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Convexity of injectivity domains on the ellipsoid of revolution: The oblate case (addendum)

With the same notations as [1], convexity holds whenever $\left({ }^{\prime}=\partial / \partial p_{\theta}\right)$

$$
T\left(T+p_{\theta} T^{\prime}\right)+\left(X_{0}-p_{\theta}^{2}\right)\left(2 T^{\prime 2}-T T^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq 0, \quad p_{\theta} \in\left[0, \sqrt{X_{0}}\right] .
$$

The period $T\left(p_{\theta}, \lambda\right)$ of the $\varphi$ coordinate is computed using the quadrature in the form of the algebraic curve $\left(X=\sin ^{2} \varphi\right)$

$$
\left[\frac{\dot{X}(\lambda-X)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right]^{2}=4\left(X-p_{\theta}{ }^{2}\right)(X-1)(X-\lambda)
$$

Setting $y=1-p_{\theta}{ }^{2}$ and $x=\lambda-1$, the invariants are

$$
g_{2}(x, y)=\frac{4}{3}\left(x^{2}+x y+y^{2}\right), \quad g_{3}(x, y)=\frac{4}{27}\left(2 x^{3}+3 x^{2} y-3 x y^{2}-2 y^{3}\right) .
$$

The period is $T=4 \tau /(3 \sqrt{x+1})$ with

$$
\tau=(2 x+y) \omega+3 \eta
$$

where $\omega$ is the real half-period of the Weierstraß function associated with $\left(g_{2}, g_{3}\right)$, and $\eta=\zeta(\omega)$. Differentiation with respect to $x$ is obtained through the following rules,

$$
\delta_{x} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}=-A_{x} \omega-B_{x} \eta, \quad \delta_{x} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x}=C_{x} \omega+A_{x} \eta,
$$

where

$$
\delta_{x}=18 x(x+y), \quad A_{x}=3(2 x+y), \quad B_{x}=9, \quad C_{x}=x^{2}+x y+y^{2}
$$

Symmetrically,

$$
\delta_{y} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y}=-A_{y} \omega-B_{y} \eta, \quad \delta_{y} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y}=C_{y} \omega+A_{y} \eta
$$

where

$$
\delta_{y}=18 y(x+y), \quad A_{y}=3(x+2 y), \quad B_{y}=-9, \quad C_{y}=-\left(x^{2}+x y+y^{2}\right) .
$$

Proposition 1. The first and second order derivatives of $\tau$ with respect to (positive) $p_{\theta}$ are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau^{\prime}=-\frac{\sqrt{1-y}}{y}[-(x-y) \omega+3 \eta] \\
\tau^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{1}{y^{2}(x+y)}\left\{\left[-2 x^{2}+x(x-2) y+(2 x+1) y^{2}\right] \omega+3[2 x-(x-1) y] \eta\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Define

$$
\alpha(x, y)=\frac{1}{y^{2}}\left[\chi(x, y)-\frac{x}{3}-\frac{y}{6}\right], \quad \chi=\frac{\eta}{\omega} .
$$

So as to estimate the curvature sign, one essentially needs to compute directional limits of $\alpha$ at the two degeneracies $(x, y)=(0,0)$ and $(\infty, 0)$.

Lemma 1. For positive $x$ and $y, \alpha>-\frac{1}{2 y}$.
Proof. It is geometrically clear that the period $T$ (hence $\tau$ ) must be strictly decreasing with $p_{\theta}>0$ on an ellipsoid of revolution with prescribed oblateness ( $x$ is fixed). Then, according to Proposition 1, $-(x-y)+3 \chi>0$, hence the result on $\alpha$.

Remark 1. When $x \rightarrow 0$ (flat ellipsoid), $\chi$ degenerates to the rational value $\lim _{x=0} 3 g_{3} /\left(2 g_{2}\right)=-y / 3$ so one gets $\alpha(0, y)=-1 /(2 y)$ for positive $y$.

Lemma 2. For positive $x, \alpha(x, 0)=-\frac{1}{16 x}$.
Proof. When $y \rightarrow 0$ (equator), $\chi$ degenerates to $\lim _{y=0} 3 g_{3} /\left(2 g_{2}\right)=x / 3$. The differentiation rules imply that

$$
\delta_{y} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial y}=C_{y}+2 A_{y} \chi+B_{y} \chi^{2}
$$

so, iterating, one obtains

$$
\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial y}(x, 0)=\frac{1}{6}, \quad \frac{\partial^{2} \chi}{\partial y^{2}}(x, 0)=-\frac{1}{8 x}
$$

whence the directional limit for $\alpha$ (order two Taylor-Young).
One can then devise a global coarse estimate of $x \alpha$, for instance the following.
Corollary 1. For positive $x$ and $y, x \alpha>-1 / 15$.
Remark 2. One actually has $x \alpha>-1 / 16$ for positive $x$ and $y$.
Lemma 3. For positive $y,(x \alpha)(\infty, y)=-1 / 16$.
Proof. Set $\xi=1 / x$. When $\xi \rightarrow 0$ (round cas $\epsilon^{1}$ ), $\xi \chi$ degenerates to the limit at $\xi=0$ of

$$
\xi \frac{3 g_{3}}{2 g_{2}}(1 / \xi, y)=\frac{2+3 y \xi-3 y^{2} \xi^{2}-2 y^{3} \xi^{3}}{6\left(1+y \xi+y^{2} \xi^{2}\right)}
$$

so $(\xi \chi)(0, y)=1 / 3$. Computing as in Lemma 2, one obtains

$$
\frac{\partial(\xi \chi)}{\partial \xi}(0, y)=\frac{y}{6}, \quad \frac{\partial^{2}(\xi \chi)}{\partial \xi^{2}}(0, y)=-\frac{y^{2}}{8}
$$

whence the directional limit for

$$
\frac{\alpha}{\xi}=\frac{1}{\xi^{2} y^{2}}\left[\xi \chi-\frac{1}{3}-\frac{y}{6} \xi\right] .
$$

A global coarse estimate of $(x+1) \alpha$ is for instance as follows.
Corollary 2. For positive $x$ and $y,(x+1) \alpha<-1 / 17<0$.

[^0]Remark 3. One actually has $(x+1) \alpha<-1 / 16$ for positive $x$ and $y$.
Proposition 2. When $x \in(0,1 / 2)$, the curvature for $\varphi_{0}=\pi / 2$ changes sign.
Proof. For $X_{0}=\sin ^{2} \varphi_{0}=1$, up to some positive factor the curvature reads

$$
\kappa=\tau\left(\tau+p_{\theta} \tau^{\prime}\right)+y\left(2 \tau^{\prime 2}-\tau \tau^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq 0
$$

As

$$
\tau+p_{\theta} \tau^{\prime}=3 \omega\left[\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right)+(1-\alpha) y+2 \alpha y^{2}\right]
$$

we see using Lemma 2 that this term has a negative limit as $y \rightarrow 0$ since

$$
\lim _{y=0} \omega=\lim _{y=0} \frac{\pi}{3} \sqrt{\frac{g_{2}}{2 g_{3}}}=\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{x}}>0 .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\tau^{\prime}=-\frac{3 \omega}{2} \sqrt{1-y}(1+2 \alpha y)
$$

and

$$
\tau^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{3 \omega}{2(x+y)}[1+(1+4 \alpha) x+2 \alpha(1-x) y]
$$

are both well defined for $y=0$ so $\kappa$ has the sign of $x-1 / 2$ and is negative. Conversely, when $y=1, \tau^{\prime}$ vanishes and $\kappa=\tau\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with

$$
\tau-\tau_{\mid y=1}^{\prime \prime}=\omega[(x+2)+6 \chi]>3 \omega x>0
$$

by virtue of Lemma 1 . Hence the change of sign.
Proposition 3. When $x \geq 1 / 2, \tau^{\prime \prime} \leq 0$.
Proof. Write as in the previous proof

$$
\tau^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{3 \omega}{2(x+y)}[1+(1+4 \alpha) x+2 \alpha(1-x) y]
$$

and notice that, using Corollary 1, the term in the brackets is bounded from below according to

$$
(1+x)+2 \alpha x \underbrace{\left[2+y\left(\frac{1}{x}-1\right)\right]}_{\geq 0}>(1+x)-\frac{2}{15}\left[2+y\left(\frac{1}{x}-1\right)\right] \geq \frac{11}{10}
$$

for $x \geq 1 / 2$.
Proposition 4. When $x \geq 1 / 2, \tau+p_{\theta} \tau^{\prime} \leq 0$.
Proof. Write as in the proof of Proposition 2

$$
\tau+p_{\theta} \tau^{\prime}=3 \omega\left[\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right)+(1-\alpha) y+2 \alpha y^{2}\right]
$$

and notice that, using successively Lemma 1 and Corollary 2,

$$
(1-\alpha)+2 \alpha y \geq-\alpha>0 .
$$

Theorem 1. Injectivity domains on the oblate ellipsoid are all convex if and only if the ratio between the minor and major axes is greater or equal to $1 / \sqrt{3}$.

Proof. When the ratio is less than $1 / \sqrt{3}$, that is when $x<1 / 2$, Proposition 2 shows that convexity does not hold for $\varphi_{0}=\pi / 2$. Conversely, when $x \geq 1 / 2$, as $\tau^{\prime \prime} \leq 0$ according to Proposition 3, nonnegativeness of

$$
\tau\left(\tau+p_{\theta} \tau^{\prime}\right)+\left(X_{0}-p_{\theta}^{2}\right)\left(2 \tau^{\prime 2}-\tau \tau^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

holds as soon as $\tau+p_{\theta} \tau^{\prime} \geq 0$, which is Proposition 4.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The degeneracy $x \rightarrow \infty$ towards the round case is interpretated as follows: All geodesics tend to meridians, so the limit has to be independent of $y=1-p_{\theta}{ }^{2}$, and the computation of $\alpha(x, 0)$ in Lemma 2 for the equator already gives the result.

