



HAL
open science

Gender differences in coping strategies of undergraduate students and their impact on self-esteem and attainment

Julia Lawrence, Kelly Ashford, Paul Dent

► To cite this version:

Julia Lawrence, Kelly Ashford, Paul Dent. Gender differences in coping strategies of undergraduate students and their impact on self-esteem and attainment. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 2006, 7 (3), pp.273-281. 10.1177/1469787406069058 . hal-00571953

HAL Id: hal-00571953

<https://hal.science/hal-00571953>

Submitted on 1 Mar 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Gender differences in coping strategies of undergraduate students and their impact on self-esteem and attainment

JULIA LAWRENCE, KELLY ASHFORD & PAUL DENT

Brunel University, UK

ABSTRACT This study sought to investigate differences in the coping strategies adopted by male and female first year students in a higher education environment and the extent to which such strategies had an impact on self-esteem and attainment. Results revealed significant differences between males and females in terms of engagement in coping strategies and academic attainment. Specifically, males exhibited greater ability to detach themselves from the emotions of a situation, were more inclined to demonstrate emotional inhibition or 'bottling up' of emotions and reported higher self-esteem. In addition, it was observed that females attained at a significantly higher level than males. Practical implications and recommendations for future research are identified.

KEYWORDS: *coping styles, emotional control, rehearsal, self-esteem*

Introduction

The transition from post 16 to higher education for many students is a stressful experience as they struggle to cope with an array of changing situations including: movement away from home, change of peer group and the establishment of new friendships. Whilst for most this transition occurs naturally with limited interruptions to learning, for others, the transition

is more stressful requiring the adoption of strategies specifically aimed towards coping with the changing situation.

Coping represents an individual's cognitive, affective and behavioural efforts to manage specific external and/or internal demands (Crocker et al., 1998; Lazarus, 1999). Specifically, it can be defined as the 'conscious, rational way of dealing with the anxieties of life' (Reber, 1985: 158) and is reflected in the self-protection strategies adopted by the individual (Kashden et al., 2006). Effective application of such strategies allows the individual to 'resolve problems, relieve emotional distress, and stay on track towards achieving their goals' (Brown et al., 2005: 792). Ineffective application may result in 'subtle avoidance or suppressed behaviour' (Kashden et al., 2006: 1301).

Models of coping identify two distinct categories based on the intention and function of coping efforts: problem focused and emotion focused (Lazarus and Folkman, 1984). Problem focused coping refers to cognitive and behavioural efforts used to change the problem, and includes such strategies as problem solving, planning and effort (Holt et al., 2005). Therefore the focus is towards the successful completion of the given task. Emotion focused coping involves strategies that help control emotional arousal and distress that are caused by the stressor without addressing the problem (Crocker et al., 1998), and includes avoidance, detachment and suppression (Kashden et al., 2006). The ability of the individual to adopt appropriate coping strategies impacts upon their overall performance and consequently their level of attainment (Brown et al., 2005). More explicitly where coping is ineffective, performance is adversely affected and 'coping strategies can either buffer or aggravate these adverse effects, depending upon the tactics used' (Brown et al., 2005: 797).

Gender differences in the selection of coping strategies have been identified, with males adopting more problem focused strategies and females adopting a more emotion focused approach (Ptacek et al., 1994). Gender differences in terms of attainment have also been established. Smith and Naylor (2001) found that 53.3 per cent of females who left universities in 1993 obtained good degrees compared to only 45 per cent of males. In addition, it has been shown that girls value academic success and that being too successful at school could disadvantage some boys among their peers (Tinklin et al., 2001). Further, Tinklin (2003) suggests that females take education more seriously and tend to be better prepared, more conscientious, cooperative, organized and respectful. Males in contrast, are seen as ill prepared, competitive, disruptive, overconfident and less attentive (Clark and Trafford, 1996; Warrington et al., 2000).

Links have also been established between coping strategies and self-esteem, both of which have the potential to impact upon the well being of

the individual (Lane et al., 2002; Rector and Roger, 1996). Further, it has been suggested that low self-esteem may lead to performance deficits, negative mood states and motivational deficits, which in turn lead to a reduction in the exercise of adaptive problem-orientated coping and the relative infrequent opportunity to gain successes and bolster self-esteem (Ader et al., 1991). Self-esteem may also influence coping responses that seek to deal with or avoid stressors. Avoidance generates negative self-evaluations owing to the inherently undesirable qualities of this behaviour, which create bad feelings and failure to obtain personal growth (Bednar et al., 1989). The preoccupation with emotional upset may inhibit individuals with low self-esteem from engaging in assertive and adaptive coping behaviours to combat stress.

Whilst the concepts identified above have been assessed within a higher education context this has taken place in relative isolation, thus there is a shortage of literature regarding the relationship between coping strategies, self-esteem and attainment. Further, whilst gender differences have been established this has occurred primarily within the secondary and further education setting and therefore a need arises to identify if similar trends occur within higher education.

Within the current higher education environment there is a drive towards the development of greater independence and personal reflection requiring students to take ownership of their learning. In order to do so, it is important that they are able to adopt appropriate coping strategies. Therefore, a need arises to understand and identify the coping strategies adopted by students and the extent to which these impact upon their self-esteem and level of attainment. This will allow the identification and implementation of support mechanisms where appropriate.

Given the importance of the first year (students are more likely to drop out at this level), this study focuses on the coping strategies adopted by a cohort of first year undergraduates and the extent to which these impacted on self-esteem and attainment. Specifically, the following research questions were addressed. What are the nature and type of coping strategies adopted by first year students? To what extent are coping strategies linked to self-esteem and attainment? Are gender differences present in respect of coping strategies, self-esteem and attainment?

Methods

Participants

One hundred and sixty (58 female and 102 male) first year sport sciences undergraduate students participated in the study. The students were aged between 18 and 20 years of age.

Instruments

York Self-Esteem Inventory (YSEI: Rector and Roger, 1996). The scale comprises 30 items measuring global self-esteem. Items reflect various evaluative self-domains including personal, interpersonal, familial, achievement, physical attractiveness and the degree of evaluative uncertainty across these domains. Preliminary psychometric examination of the scale (Rector and Roger, 1993) has revealed strong internal reliability ($t = 0.86$) and test–retest reliability (0.83). The self-esteem has also been shown to correlate highly significantly with established indices of self-esteem including the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (1965).

Emotional Control Questionnaire (ECQ: Roger and Najarian, 1989). The ECQ comprises four factors: Rehearsal, Emotion Inhibition, Aggression Control, and Benign Control. Rehearsal examines the degree to which a person broods over past threats and failures. Emotional Inhibition measures the willingness of participants to express emotion. Aggression Control is a measure of the degree to which aggression is controlled, and finally Benign Control is a measure of impulsivity. Factors have been shown to possess satisfactory internal consistency (Rehearsal: 0.86; Emotional Inhibition: 0.77; Benign Control: 0.79; Aggression Control: 0.81) and test–retest reliability (Rehearsal: 0.80; Emotional Inhibition: 0.79; Benign Control: 0.92; Aggression Control: 0.73).

Coping Styles Questionnaire (CSQ: Roger et al., 1993). The CSQ comprises three factors – a bipolar scale entitled Detached/Emotional coping, a Rational dimension and an Avoidant coping factor. The factors have been shown to possess acceptable internal consistency (range: 0.69–0.85,) and good test–retest reliability over a 3-month period (range: 0.70–0.80).

Procedure

Participants were asked to complete a questionnaire package containing a consent and demographic form, and the YSEI, ECQ and CSQ at the end of the 2004/5 Spring Semester. During the administration of the questionnaires one of the authors was always present to answer any questions that arose. Finally, at the end of the academic year attainment grades for each participant were obtained from a central university source. Grades were averaged and grouped according to degree classification criteria.

Data analysis

Data analyses comprised four phases. Initially, data were screened for univariate and multivariate outliers using standardized z-scores and the Mahalanobis Distance test respectively. Second, the distributional properties

of the data were assessed. Third, in order to determine whether gender differences in coping style and attainment were present and hence, whether to run separate regression analyses a MANOVA and t-test were conducted. Finally, multiple regressions were conducted to explore the relationship between attainment grade and coping styles.

Results

Data screening and descriptive statistics

Data screening revealed no univariate or multivariate outliers. Descriptive statistics can be found in Table 1.

Gender differences in coping style and attainment

Results of the 2 (gender) \times 8 (coping styles) MANOVA revealed a significant difference between males and females, Wilks' Lambda = 0.86, $F(8, 151) = 3.11$, $p < 0.01$, $\eta^2 = 0.14$. Specifically, follow-up univariate analysis revealed significant differences in self-esteem, $F = 4.85$, $p < 0.05$, $\eta^2 = 0.03$, detachment $F = 12.48$, $p < 0.01$, $\eta^2 = 0.07$, and emotional inhibition, $F = 4.16$, $p < 0.05$, $\eta^2 = 0.03$. In all cases, males scored significantly higher than females (see Table 1 for descriptive statistics).

Finally, a Mann-Whitney U-test revealed that female students attained a significantly higher final grade than their male counterparts (see Table 1). Based on these results separate regression analyses were conducted for male and female participants.

Table 1 Descriptive statistics for the YSEI, ECQ, CSQ and Final Grade

Instruments	Factors	Male		Female	
		M	SD	M	SD
YSEI	Self-esteem	111.58	14.85	106.21	14.86
ECQ	Rehearsal	5.72	3.42	6.41	3.35
	Emotional inhibition	7.08	3.20	6.03	2.96
	Aggression control	7.25	2.90	7.88	3.06
	Benign control	6.48	2.99	6.74	2.43
CSQ	Detachment/emotional coping	40.30	8.82	35.22	8.60
	Rationalization	15.74	3.75	15.07	4.16
	Avoidance	11.60	5.03	10.90	4.36
Attainment	Grade	4.97	0.84	5.34	0.76

Key: Grade: 1 = < 20%, 2 = 20–29%, 3 = 30–39%, 4 = 40–49%, 5 = 50–59%, 6 = 60–69%, 7 = 70–79%, 8 = > 80%

Regression analyses for male and female participants

Regression analyses revealed that none of the coping strategies were significant predictors of final grade (see Table 2).

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to investigate the coping strategies adopted by first year undergraduate students. Specifically, it addressed the impact they had on self-esteem and academic attainment and whether any gender differences were present.

In contrast to previous research (Brown et al., 2005), results showed no relationship between the coping strategies adopted and attainment in this first year cohort. This may be reflective of the level of study, which may not meet the academic level of initial student perceptions, allowing them to cope effectively with the academic demands placed upon them. Such a premise supports previous research (Folkman and Lazarus, 1985) demonstrating that variance in the strategies adopted by individuals was dependent upon the perceived demands placed upon them.

Table 2 Standard linear regression analyses to predict grade from YSEI, ECQ, CSQ subscales (N = 160)

<i>Gender</i>	<i>Dependent variable</i>	<i>Independent variable</i>	<i>Standardized Beta</i>
Male	Grade	Self-esteem	-0.14
		Rehearsal	0.14
		Emotional inhibition	0.03
		Aggression control	0.20
		Benign control	-0.01
		Detachment/emotional coping	-0.13
		Rationalization	0.14
		Avoidance	-0.13
$R = 0.30, R^2 = 0.09$			
Female	Grade	Self-esteem	0.18
		Rehearsal	-0.14
		Emotional inhibition	-0.26
		Aggression control	0.12
		Benign control	-0.14
		Detachment/emotional coping	-0.07
		Rationalization	0.10
		Avoidance	0.18
$R = 0.33, R^2 = 0.11$			

* $p < 0.05$

Analysis revealed gender to be a key determinant in academic success, with females attaining at a significantly higher level than males. Such findings are consistent with previous research (Richardson and Woodley, 2003; Smith and Naylor, 2001; Tinklin, 2003). Significant differences were also seen in self-esteem and coping styles between males and female participants, with males exhibiting higher self-esteem, greater ability to detach themselves from the emotions of a situation and more inclination to demonstrate emotional inhibition or 'bottling up' of emotions. In line with Ptacek et al. (1994) this suggests that in terms of the coping strategies adopted, males have a tendency to avoid using emotion-focused approaches in contrast to females who predominantly use this approach. Further, the results lend support to the notion that individuals high in self-esteem tend to perceive situations as controllable and react with a strategy aimed at changing the cause of the problem (Dodgson and Wood, 1989).

Lecturers may find it useful to expand students coping repertoires in relation to both problem- and emotion-strategies in order to address coping weaknesses in students. This may form part of the pastoral support structure within which students are encouraged to reflect upon academic progress and set appropriate goals for future development. Such practice may enhance student retention and their overall cognitive development (Fertig, 2003; Ul-Haq et al., 2003). Further, 'a support system for each and every student [should not be seen] as an optional extra but as an essential part of the fabric of every university faculty' (Owen, 2002: 7).

In spite of this, lecturers need to look towards the introduction of methods designed to encourage students to reflect upon their individual progress in order to identify areas of development (Cowan, 2006; Hinett, 2002). This may include the use of reflective journals as a basis for discussion during tutorial support or by the establishment within modules of support group networks. In addition, students should be encouraged to use the support mechanisms as a matter of course rather than turning to them when they have a problem. The implemented strategies should be reviewed on a longitudinal basis utilizing both quantitative and qualitative methods. This would enable the monitoring of changes in both self-esteem and coping through the course of the academic year and the resulting impact on academic attainment to be established.

Given the small sample size and the focus towards a single discipline, our future research will seek to recruit participants across degree courses from a number of universities in order that a more equal gender distribution is achieved allowing inferences about gender effects to be more valid, and to establish what effect, if any, the discipline has upon the strategies employed. Further, consideration needs to be given with regard to whether females are able to utilize emotion-focused coping more

effectively resulting in academic success or whether academic success negates the need to employ such strategies. Finally, consideration needs to be given to age of the participants. Future studies will look at the coping strategies adopted by students across levels of study, how these coping strategies change over the period of study, and whether age at entry to study impacts on the strategies adopted.

References

- ADER, R., COHEN, N. & FELTON, D. (1991) *Psychoneuroimmunology*, 2nd edn. San Diego, CA: San Diego Academic Press.
- BEDNAR, R. L., WELLS, M. & PATTERSON, S. R. (1989) *Self-Esteem: Paradoxes and Innovations in Clinical Theory and Practice*. Washington DC: American Psychological Association.
- BROWN, S. P., WESTBROOK, R. A. & CHALLAGALLA, G. (2005) 'Good Cope, Bad Cope: Adaptive and Maladaptive Coping Strategies Following a Critical Negative Work Event', *Journal of Applied Psychology* 90(4): 792–98.
- CLARK, A. & TRAFFORD, J. (1996) 'Return to Gender: Boys' and Girls' Attitudes and Achievements', *Language Learning Journal* 14: 40–69.
- COWAN, J. (2006) 'Facilitating Development through Varieties of Reflection'. http://www.heacademy.ac.uk/embedded_object.asp?id=21690&filename=Cowan [accessed 19 June 2006].
- CROCKER, P. R. E., KOWALSKI, K. C. & GRAHAM, T. R. (1998) 'Measurement of Coping Strategies in Sport', in J. L. Duda (ed.) *Advances in Sport and Exercise Psychology Measurement*, pp. 149–64. Morgantown, WV: Fitness Information Technology.
- DODGSON, P. G. & WOOD, J. V. (1998) 'Self-esteem and the Cognitive Accessibility of Strengths and Weaknesses after Failure', *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 75(1): 178–97.
- FERTIG, M. (2003) 'Managing Tutorial Provision in Further Education'. Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the British Educational Research Association. <http://www.bath.ac.uk/education/profiles/mfertig.htm> [accessed 14 February 2006].
- FOLKMAN, S. & LAZARUS, R. S. (1985) 'If it Changes it Must be a Process: Study of Emotion and Coping during Three Stages of a College Examination', *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 48(1): 150–70.
- HINETT, K. (2002) 'Improving Learning through Reflection Part II'. www.heacademy.ac.uk/embedded_object.asp?id=21764&filename=Hinett [accessed 19 June 2006].
- HOLT, N. L., HOAR, S. D. & FRASER, S. (2005) 'Developmentally-based Approaches to Youth Coping Research: A Review of Literature', *European Journal of Sports Sciences* 5(1): 25–39.
- KASHDEN, T. B., BARRIOS, V., FORSYTH, J. P. & STEGER, M. P. (2006) 'Experiential Avoidance as a Generalized Psychological Vulnerability: Comparisons with Coping and Emotion Regulation Strategies', *Behaviour Research and Therapy* 44(9): 1301–20.
- LANE, A. M., JONES, L. & STEVENS, M. (2002) 'Coping with Failure: The Effects of Self-esteem and Coping on Changes in Self-efficacy', *Journal of Sport Behavior* 25(4): 331–45.

- LAZARUS, R. S. (1999) *Stress and Emotion: A New Synthesis*. New York: Springer.
- LAZARUS, R. S. & FOLKMAN, S. (1984) *Stress, Appraisal and Coping*. New York: Springer.
- OWEN, M. (2002) ‘“Sometimes You Feel You’re in Niche Time” – The Personal Tutor System, A Case Study’, *Active Learning in Higher Education* 3(1): 7–23.
- PTACEK, J. T., SMITH, R. E. & DODGE, K. L. (1994) ‘Gender Differences in Coping with Stress: When Stressor and Appraisals do not Differ’, *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 20(4): 421–31.
- REBER, A. S. (1985) *Dictionary of Psychology*. London: Penguin Books.
- RECTOR, N. A. & ROGER, D. (1996) ‘Cognitive Style and Well-being: A Prospective Examination’, *Personality and Individual Differences* 21(5): 663–7.
- RICHARDSON, J. T. E. & WOODLEY, A. (2003) ‘Another Look at the Role of Age, Gender and Subject as Predictors of Academic Attainment in Higher Education’, *Studies in Higher Education* 28(4): 475–93.
- ROGER, D. & NAJARIAN, B. (1989) ‘The Construction and Validation of a New Scale for Measuring Emotion Control’, *Personality and Individual Differences* 10(8): 845–53.
- ROGER, D., JARVIS, G. & NAJARIAN, B. (1993) ‘Detachment and Coping: The Construction and Validation of a New Scale for Measuring Coping Strategies’, *Personality and Individual Differences* 15(6): 619–626.
- SMITH J. & NAYLOR R. A. (2001) ‘Dropping Out of University: A Statistical Analysis of the Probability of Withdrawal for UK University Students’, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society* 164(2): 389–405.
- TINKLIN, T. (2003) ‘Gender Differences and High Attainment’, *British Educational Research Journal* 29(3): 307–25.
- TINKLIN, T., CROXFORD, L., DUCKLIN, A. & FRAME, B. (2001) ‘Gender and Pupil Performance in Scottish Schools’, Report to the Scottish Executive Education Department. Edinburgh: Centre for Educational Sociology, University of Edinburgh.
- UL-HAQ, R., STILES, J. & POND, K. (2003) ‘Learning Expectations and Learning Process Design’, *Active Learning in Higher Education* 4(2): 168–80.
- WARRINGTON, M., YOUNGER, M. & WILLIAMS, J. (2000) ‘Student Attitudes, Image and the Gender Gap’, *British Educational Research Journal* 26(3): 393–408.

Biographical notes

JULIA LAWRENCE is a lecturer in physical education. Her current research interests focus around transitions in education and the student experience. The research reported in this article was conducted whilst employed at Brunel University.

Address: Leeds Metropolitan University, Fairfax Room 208, Headingley Campus, Leeds LS6 3QS, UK. [email: J.C.Lawrence@leedsmet.ac.uk]

KELLY ASHFORD is a lecturer in sport psychology and research methods. Her current research interests are performance failure under pressure and the student experience.

Address: School of Sport and Education, Heinz Wolff Building, Brunel University, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, UK. [email: kelly.ashford@brunel.ac.uk]

PAUL DENT is a part-time lecturer in sports coaching. His current research interest is coping in the academic environment and during injury rehabilitation.

Address: School of Sport and Education, Halsbury Building, Brunel University, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, UK. [email: paul.dent@brunel.ac.uk]