

Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms

Niels Høiby, Thomas Bjarnsholt, Michael Givskov, Søren Molin, Oana Ciofu

• To cite this version:

Niels Høiby, Thomas Bjarnsholt, Michael Givskov, Søren Molin, Oana Ciofu. Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, 2010, 35 (4), pp.322. 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2009.12.011. hal-00567285

HAL Id: hal-00567285 https://hal.science/hal-00567285v1

Submitted on 20 Feb 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms

Authors: Niels Høiby, Thomas Bjarnsholt, Michael Givskov, Søren Molin, Oana Ciofu



 Received date:
 13-12-2009

 Accepted date:
 15-12-2009

Please cite this article as: Høiby N, Bjarnsholt T, Givskov M, Molin S, Ciofu O, Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms, *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* (2008), doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2009.12.011

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

1	Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms
2	
3	
4 5	Niels Høiby ^{a, b} * , Thomas Bjarnsholt ^{a, b} , Michael Givskov ^b , Søren Molin ^c and Oana Ciofu ^b
6	
7 8	^a Department of Clinical Microbiology 9301, Juliane Maries Vej 22, Rigshospitalet, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark
9 10	^b Department of Bacteriology, Institute of International Health, Immunology and Microbiology, University of Copenhagen, Denmark.
11	^c Biocentrum, Danish Technical University, Lyngby, Denmark
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	*Corresponding author. Tel.: +4535457788; fax: +4535456412.
20	E-mail address: hoiby@hoibyniels.dk (N. Høiby)
21	
22	Keywords:
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	 Biofilm Antibiotic resistance Antibiotic tolerance Beta-lactamase Efflux pumps Mutators Pseudomonas aeruginosa Cystic fibrosis Foreign body infections
32	
33	
34	
35	

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

36	
37	
38	ABSTRACT
39	A biofilm is a structured consortium of bacteria embedded in a self-produced polymer
40	matrix consisting of polysaccharide, protein and DNA. Bacterial biofilms cause
41	chronic infections because they show increased tolerance to antibiotics, desinfective
42	chemicals and resist phagocytosis and other components of the defense system of the
43	body. The persistence of e.g. staphylococcal infections related to foreign bodies is due
44	to biofilm formation. Likewise, chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa lung infections in
45	cystic fibrosis patients are caused by biofilm growing mucoid strains.
46	Characteristically, gradients of nutrients and oxygen exsist from the top to the bottom
47	of biofilms and these gradients are associated to decrease of bacterial metabolic
48	activity and increase of doubling times of the bacterial cells and these more or less
49	dormant cells are therefore responsible for some of the tolerance to antibiotics.
50	Biofilm growth is associated with increased level of mutations and with quorum
51	sensing regulated mechanisms. Conventional resistance mechanisms such as
52	chromosomal beta-lactamase, up-regulated efflux pumps and mutations of antibiotic
53	target molecules in the bacteria also contribute to the survival of biofilms. Biofilm can
54	be prevented by early aggressive antibiotic prophylaxis or therapy and they can be
55	treated by chronic suppresive therapy. A promising strategy may be the use of
56	enzymes which can dissolve the biofilm matrix (e.g. DNase, alginate lyase) and
57	quorum sensing inhibitors, which increases biofilm susceptibility to antibiotics.

58

59 **1. Introduction**

60 Biofilm growing bacteria cause chronic infections (1) which are characterized by

61 persisting inflammation and tissue damage (2). Chronic infections, including foreign

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

62 body infections, are infections which 1) persist in spite of antibiotic therapy and the

63 innate and adaptive immune- and inflammatory response of the host, and 2) which, in

64 contrast to colonization, are characterized by immune response and persisting

65 pathology (Table 1).

66

67 2. The occurrence and achitecture of bacterial biofilms

68 Foreign body infections are characterized by biofilm growth of the bacteria on the 69 outer and/or inner surface of the foreign body (Table 2). Biofilm growth also occurs 70 on natural surfaces such as teeth (3), heart valves (endocarditis), (4) in the lungs of 71 cystic fibrosis (CF) patients causing chronic bronchopneumonia (2), in the middle ear 72 in patients with persistent otitis media (5), in chronic rhinosinusitis (6), in chronic 73 osteomyelitis and prostetic joint infections (7) (8) (9), in intravenous catheters and stents (10) and in chronic wounds (11) (12)(Fig. 1 A & B). The microbes in biofilms 74 75 are kept together by a self-produced biopolymeric matrix. The matrix contains 76 polysaccharides, proteins and DNA originating from the microbes and the bacterial 77 consortium can consist of one or more species living in a sociomicrobiological way 78 (1, 13) (2, 14). The matrix is important since it provides structual stability and 79 protection to the biofilm. The development of bacterial biofilms over time has been 80 intensively studied *in vitro* by confocal scanning laser microscopy employing green 81 *fluorescent protein (gfp)*-tagged bacteria. This technique has been combined with 82 advanced *in silico* image-analysing to produce 3-D images of biofilm (15, 16) (17). 83 As an example, *P. aeruginosa* produce a mature *in vitro* biofilm in 5-7 days (Fig. 2). 84 The development of an *in vitro* biofilm is initiated by planktonic (freely 85 moving) bacteria which are attached reversible to a surface, which may be

86 conditioned of a layer of e.g. proteins (a pellicle) (3) (18). At this stage, the bacteria

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09 87 are still susceptible to antibiotics and this is in accordance with the success of the 88 peroperative antibiotic prophylaxis for e.g. alloplastic surgery. The next step is 89 irrreversible binding to the surface within the next few hours and multiplication of the 90 bacteria which forms microcolonies on the surface and begin to produce a polymer 91 matrix around the microcolonies (18). The biofilm grows thickness (up to $50 \mu m$) 92 and under in vitro conditions, mushroom-like or tower-like structures are often 93 observed in the mature biofilm. At that stage, the biofilm show maximum tolerance (= 94 resistance) to antibiotics. Subsequently follows a stage where focal areas of the 95 biofilm dissolves and the liberated bacterial cells can then spread to other location 96 where new biofilms can be formed. This liberation process may be caused by 97 bacteriophage activity within the biofilm (19). The mature biofilm may contain 98 water-filled channels and thereby resemble primitive, multicellular organisms. Motile 99 bacteria can use type-1V pili to mount or climb a biofilm formed by other bacteria 100 and colonize the top of the biofilm resembling a hat (16). Important properties of 101 biofilm growing bacteria are different from those of planktonic growing bacteria and 102 this has significant diagnostic and therapeutic consequenses. The bacteria appear 103 different in biofilm infections since they are located close to each other in aggregates 104 surrounded by the self-produced matrix. In clinical specimens (biopsies, pus, sputum) 105 biofilm can often be recognized by light microscopy although precise identification of 106 all the bacteria within a biofilm can only be done by DNA hybridization techniques 107 and identification of the components of the biofilm matrix require specialized staining 108 techniques (2). Traditional sampling techniques may not be suffucient to culture 109 biofilm growing bacteria sticking to a surface unless the bacteria are released by 110 ultasonic pre-treatment (20). The ordinary culture techniques, however, reveals only 111 the properties of planktonically growing bacteria, and e.g. antibiotic susceptibility

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

testing therefore gives misleading results which do not reflect the increased resistance
of the bacteria when living in biofilms. The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC)
and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) of antibiotics to biofilm growing
bacteria may be up to 100 – 1000 fold higher compared to planktonic bacteria (21)
(22, 23). Methods to test biofilm growing bacteria have therefore been developed but
their clinical relevance as regards prediction of clinical successfull therapy awaits
confirmation (22, 24, 25).

119 **3.** Stationary phase physiology, low oxygen concentration and slow growth.

120 Inspection of environmental as well as in vitro biofilms has revealed that oxygen 121 concentration may be high at the surface but low in the center of biofilms where 122 anaerobic conditions may be present (26). Likewise, growth, protein synthesis and 123 metabolic activity is stratified in biofilms i.e. high level of activity at the surface and 124 low level and slow growth or no growth in the center and this is one of the 125 explanations for the reduced susceptibility of biofilms to antibiotics (27) (28). Very slow in situ growth rates of biofilms of P. aeruginosa have been measured in sputum 126 127 of CF patients (average doubling time 2-3 hours and presence of a significant 128 number of cells in stationary growth fase) (29). Monotherapy with antibiotics like 129 beta-lactams, which are only active against dividing *P. aeruginosa* cells, are therefore 130 not very efficent to eradicate biofilm infections (21).

131 **4. Mutators**

132 The mutation frequency of biofilm-growing bacteria is significantly increased

133 compared to planktonically growing isogenic bacteria (30) and there is an increased

- horizontal gene transmission in biofilms (31). These physiological conditions may
- explain why biofilm growing bacteria easily become multi-drug resistant by means

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

136	of traditional resistance mechanisms against beta-lactam antibiotics, aminoglycosides
137	and fluroquinolones which are detected by routine susceptibility testing in the clinical
138	microbiology laboratory where planktonic bacterial growth is investigated. Thus,
139	bacterial cells in the biofilms may simultaneously produce enzymes that degrade
140	antibiotics, have antibiotic targets of low-affinity and overexpresses efflux pumps
141	which have a broad spectrum of substrates. Achievement of multiple mutations in a
142	bacterial population size of 10^8 - 10^{10} / ml sputum as is attained under infection of the
143	CF lung (32) imply the presence of a hypermutable bacterial subpopulation and the
144	presence of high percentages of hypermutable P. aeruginosa isolates associated with
145	antibiotic resistance have actually been found in CF patients (33) (34).
146	The hypermutable phenotype of CF <i>P. aeruginosa</i> isolates is due to alterations in the
147	genes of the DNA repair systems of either the mismatch repair system (MMR) which
148	involves mutS, mutL and uvrD or of the DNA oxidative lesions repair system (GO)
149	which involves <i>mutT</i> , <i>mutY</i> and <i>mutM</i> (35, 36). It has been shown that mutations in
150	either of the two systems determine emergence of antibiotic resistant isolates,
151	especially due to selection of isolates expressing multidrug efflux-pumps (36, 37). An
152	increased production of endogenous reactive oxygen species and a deficient anti-
153	oxidant system (38) (39) determine an imbalance between oxidative burden and anti-
154	oxidant defenses leading to oxidative stress in biofilms. The oxidative stress is
155	considered to cause enhanced mutability in biofilms (30, 40) . Recent data suggest
156	that microcolony structures, due to endogenous ocidative stress, are specific sites
157	within the biofilms for enhanced genetic adaptation and evolutionary change (40). In
158	addition, Boles and Singh (41) showed that the endogenous oxidative stress in
159	biofilms promote antibiotic resistance and that addition of anti-oxidants reduced the

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms.	10	/12/09
inpibly of an initialitie i oblotance of bacterial bioining.	v /	/ 1 = / 0 /

160	occurrence of diversity in biofilms.	We have previously shown	that oxidative stress is
	5	1 2	

161 linked to the occurrence of hypermutable *P. aeruginosa* strains in CF patients (34).

162 In addition to their endogenous oxidative stress, the biofilm-growing bacteria in the

163 CF airways are exposed to reactive oxygen species (ROS) from the activated

164 polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMN)(34). We have recently shown that the hypoxic

165 environment in the CF sputum is due to the consumption of oxygen by PMNs which

166 liberate reactive oxygen species which can react with the biofilm-embedded bacterial

167 cells (42), thus creating an unique environment with low-oxygen tension filled with

168 reactive oxygen species.

169 The hypermutability of bacteria in biofilms promotes the emergence of mutations

170 conferring antibiotic resistance which will be selected for by the repeated antibiotic

171 courses administered in order to maintain the lung function of CF patients.

172 Development of resistance to all classes of antibiotics during the chronic lung

173 infection in CF has been documented (43). Resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics occur

174 due to mutations in regulatory genes of the beta-lactamase production leading to the

175 occurrence of isolates with stable or partially-stable derepressed production of AmpC

176 beta-lactamase(44). Resistance to ciprofloxacin of CF *P. aeruginosa* isolates was

177 shown to be mediated by mutations in *gyrA* and alterations in the two efflux systems

178 MexCD-OprJ and MexEF-OprN and resistance to tobramycin was overexpression of

the MexXY-OprM multi-drug efflux pump (45) (46). Resistance to colistin was

180 shown to occur due to mutations in the *pmr* system involved in the LPS structure

181 (47).

182

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

184 5. Chromosomal beta-lactamase and biofilm matrix components

185 The overproduction of chromosomally encoded AmpC cephalosporinase is

186 considered the main mechanism of resistance of CF isolates of *P. aeruginosa* to β -

187 lactam antibiotics (48). The most common phenotype of beta-lactamase production in

188 CF isolates is the partially derepressed phenotype with high basal levels of beta-

189 lactamase that can be induced further to higher levels in the presence of beta-lactam

antibiotics (44). The role of this beta-lactamase phenotype is important especially for

191 the resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics acting as strong inducers (carbapenems like

192 imipenem). However, not all beta-lactams are strong inducers and the overexpression

193 of the MexAB-OprM efflux pumps may play, together with beta-lactamases, an

194 important role in the resistance to poor inducers (e.g. piperacillin). Totally

derepressed beta-lactamase production is encountered in 2.5% of clinical CF isolates

196 (44) and is responsible for the resistance to both poor and strong inducer beta-lactam

197 antibiotics, independent of the presence of efflux pumps overexpression (49) (50)(51).

198 We have found an insertion sequence (IS 1669) inactivating the *ampD* gene in several

199 resistant clinical *P. aeruginosa* isolates with constitutive high expression of

200 chromosomal beta-lactamase (51).

201

202 The diffusion barrier in biofilms (52) plays a role for biofilm resistance of *P*.

203 *aeruginosa* that overproduce beta-lactamase due to the presence in the biofilm matrix

204 of beta-lactamases which will hydrolyze the beta-lactam antibiotics before reaching

the bacterial cells (53) (54). Giwercman (55) showed that imipenem and piperacillin

206 were able to induce β -lactamase production in *P. aeruginosa* biofilms. Nichols (56)

207 predicted from mathematical models that the biofilm would not afford protection

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

208	against diffusion of beta-lactam antibiotics into the bacteria embedded in the biofilm
209	as long as the level of chromosomal beta-lactamase is low. However bacteria
210	expressing high level of chromosomal beta-lactamase growing in biofilms would be
211	exposed to reduced concentration of beta-lactam antibiotics due to accumulation of
212	the enzyme in the polysaccharide matrix. The extracellular β -lactamase would
213	inactivate the antibiotic as it penetrates, thereby protecting the deeper-lying cells.
214	The source of β -lactamase in biofilms has been considered to be from a layer of lysed
215	bacteria due to exposure to an antibiotic, with release of defensive enzymes into the
216	extracellular space. We have shown that the source of β -lactamase in biofilm may
217	also be the membrane vesicles (MVs) containing beta-lactamase liberated by resistant
218	P. aeruginosa bacteria (57)(58)(54) and we have shown, that high level of free
219	chromosomal beta-lactamase is present in CF sputum (58). We have also shown that
220	strong inducers like imipenem will induce the beta-lactamase through all the bacterial
221	layers while poorer inducers like ceftazidime will influence just the superficial layers
222	of the biofilm, probably due to the inactivation of the antibiotic by beta-lactamase (59,
223	60)(61)(58) (Figure 3).

224 The protective role played by beta-lactamase in impairing the penetration of beta-225 lactams in the biofilm can be seen in Fig. 3A. Treatment with ceftazidime of a 226 biofilm formed by a P. aeruginosa CF strain with stable derepressed levels of beta-227 lactamase due to an insertion sequence in *ampD* (*P. aeruginosa ampD*⁻) killed very 228 few bacterial cells (dead bacteria in red) (Figure 3B) in contrast to the complemented 229 strain with low level of beta-lactamase (Fig. 3 C). However, addition of aztreonam 230 improved the efficacy of ceftazidime treatment of the biofilm (Figure 3D, probably because aztreonam acts as a beta-lactamase inhibitor (58). In addition, meropenem, a 231

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

232	beta-lactamase stable beta-lactam showed good <i>in vitro</i> efficacy in the treatment of <i>P</i> .
233	aeruginosa biofilms (22) (61). Treatment with ceftazidime of a biofilm formed by the
234	same strain expressing basal levels of beta-lactamase due to complemention with the
235	wild-type $ampD$ (<i>P. aeruginosa</i> $ampD$ +) led to eradication of the biofilm (Figure 3C).
236	The matrix of the biofilm may also be part of the resistance mechanisms to
237	antibiotics since e.g. sub-MIC concentrations of beta-lactam antibiotics induce
238	increased alginate synthesis in <i>P. aeruginosa</i> biofilms (Fig. 4) (62) (63) and also
239	enhance the biofilm matrix of some slime-producing coagulase-negative
240	staphylococci (64)(65). Originally, it has been thought that tolerance of the biofilms
241	to aminoglycosides was due to a transport limitation due to the binding of these
242	positively charged antibiotics to the negatively charged exopolysaccharide matrix but
243	the repeated dosing of antibiotics during therapy probably leads to saturation of the
244	binding sites (66) (67) (68). As previously discussed, the oxygen limitation and the
245	metabolic rates are probably more important factors which contribute to the tolerance
246	of biofilms to aminoglycosides and ciprofloxacin (69). However, in the respiratory
247	zone of the CF lung with poor access to aminoglycoside aerosols, where the antibiotic
248	concentration is low delayed penetration of the aminoglycosides through thick
249	biofilms may play a role in the tolerance of biofilms to aminoglycosides (2). Recently,
250	it has been shown that administration of DNase and alginate lyase enhanced the
251	activity of tobramycin in biofilms by dissolving the biofilm matrix (70).

252

253 **6. Tolerance, adaptive resistance and efflux pumps**

254 Colistin is only active against the non-dividing central part of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms

255 *in vitro* (Fig. 5A), whereas the superficial, metabolic active part of the biofilm

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

256	become tolerant due to upregulation of PmrA-PmrB two-component regulatory
257	systems involved in the adaptive resistance to cationic peptides leading to addition of
258	aminoarabinose to lipid A of LPS (22, 71, 72). Since the metabolic active surface
259	layer of the biofilm is susceptible to ciprofloxacin (Fig. 5B) in contrast to the dormant
260	central part of the biofilm, combination therapy with this drug and colistin was able to
261	kill all cells in the biofilm <i>in vitro</i> (Fig. 5C)(71). The clinical efficacy has been
262	demonstrated of this combination therapy for the early eradication treatment of P .
263	aeruginosa in CF patients (73).
264	Tolerance of biofilms to tobramycin is also mediated by low metabolic activity but
265	the high cell density that results in accumulation of extracellular signalling molecules
266	is probably important, as it has been shown that tolerance to tobramycin of P .
267	aeruginosa strain PAO1 biofilm is quorum sensing mediated (Fig. 6)(74) (see below).
268	In addition, a non-specific mechanism for the tolerance of the metabolic active cells
269	to colistin was shown to be up-regulation of the efflux pump MexAB-OprM (71).
270	Furthermore, increased efflux pump activity due to mutations has been shown to be a
271	major resistance mechanism against aminoglycoside antibiotics and fluorquinolones
272	in <i>P. aeruginosa</i> from CF patients (46) (45).

273

274 **7. High cell density and quorum sensing**

275 Bacteria communicate by means of synthizising and reacting on signal molecules (75-

276 78). The term QS indicates that this system permits bacteria to sense when a critical

- 277 number (concentration) of bacteria are present in a limited space in the environment
- and respond by activating certain genes which then produce e.g. virulence factors
- such as enzymes or toxins. The QS molecules are small peptides in many Gram-

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

280 positive bacteria whereas the most well-described QS molecules in Gram-negative

- 281 bacteria are N-acyl-L-homoserinelactones (AHL) (78). For P. aeruginosa QS
- regulates the production of virulence factors such as extracellular enzymes and
- 283 cellular lysins (e.g. rhamnolipid), which are important for the pathogenesis of
- infections where it functions as a protective shield against phagocytes (79, 80) (81).
- 285 QS may also have influence on the development of the biofilm (82) and QS have
- been shown to determine the tolerance of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms against antibiotic
- therapy and against the innate inflammatory response dominated by
- 288 polymorphonuclear leucocytes (PMNs) (83). The connections between QS and
- 289 biofilms has been named sociomicrobiology (84).

290

291 8. Quorum sensing inhibitors (QSI)

292 Much of our knowledge about QS orginate from experiments with QS knock-out

293 mutants and from use of naturally occurring and artificially syntetized QSI

294 compounds (85)(86). Screening for QSI in nature has identified many QSI

295 compounds (87). These naturally occurring QSI compounds can be synthesized and

- their structure modified and used to inhibit QS in vivo in experimental animal
- infections (85). Since it has been shown, that bacteria used for experimental animal

biofilm infections actually communicate in vivo (88) and also in e.g. CF patients with

chronic *P. aeruginosa* lung infection QSI may be used to treat the infection (89).

- 300 Interestingly, some macrolide antibiotics like azithromycin (90) but also other
- 301 antibiotics like ceftazidime and ciprofloxacin (91) inhibit QS in *P. aeruginosa* at sub-
- 302 MIC concentrations leading to inhibition of the virulence of these bacteria although
- 303 they cannot inhibit their growth at obtainable concentrations in vivo. Controlled

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09 304 clinical trials using azithromycin to treat the chronic *P. aeruginosa* lung infection in 305 both CF children and adults have shown significant improvement of their lung 306 function (92) (93) (94). Most CF patients with chronic P. aeruginosa lung infections 307 are therefore now treated continously with azithromycin (95). An expected side-effect 308 has, however, been development of resistance to macrolides in other pathogenic 309 bacteria like S. aureus in CF patients (96). It would therefore be desireable to develop 310 QSI without conventional growth-inhibiting or bacteriocidal activity (78). One 311 example of such naturally occurring QSI is found in garlic extract, which in vitro and 312 in vivo have been able to render otherwise resistant P. aeruginosa biofilms susceptible 313 to antibiotic therapy and to PMN activity and the consequence is eradication of the 314 biofilm both as regards antibiotic therapy and PMN activity which dominates the 315 inflammatory response in CF patients (Fig. 7) (97). According to current knowledge, 316 QSI resistance can only occur due to mutations, which renders the QS deficient 317 bacteria unable to produce virulence factors (98) i.e. the bacteria become non-virulent 318 similar to the result of QSI therapy. If this holds thrue, then resistance problems against conventional antibiotics as we face today will not be a clinical problem. 319

320 Foreign body infections constitute an steadily increasing medical problem and 321 comprise e.g. intravenous catheters, intrauterine catheters, naso-laryngeal tubes, stents (Table 2, Fig. 1A), alloplastic materials, hydrocephalus shunts and artificial hearts 322 323 (99). If foreign bodies become colonized with biofilm-forming bacteria the result is 324 most often chronic inflammation around the foreign body which either has to be 325 replased or treated with sometimes life-long antibiotic suppressive therapy, although 326 early therapy may sometimes lead to eradication of the condition. Antibiotic coated foreign bodies like e.g. catheters, vascular prostesis have been introduced to prevent 327 328 biofilm formation and they are quite efficient (100) (101). It is, however, desirable to

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

329 develop other compounds due to the risk of development of bacterial resistance and

330 QSIs are strong candidates. QSI have been shown synergistically to improve the

331 weak effects of antibiotics and PMNs on biofilm growing bacteria in vitro and in vivo

in animal experiments leading to elimination of biofilms (Fig. 7) (102). These results

have lead to further development of QSI as pharmaceutical compounds for patients

334 who are subject to implantation of foreign bodies.

335

9. Prophylaxis and treatment of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms in CF lungs perspectives for other biofilm infections?

The currently used methods for preventing chronic *P. aeruginosa* biofilms in CF
lungs are 1) prevention of cross-infection from other already chronically infected CF
patients by isolation techniques and hygiejnic measures (103), 2) early aggressive

341 eradication therapy of intermittent colonization by means of oral ciprofloxacin and

nebulized colistin for 3 weeks or even better for 3 months or by using nebulized

tobramycin as monotherapy (104), 3) daily nebulized DNase (Pulmozyme) (105).

344 These 3 methods, which are combined in most CF centers, are successful and cost-

345 efficient and has completely changed the epidemiology of chronic *P. aeruginosa*

346 lung infection in CF patients from being very common in CF children to being

347 predominantly a problem for adult patients and no problems of resistance to the

antibiotics have been recorded (73, 106, 107). Early aggressive eradication therapy

has also been shown to superior in an animal model of P. aeruginosa infection in CF

350 (108). Furthermore, although vaccines against *P. aeruginosa* have been developed

and undergone clinical trials, they have not been further developed due to the success

352 of the early, aggressive eradication therapy (109).

353

The recommended method for treatment of chronic P. aeruginosa biofilm

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

354 infection is chronic suppressive antibiotic therapy (2)(110) (Fig. 8) which is started 355 when the chronic infection is diagnosed (continuous colonization at the monthly 356 bacteriological examination for 6 months and/or increased level of antibodies against 357 P. aeruginosa (111)(112). The chronic suppressive therapy consists of daily 358 nebulized colistin or tobramycin for the rest of the patient's life combined with either 359 regular 2-week courses every 3 months of intravenous anti-pseudomonas antibiotics 360 (combination therapy of 2 antibitics: tobramycin or colistin + ceftazidime, or 361 piperacillin/tazobactam, or carbapenem, or aztreonam, or ciprofloxacin) or ad hoc 362 intravenous therapy when clinical deteriorations occur (110). Additionally, DNase is 363 inhaled every day to reduce the viscocity of the DNA-containing sputum (105), and 364 daily oral azithromycin is given to the patients as mentioned above (95). The chronic 365 suppressive therapy (maintenance therapy) has successfully been able to maintain the 366 pulmonary function or slow the decline of the pulmonary function and prolong the life 367 of the patients for many years (113). The side-effects of the maintenance therapy is 368 high level of conventional resistance mechanisms in the persisting strains and high 369 level of allergy to the beta-lactam antibiotics (114) (43). Similar principles, a) 370 systemic or local prophylactic use of antibiotics to prevent biofilm formation, b) early 371 aggressive eradication therapy to eradicate planktonic growth or early biofilm 372 formation and c) chronic suppressive antibiotic therapy to maintain the function of a 373 inserted foreign body are gradually being introduced in other area of biofilm infection 374 (100) (8) (9). Possibly other approaches taken advantage of the use of OSI may 375 further improve the management of biofilm infections.

376

377 Funding

10/12/09

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms.

378	None.
379	
380	Conflict of interest statement
381	None to declare.
382	
383	
384	
385	References
386 387 388 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404	 Costerton W, Veeh R, Shirtliff M, Pasmore M, Post C, Ehrlich G. The application of biofilm science to the study and control of chronic bacterial infections. J Clin Invest. 2003;112(10):1466-77. Bjarnsholt T, Jensen PØ, Fiandaca MJ, Pedersen J, Hansen CR, Andersen CB, et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms in the respiratory tract of cystic fibrosis patients. Pediatric Pulmonology. 2009;44:547-58. Kolenbrander P. E., Palmer Jr. R. J. In: (ed) eAP, Washington, D.C. Human oral bacterial biofilms. In: Ghannoum M, A. OTG, editors. Microbial biofilms. Washington D.C.: ASM Press; 2004. Høiby N, Döring G, Schiøtz PO. The role of immune complexes in the pathogenesis of bacterial infections. Ann Rev Microbiol. 1986;40:29-53. Hall-Stoodley L, Hu FZ, Gieseke A, Nistico A, Nguyen L, Hayes J, et al. Direct detection of bacterial biofilms on the middle-ear mucosa of children with chronic otitis media. Journal of the American Medical Association. 2006;296:202-11. Sanderson A.R., Leid J.G., D. H. Bacterial biofilms on the sinus mucosa of human subjects with chronic rhinosinusitis. Laryngoscope. 2006;116:1121-6. Gristina A.G., Oga M., Webb L.X., C.D H. Adherent bacterial colonization in the pathogenesis of osteomyelitis. Science. 1985;228:990-3.
404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414	 Trampuz A., W. Z. Prostetic joint infections: update in diagnosis and treatment. Swiss Medical Weekly. 2005;135:243-51. Del Pozo J.L., R. P. Infection associated with prostetic joints. new England Journal of Medicine. 2009;361:787-94. Taconelli E., Smith G., Hieke K., Lafuma A., P. B. Epidemiology, medical outcomes and costs of catheter-related bloodstream infection in intensive care units of four European countries: litterature- and registry-based estimates. Journal of Hospital Infection. 2009;72:97-103. Kirketerp-Møller K., Jensen P.Ø., Fazli M., Madsen K.G., Pedersen J., Moser C., et al. Distribution, and ecology of bacteria in chronic wounds. Journal of Clinical Microbiology. 2008:46:2717-22.

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

415	12. Bjarnsholt T., Kirketerp-Møller K., Jensen P.Ø., Madsen K.G., Phipps R.,
416	Krogfelt K., et al. Why chronic wounds won't heal: a novel hypothesis. Wound
417	Repair and Regeneration. 2008;16:2-10.
418	13. Wingender J, Strathmann M, Rode A, Leis A, Flemming HC. Isolation and
419	biochemical characterization of extracellular polymeric substances from
420	Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbial Growth In Biofilms,2001. p. 302-14.
421	14. Whitchurch CB. TolkerNielsen T. Ragas PC. Mattick IS. Extracellular DNA
422	required for bacterial biofilm formation. Science, 2002:295(5559):1487.
423	15. Sternberg C. Christensen BB. Johansen T. Nielsen AT. Andersen IB.
424	Givskov M. et al. Distribution of bacterial growth activity in flow-chamber
425	hiofilms Appl Environ Microhiol 1999.65(9).4108-17
426	16 Klausen M. Heydorn A. Ragas P. Lambertsen L. Aaeslorgensen A. Molin S
427	et al Biofilm formation by Pseudomonas aeruginosa wild type flagella and type
427	IV nili mutants Mol Microhiol 2003:48(6):1511-24
420 1/20	17 Heydorn A Frsholl B Kato I Hentzer M Parsek MB TolkerNielsen T et al
429	Statistical analysis of Decudemenas aeruginesa hiefilm development: Impact of
430	statistical analysis of r seudomonas aeruginosa biomin development. Impact of mutations in gonos involved in twitching motility, cell to cell signaling, and
431	inutations in genes involveu in twitting mounty, ten-to-ten signaling, and
432	
433	2002;08(4):2008-17.
434	18. Marshall KC. Biolinns: An overview of bacterial adhesion, activity, and
435	control at surfaces. ASM News. 1992;58(4):202-7.
436	19. Webb JS, Thompson LS, James S, Charlton T, TolkerNielsen T, Koch B, et al.
437	Cell death in Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm development. J Bacteriol.
438	2003;185(15):4585-92.
439	20. Kobayashi N., Bauer T.W., Tuohy M.J., Fujishiro T., G.W. P. Brief
440	ultrasonication improves detection of biofilm-formative bacteria around a metal
441	implant. Clinical Orthopaedic Related Research. 2007;475:210-3.
442	21. Anwar H, Costerton JW. Enhanced Activity of Combination of Tobramycin
443	and Piperacillin for Eradication of Sessile Biofilm Cells of Pseudomonas-
444	Aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1990;34(9):1666-71.
445	22. Moskowitz SM, Foster JM, Emerson J, Burns JL. Clinically feasible biofilm
446	susceptibility assay for isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa from patients with
447	cystic fibrosis. J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42(5):1915-22.
448	23. Bjarnsholt T., Kirketerp-Møller K., Kristiansen S., Phipps R., Nielsen A.K.,
449	Jensen P.Ø., et al. Silver against Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. APMIS.
450	2007;115:921-8.
451	24. Anwar H, Dasgupta MK, Costerton JW. Testing the Susceptibility of
452	Bacteria in Biofilms to Antibacterial Agents. Antimicrob Agents Chemother.
453	1990;34(11):2043-6.
454	25. Domingue G, Ellis B, Dasgupta M, Costerton JW. Testing antimicrobial
455	susceptibilities of adherent bacteria by a method that incorporates guidelines of
456	the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. J Clin Microbiol.
457	1994;32(10):2564-8.
458	26. Costerton JW, Lewandowski Z, Caldwell DE, Korber DR. Lappinscott HM.
459	Microbial biofilms, Annu Rev Microbiol, 1995:49:711-45.
460	27. Werner E. Roe F. Bugnicourt A. Franklin MI. Hevdorn A. Molin S. et al.
461	Stratified growth in Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. Appl Environ Microbiol
462	2004;70(10):6188-96.

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

463	28. Keren I, Kaldalu N, Spoering A, Wang YP, Lewis K. Persister cells and
464	tolerance to antimicrobials. Fems Microbiol Lett. 2004;230(1):13-8.
465	29. Yang L. Haagensen IAI. Jelsbak L. Johansen HK. Sternberg C. Høiby N. et al.
466	In situ growth rates and biofilm development of Pseudomonas aeruginosa
100 167	nonulations in chronic lung infection Journal of Bacteriology 2008-190-2767-76
160	20 Driffield K Miller K Postock M O'Neill AI Chenre L Increased mutability
400	50. Drinleiu K, Miner K, Bostock M, O Nein AJ, Chopra I. Increased indiability
469	of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in diofilms. Journal of Antimicrodial Chemotherapy.
4/0	2008;61:1053-6.
471	31. Molin S, TolkerNielsen T. Gene transfer occurs with enhanced efficiency in
472	biofilms and induces enhanced stabilisation of the biofilm structure. Curr Opin
473	Biotechnol. 2003;14(3):255-61.
474	32. Høiby N, Johansen HK, Moser C, Song ZJ, Ciofu O, Kharazmi A.
475	Pseudomonas aeruginosa and the biofilm mode of growth. Microbes and
476	Infection. 2001;3:1-13.
477	33. Oliver A. Canton R. Campo P. Baquero F. Blazquez J. High frequency of
478	hypermutable Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis lung infection. Science,
479	2000.288(5469).1251-3
480	34 Ciofu O Rijs B Pressler T Poulsen HE Høiby N Occurrence of
100	hypermutable P aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis nationts is associates with the
401	avidative stross caused by chronic lung inflammation. Antimicrobial Agonsts and
402	Chamatharany, 2005, 40, 2276, 92
403	Chemiotherapy. $2005;49:2270-02$.
484	35. Oliver A, Sanchez JM, Blazquez J. Characterization of the GO system of
485	Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Fems Microbiol Lett. 2002;217(1):31-5.
486	36. Mandsberg LF, Clofu O, Kirkby N, Christiansen LE, Poulsen HE, Hølby N.
487	Antibiotic resistance in P. aeruginosa strains with increased mutation frequency
488	due to inactivation of the DNA oxidative repair system. Antimicrobial Agents and
489	Chemotherapy. 2009;53:2483-91.
490	37. Macia MD, Blanquer D, Togores B, Sauleda J, Perez JL, Oliver A.
491	Hypermutation is a key factor in development of multiple-antimicrobial
492	resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains causing chronic lung infections.
493	Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005;49(8):3382-6.
494	38. Hassett D.J., Ma J.F., Elkins J.G., McDermott T.R., Ochsner U.A., West S.E.H.,
495	et al. Quorum sensing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa controls expression of
496	catalase and superoxide dismutase genes and mediates biofilm susceptibility to
497	hydrogen peroxide, Mol Microbiol, 1999;34(5):1082-93.
498	39. Mai-Prochnow A., Lucas-Elio P-, Egan S. Thomas T. Webb I. Sanchez-Amat.
499	A Kiellerherg S Hydrogen nerovide linked to lysine oxidase activity facilitates
500	highly differentiation and dispersal in several gram-negative bacteria. Journal of
500	Bactoriology 2008:100:5402 501
501	A0 Conjbeen T.C. Colline S.L. L.S.W. Dole of mutation in Decudemenes
502	40. Competer L.C., Commis S.L., J.S. W. Kole of initiation in Pseudomonas
503	aeruginosa bionim development. PLoS. 2009;14(7):6289.
504	41. Boles B.R., P.K. S. Endogenous oxidative stress produces diversity and
505	adaptability in biofilm communities. Proceedings of the National Academy of
506	Science USA. 2008;105(34):12503-8.
507	42. Kolpen M, Hansen CR, Bjarnsholt T, Moser C, Christensen LD, van Gennip
508	M, et al. Ongoing respiratory burst of polymorphonuclear leukocytes accelerates
509	oxygen depletion in sputum from patients with chronic Pseudomonas
510	apringings lung infaction Thoray 2000 in proce

510 aeruginosa lung infection. Thorax. 2009, in press.

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

511 43. Ciofu O, Giwercman B, Pedersen SS, Hoiby N. Development of antibiotic 512 resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa during two decades of antipseudomonal 513 treatment at the Danish CF center. APMIS. 1994;102(9):674-80. 514 44. Ciofu O. Pseudomonas aeruginosa chromosomal beta-lactamase in 515 patients with cystic fibrosis and chronic lung infection - Mechanism of antibiotic 516 resistance and target of the humoral immune response. Apmis. 2003;111:4-47. 517 Jalal S, Ciofu O, Høiby N, Gotoh N, Wretlind B. Molecular mechanisms of 45. 518 fluoroquinolone resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates from cystic 519 fibrosis patients (Vol 44, pg 710, 2000). Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2000;44(5):1410. 520 521 Islam S, Oh H, Jalal S, Ciofu O, Høiby N, Wretlind B. Chromosomal 46. 522 resistance mechanisms fo aminoglycosides in Pseudomonas aeruginosa cystic 523 fibrosis isolates. Clinical Microbiology and Infection. 2009;15:60-6. 524 Johansen HK, Moskowitz SM, Ciofu O, Pressler T, Høiby N. Spread of 47. 525 colistin-resistant non-mucoid Pseudomonas aeruginosa among chronically 526 infected Danish cystic fibrosis patients. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis. 2008;7:391-7. 527 48. Giwercman B, Lambert PA, Rosdahl VT, Shand GH, Hoiby N. Rapid 528 Emergence of Resistance in Pseudomonas-Aeruginosa in Cystic Fibrosis Patients 529 Due to Invivo Selection of Stable Partially Derepressed Beta-Lactamase 530 Producing Strains. J Antimicrob Chemother. 1990;26(2):247-59. 531 49. Nakae T, Nakajima A, Ono T, Saito K, Yoneyama H. Resistance to beta-532 lactam antibiotics in Pseudomonas aeruginosa due to interplay between the 533 MexAB-OprM efflux pump and beta-lactamase. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 534 1999;43(5):1301-3. 535 50. Nakae T, Nakajima A, Ono T, Saito K, Yoneyama H. Resistance to beta-536 lactam antibiotics in Pseudomonas aeruginosa due to interplay between the 537 MexAB-OprM efflux pump and beta-lactamase. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 538 1999 May;43(5):1301-3. 539 Bagge N, Ciofu O, Hentzer M, Campbell JIA, Givskov M, Hoiby N. 51. 540 Constitutive high expression of chromosomal beta-lactamase in Pseudomonas 541 aeruginosa caused by a new insertion sequence (IS1669) located in ampD. 542 Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2002;46(11):3406-11. 543 deBeer D, Stoodley P, Lewandowski Z. Measurement of local diffusion 52. 544 coefficients in biofilms by microinjection and confocal microscopy. Biotechnol 545 Bioeng. 1997;53(2):151-8. 546 Dibdin GH, Assinder SJ, Nichols WW, Lambert PA. Mathematical model of 53. 547 beta-lactam penetration into a biofilm of Pseudomonas aeruginosa while 548 undergoing simultaneous inactivation by released beta-lactamases. I Antimicrob 549 Chemother. 1996;38(5):757-69. 550 Ciofu O, Beveridge TJ, Kadurugamuwa J, WaltherRasmussen J, Hoiby N. 54. 551 Chromosomal beta-lactamase is packaged into membrane vesicles and secreted 552 from Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2000;45(1):9-13. 553 Giwercman B, Jensen ET, Hoiby N, Kharazmi A, Costerton JW. Induction of 55. 554 beta-Lactamase Production in Pseudomonas-Aeruginosa Biofilm. Antimicrob 555 Agents Chemother. 1991;35(5):1008-10. Nichols W.W., Evans M.J., Slack M.P., H.L. W. The penetration of antibiotics 556 56. 557 into aggregates of mucoid and non-mucoid Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Journal of 558 General Microbiology 1989;135:1291-303.

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

559 57. Ciofu O, Beveridge TJ, Kadurugamuwa J, Walther-Rasmussen J, Hoiby N. 560 Chromosomal beta-lactamase is packaged into membrane vesicles and secreted 561 from Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2000;45(1):9-13. 562 58. Giwercman B, Meyer C, Lambert PA, Reinert C, Hoiby N. High-Level beta-563 Lactamase Activity in Sputum Samples from Cystic Fibrosis Patients During 564 Antipseudomonal Treatment. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1992;36(1):71-6. 565 Bagge N, Hentzer M, Andersen JB, Ciofu O, Givskov M, Hoiby N. Dynamics 59. 566 and spatial distribution of beta-lactamase expression in Pseudomonas 567 aeruginosa biofilms. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004 Apr;48(4):1168-74. 568 Bagge N, Hentzer M, Andersen JB, Ciofu O, Givskov M, Hoiby N. Dynamics 60. 569 and spatial distribution of beta-lactamase expression in Pseudomonas 570 aeruginosa biofilms. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004;48(4):1168-74. 571 61. Hill D., Rose B., Pajkos A., Robinson M., Bye P., Bell S., et al. Antibiotic 572 susceptabilities of Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates derived from patients with 573 cystic fibrosis under aerobic, anaerobic, and biofilm conditions. Journal of 574 Clinical Microbiology, 2005:43:5085-90. 575 62. Bagge N, Schuster M, Hentzer M, Ciofu O, Givskov M, Greenberg EP, et al. 576 Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms exposed to imipenem exhibit changes in 577 global gene expression and beta-lactamase and alginate production. Antimicrob 578 Agents Chemother. 2004;48(4):1175-87. 579 63. Wood L.F., Leech A.J., D.E. O. Cell wall-inhibitory antibiotics activate the 580 alginate biosynthesis operon in Pseudomonas aeruginosa: roles of sigma (AlgT) 581 and the AlgW and Prc proteases. Molecular Microbiology. 2006;62:412-26. 582 Dunne WM. Effects of Subinhibitory Concentrations of Vancomycin or 64. 583 Cefamandole on Biofilm Production by Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci. 584 Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 1990 34(3):390-3. 585 Permin H, Koch C, Høiby N, Christensen HO, Møller AF, Møller S. 65. 586 Ceftazidime treatment of chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa respiratory tract 587 infection in cystic fibrosis. J Antimicrob Chemother. 1983;12, supplementum 588 A:313-23. 589 Anwar H, Strap JL, Costerton JW. Establishment of aging biofilms: possible 66. 590 mechanism of bacterial resistance to antimicrobial therapy. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 1992;36:1347-51. 591 592 Levy J. Smith, A.L., Kenny, M.A., Ramsey, B., & Schoenknecht, F.D. 67. 593 Bioactivity of Gentamicin in purulent sputum from patients with cystic fibrosis 594 or bronchiectasis: Comparison with activity in serum. J Infect Dis. 595 1983:148:1069-76. 596 Hunt BE, Weber A, Berger A, Ramsey B, Smith AL. Macromolecular 68. 597 mechanisms of sputum inhibition of tobramycin activity. Antimicrob Agents 598 Chemother. 1995;39(1):34-9. 599 69. Walters MC, Roe F, Bugnicourt A, Franklin MJ, Stewart PS. Contributions of antibiotic penetration, oxygen limitation, and low metabolic activity to 600 601 tolerance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms to ciprofloxacin and tobramycin. 602 Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2003;47(1):317-23. 603 Alipour M., Suntres Z. E., A. O. Importance of DNase and alginate lyase for 70. 604 enhancing free and liposome encapsulated aminoglycoside activity against 605 Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemother apy.

606 2009;64:317-25.

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

607 71. Pamp SJ, Gjermansen M, Johansen HK, Tolker-Nielsen T. Tolerance to the 608 antimicrobial peptide colistin in Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms is linked to 609 metabolically active cells, and depends on the prm and mexAB-oprM genes. 610 Molecular Microbiology. 2008;68:223-40. 611 Haagensen J, Klausen M, Ernst RK, Miller SI, Folkesson A, Tolker-Nielsen 72. 612 T, et al. Differentiation and distribution of colistin- and sodium dodecyl sulfatetolerant cells in Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. Journal of Bacteriology. 613 614 2007;189:28-37. 615 73. Hansen CR, Pressler T, Høiby N, . Early aggressive eradication therapy for 616 intermittent Pseudomonas aeruginosa airway colonization in cystic fibrosis patients: 15 years experience. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis. 2008;7:523-30. 617 618 Bjarnsholt T, Jensen P-Ø, Burmølle M, Hentzer M, Haagensen JAJ, Hougen 74. 619 HP, et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa tolerance to tobramycin, hydrogen peroxide 620 and polymorphonuclear leukocytes is quorum-sensing dependent Microbiology. 621 2005;151:373-83. Greenberg EP. Quorum sensing in Gram-negative bacteria. ASMNews. 622 75. 623 1997;63(7):371-7. Lindum PW, Anthoni U, Christophersen C, Eberl L, Molin S, M G. N-acyl-L-624 76. 625 homoserine lactone autoinducers contro production of an extracellular 626 lipopeptide biosurfactant required for swarming motility of Serratia liquefaciens 627 MG1. J Bact. 1998;180(23):6384-8. Williams P, Camara M, Hardman A, Swift S, Milton D, Hope VJ, et al. 628 77. 629 Quorum sensing and the population-dependent control of virulence. Phil Trans 630 Roy Soc London B. 2000;355(1397):667-80. 631 Bjarnsholt T, Givskov M. The role of quorum sensing in the pathogenicity 78. 632 of the cunning aggressor Pseudomonas aeruginosa Analytical and Bioanalytical 633 Chemistry. 2007;387:409-14. Jensen PØ, Bjarnsholt T, Phipps R, Rasmussen TB, Calum H, Christoffersen 634 79. 635 L, et al. Rapid necrotic killing of polymorphonuclear leukocytes is caused by 636 quorum-sensing-controlled production of rhamnolipid by Pseudomonas 637 aeruginosa. Microbiology. 2007;153:1329-38. 638 Gennip Mv, Christensen LD, Alhede M, Phipps R, Jensen PØ, 80. Christophersen L, et al. Inactivation of the rhlA genes in Pseudomonas 639 640 aeruginosa prevents rhamnolipid production, disabling the protection against 641 polymorphonuclear leukocytes. APMIS. 2009;117:537-46. 642 Alhede M., Bjarnsholt T., Jensen P.Ø., Phipps R.K., Moser C., Christophersen 81. 643 L., et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa recognizes and responds agressively to the 644 pesence of polymorphonuclear leukocytes. Microbiology. 2009. Davies DG, Parsek MR, Pearson JP, Iglewski BH, Costerton JW, Greenberg 645 82. 646 EP. The involvement of cell-to-cell signals in the development of a bacterial 647 biofilm. Science. 1998;280(5361):295-8. Bjarnsholt T., Jensen P.Ø., Burmølle M., Hentzer M., Haagensen J.A., 648 83. 649 Hougen H.P., et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa tolerance to tobramycin, hydrogen peroxide and polymorphonuclear leukocytes is quorum-sensing dependent. 650 Microbiology. 2005 651 ;151:373-83. 652 653 84. Parsek M.R., E.P. G. Sociomicrobiology: the connections between quorum

654 sensing and biofilms. Trends in Microbiology. 2005;13:27-33.

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

655	85. Hentzer M, Wu H, Andersen JB, Riedel K, Rasmussen TB, Bagge N, et al.
656	Attenuation of Pseudomonas aeruginosa virulence by quorum sensing inhibitors.
657	Embo I. 2003:22(15):3803-15.
658	86 Givskov M de Nys R Manefield M Gram L Maximilien R Eherl L et al
650	Eulervatic interference with homocorine lactone mediated procervatic
039	signalling Journal of Destarialogy 100(170.((10.22)
660	signalling. Journal of Bacteriology. 1996;178:6618-22.
661	87. Rasmussen TB, Bjarnsholt T., Hentzer M., Kristoffersen P., Kote M, Eberl L,
662	et al. Screening for Quorum Sensing Inhibitors Using a Novel Tool – the QSI
663	Selector. Journal of Bacteriology. 2005;151:373-83.
664	88. Wu H, Song ZJ, Hentzer M, Andersen JB, Heydorn A, Mathee K, et al.
665	Detection of N-acylhomoserine lactones in lung tissues of mice infected with
666	Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbiology Uk. 2000:146:2481-93.
667	89 Hentzer M. Givskov M. Pharmacological Inhibition of Quorum Sensing for
668	Treatment of Chronic Bacterial Infections Journal of Clinical Investigation
660	2002.112.1200 7
009	2005;112:1500-7.
670	90. Hoffmann N, Lee B, Hentzer M, Rasmussen TB, Song Z, Jonansen HK, et al.
671	Azithromycin blocks quorum sensing and alginat polymer formation and
672	increases the sensitivity to serum and stationary gowth phase killing of P.
673	aeruginosa and attenuates chronic P. aeruginosa lung infection in Cftr-/- mice.
674	Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2007(in press).
675	91. Skindersøe M.E., Alhede M., Phipps R., Yang L., Jensen P.O., Rasmussen
676	T.B., et al. Effects of antibiotics on quorum sensing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.
677	Antimicrobial Agents Chemother. 2008;52:3548-663.
678	92. Wolter J, Seeney S, Bell S, Bowler S, Masel P, McCormack J. Effect of long
679	term treatment with azithromycin on disease parameters in cystic fibrosis: a
680	randomized trial. Thorax. 2002;57:212-6.
681	93. Equi A, BalfourLynn IM, Bush A, Rosenthal M. Long term azithromycin in
682	children with cystic fibrosis: a randomised, placebo-controlled crossover trial.
683	Lancet. 2002:360(9338):978-84.
684	94 Saiman I. Marshall BC MaverHamblett N. Burns II. Quittner AL Cibene
685	DA et al. Azithromycin in patients with cystic fibrosis chronically infected with
605	Draudomonas aeruginosa. A randomized controlled trial Jama J Am Med Asan
000	r section of the sector of
007	2003;290(13);1749-50.
688	95. Hansen CR, Pressier T, Koch C, Høldy N. Long-term azithromycin
689	treatment of cystic fibrosis patients with chronic P. aeruginosa infection; an
690	observational cohort study. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis 2005;4:35-40.
691	96. Tramper-Stranders GA, Wolfs TFW, Fleer A, Kimpen JLL, Ent CKvd.
692	Maintenance Azithromycin treatment in pediatric patients with cystic fibrosis.
693	Long-term outcomes related to macrolide resistance and pulmonary function.
694	The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal. 2007;26:8-12.
695	97. Bjarnsholt T, Jensen PØ, Rasmussen TB, Christophersen L, Calun H,
696	Hentzer M, et al. Garlic blocks quorum sensing and promotes rapid clearing of
697	pulmonary Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections. Microhiology, 2005:151:3873-
698	80.
699	98 Lee B Haagensen IAI Ciofu O Andersen IB Haiby N Molin S
700	Heterogeneity of highling formed by non-mucoid Decudomonas portuginosa
700	isolatos from nationte with cystic fibrosis, Journal of Clinical Microbiology
701	2005.42.5247 EE
102	2003,73.3277 ⁻ 33.

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

703	99. Costerton IW. Stewart PS. Greenberg EP. Bacterial biofilms: A common				
704	cause of persistent infections. Science. 1999 284(5418):1318-22.				
705	100. Stensballe J, Tvede M, Loorns D, Lippert FK, Dahl B, Tønnesen E, et al.				
706	Infection risk with nitrofurazone-impregnated urinary catheters in trauma				
707	patients. Ann Intern Med. 2007:147:285-93.				
708	101. Reid G. Biofilms in infectious disease and on medical devices. Int I				
709	Antimicrobial Agents, 1999:11(3-4):223-6.				
710	102. Jensen PØ, Biarnsholt T, Phipps R, Rasmussen TB, Calum H, Christoffersen				
711	L. et al. Rapid necrotic killing of polymorphonuclear leukocytes is caused by				
712	guorum-sensing-controlled production of rhamnolipid by Pseudomonas				
713	aeruginosa Microbiology. 2007:153:1329-38.				
714	103. Høiby N, Pedersen SS. Estimated risk of cross-infection with				
715	Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Danish Cystic Fibrosis patients. Acta Pædiat Scand.				
716	1989;78:395-404.				
717	104. Döring G, Høiby N. Early intervention and prevention of lung disease in				
718	cystic fibrosis: a European consensus. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis. 2004;3:67-91.				
719	105. Frederiksen B., Pressler T., Hansen A., Koch C., 2006. HNAP Effect of				
720	aerosolised rhDNase (Pulmozyme®) on pulmonary colonization in patients with				
721	cystic fibrosis. Acta Paediatrica. 2006;95:1070-4.				
722	106. Baumann U, Stocklossa C, Greiner W, Graf von der Schulenburg J-M, von				
723	der Hardt H. Cost of care and clinical condition in paediatric cystic fibrosis				
724	patients. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis. 2003;2:84-90.				
725	107. Frederiksen B, Koch C, Høiby N. The changing epidemiology of				
726	Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in Danish cystic fibrosis patients, 1974-1995.				
727	Pediatric Pulmonology. 1999;28:159-66.				
728	108. van Gennip M., Moser C., Christensen L.D., Bjarnsholt T., Calum H, Jensen				
729	P.Ø., et al. Augmented effect of early antibiotic therapy in mice with experimental				
730	lung infections due to sequentially adapted mucoid strains of Pseudomonas				
731	aeruginosa. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2009;64:1241-50.				
732	109. Döring G., Pier GB. Vaccines and immunotherapy against Pseudomonas				
733	aeruginosa. Vaccine. 2008;26:1011-24.				
734	110. Doring G, Conway SP, Heijerman HGM, Hodson ME, Hoiby N, Smyth A, et				
735	al. Antibiotic therapy against Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis: a				
736	European consensus. Eur Resp J. 2000;16(4):749-67.				
737	111. Høiby N, Flensborg EW, Beck B, Friis B, Jacobsen L, Jacobsen SV.				
738	Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in cystic fibrosis. Diagnostic and prognostic				
739	significance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa precipitins determined by means of				
740	crossed immunoelectrophoresis. Scand J Resp Dis. 1977;58:65-79.				
741	112. Proesmans M, Balinska-Miskiewicz W, Dupont L, Bossuyt X, Verhaegen J,				
742	Høiby N, et al. Evaluating the "Leeds criteria" for Pseudomonas aeruginosa				
743	infection in a cystic fibrosis centr. European Respiratory Journal. 2006;27:937-				
744	43.				
745	113. Frederiksen B, Lanng S, Koch C, Høiby N. Improved survival in the Danish				
746	cystic fibrosis centre : results of aggressive treatment. Pediatr Pulmonol.				
747	1996;21:153-8.				
748	114. Koch C, Hjelt K, Pedersen SS, Jensen ET, Jensen T, Lanng S, et al.				
749	Retrospective Clinical Study of Hypersensitivity Reactions to Aztreonam and Six				
750	Utner beta-Lactam Antibiotics in Cystic Fibrosis Patients Receiving Multiple				
751	Treatment Courses. Rev Infect Dis. 1991;13(S7):S608-S11.				

Høiby et al: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. 10/12/09

- 752 115. Givskov M. Jamming the command language of bacteria: a new approach
- to the control of bacterial infections [Doctoral Thesis]: Danish TechnicalUniversity; 2005.
- 755 116. Ciofu O, Høiby N. Cystic fibrosis coping with resistance. . In: Gould I, van
- der Meer J, editors. Antibiotic Policies figthing resistance. New York: Springer
 Verlag; 2007. p. 149-74.
- T58 117. Bagge N, Schuster M, Hentzer M, Ciofu O, Givskov M, Greenberg EP, et al.
- 759 Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms exposed to imipenem exhibit changes in
- 760 global gene expression and beta-lactamase and alginate production. Antimicrob
- 761 Agents Chemother. 2004 Apr;48(4):1175-87.
- 762
- 763

Table 1. Some general features of biofilm infections in humans compared to acute planktonic infections and superficial colonozation/normal flora on skin and mucosal membranes. The bold fonts indicate biofilm specific features.

Features of biofilm infections	Necessary condition for biofilm infections	Sufficient condition for biofilm infecions	Also found in acute planktonic infections	Also found in colonization/ normal flora on skin and mucosal membranes
Aggregates of bacteria embedded in a self-produced polymer matrix	Yes	Yes	No	No/Yes
Tolerant to clinical relevant PK/PD dosing of antibiotics in spite of susceptibility of planktonic cells	Yes	Yes	No	No/Yes
Tolerant to both innate and adaptive immune reponse	Yes	Yes	No	No/Yes - unknown (s- IgA)
Inflammation	Yes	No	Yes	No
Biofilm-specific antigens	No and Yes - seldom – e.g. P.seudomonas aeruginosa alginate	No and Yes - seldom – e.g. Pseudomonas aeruginosa alginate	No	No
Antibody response	Yes - after some weeks	No	Yes - after some weeks	No
Chronic infections	Yes	Yes	No	No
Foreign body associated infections	No	Yes	No but yes the first day of infection	No
Located on surfaces	No	No	Yes	Yes
Localized infetion	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Focus for spreading or local exacerbation	Yes	No	Yes	Yes

'Organ A' with normal	Connection via	'Organ B' without	
flora	foreign bodies	normal flora	
Skin	\rightarrow	blood, peritoneum, middle ear	
Mouth	÷	teeth	
Pharynx	→	bronchi, lungs,	
Duodenum	\rightarrow	bile tract, pancreas	
Urethra	\rightarrow	urine bladder	
Vagina	<i>→</i>	uterus	
'Air in operation room'*	÷	alloplastic, cerebrospinal shunt	
No symptoms	<i>→</i>	pathologi	

Table 2. Natural and pathogenic biofilms on human tissue and foreign bodies.

*most frequently coagulase negative staphylococci, which occur as biofilms on

detached epidermal cells.

Record

1

Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms

Niels Høiby ^{a,b,*}, Thomas Bjarnsholt ^{a,b}, Michael Givskov ^b, Søren Molin ^c, Oana Ciofu ^b

 ^a Department of Clinical Microbiology 9301, Juliane Mariesvej 22, Rigshospitalet, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark
 ^b Department of Bacteriology, Institute of International Health, Immunology and Microbiology, University of Copenhagen, Denmark
 ^c BioCentrum, Danish Technical University, Lyngby, Denmark

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 December 2009

Accepted 15 December 2009

Keywords:

Biofilm

Antibiotic resistance

Antibiotic tolerance

 β -Lactamase

Efflux pumps

Mutators

Pseudomonas aeruginosa

2

Cystic fibrosis

.

Foreign-body infections

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +45 3545 7788; fax: +45 3545 6412.

E-mail address: hoiby@hoibyniels.dk (N. Høiby).

3

ABSTRACT

A biofilm is a structured consortium of bacteria embedded in a self-produced polymer matrix consisting of polysaccharide, protein and DNA. Bacterial biofilms cause chronic infections because they show increased tolerance to antibiotics and disinfectant chemicals as well as resisting phagocytosis and other components of the body's defence system. The persistence of, for example, staphylococcal infections related to foreign bodies is due to biofilm formation. Likewise, chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa lung infection in cystic fibrosis patients is caused by biofilm-growing mucoid strains. Characteristically, gradients of nutrients and oxygen exist from the top to the bottom of biofilms and these gradients are associated with decreased bacterial metabolic activity and increased doubling times of the bacterial cells; it is these more or less dormant cells that are responsible for some of the tolerance to antibiotics. Biofilm growth is associated with an increased level of mutations as well as with quorum-sensing-regulated mechanisms. Conventional resistance mechanisms such as chromosomal β -lactamase, upregulated efflux pumps and mutations in antibiotic target molecules in bacteria also contribute to the survival of biofilms. Biofilms can be prevented by early aggressive antibiotic prophylaxis or therapy and they can be treated by chronic suppressive therapy. A promising strategy may be the use of enzymes that can dissolve the biofilm matrix (e.g. DNase and alginate lyase) as well as quorum-sensing inhibitors that increase biofilm susceptibility to antibiotics.

4

1. Introduction

Biofilm-growing bacteria cause chronic infections [1] characterised by persistent inflammation and tissue damage [2]. Chronic infections, including foreign-body infections, are infections that (i) persist despite antibiotic therapy and the innate and adaptive immune and inflammatory responses of the host and (ii) in contrast to colonisation, are characterised by an immune response and persisting pathology (Table 1).

2. Occurrence and architecture of bacterial biofilms

Foreign-body infections are characterised by biofilm growth of bacteria on the outer and/or inner surface of the foreign body (Table 2). Biofilm growth also occurs on natural surfaces such as teeth [3], heart valves (endocarditis) [4], in the lungs of cystic fibrosis (CF) patients causing chronic bronchopneumonia [2], in the middle ear in patients with persistent otitis media [5], in chronic rhinosinusitis [6], in chronic osteomyelitis and prosthetic joint infections [7–9], in intravenous (i.v.) catheters and stents [10] and in chronic wounds [11,12] (Fig. 1). The microbes in biofilms are kept together by a self-produced biopolymer matrix. The matrix contains polysaccharides, proteins and DNA originating from the microbes, and the bacterial consortium can consist of one or more species living in sociomicrobiological way [1,2,14,15]. The matrix is important since it provides structural stability and protection to the biofilm. Development of bacterial biofilms over time has been intensively studied in vitro by confocal scanning laser microscopy employing green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged bacteria. This technique has been combined with

5

advanced in silico image analysis to produce three-dimensional images of the biofilm [16–18]. As an example, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* produces a mature in vitro biofilm in 5–7 days (Fig. 2).

Development of an in vitro biofilm is initiated by planktonic (freely moving) bacteria that reversibly attach to a surface, which may be covered by a layer of, for example, proteins (a pellicle) [3,20]. At this stage, the bacteria are still susceptible to antibiotics and this is in accordance with the success of perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis, e.g. for alloplastic surgery. The next step is irreversible binding to the surface within the next few hours and multiplication of the bacteria, which form microcolonies on the surface and begin to produce a polymer matrix around the microcolonies [20]. The biofilm grows in thickness (up to 50 μ m) and under in vitro conditions mushroom-like or tower-like structures are often observed in the mature biofilm. At that stage, the biofilm shows maximum tolerance (= resistance) to antibiotics. Subsequently, a stage follows where focal areas of the biofilm dissolve and the liberated bacterial cells can then spread to another location where new biofilms can be formed. This liberation process may be caused by bacteriophage activity within the biofilm [21]. The mature biofilm may contain water-filled channels and thereby resemble primitive, multicellular organisms. Motile bacteria can use type 1V pili to mount or climb a biofilm formed by other bacteria and colonise the top of the biofilm, resembling a hat [17]. Important properties of biofilm-growing bacteria are different from those of planktonic bacteria and this has significant diagnostic and therapeutic consequences. The bacteria appear different in biofilm infections since they

6

are located close to each other in aggregates surrounded by the selfproduced matrix. In clinical specimens (biopsies, pus, sputum), biofilm can often be recognised by light microscopy, although precise identification of all the bacteria within a biofilm can only be done by DNA hybridisation techniques, and identification of the components of the biofilm matrix require specialised staining techniques [2]. Traditional sampling techniques may not be sufficient to culture biofilm-growing bacteria sticking to a surface unless the bacteria are released by ultrasonic pre-treatment [22]. Ordinary culture techniques, however, reveal only the properties of planktonically growing bacteria and, for example, antibiotic susceptibility testing therefore gives misleading results that do not reflect the increased resistance of the bacteria living in biofilms. The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) of antibiotics to biofilm-growing bacteria may be up to 100–1000-fold higher compared with planktonic bacteria [23–25]. Methods to test biofilm-growing bacteria have therefore been developed, but their clinical relevance with regard to prediction of clinically successful therapy awaits confirmation [24,26,27].

3. Stationary-phase physiology, low oxygen concentration and slow growth

Inspection of environmental as well as in vitro biofilms has revealed that the oxygen concentration may be high at the surface but low in the centre of the biofilm where anaerobic conditions may be present [28]. Likewise, growth, protein synthesis and metabolic activity is stratified in biofilms, i.e. a high level

7

of activity at the surface and a low level and slow or no growth in the centre, and this is one of the explanations for the reduced susceptibility of biofilms to antibiotics [29,30]. Very slow in situ growth rates of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms have been measured in the sputum of CF patients (average doubling time 2–3 h and the presence of a significant number of cells in stationary growth phase) [31]. Monotherapy with antibiotics such as β -lactams, which are only active against dividing *P. aeruginosa* cells, are therefore not very efficient at eradicating biofilm infections [23].

4. Mutators

The mutation frequency of biofilm-growing bacteria is significantly increased compared with planktonically growing isogenic bacteria [32] and there is increased horizontal gene transmission in biofilms [33]. These physiological conditions may explain why biofilm-growing bacteria easily become multidrug resistant by means of traditional resistance mechanisms against β -lactam antibiotics, aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolones, which are detected by routine susceptibility testing in the clinical microbiology laboratory where planktonic bacterial growth is investigated. Thus, bacterial cells in biofilms may simultaneously produce enzymes that degrade antibiotics, have antibiotic targets of low affinity and overexpress efflux pumps that have a broad range of substrates. Achievement of multiple mutations in a bacterial population size of 10^8-10^{10} /mL of sputum, as attained in infection of the CF lung [34], implies the presence of a hypermutable bacterial subpopulation, and the presence of

8

high percentages of hypermutable *P. aeruginosa* isolates associated with antibiotic resistance has actually been found in CF patients [35,36].

The hypermutable phenotype of CF *P. aeruginosa* isolates is due to alterations in genes of the DNA repair systems of either the mismatch repair system (MMR), which involves *mutS*, *mutL* and *uvrD*, or the DNA oxidative lesions repair system (GO), which involves *mutT*, *mutY* and *mutM* [37,38]. It has been shown that mutations in either of the two systems determine the emergence of antibiotic-resistant isolates, especially due to selection of isolates expressing multidrug efflux pumps [38,39]. Increased production of endogenous reactive oxygen species (ROS) and a deficient antioxidant system [40,41] determine an imbalance between oxidative burden and antioxidant defences leading to oxidative stress in biofilms. This oxidative stress is considered to cause enhanced mutability in biofilms [32,42]. Recent data suggest that microcolony structures, due to endogenous oxidative stress, are specific sites within biofilms for enhanced genetic adaptation and evolutionary change [42]. In addition, Boles and Singh [43] showed that the endogenous oxidative stress in biofilms promotes antibiotic resistance and that addition of antioxidants reduced the occurrence of diversity in biofilms. We have previously shown that oxidative stress is linked to the occurrence of hypermutable *P. aeruginosa* strains in CF patients [36].

In addition to their endogenous oxidative stress, biofilm-growing bacteria in the CF airways are exposed to ROS from activated polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMNs) [36]. We have recently shown that the hypoxic
9

environment in CF sputum is due to the consumption of oxygen by PMNs which liberate ROS that can react with the biofilm-embedded bacterial cells [44], thus creating an unique environment with low oxygen tension filled with ROS.

The hypermutability of bacteria in biofilms promotes the emergence of mutations conferring antibiotic resistance, which will be selected for by the repeated antibiotic courses administered in order to maintain the lung function of CF patients. Development of resistance to all classes of antibiotics during chronic lung infection in CF has been documented [45]. Resistance to β -lactam antibiotics occurs due to mutations in the regulatory genes of β -lactamase production leading to the occurrence of isolates with stable or partially stable derepressed production of AmpC β -lactamase [46]. Resistance to ciprofloxacin of CF *P. aeruginosa* isolates was shown to be mediated by mutations in *gyrA* and alterations in two efflux systems (MexCD-OprJ and MexEF-OprN), and resistance to tobramycin was due to overexpression of the MexXY-OprM multidrug efflux pump [47,48]. Resistance to colistin was shown to occur due to mutations in the *pmr* system involved in the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) structure [49].

5. Chromosomal β-lactamase and biofilm matrix components

Overproduction of chromosomally encoded AmpC cephalosporinase is considered the main mechanism of resistance of CF *P. aeruginosa* isolates to β -lactam antibiotics [50]. The most common β -lactamase production

10

phenotype in CF isolates is the partially derepressed phenotype with high basal levels of β -lactamase that can be further induced to higher levels in the presence of β -lactam antibiotics [46]. The role of this β -lactamase phenotype is especially important for resistance to β -lactam antibiotics acting as strong inducers (carbapenems such as imipenem). However, not all β -lactams are strong inducers and overexpression of the MexAB-OprM efflux pump may, together with β -lactamases, play an important role in resistance to poor inducers (e.g. piperacillin). Totally derepressed β -lactamase production is encountered in 2.5% of clinical CF isolates [46] and is responsible for resistance both to poor- and strong-inducer β -lactam antibiotics, independent of the presence of efflux pump overexpression [51,52]. We have found an insertion sequence (IS *1669*) inactivating the *ampD* gene in several resistant clinical *P. aeruginosa* isolates with constitutive high expression of chromosomal β -lactamase [52].

The diffusion barrier in biofilms [53] plays a role in biofilm resistance of *P*. *aeruginosa* that overproduce β -lactamase owing to the presence in the biofilm matrix of β -lactamases that will hydrolyse the β -lactam antibiotics before reaching the bacterial cells [54,55]. Giwercman et al. [56] showed that imipenem and piperacillin were able to induce β -lactamase production in *P*. *aeruginosa* biofilms. Nichols et al. [57] predicted from mathematical models that the biofilm would not afford protection against diffusion of β -lactam antibiotics into the bacteria embedded in the biofilm as long as the level of chromosomal β -lactamase was low. However, bacteria expressing a high

11

level of chromosomal β -lactamase growing in biofilms would be exposed to a reduced concentration of β -lactam antibiotics owing to accumulation of the enzyme in the polysaccharide matrix. The extracellular β -lactamase would inactivate the antibiotic as it penetrates, thereby protecting the deeper-lying cells.

The source of β -lactamase in biofilms has been considered to be from a layer of lysed bacteria owing to exposure to an antibiotic, with release of defensive enzymes into the extracellular space. We have shown that the source of β lactamase in biofilm may also be the membrane vesicles containing β lactamase liberated by resistant *P. aeruginosa* bacteria [55,58] and we have shown that a high level of free chromosomal β -lactamase is present in CF sputum [58]. We have also shown that strong inducers such as imipenem will induce the β -lactamase through all the bacterial layers, whilst poorer inducers such as ceftazidime will influence just the superficial layers of the biofilm, probably due to inactivation of the antibiotic by β -lactamase [58–60] (Fig. 3).

The protective role played by β -lactamases in impairing the penetration of β lactams in the biofilm can be seen in Fig. 3A. Treatment with ceftazidime of a biofilm formed by a *P. aeruginosa* CF strain with stable derepressed levels of β -lactamase due to an insertion sequence in *ampD* (*P. aeruginosa ampD*⁻) killed very few bacterial cells (dead bacteria in red) (Fig. 3B) in contrast to the complemented strain with a low level of β -lactamase (*P. aeruginosa ampD*⁺) (Fig. 3C). However, addition of aztreonam improved the efficacy of ceftazidime treatment of the biofilm (Fig. 3D), probably because aztreonam

12

acts as a β -lactamase inhibitor [58]. In addition, meropenem, a β -lactamasestable β -lactam, showed good in vitro efficacy in the treatment of *P*. *aeruginosa* biofilms [24,60]. Treatment with ceftazidime of a biofilm formed by the same strain expressing basal levels of β -lactamase due to complementation with the wild-type *ampD* (*P. aeruginosa ampD*⁺) led to eradication of the biofilm (Fig. 3C).

The matrix of the biofilm may also be part of the resistance mechanism to antibiotics since, for example, sub-MIC concentrations of β -lactam antibiotics induce increased alginate synthesis in *P. aeruginosa* biofilms (Fig. 4) [62,63] and also enhance the biofilm matrix of some slime-producing coagulasenegative staphylococci [64,65]. Originally, it was thought that tolerance of biofilms to aminoglycosides was the result of transport limitation due to the binding of these positively charged antibiotics to the negatively charged exopolysaccharide matrix, but the repeated dosing of antibiotics during therapy probably leads to saturation of the binding sites [66–68]. As discussed previously, oxygen limitation and the metabolic rate are probably more important factors contributing to the tolerance of biofilms to aminoglycosides and ciprofloxacin [69]. However, in the respiratory zone of the CF lung with poor access to aminoglycoside aerosols, where the antibiotic concentration is low, delayed penetration of aminoglycosides through thick biofilms may play a role in the tolerance of biofilms to these aminoglycosides [2]. Recently, it has been shown that administration of DNase and alginate lyase enhanced the activity of tobramycin in biofilms by dissolving the biofilm matrix [70].

13

6. Tolerance, adaptive resistance and efflux pumps

Colistin is only antimicrobial active against the non-dividing central part of *P*. *aeruginosa* biofilms in vitro (Fig. 5A), whereas the superficial, metabolically active part of the biofilm becomes tolerant due to upregulation of the PmrA-PmrB two-component regulatory system involved in adaptive resistance to cationic peptides leading to addition of aminoarabinose to lipid A of LPS [24,71,72]. Since the metabolically active surface layer of the biofilm is susceptible to ciprofloxacin (Fig. 5B), in contrast to the dormant central part of the biofilm, combination therapy with ciprofloxacin and colistin was able to kill all cells in the biofilm in vitro (Fig. 5C) [71]. Clinical efficacy has been demonstrated with this combination therapy for the early eradication treatment of *P. aeruginosa* in CF patients [73].

Tolerance of biofilms to tobramycin is also mediated by low metabolic activity, but the high cell density that results in accumulation of extracellular signalling molecules is probably important, as it has been shown that tolerance to tobramycin of *P. aeruginosa* strain PAO1 biofilm is quorum-sensing-mediated (Fig. 6) [74] (see below). In addition, a non-specific mechanism for the tolerance of the metabolically active cells to colistin was shown to be upregulation of the MexAB-OprM efflux pump [71]. Furthermore, increased efflux pump activity due to mutations has been shown to be a major resistance mechanism against aminoglycoside antibiotics and fluoroquinolones in *P. aeruginosa* from CF patients [47,48].

7. High cell density and quorum sensing (QS)

Bacteria communicate by means of synthesising and reacting on signal molecules [75–78]. The term QS indicates that this system allows bacteria to sense when a critical number (concentration) of bacteria are present in a limited space in the environment and respond by activating certain genes that then produce, for example, virulence factors such as enzymes or toxins. The QS molecules are small peptides in many Gram-positive bacteria, whereas the most well described QS molecules in Gram-negative bacteria are *N*-acyl-L-homoserine lactones [78]. For *P. aeruginosa*, QS regulates the production of virulence factors such as extracellular enzymes and cellular lysins (e.g. rhamnolipid), which are important for the pathogenesis of infections where it functions as a protective shield against phagocytes [79–81]. QS may also influence the development of the biofilm [82] and QS has been shown to determine the tolerance of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms to antibiotic therapy and to the innate inflammatory response dominated by PMNs [74]. The connection between QS and biofilms has been named sociomicrobiology [83].

8. Quorum-sensing inhibitors (QSIs)

Much of our knowledge about QS originates from experiments with QS knockout mutants and from the use of naturally occurring and artificially synthesised QSI compounds [84,85]. Screening for QSIs in nature has identified many QSI compounds [86]. These naturally occurring QSI compounds can be synthesised and their structure modified and used to inhibit QS in vivo in experimental animal infections [84]. Since it has been shown that bacteria

15

used for experimental animal biofilm infections actually communicate in vivo [87] and also in, for example, CF patients with chronic *P. aeruginosa* lung infection, QSIs may be used to treat these infections [88]. Interestingly, some macrolide antibiotics such as azithromycin [89], but also other antibiotics such as ceftazidime and ciprofloxacin [90], inhibit QS in P. aeruginosa at sub-MIC concentrations, leading to inhibition of the virulence of these bacteria even though they cannot inhibit their growth at concentrations obtainable in vivo. Controlled clinical trials using azithromycin to treat chronic P. aeruginosa lung infection both in CF children and adults have shown significant improvement of their lung function [91–93]. Most CF patients with chronic P. aeruginosa lung infections are therefore now treated continuously with azithromycin [94]. An expected side effect, however, has been the development of resistance to macrolides in other pathogenic bacteria such as Staphylococcus aureus in CF patients [95]. It would therefore be desirable to develop QSIs without conventional growth-inhibiting or bactericidal activity [78]. One example of such a naturally occurring QSI is found in garlic extract, which in vitro and in vivo has been able to render otherwise resistant P. aeruginosa biofilms susceptible to antibiotic therapy and to PMN activity and the consequence is eradication of the biofilm both with regard to antibiotic therapy and PMN activity which dominates the inflammatory response in CF patients (Fig. 7) [96]. According to current knowledge, QSI resistance can only occur due to mutations, which render the QS-deficient bacteria unable to produce virulence factors [97], i.e. the bacteria become non-virulent, similar to the result of QSI therapy. If this holds true, then resistance problems against conventional antibiotics as we face today will not be a clinical problem.

16

Foreign-body infections constitute a steadily increasing medical problem and comprise, for example, infections of i.v. catheters, intrauterine catheters, nasolaryngeal tubes, stents (Table 2; Fig. 1A), alloplastic materials, hydrocephalus shunts and artificial hearts [98]. If foreign bodies become colonised with biofilm-forming bacteria the result is most often chronic inflammation around the foreign body that either has to be replaced or treated with sometimes life-long antibiotic suppressive therapy, although early therapy may sometimes lead to eradication of the condition. Antibiotic-coated foreign bodies, e.g. catheters and vascular prostheses, have been introduced to prevent biofilm formation and they are quite efficient [99,100]. However, it is desirable to develop other compounds owing to the risk of development of bacterial resistance, and QSIs are strong candidates. QSIs have been shown to improve synergistically the weak effects of antibiotics and PMNs on biofilmgrowing bacteria in vitro and in vivo in animal experiments, leading to elimination of biofilms (Fig. 7) [79]. These results have led to further development of QSIs as pharmaceutical compounds for patients who are subject to implantation of foreign bodies.

9. Prophylaxis and treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms in cystic fibrosis lungs: perspectives for other biofilm infections?

The currently used methods for preventing chronic *P. aeruginosa* biofilms in CF lungs are (i) prevention of cross-infection from other already chronically

17

infected CF patients by isolation techniques and hygienic measures [101], (ii) early aggressive eradication therapy of intermittent colonisation by means of oral ciprofloxacin and nebulised colistin for 3 weeks or, even better, for 3 months or by using nebulised tobramycin as monotherapy [102] and (iii) daily nebulised DNase (Pulmozyme[®]) [103]. These three methods, which are combined in most CF centres, are successful and cost efficient and have completely changed the epidemiology of chronic *P. aeruginosa* lung infection in CF patients from being very common in CF children to being predominantly a problem for adult patients, and no problems of resistance to the antibiotics have been recorded [73,104,105]. Early aggressive eradication therapy has also been shown to superior in an animal model of *P. aeruginosa* infection in CF [106]. Furthermore, although vaccines against *P. aeruginosa* have been developed and have undergone clinical trials, they have not been further developed owing to the success of early aggressive eradication therapy [107].

The recommended method for treatment of chronic *P. aeruginosa* biofilm infection is chronic suppressive antibiotic therapy [2,108] (Fig. 8), which is started when the chronic infection is diagnosed (continuous colonisation at the monthly bacteriological examination for 6 months and/or increased level of antibodies against *P. aeruginosa*) [109,110]. Chronic suppressive therapy consists of daily nebulised colistin or tobramycin for the rest of the patient's life combined with either regular 2-week courses every 3 months of i.v. antipseudomonal antibiotics (combination therapy of two antibiotics: tobramycin or colistin + ceftazidime, or piperacillin/tazobactam, or carbapenem, or aztreonam, or ciprofloxacin) or ad hoc i.v. therapy when

18

clinical deterioration occurs [108]. Additionally, DNase is inhaled every day to reduce the viscosity of the DNA-containing sputum [103], and daily oral azithromycin is given to patients as mentioned above [94]. Chronic suppressive therapy (maintenance therapy) has successfully been able to maintain pulmonary function or slow the decline in pulmonary function and prolong the life of patients for many years [111]. The side effects of maintenance therapy is a high level of conventional resistance mechanisms in the persisting strains and a high level of allergy to β -lactam antibiotics [45,112]. Similar principles, e.g. (a) systemic or local prophylactic use of antibiotics to prevent biofilm formation, (b) early aggressive eradication therapy to eradicate planktonic growth or early biofilm formation and (c) chronic suppressive antibiotic therapy to maintain the function of an inserted foreign body, are gradually being introduced in other areas of biofilm infection [8,9,99]. Possibly other approaches taking advantage of the use of QSIs may further improve the management of biofilm infections.

Funding

None.

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

Ethical approval

Not required.

References

- [1] Costerton W, Veeh R, Shirtliff M, Pasmore M, Post C, Ehrlich G. The application of biofilm science to the study and control of chronic bacterial infections. J Clin Invest 2003;112:1466–77.
- [2] Bjarnsholt T, Jensen PØ, Fiandaca MJ, Pedersen J, Hansen CR, Andersen CB, et al. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms in the respiratory tract of cystic fibrosis patients. Pediatr Pulmonol 2009;44:547–58.
- [3] Kolenbrander PE, Palmer RJ Jr. Human oral bacterial biofilms. In: Ghannoum MA, O'Toole GA, editors. *Microbial biofilms*. Washington, DC: ASM Press; 2004.
- [4] Høiby N, Döring G, Schiøtz PO. The role of immune complexes in the pathogenesis of bacterial infections. Annu Rev Microbiol 1986;40:29–53.
- [5] Hall-Stoodley L, Hu FZ, Gieseke A, Nistico A, Nguyen L, Hayes J, et al. Direct detection of bacterial biofilms on the middle-ear mucosa of children with chronic otitis media. JAMA 2006;296:202–11.
- [6] Sanderson AR, Leid JG, Hunsaker D. Bacterial biofilms on the sinus mucosa of human subjects with chronic rhinosinusitis. Laryngoscope 2006;116:1121–6.
- [7] Gristina AG, Oga M, Webb LX, Hobgood CD. Adherent bacterial colonization in the pathogenesis of osteomyelitis. Science 1985;228:990– 3.
- [8] Trampuz A, Zimmerli W. Prostetic joint infections: update in diagnosis and treatment. Swiss Med Wkly 2005;135:243–51.
- [9] Del Pozo JL, Patel R. Infection associated with prostetic joints. N Engl J Med 2009;361:787–94.

- [10] Taconelli E, Smith G, Hieke K, Lafuma A, Bastide P. Epidemiology, medical outcomes and costs of catheter-related bloodstream infection in intensive care units of four European countries: litterature- and registrybased estimates. J Hosp Infect 2009;72:97–103.
- Kirketerp-Møller K, Jensen PØ, Fazli M, Madsen KG, Pedersen J,
 Moser C, et al. Distribution, and ecology of bacteria in chronic wounds. J
 Clin Microbiol 2008;46:2717–22.
- Bjarnsholt T, Kirketerp-Møller K, Jensen PØ, Madsen KG, Phipps R,
 Krogfelt K, et al. Why chronic wounds won't heal: a novel hypothesis.
 Wound Repair Regen 2008;16:2–10.
- [13] Høiby N, Johansen HK, Ciofu O, Jensen PØ, Bjarnsholt T, Givskov M. Foreign body infections—biofilms and quorum sensing [in Danish]. Ugeskr Laeger 2007;169:4163–6.
- [14] Wingender J, Strathmann M, Rode A, Leis A, Flemming HC. Isolation and biochemical characterization of extracellular polymeric substances from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Methods Enzymol 2001;336:302–14.
- [15] Whitchurch CB, Tolker-Nielsen T, Ragas PC, Mattick JS. Extracellular DNA required for bacterial biofilm formation. Science 2002;295:1487.
- [16] Sternberg C, Christensen BB, Johansen T, Nielsen AT, Andersen JB, Givskov M, et al. Distribution of bacterial growth activity in flow-chamber biofilms. Appl Environ Microbiol 1999;65:4108–17.
- [17] Klausen M, Heydorn A, Ragas P, Lambertsen L, Aaes-Jorgensen A,
 Molin S, et al. Biofilm formation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* wild type,
 flagella and type IV pili mutants. Mol Microbiol 2003;48:1511–24.

- [18] Heydorn A, Ersboll B, Kato J, Hentzer M, Parsek MR, Tolker-Nielsen T, et al. Statistical analysis of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm development: impact of mutations in genes involved in twitching motility, cell-to-cell signaling, and stationary-phase sigma factor expression. Appl Environ Microbiol 2002;68:2008–17.
- [19] Givskov M. Jamming the command language of bacteria: a new approach to the control of bacterial infections [Doctoral thesis]. Danish Technical University; 2005.
- [20] Marshall KC. Biofilms: an overview of bacterial adhesion, activity, and control at surfaces. ASM News 1992;58:202–7.
- [21] Webb JS, Thompson LS, James S, Charlton T, Tolker-Nielsen T, Koch
 B, et al. Cell death in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm development. J
 Bacteriol 2003;185:4585–92.
- [22] Kobayashi N, Bauer TW, Tuohy MJ, Fujishiro T, Procop GW. Brief ultrasonication improves detection of biofilm-formative bacteria around a metal implant. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2007;475:210–3.
- [23] Anwar H, Costerton JW. Enhanced activity of combination of tobramycin and piperacillin for eradication of sessile biofilm cells of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1990;34:1666– 71.
- [24] Moskowitz SM, Foster JM, Emerson J, Burns JL. Clinically feasible biofilm susceptibility assay for isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from patients with cystic fibrosis. J Clin Microbiol 2004;42:1915–22.

- [25] Bjarnsholt T, Kirketerp-Møller K, Kristiansen S, Phipps R, Nielsen AK, Jensen PØ, et al. Silver against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. APMIS 2007;115:921–8.
- [26] Anwar H, Dasgupta MK, Costerton JW. Testing the susceptibility of bacteria in biofilms to antibacterial agents. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1990;34:2043–6.
- [27] Domingue G, Ellis B, Dasgupta M, Costerton JW. Testing antimicrobial susceptibilities of adherent bacteria by a method that incorporates guidelines of the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. J Clin Microbiol 1994;32:2564–8.
- [28] Costerton JW, Lewandowski Z, Caldwell DE, Korber DR, LappinscottHM. Microbial biofilms. Annu Rev Microbiol 1995;49:711–45.
- [29] Werner E, Roe F, Bugnicourt A, Franklin MJ, Heydorn A, Molin S, et al. Stratified growth in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. Appl Environ Microbiol 2004;70:6188–96.
- [30] Keren I, Kaldalu N, Spoering A, Wang YP, Lewis K. Persister cells and tolerance to antimicrobials. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2004;230:13–8.
- [31] Yang L, Haagensen JAJ, Jelsbak L, Johansen HK, Sternberg C, Høiby N, et al. In situ growth rates and biofilm development of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* populations in chronic lung infection. J Bacteriol 2008;190:2767–76.
- [32] Driffield K, Miller K, Bostock M, O'Neill AJ, Chopra I. Increased mutability of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in biofilms. J Antimicrob Chemother 2008;61:1053–6.

- [33] Molin S, Tolker-Nielsen T. Gene transfer occurs with enhanced efficiency in biofilms and induces enhanced stabilisation of the biofilm structure. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2003;14:255–61.
- [34] Høiby N, Johansen HK, Moser C, Song ZJ, Ciofu O, Kharazmi A.
 Pseudomonas aeruginosa and the biofilm mode of growth. Microbes Infect 2001;3:1–13.
- [35] Oliver A, Canton R, Campo P, Baquero F, Blazquez J. High frequency of hypermutable *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis lung infection. Science 2000;288:1251–3.
- [36] Ciofu O, Riis B, Pressler T, Poulsen HE, Høiby N. Occurrence of hypermutable *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis patients is associated with the oxidative stress caused by chronic lung inflammation. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005;49:2276–82.
- [37] Oliver A, Sanchez JM, Blazquez J. Characterization of the GO system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2002;217:31–5.
- [38] Mandsberg LF, Ciofu O, Kirkby N, Christiansen LE, Poulsen HE, Høiby N. Antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains with increased mutation frequency due to inactivation of the DNA oxidative repair system. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009;53:2483–91.
- [39] Macia MD, Blanquer D, Togores B, Sauleda J, Perez JL, Oliver A. Hypermutation is a key factor in development of multiple-antimicrobial resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains causing chronic lung infections. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005;49:3382–6.
- [40] Hassett DJ, Ma JF, Elkins JG, McDermott TR, Ochsner UA, West SEH, et al. Quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* controls expression of

24

catalase and superoxide dismutase genes and mediates biofilm susceptibility to hydrogen peroxide. Mol Microbiol 1999;34:1082–93.

- [41] Mai-Prochnow A, Lucas-Elio P, Egan S, Thomas T, Webb J, Sanchez-Amat A, et al. Hydrogen peroxide linked to lysine oxidase activity facilitates biofilm differentiation and dispersal in several Gram-negative bacteria. J Bacteriol 2008;190:5493–501.
- [42] Conibear TC, Collins SL, Webb JS. Role of mutation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm development. PLoS One 2009;14:e6289.
- [43] Boles BR, Singh PK. Endogenous oxidative stress produces diversity and adaptability in biofilm communities. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008;105:12503–8.
- [44] Kolpen M, Hansen CR, Bjarnsholt T, Moser C, Christensen LD, van Gennip M, et al. Polymorphonuclear leucocytes consume oxygen in sputum from chronic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pneumonia in cystic fibrosis. Thorax 2010;65:57–62.
- [45] Ciofu O, Giwercman B, Pedersen SS, Hoiby N. Development of antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* during two decades of antipseudomonal treatment at the Danish CF Center. APMIS 1994;102:674–80.
- [46] Ciofu O. Pseudomonas aeruginosa chromosomal β-lactamase in patients with cystic fibrosis and chronic lung infection—mechanism of antibiotic resistance and target of the humoral immune response. APMIS 2003;111:4–47.
- [47] Jalal S, Ciofu O, Høiby N, Gotoh N, Wretlind B. Molecular mechanisms of fluoroquinolone resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from

25

cystic fibrosis patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000;44:710–2. Erratum in: Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000;44:1410.

- [48] Islam S, Oh H, Jalal S, Ciofu O, Høiby N, Wretlind B. Chromosomal mechanisms of aminoglycoside resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from cystic fibrosis patients. Clin Microbiol Infect 2009;15:60–6.
- [49] Johansen HK, Moskowitz SM, Ciofu O, Pressler T, Høiby N. Spread of colistin-resistant non-mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* among chronically infected Danish cystic fibrosis patients. J Cyst Fibros 2008;7:391–7.
- [50] Giwercman B, Lambert PA, Rosdahl VT, Shand GH, Hoiby N. Rapid emergence of resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis patients due to in-vivo selection of stable partially derepressed βlactamase producing strains. J Antimicrob Chemother 1990;26:247–59.
- [51] Nakae T, Nakajima A, Ono T, Saito K, Yoneyama H. Resistance to βlactam antibiotics in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* due to interplay between the MexAB-OprM efflux pump and β-lactamase. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1999;43:1301–3.
- [52] Bagge N, Ciofu O, Hentzer M, Campbell JIA, Givskov M, Hoiby N. Constitutive high expression of chromosomal β-lactamase in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* caused by a new insertion sequence (IS 1669) located in *ampD*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002;46:3406–11.
- [53] de Beer D, Stoodley P, Lewandowski Z. Measurement of local diffusion coefficients in biofilms by microinjection and confocal microscopy.
 Biotechnol Bioeng 1997;53:151–8.
- [54] Dibdin GH, Assinder SJ, Nichols WW, Lambert PA. Mathematical model of β-lactam penetration into a biofilm of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

26

while undergoing simultaneous inactivation by released β -lactamases. J Antimicrob Chemother 1996;38:757–69.

- [55] Ciofu O, Beveridge TJ, Kadurugamuwa J, Walther-Rasmussen J, Hoiby N. Chromosomal β-lactamase is packaged into membrane vesicles and secreted from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Antimicrob Chemother 2000;45:9–13.
- [56] Giwercman B, Jensen ET, Hoiby N, Kharazmi A, Costerton JW.
 Induction of β-lactamase production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm.
 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1991;35:1008–10.
- [57] Nichols WW, Evans MJ, Slack MP, Walmsley HL. The penetration of antibiotics into aggregates of mucoid and non-mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Gen Microbiol 1989;135:1291–303.
- [58] Giwercman B, Meyer C, Lambert PA, Reinert C, Hoiby N. High-level βlactamase activity in sputum samples from cystic fibrosis patients during antipseudomonal treatment. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1992;36:71–6.
- [59] Bagge N, Hentzer M, Andersen JB, Ciofu O, Givskov M, Hoiby N. Dynamics and spatial distribution of β-lactamase expression in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004;48:1168–74.
- [60] Hill D, Rose B, Pajkos A, Robinson M, Bye P, Bell S, et al. Antibiotic susceptibilities of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates derived from patients with cystic fibrosis under aerobic, anaerobic, and biofilm conditions. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:5085–90.

- [61] Ciofu O, Høiby N. Cystic fibrosis—coping with resistance. In: Gould I, van der Meer J, editors. *Antibiotic policies—fighting resistance*. New York, NY: Springer Verlag; 2007. p. 149–74.
- [62] Bagge N, Schuster M, Hentzer M, Ciofu O, Givskov M, Greenberg EP, et al. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms exposed to imipenem exhibit changes in global gene expression and β-lactamase and alginate production. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004;48:1175–87.
- [63] Wood LF, Leech AJ, Ohman DE. Cell wall-inhibitory antibiotics activate the alginate biosynthesis operon in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: roles of sigma (AlgT) and the AlgW and Prc proteases. Mol Microbiol 2006;62:412–26.
- [64] Dunne WM. Effects of subinhibitory concentrations of vancomycin or cefamandole on biofilm production by coagulase-negative staphylococci. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1990;34:390–3.
- [65] Permin H, Koch C, Høiby N, Christensen HO, Møller AF, Møller S. Ceftazidime treatment of chronic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* respiratory tract infection in cystic fibrosis. J Antimicrob Chemother 1983;12(Suppl A):313–23.
- [66] Anwar H, Strap JL, Costerton JW. Establishment of aging biofilms: possible mechanism of bacterial resistance to antimicrobial therapy.
 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1992;36:1347–51.
- [67] Levy J, Smith AL, Kenny MA, Ramsey B, Schoenknecht FD. Bioactivity of gentamicin in purulent sputum from patients with cystic fibrosis or bronchiectasis: comparison with activity in serum. J Infect Dis 1983;148:1069–76.

- [68] Hunt BE, Weber A, Berger A, Ramsey B, Smith AL. Macromolecular mechanisms of sputum inhibition of tobramycin activity. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1995;39:34–9.
- [69] Walters MC, Roe F, Bugnicourt A, Franklin MJ, Stewart PS. Contributions of antibiotic penetration, oxygen limitation, and low metabolic activity to tolerance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms to ciprofloxacin and tobramycin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2003;47:317–23.
- [70] Alipour M, Suntres ZE, Omri A. Importance of DNase and alginate lyase for enhancing free and liposome encapsulated aminoglycoside activity against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Antimicrob Chemother 2009;64:317–25.
- [71] Pamp SJ, Gjermansen M, Johansen HK, Tolker-Nielsen T. Tolerance to the antimicrobial peptide colistin in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms is linked to metabolically active cells, and depends on the *prm* and *mexAB-oprM* genes. Mol Microbiol 2008;68:223–40.
- [72] Haagensen J, Klausen M, Ernst RK, Miller SI, Folkesson A, Tolker-Nielsen T, et al. Differentiation and distribution of colistin- and sodium dodecyl sulfate-tolerant cells in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. J Bacteriol 2007;189:28–37.
- [73] Hansen CR, Pressler T, Høiby N. Early aggressive eradication therapy for intermittent *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* airway colonization in cystic fibrosis patients: 15 years experience. J Cyst Fibros 2008;7:523–30.
- [74] Bjarnsholt T, Jensen P-Ø, Burmølle M, Hentzer M, Haagensen JAJ, Hougen HP, et al. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* tolerance to tobramycin,

29

hydrogen peroxide and polymorphonuclear leukocytes is quorum-sensing dependent. Microbiology 2005;151:373–83.

- [75] Greenberg EP. Quorum sensing in Gram-negative bacteria. ASM News 1997;63:371–7.
- [76] Lindum PW, Anthoni U, Christophersen C, Eberl L, Molin S, Givskov M. N-acyl-L-homoserine lactone autoinducers control production of an extracellular lipopeptide biosurfactant required for swarming motility of Serratia liquefaciens MG1. J Bacteriol 1998;180:6384–8.
- [77] Williams P, Camara M, Hardman A, Swift S, Milton D, Hope VJ, et al.
 Quorum sensing and the population-dependent control of virulence. Philos
 Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2000;355:667–80.
- [78] Bjarnsholt T, Givskov M. The role of quorum sensing in the pathogenicity of the cunning aggressor *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Anal Bioanal Chem 2007;387:409–14.
- [79] Jensen PØ, Bjarnsholt T, Phipps R, Rasmussen TB, Calum H, Christoffersen L, et al. Rapid necrotic killing of polymorphonuclear leukocytes is caused by quorum-sensing-controlled production of rhamnolipid by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Microbiology 2007;153:1329– 38.
- [80] Van Gennip M, Christensen LD, Alhede M, Phipps R, Jensen PØ, Christophersen L, et al. Inactivation of the *rhlA* gene in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* prevents rhamnolipid production, disabling the protection against polymorphonuclear leukocytes. APMIS 2009;117:537–46.
- [81] Alhede M, Bjarnsholt T, Jensen PØ, Phipps RK, Moser C, Christophersen L, et al. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* recognizes and

30

responds agressively to the pesence of polymorphonuclear leukocytes. Microbiology 2009;155:3500–8.

- [82] Davies DG, Parsek MR, Pearson JP, Iglewski BH, Costerton JW, Greenberg EP. The involvement of cell-to-cell signals in the development of a bacterial biofilm. Science 1998;280:295–8.
- [83] Parsek MR, Greenberg EP. Sociomicrobiology: the connections between quorum sensing and biofilms. Trends Microbiol 2005;13:27–33.
- [84] Hentzer M, Wu H, Andersen JB, Riedel K, Rasmussen TB, Bagge N, et al. Attenuation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence by quorum sensing inhibitors. EMBO J 2003;22:3803–15.
- [85] Givskov M, de Nys R, Manefield M, Gram L, Maximilien R, Eberl L, et al. Eukaryotic interference with homoserine lactone-mediated prokaryotic signalling. J Bacteriol 1996;178:6618–22.
- [86] Rasmussen TB, Bjarnsholt T, Skindersoe ME, Hentzer M, Kristoffersen P, Köte M, et al. Screening for quorum-sensing inhibitors (QSI) by use of a novel genetic system, the QSI selector. J Bacteriol 2005;187:1799–814.
- [87] Wu H, Song ZJ, Hentzer M, Andersen JB, Heydorn A, Mathee K, et al. Detection of *N*-acylhomoserine lactones in lung tissues of mice infected with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Microbiology 2000;146:2481–93.
- [88] Hentzer M, Givskov M. Pharmacological inhibition of quorum sensing for treatment of chronic bacterial infections. J Clin Invest 2003;112:1300– 7.
- [89] Hoffmann N, Lee B, Hentzer M, Rasmussen TB, Song Z, Johansen HK, et al. Azithromycin blocks quorum sensing and alginate polymer formation and increases the sensitivity to serum and stationary-growth-

31

phase killing of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and attenuates chronic *P. aeruginosa* lung infection in *Cftr^{-/-}* mice. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007;51:3677–87.

- [90] Skindersøe ME, Alhede M, Phipps R, Yang L, Jensen PO, Rasmussen TB, et al. Effects of antibiotics on quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2008;52:3548–663.
- [91] Wolter J, Seeney S, Bell S, Bowler S, Masel P, McCormack J. Effect of long-term treatment with azithromycin on disease parameters in cystic fibrosis: a randomized trial. Thorax 2002;57:212–6.
- [92] Equi A, Balfour-Lynn IM, Bush A, Rosenthal M. Long term azithromycin in children with cystic fibrosis: a randomised, placebo-controlled crossover trial. Lancet 2002;360:978–84.
- [93] Saiman L, Marshall BC, Mayer-Hamblett N, Burns JL, Quittner AL, Cibene DA, et al. Azithromycin in patients with cystic fibrosis chronically infected with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2003;290:1749–56.
- [94] Hansen CR, Pressler T, Koch C, Høiby N. Long-term azithromycin treatment of cystic fibrosis patients with chronic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection; an observational cohort study. J Cyst Fibros 2005;4:35–40.
- [95] Tramper-Stranders GA, Wolfs TFW, Fleer A, Kimpen JLL, van der Ent CK. Maintenance azithromycin treatment in pediatric patients with cystic fibrosis: long-term outcomes related to macrolide resistance and pulmonary function. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2007;26:8–12.
- [96] Bjarnsholt T, Jensen PØ, Rasmussen TB, Christophersen L, Calun H, Hentzer M, et al. Garlic blocks quorum sensing and promotes rapid

32

clearing of pulmonary *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections. Microbiology 2005;151:3873–80.

- [97] Lee B, Haagensen JAJ, Ciofu O, Andersen JB, Høiby N, Molin S. Heterogeneity of biofilms formed by non-mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from patients with cystic fibrosis. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:5247–55.
- [98] Costerton JW, Stewart PS, Greenberg EP. Bacterial biofilms: a common cause of persistent infections. Science 1999;284:1318–22.
- [99] Stensballe J, Tvede M, Loorns D, Lippert FK, Dahl B, Tønnesen E, et al. Infection risk with nitrofurazone-impregnated urinary catheters in trauma patients. Ann Intern Med 2007;147:285–93.
- [100] Reid G. Biofilms in infectious disease and on medical devices. Int J Antimicrob Agents 1999;11:223–6.
- [101] Høiby N, Pedersen SS. Estimated risk of cross-infection with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Danish cystic fibrosis patients. Acta Paediatr Scand 1989;78:395–404.
- [102] Döring G, Høiby N. Early intervention and prevention of lung disease in cystic fibrosis: a European consensus. J Cyst Fibros 2004;3:67–91.
- [103] Frederiksen B, Pressler T, Hansen A, Koch C, Høiby N. Effect of aerosolised rhDNase (Pulmozyme[®]) on pulmonary colonization in patients with cystic fibrosis. Acta Paediatr 2006;95:1070–4.
- [104] Baumann U, Stocklossa C, Greiner W, von der Schulenburg JM, von der Hardt H. Cost of care and clinical condition in paediatric cystic fibrosis patients. J Cyst Fibros 2003;2:84–90.

- [105] Frederiksen B, Koch C, Høiby N. The changing epidemiology of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in Danish cystic fibrosis patients, 1974–1995. Pediatr Pulmonol 1999;28:159–66.
- [106] van Gennip M, Moser C, Christensen LD, Bjarnsholt T, Calum H, Jensen PØ, et al. Augmented effect of early antibiotic therapy in mice with experimental lung infections due to sequentially adapted mucoid strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Antimicrob Chemother 2009;64:1241–50.
- [107] Döring G, Pier GB. Vaccines and immunotherapy against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Vaccine 2008;26:1011–24.
- [108] Doring G, Conway SP, Heijerman HGM, Hodson ME, Hoiby N, Smyth A, et al. Antibiotic therapy against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis: a European consensus. Eur Respir J 2000;16:749–67.
- [109] Høiby N, Flensborg EW, Beck B, Friis B, Jacobsen L, Jacobsen SV. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in cystic fibrosis. Diagnostic and prognostic significance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* precipitins determined by means of crossed immunoelectrophoresis. Scand J Respir Dis 1977;58:65–79.
- [110] Proesmans M, Balinska-Miskiewicz W, Dupont L, Bossuyt X, Verhaegen J, Høiby N, et al. Evaluating the 'Leeds criteria' for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in a cystic fibrosis centre. Eur Respir J 2006;27:937–43.
- [111] Frederiksen B, Lanng S, Koch C, Høiby N. Improved survival in the Danish cystic fibrosis centre: results of aggressive treatment. Pediatr Pulmonol 1996;21:153–8.

.

[112] Koch C, Hjelt K, Pedersen SS, Jensen ET, Jensen T, Lanng S, et al. Retrospective clinical study of hypersensitivity reactions to aztreonam and six other β-lactam antibiotics in cystic fibrosis patients receiving multiple treatment courses. Rev Infect Dis 1991;13(Suppl 7):S608–11.

35

Fig. 1. (A) Example of a biofilm on a biliary stent, which became the focus of repeated incidents of sepsis that were ultimately lethal. DNA typing (pulsed-field gel electrophoresis) showed that it was the same clonal type of *Escherichia coli* in the biofilm and in the blood. Despite relevant antibiotic therapy, it was not possible to eradicate the biliary focus on the stent and the second incident of sepsis was lethal. Microphotos: Gram and methylene blue staining, magnification ×100 and ×1000. Reproduced with permission from [13]. (B) Chronically infected wound with microcolonies of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* surrounded but not penetrated by polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMNs). Bacteria are identified using fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) using a fluorescein-labelled peptide nucleic acid specific for *P. aeruginosa*. PMNs were stained by 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Reproduced from [11] with permission.

Fig. 2. Time course of formation of a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm based on in vitro experiments with green fluorescent protein-tagged *P. aeruginosa*, grown in a flow cell and examined using confocal scanning laser microscopy. QS (quorum sensing) indicates cell-to-cell communication, and EPS is the hydrated extracellular biofilm matrix. The thickness of the biofilm varies between 25 μ m and 100 μ m and is determined by the balance between growth and liberation of bacteria. Reproduced from [19] with permission.

Fig. 3. (A) Induction of β -lactamase in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) when the promoter of the AmpC β -lactamase is induced (P*ampC-gfp*): 6-day-

36

old biofilm exposed to 100 μ g/mL ceftazidime for 4 h. Detection level of the monitor, 10 µg/mL ceftazidime. Reproduced from [61] with permission. (B) Treatment of *P. aeruginosa* biofilm with a β -lactam. *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa *ampD*⁻ (levels of AmpC β -lactamase: basal 1050 mU; induced 4255 mU) expressing GFP as a tag. Seven-day-old biofilm treated with 10× minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of ceftazidime. Propidium iodide (PI) was added after Day 6 to monitor continuously the killing of the biofilm by ceftazidime. Reproduced from [61] with permission. (C) Treatment of P. aeruginosa biofilm with a β -lactam. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa ampD*⁺ (levels of AmpC β lactamase: basal 3 mU; induced 175 mU) expressing GFP as a tag. Sevenday-old biofilm treated with 10× MIC of ceftazidime. PI was added after Day 6 to monitor continuously the killing of the biofilm by ceftazidime. Reproduced from [61] with permission. (D) Treatment of P. aeruginosa biofilm with a combination of ceftazidime and aztreonam. Pseudomonas aeruginosa ampD⁻ (levels of AmpC β -lactamase: basal 1050 mU; induced 4255 mU) expressing GFP as a tag. Seven-day-old biofilm treated with a combination of ceftazidime and aztreonam ($10 \times MICs$). PI was added after Day 6 to monitor continuously the killing of the biofilm by ceftazidime. Reproduced from [61] with permission.

Fig. 4. Induction of alginate in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms treated with sub-minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of imipenem [62]. (A) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 not exposed to antibiotics; (B) PDO300 (a PAO1 derivative constitutively expressing alginate) not exposed to antibiotics; (C) PAO1 exposed to imipenem for 18 h; and (D) PAO1 biofilm exposed to imipenem for 37 h. Alginate is stained green by concanavalin A-conjugated

37

fluorescein isothiocyanate (conA-FITC). Reproduced from [62] with permission.

Fig. 5. (A) Treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm with colistin. Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1 expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) as a tag was grown as a biofilm in a flow chamber for 4 days. Propidium iodide (PI) was added after Day 4 to monitor continuously the killing of the biofilm by colistin. Image shows the biofilm after 2 days of treatment with 25 µg/mL colistin. Reproduced from [71] with permission. (B) Treatment of P. aeruginosa biofilm with ciprofloxacin. Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1 expressing GFP as a tag was grown as a biofilm in a flow chamber for 4 days and was treated for 2 days with 10 µg/mL ciprofloxacin. PI was added after Day 4 to monitor continuously the killing of the biofilm by ciprofloxacin. Red staining shows that ciprofloxacin kills the bacteria located at the surface of the biofilm. Reproduced from [71] with permission. (C) Treatment of P. aeruginosa biofilm with a combination of ciprofloxacin and colistin. Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1 expressing GFP as a tag was grown as a biofilm in a flow chamber for 4 days. PI was added after Day 4 to monitor continuously the killing of the biofilm by ciprofloxacin and colistin. The image shows the biofilm after 2 days of treatment with 10 µg/mL ciprofloxacin and 25 μ g/mL colistin. Reproduced from [71] with permission.

Fig. 6. Treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm with tobramycin. Wildtype PAO1 and Δ lasRrhIR mutant, both expressing green fluorescent protein as a tag, were grown as biofilms in flow chambers for 3 days. On Day 3,

38

tobramycin 10 µg/mL and 20 µg/mL was added. Propidium iodide (PI) was added after Day 3 to monitor continuously the killing of the biofilm by tobramycin. Image shows the biofilm after 48 h of treatment: (a) untreated wild-type; (b) 10 µg/mL tobramycin-treated wild-type; (c) 20 µg/mL tobramycin-treated wild-type; (d) untreated Δ lasRrhIR mutant; (e) 10 µg/mL tobramycin-treated Δ lasRrhIR mutant; and (f) 20 µg/mL tobramycin-treated Δ lasRrhIR mutant. Reproduced with permission from [74].

Fig. 7. Four-day-old biofilm formed by green fluorescent protein-tagged *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cultured in (A,B) the absence and (C,D) the presence of 2% garlic extract. Biofilms in B and D were treated on Day 3 with 340 μ g/mL tobramycin for 24 h. Biofilms were then stained with LIVE/DEAD BacLightTM Bacterial Viability Kit, where dead bacteria are red and living bacteria are green. It is seen that in the presence of garlic extract + tobramycin can kill the bacteria in the biofilm whereas they survive if tobramycin or garlic is used alone. Reproduced from [74] with permission.

Fig. 8. Gram stain (×1000) of an explanted lung with a biofilm of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* surrounded by numerous polymorphonuclear leukocytes. The patient is a 41-year-old cystic fibrosis male who has suffered from chronic mucoid *P. aeruginosa* lung infection for 28 years and has been treated with 114 courses of 2-week antipseudomonal antibiotic treatment (chronic suppressive maintenance therapy, total: 1 kg tobramycin, 10 kg β -lactam antibiotics and 1 kg colistin). He had developed 46 precipitating antibodies against *P. aeruginosa* (normal, 0–1).

1

Table 1

•

Some general features of biofilm infections in humans compared with acute planktonic infections and superficial colonisation/normal flora on skin and mucosal membranes. Bold indicates biofilm-specific features

Features of	Necessary	Sufficient	Also	Also found in
biofilm	condition for	condition	found in	colonisation/normal
infections	biofilm	for biofilm	acute	flora on skin and
	infection	infection	planktonic	mucosal
			infection	membranes
Aggregates	Yes	Yes	No	No/Yes
of bacteria				
embedded				
in a self-				
produced				
polymer				
matrix				
Tolerant to	Yes	Yes	No	No/Yes
clinically				
relevant				
PK/PD				
dosing of				
antibiotics				
despite				
susceptibilit				
y of				
planktonic				
cells				

2

.

Tolerant both	Yes	Yes	No	No/Yes—unknown
to innate				(slgA)
and				
adaptive				
immune				
responses				
Inflammation	Yes	No	Yes	No
Biofilm-	No and yes-	No and	No	No
specific	seldom, e.g.	yes—		
antigens	Pseudomona	seldom,		
	s aeruginosa	e.g. <i>P.</i>		
	alginate	aeruginos		
		a alginate		
Antibody	Yes—after	No	Yes—	No
response	some weeks		after	
			some	
			weeks	
Chronic	Yes	Yes	No	No
infections				
Foreign-body-	No	Yes	No, but	No
associated			yes the	
infections			first day	
			of	
			infection	
Located on	No	No	Yes	Yes
surfaces				
Localised	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
infection				
Focus for	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
spreading or				
local				
exacerbation				

PK/PD, pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic; slgA, serum immunoglobulin A.

1

Table 2

•

Natural and pathogenic biofilms on human tissue and foreign bodies

'Organ A' with normal	Connection via foreign	'Organ B' without normal
flora	bodies	flora
Skin	\rightarrow	blood, peritoneum, middle
		ear
Mouth	\rightarrow	teeth
Pharynx	\rightarrow	bronchi, lungs
Duodenum	\rightarrow	bile tract, pancreas
Urethra	\rightarrow	urine, bladder
Vagina	\rightarrow	uterus
'Air in operation room'	\rightarrow	alloplastic, cerebrospinal
а		shunt
No symptoms	\rightarrow	pathology

^a Most frequently, coagulase-negative staphylococci, which occur as biofilms

on detached epidermal cells.





Fig. 1B.



Figure 1. *A*. Example of a biofilm on a biliary stent which became focus of repeated incidents of sepsis which ultimately was lethal. DNA typning (pulsed field gel electrophorese) showed that it was the same cloneal type of *E. coli* in the biofilm and in the blood. In spite of relevant antibiotic therapy it was not possible to eradicate the biliary focus on the stent and the second incident of sepsis was lethal. Microphotos: Gram- and methylene blue staining, magnification x 100 and x 1000. Reproduced with permission from Høiby et al. Ugeskrift for Læger 169:4163-4166;, 2007. *B* Chronic infected wound with microcolonies of *P. aeruginosa* surrounded but not penetrated by polymorphonuclear leukocytes. The bacteria are identified by use of fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) using a fluorescein-labelled (FITC) peptide nucleic acid (PNA) specific for *P. aeruginosa*. The polymorphonuclear leukocytes were stained by DAPI. Reproduced from (11) with permission.

ف



Figure 2. Time-course of formation of a *P. aeruginosa* biofilm based on *in vitro* experiments with *green fluorescent protein*-tagged *P. aeruginosa*, which grow in a *flow-cell* and is examined with a confocal scanning laser microscop. QS indicates cell-to-cell communication and EPS is hydrated extraclellular biofilm matrix. The thickness of the biofilm varies between $25 - 100 \mu m$ and is determed by the balance between growth and libetation of the bacteria. Reproduced from (115) with permission.

Cox


Figure 3A. Induction of beta-lactamase in *P. aeruginosa* biofilm. *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 expressing green fluorescent protein (gfp) when the promoter of the AmpC beta-lactamase is induced (PampC-gfp): 6 days old biofilm exposed to 100 µg/ml ceftazidime for 4 h. Detection level of the monitor: 10 µg/ml ceftazidime. Reproduced from (116) with permission.



Figure 3B. Treatment of *P. aeruginosa* biofilm with beta-lactams. *P. aeruginosa ampD*⁻ (levels AmpC beta-lactamase (mU) :1050 basal, 4255 induced) expressing green fluorescent protein (gfp) as a tag. 7 days old biofilm treated with 10 times MIC of ceftazidime. Propidium iodide was added after day 6 to continuosly monitor the killing of the biofilm by ceftazidime. Reproduced from (116) with permission.



Figure 3C. Treatment of *P. aeruginosa* biofilm with beta-lactams. *P. aeruginosa ampD*+ (levels AmpC beta-lactamase (mU): basal 3, induced 175) expressing green fluorescent protein (gfp) as a tag. 7 days old biofilm treated with 10 times MIC of ceftazidime. Propidium iodide was added after day 6 to continuosly monitor the killing of the biofilm by ceftazidime. Reroduced from (116) with permission.

Received and the second second



Figure 3D. Treatment of P. aeruginosa biofilms with a combination of ceftazidime and aztreonam. *P. aeruginosa ampD*⁻ (levels AmpC beta-lactamase (mU) :1050 basal, 4255 induced) expressing green fluorescent protein (gfp) as a tag. 7 days old biofilm treated with a combination of ceftazidime and aztreonam (10 times MICs). Propidium iodide was added after day 6 to continuosly monitor the killing of the biofilm by ceftazidime. Reproduced from (116) with permission.



Figure 4 Induction of alginate in *P. aeruginosa* biofilms treated with sub-MIC concentrations of imipenem (62) A. *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 not exposed to antibiotics; B. PDO300 (a PAO1 derivative constitutively expressing alginate) not exposed to antibiotics; C. PAO1 exposed to imipenem for 18 hours; D. PAO1 biofilm exposed to imipenem for 37 hours. Alginate is stained green by conA-FITC. Reproduced from (117) with permission.



Figure 5A. Treatment of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms with colistin. *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 expressing green fluorescent protein (gfp) as a tag were grown as biofilms in flowchambers for 4 days. Propidium iodide was added after day 4 to continuosly monitor the killing of the biofilm by colistin. The picture shows the biofilm after 2 days of treatment with colistin 25 μ g/ml. Reproduced from(71) with permission.



Figure 5 B. Treatment of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms with ciprofloxacin. *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 expressing green fluorescent protein (gfp) as a tag was grown as biofilm in a flow-chamber for 4 days and was treated for 2 days with ciprofloxacin 10 μ g/ml (A). Propidium iodide was added after day 4 to continuosly monitor the killing of the biofilm by ciprofloxacin. Red staining shows that ciprofloxacin kills the bacteria located at the surface of the biofilm. Reproduced from (71)with permission.



Figure 5C Treatment of *P. aeruginosa* biofilm with a combination of ciprofloxacin and colistin. *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 expressing green fluorescent protein (gfp) as a tag was grown as biofilm in flow-chambers for 4 days. Propidium iodide was added after day 4 to continuosly monitor the killing of the biofilm by ciprofloxacin and colistin. The present picture shows the biofilm after 2 days of treatment with 10 μ g/ml ciprofloxacin and 25 μ g/ml colistin. Reproduced from (71) with permission.

کر ہ



Figure 6. Treatment of P. *aeruginosa* biofilm with tobramycin. Wild-type PAO1 and Δ lasRrhlR mutant, both expressing green-fluorescent protein (gfp) as a tag were grown as biofilms in flow-chambers for 3 days. On day 3 tobramycin 10 µg/ml and 20 µg/ml were added. Propidium iodide was added after day 3 to continuosly monitor the killing of the biofilm by tobramycin. The present pictures show the biofilm after 48 hours of treatment. (a) untreated wild-type, (b) 10 µg/ml wild-type, (c) 20 µg/ml wild-type, (d) untreated Δ lasRrhlR mutant, (e) 10 µg/ml Δ lasRrhlR mutant, (f) 20 µg/ml



Fig. 7. A 4 day old biofilm formed by *green fluorescent protein*-tagged *P. aeruginosa* cultured in absense (A and B) or presence (C and D) of 2% garlic extract. The biofilms B and D were treated on day 3 with 340 μ g/ml tobramycin for 24 h. The biofilms were then stained with LIVE/DEAD *Bac*Light bacterial Viability Kit, where dead bacteria are red and living bacteria are green. It is seen that in the presence of garlic extract + tobramycin can kill the bacteria in the biofilm whereas they survive if tobramycin or garlic is used alone. Reproduced from (74) with persission.



Fig. 8. Gram stain (x 1000) of an explanted lung with a biofilm of *P. aeruginosa* surrounded by numerous polymorphonuclear leukocytes. The patient is a 41 year old cystic fibrosis male who has suffered from chronic mucoid *P. aeruginosa* lung infection for 28 years and has been treated with 114 2-weeks anti-pseudomonas antibiotic treatment courses (chronic suppressive maintenance therapy, total: one kg tobramycin, 10 kg betalactam antibiotics and 1 kg colistin). He had developed 46 precipitating antibodies against *P. aeruginosa* (normal: 0-1).