



HAL
open science

Modified discrete mindlin hypothesis for laminated composite structures

Rezak Ayad, Nabil Talbi, Tewfik Ghomari

► **To cite this version:**

Rezak Ayad, Nabil Talbi, Tewfik Ghomari. Modified discrete mindlin hypothesis for laminated composite structures. *Composites Science and Technology*, 2009, 69 (1), pp.125. 10.1016/j.compscitech.2007.10.038 . hal-00563492

HAL Id: hal-00563492

<https://hal.science/hal-00563492>

Submitted on 6 Feb 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Modified discrete mindlin hypotheses for laminated composite structures

Rezak Ayad, Nabil Talbi, Tewfik Ghomari

PII: S0266-3538(07)00432-0
DOI: [10.1016/j.compscitech.2007.10.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2007.10.038)
Reference: CSTE 3881

To appear in: *Composites Science and Technology*

Received Date: 31 March 2007
Accepted Date: 11 October 2007



Please cite this article as: Ayad, R., Talbi, N., Ghomari, T., Modified discrete mindlin hypotheses for laminated composite structures, *Composites Science and Technology* (2007), doi: [10.1016/j.compscitech.2007.10.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2007.10.038)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

**MODIFIED DISCRETE MINDLIN HYPOTHESES FOR LAMINATED
COMPOSITE STRUCTURES**

Rezak Ayad*, Nabil Talbi* and Tewfik Ghomari**

* Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne
Groupe de Mécanique des Matériaux et des Structures (GMMS, EA 2617)
ESIEC – Esplanade Roland Garros, BP1029, 51686 Reims, France
e-mail : rezak.ayad@univ-reims.fr

** Université des Sciences et de la Technologie d'Oran
Faculté de Mécanique, BP 1505, El-Mnaouar, 31000, Oran, Algérie
e-mail : tewfikghomari@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The present work deals with a procedure of defining discrete kinematic and mechanic hypotheses for Reissner-Mindlin plate finite elements. They are associated with the transverse shear strains for which the corresponding classical approximations caused the shear locking problem. Used in the past for isotropic homogeneous bending-shear plates, these hypotheses have been locally modified in order to be used for laminated composite plates. The derived plate finite element model, labelled DDM “Displacement Discrete Mindlin” has been applied to a 3-node triangular (DMTP: Discrete Mindlin Triangle for Plates) and validated on some standard problem tests, including one or several orthotropic layers.

KEYWORDS: Plate, laminated composites, finite element, bending-shear, discrete Mindlin

ANALYTICAL ASPECTS OF THE DDM MODEL

The formulation of simple and efficient finite elements has been always the main concern of the researchers in the field of bending-shear plates. The most interesting approach was certainly the use of discrete Kirchhoff hypothesis for formulating the well known finite element for thin plates DKT (Bathe et al, 80). The authors used first a quadratic interpolation of the normal rotations β_x and β_y . Their element had initially 6 nodes with the previous two rotations per node: the bending behaviour was thus well represented. After introducing the following hypothesis (called Discrete Kirchhoff hypothesis) on the three sides:

$$\int_0^{L_s} \gamma_s ds = 0 \quad ; \quad \gamma_s = w_{,s} + \beta_s \quad (\text{zero shear strains: thin plate}) \quad (1)$$

the rotational mid-side degrees of freedom (dofs) will be written in terms of the transverse displacement w (deflection) on the three corner nodes. The final element, labelled DKT (Discrete Kirchhoff Element), is very simple (3 nodes and 3 dofs per node: w, β_x, β_y) and performs well all standard tests for thin isotropic homogeneous plates. It has been implemented in the majority of finite element programs.

This popular element remained unfortunately limited for thin structures without shear effects. It became then necessary to think about new procedures leading to simple and efficient finite elements which would take into account the effects of transverse shear. The first contributions in this field (Aalto, 88) (Lardeur et al, 89) (Onate et al, 92) proposed interesting triangular elements but with some difficulties. They will be detailed in the full paper. For isotropic plates, Katili (Katili, 93) and Ayad (Ayad et al, 01) proposed to use two discrete Mindlin hypotheses on the three sides of the element, defined initially with 6 nodes. These hypotheses are written as

$$\int_0^{L_s} (\gamma_s - (w_{,s} + \beta_s)) ds = 0 \quad (\text{kinematic hypothesis}) \quad (2)$$

$$\int_0^{L_s} \left(\gamma_s - \frac{T_s}{D_s} \right) ds = 0 ; D_s = kGh ; G = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)} \quad (\text{mechanical hypothesis}) \quad (3)$$

γ_s and T_s are the shear strain and force on the side s , E the elasticity modulus, ν the Poisson coefficient, k the shear correction factor.

The derived 3-node finite elements DKMT (displacement model proposed by Katili (Katili, 93)) and MiSP3+ (hybrid-mixed model proposed by Ayad (Ayad et al, 01)) are very simple and robust. They performed well all tests for isotropic bending-shear isotropic plates, from thin to thick situations. The second hypothesis (3) shows clearly the limitation to isotropic homogeneous plates for these elements. In order to compute laminated composite structures, we have introduced in our recent works (Ayad, 02) (Ayad et al, 03) a new modified mechanical Mindlin hypothesis, generalising then the equation (3). This new hypothesis takes the following form:

$$\int_0^{L_k} \left(\gamma_s - \langle H_{ck11}^{inv} \ H_{ck12}^{inv} \rangle \begin{Bmatrix} T_s \\ T_n \end{Bmatrix} \right) ds = 0 \quad (4)$$

H_{ck11}^{inv} and H_{ck12}^{inv} are two behaviour terms of the following shear inverse matrix $[H_{ck}]^{-1}$:

$$[H_{ck}] = [P_k][H_c][P_k]^{-1}; [P_k] = [P_k]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} c_k & s_k \\ s_k & -c_k \end{bmatrix}; \begin{cases} c_k = \cos \alpha_k \\ c_k = \sin \alpha_k \end{cases} \quad (\text{see figure 1}) \quad (5)$$

$[H_c]$ is the transverse shear behaviour matrix, defined for one or several layers (laminated composites). It will be detailed with other matrices in the full paper. Introducing the bending behaviour equation $\{M\} = [H_f]\{\chi\}$, quadratic and linear interpolations respectively for the edge rotations β_s and β_n , and the following equilibrium equations:

$$T_s = M_{s,s} + M_{sn,n} \quad ; \quad T_n = M_{sn,s} + M_{n,n} \quad (6)$$

the equation (4) becomes (ϕ_k^{lc} being the new shear influence factor for a laminated composite plate):

$$\gamma_{sk} = -\phi_k^{lc} \Delta \beta_{sk} \quad (7a)$$

$$\phi_k^{lc} = 8 \left(H_{f11} \frac{H_{ck11}^{inv}}{L_k^2} + H_{f13} \frac{H_{ck12}^{inv}}{L_k^2} \right) \quad ; \quad (lc: \text{laminated composite}) \quad (7b)$$

All these previous equations will contribute to final and modified expressions of curvatures, shear strains and stiffness matrices in consequence. These matrices are exactly integrated using only three hammer points. More details will be presented in the full paper.

NUMERICAL RESULTS

Simply supported under uniform loading of a sandwich plate

A simply supported square sandwich plate (3-layers) is submitted to a uniform loading f_z . Problem data are given by figure 2. The skin material (Layers 1 and 3) is orthotropic. The material properties of layer 2 (heart) are proportional to those of layers 1 and 3 (shear modulus of the heart = shear modulus of skins divided by 10). The results of central deflection w_c are reported on the table 1. They are compared to a 3D solution given by Srinivas (Srinivas, 73) ($\bar{w}_c = w_c G_{12}(2)/hf_z$).

A good correlation between our model DMTP (Discrete Mindlin Triangular for Plates) and the 3D solution is then obtained. DMTP also performs well other multilayered plate examples. We'll present some of them in the full paper.

A Simply supported 9-layer square plate under uniform pressure load

A simply supported 9-layer unidirectional square laminate with length $L=1000$ and thickness $t=250, 100$ and 20 , is analysed with the proposed element using a number of mesh size (Figure 3). The plate is subjected to a distributed transverse load of intensity $q = q_0 \sin(\pi x/L) \sin(\pi y/L)$ where q_0 is its amplitude at the plate centre.

The central normalized deflections \underline{W}_c and stress σ_{xz} obtained from the present elements, together with those from 3D solution given by Pagano and Hatfield[Ex5_1] and the plate element DST developed by Lardeur & Batoz [Ex5_2], are presented in Table 2. The table clearly shows that the performance of the proposed DMTS element is very good in terms of solution accuracy and the rate of convergence. It also indicates that the results obtained by PRHSDT are closer to the 3-D elasticity solution [32] compared to the PHSDT and PFSDT (Table 1) as expected.

It is obvious that the results from element DMTS are in good agreement with the results from 3D solution compared to the element DST.

CONCLUSION

Two Mindlin hypotheses have been presented in a discrete manner. They have been adapted for being used in the simulation of laminated composite plates and shells. The corresponding DDM model (Displacement Discrete Mindlin) can be considered as a new alternative to the known Discrete Kirchhoff elements DKT and DKQ (only used for thin plates). The derived finite elements Triangular DMTP or Quadrilateral DMQP (will be developed in our future works) are very simple to formulate. They have a minimum number of degrees of freedom.

BIBLIOGRAPHIE

- Aalto J., 'From Kirchhoff to Mindlin plate element', *Communications .Appl. Num. Methods*, 4, 231-241, 1988.
- Ayad R., Rigolot A. and Talbi N., 'An improved three-node hybrid-mixed element for Mindlin/Reissner plates', *Int. Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, Vol 51, 919-942, (2001).
- Ayad R., Contribution to numerical modelling for the analysis of solids and structures, and for non-newtonian fluid forming processes. Application to packaging materials. *Thesis «Agreement for managing researches »*, University of Reims, December 2002
- Bathe J.L., Bathe K.J. and Ho L.W., 'A study of three-node triangular plate bending elements', *Int. j. numer. methods eng.*, 15, 1771-1812, 1980.
- Katili I., 'A new discrete Kirchhoff-Mindlin element based on Mindlin-Reissner plate theory and assumed shear strain fields. Part I : An extended DKT element for thick-plate bending analysis', *IJNME*, Vol 36, 1859-1883, 1993.
- Lardeur P. and Batoz J.L., 'Composite plate analysis using a new discrete shear triangular finite element', *Int. Jou. Num. Meth. Eng.*, Vol.27, N°2, p.343-360, 1989
- Onate E., Zienkiewicz O. C., Suarez B. and Taylor R. L., 'A general methodology for deriving shear constrained Reissner-Mindlin plate elements', *Int. j. numer. methods eng.*, 33, 345-367, 1992.




Figure 1. Modified Mindlin hypothesis on a side ij of a multilayered finite element




Figure 2 - Simply supported under uniform loading of a sandwich plate. Problem data




Figure 3 – Square plate geometry




Figure 4 - Simply supported under uniform loading of a sandwich plate. Problem data

Table 1:

Simply supported under uniform loading of a sandwich plate. Central deflection \bar{w}_c

	3D Sol. (Srinivas, 73)	DMTP
$k_{11}=k_{22}=0.3521$	41.91	41.31

Table 2

Convergence of the deflection and stress at point C with L/t ration

	L/t	4			10			50		
	Mesh	DMTS	DST	3D	DMTS	DST	3D	DMTS	DST	3D
W (L/2,L/2,0)	6x6	4,419	4.227	4.079	1.612	1.513	1.512	1,091	1,007	1,021
	10x10	4,186	4.242			1.526			1,020	
σ_{xz} (0,L/2,0)	6x6	0.221	0.221	0.223	0.213	0.213	0.247	0.185	0.185	0.259
	10x10		0.225			0.219			0.190	

$$\bar{W} = W_c 100 \frac{E_2 t^3}{q_0 a^4}$$