



Heat kernel and the rate of mixing for compact extensions of expanding maps

Frédéric Naud

► To cite this version:

Frédéric Naud. Heat kernel and the rate of mixing for compact extensions of expanding maps. 2010.
hal-00570329

HAL Id: hal-00570329

<https://hal.science/hal-00570329>

Preprint submitted on 11 Apr 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

HEAT KERNEL AND RATES OF MIXING FOR COMPACT EXTENSIONS OF EXPANDING MAPS

FRÉDÉRIC NAUD

ABSTRACT. We consider skew-extensions $\widehat{T} : I \times \mathbf{G} \rightarrow I \times \mathbf{G}$ of real analytic markov expanding maps of the interval $T : I \rightarrow I$ by a compact Lie group \mathbf{G} . We show that for the natural \widehat{T} -invariant measures associated to an analytic potential φ , there exists an infinite dimensional cone of real valued and analytic observables for which the rate of mixing enjoys an *explicit exponential lower bound* related to the topological pressure $P(2\varphi)$. This estimate is consistent with a conjecture of Dolgopyat and Pollicott on the spectrum of complex transfer operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

Partially hyperbolic systems form a non structurally stable class of dynamical systems whose ergodic and statistical properties are considered difficult to study, mainly because of the presence of a neutral bundle which prevents application of all classical tools designed for uniformly hyperbolic systems. Compact Lie groups extensions of uniformly hyperbolic systems is an interesting family of such maps where issues such as stable ergodicity and mixing can be studied in detail with the techniques of Lie groups and representation theory. For a detailed account on the history of the qualitative ergodic theory of such systems, we refer the reader to the introduction of [9] and references herein. In this paper we will focus on partially hyperbolic maps obtained as skew extensions of expanding maps of the interval. Let $T : I \rightarrow I$ be ¹ a Markov, topologically mixing, smooth enough, uniformly expanding map of the unit interval $I = [0, 1]$. Let \mathbf{G} be a compact connected Lie group endowed with the normalized Haar measure m , and consider $\tau : I \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ a smooth map. The skew extension $\widehat{T} : I \times \mathbf{G} \rightarrow I \times \mathbf{G}$ of T is defined by

$$\widehat{T}(x, g) = (Tx, \tau(x)g).$$

Let μ_φ be the unique equilibrium T -invariant measure related to a Hölder potential φ on I , then the product measure $\widehat{\mu}_\varphi := \mu_\varphi \times m$ is a \widehat{T} -invariant measure. A popular choice of φ would be $\varphi = -\log |T'|$ which yields the absolutely continuous SRB measure. Let F, G be some reasonably smooth functions on $I \times \mathbf{G}$, then a fundamental question of ergodic theory is to

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37C30, 37D20.

Key words and phrases. Expanding maps, Decay of correlations, Transfer operators, compact Lie groups, heat kernels.

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Serge Lang who believed in the heat kernel as "a universal gadget which is a dominant factor practically everywhere in mathematics".

¹We will provide a more detailed definition in the next §.

investigate the asymptotic behaviour of the correlation function defined for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$C_\varphi(F, G)(n) := \int_{I \times \mathbf{G}} (F \circ \widehat{T}^n) G d\widehat{\mu}_\varphi - \int_{I \times \mathbf{G}} F d\widehat{\mu}_\varphi \int_{I \times \mathbf{G}} G d\widehat{\mu}_\varphi.$$

Correlation functions are the basic objects that give a measure of the "chaoticity" of the system and are not only interesting for themselves but also because of their relationship with fine statistical properties such as the central limit theorem. One of the very first quantitative result is the exponential decay for *equivariant observables* that can be found in Field-Melbourne-Török [14]. At the same time, Dolgopyat showed in the case of the SRB measure [9] that for generic τ and Hölder observables F, G , the correlation function decays exponentially fast as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Moreover, this property is equivalent to stable ergodicity (within the set of skew extensions). The exponential bound obtained through Dolgopyat technique is however hardly explicit and does not tell us how the different parameters (lyapunov exponents, topological entropy) of the system influence this rate of mixing. On the other hand, still in the case of the SRB measure, it is very likely that the more recent machinery of Tsujii et al, [1, 27] should provide an explicit upper bound related to the maximal expansion rate of the map \widehat{T} . In [9], Dolgopyat raises the question of finding examples where *effective estimates* on correlations can be proved. In the case of non uniformly hyperbolic systems, *lower bounds* on the rate of mixing are notoriously hard to prove, and there exists to our knowledge no general results in that direction for exponentially mixing systems. Motivated by the lower bounds we obtained in [17] for real analytic semi-flows which are toy models of Anosov flows, we show in this paper that for *general real analytic compact extensions* \widehat{T} , it is possible to build an infinite dimensional manifold of real analytic observables for which correlations functions enjoy an *effective lower bound* related to the topological pressure of 2φ .

There is therefore, like in the case of semi-flows [17], a natural barrier to the speed of mixing which is related to entropy. We point out that this behaviour contrasts sharply with the case of uniformly hyperbolic systems where the rate of mixing for analytic observables can be superexponential, for example in the case of linear hyperbolic maps on the torus.

Let us give some more precise statements. First we will work with extensions by a compact connected Lie group \mathbf{G} , which is non-trivial i.e. $\mathbf{G} \neq \{Id\}$. The skew function $\tau : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ is assumed to be real analytic ². About the expanding map $T : I \rightarrow I$, we will assume that

$$I = [0, 1] = \cup_{i=1}^k I_i, \quad k \geq 2,$$

where each $I_i = [a_i, b_i]$ is a closed interval and $\text{Int}(I_i) \cap \text{Int}(I_j) = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. For all $i = 1, \dots, k$, the map $T_i := T|_{[a_i, b_i]}$ is *real analytic*, and is a one-to one and onto map $T_i : I_i \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is *uniformly expanding* i.e.

$$\min_{x \in I_i} |T'_i(x)| > 1.$$

²We will give a precise meaning to this in the next §.

Under these standard assumptions, $T : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is topologically mixing and is semi conjugated to the full shift

$$\sigma : \{1, \dots, k\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

on k symbols³. We will be concerned with T -Invariant equilibrium measures μ_φ where the potential $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also real analytic on $[0, 1]$. The associated measure μ_φ is then the unique measure maximizing the topological pressure (variational formula) :

$$P(\varphi) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{inv}} \left\{ h_\mu(T) + \int_0^1 \varphi d\mu \right\},$$

where \mathcal{M}_{inv} is the set of T -invariant probability measures and $h_\mu(T)$ is the measure theoretic entropy. For simplicity, we will assume that $P(\varphi) = 0$ which is the case for all popular potentials.

Under the above assumptions and notations, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a constant $\gamma(\mathbf{G}) > 0$ ⁴ such that for all $\epsilon > 0$, one can find an infinite dimensional cone \mathcal{C}_ϵ of real valued, analytic functions such that for all $F \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon$,*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |C_\varphi(F, F)(n)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq e^{\gamma(\mathbf{G})P(2\varphi) - \epsilon}.$$

Remarks. We always have the lower bound

$$P(2\varphi) \geq \int_I \varphi d\mu_\varphi = -h_{\mu_\varphi}(T) \geq -h_{\text{top}}(T) = -\log(k),$$

with equality if and only if φ is cohomologous to $-\log(k)$ the potential of the measure of maximal entropy. On the other hand in the case of the smooth invariant SRB measure ($\varphi = -\log|T'|$), it tells us that if the derivative of T at a fixed point x_0 is of size $1 + \epsilon$, then

$$P(2\varphi) \geq h_{\delta_{x_0}}(T) - 2 \int_I \log|T'| d\delta_{x_0} = -2\log(1 + \epsilon),$$

where δ_{x_0} is the dirac mass at x_0 . Thus $P(-2\log|T'|)$ tends to 0 as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, hence exhibiting arbitrarily slow rates of (exponential mixing) for the extension \widehat{T} . In the case of the measure of maximal entropy, our lower bound remains unaffected by the expanding properties of T . This is not surprising in view of the recent result of Goüezel [15] who was able to obtain exponential rates of mixing (for the measure of maximal entropy) in the case of skew-extensions of intermittent markov maps with neutral fixed points.

Although the statements above bear some obvious similarities with the results we obtained for semi-flows, the proof requires some new ideas to deal with the compact factor \mathbf{G} in full generality. In particular, we need to prove some a priori bounds on the growth of certain dynamical zeta functions that do not follow from [17]. The key a priori estimates are derived from

³In addition, the intersection of two different intervals I_i and I_j , if not empty, cannot contain periodic points of T (only pre-periodic points). Therefore there is a one-to-one correspondence between periodic points of the shift map σ and periodic points of T .

⁴The constant $\gamma(\mathbf{G})$ depends essentially on the rank of \mathbf{G} that is the dimension of maximal torii, an explicit expression will be provided in §7.

Sogge's bound [24] and Donnelly-Fefferman work [11], which are both sharp results on elliptic partial differential operators on compact manifolds. The core of the argument in [17] is a Selberg's like trace formula for semi-flows. In the present setting, we need to deal with a discrete family of transfer operator indexed by irreducible representations and we have to replace the trace formula argument by a more delicate analysis based on the heat kernel and volume estimates around maximal Tori. We believe, based on some heuristics on transfer operators [10] (see §2) that the term $P(2\varphi)$ is *optimal*, whereas the constant $\gamma(\mathbf{G})$ may not be. We also point out that we have chosen in this paper to keep the hyperbolic factor as simple as possible, concentrating on the compact group factor \mathbf{G} . It is however clear from our technique that similar results can be obtained without major modifications for extensions of real analytic expanding map of the torus or real analytic Anosov diffeomorphisms by using the approach of Faure-Roy [13] for example.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In § 2 we prove the main result by reduction to a spectral result on a family of transfer operators twisted by the irreducible representations of the group \mathbf{G} . In §3, we give the proof in the simplest case of the one dimensional torus which is a good outline of the general case, without the difficulties of the abstract general setting. Section §4, §5 are devoted to some a priori bounds on certain Fredholm determinants. These bounds are not obvious in general and require some elliptic partial differential equation arguments. In §6 we recall some basic facts on the heat kernel on \mathbf{G} , our main tool. Finally, we gather our results and prove the key theorem in §7 following the line of proof of the torus case.

2. REPRESENTATIONS AND TWISTED TRANSFER OPERATORS

In this section, we show how to reduce Theorem 1.1 to a spectral result on a family of transfer operators. We use the notations of the introduction. Let $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an analytic potential with zero topological pressure $P(\varphi) = 0$. The (positive) Ruelle transfer operator is defined as usual on continuous functions by

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi(f)(x) = \sum_{Ty=x} e^{\varphi(y)} f(y).$$

The Classical Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius Theorem, see for example [2, 18], states that there exists a unique probability measure ν_φ such that for all $f \in C^0(I)$,

$$\int_I f d\nu_\varphi = \int_I \mathcal{L}_\varphi(f) d\nu_\varphi.$$

In addition there exists an invariant positive continuous non-vanishing density $h_\varphi \in C^0(I)$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi(h_\varphi) = h_\varphi.$$

The equilibrium T -invariant probability measure μ_φ that realizes the pressure is, up to normalization, $\mu_\varphi = h_\varphi \nu_\varphi$.

Let $\pi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ be a finite dimensional *irreducible* complex ⁵ representation of \mathbf{G} , that is to say a continuous group homomorphism from \mathbf{G} to the group of linear isomorphism of the complex vector space V such that the left action of \mathbf{G} on V has no other invariant subspaces than V itself and $\{0\}$. We assume in addition that π is non trivial, i.e. is not identically equal to the identity. Such a representation does exist since \mathbf{G} is assumed to be non trivial.

Let $A : I \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ be a continuous map from $I = [0, 1]$ to the complex vector space of linear maps from V to itself. For all $(x, g) \in I \times \mathbf{G}$, we set

$$F(x, g) = \text{Tr}(A(x)\pi(g)),$$

and let $H(x, g) \in C^0(I \times \mathbf{G})$. Let us compute the correlation function $C_\varphi(H, F)(n)$. Because π is irreducible and non trivial, we have by Fubini's theorem

$$\int_{I \times \mathbf{G}} F d\hat{\mu}_\varphi = \text{Tr} \left\{ \int_I A(x) d\mu_\varphi \int_{\mathbf{G}} \pi(g) dm(g) \right\} = 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$C_\varphi(H, F)(n) = \int_{I \times \mathbf{G}} H(T^n x, \tau(T^{n-1}x) \dots \tau(Tx)\tau(x)g) F(x, g) d\hat{\mu}_\varphi(x, g).$$

For any $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$, we set $f^{[n]}(x) := f(x)f(Tx) \dots f(T^{n-1}x)$. Using Left invariance of Haar measure we get

$$C_\varphi(H, F)(n) = \int_{I \times \mathbf{G}} H(T^n x, g) F(x, (\tau^{-1})^{[n]}(x)g) d\hat{\mu}_\varphi(x, g).$$

Using Fubini again and the action of the transfer operator \mathcal{L}_φ^n , we obtain

$$C_\varphi(H, F)(n) = \int_{\mathbf{G}} \int_I H(x, g) h_\varphi(x)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_\varphi^n \left\{ h_\varphi(y) F(y, (\tau^{-1})^{[n]}(y)g) \right\} (x) d\mu_\varphi(x) dm(g).$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_\varphi^n \left\{ h_\varphi(y) F(y, (\tau^{-1})^{[n]}(y)g) \right\} (x) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \pi(g) h_\varphi^{-1}(x) \sum_{T^n y = x} e^{\varphi^{(n)}(y)} h_\varphi(y) A(y) \pi((\tau^{-1})^{[n]}(y)) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi^{(n)}(x) = \varphi(x) + \dots + \varphi(T^{n-1}x)$. It can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi^n \left\{ h_\varphi(y) F(y, (\tau^{-1})^{[n]}(y)g) \right\} (x) = \text{Tr} \{ \pi(g) \mathcal{M}_\pi^n(A)(x) \},$$

where $\mathcal{M}_\pi : C^0(I, \text{End}(V)) \rightarrow C^0(I, \text{End}(V))$ is the linear operator defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_\pi(A)(x) := h_\varphi^{-1}(x) \sum_{Ty=x} e^{\varphi(y)} h_\varphi(y) A(y) \pi(\tau^{-1}(y)).$$

To summarize the above computation, we have obtained

$$(1) \quad C_\varphi(H, F)(n) = \int_{I \times \mathbf{G}} H(x, g) \text{Tr} \{ \pi(g) \mathcal{M}_\pi^n(A)(x) \} d\hat{\mu}_\varphi(x, g).$$

⁵In this paper, all the representations are assumed to be complex.

Theorem 1.1 now follows from the next key result.

Theorem 2.1. *Under the assumptions of §1, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exist infinitely many non equivalent irreducible finite dimensional complex representations $\pi_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$*

$$\pi_\alpha : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\alpha),$$

such that each operator \mathcal{M}_{π_α} has a real analytic eigenfunction $A_\alpha \neq 0$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\pi_\alpha}(A_\alpha) = \lambda_\alpha A_\alpha,$$

with the lower bound $|\lambda_\alpha| \geq e^{\gamma(\mathbf{G})(P(2\varphi)-\epsilon)}$.

This result shows the existence of non trivial point spectrum with a uniform lower bound for an infinite family of transfer operators. In the simplest case of the one dimensional torus $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, irreducible representations $(\pi_q)_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are one dimensional and given by the usual Fourier analysis i.e. $\pi_q(\theta) = e^{-iq\theta}$ so that the transfer operator \mathcal{M}_q is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_q(A)(x) := h_\varphi^{-1}(x) \sum_{Ty=x} e^{\varphi(y)} h_\varphi(y) e^{iq\tau(y)} A(y).$$

This is the usual "complex" transfer operator which plays a key role in Dolgopyat's approach [8] and subsequent works on hyperbolic flows [3, 20, 19, 16, 25]. A standard "diagonal approximation argument" in the spirit of quantum physics, see [10], tells us that the following conjecture should hold.

Conjecture 2.2. *For a generic choice of τ , for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $q_0 \geq 1$ such that for all $|q| \geq q_0$, the spectral radius ρ_{sp} of \mathcal{M}_q , when acting on smooth enough function spaces, satisfies*

$$e^{\frac{1}{2}P(2\varphi)-\epsilon} \leq \rho_{sp}(\mathcal{M}_q) \leq e^{\frac{1}{2}P(2\varphi)+\epsilon}.$$

In the one dimensional case $\gamma(\mathbf{G}) = 3/2$, so up to a factor 3, our lower bound agrees with that conjecture.

We now explain how to deduce the statement of Theorem 1.1 from the above result. Let us recall a basic fact about conjugate representations. If $\pi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\pi)$ is an irreducible representation on a finite dimensional complex vector space V_π , there exists a Hermitian inner product on V_π denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\pi$ such that π is unitary. It induces a natural antilinear isomorphism

$$\mathcal{J} : \begin{cases} V_\pi & \rightarrow V'_\pi \\ v & \mapsto \langle \cdot, v \rangle_\pi \end{cases},$$

where V'_π is the dual space. For simplicity, if $v \in V_\pi$ we set $\mathcal{J}(v) = \bar{v}$. The dual space V'_π is now endowed with a hermitian inner product by setting

$$\langle \bar{u}, \bar{v} \rangle_{\bar{\pi}} := \langle v, u \rangle_\pi.$$

The *conjugate representation* $\bar{\pi} : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V')$ is defined by $\bar{\pi} = \mathcal{J} \circ \pi \circ \mathcal{J}^{-1}$. One can check that $\bar{\pi}$ is irreducible and that for all $u, v \in V$ and $g \in \mathbf{G}$ we have

$$\overline{\langle \pi(g)u, v \rangle_\pi} = \langle \bar{\pi}(g)\bar{u}, \bar{v} \rangle_{\bar{\pi}}.$$

Given $A \in \text{GL}(V_\pi)$ we can define $\bar{A} \in \text{GL}(V'_\pi)$ by $\bar{A}\bar{v} := \overline{Av}$, and the following formula holds

$$(2) \quad \overline{\text{Tr}(\pi(g)A)} = \text{Tr}(\bar{\pi}(g)\bar{A}).$$

In terms of characters (the character is the trace of the representation), we have the formula

$$\overline{\chi_\pi}(g) = \chi_{\bar{\pi}}(g) = \chi_\pi(g^{-1}).$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and let \mathcal{S}_ϵ be a (countable non finite) set of irreducible non equivalent representations as given by Theorem 2.1. By removing some elements of

$$\{\pi_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon\},$$

we can assume that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$, π_α is non trivial and either $\bar{\pi}_\alpha$ is equivalent to π_α , $\bar{\pi}_\alpha$ is not equivalent to any π_β for all $\beta \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$. Given $A_\alpha(x)$ a real analytic eigenfunction of \mathcal{M}_{π_α} as given by Theorem 2.1, we set

$$F_\alpha(x, g) = \text{Re}(\text{Tr}\{\pi_\alpha(g)A_\alpha(x)\}), \quad G_\alpha(x, g) = \text{Im}(\text{Tr}\{\pi_\alpha(g)A_\alpha(x)\}).$$

Using Schur's classical orthogonality relations, we have

$$\int_{I \times \mathbf{G}} |\text{Tr}\{\pi_\alpha(g)A_\alpha(x)\}|^2 d\hat{\mu}_\varphi = \frac{1}{d_\pi} \int_I \text{Tr}\{A_\alpha(x)A_\alpha^*(x)\} d\mu_\varphi(x),$$

where d_π is the dimension of the representation and A^* is the adjoint operator for the inner product associated to π . Recall that the equilibrium measure μ_φ has a Gibbs property and is thus positive on open sets, so the above integral is non vanishing. We can therefore assume (by replacing A_α by iA_α if necessary) that for all α ,

$$\int_{I \times \mathbf{G}} F_\alpha^2 d\hat{\mu}_\varphi > 0.$$

We will need the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let π_α, π_β be two representations as above with $\alpha \neq \beta$, then we have*

$$\int_{I \times \mathbf{G}} F_\alpha F_\beta d\hat{\mu}_\varphi = \int_{I \times \mathbf{G}} F_\alpha G_\beta d\hat{\mu}_\varphi = 0.$$

Proof. Write

$$F_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\text{Tr}\{\pi_\alpha(g)A_\alpha(x)\} + \overline{\text{Tr}\{\pi_\alpha(g)A_\alpha(x)\}}),$$

$$G_\alpha = \frac{1}{2i}(\text{Tr}\{\pi_\alpha(g)A_\alpha(x)\} - \overline{\text{Tr}\{\pi_\alpha(g)A_\alpha(x)\}}),$$

and use Schur orthogonality of non-equivalent representations together with formula (2) on conjugate representations. \square

Let \mathcal{A}_ϵ be the real vector space generated by the family of functions F_α

$$\mathcal{A}_\epsilon = \text{Span}\{F_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon\}.$$

Pick $F \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon := \mathcal{A}_\epsilon \setminus \{0\}$ and write

$$F = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}} a_\alpha F_\alpha,$$

where \mathcal{F} is a non-empty finite subset of \mathcal{S}_α , and $a_\alpha \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$. Computing the correlation function $C_\varphi(F, F)(n)$ using formula (1) yields

$$C_\varphi(F, F)(n) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{F}} a_\alpha a_\beta \int_{I \times \mathbf{G}} F_\alpha \operatorname{Re} \{ \lambda_\beta^n \operatorname{Tr}(\pi_\beta(g) A_\beta(x)) \} d\hat{\mu}_\varphi,$$

which using the orthogonality relations above turns out to be

(3)

$$C_\varphi(F, F)(n) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}} a_\alpha^2 \left(\rho_\alpha^n \cos(n\theta_\alpha) \int F_\alpha^2 d\hat{\mu}_\varphi - \rho_\alpha^n \sin(n\theta_\alpha) \int F_\alpha G_\alpha d\hat{\mu}_\varphi \right),$$

where we have set $\lambda_\alpha = \rho_\alpha e^{i\theta_\alpha}$, with $\rho_\alpha > 0$ and $\theta_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We can now conclude the proof by using the Dirichlet box principle in the following form.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. For all $Q \geq 2$, one can find an integer $q \in \{D, \dots, DQ^N\}$ such that*

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq N} \operatorname{dist}(q\alpha_j, \mathbb{Z}) \leq \frac{1}{Q}.$$

The proof is an elementary use of the box principle and we omit it. We can apply it to $\alpha_j = \theta_\alpha/2\pi$ to construct a sequence of integers n_q such that $n_q \rightarrow +\infty$ as $q \rightarrow +\infty$ with the property that for all q , for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\cos(n_q \theta_\alpha) \geq \frac{3}{4} \text{ and } |\sin(n_q \theta_\alpha)| \int |F_\alpha G_\alpha| d\hat{\mu}_\varphi \leq \frac{1}{4} \int F_\alpha^2 d\hat{\mu}_\varphi.$$

Going back to formula (3), we have the lower bound for all q

$$C_\varphi(F, F)(n_q) \geq e^{n_q \gamma(\mathbf{G})(P(2\varphi) - \epsilon)} \frac{1}{2} \int F^2 d\hat{\mu}_\varphi,$$

which implies the statement of Theorem 1.1

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |C_\varphi(F, F)(n)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq e^{\gamma(\mathbf{G})(P(2\varphi) - \epsilon)}.$$

3. THE SIMPLEST CASE OF SKEW EXTENSIONS BY THE TORUS

Before we move on to the general case of extensions by a compact Lie group \mathbf{G} , we would like to explain the basic ideas of the proof in the simplest case where $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. This section will serve as a guideline for the more difficult case of an abstract compact connected Lie group.

3.1. Transfer operators on Bergmann spaces. We need first to be more precise about the choice of function space on which the transfer operators \mathcal{M}_q act. For all $j = 1, \dots, k$, we set $\gamma_j(x) = T_j^{-1}(x)$ to be the inverse branches of T . Because T is real analytic and expanding, it is possible to show (see Bandtlow-Jenkinson [5]) that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, the complex domain

$$\Omega_\varepsilon := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \min_{x \in [0,1]} |z - x| < \varepsilon\}$$

is (strictly) contracted by each inverse branch γ_j . More precisely, for all $j = 1, \dots, k$, γ_j extends holomorphically to Ω_ε and has a continuous extension to $\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon}$, in addition

$$\gamma_j(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon}) \subset \Omega_\varepsilon.$$

By taking ε small enough, we can assume that both φ and τ have an holomorphic extension to Ω_ε and a continuous extension to $\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon}$. The classical Bergmann space $B^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ is defined as

$$B^2(\Omega_\varepsilon) := \left\{ f : \Omega_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{ } f \text{ holomorphic and } \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |f|^2 dx dy < +\infty \right\}.$$

It is a Hilbert space when endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{B^2}^2 := \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |f|^2 dx dy.$$

The transfer operators $\mathcal{M}_q : B^2(\Omega_\varepsilon) \rightarrow B^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_q(f)(z) = h_\varphi^{-1}(z) \sum_{j=1}^k e^{\varphi(\gamma_j z) + iq\tau(\gamma_j z)} h_\varphi(\gamma_j z) f(\gamma_j z).$$

We remark that the above expression makes sense (provided that ε is small enough) since it can be shown [17] that the density h_φ which is given by Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius Theorem is actually non-vanishing and analytic on $[0, 1]$. Below we summarize the spectral properties of \mathcal{M}_q that we use in the sequel.

Proposition 3.1. *Using the above notations we have:*

- (1) *Each $\mathcal{M}_q : B^2(\Omega_\varepsilon) \rightarrow B^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ is a compact trace class operator.*
- (2) *The spectral radius of \mathcal{M}_q is bounded by 1 with respect to q .*
- (3) *Let $|\lambda_0(q)| \geq |\lambda_1(q)| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n(q)|$ denote the sequence of eigenvalues of \mathcal{M}_q . There exists a constant $C > 0$ and $0 < \rho < 1$ such that for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$|\lambda_n(q)| \leq C e^{C|q|} \rho^n.$$

- (4) *For all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the formula for the trace of \mathcal{M}_q^n*

$$\text{Tr}(\mathcal{M}_q^n) = \sum_{T^n x = x} e^{iq\tau^{(n)}(x)} \frac{e^{\varphi^{(n)}(x)}}{1 - ((T^n)'(x))^{-1}},$$

where the sum runs over n -periodic points of T and $\tau^{(n)}(x) = \tau(x) + \tau(Tx) + \dots + \tau(T^{n-1}x)$.

Let us make some comments on the proof of this standard facts. Result (1) dates back to the work of Ruelle on expanding maps [22]. Bound (2) follows from classical contraction bounds and the formula for the topological pressure, see [17], Proposition 2.1. Bound (3) follows [4], Theorem 5.13. Notice that the critical factor $e^{C|q|}$ comes from estimating

$$\sup_{z \in \Omega_\varepsilon} |e^{iq\tau(z)}|.$$

These estimates, though they are trivial in the specific case of the torus, will require some special treatment in the full generality of compact Lie groups.

Formula (4) is a standard computation of the trace that can be found for example in [4].

3.2. A priori bounds on determinants. Just like in [17], a priori bounds on dynamical determinants is a key step in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Since we want to prove the existence of non-trivial spectrum for the family \mathcal{M}_q , it is natural to define for $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathcal{Z}_q(\zeta) := \det(I - \zeta \mathcal{M}_q),$$

which is an entire function of ζ and equals the infinite product

$$\mathcal{Z}_q(\zeta) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (1 - \zeta \lambda_n(q)).$$

We will need the following result.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that for all $|q|$ large, $\mathcal{Z}_q(\zeta)$ does not vanish on the open disc $D(0, \rho_1)$, for some $\rho_1 > 0$. Then for all $r < \rho_1$, one can find $C_r > 0$ such that for all $|q|$ large and all $\zeta \in D(0, r)$,*

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{Z}'_q(\zeta)}{\mathcal{Z}_q(\zeta)} \right| \leq C_r |q|.$$

Proof. For all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, we can write

$$|\mathcal{Z}_q(\zeta)| \leq \exp \left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \log(1 + |\zeta| |\lambda_n(q)|) \right).$$

By splitting the above sum and using estimates (2) and (3) from Proposition 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \log(1 + |\zeta| |\lambda_n(q)|) &= \sum_{n=0}^N \log(1 + |\zeta| |\lambda_n(q)|) + \sum_{n=N+1}^{+\infty} \log(1 + |\zeta| |\lambda_n(q)|) \\ &\leq (N+1) \log(1 + |\zeta|) + C \frac{\rho^{N+1}}{1-\rho} |\zeta| e^{C|q|}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing

$$N = \left\lceil \frac{C|q|}{\log \rho} \right\rceil + 1,$$

we have for well chosen constants C_1, C_2 and large $|q|$

$$\log |\mathcal{Z}_q(\zeta)| \leq C_1 |q| \log(1 + |\zeta|) + C_2 |\zeta|.$$

Assume now that $\mathcal{Z}_q(\zeta)$ does not vanish on $D(0, \rho_1)$. Since $\mathcal{Z}_q(0) = 1$, we can define a complex holomorphic logarithm, denoted by $\log \mathcal{Z}_q(\zeta)$ for all $\zeta \in D(0, \rho_1)$, with the property that $\log \mathcal{Z}_q(0) = 0$ and

$$\operatorname{Re}(\log \mathcal{Z}_q(\zeta)) = \log |\mathcal{Z}_q(\zeta)|.$$

We can now apply the Borel-Caratheodory estimate that can be found in Titchmarsh [26].

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that f is holomorphic on a neighborhood of the closed disc $\overline{D}(0, R)$, and $f(0) = 0$. Then for all $r < R$, we have*

$$\max_{|z| \leq r} |f'(z)| \leq \frac{8\rho_1}{(R-r)^2} \max_{|\zeta| \leq R} |\operatorname{Re}(f(\zeta))|.$$

Applying this lemma to $f(\zeta) = \log \mathcal{Z}_q(\zeta)$, we end up with the estimate for all $|\zeta| \leq r < r' < \rho_1$,

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{Z}'_q(\zeta)}{\mathcal{Z}_q(\zeta)} \right| \leq \frac{8r'}{(r' - r)^2} (C_1 |q| \log(1 + |\zeta|) + C_2 |\zeta|) = O(|q|),$$

the implied constant depending only on r, ρ_1 . \square

3.3. Proof in the easiest case. We can now prove Theorem 2.1 in the easiest case of the one dimensional torus. A first important observation is that for all $|\zeta|$ small enough (actually $|\zeta| < 1$), using the formula for the trace, the determinant $\mathcal{Z}_q(\zeta)$ is given by

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_q(\zeta) &= \exp \left(- \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta^n}{n} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{M}_q^n) \right) \\ &= \exp \left(- \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta^n}{n} \sum_{T^n x = x} e^{iq\tau^{(n)}(x)} \frac{e^{\varphi^{(n)}(x)}}{1 - ((T^n)'(x))^{-1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Given $0 < r < 1$, uniform convergence of the above series on the circle $\{|z| = r\}$ yields the integration formula

$$(5) \quad -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{|\zeta|=r} \frac{\mathcal{Z}'_q(\zeta)}{\mathcal{Z}_q(\zeta)} \zeta^{-n} d\zeta = \sum_{T^n x = x} e^{iq\tau^{(n)}(x)} \frac{e^{\varphi^{(n)}(x)}}{1 - ((T^n)'(x))^{-1}}.$$

For simplicity, we set for all $n \geq 1$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$W(n, q) := \sum_{T^n x = x} e^{iq\tau^{(n)}(x)} \frac{e^{\varphi^{(n)}(x)}}{1 - ((T^n)'(x))^{-1}}.$$

We will use the following *theta inversion*⁶ identity valid for all $t > 0$ and all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$h(t, \theta) := \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-q^2 t} e^{iq\theta} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\frac{(\theta - 2\pi p)^2}{4t}}.$$

We point out that we have for all $0 < c < \pi^2/4$, as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$h(t, 0) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\frac{p^2 \pi^2}{t}} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} + O\left(e^{-c/t}\right).$$

For all $t > 0$ and $n \geq 1$, we set

$$S(t, n) := \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-tq^2} |W(n, q)|^2,$$

and we also define for all $x \in [0, 1]$ such that $T^n x = x$,

$$G_n(x) := \frac{e^{\varphi^{(n)}(x)}}{1 - ((T^n)'(x))^{-1}}.$$

The theta inversion formula yields

$$S(t, n) = \sum_{T^n x = x, T^n y = y} G_n(x) G_n(y) \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-q^2 t} e^{iq\tau^{(n)}(x) - iq\tau^{(n)}(y)}$$

⁶It follows from Poisson summation formula and the identity for the Fourier transform of gaussians.

$$= \sum_{T^n x=x, T^n y=y} G_n(x)G_n(y)h(t, \tau^{(n)}(x) - \tau^{(n)}(y)),$$

each term in this sum being positive. Dropping all terms except the diagonal ones gives for all t small enough, and n large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} S(t, n) &\geq \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{t}} \sum_{T^n x=x} \frac{e^{2\varphi^{(n)}(x)}}{(1 - ((T^n)'(x))^{-1})^2} \\ &\geq \frac{C_2}{\sqrt{t}} \sum_{T^n x=x} e^{2\varphi^{(n)}(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

for some well chosen constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$. Keeping this lower bound for $S(t, n)$ in mind, the end of the proof is by contradiction. Assume that for all $|q| \geq q_0$, q_0 being a large integer, the spectrum of \mathcal{M}_q is included in an open disc $D(0, \rho)$ of radius $1 > \rho > 0$. This shows that the meromorphic function ($|q| \geq q_0$)

$$\frac{\mathcal{Z}'_q(\zeta)}{\mathcal{Z}_q(\zeta)}$$

is actually analytic on a neighborhood of the closed disc $\overline{D}(0, \rho^{-1})$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Using Proposition 3.2, we deduce using the integral formula (5) and contour deformation up to $(\rho + \epsilon)^{-1}$, that there exists a constant $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $|q| \geq q_0$,

$$|W(n, q)| \leq C_\epsilon |q| (\rho + \epsilon)^{n-1}.$$

Therefore we have the upper bound

$$S(t, n) \leq \sum_{|q| < q_0} |W(n, q)|^2 + C_\epsilon^2 (\rho + \epsilon)^{2n-2} \sum_{|q| \geq q_0} |q|^2 e^{-q^2 t}.$$

The integral formula (5) is valid for all radii $r < 1$ so using proposition 3.2 again we get that

$$\sum_{|q| < q_0} |W(n, q)|^2 = O((1 + \epsilon)^{2n-2}).$$

On the other hand, we have using summation by parts,

$$\sum_{q \geq 0} q^2 e^{-q^2 t} = 2 \int_1^{+\infty} [u] u (u^2 t - 1) e^{-u^2 t} du.$$

A change of variable $v = u\sqrt{t}$ in the integral yields as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$\sum_{q \geq 0} q^2 e^{-q^2 t} = O\left(\frac{1}{t^{3/2}}\right).$$

In a nutshell, we have for n large and t small,

$$(6) \quad C_2 \sum_{T^n x=x} e^{2\varphi^{(n)}(x)} \leq O(\sqrt{t}(1 + \epsilon)^{2n}) + O(t^{-1}(\rho + \epsilon)^{2n}),$$

where the implied constants do not depend on t, n . We recall that a classical formula on the topological pressure states that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sum_{T^n x=x} e^{2\varphi^{(n)}(x)} \right)^{1/n} = e^{P(2\varphi)}.$$

Now set $t = \mu^{2n}$ for some $0 < \mu < 1$. Going back to (6) and using the above formula on the pressure we get letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$e^{P(2\varphi)} \leq \max \left\{ \mu(1+\epsilon)^2, \left(\frac{\rho+\epsilon}{\mu} \right)^2 \right\},$$

a contradiction whenever

$$\mu < e^{P(2\varphi)}(1+\epsilon)^{-2} \text{ and } \rho + \epsilon < \mu e^{\frac{1}{2}P(2\varphi)}.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrarily small, we can clearly get a contradiction for all $\rho < e^{\frac{3}{2}P(2\varphi)}$, and the proof is done with $\gamma(\mathbf{G}) = 3/2$. \square

The wise reader will have noticed that $h(t, \theta)$ is the *heat kernel* on the torus. This will play an important role in the proof of the general case.

4. LAPLACIAN AND A PRIORI BOUNDS ON REPRESENTATIONS

In this section we prove the necessary upper bounds that are required to mimic the elementary proof of the previous section. A basic knowledge of Lie Group theory is required, our main references are [6, 12]. In the following of this paper, we assume that \mathbf{G} is a compact connected Lie Group. We will denote by $e_{\mathbf{G}}$ the neutral element of \mathbf{G} . The (real) dimension of \mathbf{G} is denoted by d . A Lie Group has always a real analytic structure for which \mathbf{G} is a real analytic manifold. Left and right translations, inversion are real analytic diffeomorphism on \mathbf{G} . Any linear representation $\pi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ is automatically real analytic. Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of \mathbf{G} . Since \mathbf{G} is compact, there exists an euclidean inner product β on \mathfrak{g} which is invariant by the adjoint representation Ad i.e. for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, for all $g \in \mathbf{G}$,

$$\beta(\text{Ad}(g)X, \text{Ad}(g)Y) = \beta(X, Y).$$

This euclidean inner product on $\mathfrak{g} \simeq T_{e_{\mathbf{G}}} \mathbf{G}$ then induces a natural left-right invariant Riemannian metric (see [6] p.102) β on \mathbf{G} which is also real analytic. Clearly the Riemannian volume inherited from the metric β is also invariant by (left-right) translations and is proportional to Haar measure m so we assume for simplicity that they are equal. Let Δ denote the (positive) Laplace Beltrami operator associated to β . Let X_1, \dots, X_d be an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} for β , then the Laplacian Δ acts on $C^\infty(G)$ by

$$\Delta f = - \sum_{i=1}^d X_i^2(f),$$

where X_i are understood as left-invariant vector fields on \mathbf{G} . Let

$$\pi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_\pi)$$

be an irreducible *complex* representation of \mathbf{G} . Let f be a coefficient of the representation π , i.e. for all $x \in \mathbf{G}$,

$$f(x) = \text{Tr}(A\pi(x)),$$

where $A \in \text{End}(V_\pi)$. It is a classical fact (see [12], p. 163) that f is an eigenfunction of Δ :

$$\Delta f = \kappa_\pi f,$$

where $\kappa_\pi \geq 0$ depends only on π . All the estimates will be established with respect to the spectral parameter κ_π . Notice that since the eigenspaces of the Laplace operator are finite dimensional, there are only finitely many non-equivalent irreducible representations that share the same eigenvalue κ_π .

Let us now use Sogge's classical bound (see [24], Proposition 2.1) on L^∞ norms of eigenfunctions:

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq C\kappa_\pi^{(d-1)/4}\|f\|_{L^2(G)},$$

where C depends only on \mathbf{G} and the choice of β . In the particular case when $f(x) = \chi_\pi(x) = \text{Tr}(\pi(x))$ is the *character* of the representation, we get (characters of irreducible representation have a normalized L^2 norm)

$$(7) \quad \dim(\pi) = \chi_\pi(e_{\mathbf{G}}) \leq C\kappa_\pi^{(d-1)/4}.$$

Though this dimension estimate is clearly not optimal on the d -torus, it is optimal for example on $\mathbf{G} = \text{SU}_2(\mathbb{C})$. This upper bound will be useful in the rest of the paper, however optimality of the exponent is not important for us (any polynomial bound would be enough). Remark that in the examples, one can derive such a bound from the Weyl character formula. On the complex vector space V_π , there exists a hermitian inner product such that the representation $\pi(x)$ is unitary. We fix this hermitian structure on each V_π and denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the associated norm on V_π . Define the following norms on $\text{End}(V_\pi)$.

$$\|A\|_{HS} := (\text{Tr}(A^*A))^{1/2}; \quad \|A\| := \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} \|Av\|;$$

The adjoint A^* is understood with respect to the above unitarizing inner product. These two norms are related by the classical inequalities

$$\|A\| \leq \|A\|_{HS} \leq \sqrt{\dim(\pi)}\|A\|.$$

Let us go back to the notations of §3. We recall that Ω is a complex neighborhood of $[0, 1]$. The compact extension of T is defined through a skew function $\tau : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ which is assumed to be real analytic. Since any irreducible representation π is real analytic, by taking Ω small enough, $z \mapsto \pi(\tau(z))$ has a holomorphic extension to Ω which is continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$ and takes values in $\text{End}(V_\pi)$. A priori ⁷, Ω depends on π . However we will prove the following.

Proposition 4.1. *There exists a small enough neighborhood Ω of $[0, 1]$ such that for all irreducible complex representation π of \mathbf{G} , $z \mapsto \pi(\tau(z))$ has a holomorphic extension to Ω which is continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$ and enjoys the bound*

$$\sup_{z \in \Omega} \|\pi(\tau(z))\| \leq C(\kappa_\pi)^{3(d-1)/8} e^{C\sqrt{\kappa_\pi}},$$

for some uniform $C > 0$.

⁷It follows from the existence of an analytic complexification $\mathbf{G} \subset \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$, see [6], chapter 27, that every finite dimensional representation can be holomorphically extended to the complex analytic group $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$, which shows that Ω can actually be chosen uniform with respect to π . However in the sequel, we need an effective estimate and won't use the existence of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof. Fix $g \in \mathbf{G}$ and Let $g \in \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be a small coordinate neighborhood which we identify with an open euclidean ball

$$B(0, \rho_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \subset \mathbb{C}^d.$$

Assume that the real analytic Riemannian metric β has a convergent power series expansion on this ball. Denote by Δ the associated Laplace operator. Then it follows from the analysis of Donnelly-Fefferman [11] that one can find $0 < \rho_1 < \rho_0$ such that for each eigenfunction $F : B(0, \rho_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ solution of the elliptic equation

$$\Delta F = \lambda F$$

has a holomorphic extension to the (complex ball) $B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, \rho_1) \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ together with the bound

$$\sup_{z \in B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, \rho_1)} |F(z)| \leq e^{C\sqrt{\lambda}} \sup_{x \in B(0, \rho_0)} |F(x)|.$$

Each coefficient of the representation being an eigenfunction of the Laplacian, it is now clear that by compactness of $[0, 1]$ and real analyticity of τ , one can choose a small enough Ω which is uniform with respect to representations. To prove the desired upper bound on $\|\pi(\tau(z))\|$, it is enough to work locally. Choose $x_0 \in [0, 1]$, set $g_0 := \tau(x_0) \in \mathcal{U}$, where (\mathcal{U}, φ) is a local chart as above with $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow B(0, \rho_0)$ and $\varphi(g_0) = 0$. The map $x \rightarrow \varphi(\tau(x))$ is real analytic on a neighborhood of x_0 . Choose $\epsilon > 0$ so small that for all $z \in B_{\mathbb{C}}(x_0, \epsilon)$, $\varphi(\tau(z))$ is in $B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, \rho_1)$. Let E_{ij} , with $1 \leq i, j \leq \dim(\pi)$ be an orthonormal basis of $\text{End}(V_{\pi})$ for the inner product $(A, B) \mapsto \text{Tr}(A^* B)$. Each matrix coefficient $g \mapsto \text{Tr}(E_{ij} \pi(g))$ is an eigenfunction of Δ so we have for all $z \in B_{\mathbb{C}}(x_0, \epsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Tr}(E_{ij} \pi(\tau(z)))| &\leq e^{C\sqrt{\kappa_{\pi}}} \sup_{g \in \mathbf{G}} |\text{Tr}(E_{ij} \pi(g))| \\ &\leq e^{C\sqrt{\kappa_{\pi}}} \sup_g \|\pi(g)\|_{HS} = e^{C\sqrt{\kappa_{\pi}}} \sqrt{\dim(\pi)} \end{aligned}$$

by Schwarz inequality and the fact that π is unitary. Writing

$$\|\pi(\tau(z))\|_{HS}^2 = \sum_{i,j} |\text{Tr}(E_{ij} \pi(\tau(z)))|^2 \leq \dim(\pi)^3 e^{C\kappa_{\pi}},$$

the proof is done using the estimate (7). \square

5. DYNAMICAL ZETA FUNCTIONS AND TRACE FORMULA

Recall that our goal is to study the point spectrum of the transfer operator

$$\mathcal{M}_{\pi}(f)(x) := h_{\varphi}^{-1}(x) \sum_{Ty=x} e^{\varphi(y)} h_{\varphi}(y) f(y) \pi(\tau(y)),$$

where h_{φ} is a real analytic density furnished by the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius Theorem. We need to define a natural "matrix valued" function space which in our case will be

$$B_{\pi}^2(\Omega) := \left\{ f : \Omega \rightarrow \text{End}(V_{\pi}), \text{ holomorphic and } \int_{\Omega} \|f\|_{HS}^2 dx dy < +\infty \right\}.$$

It is a Hilbert space when endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{B^2}^2 := \int_{\Omega} \|f\|_{HS}^2 dx dy.$$

In the sequel, we assume that Ω is a small, fixed complex neighborhood of $[0, 1]$ such that all the previous analysis holds true. We recall the notations of §3. The contracting inverse branches are denoted by $\gamma_j : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ and we have for all $j = 1, \dots, k$, $\overline{\gamma_j(\Omega)} \subset \Omega$. Given $z \in \Omega$, $\mathcal{M}_{\pi}^n(f)(z)$ can be expressed as follows

$$\mathcal{M}_{\pi}^n(f)(z) = h_{\varphi}^{-1}(z) \sum_{|\alpha|=n} h_{\varphi}(\gamma_{\alpha} z) e^{\varphi^{(n)}(\gamma_{\alpha} z)} f(\gamma_{\alpha} z) \pi(\tau^{[n]}(\gamma_{\alpha} z)),$$

where $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, k\}^n$ and $\gamma_{\alpha} := \gamma_{\alpha_1} \circ \dots \circ \gamma_{\alpha_n}$. We have the following facts.

Proposition 5.1. (1) *Each $\mathcal{M}_{\pi} : B_{\pi}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow B_{\pi}^2(\Omega)$ is a compact trace class operator.*

(2) *The spectral radius of \mathcal{M}_{π} is bounded by 1.*

(3) *Let $|\lambda_0(\pi)| \geq |\lambda_1(\pi)| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n(\pi)|$ denote the sequence of eigenvalues of \mathcal{M}_{π} . There exists a constant $C > 0$ and $0 < \rho < 1$ such that for all π complex irreducible and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$|\lambda_n(\pi)| \leq C(\kappa_{\pi})^{d-1} e^{C\sqrt{\kappa_{\pi}}} \rho^n.$$

(4) *For all π complex irreducible and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the formula for the trace of \mathcal{M}_{π}^n*

$$\text{Tr}(\mathcal{M}_{\pi}^n) = \dim(\pi) \sum_{T^n x = x} \chi_{\pi}(\tau^{[n]}(x)) \frac{e^{\varphi^{(n)}(x)}}{1 - ((T^n)'(x))^{-1}},$$

where $\chi_{\pi}(g)$ is the character of π . The sum runs over n -periodic points of T and $\tau^{[n]}(x) = \tau(x) \dots \tau(T^{n-1}x)$.

Proof. (1) We let the reader check that \mathcal{M}_{π} is indeed bounded and compact by following the same ideas as in the scalar case. The fact that it is trace class will follow from the summability of the singular values estimates.

(2) It is enough to estimate the spectral radius when acting on continuous functions $C^0(I, \text{End}(V_{\pi}))$. Indeed, any $B_{\pi}^2(\Omega)$ eigenvalue is also a $C^0(I, \text{End}(V_{\pi}))$ eigenvalue. We endow the space $C^0(I, \text{End}(V_{\pi}))$ with the obvious norm

$$\|f\|_0 := \sup_{x \in I} \|f(x)\|.$$

Let $f \in C^0(I, \text{End}(V_{\pi}))$ and write (using unitarity of π)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}_{\pi}^n(f)(x)\| &\leq h_{\varphi}^{-1}(x) \sum_{|\alpha|=n} h_{\varphi}(\gamma_{\alpha} x) e^{\varphi^{(n)}(\gamma_{\alpha} x)} \|f(\gamma_{\alpha} x)\|, \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=n} e^{\sup_{x \in I} \varphi^{(n)}(\gamma_{\alpha} x)} \right) \|f\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Now the claim follows readily from the formula of the topological pressure:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sum_{T^n x = x} e^{2\varphi^{(n)}(x)} \right)^{1/n} = e^{P(\varphi)} = 1,$$

and the fact that there exists $M > 0$ such that for all n and all $x \in I$, all $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, k\}^n$,

$$\left| \frac{d}{dx} \varphi^{(n)}(\gamma_\alpha x) \right| \leq M$$

which is a standard fact in uniformly hyperbolic dynamics referred as "bounded distortion property".

(3) This estimate will follow from a singular value estimate. We recall that the n -th singular value of the compact operator \mathcal{M}_π is by definition the n -th eigenvalue (ordered decreasingly) of the positive compact self-adjoint operator $\sqrt{\mathcal{M}_\pi^* \mathcal{M}_\pi}$. They are given by Courant's minimax formula

$$s_n(\mathcal{M}_\pi) = \min_{\dim(F)=n} \max_{f \in F^\perp, \|f\| \leq 1} \|\mathcal{M}_\pi(f)\|_{\mathbb{B}^2}.$$

In particular, we can derive the fact that for any basis $(e_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $B_\pi^2(\Omega)$,

$$s_n(\mathcal{M}_\pi) \leq \sum_{l \geq n} \|\mathcal{M}_\pi(e_l)\|_{B^2}.$$

To be able to use that estimate, we need an "explicit" basis of $B^2(\Omega)$. We point out that if Ω is of type $\Omega_\epsilon := I + B(0, \epsilon)$ then the boundary is actually "Dini-smooth" (see [21]) and there exists a conformal mapping $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, where \mathbb{D} is the unit disc such that both ψ and ψ' are continuous up to the boundary of Ω . There is thus an isometry

$$\mathcal{J} : \begin{cases} B^2(\mathbb{D}) & \rightarrow B^2(\Omega) \\ f & \mapsto \psi'(f \circ \psi). \end{cases}$$

Viewing our Hilbert space $B_\pi^2(\Omega)$ as

$$B_\pi^2(\Omega) = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq \dim(\pi)} B^2(\Omega),$$

we have a natural Hilbert basis given by the family $(l \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i, j \leq \dim(\pi))$

$$\mathbf{e}_l^{ij}(z) := \left(\frac{l+1}{\pi} \right) E_{ij} \psi'(z) (\psi(z))^l,$$

Where E_{ij} is the linear map of V_π into itself whose matrix in a fixed orthonormal basis of V_π has zero entries everywhere except 1 for row i and column j .

For all $z \in \Omega$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{M}_\pi(\mathbf{e}_l^{ij})(z)\|_{HS} \\ & \leq C_1 k \sup_{y \in \Omega} e^{\varphi(y)} \sqrt{\dim(\pi)} (\kappa_\pi)^{3\frac{d-1}{8}} e^{C\sqrt{\kappa_\pi}} \max_m \|\mathbf{e}_l^{ij}(\gamma_m z)\|_{HS}, \end{aligned}$$

But we have

$$\|\mathbf{e}_l^{ij}(\gamma_m z)\|_{HS}^2 = \left| \left(\frac{l+1}{\pi} \right) \psi'(\gamma_m z) (\psi(\gamma_m z))^l \right|^2$$

and therefore

$$\|\mathbf{e}_l^{ij}(\gamma_m z)\|_{HS}^2 \leq C_2 l^2 \rho^{2l},$$

where

$$\rho = \sup_{z \in \Omega, 1 \leq m \leq k} |\psi(\gamma_m z)| < 1.$$

To conclude this estimate, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_n(\mathcal{M}_\pi) &\leq \sum_{l \geq n} \sum_{i,j} \|\mathbf{e}_l^{ij}(\gamma_m z)\|_{B^2} \\ &\leq C_3 \kappa_\pi^{d-1} e^{C\sqrt{\kappa_\pi}} (\tilde{\rho})^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho < \tilde{\rho} < 1$. To relate it with the eigenvalue estimate, we simply write

$$|\lambda_n| \leq \left(\prod_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k| \right)^{1/n} \leq \left(\prod_{k=1}^n |s_k| \right)^{1/n},$$

where we have used (in the second equality) a standard Weyl inequality (see [23] on Weyl inequalities) which gives the desired estimate.

(4) The trace is usually defined by the following formula:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{M}_\pi^n) := \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{i,j} \langle \mathbf{e}_l^{ij}, \mathcal{M}_\pi^n(\mathbf{e}_l^{ij}) \rangle_{B^2}.$$

Let us write

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i,j} \langle \mathbf{e}_l^{ij}, \mathcal{M}_\pi^n(\mathbf{e}_l^{ij}) \rangle_{B^2} = \\ &\sum_{|\alpha|=n} \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} h_\varphi^{-1}(z) h_\varphi(\gamma_\alpha z) e^{\varphi^{(n)}(\gamma_\alpha z)} \overline{\mathbf{e}_l(z)} \mathbf{e}_l(\gamma_\alpha z) \Psi_{i,j,\alpha}(z) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$\Psi_{i,j,\alpha}(z) := \mathrm{Tr} \left(E_{ij}^* E_{ij} \pi(\tau^{[m]}(\gamma_\alpha z)) \right).$$

Since we have

$$E_{ij}^* E_{ij} = E_{jj},$$

we have

$$\sum_{i,j} \Psi_{i,j,\alpha}(z) = \dim(\pi) \mathrm{Tr}(\pi(\tau^{[m]}(\gamma_\alpha z))).$$

We end up with

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i,j} \langle \mathbf{e}_l^{ij}, \mathcal{M}_\pi^n(\mathbf{e}_l^{ij}) \rangle_{B^2} = \\ &\sum_{|\alpha|=n} \dim(\pi) \int_{\Omega} h_\varphi^{-1}(z) h_\varphi(\gamma_\alpha z) e^{\varphi^{(n)}(\gamma_\alpha z)} \overline{\mathbf{e}_l(z)} \mathbf{e}_l(\gamma_\alpha z) \chi_\pi(\tau^{[n]}(\gamma_\alpha z)) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over all l , using uniform convergence and Fubini, we are left to compute

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{M}_\pi^n) = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \int_{\Omega} F_n(z) B_\Omega(\gamma_\alpha z, z) dx dy,$$

where $F_n(z) = \dim(\pi) h_\varphi^{-1}(z) h_\varphi(\gamma_\alpha z) e^{\varphi^{(n)}(\gamma_\alpha z)} \chi_\pi(\tau^{[n]}(\gamma_\alpha z))$, and $B_\Omega(z, \zeta)$ is the classical Bergman kernel of the domain Ω . Using the conformal change of variable, we can assume that Ω is the unit disc and it is now a standard computation (using Stokes theorem) that yields the desired formula. \square

We can now state the analog of Proposition 3.2. We set in the following for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\mathcal{Z}_\pi(\zeta) := \det(I - \zeta \mathcal{M}_\pi).$$

Proposition 5.2. *Assume that for all κ_π large, $\mathcal{Z}_\pi(\zeta)$ does not vanish on the open disc $D(0, \rho_1)$, for some $\rho_1 > 0$. Then for all $r < \rho_1$, one can find $C_r > 0$ such that for all κ_π large and all $\zeta \in D(0, r)$,*

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{Z}'_\pi(\zeta)}{\mathcal{Z}_\pi(\zeta)} \right| \leq C_r \sqrt{\kappa_\pi}.$$

Proof. Use the bound (2) and (3) from the previous proposition and mimic the proof of Proposition 3.2. One can observe that the polynomial terms in estimate (3) do not contribute to the final estimate. \square

6. HEAT KERNELS ON COMPACT LIE GROUPS

Our basic reference for that section is [7]. Let M be a compact d -dimensional Riemannian Manifold. let Δ be the (positive) Laplacian on M . Let $f \in L^2(M)$ and consider the Cauchy problem (heat equation):

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta u(x, t) + \partial_t u(x, t) &= 0, \quad t > 0 \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t) &= f(x) \in L^2. \end{cases}$$

It is a well known fact, see [7], chapter 5, that there exists a unique kernel $H(t; x, y) \in C^\infty((0, +\infty) \times M \times M)$ such that for $t > 0$,

$$u(t, x) = \int_M H(t; x, y) f(y) d\text{Vol}(y),$$

solves the problem (8). Moreover $H(t; x, y) > 0$ for all $t > 0$. A basic lower bound which will be useful for us is following. One can find a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$ small enough and $x, y \in M$,

$$H(t; x, y) \geq \frac{C}{t^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{\text{dist}(x, y)^2}{4t}},$$

where $\text{dist}(x, y)$ is the Riemannian distance. This estimate follows from a Harnack estimate of Li and Yau (see [7], P. 162) and the local asymptotics on the diagonal as $t \rightarrow 0$. This estimate is actually valid on non compact complete manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded from below. If we assume that $M = \mathbf{G}$ has a Lie group structure then a formula for the heat kernel $H(t; x, y)$ can be derived using characters of representations. More precisely, we have the following.

Proposition 6.1. *Assume that \mathbf{G} is a compact Lie Group endowed with a left-right invariant Riemannian metric. Then for all $t > 0$, we have*

$$H(t; x, y) = h_t(xy^{-1}) := \sum_{\pi} e^{-t\kappa_\pi} \dim(\pi) \chi_\pi(xy^{-1}),$$

the sum (on all irreducible representations) being uniformly convergent.

Proof. This result is fairly standard (see [12], chapter 12), however we will encounter in the proof some estimates that will be important later. First we recall that by Weyl asymptotics, as $R \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\#\{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(\Delta) : \lambda \leq R\} \sim C_d R^{d/2},$$

eigenvalues being counted with multiplicities. Since the space M_π of coefficients of the representation π has dimension $\dim(\pi)^2$ and each $F \in M_\pi$ satisfies $\Delta F = \kappa_\pi F$, we deduce that

$$N(R) := \sum_{\kappa_\pi \leq R} \dim(\pi)^2 \leq CR^{d/2}.$$

Let $f \in L^2(G)$ and set for all $t \geq 0$,

$$u(x, t) := \sum_{\pi} e^{-\kappa_\pi t} \dim(\pi) \operatorname{Tr}(\widehat{f}(\pi) \pi(x)),$$

where

$$\widehat{f}(\pi) = \int_G f(g) \pi(g^{-1}) dm(g).$$

By Peter-Weyl theorem (see for example [12], Chapter 6), this above expression makes sense in $L^2(G)$ and is equal to f for $t = 0$. Let us show that $u(x, t)$ indeed solves the heat equation. First remark that by Schwarz inequality (twice), we have

$$|\operatorname{Tr}(\widehat{f}(\pi) \pi(x))| \leq \|\widehat{f}(\pi)\|_{HS} \|\pi(x)\|_{HS} \leq \dim(\pi) \|f\|_{L^2(G)}.$$

This allows us to bound using Stieltjes integrals ($t > 0$)

$$|u(x, t)| \leq \sum_{\pi} e^{-\kappa_\pi t} \dim(\pi)^2 \|f\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-vt} dN(v).$$

Using summation by parts, the above integral is clearly seen to be convergent since $N(v) = O(v^{d/2})$. Therefore the above series are uniformly convergent for all $t > 0$. Similarly, we have

$$|\Delta(\operatorname{Tr}(\widehat{f}(\pi) \pi(x)))| \leq \kappa_\pi \dim(\pi) \|f\|_{L^2(G)},$$

so that

$$\sum_{\pi} e^{-\kappa_\pi t} \dim(\pi) \Delta(\operatorname{Tr}(\widehat{f}(\pi) \pi(x)))$$

is uniformly convergent, allowing us to write

$$\Delta u(x, t) = \sum_{\pi} e^{-\kappa_\pi t} \dim(\pi) \kappa_\pi \operatorname{Tr}(\widehat{f}(\pi) \pi(x)).$$

On the other hand, a similar argument shows that

$$\partial_t u(x, t) = - \sum_{\pi} e^{-\kappa_\pi t} \dim(\pi) \kappa_\pi \operatorname{Tr}(\widehat{f}(\pi) \pi(x)).$$

It remains to check that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t) = f(x)$ in $L^2(G)$ sense. This follows easily from Plancherel formula

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(x, t) - f(x)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \sum_{\pi} \dim(\pi) \|\widehat{f}(\pi)(1 - e^{-\kappa_\pi t})\|_{HS}^2 \\ &= (1 - e^{-\kappa_\pi t})^2 \|f\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using uniform convergence, we can finally write ($t > 0$)

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{\pi} e^{-\kappa_\pi t} \dim(\pi) \operatorname{Tr} \left(\int_G f(g) \pi(g^{-1}) dm(g) \pi(x) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\pi} e^{-\kappa_{\pi} t} \dim(\pi) \int_G f(g) \chi_{\pi}(g^{-1}x) dm(g) \\
&= \int_G h_t(xg^{-1}) f(g) dm(g).
\end{aligned}$$

Uniqueness of the heat kernel concludes the proof. \square

7. PROOF IN THE ABSTRACT CASE

The proof starts with the integral formula ($r > 1$)

$$(9) \quad -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{|\zeta|=r} \frac{\mathcal{Z}'_{\pi}(\zeta)}{\mathcal{Z}_{\pi}(\zeta)} \zeta^{-n} d\zeta = \dim(\pi) \sum_{T^n x=x} \chi_{\pi}(\tau^{[n]}(x)) \frac{e^{\varphi^{(n)}(x)}}{1 - ((T^n)'(x))^{-1}}.$$

We set for all π irreducible and $n \geq 1$

$$W(n, \pi) := \dim(\pi) \sum_{T^n x=x} \chi_{\pi}(\tau^{[n]}(x)) \frac{e^{\varphi^{(n)}(x)}}{1 - ((T^n)'(x))^{-1}}.$$

The first step is to examine the following average on representations: ($t > 0$)

$$\mathcal{S}(n, t) := \sum_{\pi} e^{-\kappa_{\pi} t} \frac{|W(n, \pi)|^2}{\dim(\pi)^2}.$$

Proposition 7.1. *There exists a constant $A > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$ small and $n \geq 1$,*

$$\mathcal{S}(n, t) \geq At^{-\frac{\text{rank}(\mathbf{G})}{2}} \sum_{T^n x=x} e^{2\varphi^{(n)}(x)},$$

where $\text{rank}(\mathbf{G})$ is the real dimension of maximal torii.

Proof. By a direct computation, we have

$$\mathcal{S}(n, t) = \sum_{\substack{T^n x=x \\ T^n x'=x'}} \frac{e^{\varphi^{(n)}(x)}}{1 - ((T^n)'(x))^{-1}} \frac{e^{\varphi^{(n)}(x')}}{1 - ((T^n)'(x'))^{-1}} F(t; \tau^{[n]}(x), \tau^{[n]}(x')),$$

where we have set

$$F(t; a, b) := \sum_{\pi} e^{-t\kappa_{\pi}} \chi_{\pi}(a) \overline{\chi_{\pi}(b)}.$$

Using the formula

$$\int_G \chi_{\pi}(xgyg^{-1}) dm(g) = \frac{\chi_{\pi}(x) \chi_{\pi}(y)}{\dim(\pi)},$$

and uniform convergence of the above series for $t > 0$, we get

$$F(t; a, b) = \int_G h_t(agb^{-1}g^{-1}) dm(g),$$

where $h_t(x)$ is the heat kernel, see previous §. The heat kernel being positive, we drop all the off-diagonal terms to get

$$\mathcal{S}(n, t) \geq \sum_{T^n x=x} \frac{e^{2\varphi^{(n)}(x)}}{(1 - ((T^n)'(x))^{-1})^2} F(t; \tau^{[n]}(x), \tau^{[n]}(x)).$$

We therefore need to estimate from below the quantity $F(t; a, a)$ for all $a \in \mathbf{G}$. First observe that if \mathbf{G} is commutative i.e. is a d -torus, then

$F(t, a, a)$ is just $h_t(e_{\mathbf{G}})$ where $e_{\mathbf{G}}$ is the neutral element, and the claim follows readily using the short time asymptotics of the heat kernel. If \mathbf{G} is not a torus, then we can still use Cartan's theorem (see [6]) which says the following things. Call a maximal torus a maximal (for the dimension) abelian subgroup of \mathbf{G} .

- (1) All maximal torii are conjugated.
- (2) Every $g \in \mathbf{G}$ is inside a maximal torus.

Given a maximal torus $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{G}$ we detone by \mathbf{T}_ϵ the ϵ -tube around \mathbf{T} defined by

$$\mathbf{T}_\epsilon := \{g \in \mathbf{G} : \text{dist}(g, \mathbf{T}) \leq \epsilon\},$$

where "dist" is the left-right invariant Riemannian distance. Notice that by property (1) of Cartan's theorem and invariance of both distance and Haar volume, for all maximal torii \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T}' , we have for all $\epsilon > 0$

$$m(\mathbf{T}_\epsilon) = m(\mathbf{T}'_\epsilon),$$

where m is Haar measure. Given $a \in \mathbf{G}$, pick a maximal torus $\mathbf{T} \ni a$ and write

$$F(t; a, a) \geq \int_{\mathbf{T}_\epsilon} h_t(aga^{-1}g^{-1})dm(g).$$

Using the Gaussian lower bound for the heat kernel from §6, we have therefore

$$F(t; a, a) \geq \frac{C}{t^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbf{T}_\epsilon} \exp\left(-\frac{\text{dist}^2(aga^{-1}g^{-1}, e_{\mathbf{G}})}{4t}\right) dm(g).$$

Given $g \in \mathbf{T}_\epsilon$, pick $\tilde{g} \in \mathbf{T}$ such that $\text{dist}(g, \tilde{g}) \leq 2\epsilon$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(aga^{-1}g^{-1}, e_{\mathbf{G}}) &= \text{dist}(ag, ga) \\ &\leq \text{dist}(ag, a\tilde{g}) + \underbrace{\text{dist}(a\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}a)}_{=0} + \text{dist}(\tilde{g}a, ga) \\ &= 2\text{dist}(g, \tilde{g}) \leq 4\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\epsilon = \sqrt{t}$, we get for all $t > 0$ small,

$$(10) \quad F(t; a, a) \geq \frac{Ce^{-4}}{t^{d/2}} m(\mathbf{T}_\epsilon).$$

Remark now that $m(\mathbf{T}_\epsilon)$ does not depend on the chosen maximal torus (and hence a) and we can fix a maximal torus \mathbf{T} once for all to compute $m(\mathbf{T}_\epsilon)$. Since Haar measure is proportional to Riemannian volume and maximal torii are smooth submanifolds, the final estimate will follow from an easy volume estimate (from below). Set for simplicity $r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{G})$. By $B_n(0, \alpha)$ we mean the euclidean ball of \mathbb{R}^n of radius α centered at 0. The usual euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by $|x|$. Let \mathcal{U} be a coordinate neighborhood around $e_{\mathbf{G}}$ and $\psi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ a coordinate map such that

$$\psi(\mathcal{U}) = B_r(0, \alpha_1) \times B_{d-r}(0, \alpha_2).$$

Since \mathbf{T} is a submanifold, we can linearize it locally and choose ψ so that

$$\psi(\mathbf{T} \cap \mathcal{U}) = B_r(0, \alpha_1) \times \{0\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that the Riemannian metric $g = \sum g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$ in this coordinate patch is continuous up to the boundary. Let

$d_g(x, y)$ denote the associated distance on $\psi(\mathcal{U})$. Compactness then shows that for all $x, y \in \psi(\mathcal{U})$,

$$d_g(x, y) \leq C_1|x - y|,$$

for some $C_1 > 0$. For all $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, we have

$$\psi(\mathbf{T}_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{U}) \supset B_r(0, \alpha_1) \times B_{d-r}(0, \epsilon/C_1).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} m(\mathbf{T}_\epsilon) &\geq m(\mathbf{T}_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{U}) = \int_{\psi(\mathbf{T}_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{U})} \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} dx_1 \dots dx_d \\ &\geq C_2 \text{Leb}(B_r(0, \alpha_1) \times B_{d-r}(0, \epsilon/C_1)) = C_3 \epsilon^{d-r}, \end{aligned}$$

here Leb is of course Lebesgue measure. Going back to formula (10) and writing $\epsilon = \sqrt{t}$, the proof is done. \square

We can now go back to the main proof of Theorem 2.1. Fix $R > 0$ large and assume that for all irreducible π with $\kappa_\pi \geq R$, the compact operator $\mathcal{M}_\pi : B_\pi^2(\Omega) \rightarrow B_\pi^2(\Omega)$ has no eigenvalues outside the disc

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \rho < 1\}.$$

Each meromorphic function $\zeta \mapsto \mathcal{Z}'_\pi(\zeta)/\mathcal{Z}_\pi(\zeta)$ is therefore analytic inside

$$\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| \leq \rho^{-1}\}.$$

Using the integral formula (9), we can perform a contour shift and use the upper bound of Proposition (5.2) to deduce that for $\kappa_\pi \geq R$, we have for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$|W(n, \pi)| \leq C_\epsilon(\rho + \epsilon)^{n-1} \sqrt{\kappa_\pi}.$$

On the other hand, for all π with $\kappa_\pi < R$ (which corresponds to a finite number of non-equivalent irreducible representations), we can use the trace formula and the fact that $P(\varphi) = 0$ to write for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$|W(n, q)| \leq C_\epsilon(1 + \epsilon)^n.$$

Gathering our estimates, we have for all $\epsilon > 0$, all $t > 0$ small and $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} At^{-\text{rank}(\mathbf{G})/2} \sum_{T^n x=x} e^{2\varphi^{(n)}(x)} &\leq O((1 + \epsilon)^{2n}) \\ &+ O\left((\rho + \epsilon)^{2n} \sum_{\kappa_\pi \geq R} e^{-t\kappa_\pi} \frac{\kappa_\pi}{(\dim(\pi))^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Assume we have established that as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$\sum_{\pi} e^{-t\kappa_\pi} \frac{\kappa_\pi}{(\dim(\pi))^2} = O(t^{-\beta}),$$

for some $\beta > 0$. Choosing $t = e^{-\alpha n}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\alpha \text{rank}(\mathbf{G})/2} \left(\sum_{T^n x=x} e^{2\varphi^{(n)}(x)} \right)^{1/n} \\ \leq \left(O((1 + \epsilon)^{2n}) + O(e^{\alpha\beta n}(\rho + \epsilon)^{2n}) \right)^{1/n}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$e^{\alpha \text{rank}(\mathbf{G})/2} e^{P(2\varphi)} \leq \max\{(1+\epsilon)^2, (\rho+\epsilon)^2 e^{\alpha\beta}\}.$$

We have a contradiction if we take

$$\alpha = \frac{2|P(2\varphi)|}{\text{rank}(\mathbf{G})} + 3\epsilon,$$

and

$$\rho + \epsilon < (1 + \epsilon)e^{-\alpha\beta/2} = (1 + \epsilon)e^{-3\epsilon\beta/2} e^{\beta \frac{P(2\varphi)}{\text{rank}(\mathbf{G})}}.$$

We can therefore take for $\gamma(\mathbf{G}) = \beta/\text{rank}(\mathbf{G})$. It remains to compute β in general. The quality of the estimate highly depends on the informations that we have on $\dim(\pi)$. Clearly if we have no available *lower bound* for $\dim(\pi)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pi} e^{-t\kappa_{\pi}} \frac{\kappa_{\pi}}{(\dim(\pi))^2} &\leq \sum_{\pi} e^{-t\kappa_{\pi}} \kappa_{\pi} \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-tu} u dN(u), \end{aligned}$$

where $N(u)$ is the counting function for the eigenvalues defined in §6. Summation by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-tu} u dN(u) &= O(1) + \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-tu} (tu - 1) N(u) du \\ &= O\left(t \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-tu} u^{d/2+1} du\right) = O\left(t^{-(1+d/2)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the value $\gamma(\mathbf{G}) = \frac{1+d/2}{\text{rank}(\mathbf{G})}$. Below we list the values of $\gamma(\mathbf{G})$ for the most classical groups.

Group \mathbf{G}	Constant $\gamma(\mathbf{G})$
$\mathbf{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{d}$
$U_n(\mathbb{C})$	$\frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{n}$
$SU_{2p}(\mathbb{C})$	$\frac{1}{2p} + 2p$
$SU_{2p+1}(\mathbb{C})$	$\frac{1}{p} + 2p + 2$
$SO_{2p}(\mathbb{R})$	$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{2p-1}{2}$
$SO_{2p+1}(\mathbb{R})$	$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{2p+1}{2}$

We point out that except in the case of torii where $\dim(\pi) = 1$, the values of $\gamma(\mathbf{G})$ can be improved when some explicit enough formulas are valid, which should be the case of some of the groups listed above. Let us just have a look at the example of $SU_2(\mathbb{C})$. In that case the irreducible complex representations π_m are parametrized by $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and we have $\kappa_{\pi_m} = m(m+2)$, $\dim(\pi_m) = m+1$, see for example [12]. Going back to the above estimate, we are left with

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pi} e^{-t\kappa_{\pi}} \frac{\kappa_{\pi}}{(\dim(\pi))^2} &= O\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-tm(m+2)}\right) \\ &= O\left(t^{-1/2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We have obtained $\gamma(SU_2(\mathbb{C})) = 1/2$ instead of the $5/2$ given by the above formula. Being doubly covered by $SU_2(\mathbb{C})$, the same estimate holds for $SO_3(\mathbb{R})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Artur Avila, Sébastien Gouëzel, and Masato Tsujii. Smoothness of solenoidal attractors. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 15(1):21–35, 2006.
- [2] Viviane Baladi. *Positive transfer operators and decay of correlations*, volume 16 of *Advanced series in Nonlinear dynamics*. World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
- [3] Viviane Baladi and Brigitte Vallée. Euclidean algorithms are Gaussian. *J. Number Theory*, 110(2):331–386, 2005.
- [4] Oscar F. Bandtlow and Oliver Jenkinson. Explicit eigenvalue estimates for transfer operators acting on spaces of holomorphic functions. *Adv. Math.*, 218(3):902–925, 2008.
- [5] Oscar F. Bandtlow and Oliver Jenkinson. On the Ruelle eigenvalue sequence. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 28(6):1701–1711, 2008.
- [6] Daniel Bump. *Lie groups*, volume 225 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.
- [7] E. B. Davies. *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, volume 92 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [8] Dmitry Dolgopyat. On decay of correlations in Anosov flows. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 147(2):357–390, 1998.
- [9] Dmitry Dolgopyat. On mixing properties of compact group extensions of hyperbolic systems. *Israel journal of mathematics*, 130:157–205, 2002.
- [10] Dmitry Dolgopyat and Mark Pollicott. Addendum to: “Periodic orbits and dynamical spectra” [Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 18 (1998), no. 2, 255–292; MR 2000h:37031] by V. Baladi. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 18(2):293–301, 1998.
- [11] Harold Donnelly and Charles Fefferman. Nodal sets of eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 93(1):161–183, 1988.
- [12] Jacques Faraut. *Analysis on Lie groups*, volume 110 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008. An introduction.
- [13] Frédéric Faure and Nicolas Roy. Ruelle-Pollicott resonances for real analytic hyperbolic maps. *Nonlinearity*, 19(6):1233–1252, 2006.
- [14] Michael Field, Ian Melbourne, and Andrew Török. Decay of correlations, central limit theorems and approximation by Brownian motion for compact Lie group extensions. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 23(1):87–110, 2003.
- [15] Sébastien Gouëzel. Local limit theorem for nonuniformly partially hyperbolic skew-products and Farey sequences. *Duke Math. J.*, 147:192–284, 2009.
- [16] Frédéric Naud. Expanding maps on Cantor sets and analytic continuation of zeta functions. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 38(1):116–153, 2005.
- [17] Frédéric Naud. Entropy and decay of correlations for real analytic semi-flows. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 10(3):429–451, 2009.
- [18] William Parry and Mark Pollicott. Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics. *Astérisque*, (187-188):268, 1990.
- [19] Mark Pollicott. On the mixing of Axiom A attracting flows and a conjecture of Ruelle. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 19(2):535–548, 1999.
- [20] Mark Pollicott and Richard Sharp. Exponential error terms for growth functions on negatively curved surfaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, 120(5):1019–1042, 1998.
- [21] Ch. Pommerenke. *Boundary behaviour of conformal maps*, volume 299 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [22] David Ruelle. Zeta-functions for expanding maps and Anosov flows. *Invent. Math.*, 34(3):231–242, 1976.
- [23] Barry Simon. *Trace ideals and their applications*, volume 35 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979.

- [24] Christopher D. Sogge. Concerning the L^p norm of spectral clusters for second-order elliptic operators on compact manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 77(1):123–138, 1988.
- [25] Luchezar Stoyanov. Spectrum of the Ruelle operator and exponential decay of correlations for open billiard flows. *Amer. J. Math.*, **123**(4):715–759, 2001.
- [26] E. C. Titchmarsh. *The theory of functions*. Oxford University Press, second edition, 1932.
- [27] Masato Tsujii. Decay of correlations in suspension semi-flows of angle-multiplying maps. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 28(1):291–317, 2008.

LABORATOIRE D'ANALYSE NON-LINÉAIRE ET GÉOMÉTRIE, UNIVERSITÉ D'AVIGNON, 33
RUE LOUIS PASTEUR, 84000 AVIGNON FRANCE.

E-mail address: `frederic.naud@univ-avignon.fr`