

Detection of prognostically relevant genetic abnormalities in childhood B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: recommendations from the Biology and Diagnosis Committee of iBFM-SG

Christine J Harrison, Oskar A Haas, W Harbott, Andrea Biondi, Martin Stanulla, Jan Trka, Shai Izraeli

To cite this version:

Christine J Harrison, Oskar A Haas, W Harbott, Andrea Biondi, Martin Stanulla, et al.. Detection of prognostically relevant genetic abnormalities in childhood B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: recommendations from the Biology and Diagnosis Committee of iBFM-SG. British Journal of Haematology, 2010, 151 (2), pp.132. 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2010.08314.x. hal-00563109

HAL Id: hal-00563109 <https://hal.science/hal-00563109v1>

Submitted on 4 Feb 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Detection of prognostically relevant genetic abnormalities in childhood B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: recommendations from the Biology and Diagnosis **Committee of iBFM-SG**

Detection of prognostically relevant genetic abnormalities in childhood Bcell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: recommendations from the Biology and Diagnosis Committee of iBFM-SG

Harrison, C.J.¹, Haas, O.², Harbott, J.³, Biondi, A.⁴, Stanulla, M.⁵, Trka, J.⁶, Izraeli, S⁷.

resonance, the Microsoftenia, Andrea, Cheegenica Eastraliery, and Oncology, Justus-Liebig-University, Giessen
diatrics, University of Milano-Bicocca, Hospital S.Ge
of Pediatrics, University Medical Centre Schleswig-
iildho ¹ Leukaemia Research Cytogenetics Group, Northern Institute for Cancer Research, Newcastle University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK; ²St. Anna Children's Hospital, Kinderspitalgasse 6, 1090 Vienna, Austria; ³Oncogenetic Laboratory, Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Justus-Liebig-University, Giessen, Germany, Department of Pediatrics, University of Milano-Bicocca, Hospital S.Gerardo, Monza, Italy; ⁵Department of Pediatrics, University Medical Centre Schleswig-Holstein, Campus Kiel, Germany; ⁶Childhood Leukaemia Investigation Prague, Department of Paediatric Haematology/Oncology, 2nd Faculty of Medicine, Charles University Prague and University Hospital Motol, Prague, Czech Republic; ⁷Leukemia Research Centre, The Edmond and Lily Safra Children's Hospital, Sheba Medical Centre, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel.

Correspondence: Professor Christine Harrison, Leukaemia Research Cytogenetics Group, Northern Institute for Cancer Research, Newcastle University, Level 5, Sir James Spence Institute, Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, NE1 4LP, UK Telephone: +44 (0)191 282 1320 Email: christine.harrison@newcastle.ac.uk

Short title: Genetic diagnosis of childhood BCP-ALL

Key words: acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, genetics, risk stratification, RT-PCR, cytogenetics, fluorescence in situ hybridisation.

Summary

Treatment of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) has improved considerably in recent years. A contributing factor has been the improved stratification for treatment according to a number of factors including genetic determinants of outcome. Here we review the current diagnostic criteria of genetic abnormalities in precursor B-ALL (BCP-ALL), including the relevant technical approaches and the application of the most appropriate methods for the detection of each abnormality. The abnormalities with the most significant impact for treatment and management of BCP-ALL are $t(9;22)(q34;q11)/BCR-ABL1$, $t(4;11)(q21;q23)/MLL-AFF1$ and near-haploidy/low hypodiploidy for high risk stratification and, to a lesser extent, $t(12:21)(p13:q22)/ETV6$ RUNX1 and high hyperdiploidy for good risk management. Apart from the numerical abnormalities, these can be routinely tested for by RT-PCR, providing a basic yet informative screen. However, cytogenetics, particularly fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) may provide reliable alternative detection methods dependent upon the preferred technical approach within each protocol.

Introduction

The risk stratification and, to a lesser extent, t(12;21)
typerdiploidy for good risk management. Apart from
the can be routinely tested for by RT-PCR, providing
a. However, cytogenetics, particularly fluorescence in
the r A European Committee for the Biology and Diagnosis of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) was initiated in 1989. The treatment protocols of the members of this committee had contributed considerably to improvements in outcome for children with ALL, which led them to publish a consensus of the minimal requirements for the diagnosis, classification and evaluation of treatment (van der Doesvan den Berg, et al 1992). At that time, cytogenetic analysis was specified as a requirement "to support the diagnosis". The link between karyotype and prognosis had been recognised. It was known that high hyperdiploidy was associated with a favourable outcome in childhood ALL, whilst patients with hypodiploidy had a poor outcome. The translocations, t(9;22)(q34;q11), giving rise to Philadelphia chromosome (Ph) positive ALL, and t(4;11)(q21;q23) were associated with a high risk of treatment failure.

Since both the knowledge of genetic aberrations associated with ALL and the techniques available to detect them has expanded exponentially in recent years, the need to provide an updated description of the genetic diagnosis of BCP-ALL in children in relation to risk stratification for treatment in all protocols has emerged.

 $\mathbf{1}$

 $\mathbf{1}$

Page 3 of 24 British Journal of Haematology

In order to answer the questions frequently asked by haematologists: 1) which genetic aberrations are relevant to the diagnosis and risk stratification of childhood ALL; 2) which methods should be used to detect them and 3) which is the best method for the detection of each abnormality? A panel of experts was convened from within the Committee for Biology and Diagnosis and a survey was conducted among the coordinators of the leading leukaemia protocols. A list of contributing groups is provided in Supplementary Table 1. T-lineage ALL was not included as no protocol yet includes stratification of treatment based on genetics. This manuscript gives their consensus on the diagnostic criteria of genetic abnormalities in BCP-ALL, reviews the relevant technical approaches and offers guidance on the application of the most appropriate methods for the detection of each abnormality, as summarised in Table 1.

Which genetic abnormalities are relevant to diagnosis and risk stratification of BCP-ALL?

Diagnosis of ALL

res and offers guidance on the application of the motection of each abnormality, as summarised in Tablon and the motecrian of each abnormality, as summarised in Tablon and the most important to diagnosis and risk statement Before considering the individual genetic changes in relation to prognosis, it must be highlighted that treatment is the most important prognostic factor and that specific diagnostic requirements are protocol dependent. However, there are certain essential diagnostic approaches which are consistent between treatment protocols. These include: 1) morphological and immunophenotypic classification, for which the most recent recommendations have been published by the World Health Organisation (WHO) (2008); 2) determination of minimal residual disease (MRD) status, which is a highly informative prognostic marker (Bruggemann, et al 2010). This is performed by clonality testing for immunoglobulin heavy chain and T cell receptor gene rearrangements using tightly standardized quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) (van der Velden, et al 2007) and, increasingly, flow cytometry (Basso, et al 2009).

Significant Genetic Abnormalities in BCP-ALL

(i) Cytogenetic structural abnormalities

In BCP-ALL, individual chromosomal abnormalities remain strong independent indicators of outcome, especially to indicate risk of relapse (Moorman, et al 2010). Among these abnormalities, those with the most significant impact for risk stratification for treatment are t(9;22)(q34;q11)/*BCR-ABL1* and rearrangements of the MLL gene. In

particular this applies to $t(4:11)(q21:q23)/MLL-AFF1$ (previously known as MLL-AF4) The prognosis of the other MLL partners may become significant in the future, particularly among infants (Pieters, et al 2007). The detection of these two abnormalities provides the basic criteria for the classification of high risk groups which is applicable to all treatment protocols. Other significant structural abnormalities include t(12;21)(p13;q22)/*ETV6-RUNX1* fusion, as well as $t(1;19)(q23;p13.3)/TCF3-PBX1$ fusion. However, these are not used in risk stratification on all protocols. The ETV6-RUNX1 fusion occurs in approximately 25% of younger children with BCP-ALL. These patients have an extremely good prognosis Among patients with TCF3 rearrangements, those with TCF3-PBX1 were originally regarded as poor risk on some treatment protocols, but on modern therapy they are classified as standard risk (Kager, et al 2007, Pui, et al 1994). In contrast the rare variant, t(17:19)(q22;p13)/HLF-TCF3 fusion, has a dismal outcome on all therapies (Hunger 1996). Thus its accurate identification is important.

Fraction protocols, but on modern therapy they are compted and the exact of the and $H = TCF3$ fusion, has a dismal outcome on all therapiter and $H = TCF3$ fusion, has a dismal outcome on all therapiter exact $H = TCF3$ fusion, Translocations involving *IGH@* at 14q32 are emerging as a significant subgroup in childhood ALL (Akasaka, et al 2007, Chapiro, et al 2006, Russell, et al 2008, Russell, et al 2009b). It is of interest that they occur more frequently in adolescents and, although numbers are small, they appear to have an inferior outcome. Currently they are studied for research purposes only, but their strong clinical associations may lead to the need for routine screening in the future. Apart from $IGH@$ translocations, these structural changes are important to a greater or lesser extent in directing the choice of treatment.

Intrachromosomal amplification of chromosome 21 (iAMP21) was discovered during routine screening for the presence of the $ETV6$ -RUNX1 fusion by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) (Harrison, et al 2005). Patients are negative for the $ETV6$ -RUNX1 fusion, while in addition to the two normal copies of the $ETV6$ signal, show multiple RUNX1 signals (3 or more additional signals) with this probe. In metaphase, one signal is located to the normal chromosome 21, while the others are seen in tandem duplication along an abnormal chromosome 21 (Harewood, et al 2003). In interphase, the signals are clustered together, except for one signal representing the normal chromosome 21 which is usually located apart. Cytogenetics, multiple colour FISH and array based comparative genomic hybridisation (aCGH) have shown that the morphology of the abnormal chromosome 21 is highly variable between patients and that the commonly amplified region always includes the *RUNX1* gene (Harewood, et al 2003, Robinson, et al 2007, Strefford, et al 2006). This abnormality was originally described as poor risk (Harewood, et al 2003, Moorman, et al 2007, Robinson, et al 2003,

Page 5 of 24 British Journal of Haematology

Soulier, et al 2003), although the outcome has since been shown to be protocol dependent (Attarbaschi, et al 2008). Thus its accurate detection is important to guide therapy, at least in some protocols.

(ii) Numerical cytogenetic abnormalities

Significant numerical abnormalities include: high hyperdiploidy (51-65 chromosomes) (Moorman, et al 2003), near-haploidy (24-29 chromosomes) and low hypodiploidy (31-39 chromosomes) (Harrison, et al 2004, Nachman, et al 2007). High hyperdiploidy accounts for approximately 30% of childhood BCP-ALL and is characterised by the gain of specific chromosomes. It is associated with a good prognosis in children. Near-haploidy and low hypodiploidy are rare, comprising <1% each of childhood ALL. Their characteristic features are the gain of specific chromosomes onto the haploid chromosome set and, in the majority of patients, the presence of a population of cells with an exact doubling of this chromosome number (Harrison, et al 2004). Both are linked to a poor outcome and are used to stratify patients as high risk.

(iii) Submicroscopic abnormalities

fic chromosomes. It is associated with a good prognc
low hypodiploidy are rare, comprising <1% each of
f teatures are the gain of specific chromosomes ont
nd, in the majority of patients, the presence of a pop
ling of this A significant discovery was the finding that the disruption of genes involved in Bcell development played an important role in leukaemogenesis in childhood BCP-ALL (Mullighan, et al 2007). Approximately 40% of these patients had abnormalities of genes involved in the B-cell developmental pathway: PAX5, TCF3, EBF1, LEF1, IKZF1 and IKZF3. Other genes frequently affected were those controlling cell cycle progression: CDKN2A, CDKN1B and RB1 (Kuiper, et al 2007, Strefford, et al 2007). Whether there is a link between these genes and outcome has become a critical question (Den Boer, et al 2009). In particular, the association of $IKZF1$ deletions with a poor prognosis (Kuiper, et al 2010, Mullighan, et al 2009b) requires further validation in prospective independent and unselected trial based patient cohorts. Thus at present routine screening is not a recommendation.

Recently, a cryptic translocation, $t(X;14)(p22;q32)$ or $t(Y;14)(p11;q32)$, involving $IGH@$ and $CRLF2$ in the pseudoautosomal region (PAR1) of the sex chromosomes, and a deletion within PAR1, giving rise to the P2RY8-CRLF2 fusion, have been reported (Hertzberg, et al 2009, Mullighan, et al 2009a, Russell, et al 2009a, Yoda, et al 2009). They lead to overexpression of CRLF2, which has been defined as a novel, significant abnormality in BCP-ALL. CRLF2 alterations, including activating mutations of the CRLF2

receptor itself, are associated with activating JAK mutations resulting in constitutive activation of the JAK-STAT signaling pathway (Chapiro, et al 2009, Hertzberg, et al 2009, Russell, et al 2009a, Yoda, et al 2009). Activation of this pathway has been associated with a worse prognosis in adults and children (Cario, et al 2010, Harvey, et al 2010) and has been highlighted as an important consideration for targeted therapy. Following further validation, the detection of CRLF2 alterations may become a necessary diagnostic test.

The abnormalities given above are listed in Table 1 in priority order based on their importance in risk stratification for treatment applicable to protocols worldwide. The relationships of *IGH@* translocations, rearrangements leading to *CRLF2* deregulation, deletions of the B-cell differentiation and cell cycle control genes with prognosis are currently important for research only. However their true prognostic significance is soon likely to emerge from further detailed studies.

Which techniques are recommended for the Detection of Significant Genetic Abnormalities in ALL

Molecular technologies

H@ translocations, rearrangements leading to *CRLI*
cell differentiation and cell cycle control genes with p
for research only. However their true prognostic sign
m further detailed studies.
s are recommended for the D Molecular technologies make use of DNA or RNA extracted directly from bone marrow. With the increasing numbers of significant chromosomal translocations identified, techniques of reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) have provided a rapid, accurate and sensitive method for the detection of their fusion transcripts. One major disadvantage is that this approach is highly specific and cooperating abnormalities cannot be simultaneously identified. Quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) measures the relative expression levels, usually of upregulated genes.

Cytogenetics

Cytogenetic analysis of bone marrow blasts has provided the gold standard technique for the identification of significant numerical and structural chromosomal abnormalities in leukaemia since the 1970's. Some thirty years later it remains integral to the diagnosis of ALL in many laboratories. The main advantage of cytogenetics is that it is well established into clinical practice, it provides a global screen of the entire genome, while the disadvantages include its low resolution and restriction to the analysis of abnormalities visible in metaphase.

 $\mathbf{1}$

Flow cytometry for determination of DNA index

In a small number of institutions, determination of DNA index by flow cytometry is in routine use to indicate changes in chromosome number. Although it will not identify the specific chromosomes gained, the presence of high hyperdiploid clones can be detected (Forestier, et al 1998). Similarly, this approach has identified near-haploid and low-hypodiploid clones (Stark, et al 2001). However, there are examples where measurement of DNA index has failed to detect high hyperdiploidy, possibly due to the variable stages of the cell cycle among leukaemic blasts (Bungaro, et al 2009).

Fluorescence in situ hybridization

Example in the 1980's in parallel with molecular tettion of specific DNA probes annealed to their complget genome. Many probes are now commercially avertice a valuable substitute for cytogenetics when use in the synthesis FISH was developed in the 1980's in parallel with molecular techniques. It is based on visualisation of specific DNA probes annealed to their complementary sequences in a target genome. Many probes are now commercially available, providing rapid and accurate screening for most of the significant chromosomal abnormalities in leukaemia. FISH offers a valuable substitute for cytogenetics when used to indicate the presence of specific chromosomal rearrangements, as well as deletion and gain, usually in a dual colour arrangement. One main advantage is that FISH provides information in addition to the result of the specific test for which it is applied.

High resolution array based technologies

These technologies include aCGH, single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays and gene expression profiling. Arrays for genome-wide microRNA expression, methylation status and mutation screening using deep sequencing approaches are now available. Currently these techniques provide valuable research tools in the study of the cancer genome. They are described in detail in the accompanying review article (Izraeli 2010).

Multiplex Ligation-dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA)

Multiplex Ligation-dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA) is a rapid multiplex PCR based technique that allows the comparative quantification of multiple sites in a single test (Schouten, et al 2002). It targets very small sequences and can distinguish those differing in a single nucleotide. Thus MLPA is able to identify those focal genetic aberrations which are too small to be detected by FISH. Although MLPA is not suitable for genome-wide research screening, it provides a low cost, simple alternative to arraybased techniques for many routine applications [\(www.mrc-holland.com\)](http://www.mrc-holland.com/). It is now used routinely to identify copy number alterations in haematological malignancies for which specific copy number changes are clinically significant (Coll-Mulet, et al 2008). MLPA has been successfully applied to the simultaneous screening for deletions involving the B-cell differentiation and cell cycle control genes in BCP-ALL (Schwab, et al 2010).

What is the most appropriate method to detect each abnormality? BCR-ABL1 fusion

nd cytogenetic analysis readily detects the *BCR-ABL*
at those cases with a failed or normal cytogenetic rese
ree method (Harrison, *et al* 2005). The use of a dual of
aded for which the false positive rate is extremely lo RT-PCR and cytogenetic analysis readily detects the BCR-ABL1 fusion/ t(9;22)(q34;q11). In those cases with a failed or normal cytogenetic result, FISH provides a reliable alternative method (Harrison, et al 2005). The use of a dual colour, dual fusion probe is recommended for which the false positive rate is extremely low. At the same time these probes will indicate deletions from the derivative chromosome 9 involving the reciprocal ABL1-BCR fusion (Robinson, et al 2005). It could be arqued that as the presence of the BCR-ABL1 fusion is the significant event, RT-PCR or FISH could replace cytogenetic analysis for the detection of this abnormality.

MLL-AFF1 fusion

As for BCR-ABL1, the MLL-AFF1 fusion/t(4;11)($q21;q23$) is readily detectable by RT-PCR and cytogenetics. A multiplex molecular approach may be applied for the detection of this fusion with the advantage of detection of the other common MLL fusion partners (Harrison, et al 2007). A dual colour breakapart probe provides a highly effective approach for the screening of all chromosomal rearrangements involving MLL by FISH, although the application of specific FISH probes or RT-PCR is needed for the detection of partners. The MLL breakapart FISH probe also detects 3' deletions associated with MLL translocations (Barber, et al 2004). It has been shown that not all abnormalities involving 11q23 at the cytogenetic level have a rearrangement of the MLL gene (Harrison, et al 2005). As such cases have an intermediate prognosis; FISH provides the ideal method to verify the involvement of MLL.

t(17;19)(q22;p13)

Cytogenetic analysis provides the best method to identify the translocations, $t(1:19)(q23:p13)$ and $t(17:19)(q22:p13)$, while RT-PCR will detect the fusion transcripts;

 $\mathbf{1}$

Page 9 of 24 British Journal of Haematology

TCF3-PBX1 and TCF3-HLF, respectively. Alternatively both translocations can be identified by FISH using a breakapart probe to TCF3 (Barber, et al 2007). Generally it is not necessary to screen patients for the presence of the TCF3-PBX1 fusion. However in view of the high risk associated with $t(17;19)(q22;p13)$, it is advisable to confirm its presence, by FISH or RT-PCR. This should be done in association with consideration of its typical clinical presentation: disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) and hypercalcemia, with very high levels of MRD.

ETV6-RUNX1 fusion

on

transcript can be readily detected by RT-PCR. As the

s invisible at the cytogenetic level, an extra signal o

rally used for detection by FISH. The advantage of t

from rare variant breakpoints are also identified,

F This fusion transcript can be readily detected by RT-PCR. As the translocation, t(12;21)(p13;q22) is invisible at the cytogenetic level, an extra signal or dual colour fusion probe is usually used for detection by FISH. The advantage of the FISH approach is that fusions arising from rare variant breakpoints are also identified, which may not be detected by RT-PCR. In *ETV6-RUNX1* positive cases, FISH provides information on the status of the second $ETV6$ homologue: whether it is retained or deleted, as well as indicating the number of RUNX1 and fusion signals (Harrison, et al 2005). Deletion of the second ETV6 allele and additional fusion signals are important secondary events in this leukaemia subtype (Attarbaschi, et al 2004, Martineau, et al 2005).

High hyperdiploidy, near-haploidy and low hypodiploidy

The best method for the detection of high hyperdiploidy and near-haploidy/low hypodiploidy (hypodiploid cases) is cytogenetics. Although gene expression signatures have been used to predict changes in chromosome number (Hertzberg, et al 2007), there are no truly reliable molecular methods for their detection. Among cases which are ETV6-RUNX1 negative by FISH, additional RUNX1 signals observed in interphase often correspond to the additional copies of chromosome 21 seen by cytogenetic analysis in high hyperdiploidy. Thus it can be inferred that additional *RUNX1* signals seen in interphase cells of patients with normal of failed cytogenetic results, when negative for the three abnormalities: ETV6-RUNX1, BCR-ABL1 fusions and MLL rearrangements, represent additional copies of chromosomes 21. Hidden high hyperdiploidy has been found among a high proportion of cases with failed and normal cytogenetic results (Harrison, et al 2005). Subsequent FISH hybridisation with centromeric probes specific for the trisomies of chromosomes 4, 10, 17 and 18 will identify the good risk group of

high hyperdiploid patients as defined by the Children's Oncology Group (Schultz, et al 2007) and UK trials (Moorman, et al 2003).

ps, respectively, their accurate distinction is vital. The
d populations makes FISH detection of hidden popichromosomes which would be expected to be pres
bloid clone need to be applied in parallel with probes
th would be In near-haploidy and low hypodiploidy the abnormal clone is often accompanied by a population comprising a doubling of the hypodiploid chromosome number. In such cases the doubled population may represent the predominant one. In these cases the gained chromosomes are usually tetrasomic in contrast to classical high hyperdiploidy in which the gained chromosomes, apart from chromosome 21, are usually trisomic. However the doubling from the hypodiploid clone may not always be complete and thus may be misidentified as high hyperdiploidy. As these two abnormalities represent poor and good risk groups, respectively, their accurate distinction is vital. The mixture of nearhaploid and doubled populations makes FISH detection of hidden populations difficult. Probes specific for chromosomes which would be expected to be present as a single copy in the hypodiploid clone need to be applied in parallel with probes specific for chromosomes which would be expected to have four copies within the doubled population. In these cases flow cytometry to measure the DNA index of the two populations may be more appropriate.

iAMP21

The finding in iAMP21 patients that the commonly amplified region always includes the RUNX1 gene has confirmed that probes directed to RUNX1 offers the most reliable detection method for this abnormality. The *ETV6-RUNX1* probe provides a suitable test. The abnormality is defined as three or more additional *RUNX1* signals. As the subtelomeric region of chromosome 21 is rarely gained and often deleted (Robinson, et al 2007, Strefford, et al 2006), the accurate distinction of iAMP21 from the gain of whole chromosomes 21 in interphase cells can be made by calculating the ratio of RUNX1 to subtelomeric signals. When whole chromosomes 21 are gained, the number of RUNX1 and subtelomeric signals will be equal in number. In iAMP21 the number of RUNX1 signals will always exceed the number of signals from the subtelomere. Thus application of these two probes directed to chromosome 21 provides the most accurate interphase FISH diagnostic test for iAMP21.

IGH@ translocations

FISH using a dual colour breakapart probe will reliably identify translocations involving IGH@ and in metaphase will identify their partners. Specifically the IGH@-

 $\mathbf{1}$

CRLF2 and the P2RY8-CRLF2 fusions may be detected by FISH using a breakapart probe flanking CRLF2. Alternatively the P2RY8-CRLF2 fusion can be detected by RT-PCR (Hertzberg, et al 2009, Mullighan, et al 2009a) and overexpression of CRLF2 may be demonstrated at the mRNA and protein level by Q-PCR or flow cytometry, respectively (Harvey, et al 2010, Russell, et al 2009a)

Submicroscopic deletions

MLPA has been successfully applied in simultaneous screening of those genes most frequently deleted in high risk BCP-ALL, including the B-cell differentiation genes: IKZF1, PAX5, EBF1 and BTG1; cell cycle control genes: RB1 and CDKN2A/B and those deleted from the PAR1 region: CSF2RA and IL3RA, as an alternative method to indicate the presence of P2RY8-CRLF2 fusion (Schwab, et al 2010).

Conclusions

F1 and *BTG1*; cell cycle control genes: *RB1* and *CD*
AR1 region: *CSF2RA* and *IL3RA*, as an alternative representative of
RY8-CRLF2 fusion (Schwab, et al 2010).
Is protocol for the genetic stratification of BCP-ALL
i A consensus protocol for the genetic stratification of BCP-ALL at the time of diagnosis has been proposed by representatives from the Biology and Diagnosis Committee of iBFM-SG. Based on their relative importance to risk stratification for treatment, the abnormalities have been listed in priority order in Table 1. The algorithm in Figure 2 indicates a proposed strategy for genetic testing in childhood BCP-ALL, which ensures detection of the high risk abnormalities and indicates those abnormalities associated with good risk if their identification is a requirement in a particular protocol.

The abnormalities with the most significant impact for treatment and management of BCP-ALL are BCR-ABL1, MLL-AFF1 and near-haploidy/low hypodiploidy for high risk stratification and to a lesser extent $ETV6$ - $RUNX1$ and high hyperdiploidy for good risk management, which on some protocols are used to indicate patients who are suitable for reduced therapies. Apart from the numerical abnormalities, these can be routinely tested for by RT-PCR, providing a simple yet informative screen. Therefore, in the routine clinical setting, where only basic risk stratification is required, it is not necessary to carry out full cytogenetic analysis in addition to RT-PCR and/or FISH. However, the chosen detection method depends to a certain extent upon the preferred technical approach within each protocol. This may be influenced to a certain extent by local expertise and cost. It is difficult to perform in depth cost analyses between protocols. Generally speaking, cytogenetic preparation of samples is economical, while the analysis is highly labour intensive, requiring highly skilled cytogeneticists. FISH is a

reasonably inexpensive technique and, with the good quality probes now available commercially, many cells can be rapidly screened to provide a timely result. RT-PCR, although highly specific, also provides a rapid, relatively inexpensive test.

more than 60% of childhood BCP-ALL overall, reinformore than 60% of childhood BCP-ALL overall, reinformation FISH approach. The majority of these patients will *ETV6-RUNX1* positive (25%), high hyperdiploidy (3*al* 2005) (Although we are within an era of rapidly advancing technologies, RT-PCR and cytogenetics remain the gold standard methods for clinical diagnosis in BCP-ALL. However, a targeted FISH approach, as illustrated in Table 2, will efficiently detect the most significant chromosomal abnormalities. Table 2 also indicates whether RT-PCR may provide a suitable alternative. As an illustration, if resources and time are limited, the application of a single probe for $ETV6$ - $RUNX1$ will identify the presence of an abnormal clone in more than 60% of childhood BCP-ALL overall, reinforcing the economy of using a FISH approach. The majority of these patients will fall into three distinct subgroups: ETV6-RUNX1 positive (25%), high hyperdiploidy (30%) and iAMP21 (2%) (Harrison, et al 2005) (Figure 1). With the advent of novel technologies (Izraeli 2010), it is probable that we will require information on gene and miRNA expression as well as methylation and mutation status in order to fully characterise the genome of BCP-ALL patients for complete diagnostic and prognostic information. Ongoing research into the application of these techniques will determine their future diagnostic role. Thus it is imperative to store cells to enable such studies to be carried out retrospectively. As further evidence is accumulating, the influence of the constitutional genotype on outcome, in cooperation with the acquired genetic changes, will have to be considered in relation to their relative impact on modern pharmacogenomic approaches to treatment.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the ALL trial coordinators of the individual trial protocols for their input to this consensus by responding to a questionnaire on their policies for genetic stratification of ALL: S. Hunger (COG, USA), A. Vora (UKALL, UK), K. Schmeiglow (NOPHO, Nordic countries), M. Schrappe (BFM, Germany), R. Pieters (DCOG, The Netherlands), A. Baruchel (FRALLE, France), Y. Benoit (EORTC, Belgium and France), V. Conter (AIEOP, Italy), G. Mann (A-BFM, Austria), B. Stark (INS, Israel), J. Stary (ALLIC, Czech Republic), G. Janka-Schaub (COALL, Germany), S. Sallan (DFCI, USA), C-H. Pui (St Jude, USA). We also acknowledge the many physician and scientific members of the iBFM-SG who contributed their comments.

 $\mathbf{1}$

- (2008) WHO classification of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissue. IARC, Lyon.
- Akasaka, T., Balasas, T., Russell, L.J., Sugimoto, K.J., Majid, A., Walewska, R., Karran, E.L., Brown, D.G., Cain, K., Harder, L., Gesk, S., Martin-Subero, J.I., Atherton, M.G., Bruggemann, M., Calasanz, M.J., Davies, T., Haas, O.A., Hagemeijer, A., Kempski, H., Lessard, M., Lillington, D.M., Moore, S., Nguyen-Khac, F., Radford-Weiss, I., Schoch, C., Struski, S., Talley, P., Welham, M.J., Worley, H., Strefford, J.C., Harrison, C.J., Siebert, R. & Dyer, M.J. (2007) Five members of the CEBP transcription factor family are targeted by recurrent IGH translocations in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (BCP-ALL). Blood, **109,** 3451-3461.
- Attarbaschi, A., Mann, G., Konig, M., Dworzak, M.N., Trebo, M.M., Muhlegger, N., Gadner, H. & Haas, O.A. (2004) Incidence and relevance of secondary chromosome abnormalities in childhood TEL/AML1+ acute lymphoblastic leukemia: an interphase FISH analysis. Leukemia, **18,** 1611-1616.
- nn, G., Konig, M., Dworzak, M.N., Trebo, M.M., Niu

& Haas, O.A. (2004) Incidence and relevance of see

e abnormalities in childhood TEL/AML1+ acute lym

in interphase FISH analysis. *Leukemia*, **18**, 1611-16

inn, G., Pan Attarbaschi, A., Mann, G., Panzer-Grumayer, R., Rottgers, S., Steiner, M., Konig, M., Csinady, E., Dworzak, M.N., Seidel, M., Janousek, D., Moricke, A., Reichelt, C., Harbott, J., Schrappe, M., Gadner, H. & Haas, O.A. (2008) Minimal residual disease values discriminate between low and high relapse risk in children with Bcell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia and an intrachromosomal amplification of chromosome 21: the Austrian and German acute lymphoblastic leukemia Berlin-Frankfurt-Munster (ALL-BFM) trials. J Clin Oncol, **26,** 3046-3050.
- Barber, K.E., Ford, A.M., Harris, R.L., Harrison, C.J. & Moorman, A.V. (2004) MLL translocations with concurrent 3' deletions: interpretation of FISH results. Genes Chromosomes.Cancer, **41,** 266-271.
- Barber, K.E., Harrison, C.J., Broadfield, Z.J., Stewart, A.R., Wright, S.L., Martineau, M., Strefford, J.C. & Moorman, A.V. (2007) Molecular cytogenetic characterization of TCF3 (E2A)/19p13.3 rearrangements in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Genes Chromosomes.Cancer, **46,** 478-486.
- Basso, G., Veltroni, M., Valsecchi, M.G., Dworzak, M.N., Ratei, R., Silvestri, D., Benetello, A., Buldini, B., Maglia, O., Masera, G., Conter, V., Arico, M., Biondi, A. & Gaipa, G. (2009) Risk of relapse of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia is predicted by flow cytometric measurement of residual disease on day 15 bone marrow. J Clin Oncol, **27,** 5168-5174.
- Bruggemann, M., Schrauder, A., Raff, T., Pfeifer, H., Dworzak, M., Ottmann, O.G., Asnafi, V., Baruchel, A., Bassan, R., Benoit, Y., Biondi, A., Cave, H., Dombret, H., Fielding, A.K., Foa, R., Gokbuget, N., Goldstone, A.H., Goulden, N., Henze, G., Hoelzer, D., Janka-Schaub, G.E., Macintyre, E.A., Pieters, R., Rambaldi, A., Ribera, J.M., Schmiegelow, K., Spinelli, O., Stary, J., von Stackelberg, A., Kneba, M., Schrappe, M. & van Dongen, J.J. (2010) Standardized MRD quantification in European ALL trials: proceedings of the Second International Symposium on MRD assessment in Kiel, Germany, 18-20 September 2008. Leukemia, **24,** 521- 535.
- Bungaro, S., Dell'Orto, M.C., Zangrando, A., Basso, D., Gorletta, T., Lo Nigro, L., Leszl, A., Young, B.D., Basso, G., Bicciato, S., Biondi, A., te Kronnie, G. & Cazzaniga, G. (2009) Integration of genomic and gene expression data of childhood ALL without known aberrations identifies subgroups with specific genetic hallmarks. Genes Chromosomes Cancer, **48,** 22-38.

- Cario, G., Zimmermann, M., Romey, R., Gesk, S., Vater, I., Harbott, J., Schrauder, A., Moericke, A., Izraeli, S., Akasaka, T., Dyer, M.J., Siebert, R., Schrappe, M. & Stanulla, M. (2010) Presence of the P2RY8-CRLF2 rearrangement is associated with a poor prognosis in non-high-risk precursor B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia in children treated according to the ALL-BFM 2000 protocol. Blood.
- Chapiro, E., Russell, L., Lainey, E., Kaltenbach, S., Ragu, C., Della-Valle, V., Hanssens, K., Macintyre, E.A., Radford-Weiss, I., Delabesse, E., Cave, H., Mercher, T., Harrison, C.J., Nguyen-Khac, F., Dubreuil, P. & Bernard, O.A. (2009) Activating mutation in the TSLPR gene in B-cell precursor lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia.
- Chapiro, E., Russell, L., Radford-Weiss, I., Bastard, C., Lessard, M., Cave, H., Fert-Ferrer, S., Barin, C., Maarek, O., Della-Valle, V., Strefford, J.C., Berger, R., Harrison, C.J., Bernard, O.A., Nguyen-Khac, F. & for the Groupe Francophone de Cytogenetique, H. (2006) Overexpression of CEBPA resulting from the translocation t(14;19)(q32;q13) of human precursor B acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood, **10** 3560-3563.
- Coll-Mulet, L., Santidrian, A.F., Cosialls, A.M., Iglesias-Serret, D., de Frias, M., Grau, J., Menoyo, A., Gonzalez-Barca, E., Pons, G., Domingo, A. & Gil, J. (2008) Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification for detection of genomic alterations in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. Br J Haematol, **142,** 793-801.
- retique, H. (2006) Overexpression of CEBPA result in t(14;19)(q32;q13) of human precursor B acute lyn *Blood*, **10** 3560-3563.

tidrian, A.F., Cosialls, A.M., Iglesias-Serret, D., de F. Gonzalez-Barca, E., Ponzalez-Barca, Den Boer, M.L., van Slegtenhorst, M., De Menezes, R.X., Cheok, M.H., Buijs-Gladdines, J.G., Peters, S.T., Van Zutven, L.J., Beverloo, H.B., Van der Spek, P.J., Escherich, G., Horstmann, M.A., Janka-Schaub, G.E., Kamps, W.A., Evans, W.E. & Pieters, R. (2009) A subtype of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia with poor treatment outcome: a genome-wide classification study. Lancet Oncol, **10,** 125-134.
- Forestier, E., Holmgren, G. & Roos, G. (1998) Flow cytometric DNA index and karyotype in childhood lymphoblastic leukemia. Anal Cell Pathol, **17,** 145-156.
- Harewood, L., Robinson, H., Harris, R., Al Obaidi, M.J., Jalali, G.R., Martineau, M., Moorman, A.V., Sumption, N., Richards, S., Mitchell, C. & Harrison, C.J. (2003) Amplification of AML1 on a duplicated chromosome 21 in acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a study of 20 cases. Leukemia, **17,** 547-553.
- Harrison, C.J., Griffiths, M., Moorman, F., Schnittger, S., Cayuela, J.M., Shurtleff, S., Gottardi, E., Mitterbauer, G., Colomer, D., Delabesse, E., Casteras, V. & Maroc, N. (2007) A multicenter evaluation of comprehensive analysis of MLL translocations and fusion gene partners in acute leukemia using the MLL FusionChip device. Cancer Genet.Cytogenet., **173,** 17-22.
- Harrison, C.J., Moorman, A.V., Barber, K.E., Broadfield, Z.J., Cheung, K.L., Harris, R.L., Jalali, G.R., Robinson, H.M., Strefford, J.C., Stewart, A., Wright, S., Griffiths, M., Ross, F.M., Harewood, L. & Martineau, M. (2005) Interphase molecular cytogenetic screening for chromosomal abnormalities of prognostic significance in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: a UK Cancer Cytogenetics Group Study. British Journal of Haematology, **129,** 520-530.
- Harrison, C.J., Moorman, A.V., Broadfield, Z.J., Cheung, K.L., Harris, R.L., Reza, J.G., Robinson, H.M., Barber, K.E., Richards, S.M., Mitchell, C.D., Eden, T.O., Hann, I.M., Hill, F.G., Kinsey, S.E., Gibson, B.E., Lilleyman, J., Vora, A., Goldstone, A.H., Franklin, I.M., Durrant, J. & Martineau, M. (2004) Three distinct subgroups of hypodiploidy in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. British Journal of Haematology, **125,** 552-559.
- Harvey, R.C., Mullighan, C.G., Chen, I.M., Wharton, W., Mikhail, F.M., Carroll, A.J., Kang, H., Liu, W., Dobbin, K.K., Smith, M.A., Carroll, W.L., Devidas, M.,

 $\overline{\mathbf{7}}$ $\overline{8}$ $\boldsymbol{9}$

2
3
4
5
6
6

 $\mathbf{1}$

- Mullighan, C.G., Collins-Underwood, J.R., Phillips, L.A., Loudin, M.G., Liu, W., Zhang, J., Ma, J., Coustan-Smith, E., Harvey, R.C., Willman, C.L., Mikhail, F.M., Meyer, J., Carroll, A.J., Williams, R.T., Cheng, J., Heerema, N.A., Basso, G., Pession, A., Pui, C.H., Raimondi, S.C., Hunger, S.P., Downing, J.R., Carroll, W.L. & Rabin, K.R. (2009a) Rearrangement of CRLF2 in B-progenitor- and Down syndromeassociated acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat Genet, **41,** 1243-1246.
- Mullighan, C.G., Goorha, S., Radtke, I., Miller, C.B., Coustan-Smith, E., Dalton, J.D., Girtman, K., Mathew, S., Ma, J., Pounds, S.B., Su, X., Pui, C.H., Relling, M.V., Evans, W.E., Shurtleff, S.A. & Downing, J.R. (2007) Genome-wide analysis of genetic alterations in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Nature, **446,** 758-764.
- Mullighan, C.G., Su, X., Zhang, J., Radtke, I., Phillips, L.A., Miller, C.B., Ma, J., Liu, W., Cheng, C., Schulman, B.A., Harvey, R.C., Chen, I.M., Clifford, R.J., Carroll, W.L., Reaman, G., Bowman, W.P., Devidas, M., Gerhard, D.S., Yang, W., Relling, M.V., Shurtleff, S.A., Campana, D., Borowitz, M.J., Pui, C.H., Smith, M., Hunger, S.P., Willman, C.L. & Downing, J.R. (2009b) Deletion of IKZF1 and prognosis in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. N Engl J Med, **360,** 470-480.
- Nachman, J.B., Heerema, N.A., Sather, H., Camitta, B., Forestier, E., Harrison, C.J., Dastugue, N., Schrappe, M., Pui, C.H., Basso, G., Silverman, L.B. & Janka-Schaub, G.E. (2007) Outcome of treatment in children with hypodiploid acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood, **110,** 1112-1115.
- leff, S.A., Campana, D., Borowitz, M.J., Pui, C.H., San, C.L. & Downing, J.R. (2009b) Deletion of IKZF1
noblastic leukemia. *N Engl J Med*, **360**, 470-480.
eerema, N.A., Sather, H., Camitta, B., Forestier, E., I.
N., Schra Pieters, R., Schrappe, M., De, L.P., Hann, I., De, R.G., Felice, M., Hovi, L., Leblanc, T., Szczepanski, T., Ferster, A., Janka, G., Rubnitz, J., Silverman, L., Stary, J., Campbell, M., Li, C.K., Mann, G., Suppiah, R., Biondi, A., Vora, A. & Valsecchi, M.G. (2007) A treatment protocol for infants younger than 1 year with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (Interfant-99): an observational study and a multicentre randomised trial. Lancet, **370,** 240-250.
- Pui, C.H., Raimondi, S., Hancock, M.L., Rivera, G.K., Ribeiro, R.C., Mahmoud, H.H., Sabnlund, J.T., Crist, W.M. & Behm, F.G. (1994) Immunologic, cytogenetic, and clinical characterization of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia with the t(1;19)(q23;p13) or its derivative. J.Clin.Oncol., **12,** 2601-2606.
- Robinson, H.M., Broadfield, Z.J., Cheung, K.L., Harewood, L., Harris, R.L., Jalali, G.R., Martineau, M., Moorman, A.V., Taylor, K.E., Richards, S., Mitchell, C. & Harrison, C.J. (2003) Amplification of AML1 in acute lymphoblastic leukemia is associated with a poor outcome. Leukemia, **17,** 2249-2250.
- Robinson, H.M., Harrison, C.J., Moorman, A.V., Chudoba, I. & Strefford, J.C. (2007) Intrachromosomal amplification of chromosome 21 (iAMP21) may arise from a breakage-fusion-bridge cycle. Genes Chromosomes.Cancer, **46,** 318-326.
- Robinson, H.M., Martineau, M., Harris, R.L., Barber, K.E., Jalali, G.R., Moorman, A.V., Strefford, J.C., Broadfield, Z.J., Cheung, K.L. & Harrison, C.J. (2005) Derivative chromosome 9 deletions are a significant feature of childhood Philadelphia chromosome positive acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Leukemia, **19,** 564-571.
- Russell, L.J., Akasaka, T., Majid, A., Sugimoto, K.J., Loraine, K.E., Nagel, I., Harder, L., Claviez, A., Gesk, S., Moorman, A.V., Ross, F., Mazzullo, H., Strefford, J.C., Siebert, R., Dyer, M.J. & Harrison, C.J. (2008) t(6;14)(p22;q32): a new recurrent IGH@ translocation involving ID4 in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (BCP-ALL). Blood, **111,** 387-391.
- Russell, L.J., Capasso, M., Vater, I., Akasaka, T., Bernard, O.A., Calasanz, M.J., Chandrasekaran, T., Chapiro, E., Gesk, S., Griffiths, M., Guttery, D.S., Haferlach, C., Harder, L., Heidenreich, O., Irving, J., Kearney, L., Nguyen-Khac, F., Machado, L., Minto, L., Majid, A., Moorman, A.V., Morrison, H., Rand, V., Strefford, J.C., Schwab, C., Tonnies, H., Dyer, M.J., Siebert, R. & Harrison, C.J.

(2009a) Deregulated expression of cytokine receptor gene, CRLF2, is involved in lymphoid transformation in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood, **114,** 2688-2698.

- Russell, L.J., De Castro, D.G., Griffiths, M., Telford, N., Bernard, O., Panzer-Grumayer, R., Heidenreich, O., Moorman, A.V. & Harrison, C.J. (2009b) A novel translocation, t(14;19)(q32;p13), involving IGH@ and the cytokine receptor for erythropoietin. Leukemia, **23,** 614-617.
- Schouten, J.P., McElgunn, C.J., Waaijer, R., Zwijnenburg, D., Diepvens, F. & Pals, G. (2002) Relative quantification of 40 nucleic acid sequences by multiplex ligationdependent probe amplification. Nucleic Acids Res, **30,** e57.
- Schultz, K.R., Pullen, D.J., Sather, H.N., Shuster, J.J., Devidas, M., Borowitz, M.J., Carroll, A.J., Heerema, N.A., Rubnitz, J.E., Loh, M.L., Raetz, E.A., Winick, N.J., Hunger, S.P., Carroll, W.L., Gaynon, P.S. & Camitta, B.M. (2007) Risk- and response-based classification of childhood B-precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a combined analysis of prognostic markers from the Pediatric Oncology Group (POG) and Children's Cancer Group (CCG). Blood, **109,** 926- 935.
- Schwab, C.J., Jones, L.R., Yigittop, H., Schouten, J.P. & Harrison, C.J. (2010) Evaluation of Multiplex Ligation-dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA) as a method for the detection of copy number changes in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Genes Chromosomes and Cancer.
- Soulier, J., Trakhtenbrot, L., Najfeld, V., Lipton, J.M., Mathew, S., Avet-Loiseau, H., De Braekeleer, M., Salem, S., Baruchel, A., Raimondi, S.C. & Raynaud, S.D. (2003) Amplification of band q22 of chromosome 21, including AML1, in older children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: an emerging molecular cytogenetic subgroup. Leukemia, **17,** 1679-1682.
- Stark, B., Jeison, M., Gobuzov, R., Krug, H., Glaser-Gabay, L., Luria, D., El Hasid, R., Harush, M.B., Avrahami, G., Fisher, S., Stein, J., Zaizov, R. & Yaniv, I. (2001) Near haploid childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia masked by hyperdiploid line: detection by fluorescence in situ hybridization. Cancer Genetics and Cytogenetics, **128,** 108-113.
- ased classification of childhood B-precursor acute ly

combined analysis of prognostic markers from the

Froup (POG) and Children's Cancer Group (CCG). *E*

S., L.R., Yigittop, H., Schouten, J.P. & Harrison, C.J.

S., L.R. Strefford, J.C., Van Delft, F.W., Robinson, H.M., Worley, H., Yiannikouris, O., Selzer, R., Richmond, T., Hann, I., Bellotti, T., Raghavan, M., Young, B.D., Saha, V. & Harrison, C.J. (2006) Complex genomic alterations and gene expression in acute lymphoblastic leukemia with intrachromosomal amplification of chromosome 21. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A, **103,** 8167-8172.
- Strefford, J.C., Worley, H., Barber, K., Wright, S., Stewart, A.R., Robinson, H.M., Bettney, G., Van Delft, F.W., Atherton, M.G., Davies, T., Griffiths, M., Hing, S., Ross, F.M., Talley, P., Saha, V., Moorman, A.V. & Harrison, C.J. (2007) Genome complexity in acute lymphoblastic leukemia is revealed by array-based comparative genomic hybridization. Oncogene, **26,** 4306-4318.
- van der Does-van den Berg, A., Bartram, C.R., Basso, G., Benoit, Y.C., Biondi, A., Debatin, K.M., Haas, O.A., Harbott, J., Kamps, W.A., Koller, U. & et al. (1992) Minimal requirements for the diagnosis, classification, and evaluation of the treatment of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) in the "BFM Family" Cooperative Group. Med Pediatr Oncol, **20,** 497-505.
- van der Velden, V.H., Cazzaniga, G., Schrauder, A., Hancock, J., Bader, P., Panzer-Grumayer, E.R., Flohr, T., Sutton, R., Cave, H., Madsen, H.O., Cayuela, J.M., Trka, J., Eckert, C., Foroni, L., Zur Stadt, U., Beldjord, K., Raff, T., van der Schoot, C.E. & van Dongen, J.J. (2007) Analysis of minimal residual disease by

Ig/TCR gene rearrangements: guidelines for interpretation of real-time quantitative PCR data. Leukemia, **21,** 604-611.

Yoda, A., Yoda, Y., Chiaretti, S., Bar-Natan, M., Mani, K., Rodig, S.J., West, N., Xiao, Y., Brown, J.R., Mitsiades, C., Sattler, M., Kutok, J.L., DeAngelo, D.J., Wadleigh, M., Piciocchi, A., Dal Cin, P., Bradner, J.E., Griffin, J.D., Anderson, K.C., Stone, R.M., Ritz, J., Foa, R., Aster, J.C., Frank, D.A. & Weinstock, D.M. (2009) Functional screening identifies CRLF2 in precursor B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, **107,** 252-257.

12

Table 1: Priority of genetic abnormalities for testing in childhood BCP-ALL

*in priority order

**may change to high priority, need to assess alongside CRLF2 and JAK mutations.

 42 4344

45

Table 2: Example of a targeted FISH approach to detect the most significant chromosomal abnormalities in BCP-ALL

 $\mathbf{1}$

$\overline{2}$

Figure 1

are seen in interpriase. d) in this metaphase from a
the three copies of chromosome 21 are shown ead
se cells from a patient with intrachromosomal amplif
AMP21). The red signal corresponding to the norma
iist the multiple This figure shows the application of a probe specific for the *ETV6-RUNX1* fusion, *ETV6* is labelled in green and $RUNX1$ in red. In a case with the $ETV6-RUNK1$ fusion (a; interphase, b; metaphase) there is one green signal corresponding to the normal $ETV6$ gene on chromosome 12, one red signal corresponding to the normal RUNX1 gene on chromosome 21 and a diminished red signal relocated to the derived chromosome 12. A red green fusion signal is present on the derived chromosome 21. c) In a cell from a patient with high hyperdiploidy and four copies of chromosome 21, four red signals and two green signals are seen in interphase. d) In this metaphase from a different case with high hyperdiploidy, the three copies of chromosome 21 are shown each with a red signal. e) Interphase cells from a patient with intrachromosomal amplification of chromosome 21 (iAMP21). The red signal corresponding to the normal chromosome 21 is located apart, whilst the multiple signals of the abnormal chromosome 21 are clustered. f) A metaphase from a patient with iAMP21 showing the duplication of red signals along the abnormal chromosome 21 and the single signal on the normal chromosome 21.

Figure 2

An algorithm indicating the priority for genetic testing based on risk stratification. The best method for detection of hypodiploidy (near-haploidy/low hypodiploidy) is cytogenetics. For detection of BCR-ABL1, MLL (MLL-AFF1) and ETV6-RUNX1 (green boxes) RT-PCR or FISH may be used, however alternative MLL partners and the detection of high hyperdiploidy and iAMP21 requires FISH. This approach will ensure the identification of the high risk abnormalities (red boxes) and indicate the good risk abnormalities if required (yellow boxes).

Figure 1

This figure shows the application of a probe specific for the ETV6-RUNX1 fusion, ETV6 is labelled in green and RUNX1 in red. In a case with the ETV6-RUNX1 fusion (a; interphase, b; metaphase) there is one green signal corresponding to the normal ETV6 gene on chromosome 12, one red signal corresponding to the normal $RUNXI$ gene on chromosome 21 and a diminished red signal relocated to the derived chromosome 12. A red green fusion signal is present on the derived chromosome 21. c) In a cell from a patient with high hyperdiploidy and four copies of chromosome 21, four red signals and two green signals are seen in interphase. d) In this metaphase from a different case with high hyperdiploidy, the three copies of chromosome 21 are shown each with a red signal. e) Interphase cells from a patient with intrachromosomal amplification of chromosome 21 (iAMP21). The red signal corresponding to the normal chromosome 21 is located apart, whilst the multiple signals of the abnormal chromosome 21 are clustered. f) A metaphase from a patient with iAMP21

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$ $\overline{7}$

showing the duplication of red signals along the abnormal chromosome 21 and the single signal on the normal chromosome 21.

190x254mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Figure 2

An algorithm indicating the priority for genetic testing based on risk stratification. The best method for detection of hypodiploidy (near-haploidy/low hypodiploidy) is cytogenetics. For detection of BCR-ABL1, MLL (MLL-AFF1) and ETV6-RUNX1 (green boxes) RT-PCR or FISH may be used, however alternative MLL partners and the detection of high hyperdiploidy and iAMP21 requires FISH. This approach will ensure the identification of the high risk abnormalities (red boxes) and indicate the good risk abnormalities if required (yellow boxes).

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)

 $\overline{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{5}$ $\overline{6}$ $\overline{7}$ $\bf8$ $\boldsymbol{9}$

