

## Synergy of fosfomycin with other antibiotics for Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria

Antonia C. Kastoris, Petros I. Rafailidis, Evridiki K. Vouloumanou, Ioannis D. Gkegkes, Matthew E. Falagas

### ▶ To cite this version:

Antonia C. Kastoris, Petros I. Rafailidis, Evridiki K. Vouloumanou, Ioannis D. Gkegkes, Matthew E. Falagas. Synergy of fosfomycin with other antibiotics for Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 2010, 66 (4), pp.359-368. 10.1007/s00228-010-0794-5. hal-00570019

## HAL Id: hal-00570019 https://hal.science/hal-00570019

Submitted on 26 Feb 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### PHARMACODYNAMICS

# Synergy of fosfomycin with other antibiotics for Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria

Antonia C. Kastoris • Petros I. Rafailidis • Evridiki K. Vouloumanou • Ioannis D. Gkegkes • Matthew E. Falagas

Received: 24 August 2009 / Accepted: 26 January 2010 / Published online: 26 February 2010 © Springer-Verlag 2010

#### Abstract

*Background* The alarming increase in drug resistance and decreased production of new antibiotics necessitate the evaluation of combinations of existing antibiotics. Fosfomycin shows no cross-resistance to other antibiotic classes. Thus, its combination with other antibiotics may potentially show synergy against resistant bacteria.

*Objective* To evaluate the available published evidence regarding the in vitro synergistic activity of fosfomycin with other antibiotic agents against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria.

*Methods* PubMed and the Cochrane Library were searched. *Results* Forty-one studies, including 34 (82.9%) conducted/ published before 2000, were eligible for inclusion. The relatively limited number of isolates examined and the considerable heterogeneity of the retrieved studies regarding the definitions of synergy and the methodologies used hamper conclusive remarks for specific combinations of fosfomycin

A. C. Kastoris · P. I. Rafailidis · E. K. Vouloumanou · I. D. Gkegkes · M. E. Falagas Alfa Institute of Biomedical Sciences (AIBS), Athens, Greece

P. I. Rafailidis · M. E. Falagas Department of Medicine, Henry Dunant Hospital, Athens, Greece

M. E. Falagas Department of Medicine, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA

M. E. Falagas (⊠)
Alfa Institute of Biomedical Sciences (AIBS),
9 Neapoleos Street,
151 23 Marousi, Athens, Greece
e-mail: m.falagas@aibs.gr

with other antibiotics. Yet, in the 27 studies providing data for Gram-positive strains (16 for *Staphylococcus aureus*, 3 for coagulase-negative staphylococci, 5 for *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, and 3 for *Enterococcus* spp.), fosfomycin showed synergy against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* when combined with cefamandole, cephazolin, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin, imipenem, and rifampicin. Data regarding Gram-negative strains reported from 15 studies (12 exclusively for *P. aeruginosa*, 2 exclusively for Enterobacteriaceae, 1 for both, and 1 for *Acinetobacter baumannii*) suggested that fosfomycin showed an estimable synergistic effect with gentamicin, amikacin, ceftazidime, cefepime, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, and aztreonam against *P. aeruginosa*.

*Conclusions* The synergistic combination of fosfomycin with other antibiotics may be a useful alternative treatment option for Gram-negative and Gram-positive infections. Additional studies using more stringent definitions of synergy, and studies reporting on the clinical efficacy of fosfomycin combinations in the current era of high antimicrobial resistance are needed.

Keywords Drug-resistance  $\cdot$  Synergistic combinations  $\cdot$  Antagonism  $\cdot$  MRSA  $\cdot$  ESBLs

#### Introduction

The alarmingly increasing drug resistance rates observed in our era limit our armamentarium of antibiotics and necessitate the development and evaluation of alternative ways to cope with this issue. Specifically, the renewal of physicians' interest in older and neglected antibiotic agents [1, 2], as well as the use of combinations of antibiotic agents for the treatment of patients with infections may be useful "weapons" in the "battle" against antimicrobial resistance. Fosfomycin is an old antibiotic agent that has been used for the treatment of uncomplicated urinary tract infections in many clinical settings [3, 4]. Additionally, data reported from recent studies encourage the use of fosfomycin for the treatment of infections beyond the urinary tract [5, 6] as well as for infections due to specific categories of resistant bacteria [7, 8]. Consequently, a potential synergistic activity of fosfomycin with other antibiotic agents may prove to be an important issue with considerable clinical implications.

In this regard, we aimed to collect and evaluate the available evidence regarding the in vitro synergistic activity of fosfomycin in combination with other antibiotic agents against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria.

#### Methods

#### Literature search

We searched the PubMed and the Cochrane Library databases. The search strategy applied to these databases was "(fosfomycin OR phosphomycin OR phosphonomycin) AND (synergy OR synergism OR combination OR antagonism)." Bibliographies of relevant articles were also hand-searched.

#### Study selection criteria

A study was considered as eligible for inclusion if it provided data regarding the in vitro use of fosfomycin in combination with other antibiotic agents against Grampositive and/or Gram-negative microbial strains. Studies written in languages other than English, Spanish, French, German, Italian, or Dutch were excluded. Abstracts from scientific conferences were also excluded.

#### Data extraction

We extracted data regarding the laboratory methods used, the fosfomycin break point, the susceptibility of the pathogens to fosfomycin, the pathogens isolated, the site of isolation, and the type of antibiotics used in the combined therapy. Data regarding the number of bacterial strains for which synergism between fosfomycin and other selected antibiotic agents was observed were also extracted.

Our searches performed in PubMed and the Cochrane Library

generated a total of 411 and 36 articles, respectively. Among

#### Results

#### Selected studies

these, 41 studies were considered eligible for inclusion in our review [9–49].

Characteristics of the included studies

Twenty-seven of the 41 included studies provided data regarding the number of Gram-positive strains for which a synergistic effect of fosfomycin with other selected antibiotic agents was exhibited [10, 13, 15, 19, 21, 23–26, 28–33, 35–44, 46, 47]. Fifteen of the 41 included studies provided data regarding the number of Gram-negative strains for which a synergistic effect of fosfomycin with other selected antibiotic agents was exhibited [9, 11, 12, 14, 16–18, 20, 22, 27, 34, 45, 47–49]. One of the 41 included studies provided data regarding the number of Gram-positive as well as Gram-negative strains for which a synergistic effect of fosfomycin with other selected attributed [47].

The definition of *synergy* used by the majority of the included studies (25 of the 41 included) was the fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI), represented by the formula FICI=(MIC of fosfomycin in combination/MIC of fosfomycin alone)+(MIC of the antibiotic in combination/ MIC of the antibiotic alone), where MIC is the minimal inhibitory concentration. The efficacy time index (ETI) technique was also used in two of the included studies for the assessment of the synergistic effect of fosfomycin with the evaluated antibiotics [13, 14]. Detailed data regarding the laboratory methods used, the fosfomycin break points, the definition of synergy used, the antibiotics evaluated, as well as the pathogens evaluated along with the site of isolation are presented, when available, in Table 1.

#### Synergy of fosfomycin with other antibiotics

#### Gram-positive strains

Thirteen studies provided data regarding the in vitro synergistic effect of fosfomycin with other antibiotics against MRSA strains [13, 19, 26, 29–31, 36, 41–44, 46]. The study examining the largest number of MRSA strains reported a good synergistic effect of fosfomycin with cefamandole and with methicillin (65.5 and 31% of the 148 tested strains, respectively) [42]. However, the findings of this study were not encouraging regarding the potential synergistic effect of fosfomycin with gentamicin, trimethoprim, and vancomycin [42]. Two other studies providing data for a considerable number of MRSA strains reported a synergistic effect of 100% for the combination of fosfomycin with cefazolin [31]. Encouraging relevant data were also reported from

#### Table 1 Main characteristics of the studies included in the review

| First author,<br>year [ref]                       | Laboratory method                                  | Fosfomycin<br>break point  | Definition of synergy                                   | Antibiotics evaluated         | Pathogens evaluated/site of isolation       |
|---|--|--|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Yamada et<br>al., 2007                            | Broth microdilution                                | CLSI criteria  | FICI≤0.5  | Ciprofloxacin                 | Ciprofloxacin-resistant P.<br>aeruginosa/NR |
| [9]<br>Sahuquillo<br>Arce et<br>al., 2006<br>[10] | Checkerboard method                                | Lowest<br>concentration<br>that<br>prevented<br>growth after<br>24 h, NR | FICI≤0.5  | Linezolid                     | MSSA/NR                                     |
| Mikuniya et<br>al., 2005<br>[11]                  | Checkerboard method                                | NR   | FICI≤0.5  | Ulifloxacin<br>Levofloxacin   | P. aeruginosa/NR                            |
| Pruekprasert<br>et al.,                           | Checkerboard titration method                      | CLSI criteria  | FICI≤0.5  | Gentamicin<br>Ceftazidime     | P. aeruginosa/NR                            |
| 2005 [12]   |  |  |   | Imipenem                      |   |
| Nakazawa<br>et al.,                               | Broth microdilution<br>method designed by          | NR   | ETI assay 1–8 index=synergy                             | Imipenem<br>Cefmetazole       | MRSA/wounds of burn patients                |
| 2003 [13]   | the Japanese Society<br>of Chemotherapy            |  |   | Sulbactam/<br>cefoperazone    |   |
|   |  |  |   | Panipenem                     |   |
|   |  |  |   | Ampicillin                    |   |
|   |  |  |   | Cefotiam                      |   |
|   |  |  |   | Flomoxef<br>sodium            |   |
|   |  |  |   | Arbekacin                     |   |
|   |  |  |   | Vancomycin                    |   |
|   |  |  |   | Minocycline                   |   |
|   |  |  |   | Ofloxacin                     |   |
| al., 2002   | Efficiency time index<br>assay                     | ≤16  | ETI ≥1 to <8 good synergism,<br>≥ 8 excellent synergism | Cefepime<br>Ceftazidime       | P. aeruginosa/NR                            |
| [14]  |  |  |   | Aztreonam                     |   |
|   |  |  |   | Imipenem                      |   |
|   |  |  |   | Meropenem                     |   |
|   |  |  |   | Gentamicin                    |   |
|   |  |  |   | Piperacillin                  |   |
|   |  |  |   | Levofloxacin                  |   |
| Grif et al.,<br>2001 [15]                         | Checkerboard method<br>and killing curve<br>method | ≤16  | NR  | Rifampicin<br>Linezolid       | S. epidermidis, S. aureus/cathe             |
|   |  |  |   | Quinupristin/<br>dalfopristin |   |
|   |  |  |   | Moxifloxacin                  |   |
|   |  |  |   | Vancomycin                    |   |
|   |  |  |   | Cefazolin                     |   |
|   |  |  |   | Meropenem                     |   |
| Hayami et<br>al., 1999                            | Checkerboard method<br>and killing curve           | NR   | FICI≤0.5  | Meropenem<br>Amikacin         | P. aeruginosa/UTI                           |
| [16]  | method, agar dilution                              |  |   | Ceftazidime                   |   |
|   |  |  |   | Ciprofloxacin                 |   |
| Traub et al.,<br>1998 [17]                        |  | CLSI criteria  | NR  | Amikacin                      | MDR <i>P. aeruginosa</i> /trachea           |
| Dubrous et<br>al., 1997                           | Agar dilution                                      | ≤16  | NR  | Ceftazidime                   | P. aeruginosa/NR                            |

[18]

#### Table 1 (continued)

| First author,<br>year [ref]           | Laboratory method  | Fosfomycin<br>break point                                     | Definition of synergy   | Antibiotics<br>evaluated    | Pathogens evaluated/site of isolation               |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------------|---|
| Ferrara et<br>al., 1997<br>[19]       | Broth microdilution,<br>time killing<br>experiments          | R≥32  | A decrease in $cfu \ge 2 \log of$ the<br>antibiotic combination at 24<br>h when compared with the<br>most effective single drug           | Sparfloxacin<br>Oxacillin   | MRSA, MRSE/NR                                       |
| Tessier et<br>al., 1997<br>[20]       | Checkerboard method  | ≤16 FICI≤0.5 C  | Ceftazidime<br>Imipenem<br>Amikacin   | P. aeruginosa/NR            |   |
|                                       |  |   |   | Ciprofloxacin               |   |
| Totsuka et<br>al., 1997               | Checkerboard and broth microdilution                         | Japanese<br>guidelines  | FICI≤0.5  | Cefepime<br>Cefminoxe       | Penicillin resistant <i>S. pneumoniae</i> .<br>NR   |
| [21]                                  |  |   |   | Benzylpenizillin            |   |
|                                       |  |   |   | Imipenem                    |   |
|                                       |  |   |   | Cefazolin                   |   |
|                                       |  |   |   | Cefotiam                    |   |
|                                       |  |   |   | Cefotaxime                  |   |
| Martinez-<br>Martinez                 | Checkerboard<br>microdilution method                         | CLSI criteria   | FICI≤0.5  | Imipenem<br>Ceftazidime     | Acinetobacter baumannii/NR                          |
| et al.,<br>1996 [22]                  |  |   |   | Ciprofloxacin               |   |
| 1990 [22]                             |  |   |   | Amikacin                    |   |
|                                       |  |   |   | Tobramycin                  |   |
| Kikuchi et<br>al., 1995<br>[23]       | Checkerboard method,<br>broth microdilution                  | NR  | FICI < 1.0<br>FICI \le 0.5  | Benzylpenicillin            | Penicillin-resistant S. pneumoniae/<br>NR           |
| Pestel et al.,<br>1995 [24]           |  | CASFM   | FICI≤0.5  | Cefotaxime                  | Enterococci/blood                                   |
| Doit et al.,<br>1994 [25]             | Agar dilution  | NR  | Increase in killing of at least 2<br>log10 CFU/ml relative to the<br>killing of the most active   | Ceftriaxone<br>Imipenem     | S. pneumoniae, penicillin resistant/<br>CSF         |
|                                       |  |   | agent of the combination used alone   | Vancomycin                  |   |
| Drungeon et<br>al., 1994<br>[26]      | Checkerboard method  | CLSI criteria   | Area under the killing curve,<br>decrease of at least 16% after<br>6 h in the area under the<br>curve of survivors                        | Fusidic acid                | MRSA, MSSA/NR                                       |
| Watine et<br>al., 1994                | Broth microdilution  | NR  | Time kill and combination   | Ceftazidime<br>Aztreonam    | P. aeruginosa/NR                                    |
| [27]                                  |  |   |   | Imipenem                    |   |
|                                       |  |   |   | Amikacin                    |   |
| Barakett et<br>al., 1992<br>[28]      | Agar dilution and killing curves                             | ≤16   | Increase in killing of at least 2<br>log10 CFU/ml relative to the<br>killing of the most active<br>agent of the combination used<br>alone | Cefotaxime                  | S. pneumoniae/Pulmonary secretions, CSF             |
| Hamilton-<br>Miller et<br>al., 1992   | Agar dilution,<br>checkerboard method,<br>paired disk method | ≤64   | FICI≤0.70   | Rifampicin<br>Ciprofloxacin | MRSA, coagulase(-) staphylococci,<br>enterococci/NR |
| [29]<br>Matsuda et<br>al., 1991       | Checkerboard agar dilution method                            | NR  | FICI≤0.5  | Cefmetazole                 | MRSA also imipenem resistant/NR                     |
| [30]<br>Chang et<br>al., 1989<br>[31] | Checkerboard titration method                                | Lowest<br>concentration<br>where no<br>growth was<br>observed | FICI≤0.5  | Cefmetazole<br>Cefazolin    | MRSA/NR   |

#### Table 1 (continued)

| First author,<br>year [ref]            | Laboratory method  | Fosfomycin<br>break point | Definition of synergy                           | Antibiotics evaluated   | Pathogens evaluated/site of isolation   |
|--|--|---------------------------|---|---|---|
| Gatermann<br>et al.,<br>1989 [32]      | Agar dilution  | ≤16                       | FICI≤0.5  | Vancomycin  | S. aureus, S. epidermidis/<br>abscesses, wound infections,<br>endocarditis, foreign body<br>infections, UTI   |
| Rice et al.,<br>1989 [33]              | Agar dilution, time kill experiments   | 64                        | Time-kill techniques                            | Daptomycin  | High-level gentamicin resistance,<br>Enterococcus faecalis/NR   |
| Figuerdo et<br>al., 1988<br>[34]       | Agar plate dilution checkerboard method  | NR                        | FICI≤0.5  | Ciprofloxacin<br>Ofloxacin  | P. aeruginosa/sputum  |
| Courcol et<br>al., 1987<br>[35]        | Checkerboard method<br>and killing curve<br>method, broth<br>microdilution for the<br>MIC combinations | ≤25                       | FICI≤0.5  | Ceftriaxone   | S. aureus (3 MRSA), S. epidermitis<br>(3 MRSE); all strains beta<br>lactamase producing/blood   |
| David et al.,<br>1987 [36]             |  | NR                        | $FIC \leq 0.5$                                  | Ceftriaxone   | MRSA, MSSA/NR   |
| May et al.,<br>1987 [37]               | Microbroth dilution  | NR                        | FICI≤0.5  | Ceftriaxone   | S. aureus, S. pneumoniae,<br>Klebsiella spp., Peptococcus/CSF   |
| Ullmann et<br>al., 1987                | Checkerboard   | NR                        | FICI≤0.5  | Ciprofloxacin   | P. aeruginosa, S. aureus/NR   |
| [38]<br>Debbia et<br>al., 1986<br>[39] | Checkerboard method<br>and killing curve<br>method   | $R \ge 32$                | FICI≤0.5  | Imipenem  | E. faecalis, E. faecium, S. aureus<br>(16 MRSA), S. epidermidis (7<br>MRSE), Staphylococcus spp./NR   |
| Stahl et al.,<br>1986 [40]             | Microdiluition   | NR                        | FICI≤0.5  | Ceftriaxone   | S. epidermidis, S. aureus, Neisseria<br>meningitidis, S. pneumoniae,<br>Haemophilus influenzae, Serratia<br>marcescens, Aeromonas<br>hydrophila/CSF |
| Utsui et al.,<br>1986 [41]             | Checkerboard   | NR                        | FICI≤0.5  | Cefmetazole<br>Cefaloridine   | MRSA/NR   |
|  |  |                           |   | Cefotaxime  |   |
| Alvarez et<br>al., 1985<br>[42]        | Agar dilution  | ≤16                       | MICs of both drugs decreased<br>by at least 1/4 | Vancomycin<br>Gentamicin<br>Cefamandole<br>Methicillin<br>Trimethoprim    | MRSA/blood, sputum, wounds,<br>urine, peritoneal fluid, and eyes  |
| Portier et<br>al., 1985<br>[43]        | Checkerboard method  | NR                        | FBC≤0.75  | Cefotaxime<br>Cefoperazone<br>Cefamandole<br>Cephalothin                  | MRSA also resistant to gentamicin/<br>CSF, bone and joint infection,<br>thrombophlebitis  |
| Fosse et al.,<br>1984 [44]             | Checkerboard method,<br>broth microdilution  | $\leq 8$                  | FICI≤0.5  | Cefamandole<br>Vancomycin   | MR <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp., MRSA, MRSE/NR  |
| Takahashi et<br>al., 1984<br>[45]      | Agar plate dilution<br>checkerboard method   | NR                        | FICI≤0.5  | Rifampicin<br>Piperacillin-<br>tobramycin<br>Piperacillin<br>Cefoperazone | P. aeruginosa/NR  |
|  |  |                           |   | Cefoperazone-<br>tobramycin   |   |
|  |  |                           |   | Cefsulodin  |   |
|  |  |                           |   |   |   |

Cefsulodintobramycin

 Table 1 (continued)

| First author,<br>year [ref]     | Laboratory method                             | Fosfomycin<br>break point | Definition of synergy   | Antibiotics<br>evaluated      | Pathogens evaluated/site of isolation  |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Tremeux et<br>al., 1983<br>[46] | Checkerboard                                  | NR                        | FICI≤0.5  | Cefotaxime                    | MRSA/CSF   |
| Olay et al.,<br>1978 [47]       | Agar plate checkerboard method                | NR                        | 4 times or greater reduction of<br>the MIC of both antibiotics<br>compared to the MIC of each<br>antibiotic alone | Gentamicin<br>Carbenicillin   | P. aeruginosa, S. aureus,<br>Streptococcus spp., E. coli,<br>Klebsiella spp., Proteus spp.,<br>Entrococcus cloacae, Salmonella<br>spp., Serratia marcescens/NR |
| Perea et al.,<br>1978 [48]      | Agar dilution method, checker board titration | NR                        | FICI≤0.75   | Ampicillin<br>Chloramphenicol | Salmonella spp., Shigella spp./NR  |
| Baquero et<br>al., 1977<br>[49] | Agar dilution,<br>checkerboard method         | ≤32                       | FICI≤0.5  | Gentamicin<br>Carbenicillin   | Serratia marscesens/NR   |

*NR* Not reported, *R* resistance, *MIC* minimum inhibitory concentration, *FIC* fractional inhibitory concentrations, *FICI* fractional inhibitory concentration index, *MRSA* methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, *MSSA* methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus*, *CSF* cerebrospinal fluid, *MRSE* methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* epidermidis, *CFU* colony forming units, *CLSI* Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, *UTI* urinary tract infections, *ETI* efficacy time index, *FBC* fractional bactericidal concentration

several other studies, although the number of the evaluated MRSA was rather limited. Specific data are shown in Table 2.

Nine studies provided data regarding the in vitro synergistic effect of fosfomycin with other antibiotics against *Staphylococcus aureus* and/or other coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* strains [29, 32, 35–40, 47]; specific data are shown in Table 2. Notably, a synergistic effect of fosfomycin with ciprofloxacin was reported for 51.3% of the 37*S. aureus* tested strains [38]. The findings regarding gentamicin were discouraging (0 of the 29*S. aureus* tested strains) [47]. Relevant data regarding *Streptococcus* spp. were reported from five studies [21, 23, 25, 28, 37]. A similar synergistic effect of fosfomycin was reported with penicillin [21], cefminox [21], cefotaxime [28], and cefotiam [21]. Specific data are shown in Table 2.

Three studies provided data regarding the in vitro synergistic effect of fosfomycin with other antibiotics against *Enterococcus* spp. [24, 33, 39]. A synergistic effect of 90% was reported for the combination of fosfomycin with cefotaxime from a study analyzing a total of 50 strains [24]. Data regarding the combination of fosfomycin with daptomycin [33] or imipenem [39] were also encouraging.

#### Gram-negative strains

Thirteen studies provided data regarding the in vitro synergistic effect of fosfomycin with other antibiotics against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains [9, 11, 12, 14, 16–18, 20, 27, 34, 38, 45, 47]. In one of the abovementioned studies providing data for 30 *P. aeruginosa* strains [14], fosfomycin exhibited a synergistic effect in  $\geq$  70% of the tested strains when it was used in combination with aztreonam, cefepime, ceftazidime, gentamicin, imipenem, and levofloxacin. Two other studies evaluated the potential synergistic effect of fosfomycin with ciprofloxacin, reporting data for 74 and 37 *P. aeruginosa* strains, respectively; the observed synergistic effect was 27% [9] and 78.4% [38], respectively. Three other studies evaluated the potential synergistic effect of fosfomycin with amikacin against *P. aeruginosa* [17, 20, 27]. The observed synergistic effect ranged from 18.8 to 100%. Yet, the number of the analyzed strains in each of these four studies was limited (maximum 26 strains).

A single study provided data regarding the potential synergistic effect of fosfomycin against a total of 34 Acinetobacter baumannii strains [22]. Specific data are shown in Table 3. Another study provided data regarding the synergistic effect of fosfomycin with gentamicin against Escherichia coli and Klebsiella spp. [47]. Specific data are shown in Table 3. Yet, the number of the evaluated strains was limited—30 and 12 strains, respectively. Data regarding Salmonella and Shigella strains were also reported in this study [47]. A synergistic effect for the combination of fosfomycin with amikacin was observed in 82.2% of the 90 evaluated Salmonella strains. Data regarding the synergistic effect of fosfomycin with carbenicillin and gentamicin against Serratia marcescens were provided in two studies [47, 49]. The findings were discordant; specific data are shown in Table 3.

#### Discussion

The findings of our study imply that the combination of fosfomycin with other antibiotic agents may provide a 
 Table 2 Data retrieved from the studies examining the synergistic effect between fosfomycin and specific other antimicrobial agents for Gram-positive bacterial strains<sup>a</sup>

| Antibiotics                  | n/N (%) [ref]   |  |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| MRSA                         |   |  |
| Ampicillin                   | 7/32 (21.8) [13]  |  |
| Cefamandole                  | 97/148 (65.5) [42], 10/10 (100) [43], 50/50 (100) [44]  |  |
| Cefazolin                    | 66/70 (94.2) [31], 11/25 (44) [30]                      |  |
| Cefmetazole                  | 26/32 (81.2) [13], 45/70 (64.2) [31], 10/14 (71.4) [41] |  |
| Cefotaxime                   | 9/14 (64.2) [41], 10/10 (100) [43], 1/1 [46]            |  |
| Cefotiam                     | 7/32 (21.8) [13]  |  |
| Cefperazone                  | 10/10 (100) [43]  |  |
| Ceftriaxone                  | 20/30 (66.6) [36]                                       |  |
| Ciprofloxacin                | 14/18 (77.7) [29]                                       |  |
| Fusidic acid                 | 0/24 (0) [26]   |  |
| Gentamicin                   | 10/148 (6.7) [42]                                       |  |
| Imipenem                     | 16/32 (50) [13]   |  |
| Linezolid                    | 4/5 [10]  |  |
| Methicillin                  | 46/148 (31) [42]  |  |
| Oxacillin                    | 3/16 (18.7) [19]  |  |
| Rifampicin                   | 15/19 (78.9) [29]. 0/50 (0) [44]                        |  |
| Sparfloxacin                 | 0/16 (0) [19]   |  |
| Sulbactam/                   | 19/32 (59.3) [13]                                       |  |
| cefoperazone<br>Trimethoprim | 4/148 (2.7) [42]  |  |
| Vancomycin                   | 32/32 (100) [13], 0/148 (0) [42], 10/50 (20)<br>[44]    |  |
| Staphylococcus aur           |   |  |
| Ceftriaxone                  | 2/6 [35], 11/23 (47.8) [36], 0/3 [37], 0/2 [40]         |  |
| Ciprofloxacin                | 19/37 (51.3) [38]                                       |  |
| Imipenem                     | 10/20 (50) [39]   |  |
| Vancomycin                   | 1/15 (6.6) [32], 0/5 [15]                               |  |
| Gentamicin                   | 0/29 (0) [47]   |  |
| Rifampicin                   | 13/38 (34.8) [47], 5/5 [15]                             |  |
| Coagulase-negative           |   |  |
| Ciprofloxacin                | 12/19 (63.1) [29]                                       |  |
| Imipenem                     | 10/10 (100) [39]  |  |
| Rifampin                     | 7/15 (46.6) [29]  |  |
| Vancomycin                   | 1/18 (5.5) [32]   |  |
| Streptococcus spp.           |   |  |
| Penicillin                   | 17/56 (30.4) [21], 9/51 (17.6) [23]                     |  |
| Cefazolin                    | 3/56 (5.3) [21]   |  |
| Cefepime                     | 0/56 (0) [21]   |  |
| Cefminox                     | 33/56 (58.9) [21]                                       |  |
| Cefotaxime                   | 3/56 (5.4) [21], 3/7 (42.9) [28]                        |  |
| Cefotiam                     | 20/56 (35.7) [21]                                       |  |
| Ceftriaxone                  | 0/26 (0) [25], 0/4 [37]                                 |  |
| Imipenem                     | 1/56 (1.8) [21], 0/26 (0) [25]                          |  |
| Vancomycin                   | 0/26 (0) [28]   |  |
| -                            |   |  |

| Table 2 (continued) |                   |  |  |
|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Antibiotics         | n/N (%) [ref]     |  |  |
| Enterococcus spp.   |                   |  |  |
| Cefotaxime          | 45/50 (90.0) [24] |  |  |
| Daptomycin          | 20/64 (31.3) [33] |  |  |
| Imipenem            | 19/36 (52.7) [39] |  |  |
|                     |                   |  |  |

Table ? (continued)

n/N Number of isolates exhibiting synergism between fosfomycin and the examined antimicrobial agent/total number of isolates examined in the included study

<sup>a</sup> In cases where fewer than 10 bacterial strains were evaluated, percentages are not displayed in the table

useful alternative regarding the treatment of patients with Gram-negative or Gram-positive infections, including MRSA infection. However, the evaluated in vitro data, generated mainly from old studies, do not prove directly the in vitro synergism of fosfomycin with other antibiotic agents against the above-mentioned infections. Additionally, the considerable heterogeneity among the included studies regarding the definition of synergy and the methodology used hampers the establishment of firm conclusions, as well as the extrapolation of the in vitro findings into clinical practice.

Fosfomycin is an antibiotic agent that has been mainly used for the treatment of urinary tract infections. Specifically, fosfomycin tromethamine is approved as an oral single-dose treatment for acute uncomplicated cystitis [50]. Moreover, fosfomycin may also be a useful treatment option for specific types of resistant uropathogens that exhibit considerably high rates of resistance due to the production of extended spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) [51, 52]. However, recent studies suggest that mutations occurring among populations of uropathogens and specifically among populations of ESBL producers may result in the emergence of resistance to fosfomycin [53, 54]. Indeed, the issue of emergence of resistance is one of significant importance regarding fosfomycin treatment. Resistance of fosfomycin may be attributed to several chromosomal mutations, which mainly affect its uptake [55]. As a result, the potential of a synergistic effect of fosfomycin with another antibiotic agent is an issue of importance, both at a patient and at a community level.

According to a recently published review, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic aspects of fosfomycin, such as the limited binding with serum proteins, the unchanged excretion in the urine, along with the relatively high concentrations that it achieves in the urine, serum, lung, cerebrospinal fluid, and other sites, favor its use for the treatment of relevant infections [56]. Regarding the potential synergistic effect of fosfomycin with other antibiotic agents, fosfomycin appears to have potentially useful pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic aspects that favor its use in combination with other antibiotic agents for the treatment of specific infections. In brief, the ability of

| Antibiotics                            | n/N (%) [ref]  |
|--|--|
| Non-fermentative Gram-negative strains |  |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa                 |  |
| Amikacin                               | 0/26 (0) [16], 2/2 (100) [17], 3/16 (18.8) [20], 8/12 (66.7) [27]                                      |
| Aztreonam                              | 23/30 (76.7) [14], 7/12 (58.3) [27]  |
| Cefepime                               | 23/30 (76.7) [14]  |
| Ceftazidime                            | 2/18 (11.1) [12], 21/30 (70.0) [14], 7/26 (26.9) [16], 8/12 (66.7) [18], 0/16 (0) [20], 9/12 (75) [27] |
| Ciprofloxacin                          | 20/74 (27.0) [9], 10/26 (38.4) [16], 6/16 (37.5) [20], 29/37 (78.4) [38], 18/30 (60) [34]              |
| Gentamicin                             | 1/22 (4.5) [12], 21/30 (70.0) [14], 55/77 (71.4) [47]  |
| Imipenem                               | 11/29 (37.9) [12], 22/30 (73.3) [14], 0/16 (0) [20], 4/12 (33.3) [27]                                  |
| Levofloxacin                           | 1/1 [11], 20/30 (86.9) [14]  |
| Acinetobacter baumannii                |  |
| Amikacin                               | 15/34 (38.2) [22]  |
| Ceftazidime                            | 1/34 (2.9) [22]  |
| Ciprofloxacin                          | 1/34 (2.9) [22]  |
| Imipenem                               | 1/34 (2.9) [22]  |
| Enterobacteriaceae                     |  |
| Escherichia coli                       |  |
| Gentamicin                             | 4/30 (13.4) [47]   |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae                  |  |
| Gentamicin                             | 5/12 (41.7) [47]   |
| Salmonella spp.                        |  |
| Amikacin                               | 74/90 (82.2) [48]  |
| Cefepime                               | 56/90 (62.2) [48]  |
| Serratia marcescens                    |  |
| Carbenicillin                          | 21/24 (87.5) [49], 9/32 (28.1) [47]  |
| Gentamicin                             | 22/24 (91.6) [49], 5/39 (12.8) [47]  |
| Shigella spp.                          |  |
| Amikacin                               | 27/50 (48) [48]  |
| Cefepime                               | 29/50 (58) [48]  |

 

 Table 3
 Data retrieved from the studies examining the synergistic effect between fosfomycin and specific other antimicrobial agents for Gramnegative bacterial strains<sup>a</sup>

n/N Number of isolates exhibiting synergism between fosfomycin and the examined antimicrobial agent/total number of isolates examined in the included study.

<sup>a</sup> In cases where fewer than 10 bacterial strains were evaluated, percentages are not displayed in the table

fosfomycin to modify the production of penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) enables its use for the treatment of MRSA infections as well as infections from penicillin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* [23, 41].

The ability of fosfomycin to penetrate biofilm layers encourages the use of fosfomycin in combination with other antibiotics against microbial strains growing on biofilms. Specifically, relevant studies report a synergistic effect of fosfomycin with quinolone antibiotic agents such as ulifloxacin, levofloxacin [11], and ofloxacin against *P. aeruginosa* biofilm isolates [57]. Notably, fosfomycin is synergistic with N-acetylocysteine against *E. coli* biofilm isolates [58].

Another aspect of fosfomycin that could prove useful, when it is used in combination with other antibiotic agents, is its ability to modify the toxicity of many types of coadministered drugs [56]. Specifically, fosfomycin is reported to mitigate in vivo the toxicity of aminoglycosides [59], glycopeptides [60], as well as polymyxin B [61]. Finally, it has been reported that the synergistic combination with either amoxicillin or clarithromycin may provide a useful alternative treatment option for patients with *Helicobacter pylori* infections [62].

Our study has limitations that should be taken into consideration before the extrapolation of our findings. Firstly, considerable heterogeneity is observed among the included studies regarding the definition of synergy; specifically only 25 of the 41 studies used the fractional inhibitory concentration index as the definition of synergy of fosfomycin with the respective evaluated antibiotics. Heterogeneity was also observed regarding the laboratory methods, as well as the fosfomycin break points used. Moreover, the majority (34 of the 41) of the included studies were older studies, published during the period 1977–1999. In this regard, we were unable to reach conclusive results regarding specific antibiotic combinations with fosfomycin for the evaluated microorganisms. Despite these shortcomings, the available data are not to be overlooked as they indicate that further research is justified.

In conclusion, in this era of alarmingly high drug resistance, the synergistic combination of fosfomycin with several other antibiotic agents may be a useful alternative treatment option for Gram-negative and Gram-positive infections. However, additional studies with more stringent definitions, as well as studies that will evaluate the clinical efficacy of synergistic combinations of fosfomycin with other antibiotics will contribute to the already available knowledge regarding the role of fosfomycin in synergistic combinations with other antibiotics.

#### Funding None

Conflict of interest None

#### References

- Falagas ME, Grammatikos AP, Michalopoulos A (2008) Potential of old-generation antibiotics to address current need for new antibiotics. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 6:593–600
- Falagas ME, Kasiakou SK (2005) Colistin: the revival of polymyxins for the management of multidrug-resistant gramnegative bacterial infections. Clin Infect Dis 40:1333–1341
- Knottnerus BJ, Nys S, Ter Riet G, Donker G, Geerlings SE, Stobberingh E (2008) Fosfomycin tromethamine as second agent for the treatment of acute, uncomplicated urinary tract infections in adult female patients in the Netherlands? J Antimicrob Chemother 62:356–359
- Patel SS, Balfour JA, Bryson HM (1997) Fosfomycin tromethamine. A review of its antibacterial activity, pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic efficacy as a single-dose oral treatment for acute uncomplicated lower urinary tract infections. Drugs 53:637–656
- Falagas ME, Giannopoulou KP, Kokolakis GN, Rafailidis PI (2008) Fosfomycin: use beyond urinary tract and gastrointestinal infections. Clin Infect Dis 46:1069–1077
- 6. Falagas ME, Kastoris AC, Karageorgopoulos DE, Rafailidis PI (2009) Fosfomycin for the treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant non-fermenting Gram-negative bacilli: a systematic review of microbiological, animal and clinical studies. Int J Antimicrob Agents 34:111–120
- Prakash V, Lewis JS 2nd, Herrera ML, Wickes BL, Jorgensen JH (2009) Oral and parenteral therapeutic options for outpatient urinary infections caused by enterobacteriaceae producing CTX-M extended-spectrum beta-lactamases. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:1278–1280
- Rodriguez-Bano J, Alcala JC, Cisneros JM et al (2008) Community infections caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli. Arch Intern Med 168:1897–1902

- Yamada S, Hyo Y, Ohmori S, Ohuchi M (2007) Role of ciprofloxacin in its synergistic effect with fosfomycin on drugresistant strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Chemotherapy 53:202–209
- Sahuquillo Arce JM, Colombo Gainza E, Gil Brusola A, Ortiz Estevez R, Canton E, Gobernado M (2006) In vitro activity of linezolid in combination with doxycycline, fosfomycin, levofloxacin, rifampicin and vancomycin against methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus. Rev Esp Quimioter 19:252–257
- Mikuniya T, Kato Y, Kariyama R, Monden K, Hikida M, Kumon H (2005) Synergistic effect of fosfomycin and fluoroquinolones against Pseudomonas aeruginosa growing in a biofilm. Acta Med Okayama 59:209–216
- 12. Pruekprasert P, Tunyapanit W (2005) In vitro activity of fosfomycin-gentamicin, fosfomycin-ceftazidime, fosfomycinimipenem and ceftazidime-gentamicin combinations against ceftazidime-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa. SE Asian J Trop Med Public Health 36:1239–1242
- Nakazawa H, Kikuchi Y, Honda T, Isago T, Nozaki M (2003) Enhancement of antimicrobial effects of various antibiotics against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) by combination with fosfomycin. J Infect Chemother 9:304–309
- 14. Okazaki M, Suzuki K, Asano N et al (2002) Effectiveness of fosfomycin combined with other antimicrobial agents against multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates using the efficacy time index assay. J Infect Chemother 8:37–42
- Grif K, Dierich MP, Pfaller K, Miglioli PA, Allerberger F (2001) In vitro activity of fosfomycin in combination with various antistaphylococcal substances. J Antimicrob Chemother 48:209– 217
- Hayami H, Goto T, Kawahara M, Ohi Y (1999) Activities of betalactams, fluoroquinolones, amikacin and fosfomycin alone and in combination against Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolated from complicated urinary tract infections. J Infect Chemother 5:130– 138
- Traub WH, Scheidhauer R, Leonhard B, Bauer D (1998) Surveillance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in intensive care units: clusters of nosocomial cross-infection and encounter of a multiple-antibiotic resistant strain. Chemotherapy 44:243–259
- Dubrous P, Cavallo JD, Buisson Y (1997) Sensitivity to fosfomycin of multiresistant serotype 012 Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Multicenter study. Pathol Biol (Paris) 45:472–478
- Ferrara A, Dos Santos C, Cimbro M, Gialdroni Grassi G (1997) Effect of different combinations of sparfloxacin, oxacillin, and fosfomycin against methicillin-resistant staphylococci. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 16:535–537
- Tessier F, Quentin C (1997) In vitro activity of fosfomycin combined with ceftazidime, imipenem, amikacin, and ciprofloxacin against Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 16:159– 162
- Totsuka K, Uchiyama T, Shimizu K, Kanno Y, Takata T, Yoshida T (1997) In vitro combined effects of fosfomycin and β-lactam antibiotics against penicillin-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae. J Infect Chemother 3:49–54
- Martinez-Martinez L, Rodriguez G, Pascual A, Suarez AI, Perea EJ (1996) In-vitro activity of antimicrobial agent combinations against multiresistant Acinetobacter baumannii. J Antimicrob Chemother 38:1107–1108
- Kikuchi K, Totsuka K, Shimizu K, Ishii T, Yoshida T, Orikasa Y (1995) Effects of combination of benzylpenicillin and fosfomycin on penicillin-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae. Microb Drug Resist 1:185–189
- 24. Pestel M, Martin E, Aucouturier C, Lemeland JF, Caron F (1995) In vitro interactions between different beta-lactam antibiotics and fosfomycin against bloodstream isolates of enterococci. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 39:2341–2344

- 25. Doit CP, Bonacorsi SP, Fremaux AJ et al (1994) In vitro killing activities of antibiotics at clinically achievable concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid against penicillin-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae isolated from children with meningitis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 38:2655–2659
- Drugeon HB, Caillon J, Juvin ME (1994) In-vitro antibacterial activity of fusidic acid alone and in combination with other antibiotics against methicillin-sensitive and -resistant Staphylococcus aureus. J Antimicrob Chemother 34:899–907
- Watine J, Bourrel C, Dubourdieu B et al (1994) Susceptibility of multiresistant serotype 012 Pseudomonas aeruginosa to fosfomycin in combination with other antibiotics. Pathol Biol (Paris) 42:293–295
- Barakett V, Lesage D, Delisle F et al (1992) Bacteriostatic activity and killing curves of eight antibiotics against seven strains of penicillin G-resistant pneumococci. Pathol Biol (Paris) 40:483–491
- Hamilton-Miller JM (1992) In vitro activity of fosfomycin against 'problem' gram-positive cocci. Microbios 71:95–103
- 30. Matsuda K, Asahi Y, Sanada M, Nakagawa S, Tanaka N, Inoue M (1991) In-vitro activity of imipenem combined with beta-lactam antibiotics for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. J Antimicrob Chemother 27:809–815
- Chang SC, Hsieh WC, Luh KT, Ho SW (1989) Effects of antibiotic combinations on methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in vitro. Taiwan Yi Xue Hui Za Zhi 88:488–492
- 32. Gatermann S, Schulz E, Marre R (1989) The microbiological efficacy of the combination of fosfomycin and vancomycin against clinically relevant staphylococci. Infection 17:35–37
- 33. Rice LB, Eliopoulos GM, Moellering RC Jr (1989) In vitro synergism between daptomycin and fosfomycin against Enterococcus faecalis isolates with high-level gentamicin resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 33:470–473
- 34. Figueredo VM, Neu HC (1988) Synergy of ciprofloxacin with fosfomycin in vitro against Pseudomonas isolates from patients with cystic fibrosis. J Antimicrob Chemother 22:41–50
- Courcol RJ, Martin GR (1987) In-vitro activity of the combination of ceftriaxone and fosfomycin against staphylococci. J Antimicrob Chemother 19:276–278
- David C, Combremont AG (1987) In vitro study of a ceftriaxonefosfomycin combination on 55 strains of methicillin-sensitive and heterogeneous methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Pathol Biol (Paris) 35:507–509
- 37. May T, Weber M, Gerard A et al (1987) Treatment of post-traumatic and post-neurosurgical bacterial meningitis with ceftriaxone alone or in combination with fosfomycin. Pathol Biol (Paris) 35:839–842
- Ullmann U (1987) Synergism between ciprofloxacin and fosfomycin in vitro. Infection 15:264
- 39. Debbia E, Varaldo PE, Schito GC (1986) In vitro activity of imipenem against enterococci and staphylococci and evidence for high rates of synergism with teicoplanin, fosfomycin, and rifampin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 30:813–815
- 40. Stahl JP, Croize J, Baud A et al (1986) Treatment of neurosurgical bacterial meningitis using the combination of ceftriaxone-fosfomycin. Pathol Biol (Paris) 34:479–482
- 41. Utsui Y, Ohya S, Magaribuchi T, Tajima M, Yokota T (1986) Antibacterial activity of cefmetazole alone and in combination with fosfomycin against methicillin- and cephem-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 30:917–922
- Alvarez S, Jones M, Berk SL (1985) In vitro activity of fosfomycin, alone and in combination, against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 28:689–690
- 43. Portier H, Kazmierczak A, Lucht F, Tremeaux JC, Chavanet P, Duez JM (1985) Cefotaxime in combination with other antibiotics for the treatment of severe methicillin-resistant staphylococcal infections. Infection 13(Suppl 1):S123–S128
- 44. Fosse T, David MF, Duluc F, Darmusey D, Tamalet C, Toga B (1984) In vitro study of the cefamandole-fosfomycin combination

🖄 Springer

against methicillin-resistant staphylococci. Pathol Biol (Paris) 32:528-531

- 45. Takahashi K, Kanno H (1984) Synergistic activities of combinations of beta-lactams, fosfomycin, and tobramycin against Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 26:789–791
- 46. Tremeaux JC, Duez JM, Pechinot A, Sautreaux JL, Thierry A, Kazmierczak A (1983) [Importance of the cefotaxime-fosfomycin combination. Apropos of a case of meningitis due to heterogeneously resistant Staphylococcus aureus]. Agressologie 24:169–171
- 47. Olay T, Rodriguez A, Oliver LE, Vicente MV, Quecedo MC (1978) Interaction of fosfomycin with other antimicrobial agents: in vitro and in vivo studies. J Antimicrob Chemother 4:569–576
- Perea EJ, Torres MA, Borobio MV (1978) Synergism of fosfomycinampicillin and fosfomycin-chloramphenicol against Salmonella and Shigella. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 13:705–709
- Baquero F, Hortelano JG, Navarro M et al (1977) Antibiotherapy of Serratia marcescens septicemia in children. Chemotherapy 23 (Suppl 1):416–422
- 50. Warren JW, Abrutyn E, Hebel JR, Johnson JR, Schaeffer AJ, Stamm WE (1999) Guidelines for antimicrobial treatment of uncomplicated acute bacterial cystitis and acute pyelonephritis in women. Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA). Clin Infect Dis 29:745–758
- Hernandez M, Garcia J, Munoz J (2009) [In vitro activity of fosfomycin against ESBL-producing enterobacteria of urinary origin.]. Rev Esp Quimioter 22:25–29
- Zahar JR, Lortholary O, Martin C, Potel G, Plesiat P, Nordmann P (2009) Addressing the challenge of extended-spectrum betalactamases. Curr Opin Investig Drugs 10:172–180
- 53. Ellington MJ, Livermore DM, Pitt TL, Hall LM, Woodford N (2006) Mutators among CTX-M beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli and risk for the emergence of fosfomycin resistance. J Antimicrob Chemother 58:848–852
- 54. Horii T, Kimura T, Sato K, Shibayama K, Ohta M (1999) Emergence of fosfomycin-resistant isolates of Shiga-like toxinproducing Escherichia coli O26. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 43:789–793
- Nilsson AI, Berg OG, Aspevall O, Kahlmeter G, Andersson DI (2003) Biological costs and mechanisms of fosfomycin resistance in Escherichia coli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 47:2850–2858
- 56. Roussos N, Karageorgopoulos DE, Samonis G, Falagas ME (2009) Clinical significance of the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of fosfomycin for the treatment of patients with systemic infections. Int J Antimicrob Agents 34:506–515
- 57. Monden K, Ando E, Iida M, Kumon H (2002) Role of fosfomycin in a synergistic combination with ofloxacin against Pseudomonas aeruginosa growing in a biofilm. J Infect Chemother 8:218–226
- Marchese A, Bozzolasco M, Gualco L, Debbia EA, Schito GC, Schito AM (2003) Effect of fosfomycin alone and in combination with N-acetylcysteine on E. coli biofilms. Int J Antimicrob Agents 22(Suppl 2):95–100
- Inouye S, Niizato T, Komiya I, Yuda Y, Yamada Y (1982) Mode of protective action of fosfomycin against dibekacin-induced nephrotoxicity in the dehydrated rats. J Pharmacobiodyn 5:941–950
- 60. Yoshiyama Y, Yazaki T, Wong PC, Beauchamp D, Kanke M (2001) The effect of fosfomycin on glycopeptide antibioticinduced nephrotoxicity in rats. J Infect Chemother 7:243–246
- Leach JL, Wright CG, Edwards LB, Meyerhoff WL (1990) Effect of topical fosfomycin on polymyxin B ototoxicity. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 116:49–53
- 62. Blacky A, Makristathis A, Apfalter P, Willinger B, Rotter ML, Hirschl AM (2005) In vitro activity of fosfomycin alone and in combination with amoxicillin, clarithromycin and metronidazole against Helicobacter pylori compared with combined clarithromycin and metronidazole. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 24:276– 279