

Remote influence of off-shore fish farm waste on Mediterranean seagrass () meadows

J.M. Ruiz, C. Marco-Méndez, J.L. Sánchez-Lizaso

▶ To cite this version:

J.M. Ruiz, C. Marco-Méndez, J.L. Sánchez-Lizaso. Remote influence of off-shore fish farm waste on Mediterranean seagrass () meadows. Marine Environmental Research, 2010, 69 (3), pp.118. 10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.09.002 . hal-00565102

HAL Id: hal-00565102 https://hal.science/hal-00565102

Submitted on 11 Feb 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Remote influence of off-shore fish farm waste on Mediterranean seagrass (*Pos-idonia oceanica*) meadows

J.M. Ruiz, C. Marco-Méndez, J.L. Sánchez-Lizaso

PII:	S0141-1136(09)00119-6
DOI:	10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.09.002
Reference:	MERE 3368
To appear in:	Marine Environmental Research
Received Date:	10 June 2009
Revised Date:	1 September 2009
Accepted Date:	2 September 2009



Please cite this article as: Ruiz, J.M., Marco-Méndez, C., Sánchez-Lizaso, J.L., Remote influence of off-shore fish farm waste on Mediterranean seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) meadows, *Marine Environmental Research* (2009), doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.09.002

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

 (Posidonia oceanica) meadows. J.M. Ruiz^{1*}, C. Marco-Méndez² and J.L. Sánchez-Lizaso² I. Centro Oceanográfico de Murcia. Instituto Español de Oceanografia. C/ Varadero s/n, 30740 San Pedro del Pinatar, Murcia, Spain, e-mail: <u>imruiz@mu.ieo.es</u>, Phone: +34 968 180500, Fax: +34 968 184441. Dpto. de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada: Universidad de Alicante. * Corresponding author 	1	Remote influence of off-shore fish farm waste on Mediterranean seagrass
J.M. Ruiz ^{1*} , C. Marco-Méndez ² and J.L. Sánchez-Lizaso ² J.M. Ruiz ^{1*} , C. Marco-Méndez ² and J.L. Sánchez-Lizaso ² I. Centro Oceanográfico de Murcia. Instituto Español de Oceanografia. C/ Varadero s/n, 30740 San Pedro del Pinatar, Murcia, Spain, e-mail: <u>imruiz@mu.ieo.es</u> , Phone: +34 968 180500, Fax: +34 968 184441. Dpto. de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada. Universidad de Alicante.	2	(Posidonia oceanica) meadows.
 J.M. Ruíz^{1*}, C. Marco-Méndez² and J.L. Sánchez-Lizaso² I. Centro Oceanográfico de Murcia. Instituto Español de Oceanografía. C/ Varadero s/n, 30740 San Pedro del Pinatar, Murcia, Spain, e-mail: <u>imruíz@mu.ico.es</u>, Phone: +34 968 180500, Fax: +34 968 184441. Dpto. de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada. Universidad de Alicante. 	3	
 J.M. Ruiz^{1*}, C. Marco-Méndez² and J.L. Sánchez-Lizaso² I. Centro Oceanográfico de Murcia. Instituto Español de Oceanografía. C/ Varadero s/n, 30740 San Pedro del Pinatar, Murcia, Spain, e-mail: <u>imruiz@mu.ico.es</u>, Phone: +34 968 180500, Fax: +34 968 18441. Dpto. de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada: Universidad de Alicante. 	4	
 J.M. Ruiz^{1*}, C. Marco-Méndez² and J.L. Sánchez-Lizaso² I. Centro Oceanográfico de Murcia. Instituto Español de Oceanografia. C/ Varadero s/n, 30740 San Pedro del Pinatar, Murcia, Spain, e-mail: <u>imruiz@mu.ieo.es</u>, Phone: +34 968 180500, Fax: +34 968 184441. Dpto. de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada: Universidad de Alicante. 	5	
 J.M. Ruiz^{1*}, C. Marco-Méndez² and J.L. Sánchez-Lizaso² I. Centro Oceanográfico de Murcia. Instituto Español de Oceanografia. C/ Varadero s/n, 30740 San Pedro del Pinatar, Murcia, Spain, e-mail: <u>imruiz@mu.ieo.es</u>, Phone: +34 968 180500, Fax: +34 968 184441. Dpto. de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada: Universidad de Alicante. * Corresponding author	6	
 8 9 10 11 1. Centro Oceanográfico de Murcia. Instituto Español de Oceanografía. C/ Varadero s/n, 30740 San Pedro del Pinatar, Murcia, Spain, e-mail: <u>imruiz@mu.ieo.es</u>, Phone: +34 968 180500, Fax: +34 968 184441. 2. Dpto. de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada: Universidad de Alicante. 16 17 18 * Corresponding author 19 	7	J.M. Ruiz ^{1*} , C. Marco-Méndez ² and J.L. Sánchez-Lizaso ²
 9 10 1. Centro Oceanográfico de Murcia. Instituto Español de Oceanografía. C/ Varadero s/n, 30740 San Pedro del Pinatar, Murcia, Spain, e-mail: <u>imruiz@mu.ieo.es</u>, Phone: +34 968 180500, Fax: +34 968 18441. 2. Dpto. de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada. Universidad de Alicante. 16 17 18 * Corresponding author 19 	8	
 10 11 1. Centro Oceanográfico de Murcia. Instituto Español de Oceanografía. C/ Varadero s/n, 30740 San Pedro del Pinatar, Murcia, Spain, e-mail: <u>imruiz@mu.ieo.es</u>, Phone: +34 968 180500, Fax: +34 968 184441. 2. Dpto. de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada. Universidad de Alicante. 16 17 18 * Corresponding author 	9	
 Centro Oceanográfico de Murcia. Instituto Español de Oceanografia. C/ Varadero s/n, 30740 San Pedro del Pinatar, Murcia, Spain, e-mail: <u>imruiz@mu.ieo.es</u>, Phone: +34 968 180500, Fax: +34 968 184441. Dpto. de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada. Universidad de Alicante. * Corresponding author 	10	
 Centro Oceanográfico de Murcia. Instituto Español de Oceanografía. C/ Varadero s/n, 30740 San Pedro del Pinatar, Murcia, Spain, e-mail: <u>imruiz@mu.ieo.es</u>, Phone: +34 968 180500, Fax: +34 968 184441. Dpto. de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada. Universidad de Alicante. * Corresponding author 	11	
 Varadero s/n, 30740 San Pedro del Pinatar, Murcia, Spain, e-mail: <u>imruiz@mu.ieo.es</u>, Phone: +34 968 180500, Fax: +34 968 184441. Dpto. de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada: Universidad de Alicante. * Corresponding author 	12	1. Centro Oceanográfico de Murcia. Instituto Español de Oceanografía. C/
 <u>imruiz@mu.ieo.es</u>, Phone: +34 968 180500, Fax: +34 968 184441. Dpto. de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada. Universidad de Alicante. * Corresponding author 	13	Varadero s/n, 30740 San Pedro del Pinatar, Murcia, Spain, e-mail:
 2. Dpto. de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada. Universidad de Alicante. * Corresponding author 	14	jmruiz@mu.ieo.es, Phone: +34 968 180500, Fax: +34 968 184441.
16 17 18 * Corresponding author 19	15	2. Dpto. de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada. Universidad de Alicante.
17 18 * Corresponding author 19	16	
18 * Corresponding author 19	17	
	18	* Corresponding author
	19	

20 Abstract

21

22 The aim of this study was estimating the remote influence of waste dispersed 23 from a large offshore fish farm complex $(6,197 \text{ ton year}^{-1})$ on the nearshore *Posidonia* oceanica meadow (26-27 m deep) located at a distance of 3 km. Measurements of 24 25 isotopic nitrogen content in epiphytes and seagrass leaf tissues, epiphyte biomass, shoot 26 size, herbivory pressure, shoot density and seagrass meadow cover, performed in this 27 meadow (FA area) were compared with those obtained in an undisturbed control 28 meadow (CA area) to evaluate: (1) the remote influence of waste and (2) the impact of such influence on seagrass condition. In adition, $\delta^{15}N$ measurements in particulate 29 organic matter of natural and anthropogenic origin were used in a single isotope mixing 30 31 model to elucidate the relative contributions of these sources to the isotopic N signal measured in epiphytes and leaf tissues. Total tissue N content was similar between 32 meadow areas, but δ^{15} N signatures were significantly higher in the FA area than in the 33 34 CA area both in epiphytes and seagrass leaf tissues. Results from the mixing model, together with available information on local currents and previous studies, support the 35 conclusion that the dispersion of farm wastes over large areas (spanning kilometres) are 36 responsible for the elevated δ^{15} N signatures found in the FA meadow area. Despite this, 37 38 no changes in meadow structure were detected and only some changes at the level of 39 seagrass community (epiphytes abundances and herbivores activity) could be 40 interpreted at the light of nutrient-induced effects in the FA area. Results from this study 41 indicate that concentrating aquaculture facilities in offshore areas is a strategy not 42 totally exempt of environmental risk on nearshore sentitive habitats such as seagrass 43 meadows.

44

Keywords: Fish farms waste; *Posidonia oceanica*; Epiphytes; Mediterranean Sea; Stable
isotopes.

47 1. Introduction

48

49 The increasing use of floating net cages in the Mediterranean Sea is a subject of 50 major concern, due to the impact of farm waste on marine ecosystems (FAO, 2006). 51 Floating net cages lead to considerable amounts of dissolved and particulate carbon, 52 nitrogen and phosphorus contained in uneaten feed components, excreta and faeces 53 being released into the water column (Hall et al., 1991, 1992; Holby and Hall 1991; 54 Dosdat et al., 1995). By altering water quality and sediment characteristics (Holmer et 55 al., 2007; Holmer and Kristensen 1992; Iwama 1991; Karakassis et al., 2001; Wu et al., 56 1994), these aquaculture inputs have been shown to affect both the functioning and 57 structure of microbial (Vezzuli et al., 2002), planktonic (Pitta et al., 1999), infaunal 58 (Karakassis et al., 2000; Mirto et al., 2002;) and seagrass (Apostolaki et al., 2009; 59 Cancemi et al., 2003; Delgado et al., 1997;1999; Ruiz et al., 2001) communities in the 60 Mediterranean coastal ecosystem.

61 Seagrass meadows are dominant habitats of recogized ecological relevance in 62 temperate and tropical coastal areas (Green and Short, 2003), but they are experiencing a worldwide decline mainly associated to human activity (Orth et al., 2006). Net cage 63 64 farming developed throughout the 1990s on the Spanish Mediterranean coast, with 65 small fish farms (i.e. with an annual fish production of several hundred tons) installed in 66 shallow, sheltered and semi-exposed environments where the endemic seagrass 67 Posidonia oceanica forms dense and continuous meadows. In these low dispersive 68 environments, high concentrations of dissolved nutrients and particulate (POM) and 69 sedimentary (SOM) organic matter have led to the loss and deterioration of *Posidonia* 70 oceanica meadows (Apostolaki et al., 2009; Cancemi et al., 2003; Delgado et al., 1997; 71 Pergent et al., 1999; Pergent-Martini et al., 2006; Ruiz et al., 2001). This seagrass 72 decline has been related to the effects of nutrient excess on plant physiology (Pérez et 73 al., 2008), the toxic effects of sediment anoxia and high sulphide concentrations on 74 plant tissues (Frederiksen et al., 2008; Pérez et al., 2007), and with nutrient-induced 75 interactions between plants and other components of the seagrass community, such as 76 macroalgae, epiphytes and herbivores (Delgado et al., 1997; Leoni et al., 2006; Ruiz et 77 al., 2001; Ruiz et al., in press).

As a result of the limited availability of un-vegetated sheltered sites in nearshore environments and the continuous expansion of this aquaculture industry, it has been necessary to place farms in deeper (>30 m) offshore waters with mainly un-vegetated

81 soft beds (Basurco and Larrazabal, 2000) in order to avoid further degradation of P. 82 oceanica meadows. In these offshore environments, higher current speeds and greater 83 depths should lead to a greater dispersion rate of farm waste and a reduction of SOM, 84 with benthic communities underneath fish cages being affected less as a result (Aguado-Giménez et al., 2007; Maldonado et al., 2005). However, this contention ignores the 85 86 possible effects of dispersed farm waste on nearby seagrass communities. The influence 87 of aquaculture waste over a large area has tended to be underestimated by previous 88 studies based on traditional approaches involving only a physicochemical and biological 89 characterization of seawater and sediments, which determine that the extent of the 90 impact of fish farms is very local (no more than a few hundred metres), or even non-91 existent (Aguado-Giménez et al., 2007; Cromey et al., 2002; Karakassis, 2001; Pearson 92 and Black, 2000; Pitta et al., 1999; Pitta et al., 2006; Telfer and Beveridge, 2001). 93 However, stable isotope techniques applied recently to trace the spatial extent of farm 94 waste in water, sediment, benthic organisms and bioassays revealed that aquaculture 95 products disperse to distances ranging between hundreds of metres and several 96 kilometres (Dolenec et al., 2007; Jones et al., 2001; Lin and Fong, 2008; Sará et al., 97 2004; Sanz et al., in press; Vizzini and Mazzola, 2004; Ye et al., 1991). Therfore, the 98 suitability of the "offshore strategy" to preserve nearshore *Posidonia oceanica* meadows 99 against aquaculture waste has yet to be properly evaluated.

100 In this study, we combined the use of seagrass bioindicators, stable isotope 101 techniques and spatial analysis to evaluate: (1) the remote influence on the Posidonia 102 oceanica meadow of waste originated by an offshore fish farm complex, and (2) the 103 impact of such an influence on the condition of the seagrass. To this end, we selected a 104 set of *Posidonia oceanica* variables that have previously shown to effectively reflect 105 both the influence and impact of farm waste at different levels of seagrass organization 106 (Pérez et al., 2007; Ruiz et al., 2001): total and isotopic nitrogen content in epiphytes 107 and seagrass leaf tissues, epiphyte biomass, shoot size, herbivory pressure, shoot density 108 and meadow cover. We examined the null hypothesis that there are no differences in 109 these variables between a meadow area in front of the fish farm facilities and an, 110 unpolluted control area of meadow. A mixing model approach was also applied, using 111 isotopic nitrogen signals obtained in epiphytes and seagrass leaves, to determine the 112 specific contribution of farm waste with regard to other natural and anthropogenic POM 113 sources.

114

115 **2. Materials and Methods**

116

117 **2.1. Study area**

118 The study was conducted in a town on the southeast coast of Spain (San Pedro 119 del Pinatar; Murcia; 37° 50'12.88''N, 0°47'34.26''W. Fig. 1). A fish farm complex has 120 operated in the waters of this town since 1998, with a current total annual production of 121 6.197 tons of blue fin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*), sea bream (*Sparus aurata*), meagre 122 (Argyrosomus regius) and sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax). Available data on current 123 speed and direction in the farming site taken over a six-month period (Sánchez-Lizaso, 124 unpubl. data) indicate that surface currents (7 m depth) most frequently run parallel to 125 the coastline in NW (34%) and SW (25%) directions, with an average speed of 10 cm s⁻ ¹ and a maximum speed of 68 cm s⁻¹. Deeper currents (25 m depth) run mainly in SE 126 (35%) and NW (25%) directions, with an average speed of 7 cm s⁻¹, and a maximum 127 measured speed of 27 cm s⁻¹. In this coastal area, the *Posidonia oceanica* meadow 128 129 forms a large and continuous band 25 km long (a total surface area of ca. 5.000 ha), between 1 m and a mean depth limit of 27 m (Ruiz 2007; Fig. 1). Hydrodynamic 130 exposure and substrate type are similar throughout the whole meadow area. 131 132 Oceanographic characteristics (salinity, nutrients, light attenuation coefficient and Chl 133 a) correspond to typical high oligotrophic waters of this geographical area (Vargas-134 Yáñez et al., 2007), except in the central area, due to the influence of hypersaline waters 135 of the Mar Menor coastal lagoon. A database of 90 sampling points available for this 136 meadow (Ruiz, unpubl. data) shows that the meadow structure is highly homogeneous 137 throughout this area, and corresponds to a healthy meadow in a good state of 138 conservation.

139

140

2.2. Sampling design and seagrass variables

141 Seagrass sampling was carried out in August 2005 at the deepest point of the 142 Posidonia oceanica meadow (26-27 m) in front of the fish farming area (hereinafter 143 'FA', Fig. 1). The nearest distance between the edge of the meadow and the fish cages 144 was 2.8 km. At the time of the sampling (summer), Posidonia oceanica shoot and 145 epiphyte biomasses (Ruiz et al., 2001; Sánchez Lizaso 1993) were at their maximum, as 146 was fish production, with annual maximums of light and typical temperatures for the 147 time of year in the Mediterranean (Klein and Roether 2001). The FA meadow was 148 compared with a control meadow area (CA) 12.2 km in a southerly direction at the same

depth, very close to the Marine Reserve of Cabo Palos-Islas Hormigas (Fig. 1). This control meadow area was selected due to its similarity with the FA meadow, and to rule out any possible influence of fish farm effluents, hypersaline waters from the coastal lagoon or any other anthropogenic influence. No alternative control sites could be found either northward, due to the influence of continental inputs, or to the south, due to the intense alteration of deep *Posidonia oceanica* meadows caused by illegal trawling over recent decades (Martín et al., 1997).

156 A nested sampling design (Quinn and Keough, 2002) was used to incorporate 157 and partition spatial variability of the selected seagrass variables at the different spatial 158 scales (from metres to kilometres) included in each meadow area. Two replicated 159 locations, separated by approximately 1.5 kilometres, were selected in each meadow 160 area (L1- L2 in FA and L3-L4 in CA, Fig. 1). Two sites separated by 100 metres were 161 selected within each location. Meadow structure showed high patchiness in all sites, so 162 three patches separated by a few metres were selected at random. For seagrass variables measured at the level of individual shoots (i.e. shoot size, epiphyte load, total N content 163 and isotopic N signatures), six vertical shoots of Posidonia oceanica were randomly 164 collected within each patch and transported to the laboratory for further measurement 165 166 and analysis. At the patch level, the selected variables were shoot density and herbivore pressure. Shoot density (number of shoots per m⁻²) was measured by counting shoot 167 numbers in 400 cm² quadrants placed in six randomly selected patches within each site. 168 169 In each site, herbivory pressure was determined in three randomly selected patches as 170 the frequency (percentage) of leaves with recognizable marks of herbivores bites 171 (Boudouresque and Meinesz, 1982), relative to the total number of leaves contained in 172 40 shoots collected within each patch. At the meadow level (i.e. sites), meadow cover (as a percentage) was measured by visually estimating the percentage of substrate 173 occupied by shoot patches within twelve 1600 cm² quadrants placed at regular intervals 174 175 along a 25 m line transect.

In order to determine the specific contributions of external, natural and anthropogenic organic matter sources to the isotopic N signature measured in plant and epiphytes tissues (see mixing model analysis below), POM was collected in six replicated sediment traps deployed close to the net cages and in one site of the control area (CA) over the seagrass canopy. POM samples at the first site were mainly composed of fish faeces and hence we assume that they represent the external anthropogenic source to be mainly farm waste (FFW); at the second site we assume that

POM samples represent the external natural source (NAT) that is composed of a mixture of both autochthonous and allochthonous materials of diverse origin (Dolenec 2006, Sarà 2006). Sediment traps consisted of PVC cylinders of 11 cm diameter and 55 cm height, as recommended by many authors in similar studies (Cromey et al., 2002). Trapped particles were concentrated in a small glass tube placed at the bottom end of the cylinder, which was recovered after 24 hours and immediately transported to the laboratory for analysis of stable isotopes (see below).

190 **2.3. Laboratory analysis**

191 In the laboratory, epiphytes were scraped and separated from the leaves of each shoot using a razor blade, and the epiphytes were dried to a constant weight (60° C, 24 192 h) to estimate their biomass (mg DW shoot⁻¹). The length and width of each leaf on a 193 shoot were measured to calculate shoot size as leaf surface area per shoot (cm² shoot⁻¹); 194 epiphyte biomass was normalized to shoot size to estimate epiphyte load (mg cm⁻²). 195 196 Dried epiphyte and leaf tissues (young leaves, 0-50 days old) were finely grounded in a 197 mortar and, for each replicate, approximately 2 mg of dry weight was encapsulated to determine total (%DW) and isotopic ($\delta^{15}N$, %) nitrogen. POM samples obtained in 198 199 sediment traps were filtered in laboratory through pre-combusted fibreglass filters 200 (Whatman GF/F), and the filters were dried and ground for analysis of total and isotopic 201 nitrogen. Total N content was measured using a Carlo-Erba CNH elemental 202 autoanalyzer. The nitrogen stable isotope composition was measured using an EA-203 IRMS (Thermo Finnigan) analyzer in continuous flow configuration, and was compared with a worldwide standard (atmospheric N_2) to estimate the relative amount of ¹⁵N, or 204 δ^{15} N in plant tissues and POM, as described by the following equation (Peterson and 205 206 Fry, 1987):

207
$$\delta^{15}N(\%) = (R_{sample} / R_{standard} - 1) \times 10^3$$

where *R* is defined as the atomic ${}^{15}N/{}^{14}N$ ratio. Acetanilide was used as the internal laboratory standard.

210 **2.4. Statistical analysis**

A nested ANOVA model was used to test the null hypothesis that seagrass variables were similar across meadow areas, locations, sites and seagrass patches. For

213 seagrass variables measured at the individual shoot level, a four-factor model was 214 considered: (1) "areas" (fixed factor with 2 levels: FA and CA), (2) "locations" (random 215 factor nested in "areas" with 2 levels: L1 and L2 in area FA and L3 and L4 in area CA), 216 (3) "sites" (random factor nested within each "location" with 2 levels) and (4) "patches" 217 (random factor nested within each "site" with 3 levels). For seagrass variables replicated 218 at site level (meadow cover, shoot density and herbivory pressure), a model with the 219 first three factors considered was used. Prior to the analysis, Cochran's C-test was used 220 to test the homogeneity of variances (Underwood, 1997), and data were properly 221 transformed when necessary. When no homogeneous variances were rendered with any 222 type of transformation, the significance level was set at 0.01 instead of 0.05, as ANOVA can withstand variance heterogeneity, particularly for large balanced 223 224 experiments, reducing the possibility of a Type I error (Underwood, 1997).

225 A single-isotope three-source mixing model (Phillips and Gregg, 2003) was used 226 to determine the relative contribution of farm sources in the isotopic N signature of 227 epiphytes in relation to other potential external sources present in the study area. Epiphyte N signatures measured in FA and CA meadow areas were designated as the 228 229 targets in the model. Seagrass leaf N signature was not included in this analysis, as it is 230 governed not only by external water sources but also by internal physiological 231 mechanisms and sediment pools (Romero et al., 2006; Touchette and Burkholder, 2000) 232 that were not measured in this study. The isotopic signal of three potential sources were 233 considered as the end-members of the model: fish farm waste (FFW), external natural 234 sources (NAT), both of which were measured in this study (see previous section), and urban waste (UW), reported from literature ($\delta^{15}N = 9.7\%$), Dolenec et al., 2007). This 235 236 last external anthropogenic source was introduced into the model due to the presence of 237 an urban sewage outfall 6 km north of the FA area.

238

239 3. Results

240

Table 1 shows the results of the nested ANOVA test on seagrass variables. Meadow cover varied between $14.5 \pm 3.4\%$ and $27.0 \pm 2.4\%$ (Fig. 2A), but no significant differences were detected between areas or at any other spatial scale within them. Shoot density was also similar (116-200 shoots m⁻²) between areas and sites, with the exception of the significant higher mean values founded in location L4 in the CA meadow (295.2 ± 12.5 shoots m⁻²) (Fig. 2B; Table 1). Herbivore pressure on seagrass

leaves was generally very low (0-7%), but showed highly significant variation between locations due to the extremely high values measured in location L2 of the FA meadow area (8.3-28%; Fig. 2C and Table 1). This herbivore pressure was accounted for by the herbivorous sparid fish *Sarpa salpa* in L2, but by other unidentified herbivore species (possibly isopods) in the CA meadow locations.

252 Shoot size was slightly (but not significant) lower in the FA meadow (421.4 \pm 17.8 cm² shoot⁻¹) than in the CA meadow (472.2 \pm 22.0 cm² shoot⁻¹); spatial variability 253 of this variable was only significant at the smaller scale i.e. between patches (Fig. 3A, 254 255 Table 1). Epiphyte load showed lower mean values in the FA area $(1.11 \pm 0.23 \text{ mg DW})$ 256 cm^{-2}) than in the CA area (1.77 ± 0.14 mg DW cm⁻²) (Fig. 3B), but differences were not significant at this and any other spatial scale (Table 1). Subsequent calculations of the 257 258 observed power of the test for 'Area' effects (13.8%) indicated a low capability to 259 detect differences observed in epiphyte load (i.e. high probability of Type II error); the 260 non-significant term 'Location' (Table 1) was then pooled to increase the power of the 261 test after considering a conservative significance level of $\alpha = 0.25$ for the pooled term (Sokal and Rohlf, 1995; Quinn and Keough, 2002). After pooling, the power of the test 262 263 for the 'Area' effect on epiphyte load increased to 70%, and significant differences in epiphyte load between areas were then detected (df = 1,6; F = 10.29; P = 0.0184). 264

265 Mean epiphyte N content varied between 0.7 and 1.0% throughout the area studied with maximum values concentrated in the FA meadow, but no significant 266 267 differences were detected at any spatial scale considered in the analysis (Fig. 3C, Table 1). Mean leaf N content varied between 1.4 and 1.9% and was also similar between 268 areas, but very significant variability was detected between locations and seagrass 269 patches (Fig. 3E, Table 1). The isotopic signal of nitrogen ($\delta^{15}N$) in epiphyte tissues was 270 271 consistently and significantly higher in the FA meadow (5.36 ± 0.013 ‰) than the CA 272 meadow $(3.75 \pm 0.013 \text{ }\%)$ (Fig. 3D, Table 1). Variability between localities within each 273 meadow area was also significant for this variable (SNK, Table 1). Isotopic nitrogen 274 measured in seagrass leaves also showed significantly higher mean values in the FA 275 meadow $(3.69 \pm 0.02 \text{ }\%)$ than at CA $(2.98 \pm 0.08 \text{ }\%)$ (Fig. 3F), although the variability 276 between seagrass patches within sites was also significant (Table 1).

277 Mean values of isotopic N measured in POM captured by sediment traps showed 278 significant differences between FFW and NAT sources, with higher values for the 279 former $(6.67 \pm 0.32 \ \%)$ than for the latter $(3.69 \pm 0.17 \ \%)$ (n = 6; t-test, p < 0.05). 280 Table 2 shows the results of the mixing model applied to determine the relative

281 contributions of distinct isotopic N signatures measured in various sources to the mixed 282 N signature measured in epiphytes. For each meadow area, the table indicates the range 283 (1- 99th percentile) and the mean and standard deviation of the distribution of all 284 feasible contributions from each source to the epiphyte N signature. In the FA area, fish 285 farm waste (FFW = 12-56%) and natural sources (NAT = 44-66%) both provided the most significant contributions to the epiphytes N signature. The contribution of urban 286 287 waste was very low and ambiguous, since it included zero contributions (UW = 0-22%). In the CA area, epiphyte N signal was unambiguously accounted by external natural 288 289 sources (NAT = 98-99%) while external anthropogenic contributions (FFW and UW) 290 were almost negligible (0-2%).

291

292 **4. Discussion**

293

Both epiphytes and seagrass leaf tissues were particularly and significantly ¹⁵N-294 295 enriched in *Posidonia oceanica* plants located 3 km from the fish farm complex (i.e. the 296 FA meadow area) in comparison with the control meadow, which seems to reflect the 297 nature of external N sources influencing each meadow area. In the control meadow area, 298 POM (NAT source) had an isotopic N signal similar to that measured in water samples 299 from unpolluted natural sites in near-shore Mediterranean environments (2-4‰, e.g. Dolenec et al., 2007; Sará et al., 2004). It may therefore represent a natural or 300 301 "background" signal composed by a mixture of detritus material of marine and 302 terrestrial origin, phyto- and zooplankton (Dolenec et al., 2007), and, in this case, 303 seagrass detritus. By contrast, POM collected close to the fish cages (FFW) consisted mostly of uneaten food pellets and fish faeces, and exhibited higher positive δ ¹⁵N 304 values as those typically measured in these anthropogenic sources (6-11‰, e.g. Dolenec 305 306 et al., 2007; Sará et al., 2004). In the water column, rapid mineralization of this initially 307 N-enriched POM results in an impoverishment of its isotopic N signature and an 308 enrichment in the dissolved N pool, due to isotopic fractionation by physical and 309 biological process (Macko and Ostrom, 1994; Sará et al., 2004). Excreta from farmed 310 fish (Dosdat et al., 1995) and wild fish around the cages (Fernández-Jover et al., 2008), as well as re-suspension of enriched SOM (Sará et al., 2006), contribute significantly to 311 this dissolved ¹⁵N-enriched pool, which currents immediately disperse toward 312 surrounding areas (Sarà et al., 2004, 2006). 313

314 The isotopic N composition of benthic primary producers reflected that of external N sources (Fry, 2006). High δ^{15} N values, such as those observed in epiphytes 315 316 and seagrass tissues in the FA meadow, are associated with the influence of external 317 anthropogenic sources such as aquaculture loads and land-derived wastewater (Cole et al., 2004; Cole et al., 2005; Constanzo et al., 2001; Constanzo et al., 2004; Dolenec et 318 al., 2007; Jones et al., 2001; Lin and Fong, 2008; Pérez et al., 2008; Vizzini and 319 320 Mazzola, 2004). Several findings support this hypothesis in our case study: (1) fish farm 321 production in our study was approximately 4.2 times higher than a case study that recorded elevated δ^{15} N signatures in *Posidonia oceanica* leaves over an area located up 322 323 to 3 km from the fish farm (Dolenec et al. 2007); (2) the dominant current direction was 324 NW (34%), but the frequency of currents directed toward the FA meadow area (i.e. SW) was also important (25%); (3) the mean velocity of SW currents was 10 cm s⁻¹ and 325 reached maximum values of 58 cm s^{-1} , which has shown to be enough to disperse farm 326 waste over a distance covering several kilometres (Sará et al., 2006); (4) a spatial 327 gradient of δ^{15} N between the fish farm and the FA meadow area was obtained in a 328 329 previous study by measuring isotopic N in benthic macrophytes incubated in pelagic 330 bioassays deployed at increasing distances from fish cages (García-Sanz et al., in press); 331 and finally, (5) the mixing model used in this study provided consistent evidence of the 332 significant contribution of farm waste (i.e. FFW source) to the isotopic N signature of 333 epiphyte tissues in the FA meadow area. Therefore we can consider that farm waste is responsible for the high δ^{15} N signatures measured in the seagrass and epiphyte tissues 334 335 of the FA meadow located 2.8 km from the aquaculture facilities.

336 With a high availability of nutrients, seagrass and its epiphytes can assimilate 337 and store excess nutrients in their tissues, increasing their total N content (Lepoint et al., 338 2007; Invers et al., 2004; Touchette and Burkholder, 2000). However, no significant 339 increase in nitrogen was observed in either Posidonia oceanica leaves or epiphytes in 340 the FA meadow, despite the influence of farm waste. A possible explanation is that the 341 nutrients supplied are too low to merit long-term N storage, and are probably used to 342 sustain growth, as suggested for macroalgae species exposed to moderate nutrient 343 increase in fertilization experiments (McGlathery, 1995) and from shrimp farm loads 344 (Lin and Fong, 2008). This argument can be particularly valid for epiphytic algae, 345 which quickly exploit any increase in water column nutrient availability (Lepoint et al., 346 2007; Short et al., 1995). Furthermore, nutrients could favour opportunistic epiphytic 347 algae over seagrass leaves (Duarte, 1995; McGlathery et al., 1995; Prado et al., 2008;

348 Wear et al., 1999), the rapid growth of which prevents N accumulation in their tissue. 349 Nonetheless, epiphytes showed greater variability of N content between seagrass 350 patches within some of the FA meadow sites, as a consequence of the maximum values 351 obtained only in this meadow area (Fig. 3C), which can be interpreted as a heterogeneous influence of farm waste on epiphyte N content at a small spatial scale. In 352 353 seagrass leaves, the lack of N response to water-dissolved nutrients has a more complex 354 interpretation, as it can also be governed by other limiting factors (e.g. light), 355 competition for nutrients by epiphytes, internal N and C metabolism and sediment 356 nutrient pools (Invers et al., 2004; Romero et al., 1998; Romero et al., 2006; Touchette 357 and Burkholder, 2000).

In response to fish farm load, epiphyte abundance in *Posidonia oceanica* leaves 358 can either rise (Cancemi et al., 2003; Delgado et al., 1997, 1999) or decrease due to 359 360 enhanced consumption of epiphyted leaf tips by seagrass macro-herbivores (Ruiz et al., 361 2001; Ruiz, 2000; Ruiz et al., in press). Previous experimental studies support the existence of this nutrient-macrograzers interaction in P. oceanica meadows (Prado 362 363 2007; Ruiz, 2000) and in tropical seagrass communities (McGlathery, 1995; Goecker et al., 2005). However, our data did not support the existence of such interaction in the FA 364 365 meadow since the spatial pattern showed by the herbivorous fish S. salpa activity was 366 not coupled with that of epiphyte abundance (Figs. 2C and 3B, respectively). Alternatively, we suggest that nutrient induced changes in the composition of the 367 368 epiphytic assemblage and/or enhanced mesoherbivores (mainly gastropods) activity 369 (Lin et al., 1996, see Borowitzka et al., 2006, Valentine and Duffy, 2006 Burkholder et 370 al 2007 for a recent review of this topic) might also account for the reduction in 371 epiphyte abundance reported in the FA meadow. Nonetheless, since no measurements 372 of these variables are available this possibility is speculative and other factors must be 373 considered including those responsible for natural spatial patterns of P. oceanica 374 epiphyte assemblages (e.g. Balata et al., 2007; Pardi et al., 2006). Apart from these 375 possible changes in seagrass community, no other evidence of any nutrient-related 376 effect was obtained at the level of meadow structure. Smaller shoot size and altered 377 meadow structure (shoot density and meadow cover) are commonly reported responses 378 for *Posidonia oceanica* meadows directly exposed to aquaculture loads (e.g. Delgado et 379 al., 1997; Ruiz et al., 2001) or experimental nutrient enrichment (Leoni et al., 2006), 380 though this kind of alteration was not detected in our study.

381 In conclusion, results based on stable isotope analysis, together with available 382 information on hydrodynamics and from previous studies in the study site, provide 383 consistent evidence that waste delivered by offshore farms has a large area of influence 384 (spanning kilometres) that affects *Posidonia oceanica* meadows located in remote nearshore environments. Results obtained also indicate that such influence was not 385 386 sufficient to produce significant meadow alterations, unlike those described in near-387 shore case studies under a more severe influence of nutrients. Only changes in the 388 abundance of epiphytes (and possibly in the activity of grazers) could be interpreted in 389 the light of nutrient-induced effects at the community level, but further evidence would 390 be required to confirm it. Finally, based on this study case, the idea that concentrating 391 aquaculture facilities in offshore areas is a strategy totally exempt of environmental risk 392 cannot be supported and hence site-selection processes for new aquaculture facilities 393 must be careful with regard to the distribution of this and other sensitive habitats, even 394 when these are located at distances greater than one kilometre.

395

396 Acknowledgments

This study is a part of a project funded by the *Plan Nacional de Cultivos Marinos* of the *Junta Nacional Asesora de Cultivos Marinos (JACUMAR)* to JMR during the period 2004-2007. We would like to thank IEO technician Rocío García Muñoz for her valuable help in laboratory work and field sampling. JMR would like to thank *TAXON Estudios Ambientales S.L.* and the students Teresa Castro and Eva Vázquez for field assistance. We acknowledge to the *Servizos de Apoio à Investigación* of the *University da Coruña* for their support in the analysis of stable isotopes.

404

405 **References**

406

407	Aguado-Giménez, F., Marín, A., Montoya, S., Marín-Guirao, L., Piedecausa, A. &
408	García-García, B. 2007. Comparisons between some procederes for monitoring
409	off-shore cage culture in Western Mediterranean Sea: sampling methods and
410	impact indicators in soft substrata. Aquaculture 271: 357-370.
411	Apostolaki, E.T., Marbà, N., Holmer, M., Karakassis, I., 2009. Fish farming enhances
412	biomass and nutrient loss in Posidonia oceanica (L.) Delile. Estuarine, Coastal
413	and Shelf Science 81 (3), 390-400.
414	Balata, D., Nesti, U., Piazzi, L., Cinelli, F., 2007. Patterns of spatial variability of
415	seagrass epiphytes in the north- west Mediterranean Sea. Marine Biology 151,
416	20025-2035.
417	Balestri, E., Cinelli, F., Lardicci, C., 2003. Spatial variation in Posidonia oceanica
418	structural, morphological and dynamic features in a north-western
419	Mediterranean coastal area: a multi-scale analysis. Marine Ecology Progress
420	Series 250, 51-60.
421	Basurco, B., Larrazabal, G., 2000. Marine fish farming in Spain. Cahiers options
422	méditerranéennes 30, 45–56.
423	Borowitzka, M.A., Lavery, P., Van Keulen, M., 2006. Epiphytes of seagrasses, in:
424	Larkum, A.W.D., Orth, R.J., Duarte, C.M. (Eds.), Seagrasses: biology, ecology and
425	conservation. Springer, The Netherlands, pp. 441-461.
426	Boudouresque, C.F., Meinesz, A., 1982. Découverte de l'herbier de Posidonies. Cahier
427	du Parc National de Port-Cros, Hyères, France 4, 1-79.
428	Burkholder, J.M., Tomasko, D.A., Touchette, D.W., 2007. Seagrass and eutrophication.
429	Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 350, 46-72
430	Cancemi, G., De Falco, G., Pergent, G., 2003. Effects of organic matter input from a
431	fish farming facility on a <i>Posidonia oceanica</i> meadow. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf
432	Science 56, 961-968.
433	Cinelli, F., Cormaci, M., Furnari, G., Mazella, L., 1984. Epiphytic macroflora of
434	Posidonia oceanica (L) Delile leaves around the Island of Ischia (Gulf of Napoles),
435	in: Boudouresque, C.F., Jeudy de Grissac, A., Olivier, J. (Eds), International
436	Workshop on Posidonia oceanica beds. GIS Posidonie Publishers, Marseille,
437	France, pp.91-99.

- 438 Cole, M.L., Kroeger, K.D., McClelland, J.W., Valiela, I., 2005. Macrophytes as 439 indicators of land-derived wastewater: Application of a δ^{15} N method in aquatic 440 systems. Water Resources Research 41, W01014.
- Cole, M.L., Valiela, I., Kroeger, K.D., Tomasky, G.L., Cebrian, J., Wigand, C.,
 McKinney, R.A., Grady, S.P., Carvalho da Silva, M.H., 2004. Assessment of a δ
 ¹⁵N isotopic method to indicate anthropogenic eutrophication in aquatic
 ecosystems. Journal of Environmental Quality 33, 124-132.
- 445 Costanzo, S.D., O'Donohue, M.J., Dennison, W.C., Loneragan, N.R., Thomas, M.,
- 446 2001. A new approach for detecting and mapping sewage impacts. Marine
 447 Pollution Bulletin 42(2), 149-156.
- 448 Costanzo, S.D., O'Donohue, M.J., Dennison, W.C., 2004. Assessing the influence and
 449 distribution of shrimp pond effluent in a tidal mangrove creek in north-east
 450 Australia. Marine Pollution Bulletin 48, 514-525.
- 451 Cromey, C., Nickell, T.D., Black, K.D., 2002. DEPOMOD-modelling the deposition
 452 and biological effects of waste solids from marine cage farms. Aquaculture 214,
 453 211-239.
- 454 Delgado, O., Grau, A., Pou, S., Riera, F., Massuti, C., Zabala, M. and Ballesteros, E.,
 455 1997. Seagrass regression caused by fish cultures in Fornells Bay (Menorca,
 456 Western Mediterranean). Oceanologica Acta 20, 557-563.
- 457 Delgado, O., Ruiz, J.M., Pérez, M., Romero, J., Ballesteros, E., 1999. Effects of fish
 458 farming on seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) in a Mediterranean bay: seagrass decline
 459 after organic loading cessation. Oceanologica Acta 22(1), 109-117.
- 460 Dolenec, T., Lojen, S., Kniewald, G., Dolenec, M., Rogan, N., 2007. Nitrogen stable
 461 isotope composition as a tracer of fish farming in invertebrates *Aplysina aerophoba*,
 462 *Balanus perforatus* and *Anemonia sulcata* in the central Adriatic. Aquaculture 262,
- 463 237-249.
- 464 Dosdat, A., Gaumet, F., Chartois, H., 1995. Marine aquaculture effluent monitoring465 methodological approach to the evaluation of nitrogen and phosphorus excretion by
 466 fish. Aquacultural Engineering 14, 59–84.
- 467 Duarte, C.M. 1995. Submerged aquatic vegetation in relation to different nutrient
 468 regimes. Ophelia 41:87–112.
- 469 FAO, 2006. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture. FAO Fisheries and
 470 Aquaculture Department. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations,
 471 Rome, 2007.

472	Fernández-Jover, D., Sánchez-Jérez, P., Bayle-Sempere, J., Valle, C., Dempster, T.,
473	2008. Seasonal patterns and diets of wild fish assemblages with Mediterranean
474	coastal fish farms. ICES Journal of Marine Science 65 (7), 1153-1160.
475	Fong, C.V., Lee, S.Y., Wu, R.S., 2000. The effects of epiphytic algae and their grazers
476	on the intertidal seagrass Zostera japonica. Aquatic Botani 67, 251-261.
477	Frederiksen, M.S., Holmer, M., Pérez, M., Invers, O., Ruiz, J.M., Knudsen, B.B., 2008.
478	Effect of increased sediment sulphide concentrations on the composition of stable
479	sulphur isotopes (δ^{34} S) and sulphur accumulation in the seagrasses Zostera marina
480	and Posidonia oceanica. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology
481	358, 98-109.
482	Fry, B., 2006. Stable Isotope Ecology. Springer, Science Business Media, USA, 308 pp.
483	García-García, B., 2007. Comparison between some procedures for monitoring
484	offshore cage culture in western Mediterranean Sea: sampling methods and
485	impact indicators in soft substrata. Aquaculture 271, 357-370.
486	Goecker, M.E., Heck Jr, K.L., and Valentine, J.F., 2005. Effects of nitrogen content in
487	turtlegrass, Thalassia testudinum, on consumption by the bucktooth parrotfish,
488	Sparisoma radians. Marine Ecology Progress Series 286, 239-248.
489	Green, E.P., Short, F.T., 2003. World atlas of seagrasses. UNEP World Conservation
490	Monitoring Centre, University of California Press, Berkeley, USA 298 pp.
491	Hall, P.O.J., Anderson, L.G., Holby, O., Kollberg, S., Samuelson, M., 1991. Chemical
492	fluxes and mass balances in a marine fish cage farm. I. Carbon. Marine Ecology
493	Progress Series 61, 61-73.
494	Hall, P.O.J., Holby, O., Kollberg, S., Samuelsson, M.O., 1992. Chemical fluxes and
495	mass balances in a marine fish cage farm: IV. Nitrogen. Marine Ecology Progress
496	Series 89, 81-91.
497	Holby, O., Hall, P.O.J., 1991. Chemical fluxes and mass balances in a marine fish cage
498	farm. II. Phosphorus. Marine Ecology Progress Series 70, 263-272.
499	Holmer, M., Kristensen, E., 1992. Impact of marine fish cage farming on sediment
500	metabolism and sulphate reduction of underlying sediments. Marine Ecology
501	Progress Series 80, 191-201.
502	Holmer, M., Marba, N., Díaz-Almela, E., Duarte, C.M., Tsapakis, M., Danovaro, R.,
503	2007. Sedimentation of organic matter from fish farms in oligoptrophic
504	Mediterranean assessed through bulk and stable isotope ($\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$) analyses.
505	Aquaculture 262, 268-280.

506	Invers, O., Kraemer, G.P., Pérez, M., Romero, J., 2004. Effects of nitrogen addition on
507	nitrogen metabolism and carbon reserves in the temperate seagrass Posidonia
508	oceanica. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 303 (1), 97-114.
509	Iwama, G.K,. 1991. Interactions between aquaculture and the environment. Critical
510	reviews in Environmental Conservation 21, 177-216.
511	Jones, A.B., O'Donohue, M.J., Udy, J., Dennison, W.C., 2001. Assessing ecological
512	impacts of shrimp and sewage effluent: biological indicators with standard water
513	quality analyses. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 52, 91-109.
514	Karakassis, I., Tsapakis, M., Astillan, E., Pitta, P., 2001. Diel variation of nutrients and
515	chlorophyll in the sea bream and sea bass cages in the Mediterranean. Fresenius
516	Environmental Bulletin 10, 278-283.
517	Karakassis, M., Tsapakis, I., Hatziyanni, E., Papadopoulou, K.N., Plaiti, W., 2000.
518	Impact of cage farming of fish on seabed in three Mediterranean coastal areas.
519	ICES Journal of Marine Science 57, 1462-1471.
520	Klein, B., Roether, W., 2001. Oceanografía y régimen hidrológico, in: El Mar
521	Mediterráneo I. parte general, R. Hofrichter (Coord.), Ed. Omega, Barcelona,
522	Spain, pp 258-287.
523	Leoni, V., Pasqualini, V., Pergent-Martini, C., Vela, A., Pergent, G., 2006.
524	Morphological responses of <i>Posidonia oceanica</i> to experimental nutrient
525	enrichment of the canopy water. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and
526	Ecology 339, 1-14.
527	Lepoint, G., Jacquemart, J., Bouquegneau, J.M., Demoulin, V., Gobert, G., 2007. Field
528	measurements of inorganic nitrogen uptake by epiflora components of the seagrass
529	Posidonia oceanica (Monocotyledons, Posidoniaceae). Journal of Phycology 43,
530	208-218.
531	Lin, D.T., Fong, P., 2008. Macroalgal bioindicators (growth, tissue N, δ^{15} N) detect
532	nutrient enrichment from shrimp farm effluent entering Opunohu Bay, French
533	Polynesia. Marine Pollution Bulletin 56 (2), 245-249.
534	Lin, H.J., Nixon, S.W., Taylor, D.I., Granjer, S.L., Buckley, B.A., 1996. Responses of
535	epiphytes on eelgrass, Zostera marina L., to separate and combined nitrogen and
536	phosphorus enrichment. Aquatic Botany 52, 243-258.
537	Macko, S.A., Ostrom, N.E., 1994. Pollution Studies Using Stable Isotopes, in: Lajtha,
538	K., Michener, R.H. (Eds), Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science,
539	Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, pp. 45-62.

- Maldonado, M., Carmona, M.C., Echeverría, Y., Riesgo, A. 2005. The environmental
 impact of Mediterranean cages fish farms at semi-exposed locations: does it need a
 re-assessment? Helgoland Marine Research 59, 121-135.
- Martín, M.A., Sánchez Lizaso, J.L., Ramos Esplá, A.A., 1997. Cuantificación del
 impacto de las artes de arrastre sobre la pradera de *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile
 Publ. Especiales del Instito Español de Oceanografía 23, 245- 255.
- 546 McGlathery, K.J., 1995. Nutrient and grazing influences on a tropical seagrass 547 community. Marine Ecology Progress Series 122, 239-252.
- 548 Mirto, S., La Rosa, T., Gambi, C., Danovaro, R., Mazzola, A., 2002. Nematode
 549 community response to fish-farm impact in the western Mediterranean.
 550 Environmental Pollution 116, 203-214.
- Orth, R.J., Carruthers, T.J.B., Dennison, W.C., Duarte, C.M., Fourqurean, J.W., Heck
 Jr, K.L., Hughes, A.R., Kendrick, G., Kenworthy, W.J., Olyarnik, S., Short, F.T.,
 Waycott, M., Williams, S.L., 2006. A global crisis for seagrass ecosystems.
 BioScience 56(12), 987-996.
- Pardi, G., Piazzi, L., Balata, D., Papi, I., Cinelli, F., Benedetti-Cecchi., L., 2006. Spatial
 variability of *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile epiphytes around the mainland and the
 islands of Sicily (Mediterranean Sea). Marine Ecology 27, 397-403.
- Pearson, T.H., Black, K.D., 2000. The environmental impacts of marine fish cage
 culture, in: Black, K.D. (Ed), Environmental impacts of aquaculture, Sheffield
 Academic Press, Sheffield, pp.1-31.
- 561 Pérez, M., García, T., Ruíz, J.M., Invers, O., 2008. Physiological responses of the
 562 seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* as indicators of fish farm impact. Marine Pollution
 563 Bulletin 56, 869-879.
- Pérez, M., Invers, O., Ruiz, J.M., Frederiksen, M.S., Holmer, M., 2007. Physiological
 responses of the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* to elevated organic matter content in
 sediments: an experimental assessment. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology
 and Ecology 344, 149-160.
- Pergent, G., Mendez, S., Pergent-Martini, C., Pasqualini, V., 1999. Preliminary data on
 the impact of fish farming facilities on *Posidonia oceanica* meadows in the
 Mediterranean. Oceanologica Acta 22, 95-107.
- 571 Pergent-Martini, C., Boudouresque, C.F., Pasqualini, V., Pergent, G., 2006. Impact of
 572 fish farming facilities on *Posidonia oceanica* meadows: a review. Marine Ecology
 573 27, 310–319.

- 574 Peterson, B. J., Fry, B., 1987. Stable isotopes in ecosystem studies. Annual Review of
- 575 Ecology and Systematics 18, 293-320.
- 576 Phillips, D.L., Gregg., J.W., 2003. Source partitioning using stable isotopes: coping
- 577 with too many sources. Oecologia 136, 261-269.
- 578 Pitta, P., Apostolaki, E.T., Tsagaraki, T., Tsapakis, M., Karakassis, I., 2006. Fish
 579 farming effects on chemical and microbial variables of the water column: a spatio580 temporal study along the Mediterranean Sea. Hydrobiology 563, 99-108.
- 581 Pitta, P., Karakassis, I., Tsapakis, M., Zivanovic, S., 1999. Natural vs. Mariculture
- induced variability in nutrients and plankton in the Eastern Mediterranean.
 Hydrobiology 391, 181-194.
- 584 Prado, P., 2007. Magnitude of herbivory in *Posidonia oceanica* and factors responsible
 585 for spatial variation. PhD thesis, University of Barcelona.
- Prado, P., Alcoverro, T., Romero, J., 2008. Seasonal response of *Posidonia oceanica*epiphyte assemblages to nutrient increase. Marine Ecology Progress Series 359, 8998.
- Quinn, G., Keough., M.J., 2002. Experimental design and data analysis for biologists.
 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 527 pp.
- Somero J, Pérez, M., Alcoverro, T., Mateo, M.A., Sánchez-Lizaso, J.L., 1998.
 Production ecology of *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile meadows in Nueva Tabarca
 Marine Reserve: Growth biomass and nutrient stocks along a bathymetric gradient

594 Oecologia Aquatica 11, 111-121

- Romero, J., Lee, S.S., Pérez, M., Mateo, M.A. Alcoverro, T., 2006. Nutrient dynamics
 in seagrass ecosystems, in:.. Larkum, A.W.D., Orth, R.J., and Duarte, C.M. (Eds.),
- 597 Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation, Springer, Netherlands, pp. 227-254
- Ruiz, J.M., 2000. Respuesta de la fanerógama marina *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile a
 perturbaciones antrópicas, PhD thesis, University of Murcia, Spain, 212 pp.
- Ruiz, J.M., Pérez, M., Romero, J., 2001. Effects of fish farm loadings on seagrass
 (*Posidonia oceanica*) distribution, growth and photosynthesis. Marine Pollution
 Bulletin 42, 749-760.
- Sánchez-Lizaso, J. L. 1993. Estudio de la pradera de *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile de
 la Reserva Marina de Tabarca (Alicante): Fenología y producción primaria, Ph. D.
- 605 Thesis, University of Alicante 130 pp.
- Sarà, G., Scilipoti, D., Mazzola, A., Modica, A., 2004. Effects of fish farming waste to
 sedimentary and particulate organic matter in a southern Mediterranean area (Gulf

608	of Castellamare, Sicily): a multiple stable isotope study ($\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$).
609	Aquaculture 234, 199-213.
610	Sarà, G., Scilipoti, G., Milazzo, M., Modica, A., 2006. Use of stable isotopes to
611	investigate dispersal of waste from fish farms as a function of hydrodynamics.
612	Marine Ecology Progress Series 313, 261-270.
613	Short, F.T., Burdick, D.V., 1995. Mesocosm experiments quantify the effects of
614	eutrophication on eelgrass, Zostera marina. Limnology and Oceanography 40, 740-
615	794.
616	Sokal, R. R., Rohlf, F. J., 1995. Biometry: The Principles and Practice of Statistics in
617	Biological Research, 3 edition, Freeman, W.H. and Company (Eds.), New York,
618	880 pp.
619	Telfer, T.C., Beveridge, M.C.M., 2001. Monitoring environmental effects of marine fish
620	aquaculture. Cahiers Options Méditerranéennes 55, 75-83.
621	Touchette, B.W., Burkholder, J.M., 2000. Review of nitrogen and phosphorus
622	metabolism in seagrasses. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology
623	250, 133-167.
624	Underwood, A.J., 1997. Experiments in ecology. Their Logical and Interpretation Using
625	Analysis of Variance. Cambridge University Press, 504 pp.
626	Valentine, J.F., Duffy, J.E., 2006. The central role of grazing in seagrass ecology, in:
627	Larkum, A.W.D., Orth, R.J., Duarte C.M. (Eds.), Seagrasses: biology, ecology and
628	conservation, Springer, The Netherlands, pp. 463-501.
629	Vargas-Yáñez, M., García-Martínez, M.C., Moya-Ruiz, F., Tel, E., Parrilla, G., Fraile-
630	Nuez, E., Lavín, A., 2007. Cambio climático en el Mediterráneo español. Instituto
631	Español de Oceanografía, Madrid. Temas de Oceanografía 1, 169 pp.
632	Vezzuli, L., Chelosi, E., Riccardi, G., Fabiano, M., 2002. Bacterial community structure
633	and activity in fish farm sediments of the Ligurian Sea (Western Mediterranean).
634	Aquaculture International 10, 123-141.
635	Vizzini, S., Mazzola, A., 2004. Stable isotope evidence for the environmental impact of
636	a land-based fish farm in the western Mediterranean. Marine Pollution Bulletin 49,
637	61-70.
638	Wear, D.J., Sullivan, M.J., Moore, A.D., Millie, D.F., 1999. Effects of water column
639	enrichment on the production dynamics of three seagrass species and their
640	epiphytic algae. Marine Ecology Progress Series 179, 201-213.

- 641 Wu, R.S.S., Lam, K.S., Mackay, D.W., Lau, T.C., Yam, V., 1994. Impact of marine fish
- farming on water quality and bottom sediment: a case study of the sub-tropical
- environment. Marine Environmental Research 38, 115-145.

- 644 Ye, L.X., Ritz, D.A., Fenton, G.E., Lewis, M.E., 1991. Tracing the influence on
- sediments of organic waste from a salmon farm using stable isotope analysis.
- 646 Journal os Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 145,161–174.

647 Figure Captions

- 648
- 649 Figure 1. Map of the study area indicating the location of the fish farms (empty
- 650 polygons) and sampled meadow areas (see the Materials and Methods section).
- 651
- Figure 2. Mean \pm SE of **A** the percentage of meadow cover; **B** the shoot density (shoots
- m^{-2} ; and **C** herbivore pressure (% of leaves eaten) at sites sampled within each location.
- 654
- Figure 3. Mean \pm SE of **A** the shoot size (as leaf surface area, cm² shoot⁻¹); **B** epiphyte
- 656 load (mg DW cm⁻²); **C** epiphyte N content (% DW); **D** epiphyte isotopic nitrogen
- 657 $(\delta^{15}N)$; **E** leaf N content (% DW); and **F** leaf isotopic nitrogen composition ($\delta^{15}N$).

13

14 Figure 1



Figures 2:



~



