

Applications of membrane techniques for purification of natural products

Jing Li, Howard A. Chase

▶ To cite this version:

Jing Li, Howard A. Chase. Applications of membrane techniques for purification of natural products. Biotechnology Letters, 2010, 32 (5), pp.601-608. 10.1007/s10529-009-0199-7 . hal-00568371

HAL Id: hal-00568371 https://hal.science/hal-00568371

Submitted on 23 Feb 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Applications of membrane techniques for purification of natural products
2	Jing Li *, Howard A. Chase
3	Department of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, University of Cambridge,
4	Pembroke Street, Cambridge CB2 3RA, U. K.
5	
6	
7	Abstract
8	
9	The recent development of various membrane-based techniques for the purification of
10	valuable natural products is reviewed. The review covers the important research that
11	has been conducted in the last 5 years on the utilization of microfiltration,
12	ultrafiltration and nanofiltration techniques, either carried out on their own or coupled
13	with other separation techniques, in order to achieve concentration and purification of
14	natural products from their biological source. There is also a special focus on the
15	research that has been undertaken to overcome the membrane fouling encountered in
16	their usage.
17	
18	Keywords: Membrane fouling; Membrane techniques; Microfiltration; Nanofiltration;
19	Natural products; Ultrafiltration;
20	
21	
22	

24

The term "natural products" usually refers to chemical substances found in nature that 25 possess distinctive pharmacological or biological activities. They are either directly 26 27 recovered from their natural sources or are chemically synthesized. In recent years, natural products have been the source for the discovery of new drugs (Newman and 28 Cragg 2003; 2007). It is reported that as many as one quarter of today's anticancer 29 drugs are natural products, with another quarter being synthetic derivatives of natural 30 products (Davidson 1995). The main categories of natural products include 31 carbohydrates, lipids, proteins and nucleic acids. Taking into consideration that not all 32 natural products can be obtained economically via total chemical synthesis, many of 33 34 them have to be separated and isolated from higher plants and microorganisms by often tedious and time-consuming processes. Methods to concentrate and purify 35 natural products have become a subject of dramatically increased interest in the last 36 several decades. Advances in the recognition of the value of their various biological 37 properties, and the expansion of food and healthcare products markets have led to the 38 development of state-of-art strategies for the separation and isolation of natural 39 products from plants, microorganisms and marine sources. Generally, modern 40 strategies for the purification of natural products have been based around 41 chromatographic techniques (Andersen and Markham 2006). An earlier review of the 42 application of chromatographic techniques for this purpose has been conducted by 43 Marston and Hostettmann (1991). Recent development of traditional chromatographic 44

separations, and applications for newly developed techniques such as superficial fluid
extraction, pressurized liquid extraction, microwave-assisted extraction, vacuum
liquid chromatography, preparative pressure liquid chromatography, have been
reviewed by Sticher (2008).

49

50 Advances in material science and membrane manufacturing technology have led to membrane-based filtration techniques becoming a mainstream technology since the 51 early 1990s. With a number of advantages such as high efficiency, simple equipment, 52 53 convenient operation and low energy consumption, membrane technology has become one of the most important industrial separation techniques and has been applied 54 extensively to various fields, e.g. concentration of juices (Cheryan 1998), water 55 56 purification and desalination, dye and sugar separation, and the recovery of valuable products (Brüschke 1995). 57

58

Though the term "membrane technology" refers to a number of separation processes 59 with different characteristics, the general principle is based on the selective 60 permeability of the membrane to allow the target substances to penetrate through the 61 membrane, whilst unwanted substances are normally rejected by the membrane. 62 Various methods, e.g. high pressures, concentration gradients and chemical or 63 electrical potential differences, may be adopted as the driving force for membrane 64 separations. Based on the size of the substances to be separated, and the resultant 65 characteristics needed for the membrane, membrane techniques are generally 66

classified into microfiltration, ultrafiltration, nanofiltration, reverse osmosis, dialysis, 67 electrodialysis, pervaporation, gas permeation and membrane distillation. The latter 68 six techniques can usually retain molecules with diameters less than 1 nm and 69 therefore they are not suitable for natural products as these are generally larger 70 71 molecules. For instance reverse osmosis is based on a solution diffusion mechanism 72 and very high operating pressures are needed for the process. This technique is most appropriate for the molecules in the range of $0.1 \sim 1.0$ nm and almost no utilization of 73 reverse osmosis has been reported for natural products. Nanofiltration, ultrafiltration 74 75 and microfiltration are based on size exclusion mechanisms and found to be suitable to separate various molecules and macromolecules with relative molecular masses 76 ranging from several hundred to several hundred thousand, corresponding to a 77 78 molecular size in the range from several nm to 1 µm. A key feature of a membrane separation process is the selection of a membrane with appropriate rejection 79 characteristics. It is noticeable that polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes have 80 received by far the most attention for adoption in both food and medical fields, 81 because of their chemical stability, durability and biocompatibility (Laroche et al. 82 83 1995).

84

This review is essentially a follow up to the previous reviews completed by Marston and Hostettmann (1991) and Sticher (2008) on strategies for the purification of natural products as a survey of the use of membrane techniques has mainly been neglected by previous authors. Applications of microfiltration, ultrafiltration and nanofiltration techniques in the purification of natural products are described. In addition, special
emphasis has been given to the occurrence of membrane fouling, together with
strategies that have been adopted to improve the deteriorating permeate flux.

92

93 **Primary purification**

94

Although purification by microfiltration and ultrafiltration used in the industrial 95 production of fruit and vegetable juices has been extensively investigated in the past 96 three decades (Vladisavljević et al. 2003), very few studies of the use of these 97 techniques for the purification of natural products from plants and microorganisms 98 have appeared in the literature. Membranes used as simple pretreatment procedures 99 100 for natural products have been the most frequently reported applications. Cho et al. (2003) studied the clarification and concentration of water soluble pectin, a 101 biopolymer with reported pharmacological properties such as cholesterol-decreasing 102 (Kay and Truswell 1977), immuno-stimulating (Yamada et al. 1989) and anti-ulcer 103 activities (Kiyohara et al. 1994). Pectin was isolated from an extract prepared from 104 mature citrus peel using a 0.20 μ m pore size cellulose membrane and a batch mode of 105 operation. The use of a crossflow microfiltration step, with a 75 % saving in the 106 consumption of ethanol, resulted in the recovery yield of pectin being decreased from 107 10.5 % to 9.9 % whilst the galacturonic acid content in the pectin increased from 108 68.0 % to 72.2 %. Further purification was carried out by diafiltration in a fed-batch 109 mode in order to remove the polyphenol impurities. This process was evaluated to be 110

employed ultrafiltration as a sample preparation procedure for polyphenols from almond skins before subsequent high-resolution analysis. A 30 kDa MWCO (molecular weight cut off) polyethersulfone (PES) ultrafiltration membrane has been used for the concentration and pre-purification of R-phycoerythrin from a crude extract of the macro-algae *Grateloupia turuturu* (Denis et al. 2009).

117

Membrane-based techniques have also increased in importance as techniques suited to 118 the refining of oligosaccharides present in carbohydrates. Microfiltration and high 119 MWCO ultrafiltration membranes have usually been used to obtain a mixture of 120 oligosaccharides with low molecular weight impurities that have been separated from 121 compounds of higher molecular weight (Playne and Crittenden 1996; Cheryan 1998). 122 The use of low MWCO ultrafiltration and nanofiltration membranes provides an 123 alternative to chromatography to concentrate and separate the target oligosaccharides 124 from these impurities. 125

126

The earliest reports on the application of membranes for the recovery of oligosaccharides can be traced back to the 1990s (Mok et al. 1995; Matsubara et al. 1996; Urano et al. 1997; Sarney et al. 2000). More recently, Goulas et al. (2002) reported the nanofiltration on a commercial scale of a galacto-oligosaccharide mixture and investigated the significance of operating parameters, including pressure, feed concentration and filtration temperature, on the purification process. Subsequently, a

study of nanofiltration for purifying fructo-oligosaccharides was reported by Li et al. 133 (2004). Two operational modes, variable volume diafiltration and constant volume 134 diafiltration, were applied successfully and 90 % purity was achieved. In addition, the 135 purification of xylo-oligosaccharides for use as low-calorie sweeteners and the 136 removal of monosaccharides and other low molecular weight impurities from rice 137 husk xylan have proved to be feasible using either a 4 kDa MWCO polymeric tubular 138 ultrafiltration membrane or a 1 kDa MWCO ceramic monolithic nanofiltration 139 membrane (Vegas et al. 2008). Nabarlatz et al. (2007) made successful use of 140 commercial thin-film polymeric ultrafiltration membranes with different MWCOs to 141 purify xylo-oligosaccharides from an autohydrolysis solution of almond shells. They 142 were able to separate the xylo-oligosaccharides into fractions containing narrow and 143 144 reproducible distributions of molar mass. Sjöman el al. (2008) reported the use of nanofiltration to recover xylose from various hemicellulose hydrolysates. The 145 membranes used to recover this pentose sugar in their study had MWCOs between 146 147 150 and 300 Da. Hyaluronic acid is a natural glycosaminoglycan that can be used for various biomedical purposes such as treatment of arthritis, wound healing and tissue 148 regeneration. Zhou et al. (2006) investigated the separation of hyaluronic acid from 149 the fermentation broth of Streptococcus zooepidemicus by means of a two-stage 150 tangential flow microfiltration and ultrafiltration process using pure water as 151 diafiltrate. PVDF membranes with 0.45 and 0.20 μ m pore size were used for 152 microfiltration and PVDF300 (MWCO 300 kDa) and PVDF100 (MWCO 100 kDa) 153 were used for subsequent ultrafiltration. The process was optimized to achieve an 154

overall yield of hyaluronic acid of 77 % with a purification factor of 1000. Examples
of the use of membranes for primary purification purposes are summarized in Table 1.

-

158 Coupling membrane technologies with other techniques

159

The coupling of membrane techniques together in a series has been employed where a 160 single membrane filtration step is not satisfactory. A classical example is an early 161 application of a three-stage hybrid membrane separation process for the purification 162 of active components from Echinacea plants (Johnson et al. 2002). The 163 immunostimulatory activity of Echinacea is attributed to its high content of 164 polysaccharides, caffeic acid derivatives and alkyl amides (Bauer 1991). 165 166 Conventional extraction of the active components from *Echinacea*, *e.g.* the use of ethanol-water extraction, usually consumes large quantities of solvents and energy. 167 The first stage of the membrane process employed a 200 μ m pore size 168 polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) hollow fibre membrane to remove the ethanol and 169 some water from the crude extract in order to produce a high quality tincture by 170 pervaporation. The second stage involved microfiltration to remove the alkyl amides, 171 which may precipitate during tincture production, from the ethanol-free aqueous 172 product using a 0.04 μ m polysulfone (PSU) membrane. In the last stage, the 173 microfiltration permeate was concentrated several-fold by osmotic distillation on a 174 polypropylene (PP) membrane with a nominal pore size of 0.05 μ m \times 0.19 μ m. This 175 complex hybrid membrane separation process was adopted due to the fact that single 176

osmotic distillation of the ethanol-water extract would result in severe wet through of 177 the hydrophobic membrane. Finally, the microfiltration retentate containing the 178 precipitated alkyl amides was added back to the osmotic distillation retentate to form 179 a highly concentrated product that was suitable for use in capsule production. Similar 180 work has been reported by Tessier et al. (2005) who coupled ultrafiltration (30 and 181 100 kDa MWCO) and nanofiltration (300 Da MWCO) techniques to purify 182 benzylpenicillin from the fermentation broth of Penicillium chrysogenum with a 183 recovery yield of nearly 90 %. More recently, Isa et al. (2007) reported the 184 purification of surfactin, a cyclic lipopeptide with antiviral, antibacterial and 185 antitumor properties (Heerklotz and Seelig 2001), from the fermentation broth of 186 Bacillus subtilis by a two-step ultrafiltration process. 187

188

There have been frequent reports of the use of membrane separations in conjunction with other purification techniques in order to isolate natural products. Ultrafiltration has been used to purify a plant peroxidase from the leaves of *Ipomoea palmetto* following an aqueous two-phase extraction step (Srinivas et al. 2002). Another case is the use of ultrafiltration as the first clarification step to eliminate large impurities in a lactic acid production process based on conventional and bipolar electrodialysis operations (Bouchoux et al. 2005).

196

197 Challenging the fouling of membranes

199 The greatest problem that has emerged in the use of membrane-based techniques is the decay of permeate flux caused by concentration polarization and fouling, which in 200 turn increase the operating cost and shorten membrane life. During the membrane 201 separation process, the rejected molecules arrive at the membrane surface by 202 convective transport and thus a concentrated layer of the particles is built up at the 203 membrane surface, forming a concentration gradient termed concentration 204 polarization (Cheryan 1998). Fouling refers to the accumulation of unwanted material 205 on the membrane surface leading to pore constriction and pore blockage that impedes 206 the separation process. The decay of permeate flux caused by concentration 207 polarization can be reversed by modifying the operating conditions, such as flow 208 velocity, feed concentration and transmembrane pressure. However the decay of flux 209 210 caused by fouling is irreversible and the membrane can usually only be cleaned using chemicals or by back-flushing with water or permeate. Fouling is an unfavourable but 211 inevitable problem in membrane-based techniques and a key issue in the success of 212 membrane separation is the control of fouling. Fouling of membranes is especially 213 prevalent when they are employed in the isolation of natural products from plant 214 sources due to the fact that more than 90 % of the components present after crude 215 extraction are non-pharmacological macromolecules with molecular weights larger 216 than 50 kDa that can easily block the membrane pores. 217

218

Various approaches to the theoretical analysis and prediction of membrane fouling
phenomena have been reported (Boerlage et al. 2002; Tung et al. 2001; Hwang et al.

2007; Kawakatsu et al. 1995; Vrouwenvelder et al. 2006). Much effort has been paid 221 to the prevention of membrane fouling, *e.g.* dosing with oxidant or anti-fouling agents 222 (Durham and Walton 1999; Sakol and Konieczny 2004). Some recent studies have 223 developed strategies to recover the decreasing flux. Ceramic membranes have been 224 extensively employed in the purification of active components from many Chinese 225 herbs. Li et al. (2006) observed the effect of pH on the adsorption behaviour to 226 zirconia particles of macromolecular impurities in an aqueous extract of Radix 227 *Rehmanniae*. These authors have also studied the fouling phenomena that occur on 228 229 the surface of a zirconia membrane when used in a microfiltration process with the aqueous extract. The work indicated that adsorption reached its maximum rate and 230 extent when the pH was near the isoelectric point of the zirconia particles. This was in 231 232 agreement with the pH value at which the minimum membrane flux and the highest rejection of total solids occurred with the zirconia membrane. 233

234

Modification of the properties of a membrane has also been investigated by 235 introducing various functional groups into the existing membrane chemistry. A 236 generally accepted hypothesis is that hydrophilic membranes are usually less 237 susceptible to fouling than hydrophobic ones (Hester and Mayes 2002). Consequently 238 the hydrophilicity of the membranes has been increased by introducing polymeric 239 hydrophilic groups to the membrane. Xu et al. (2005) reported the modification of a 240 PVDF ultrafiltration membrane with PVP (polyvinylpyrrolidone) for use in the 241 purification of pharmacological flavonoids (Kubota et al. 2001) from extracts of 242

Ginkgo biloba. The modified membrane contains a polyamide structure that may 243 show a high and selective affinity for flavonoids due to hydrogen-bond effects 244 between flavonoids and the PVP groups. With the modified PVDF-PFP membrane, 245 the permeate flux was observed to increase linearly at low transmembrane pressures 246 and gradually reached a steady value at high pressure; the flavonoid content in the 247 product was increased from 21.3 % to 34.8 %. For polyacrylonitrile (PAN) 248 membranes, the simplest way to increase the hydrophilicity of the membrane is to 249 hydrolyze the membrane by immersion into 2M NaOH (Qiao et al. 2007). The 250 hydrophilic PAN membranes so obtained were then used to purify danshensu and 251 protocatechuic aldehyde from an aqueous extract from *Salvia miltiorrhiza*. The study 252 suggested that increased permeate flux could be obtained by increasing the 253 254 hydrophilicity of the membrane. In addition, permeates with different contents and ratios of the two desired components could be achieved by using PAN membranes 255 with different hydrophilicities. Another approach is the use of inorganic acids as 256 hydrophilization agents. González Muñoz et al. (2006) immersed a nanofiltration 257 membrane into a 1 % w/v hydrofluoric acid (HF) solution for 14 days in order to 258 improve the membrane performance. Although no investigation was carried out on the 259 nature of the chemical modification that had occurred, improved permeate flux was 260 demonstrated for the membrane after HF treatment. Although various synthetic 261 polymer membranes have gained increased popularity due to the stability of their 262 chemical structure and the availability of abundant functional groups, novel 263 membranes containing regenerated cellulose composites may be an attractive 264

alternative because of the occurrence of less fouling, improved mechanical strengthand easy regeneration (van Reis and Zydney 1999).

267

A method used by You et al. (2007) to study the fouling of membranes by wastewater 268 influent may be illuminative for similar fouling problems when membranes are used 269 270 to purify natural products. They investigated the use of ozone to oxidize the fouling matter on PVDF ultrafiltration membranes. Ozonation was performed by direct 271 continuous dosing of ozone into the wastewater influent at a flow rate of 8.79 mg/min 272 273 and the residual concentration of ozone in the influent flow was steadily maintained at 4.02 mg/L throughout the test. It was observed that after 1 h, the permeate flux 274 dropped by 40 % for a membrane not subjected to ozonation, whilst the flux with the 275 276 treated membrane fell by only 10 %. On the basis of infra-red and energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy analyses, the authors concluded that the ozone treatment destroyed 277 aromatic rings within the PVDF membrane to "produce functional oxygenated groups 278 (aldehydic, ketonic, and especially carboxylic acid), which may be coupling with 279 calcium ions to form soluble calcium complexes that reduce the formation of calcium 280 carbonates". 281

282

In addition to the efforts devoted to modifying the structure and chemistry of membranes, considerable work has been focused on developing new membrane systems with reduced fouling characteristics and enhanced permeate flux. Earlier work towards this objective includes the adoption of conical-shaped rotors (Vogel and

Kroner 1999), cylindrical Taylor vortex systems (Parnham et al. 1995), rotating disk 287 filters (Lee et al. 1995) and helical coiled Dean vortex devices (Luque et al. 1999; 288 Gehlert et al. 1998). Recently, Auddy et al. (2004) developed a stainless steel 289 rectangular cross-flow cell that worked as a turbulence promoter to enhance the 290 permeate flux in a nanofiltration system. Significant transmembrane flux 291 enhancement in the range of 40-100 % was achieved and was even more pronounced 292 at higher flow velocity. The researchers concluded that turbulence was promoted by 293 the wires placed in the cross-flow cell and helped to reduce concentration polarization 294 295 and resulted in less solute deposition on the membrane surface. Although the work was carried out in a nanofiltration process for dye solutions, the feasibility of flux 296 enhancement in may result in the design of novel devices that work in similar ways to 297 298 challenge the fouling that occurs during membrane purification processes for natural products. 299

300

301 Conclusions and outlook

302

This mini review covers the most recent developments in the purification of natural products in which one or more membrane-based techniques are utilised as either a primary treatment or as a complete recovery process. From the work carried out so far, the general observation is that single membrane techniques, such as microfiltration, ultrafiltration and nanofiltration, have been able to perform a primary purification of natural products from plant and microorganism sources due to their inherent advantages, *e.g.* high efficiency, simple equipment, convenient operation and low energy consumption. In addition, these techniques can be used in conjunction with other separation processes, to achieve various demands for higher degrees of purification of natural products. Much effort has been devoted to improve the selectivity of membrane separations while maintaining their inherent high-throughput characteristics (van Reis and Zydney 2001).

315

The outlook for membrane-based techniques in this field will be the development of 316 better membrane filtration system with high selectivity for target substances and 317 better anti-fouling properties. An important trend is the improvement of the 318 membrane structure and the emergence of functional membranes, which with 319 appropriate function groups grafted onto their surface, are highly selective for target 320 substances, and in addition are able to resist fouling more effectively. In conclusion, 321 the abundant qualities of membrane-based techniques will ensure that they will 322 remain productive tools in the purification of natural products. 323

324

325

326

- 327
- 328

329

330

331 **References**

- 332 Andersen ØM, Markham KR (2006) Flavonoids Chemistry, Biochemistry and Applications.
- 333 CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group
- Auddy K, De S, DasGupta S (2004) Flux enhancement in nanofiltration of dye solution using
- turbulent promoters. Sep Purif Technol 40:31–39
- Bauer R, Wagner H (1991) *Echinacea* species as potential immunostimulatory drugs. Econ Med
 Plant Res 5:253-321
- 338 Boerlage SFE, Kennedy MD, Dickson MR, Schippers JC (2002) The modified fouling index using
- 339 ultrafiltration membranes (MFI-UF): characterization, filtration mechanisms and membrane
- 340 selection. J Membr Sci 197:1–21
- 341 Bouchoux A, Balmann HR, Lutin F (2005) Nanofiltration of glucose and sodium lactate solutions
- 342 Variations of retention between single- and mixed-solute solutions. J Membr Sci
 343 258:123–132
- Brüschke H (1995) Industrial application of membrane separation processes. Pure Appl Chem
 67(6):993-1002
- Cheryan M (1998) Ultrafiltration and Microfiltration Handbook, Lancaster, Technomic Publishing
 Company.
- 348 Cho CW, Lee DY, Kim CW (2003) Concentration and purification of soluble pectin from
- 349 mandarin peels using crossflow microfiltration system. Carbohyd Polym 54:21–26
- 350 Davidson BS (1995) New dimensions in natural products research: culture marine microorganisms.
- 351 Curr Opin in Biotech 6(3):284-291
- 352 Denis C, Massé A, Fleurence J, Jaouen P (2009) Concentration and pre-purification with

- 353 ultrafiltration of a R-phycoerythrin solution extracted from macro-algae *Grateloupia turuturu*:
- Process definition and up-scaling. Sep Purif Technol 69:37–42
- 355 Durham B, Walton A (1999) Membrane pretreatment of reverse osmosis: long-term experience on
- difficult waters. Desalination 122:157–170
- 357 Gehlert G, Luque S, Belfort G (1998) Comparison of ultra- and microfiltration in the presence and
- absence of secondary flow with polysaccharides, proteins, and yeast suspensions. Biotech
 Prog 14:931-942
- 360 González Muñoz MP, Navarro R, Saucedo I, Avila M, Prádanos P, Palacio L, Martínez F,
- Martín, Hernández A (2006) Hydrofluoric treatment for improved performance of a
 nanofiltration membrane. Desalination 191:273–278
- Goulas AK, Kapasakalidis PG, Sinclair HR, Rastall RA, Grandison AS (2002) Purification of
 oligosaccharides by nanofiltration. J Membr Sci 209:321–335
- 365 Heerklotz H, Seelig J (2001) Detergent-like action of the antibiotic peptide surfaction on lipid
- 366 membranes. Biophys J 81:1547–1554
- 367 Hester JF, Mayes AM (2002) Design and performance of foul-resistant poly(vinylidene fluoride)
- 368 membrane prepared in a single-step by surface segregation. J Membr Sci 202:119-135
- Hwang KJ, Liao CY, Tung KL (2007) Analysis of particle fouling during microfiltration by use of
- blocking models. J Membr Sci 287(2):287–293
- 371 Isa MHM, Coraglia DE, Frazier RA, Jauregi P (2007) Recovery and purification of surfactin from
- fermentation broth by a two-step ultrafiltration process. J Membr Sci 296:51–57
- 373 Johnson RA, Sun JC, Sun J (2002) A pervaporation-microfiltration-osmotic distillation hybrid
- 374 process for the concentration of ethanol–water extracts of the *Echinacea* plant. J Membr Sci

- 375 209:221–232
- 376 Kawakatsu T, Nakajima M, Nakao S, Kimura S (1995) Three-dimensional simulation of random
- packing and pore blocking phenomena during microfiltration. Desalination 101:203–209
- 378 Kay RM, Truswell AS (1977) Effects of citrus pectin on blood lipids and fecal steroid excretion in
- 379 man. Am J Clin Nutr 30(2):171–175
- 380 Kiyohara H, Hirano M, Wen XG, Matsumoto T, Sun XB, Yamada H (1994) Characterization of
- an anti-ulcer pectic polysaccharide from leaves of Panax ginseng C.A. meyer. Carbohyd Res
 263:89–101
- Kubota Y, Naoko T, Keizo U, Hiroyuki T et al. (2001) *Ginkgo biloba* extract-induced relaxation
 of rat aorta is associated with increase in endothelial intracellular calcium level. Life Sci 69:
- 385 2327-2336
- Laroche G, Marois Y, Guidoin R, King MW, Martin L, How T, Douville Y (1995) Polyvinylidene
- 387 fluoride (PVDF) as a biomaterial: from polymeric raw material to monofilament vascular
- 388 suture. J Biomed Mater Res 29:1525-1536
- Lee SS, Burt A, Russotti G, Buckland B (1995) Microfiltration of recombinant yeast cells using a
- rotating disk dynamic filtration system. Biotechnol Bioeng 48:386-400
- Li W, Li J, Chen T, Chen C (2004) Study on nanofiltration for purifying fructo-oligosaccharides I.
- 392 Operation modes. J Membr Sci 245:123–129
- Li W, Xing W, Jin W, Xu N (2006) Effect of pH on microfiltration of Chinese herb aqueous
- 394 extract by zirconia membrane. Sep Purif Technol 50:92–96
- Luque S, Mallubhotla H, Gehlert G, Kuriyel R, Dzengeleski S, Pearl S, Belfort G (1999) A new
- 396 coiled hollow-fiber module design for enhanced microfiltration performance in

- 397 biotechnology. Biotechnol Bioeng 63:247-257
- 398 Marston A, Hostettmann K (1991) Modern separation methods. Nat Prod Rep 8:391–413
- 399 Matsubara Y, Iwasaki K, Nakajima M, Nabetani H, Nakao S (1996) Recovery of oligosaccharides
- 400 from streamed soybean waste water in tofu processing by reverse osmosis and nanofiltration
- 401 membranes. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 60:420–428
- 402 Mok CK, Ku KH, Park DJ, Kim NS, Sohn HS (1995) Ultrafiltration of soybean cooking water for
- the production of soyoligosaccharides. Kor J Food Sci Technol 27:181–184
- 404 Nabarlatz D, Torras C, Garcia-Valls R, Montané D (2007) Purification of xylo-oligosaccharides
- from almond shells by ultrafiltration. Sep Purif Technol 53:235–243
- 406 Newman DJ, Cragg GM, Snader K (2003) Natural products as sources of new drugs over the
- 407 period 1981–2002. J Nat Prod 66:1022-1037
- 408 Newman DJ, Cragg GM (2007) Natural products as sources of new drugs over the last 25 years. J
- 409 Nat Prod 70:46-477
- 410 Parnham CS, Davis RH (1995) Protein recovery from cell debris using rotary and tangential
- 411 cross-flow microfiltration. Biotechnol Bioeng 47:155-164
- Playne MJ, Crittenden R (1996) Commercially available oligosaccharide. Bull Int Dairy Fed
 313:10-22
- 414 Prodanova M, Garridob I, Vacasa V, Lebrón-Aguilarc R, Dueñasd M, Gómez-Cordovésb C,
- 415 Bartolomé B (2008) Ultrafiltration as alternative purification procedure for the
- 416 characterization of low and high molecular-mass phenolics from almond skins. Anal Chim
- 417 Acta 609:241–251
- 418 Qiao X, Zhang Z, Ping Z (2007) Hydrophilic modification of ultrafiltration membranes and their

- 419 application in *Salvia Miltiorrhiza* decoction. Sep Purif Technol 56:265–269
- 420 Sakol D, Konieczny K (2004) Application of coagulation and conventional filtration in raw water
- 421 pretreatment before microfiltration membranes. Desalination 162:61–73
- 422 Sarney DB, Hale C, Frankel G, Vulfson EN (2000) A novel approach to the recovery of
- 423 biologically active oligosaccharides from milk using a combination of enzymatic treatment
- 424 and nanofiltration. Biotechnol Bioeng 69:461–467
- 425 Sjöman E, Mänttäri M, Nyström M, Koivikko H, Heikkilä H (2008) Xylose recovery by
 426 nanofiltration from different hemicellulose hydrolyzate feeds. J Membr Sci 310:268–277
- 427 Srinivas ND, Barhate RS, Raghavarao KSMS (2002) Aqueous two-phase extraction in
 428 combination with ultrafiltration for downstream processing of Ipomoea peroxidise. J Food
- 429 Eng 54:1–6
- 430 Sticher O (2008) Natural product isolation. Nat Prod Rep 25:517-554
- 431 Tessier L, Bouchard P, Rahni M (2005) Separation and purification of benzylpenicillin produced
- 432 by fermentation using coupling ultrafiltration and nanofiltration technologies. J Biotechnol433 116:79–89
- Tung KL, Wang S, Lu WM, Pan CH (2001) In situ measurement of cake thickness distribution by
 a photointerrupt sensor. J Membr Sci 190(1):57–67
- 436 Urano H, Kawakatsu T, Nabetani H, Nakajima M (1997) Separation properties for
- 437 oligosaccharides of nanofiltration membranes and its application to a purification process of
- 438 Jerusalem artichoke oligosaccharides. J Food Process Pres 44:457–462
- 439 van Reis R, Zydney AL (1999) Protein ultrafiltration. In Encyclopedia of Bioprocess Technology:
- 440 Fermentation, Biocatalysis, and Bioseparation. Edited by Flickinger MC, Drew SW. New

- 441
- York: John Wiley & Sons 2197-2214
- van Reis R, Zydney AL (2001) Membrane separations in biotechnology. Curr Opin Biotech
 12:208–211
- 444 Vegas R, Moure A, Domínguez H, Parajó JC, Alvarez JR, Luque S (2008) Evaluation of ultra-
- and nanofiltration for refining soluble products from rice husk xylan. Bioresource Technol99:5341–5351
- Vladisavljević GT, Vukosavljević P, Bukvić B (2003) Permeate flux and fouling resistance in
 ultrafiltration of depectinized apple juice using ceramic membranes. J Food
 Eng 60(3):241-247
- 450 Vogel JH, Kroner KH (1999) Controlled shear filtration: a novel technique for animal cell
 451 separation. Biotechnol Bioeng 63:663-674
- 452 Vrouwenvelder JS, van Paassen JAM, Wessels LP, van Dama AF, Bakker SM (2006) The
- 453 membrane fouling simulator: a practical tool for fouling prediction and control. J Membr Sci
- 454 281:316-324
- 455 Xu Z, Li L, Wu F, Tan S, Zhang Z (2005) The application of the modified PVDF ultrafiltration
- 456 membranes in further purification of *Ginkgo biloba* extraction. J Membr Sci 255:125–131
- 457 Yamada H, Ra KS, Kiyohara H, Cyong JC, Otsuka Y (1989) Structural characterization of an
- 458 anti-complementary pectic polysaccharide from the roots of *Bupleurum falcatum* L.
 459 Carbohyd Res 189:209–226
- You SH, Tseng DH, Hsu WC (2007) Effect and mechanism of ultrafiltration membrane fouling
 removal by ozonation. Desalination 202:224–230
- 462 Zhou H, Ni J, Huang W, Zhang J (2006) Separation of hyaluronic acid from fermentation broth by

tangential flow microfiltration and ultrafiltration. Sep Purif Technol 52:29–38

-	11		1	r Jr		1			
Solute	Raw material	Membrane	Membrane	Module	Surface	Pore size	Flux ^c	Refer	
Solute	Raw materia	technique	material	Wiodule	area (m ²) (μ m)		(L/m^2h)	Kelett	
anolics	almond skins	centrifugal	n/a	n/a	n/a	10 ~ 50k ^a	n/a	Prodanova e	
lenones		ultrafiltration							
	macro-algae		PES ^b	tubular	0.033	25 ~ 30k ^a	35.1	Denis et a	
ycoerythrin	Grateloupia	ultrafiltration							
	turuturu								
pectin	mature citrus	crossflow	cellulose	flat sheet	0.1	0.2	25.9	Cho et al	
peetin	peel	microfiltration	centulose						
ligosaccharide	commercial	nanofiltration	cellulose	flat sheet	n/a	n/a	n/a	Goulas et a	
ingosacemande	mixture	nanornitation							
igosaccharides	commercial	nanofiltration	n/a	n/a	1.77	n/a	n/a	Li et al.	
gosacenariaes	mixture								
nosaccharidas	rice husk xylan	ultrafiltration	PES ^b	tubular	n/a	$4k^{a}$	21	- Vegas et a	
gosacenandes		nanofiltration	ceramic	tubular	n/a	1k ^a	34		
gosaccharides	almond shells	ultrafiltration	polymeric	flat sheet	$1.26 imes 10^{-3}$	8k ^a	227 ~ 579	Nabarlatz et	
	hemicellulose		PS ^b	flat sheet	0.18	150 ~ 300 ^a	18.2 ~ 66.2	Sjöman el	
xylose	hydrolyzate	nanofiltration							
	feeds								
	S. zooepidemicus	tangential flow		flat sheet	$10.4 imes 10^{-4}$	100 ~ 300k ^a	23.0 ~ 24.9	Zhou et a	
ronic acid	fermentation	microfiltration	$PVDF^{b}$						
	broth	and ultrafiltration							
465	^a molecular weight cut off, MWCO.								
466	^b PES: polyethersulfone; PS: polysulfone; PVDF: polyvinylidene fluoride								
467	^c dependent on specific pressure in each work								

464	Table 1 Applications	of membrane	techniques for	or primary	purification	of natural products.
-----	----------------------	-------------	----------------	------------	--------------	----------------------