANE CAN COATINGS**

Journal:	<i>Food Additives and Contaminants</i>
Manuscript ID:	TFAC-2008-400.R1
Manuscript Type:	Special Issue
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Jun-2009
Complete List of Authors:	Bradley, Emma; The Food and Environment Research Agency Driffield, Malcolm; The Food and Environment Research Agency Guthrie, James; University of Leeds, Department of Colour Science Harmer, Nick; The Food and Environment Research Agency Oldring, Peter; Valspar Corporation Castle, Laurence; The Food and Environment Research Agency
Methods/Techniques:	Chromatographic analysis, GC/MS, LC/MS
Additives/Contaminants:	Cans, Coatings, Food contact materials
Food Types:	Canned foods

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Abstract

The safety of a polyester-polyurethane can coating has been assessed by using a suite of complementary analytical methods to identify and estimate the concentrations of potential chemical migrants. The polyester was based on phthalic acids and aliphatic diols. The polyisocyanate cross-linking agent was 1-isocyanato-3-isocyanatomethyl-3,5,5-trimethyl cyclohexane homopolymer (IPDI) blocked with methylethylketone oxime (MEKO) to make a one-part formulation. The overall migrate, obtained using solvent extraction of cured films, comprised almost completely of twelve cyclic and one linear polyester oligomers up to molecular weight 800 and containing up to six monomer units. These 13 oligomers were in a total of 28 isomeric forms. Other minor components detected were plasticisers and surfactants as well as impurities present in the starting materials. There was no detectable residue of either the blocked isocyanate ($< 0.01 \mu\text{g}/\text{dm}^2$) used as the starting substance or the unblocked isocyanate ($< 0.02 \mu\text{g}/\text{dm}^2$). The level of extractable IPDI was used as an indicator of the completeness of cure in experimental coatings. These studies revealed that there was an influence of time, temperature and catalyst content. Polymerisation was also influenced by the additives used and by the ageing of the wet coating formulation over several months. These studies allow parameters to be specified to ensure that commercial production coatings receive a full cure giving low migration characteristics.

Keywords: Can coatings; migration; analysis, safety evaluation, overall migrate

Introduction

The internal surface of metal cans used to pack foodstuffs is often coated to form a barrier between the food and the metal of the can. The most widely used coatings applied to metal substrates are epoxy resins which are based on bisphenol A diglycidyl ether (BADGE) formed by the reaction of bisphenol A with epichlorohydrin. As pressure, particularly commercial, increases to reduce exposure to bisphenol A, for which the safety evaluation is subject to frequent scrutiny and review (e.g. EFSA 2006, EFSA 2008; Health Canada, 2008) then alternative coating types are sought. Polyester-based coatings provide an alternative to epoxy-based resins. In these systems the three-dimensional coating network is built up from any combination of a number of poly-functional alcohol and carboxylic acid monomers (Deligny & Tuck 2000).

Safety and technical requirements

All food contact materials and articles, including coatings applied to metal substrates, should comply with the Framework Regulation (EC) No. 1935/2004 (EC 2004).

This Regulation allows for the introduction of implementing directives concerning specific groups of materials and articles (specific directives). For coatings one specific directive is in place for the control of BADGE as well as its hydrolysis and hydrochlorination products (EC 2005). For other coating types no specific measures have been introduced. The Council of Europe has prepared Resolutions for materials for which specific measures have not yet been introduced at EU level. One such area is coatings. The Resolution on coatings

1
2
3
4 ResAP (2004) 1 (Council of Europe 2004) has been prepared however this Resolution has
5
6 no legal status.
7
8
9

10
11 In addition to satisfying relevant legal safety requirements, described above, the coatings
12
13 must also satisfy a number of technical requirements, namely:
14

- 15 • Adhesion to the metal surface
- 16
- 17 • Flexibility to withstand the deformations involved in the can-making process
- 18
- 19 • Durability to withstand the heat treatment associated with the sterilisation of food
- 20
- 21 • Inertness - so that the coatings are not affected by, or do not affect, the food itself
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27

28 *Migration from polyester-based coatings*

29
30 As mentioned above, all materials and articles intended for contact with food should not
31
32 transfer their constituents at levels that may endanger human health. How this should be
33
34 demonstrated for coatings applied to metal substrates is not defined. In the absence of
35
36 demonstrated for coatings applied to metal substrates is not defined. In the absence of
37
38 specific legislation for coatings the legislation for plastics food contact materials (EC 2003)
39
40 is often used as a guide. The migration of known monomers and additives used to
41
42 manufacture the plastic is controlled by defining the substances that can be used in a
43
44 positive list. Where the toxicity of these substances has been assessed, specific migration
45
46 limits and/or maximum permitted quantities in the final material or article have been
47
48 assigned. In addition to the list of permitted substances in the plastics legislation, the 4th
49
50 amendment to Directive 2002/72/EC (EC 2007) includes the explicit provision that there is
51
52 a general requirement to assess the safety of all potential migrants, including impurities,
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 reaction products and breakdown products and the onus is on the business operator to do
5
6 so. Again although this Directive is applicable to plastics it can also be used as a guide for
7
8 other food contact materials and articles.
9
10

11
12
13
14 There are many different monomers used to prepare polyester resins and some of the most
15
16 common are given in Table 1. Using the plastics legislation as a guide then the migration
17
18 of these monomers should not exceed any migration limits assigned to them.
19
20

21
22
23 < Insert Table 1 about here >
24
25
26
27

28 Combinations of the different monomers provide a large number of possible polyester
29
30 oligomers that have the potential to migrate from the can coating into food. Small cyclic
31
32 oligomers have been reported as by-products of the polymerisation process and have been
33
34 seen to migrate into food simulants (Schaefer et al. 2004). In addition to the polyester
35
36 monomers and oligomers, any other additives used should not migrate at toxicologically
37
38 significant levels. Typical additives used to achieve the required technical function of the
39
40 coating are: cross-linking agents, catalysts, lubricants, wetting agents and solvents.
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 Work to minimise the migration from polyester-based coatings led to the development of
48
49 polyester-polyurethane (PEPU) coatings. These coatings are formulated using a
50
51 polyisocyanate to facilitate the cross-linking of the polymer network. The high reactivity of
52
53 the isocyanate moiety results in the creation of urethane linkages on curing. This results in
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 a more inert coating with an extensive 3-dimensional polymer network that should have
5
6 lower migration characteristics.
7
8
9

10
11 This paper describes an assessment of the safety of a PEPU coating taking into account the
12 migration of both the known starting substances included in the formulation as well as any
13 reaction and breakdown products that form during curing and storage. The analytical
14 approaches used in this assessment are described. The work was carried out within a 3-year
15 Defra LINK project. This project brought together academic expertise in coatings
16 formulation, food science, and chemical analysis at the University of Leeds and the Central
17 Science Laboratory (York), and industrial companies that develop and supply coatings
18 (Valspar), make cans for the food industry (Impress), and are major users of food cans
19 (Heinz). By working closely with industry partners the identities of previously unidentified
20 coating components could be proposed, removing many of the uncertainties that present
21 themselves in the safety assessment of such materials.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Analytical approach**

41
42
43
44 A test protocol to assess the safety of food contact can coatings has been described
45 (Bradley et al. 2008). This protocol contains a suite of analytical methods to identify and
46 quantify chemical migrants from polymeric coatings applied to metal substrates. This
47 includes FT-IR analysis for characterisation of coatings; the gravimetric determination of
48 the overall migrate and the total solvent (dichloromethane and acetonitrile) extractable
49 substances; screening analysis including gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS)
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 with headspace and liquid injection analysis to detect volatile and semi-volatile substances;
5
6 and liquid chromatography-time-of-flight-mass spectrometry (LC-TOF-MS) to detect any
7
8 polar and non-volatile substances. The techniques listed above facilitate the identification
9
10 of unknown substances present in the coating. In addition, for any safety evaluation, the
11
12 migration of any starting monomers and additives should also be determined. The test
13
14 protocol is outlined below.
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 *Test protocol*

- 22
23
24 1. Confirm the coating type by FT-IR spectroscopy.
25
26 2. Assemble, if possible, the formulation details along with a description of the
27
28 manufacturing process - especially with regard to the coating thickness, the
29
30 time/temperature conditions of stoving, and the intended food or beverage packaging
31
32 applications.
33
34
35 3. Determine the overall migration and total solvent extractables.
36
37
38 4. Determine the identities and estimated levels of any volatile potential migrants in the
39
40 coated panels by headspace GC-MS.
41
42
43 5. Determine the identities and estimated levels of any semi-volatile potential migrants
44
45 in the coated panels by solvent extraction followed by GC-MS.
46
47
48 6. Determine the identities and estimated levels of any polar and/or non-volatile
49
50 potential migrants in the coated panels by solvent extraction followed by LC-TOF-
51
52 MS
53
54 7. Based on the coating type identified in (1) an evaluation of the information in (2)
55
56 above and that derived from the generic screening procedures described in (3-6),
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

decide which, if any, specific chemical analyses should be performed to check these estimates. This evaluation and decision process should be documented.

Specific analyses should be carried out for those substances expected to be present from the formulation details or detected in the screening exercise which have been assigned exposure restrictions and/or specific migration limits. For example Directive 2002/72/EC, as amended, the Synoptic Document (see later) which contains the provisional list of monomers and additives notified to the European Commission as substances which may be used in the manufacture of plastics or coatings or listed in the Technical document No. 1 - List of substances to be used in the manufacture of coatings intended to come into contact with foodstuffs a supporting document to the Council of Europe Resolution). Where available standard methods (such as CEN standards or Technical Specifications) should be used to determine these substances. In cases where standard methods are not available then specific methods should be developed and validated in-house according to recognised validation protocols (e.g. Thompson et al. 2002).

Materials and methods

Chemicals

Dichloromethane, acetonitrile, methanol, ethyl acetate, ethanol, acetic acid, ammonium formate and formic acid were all obtained from Fisher (Loughborough, UK). d_{10} -Benzophenone, d_{10} -ethylbenzene and 2-ethylhexanoic acid were from Aldrich (Poole, UK). Isooctane was from BDH (Poole, UK). IPDI trimer and MEKO-blocked IPDI trimer (see later for full names) were provided by Valspar Corp. (Gruningen, Switzerland).

Coated metal panels

Samples of PEPU coated tin plate were provided by Valspar (Witney, UK) and C. Jiang (University of Leeds, UK). The wet (uncured) coating formulation and the individual ingredients used in the formulation were also supplied by Valspar.

Formulation details

The polyester polyurethane coating was described as containing a polyester resin, a blocked polyisocyanate resin, a cellulosic resin, an organotin solution, two wax additives, aromatic solvent, glycol ether acetate and aluminium paste. The panels were industrially stoved at 190°C for 12 min. The coating thickness on the panels provided was 8 µm.

The specific identities of the monomers used to prepare the polyester were not provided therefore when establishing the identities of the migratable oligomers then all possible combination of the acids and polyols given in Table 1 were considered.

The polyisocyanate used in this coating is 1-isocyanato-3-isocyanatomethyl-3,5,5-trimethyl cyclohexane homopolymer (IPDI). To make the wet coating one-pack (as opposed to 2-packs that need pre-mixing before application) the IPDI is blocked with methylethylketone oxime (MEKO). During high temperature stoving of the coating the MEKO blocking agent is released, evaporates, and leaves the isocyanate moieties free to participate in polymerisation and cross-linking reactions to form the cured film. If this process is

1
2
3
4 incomplete then unreacted MEKO-blocked IPDI trimer or residual free IPDI trimer could
5
6 remain in the cured coating and may then migrate into the packed foods.
7
8

9
10
11 For the other coating components only generic names were provided and therefore the
12
13 identities of any specific potential migrants could not be defined from this source. As it has
14
15 been reported in the scientific literature (Elss et al. 2004) that hydrolysis of organotin
16
17 catalysts can yield 2-ethylhexanoic acid then the concentration of this acid in the solvent
18
19 extracts was determined.
20
21

22 23 24 25 26 *Analytical screening*

27
28 The procedures to confirm coating type, overall migration, mass of the solvent extractable
29
30 substances and the identities of any potential migrants in the coatings are as described
31
32 elsewhere (Bradley et al. 2008).
33
34

35 36 37 *Targeted analysis*

38
39 *MEKO-blocked IPDI.* Triplicate portions of each panel (0.25 dm²) were cut into 1 cm x
40
41 1 cm pieces and extracted using acetonitrile (50 ml) for 18 hours at room temperature. The
42
43 acetonitrile was removed by evaporation and the residue was re-dissolved in 1 ml of
44
45 acetonitrile. Blank and over-spiked samples were treated in the same way to allow
46
47 recovery corrected concentrations to be calculated. Solvent standards (equivalent to 0 –
48
49 3.5 µg/dm²) were prepared and run alongside the samples. HPLC-MS analysis was
50
51 conducted using Waters Alliance 2695 HPLC apparatus and Micromass Quattro Ultima
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 mass detection using electrospray ionisation and the selected ion monitoring mode with m/z
5
6 928.7 $[M+H]^+$ for quantification and m/z 950.68 $[M+Na]^+$ for confirmation.
7
8
9

10
11 *IPDI trimer*. Methodology for the determination of residual IPDI trimer in cured panels
12
13 has been published elsewhere (Driffield et al. 2007). The same methodology was used
14
15 here.
16
17

18
19
20
21 *2-Ethylhexanoic acid*. Three 1 dm² sections were cut from three separate panels to form the
22
23 triplicate samples for analysis. The three samples were cut into 0.5 x 0.5 cm portions and
24
25 were extracted with ethanol containing 2-methylpentanol as an internal standard for 4 hours
26
27 at 60°C. The extracts were analysed by GC-MS using an Agilent MSD 5973 operated in
28
29 selected ion monitoring mode. Ions m/z 43 and 55 were monitored for the internal standard
30
31 and ions m/z 88 and 116 were monitored for the 2-ethylhexanoic acid. For quantification
32
33 purposes, blanks and solvent standards (range 0 - 1.5 µg/ml) were analysed in the same
34
35 batch.
36
37
38
39

40 41 42 **Results and discussion**

43 44 *Analytical screening*

45
46
47 *Headspace GC-MS*. No substances were detected in the headspace GC-MS analysis using
48
49 a method with a detection limit equivalent to a worst case migration of 1 µg/kg. This was
50
51 not unexpected since the high temperature stoving process (190°C for 12 min) is effective
52
53 at driving all volatiles out of the coating. No further work was necessary to determine the
54
55 specific migration of any volatile substances.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 *Solvent extraction followed by GC-MS.* GC-MS analysis of the solvent extracts identified
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Solvent extraction followed by GC-MS. GC-MS analysis of the solvent extracts identified seventeen potential migrants. No good library matches were obtained for fifteen of these substances. However the mass spectra obtained (e.g. Figure 1) were consistent with those expected for polyester oligomers. The polyester oligomers were also detected by LC-TOF-MS. Using this technique the identities of such substances can be proposed (see later). The best library matches for the other two solvent extractable substances were: butyl octadecanoate, and 2-[2-[4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenoxy]ethoxy]ethanol. Butyl octadecanoate is listed in the Synoptic Document. As mentioned previously the Synoptic Document contains the provisional list of monomers and additives notified to the European Commission as substances which may be used in the manufacture of plastics and coatings intended to come into contact with foodstuffs. The Synoptic document is no longer updated by the Commission and it is intended to be replaced in the future by a new database of evaluated substances. However the document was active at the time that this work was conducted and the status of the substances discussed hereafter has not changed. Therefore in the absence of any specific restrictions for the use of these substances information provided in the Synoptic Document was considered. Both butyl octadecanoate and 2-[2-[4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenoxy]ethoxy]ethanol are listed but no restrictions are given. Butyl octadecanoate has been assigned to SCF List 7 'Substances for which some toxicological data exist, but for which an ADI or a TDI could not be established. The additional data required is specified as 'hydrolysis data'. This is because being a simple ester of butanol with stearic acid, the substance can be expected to hydrolyse to innocuous substances in vivo, although the placement in List 7 means that this needs to be

1
2
3
4 demonstrated experimentally. 2-[2-[4-(1,1,3,3-Tetramethylbutyl)phenoxy] ethoxy]ethanol,
5
6 a non-ionic detergent, has been assigned to SCF List 9 'Substances and groups of
7
8 substances which could not be evaluated due to lack of specifications (substances) or to
9
10 lack of adequate description (groups of substances).' For both substances the levels present
11
12 in the coating would result in worst case migration levels of less than 10 µg/kg therefore
13
14 these were not considered further.
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 < Insert Figure 1 about here >
22
23
24
25

26 *Solvent extraction followed by LC-TOF-MS.* Mass spectral libraries exist for GC-MS data
27
28 and therefore the identification of any volatile and semi-volatile substances can be achieved
29
30 by comparison with library spectra. This is not the case for substances detected by LC-MS.
31
32 However the use of the accurate mass data generated by the LC-TOF-MS instrumentation
33
34 aids in the identification of the unknown compounds and those for which more than one
35
36 identity is proposed.
37
38
39
40
41

42 The TOF-MS data produced was processed using Agilent Molecular Feature Editor
43
44 software. This package examines the data, extracts chromatographic peaks and produces
45
46 mass spectra for those peaks. Figure 2 shows the total ion chromatogram (TIC) resulting
47
48 from the analysis of the acetonitrile extract of the polyester polyurethane coated panels.
49
50 Analysis was carried out at two fragmentor voltages. The higher the fragmentor value the
51
52 more likely it is that in-source fragmentation will occur producing accurate masses of
53
54 fragment ions to aid identification. The accurate masses of both the molecular and
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 fragment ions present in the extracts were located. Some of the chromatographic peaks
5
6 seen in the TIC obtained from the analysis of the extracts are very small, but were
7
8 pinpointed nevertheless, highlighting the power of TOF-MS.
9
10

11
12
13
14 < Insert Figure 2 about here >
15
16
17

18
19 A database of potential structures was prepared from the information known about the raw
20
21 materials used to prepare the coating. The monomers used to form the polyester resin listed
22
23 in the formulation were not specified and therefore a database was constructed. This
24
25 database contained combinations of all of the different diols and diacids that may be used to
26
27 make these polyester resins (Table 1). An excerpt from the database is shown in Table 2.
28
29 The accurate masses of each of the potential polyester oligomers, over one thousand
30
31 possible structures, were calculated. As well as the polyester oligomers, reaction products
32
33 of the polyester monomers with the IPDI trimer were also included in the database. Using
34
35 the software provided with the instrumentation the accurate masses of each of the peaks in
36
37 the chromatogram were compared with the calculated masses of the monomers, polyester
38
39 oligomers and reaction products. This database in conjunction with the TOF-MS data
40
41 (accurate mass determinations of molecular ion adducts and fragment ions) of the coating
42
43 extracts and the raw materials and wet coating formulations and MS data (not TOF)
44
45 reported in the literature (e.g. Schaefer & Simat 2004) was used to assign the identities of
46
47 the chromatographic peaks that were detected when the extracts were analysed by
48
49 electrospray operated in the positive mode. Searches of scientific literature and the Internet
50
51 were also carried out to propose possible identities for the substances detected in the
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 extracts which could not be assigned from the knowledge of the starting materials used in
5
6 the manufacture of the coating.
7
8
9

10
11 < Insert Table 2 about here >
12
13

14
15
16 The polyester oligomers identified in the coating extracts are given in Table 3. Analysis of
17
18 the TOF-MS data identified 28 polyester oligomers, i.e. more than double the number listed
19
20 in Table 3. This is due to isomeric forms of the oligomers that chromatograph differently.
21
22 These isomers can arise from (a) using different isomers of starting substances (e.g. ortho,
23
24 meta or para-phthalic acid or isomeric polyols); (b) oligomers having the same composition
25
26 but different structures (e.g. linear PA-EG-PA-EG-PA-NPG versus linear PA-EG-PA-
27
28 NPG-PA-EG); (c) from two or more oligomers having the same empirical formula but a
29
30 different identity (e.g. 3PA+2EG+NPG and 3PA+3PG both have the formula $C_{33}H_{30}O_{12}$)
31
32 and; (d) from diastereoisomers formed when incorporating the chiral 1,3-propylene glycol
33
34 monomer. The confidence in the identification is good as all mass error values (difference
35
36 between the experimental and theoretical masses) are less than 5 ppm. This means for
37
38 example, an error of less than 3 milliDaltons on a molecular mass ion of 600 Daltons.
39
40 Analysis revealed the polyester was based on phthalic acid esterified with five of the
41
42 polyols listed in Table 1. Twelve of the thirteen oligomers are cyclic and only one is linear.
43
44 This is because cyclic oligomers lack any free functional group, cannot be incorporated into
45
46 the polymeric network of the coating, and so can accumulate as unreactive by-products in
47
48 the cured coating.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

< Insert Table 3 about here >

The identity of those peaks that could not be assigned as polyester oligomers were proposed based on the knowledge of the coating manufacturer as well as the analysis of the starting materials used to make the lacquer. Identities were proposed for a plasticiser, dioctyl sebacate, a surfactant, nonylphenol(ethoxylate)₅ and di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate which was also detected as an impurity in the aluminium paste starting material. Other peaks were detected in the extracts however their identities could not be proposed from the molecular formulae generated. Therefore although LC-TOF-MS goes some way towards the identification of the otherwise unknown substances it was not possible to identify every solvent extractable substance present in the polyester polyurethane coating.

Targeted analysis

2-Ethylhexanoic acid. No 2-ethylhexanoic acid was detected in the ethanol extracts of the commercial panels (limit of detection 0.1 µg/dm² which is equivalent to a migration of 0.6 µg/kg assuming the conventional food contact ratio of 6 dm² food contact material to 1 kg food).

MEKO-blocked IPDI trimer analysis. PEPU coated tinplate prepared commercially gave no detectable migration of the MEKO-blocked IPDI trimer using a method of analysis with a detection limit of 0.01 µg/dm². This confirms that under the stoving conditions used the MEKO blocking agent is completely released generating the free IPDI trimer.

1
2
3
4 *IPDI trimer analysis.* PEPU coated tinplate prepared commercially gave no detectable
5 migration of IPDI trimer using a method of analysis with a detection limit of 0.02 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dm}^2$.
6
7 This indicates that as the IPDI-MEKO unblocks, the free IPDI trimer liberated then reacts
8
9 quantitatively to form the cross-linked polymer network. Separate studies indicated that,
10
11 even if migration did occur at these low levels, the IPDI trimer would not be expected to
12
13 persist in canned aqueous or fatty foodstuffs as it would hydrolyse to the corresponding
14
15 aliphatic amine or react with food components to destroy the isocyanate moiety (Driffield
16
17 et al. 2007).
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 As well as measuring the concentration of the free IPDI trimer in the commercially applied
27
28 can coatings, the methodology developed by Driffield et al. (2007) was used in laboratory
29
30 studies to identify those factors affecting the residual free IPDI trimer concentrations in
31
32 polyester polyurethane coated metal panels. A model coating formulation was used with
33
34 laboratory cure conditions to ensure some residual isocyanate in order that parameters
35
36 affecting residual levels could be evaluated. In this way industry could ascertain the
37
38 acceptable tolerances in the curing parameters and in the starting materials used that need to
39
40 be met for a coating to be considered as suitable for food contact applications. The
41
42 following were investigated:
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 *The effect of curing time and temperature.*

52 Figure 3 shows the effect of both the curing time and curing temperature on the free IPDI
53
54 concentration detected in laboratory prepared experimental coatings. As expected the
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 longer the curing time and the higher the curing temperature the greater the extent of the
5
6 cure and the lower the level of residual free IPDI trimer in the coating.
7
8
9

10
11 < Insert Figure 3 about here >
12
13

14 15 16 *The effect of pigment*

17
18 To produce a white rather than a grey PEPU coating, a titanium dioxide pigment with and
19 without extender was introduced. The presence of this pigment in the coating resulted in an
20 increase in the level of the residual free IPDI trimer in laboratory prepared coated panels
21 (Jiang et al. 2009a). This means that the pigment had interfered with (retarded) the
22 polymerisation process somehow. The extent of the effect was grade specific and suggests
23 that surface treatments or impurities are responsible rather than the TiO₂ itself. This should
24 be borne in mind in setting specifications for the pigments used. Any changes in raw
25 materials should be tested for their effects on migration and technical performance.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 41 *The effect of waxes*

42 A higher concentration of residual free IPDI trimer was detected in the samples without the
43 wax slip agents added – meaning less complete polymerisation. For the experimental
44 coatings studies the concentrations of the IPDI trimer increased from 6 to 45 µg/dm² when
45 the wax slip agent was excluded from the formulation. It may be that the presence of these
46 additives causes the film to remain a little more fluid in the end stages of the polymerisation
47 process, before the film turns into a rigid 3-dimensional network, and this fluidity allows
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 the last vestiges of reactants to diffuse, meet and react. This is just conjecture however and
5
6 the effect may merit further study.
7
8
9

10 11 *The effect of catalyst level*

12
13
14 Increasing the amount of catalyst in the formulation resulted in a reduction in the free IPDI
15
16 trimer levels in laboratory prepared coatings. Although the commercially applied coatings
17
18 gave no detectable migration, changes in formulation may be better controlled using a
19
20 higher concentration of catalyst.
21
22
23

24 25 *The effect of ageing the wet lacquer*

26
27
28 Coated panels were prepared using freshly prepared wet coating and wet coating that had
29
30 been allowed to stand for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 months at room temperature prior to mixing
31
32 and application (Jiang et al. 2009b). Wet coatings are routinely supplied with a six month
33
34 shelf-life and therefore this was consistent with practices employed on a commercial scale.
35
36 The results obtained are shown in Figure 4. The residual free IPDI trimer concentration in
37
38 the coating increased to $> 300 \mu\text{g}/6 \text{ dm}^2$ when panels were coated with the 'old' wet
39
40 coating. The addition of extra catalyst to aged wet coatings prior to pre-mixing and
41
42 application resulted in lower levels of free IPDI trimer in the cured coating. Possible
43
44 explanations for this effect are that the organotin catalyst degrades during storage or that
45
46 the free hydroxyl content of the resin decreases reducing the number of polymerisation
47
48 sites. Although these findings were for laboratory prepared coated panels it was
49
50 recommended that the shelf-life of the commercial coatings should be closely monitored.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

< Insert Figure 4 about here >

Conclusions

Combination of the analytical approaches described should be used by the responsible industries to demonstrate due diligence in the assessment of the food contact materials and articles that they produce/use. This is especially true when new products and new formulations are proposed for commercialisation. Testing should involve targeted analysis of known starting materials as well as screening analyses to identify any impurities, reaction products or breakdown products. Although analytical methodology continues to advance, close collaboration between analytical testing laboratories and the manufacturers is required to facilitate a more complete assessment of the potential migrants present. Even with this collaboration it is unlikely that every peak in the chromatograms will be identified and therefore other complementary approaches may also need to be considered to assess the safety of the overall migrate. These could include concepts advocated elsewhere, such as toxicological evaluation of the whole migrate (if feasible) and/or threshold concepts such as threshold of regulation or thresholds of toxicological concern.

Acknowledgements

This work was carried out as a part of a Defra LINK project: FQS45 'New technologies and chemistries for food can coatings'. Funding by Defra and matching funds in kind from

1
2
3
4 Valspar Corporation, Impress Group and H.J. Heinz are gratefully acknowledged. We also
5
6 thank Agilent Technologies for the loan of the LC-TOF-MS instrumentation. The contents
7
8 of this paper are the responsibility of the authors alone and should not be taken to represent
9
10 the views of the supporting organisations.
11
12

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 **References**

20
21
22
23 Bradley EL, Driffield M, Harmer N, Oldring PKT, Castle, L. 2008. Identification of
24
25 Potential Migrants in Epoxy Phenolic Can Coatings. *International Journal of Polymer*
26
27 *Analysis and Characterisation*. 13:200-223.
28
29

30
31
32
33 Council of Europe Framework Resolution ResAP (2004) 1 on coatings intended to come
34
35 into contact with foodstuffs.
36
37

38
39
40 Deligny P, Tuck N. 2000. Resins for surface coatings, Volume II, Alkyds and polyesters,
41
42 John Wiley and Sons edited by PKT Oldring.
43
44

45
46
47 Driffield M, Bradley EL, Castle L. 2007. A method of test for residual isophorone
48
49 diisocyanate trimer in new polyester-polyurethane coatings on light metal packaging using
50
51 liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometric detection. *Journal of*
52
53 *Chromatography A*. 1141:61-66.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 EFSA. 2006. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Food Additives, Flavourings, Processing
5 Aids and Materials in Contact with Food on a request from the Commission related to 2,2-
6 bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)propane (Bisphenol A). Question number EFSA-Q-2005-100.
7
8
9 Adopted on 29 November 2006. *EFSA Journal*. 428:1-75.
10
11
12

13
14
15
16 EFSA. 2008. EFSA updates advice on bisphenol A.
17
18 www.efsa.europa.eu/EFSA/efsa_locale-1178620753812_1211902017373.htm
19
20

21
22
23 Elss S, Grünewald L, Richling, E, Schreier P. 2004. Occurrence of 2-ethylhexanoic acid in
24 foods packed in glass jars. *Food Additives & Contaminants*. 21:811-814.
25
26
27

28
29
30 EC. 2003. Corrigendum to Commission Directive 2002/72/EC of 6 August 2002 relating
31 to plastic materials and articles intended to come into contact with foodstuffs. *Official*
32 *Journal of the European Union*. L39:1.
33
34
35

36
37
38 EC. 2004. Regulation (EC) No. 1935/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council
39 of 27 October 2004 on materials and articles intended to come into contact with food and
40 repealing Directives 80/590/EEC and 89/109/EEC. *Official Journal of the European*
41 *Union*. L338:4.
42
43
44
45
46
47

48
49
50 EC. 2005. Commission Regulation (EC) No. 1895/2005 of 18 November 2005 on the
51 restriction of use of certain epoxy derivatives in materials and articles intended to come into
52 contact with food. *Official Journal of the European Union*. L302:28.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 EC. 2007. Corrigendum to Commission Directive 2007/19/EC of 30 March 2007
8
9 amending Directive 2002/72/EC relating to plastic materials and articles intended to come
10
11 into contact with food and Council Directive 85/572/EEC laying down the list of simulants
12
13 to be used for testing migration of constituents of plastic materials and articles intended to
14
15 come into contact with foodstuffs. *Official Journal of the European Union*. L97:50.
16
17
18
19

20
21 Health Canada. 2008. Government of Canada Protects Families With Bisphenol A
22
23 Regulations.
24

25
26 http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/media/nr-cp/_2008/2008_167-eng.php
27
28
29

30
31 Jiang, C, Driffield, M, Bradley, EL, Castle, L, Oldring, PKT, Guthrie, JT. 2009a. The
32
33 behaviour of MEKO-blocked isocyanate compounds in pigmented, polyester-polyurethane
34
35 can coating systems. *Journal of Coatings Technology and Research*. In press.
36
37
38
39

40
41 Jiang, C, Driffield, M, Bradley, EL, Oldring, PKT, Cooke, P, Castle, L, Guthrie, JT.
42
43 2009b. Studies of the ageing effect on the level of isocyanate residues in polyester-based
44
45 can coating systems. *Journal of Coatings Technology and Research*. In press.
46
47
48

49
50 Schaefer, A, Ohm, VA, Simat TJ. 2004. Migration from can coatings: Part 2.
51
52 Identification and quantification of migrating cyclic oligoesters below 1000 Da. *Food*
53
54 *Additives and Contaminants*. 21, 377-389.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Thompson, M, Ellison, S LR, Wood, R. 2002. Harmonized guidelines for single-
5 laboratory validation of methods of analysis. IUPAC Technical Report. *Pure and Applied*
6
7
8
9 *Chemistry*. 74:835-855.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review Only

List of Figures

Figure 1. Typical mass spectrum of a polyester oligomer detected by GC-MS analysis of the PEPU solvent extract

Figure 2. Total ion chromatogram of the acetonitrile extract of a polyester-polyurethane coated tinplate

Figure 3. The effect of curing time and temperature on residual free IPDI trimer levels

Figure 4. Effect of wet coating age on residual free IPDI trimer concentrations in an experimental coating

Figure 1. Typical mass spectrum of a polyester oligomer detected by GC-MS analysis of the PEPU solvent extract




Figure 2. Total ion chromatogram of the acetonitrile extract of a polyester-polyurethane coated tinplate




Figure 3. The effect of curing time and temperature on residual free IPDI trimer levels




Figure 4. Effect of wet coating age on residual free IPDI trimer concentrations in an experimental coating




Table 1. Commonly used monomers for polyester resins

Name	Abbreviation	Formula	Type
Ethylene glycol	EG	C ₂ H ₆ O ₂	Alcohol
Propanediol, 1,2- and 1,3- isomers	PG	C ₃ H ₈ O ₂	Alcohol
1,3-Butanediol (+ isomers)	BD	C ₄ H ₁₀ O ₂	Alcohol
Ethylene glycol dimer	EGD	C ₄ H ₁₀ O ₄	Alcohol
2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-propanediol	NPG	C ₅ H ₁₂ O ₂	Alcohol
1,6-Hexanediol	HD	C ₆ H ₁₄ O ₂	Alcohol
1,1,1-Tris(hydroxymethyl) propane	HMP	C ₆ H ₁₄ O ₃	Alcohol
1,4-Bis(hydroxyl-methyl) cyclohexane	CHDM	C ₈ H ₁₆ O ₂	Alcohol
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane-1,3-diol	TMP	C ₈ H ₁₈ O ₂	Alcohol
Adipic acid	AA	C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₄	Carboxylic acid
Phthalic acids (1,2-, 1,3-, 1,4-isomers)	PA	C ₈ H ₆ O ₄	Carboxylic acid
Trimellitic acid (from the anhydride)	TMA	C ₉ H ₆ O ₆	Carboxylic acid

Formatted

Table 2. Excerpt from the polyester user-prepared database (see Table 1 for abbreviations)

-	-	AA	TMA	PA	CHDM	BD	EG	DEG	PG	HD	HMP	TMP	NPG	H ₂ O	MW
PA+EG	linear			<u>1</u>			<u>1</u>							<u>1</u>	210.0528
EG+PA+EG	linear			<u>1</u>			<u>2</u>							<u>2</u>	254.0790
PA+EG+PA+EG	linear			<u>2</u>			<u>2</u>							<u>3</u>	402.0951
PA+EG+PA+EG	cyclic			<u>2</u>			<u>2</u>							<u>4</u>	384.0845
PA+EG+PA+EG+PA	linear			<u>3</u>			<u>2</u>							<u>4</u>	550.1111
PA+EG+PA+EG+PA+NPG	linear			<u>3</u>			<u>2</u>						<u>1</u>	<u>5</u>	636.1843
PA+EG+PA+NPG+PA+EG	linear			<u>3</u>			<u>2</u>						<u>1</u>	<u>5</u>	636.1843
PA+PG+PA+PG+PA+PG	linear			<u>3</u>					<u>3</u>					<u>5</u>	636.1843
PA+PG+PA+PG+PA+PG	cyclic			<u>3</u>					<u>3</u>					<u>6</u>	618.1737

Table 3. Polyester oligomers identified using Molecular Feature Extraction and database searching

Deleted: 2

Deleted: Mass of compound ... [1]

<u>Mass of compound</u>	<u>Formula predicted</u>	<u>Mass error (ppm)</u>	<u>Proposed identity</u>	<u>Notes</u>	<u>Peak numbers</u>
384.0845	C₂₀H₁₆O₈	1.4	2PA+2EG	Cyclic	2
426.1315	C₂₃H₂₂O₈	1.4	2PA+EG+NPG	Cyclic	3,4,5
428.1107	C₂₂H₂₀O₉	1.1	2PA+EG+DEG	Cyclic	1
466.1630	C₂₆H₂₆O₈	0.36	2PA+CHDM+EG	Cyclic	13,15
468.1784	C₂₆H₂₈O₈	0.50	2PA+2NPG	Cyclic	6,7,10,11
508.2114	C₂₉H₃₂O₈	3.3	2PA+CHDM+NPG	Cyclic	19,20,23
618.1737	C₃₃H₃₀O₁₂	0.84	3PA+2EG+NPG or 3PA+3PG	Cyclic	8,9,12,14,16
660.2238	C₃₆H₃₆O₁₂	4.6	3PA+EG+2NPG	Cyclic	17,18,21
700.2520	C₃₉H₄₀O₁₂	0.82	3PA+CHDM+EG+NPG	Cyclic	25
702.2703	C₃₉H₄₂O₁₂	4.8	3PA+3NPG	Cyclic	24,26
704.2469	C₃₈H₄₀O₁₃	0.79	3PA+CHDM+2PG	Linear	22
742.3003	C₃₅H₅₀O₁₇	0.74	3PA+CHDM+2NPG	Cyclic	27
782.3330	C₄₅H₅₀O₁₂	4.2	3PA+2CHDM+NPG	Cyclic	28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Page 1: [1] Deleted		ebradley		6/23/2009 5:36:00 PM
Mass of compound	Formula predicted	Mass error (ppm)	Proposed identity	Notes
384.0845	C ₂₀ H ₁₆ O ₈	1.4	2PA+2EG	Cyclic
426.1315	C ₂₃ H ₂₂ O ₈	1.4	2PA+EG+NPG	Cyclic
428.1107	C ₂₂ H ₂₀ O ₉	1.1	2PA+EG+DEG	Cyclic
466.1630	C ₂₆ H ₂₆ O ₈	0.36	2PA+CHDM+EG	Cyclic
468.1784	C ₂₆ H ₂₈ O ₈	0.50	2PA+2NPG	Cyclic
508.2114	C ₂₉ H ₃₂ O ₈	3.3	2PA+CHDM+NPG	Cyclic
618.1737	C ₃₃ H ₃₀ O ₁₂	0.84	3PA+2EG+NPG or 3PA+3PG	Cyclic
660.2238	C ₃₆ H ₃₆ O ₁₂	4.6	3PA+EG+2NPG	Cyclic
700.2520	C ₃₉ H ₄₀ O ₁₂	0.82	3PA+CHDM+EG+NPG	Cyclic
702.2703	C ₃₉ H ₄₂ O ₁₂	4.8	3PA+3NPG	Cyclic
704.2469	C ₃₈ H ₄₀ O ₁₃	0.79	3PA+CHDM+2PG	Linear
742.3003	C ₃₅ H ₅₀ O ₁₇	0.74	3PA+CHDM+2NPG	Cyclic
782.3330	C ₄₅ H ₅₀ O ₁₂	4.2	3PA+2CHDM+NPG	Cyclic