

Effects of a nuclear power plant thermal discharge on habitat complexity and fish community structure in Ilha Grande Bay, Brazil

Tatiana Pires Teixeira, Leonardo Mitrano Neves, Francisco Gerson Araújo

▶ To cite this version:

Tatiana Pires Teixeira, Leonardo Mitrano Neves, Francisco Gerson Araújo. Effects of a nuclear power plant thermal discharge on habitat complexity and fish community structure in Ilha Grande Bay, Brazil. Marine Environmental Research, 2009, 68 (4), pp.188. 10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.06.004 . hal-00563082

HAL Id: hal-00563082 https://hal.science/hal-00563082

Submitted on 4 Feb 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Effects of a nuclear power plant thermal discharge on habitat complexity and fish community structure in Ilha Grande Bay, Brazil

Tatiana Pires Teixeira, Leonardo Mitrano Neves, Francisco Gerson Araújo

PII:	S0141-1136(09)00069-5
DOI:	10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.06.004
Reference:	MERE 3346
To appear in:	Marine Environmental Research
Received Date:	23 July 2008
Revised Date:	7 April 2009
Accepted Date:	2 June 2009



Please cite this article as: Teixeira, T.P., Neves, L.M., Araújo, F.G., Effects of a nuclear power plant thermal discharge on habitat complexity and fish community structure in Ilha Grande Bay, Brazil, *Marine Environmental Research* (2009), doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.06.004

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Effects of a nuclear power plant thermal discharge on habitat complexity and fish community structure in Ilha Grande Bay, Brazil

Tatiana Pires Teixeira^a, Leonardo Mitrano Neves^a, Francisco Gerson Araújo^{a,*}

^aUniversidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Laboratório de Ecologia de Peixes, Km 47, Antiga Rodovia Rio – São Paulo, 23851-970, Seropédica, RJ, Brazil

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +55 21 94541989; fax: +55 21 37873983;

E-mail address: gerson@ufrrj.br (F. G., Araújo)

ABSTRACT

Fish communities and habitat structures were evaluated by underwater visual censuses a rocky location impacted by thermal discharge (I) and at two control locations, one in a *Sargassum* bed (C1) and the other in a rocky shore with higher structural complexity (C2). Habitat indicators and fish communities exhibited significant differences between the impacted and control locations, with the impacted one showing a significant decrease in fish species richness and diversity, as well as a decrease in benthic cover. At the I location, only 13 fish species were described, and the average water temperature was 32 ± 0.4 °C, compared with 44 species at C1 (25.9 ± 0.3 °C) and 33 species at C2 (24.6 ± 0.2 °C). Significant differences in fish communities among locations were found by ANOSIM with *Eucinostomus argenteus*, *Mugil* sp and *Haemulon steindachneri* typical of location I, while *Abudefduf saxatilis*, *Stegastes fuscus* and *Malacoctenus delalandi* were typical of the control locations. Our study shows that thermal pollution alters benthic cover and influences fish assemblages by altering composition and decreasing richness.

Keywords: thermal pollution, community composition, fish, visual census, rocky shore, habitat, Brazil.

1. Introduction

Fish assemblages are widely used in applied ecology to detect human impact on marine environments (Warwick and Clarke, 1993; Contador, 2005). Some variations in species assemblages can allow early detection and monitoring of human impacts on natural ecosystems.

Temperature is a crucial environmental factor affecting marine organisms and ecosystems. It affects the distribution of populations on both small and large geographical scales (Wilson 1981), and determines the structure of communities and ecosystems (Glynn 1988) by affecting the physiological processes and behavior of fish species (Dembski et al. 2006). Reefs and marine ecosystems around the world are exposed to the effects of thermal phenomena such as global warming, El Niño and localized thermal pollutants (Forchhammer et al., 2000). Heated effluents introduced on the marine environment may induce dramatic and unpredictable effects, depending on the amount and temperature of discharged material, as well as the climatic, hydrological and biological features of the local environment (Lardicci et al., 1999). Fish are mobile, and most can migrate to safe areas when chronic low levels of heat pollution. However, many of their food sources (i.e. corals, sponges, macroalgae, etc) are sessile, and may be adversely affected. Apart from simply leaving an area, reef fish may be indirectly affected by the decreasing quality of food resources. Given the intensity and frequency of human disturbance and the ecological importance of coastal areas, it is critically important to understand the different aspects of the thermal effect on tropical rock shore

organisms. Although there have been numerous studies performed on the impacts of heat pollution on coral reef environments (Roberts and Ormond 1987, Chabanet et al. 1997, Öhman and Rajasuriya 1998), little is known about the impact of thermal modification on tropical rocky shores.

Changes in water temperature caused by power station thermal discharge affect fish assemblages by decreasing species richness (Rong-Quen et al., 2001). Furthermore, rocky shores have a variety of microhabitats, which increase fish diversity (Luckhurst and Luckhurst, 1978). Thermally polluted rocky substrate may be unable to support sessile invertebrates or macroalgal vegetation and will have a negative impact on fish using the habitat for shelter, food, nesting and juvenile settlement. A decrease in habitat complexity can also decrease species richness.

The southeastern coast of Brazil does not support any true coral reefs, and rocky shores are the main habitat for reef fish and reef-associated biota (Floeter et al., 2007). The Ilha Grande Bay, a relatively well-preserved coastal system, is exposed to the thermal discharge of the Brazilian Nuclear Power Plant (BNPP), and provides a unique opportunity to evaluate thermal effects on the fish community. The current study will contribute to an early assessment of the global warming and some specific changes in the rocky habitat structure.

In the present study, tropical rock reef fish were sampled in a location exposed to thermal discharge and at two controls areas of natural thermal conditions in order to evaluate the effect of thermal pollution on habitat structure of local fish assemblages. All sites have similar dimensions, type of substrate and depth. It was hypothesized that thermal pollution alters habitat structure changing fish assemblages. We focused on two specific research goals: to find out whether the cooling power station alters the habitat structure, and whether fish assemblages in this location shift in composition and

richness, due to the thermal effect. In addition, we determined whether both control locations varied in structural complexity and, consequently, in fish assemblage composition.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Study area

The study was conducted at a rocky shore in Ilha Grande bay, close to the discharge water of the Brazilian Nuclear Power Plant (BNPP), the only one in Brazil. The plant began commercial operation in 1985 and consists of two sections, producing 600MW and 1300MW, respectively, and the cooling water flow discharge into the sea is 40 m³s⁻¹ and 80 m³s⁻¹, respectively, from each of the sections. Three study locations were chosen for sampling near BNPP (Fig. 1).

The mean temperature of the seawater surface ranged from 29.5 °C in winter to 36.5 °C in summer at a distance of approximately 100 m from the outfall in the impacted location (I). The thermal effluent produced a mean increase of 8°C in the discharge area (Bandeira et al., 2003). Two control locations (C1 and C2) with similar depth (1 – 2.5 m) were chosen at approximately 10 km (C1) and 9 km (C2) from the impacted location (I), following the coastline. Surface temperature was measured to be 23°C in winter to 28 °C in summer. Salinity was found to be 34.5 (\pm 1.2 SE) in all locations, consistent throughout the year.

Fig 1

2.2. Sampling design and methods

Our sampling methods allowed an in-depth comparison of the rock reef fish community features, and their relationship with local habitat structures, both at a location close to the BNPP effluent outlet, and at two undisturbed control locations. Monthly surveys were conducted between October 2005 and July 2006. The impacted location was chosen on the basis of high temperature (a mean value of 7 °C higher than the control locations).

2.3. Habitat structure

The study area is characterized by rocky shores covered by granitic boulders and a sandy bottom. All three study locations (C1, C2 and I) are typically rocky shores. The first area is characterized by *Sargassum* beds (C1), the second exhibits a comparatively more complex habitat structure (C2), and the third is impacted by heated effluent discharge (I), with the presence of rock rubble in some places.

Quadrants of 2 m² were used to quantify the variables describing physical structure. The crevices in each quadrant were counted and pooled into three size classes (Ca = < 30 cm; Cb = 30 cm - 1 m; Cc = > 1 m), as well as the rocks, which were also counted and pooled into four size classes (Ra = <30 cm; Rb = 30 cm - 1 m; Rc = 1 m - 3 m; Rd = > 3 m). In each area, a visual census estimated the percent benthic cover of the *Sargassum* (Phaeophyta, Fucales), other Phaeophyta algae, *Palythoa* (a genus of colonial cnidarians, Order Zoanthidea), encrusting calcareous algae, branched calcareous algae, sponges, barnacles, vermetids (genus *Petaloconchus*), bare rocks, sand and shell-sand patches.

2.4. Rocky fish

The composition and relative abundance of fish species were surveyed using the non-destructive visual census diving transect technique adapted from Sale (1997). The technique was selected as the most appropriate for surveying both smaller specimens and fast-swimming species (Harmelin-Vivien and Francour, 1992). Underwater visual censuses were performed by SCUBA diving and snorkeling during a census parallel to the coastline along transects 30 m long and 3 m wide. Transects were each observed twice. The first time, the observer recorded the conspicuous species, and the second time focused the search beneath rocks and in all crevices to observe the more cryptic species (Aburto-Opereza and Balart, 2001). Surveys were carried out in shallow waters (0.5 to 2.5 m) because benthic communities in these areas are generally primary productors with relevant secondary production, and they maintain the richest ichthyofauna (Bouchereau and Lam Hoaï, 1997; Methven et al., 2001). Three repeated censuses were performed at each of the three locations during the ten field trips, yielding a total of 90 samples.

2.5. Data analysis

Patterns of fish data were assessed by non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) using the Bray-Curtis measure of similarity. A fourth root transformation (Field et al., 1982) was performed on the abundance data prior to the analyses. Differences in fish communities between locations were performed using "Analysis of Similarities" (ANOSIM), and the "Similarity of Percentage" procedure (SIMPER) was employed to identify the contribution of each species to differences between sites (Clarke, 1993).

The Shannon–Wiener (H') index described differences in the heterogeneity of each community. These analyses were performed with the software package PRIMER (Plymouth Routines Multivariate Ecological Research) (Clarke and Warwick, 1994).

The mean value and the standard error of each habitat descriptor were calculated for each location. Differences in habitat descriptors were compared among the three locations using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a *post-hoc* multiple comparisons Tukey HSD test. A principal component analysis (PCA; correlation matrix) on the log-transformed (x + 1) environmental variables matrix was performed to detect any spatial pattern. For this later analysis, only descriptors that show differences between locations were used. ANOVA and PCA were conducted using Statistica 7.1 software (StatSoft, Inc., 2005).

3. Results

3.1. Habitat complexity

The impacted location (I) consisted mainly of bare rock, rock rubble and branched calcareous algae. Rock rubble and branched calcareous algae were found exclusively in this habitat. The C1 location was characterized by coverage of *Sargassum*, encrusting calcareous algae and sponge colonies, while C2 consisted mainly of crevices and rocks, with coverage by *Palythoa* and several types of Phaeophyta algae (Table 1).

The results of the PCA analysis show the relation between geographic locations and habitat descriptors (Fig. 2). The PCA of habitat descriptors is shown in Table 2, with the two first axes accounting for 43% of the total variance. The first axis summarizes thermal influence on benthic cover between locations. In C2, the density of Phaeophyta and encrusting calcareous algae was highest, while opportunistic branched calcareous

The second PCA axis corresponded to the influence of the *Sargassum* bed on structural complexity (Fig. 2). The sample scores for the second axis were positively correlated with *Sargassum* (little physical structure), and negatively correlated with crevices, *Palythoa* and other types of Phaeophyta algae (considerable physical structure). Locations I and C2 were separated from C1 along this axis.

Tables 1 and 2, Fig 2

3.2. Fish community

A total of 44 species and 31 families were recorded throughout the study period (Table 3). Mugilids were not identified at species level due to the difficulty of specific determination by visual observation. Five families showed a high prevalence of species: Chaetodontidae, Pomacentridae and Haemulidae, Blenniidae and Labrisomidae. The twelve most abundant species accounted for 94% of all the fish, each one contributing more than 0.1% of relative abundance: *Eucinostomus argenteus, Abudefduf saxatilis, Haemulon steindachneri, Sardinella janeiro, Diplodus argenteus, Mugil sp, Stegastes fuscus, Orthopristis rubber, Malacocteus delalandi, Chaetodon striatus, Pempheris schomburgkii, Sphoeroides greeleyi. The most abundant species in C1 was S. janeiro, in C2 was A. saxatilis, and in I was E. argenteus.*

Table 3

3.3. Comparison of fish between the studied locations

A significant decrease in the mean species richness was described at site I compared to the control locations ($F = 45.83^{**}$, p < 0.05; Fig. 3), although no difference was found in total fish abundance between the three locations. A greater number of species was recorded at the two control sites (C1 = 40; C2 = 33) compared to I (13). These differences were due to 18 fish that were only observed at the control sites. Eleven species were common to the three locations and no species were exclusive to site I.

The Shannon-Wiener diversity index showed significant differences between I and the controls ($F = 19.82^{**}$, p < 0.05). This trend was inversely related to temperature (Fig. 4).

Figs 3 and 4

ANOSIM showed significant differences (R = 0.592, p < 0.01) between locations (Table 4). *Post-hoc* pairwise comparisons exposed significant differences between I and the controls (C1 and C2), although the two controls did not significantly differ from one another. The MDS configuration of individual replicates (Fig. 5) shows that the fish community in site I is clearly distinct from those recorded at the two controls, with the latter grouping indistinguishably. SIMPER provided evidence that *E. argenteus* and *A. saxatilis* mostly contributed to the dissimilarity between each control and I (Table 4).

SIMPER analysis identified the species that made the greatest contribution to the fish composition similarities within the locations (Table 5). Within C1, Pomacentridae *S. fuscus* (12%), *A. saxatilis* (17.8%) and Labrisomidae *M. delalandi* (16.8%) were responsible for most of the similarity within this location. In C2, *A. saxatilis* (25%), *S. fuscus* (26%) made the greatest contribution to the average similarity. In total, these two Pomacentrids accounted for over 30% of the average similarity for C1, and 51% for C2.

The most prominent species in I were *E. argenteus* and *Mugil* sp, which contributed 42.71% and 19.3% respectively to the average similarity. These species were nearly absent in the control locations. Another important species in this analysis was *H. steindachneri*, which contributed to average similarity for all locations, particularly in site I.

Table 4 and 5, Fig. 5

4. Discussion

4.1. Habitat complexity

This study provides compelling evidence that heated effluents can affect habitat structure and, consequently, community structure and spatial distribution. The heatimpacted location showed low benthic cover and was characterized mainly by bare rock. In addition, vermitids were found only at I, indicating that these organisms are opportunistic and tolerant to high temperatures (>30 °C). Thermal discharge has been shown to reduce species richness of algal and zoobenthic communities, to increase the abundance of the so-called opportunistic or ephemeral species and to alter the population dynamics of the most abundant species (Devinny, 1980; Mahadevan, 1980; Verlaque et al., 1981; Bamber and Spencer, 1984; Suresh et al., 1993; Qian et al., 1993). However, Lardicci et al. (1999) studied the influence of thermal discharge on spatial variability and abundance of meiobenthic and macrobenthic communities in the Gulf of Follonica (Western Mediterranean), and found that heated effluent did not appear to influence community structure or spatial distribution of the study taxa. Vilanova et al. (2004) studied the effect of thermal discharge on sponge community in an area

 influenced by the BNPP, and found that species richness, diversity and community density were lower than in control locations. Overall, sessile benthic organisms have been reported as particularly susceptible to heated effluents, and an increase of a few degrees centigrade can threaten their survival (Laws, 1993; Logue et al., 1995). According to Mate (1997), lethal temperatures to reef corals exist within or below the 30-35° C range, which is often reached in the impacted location by BNPP, suggesting that thermal pollution is the main factor in reducing composition and abundance of benthic assemblages.

Other factors introduced by BNPP, including chlorine pollutants and outflow currents, may also influence community density and/or richness in this area. Chlorine is added to the power station cooling water for antibiotic purposes (Jenner et al., 1997), and chlorination byproducts (CBPs) may act as biocides once the water is introduced into the ocean. The chemical reactivity and biocidal properties of these oxidants are well known, and, in principle, both micro- and macro-biota can be inhibited on any given site. Additionally, many benthic marine plants and animals release propagules that serve as the organism's dispersal mechanism. Although propagules are able to explore and select an appropriate settlement site, a wide range of flow regimes exist under which these organisms are unable to explore the surface (Abelson and Denny, 1997). Pawlik and Butman (1993) observed the "erosion" of larvae from the bed at shear velocities (measuring the magnitude and correlation of turbulent fluctuations in velocity near the substratum) higher than 1.03 cm s^{-1} . Under such flow conditions, propagule swimming is entirely ineffective.

Physical structure has been directly correlated with species richness. Characteristics including rock size, hollows and bottom types provide the organisms with shelter and reproductive habitat. Most studies on habitat complexity have examined the effects of

both habitat variables (abiotic physical structure and biotic benthic cover) on fish communities, without discriminating the influence of each variable separately. Physical structure such as rugosity (topographic complexity) is reliable predictor of fish diversity and, to a lesser extent to their abundance (Chabanet el al., 1997). Ferreira et al. (2001) found rugosity to be a poor descriptor of fish density in rocky shore habitats. In the present work the physical structure was assessed by counting and measuring crevices and rocks in order to have a more detailed information on the habitat.

Sargassum bed was the main factor differentiating structural complexity between the two control locations, with lower structural complexity (physical structure) at C1, and higher complexity (physical and benthic cover) at C2. The impacted location showed physical structure comparable to the control location C2, and no significant differences were found in the number of crevices and size of rocks present. However, the locations clearly differed in benthic cover, which was significantly higher at C2. Benthic cover forms a complex framework and supports a variety of microhabitats, thus increasing the potential for fish diversity. Laegdsgaard and Johnson (2001) added artificial mangrove structures to field areas, and found that the increase in fish number was relatively small compared to unstructured areas. However, introduction of artificial structures with accumulated algae attracted approximately four times the number of juvenile fish than either bare stakes or no structure. Many forms of vegetation allow the growth and accumulation of small invertebrates (Stoner, 1982; Robertson, 1984; Lubbers et al., 1990; Schneider and Mann, 1991; Ornellas and Coutinho, 1998), which are an important food source for many juvenile fish species. In the present work, benthic cover, rather than the physical structure, was found to be the underlying factor correlating with fish species richness at the control sites, since a low number of species was found at site I even though high physical structure was present at that location.

Correlation is less consistent between habitat structural complexity and fish abundance (Friedlander and Parrish, 1998), although it has been suggested that fish abundance on different reefs increases with structural complexity (Gladfelter et al., 1980). According to Laegdsgaard and Johnson (2001), structural complexity alone may not be greatly attractive to juvenile fish independently of the structure benefits, including shelter or increased surface area for food accumulation. Habitat descriptors showed a little influence on fish community structure in the controls, since most species, with few exceptions, were found to be present in both locations in similar abundance. The ANOSIM showed low R-values in comparing C1 and C2, and the MDS confirmed this trend by showing the control locations scattered mainly along the right side of the diagram (Fig. 5).

4.2. Fish communities

Heated effluent appears to influence the fish community structure and the eco-spatial distribution in BNPP area. A thermal discharge effect was detected with the impacted location (I) significantly different from the controls, which exhibited the highest number of fish species. Species richness did not differ between the controls, and was 2.5 to 3-fold higher at the controls than at I. Previous studies examining environmental impacts on fish communities have reported conflicting results. Rong-Quen et al. (2001) performed long-term monitoring of reef fish communities around a nuclear power station, found some inconsistency in the temporal variations of the local fish communities. Since water temperature variations are minor at underwater stations, such temporal variations may be due to natural environmental fluctuations.

 Low species richness in the impacted location may be due to the low benthic cover availability, and the resulting limited food availability. With exception of *H. steindachneri*, which is typically associated with rocky shores (Ferreira et al., 2001; Floeter et al. 2007), the abundant species *E. argenteus* and *Mugil* sp have been reported mostly in sandy banks and muddy flat areas, respectively (Benetti and Neto, 1991; Alvarez-Lajonchere et al., 1992; Chaves and Otto, 1999; Gaelzer and Zalmon, 2003). These species are likely specialized to exploit the available resources in high temperature areas where others species are not able to thrive.

Our description of species compositions provides evidence that opportunistic or tolerant species are present at the impacted location. *E. argenteus*, a genera considered tolerant of thermal stress, was the most abundant species near the power station discharge. In addition, *Mugil* sp and *H. steindachneri* were observed frequently in high numbers at I. Mora and Ospina (2001) determined the critical thermal maximum (CTM) of reef fish from the tropical eastern Pacific and found that the CTMs ranged from 36 °C for *H. steindachneri*, 38 °C for *Eucinostomus gracilis* and 40.8 °C for *Mugil curema*. According to Urban (1994), reef fish are more tolerant on increased temperatures than invertebrates such as bivalves and corals, which almost entirely disappeared from the impacted location.

Effect of thermal discharge on freshwater fish has also been studied in temperate areas. Luksiene and Sandström (1994) documented recruitment failure in a roach (*Rutilus rutilus*) population exposed to cooling water from a Swedish nuclear power plant. Madenjian et al. (1986), studying abundance of alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*) and yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) in a nuclear power plant area in southeastern Lake Michigan found a significant decrease in abundance at the plant-discharge transect relative to the reference transect when plant operation began. The impacts of thermal

effluent from a nuclear power plant on the smallmouth bass *Micropterus dolomieui* population in Baie du Doré, Lake Huron was also detected by Shuter et al. (1985), who observed negative effects on growth and spawning timing.

5. Conclusions and future concerns

This study showed that there are different responses of fish assemblage structures, community attributes (species richness and total number of fish), and fish abundance to thermal impact. Heated effluent affected fish assemblage structure at a small spatial scale, and also affected benthic cover, which indirectly impacted the fish community. As observed in this study, areas with no impact by heated water didn't show the benthic cover having significant effect on fish community structure. Conversely, in thermally impacted areas, shifts in the composition and structure of the communities are common and severe. As a rule, heated effluent effect on water temperature is a point-source disturbance that gradually decreases at higher distances from the outflow site. In this area, even though the outflow volumes are comparatively small (40 m³s⁻¹ and 80 m³s⁻¹), their effects were observed as far as 500-600 m from the impacted site.

Our study confirms that thermal pollution induces changes in the marine environment. This is the first result from a South American tropical bay. Our results provide compelling evidence that the benthic cover reduction caused by heated effluent affects fish communities and populations, and the potential consequences that need to be thoroughly investigated. This negative ecological impact can be controlled and mitigated by management and monitoring plans. In addition, our results may provide a critical assessment of potential impacts of global warming on costal shallow waters, which has already been associated with negative changes in several marine populations.

Acknowledgements

We thank André Luiz Balbino dos Santos and Hamilton Hissa Pereira for their help in the field work. We are particularly grateful to Áurea Maria de Oliveira Teixeira for invaluable laboratory activities. Condominio Barlavento harbors the boat and equipments to perform the visual census. This study was partially financed by CNPq -Brazilian National Counsel for Research Development (Proc. 474813-03-7).

References

Abelson, A., Denny, M. 1997. Settlement of marine organisms in flow. Annual Review of Ecological Systems 28, 317–339.

Aburto-Opereza, O., Balart, E.F. 2001. Community structure of reef fish in several habitats of a rocky reef in the Gulf of Califórnia. Marine Ecology 22, 283–305.

Alvarez-Lajonchere, L., Trewavas, E., Howes, G.J. 1992. *Mugil curema* and *Mugil liza* Valenciennes in Cuvier and Valenciennes, 1836 (Osteichthyes, Perciformes): proposed conservation of the specific names. Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature 49, 271–275.

Bamber, R.N., Spencer, J.F. 1984. The benthos of a coastal power station thermal discharge canal. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 64, 603–623.

- Benetti, D.D., Neto, E.B.F. 1991. Preliminary results on growth of mullets (*Mugil liza* and *Mugil curema*) fed artificial diets. World-Aquaculture 22, 55–57.
- Bouchereau, J.L., Lam Hoaï, T. 1997. The ichthyofauna of the Lavezzi Island (Corsica, France) at depths between 0 and 1m: inventory, quantitative evaluation and recolonisation after experimental destruction. Oceanological Studies 2-3, 191–207.
- Chabanet, P., Ralambondrainy, H., Amanieu, M., Faure, G., Galzin, R. 1997. Relationships between coral reef substrata and fish. Coral Reefs 16, 93–102.
- Chaves, P.T.C., Otto, G .1999. The mangrove as a temporary habitat for fish: The *Eucinostomus* species at Guaratuba Bay, Brazil (25°52'S; 48°39'W). Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology 42, 61–68.

Clarke, K.R. 1993. Non parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. Australian Journal of Ecology 18, 117–143.

Clarke, K.R., Warwick, R.M., 1994. Change in Marine Communities. An Approach to Statistical Analysis and Interpretation. Natural Environment Research Council, Plymouth, UK, 144 pp.

Contador, J.F.L., 2005. Adaptive management, monitoring, and the ecological sustainability of a thermal-polluted water ecosystem: a case in SW Spain. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 104, 19–35.

- Dembski, S., Masson, G., Monnier, D., Wagner, P., Pihan, J.C. 2006. Consequences of elevated temperatures of life-history traits of an introduced fish, pumpkinseed *Lepomis gibbosus*. Journal of Fish Biology 69, 331–346.
- Devinny, J.S. 1980. Effects of thermal effluents on communities of benthic marine macroalgae. Journal of Environmental Management 11, 225–242.
- Ferreira, C.E.L., Gonçalves, J.E.A., Coutinho, R. 2001. Community structure of fishes and habitat complexity on a tropical rocky shore. Environmental Biology of Fishes 61, 353– 369.
- Field, J.G., Clarke, K.R., Warwick, R.M. 1982. A practical strategy for analysing multispecies distribution patterns. Marine Ecology Progress Series 8, 37–52.

Floeter, S.R., Krohling, W., Gasparine, J., Ferreira, C.E.L., Zalmon I. 2007. Reef fish community structure on coastal islands of the southeastern Brazil: the influence of exposure and benthic cover. Environmental Biology of Fishes 78, 147–160.

Forchhammer, M.C., Pots, E., Kozlov, M.V., Hughes, L. 2000. Climatic signatures in ecology. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 15, 286-287.

Friedlander, A.M., Parrish, J.D. 1998. Habitat characteristics affecting fish assemblages on a Hawaiian coral reef. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 26, 65–84.

- Gaelzer, L.R, Zalmon, I.R . 2003. The influence of wave gradient on the ichthyofauna of southeastern Brazil: Focusing the community structure in surf-zone. Journal of Coastal Research 35, 456–462.
- Gladfelter, W.B., Ogden, J.C., Gladfelter, E.H. 1980. Similarity and diversity among coral reef communities: A comparison between tropical western Atlantic (Virgin Islands) and tropical central Pacific (Marshall Islands) patch reefs. Ecology 61, 1156–1168.
- Glynn, P.W.1988. El Niño-Southern Oscillatio 1982-1983: near-shore population, community, and ecosystem responses. Annual Review of Ecological Systems 19, 309– 345.
- Harmelin-Vivien, M.L., Francour, P. 1992. Trawling or visual censuses? Methodological bias in the assessement of fish populations in seagrass beds. Marine Ecology 13, 41–51.

Jenner, H.A., Taylor, C.J.L., Donk, M. van, Khalanski, M. 1997. Chlorination by products in chlorinated cooling water of some European costal power station. Marine Environmental Research 43, 279–293.

Laegdsgaard, P., Johnson, C. 2001. Why do juvenile fish utilise mangrove habitats? Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 257, 229–253.

Lardicci, C., Rossi, F., Maltagliati, F. 1999. Detection of thermal pollution: Variability of benthic communities at two different spatial scales in an area influenced by a coastal power station. Marine Pollution Bulletin 38, 296–303.

- Laws, E.A. 1993. Aquatic Pollution An Introductory Text. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 611pp..
- Logue, J., Tiku, P., Cossins, A.R. 1995. Heat injury and resistance adaptation in fish. Journal of Thermal Biology 20, 191–197.
- Lubbers, L., Boynton, W.R., Kemp, W.M. 1990.Variations in structure of estuarine fish communities in relation to abundance of submersed vascular plants. Marine Ecology Progressive Series 65, 1–14.
- Luckhurst, B.E., Luckhurst, K. 1978. Analysis of the influence of the substrate variables on coral reef fish communities. Marine Biology 49, 317–323.

Luksiene, D., Sandström, O. 1994. Reproductive disturbance in a roach (*Rutilus rutilus*) population affected by cooling water discharge. Journal of Fish Biology 45, 13–625.

Madenjian, C.P., Jude, D.J., Tesar, F.J. 1986. Intervention analysis of power-plant impact on fish populations. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 43, 819–829.

Mahadevan, S. 1980. A study on the effects of power plant thermal discharges on benthic infaunal communities at Big Bend, Tampa Bay (Florida). Florida Science 43, 7.

- Mate, J.L.T. 1997. Experimental responses of Panamanian reef corals to high temperatures and nutrients. In: Proceedings of the 8th International Coral Reef Symposium, Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Panama, pp. 515–520.
- Methven, D.A., Haedrich, R.L., Rose, G.A. 2001. The fish assemblage of a New Foundland estuary: diel, monthly and annual variation. Estuarine, Costal and Shelf Science 52, 669–687.
- Mora, C., Ospína, A.F. 2001. Tolerance to high temperatures and potential impact of sea warming on reef fishes of Gorgona Island (tropical eastern Pacific). Marine Biology 139, 765–769.
- Öhman, M.C., Rajasuriya, A. 1998. Relationships between habitat structure and fish communities on coral and sandstone reefs. Environmental Biology of Fishes 49, 45–61.
- Ornellas, A.B., Coutinho, R. 1998. Spatial and temporal patterns of distribution and abundance of a tropical fish assemblage in a seasonal *Sargassum* bed, Cabo Frio Island, Brazil. Journal of Fish Biology 53 (Supplement A), 198–208.
- Pawlik, J.R., Butman, C.A. 1993. Settlement of marine tube worm as a function of current velocity: interacting effects of hydrodynamics and behavior. Limnology and Oceanography 38, 1730–1740.

Roberts, C.M., Ormond, R.F.G. 1987. Habitat complexity and coral reef fish diversity and abundance on Red Sea fringing reefs. Marine Ecology Progressive Series 41, 1–8.

Robertson, A.I., 1984. Trophic interactions between the fish fauna and macrobenthos of an eelgrass community in Western Port, Victoria. Aquatic Botany 18, 135–153.

Rong-Quen, J., Jeng-Ping, C., Chun-Yu, L., Kwang-Tsao, S. 2001. Long-term monitoring of the coral reef fish communities around a nuclear power plant. Aquatic Ecology 35, 233–243.

Sale, P. F. 1997. Visual census of fishes: how well do we see what is there? In: Proceedings of the 8th International Coral Reef Symposium, Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Panama, pp. 1435–1440.

Schneider, F.I., Mann, K.H. 1991. Species specific relationships of invertebrates to vegetation in a seagrass bed. I. Correlational studies. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 145, 101–117.

Shuter, B.J., Wismer, D.A., Regier, H.A., Matuszek, J.E. 1985. An Application of Ecological Modelling: Impact of Thermal Effluent on a Smallmouth Bass Population.1985. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114, 631–651.

- Stoner, A.W. 1982. The influence of benthic macrophytes on the foraging behaviour of pinfish, *Lagodon rhomboides* (Linnaeus). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 58, 271–284.
- Suresh, K., Ahamed, M.S., Durairaj, G., Nair, K.V.K. 1993. Impact of power plant heated effluent on the abundance of sedentary organism, off Kalpakkam, east coast of India. Hydrobiologia 268, 109–114.
- Urban, J. 1994. Upper temperature tolerance of tem bivalve species off Peru and Chile related to El Niño. Marine Ecology Progressive Series 107, 139–145.

Verlaque, M., Giraud, G., Boudouresque, C.F. 1981. Effects of a thermal power plant on the Mediterranean marine hythobenthos: the area of high frequency temperature changes. Botanica Marina 24, 69–87.

Vilanova, E., Pinto, M.M., Curbelo-Fernandez, M.P., Silva, S.H.G. 2004. The impact of a nuclear power plant discharge on the sponge community of a tropical bay (SE Brazil).
Boll.Mus.Ist.Biol.Univ.Genova 68, 647–654.

Warwick, R.C., Clarke, K.R. 1993. Increased variability as a symptom of stress in marine communities. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 172, 215–226.

Wilson, J.G.	1981. Temperature tolerance of circatidal bivalves in relation to	their
distributio	n. Journal of Thermal Biology 6, 279–286.	
		24

Table 1. Mean values (\pm standard error) and F-values from ANOVA comparisons of the habitat descriptors measured in 26 replicates of 2 m² quadrants among locations (I = Impacted, C1 and C2 = Controls) at Ilha Grande Bay. (-: absence of descriptor).

Habitat descriptors	C1	C 2	Ι	F	Tukey pos- hoc
Physical structure (number)					
Crevices < 30 cm	0.77 ± 0.34	10.15 ± 1.57	1.69 ± 0.90	33.15 ^b	C2 > C1, I
Crevices 30 – 1 m	0.08 ± 0.08	0.92 ± 0.33	0.85 ± 0.30	3.8 ^a	C2, I > C1
Crevices $> 1 \text{ m}$	0.08 ± 0.08	0.23 ± 0.17	-	n.s.	
Rocks < 30 cm	10.08 ± 5.11	9.23 ± 2.72	59.46 ± 20.84	n.s.	
Rocks 30 cm – 1 m	4.38 ± 1.08	5.77 ± 1.35	1.92 ± 0.61	4.02 ^a	C1, C2 > I
Rocks $1 \text{ m} - 3 \text{ m}$	0.46 ± 0.18	0.69 ± 0.31	2.00 ± 0.80	n.s.	
Rocks $> 3 \text{ m}$	0.08 ± 0.08	0.23 ± 0.12		n.s.	
Benthic cover (%)					
Bare rock	12.00 ± 3.93	1.35 ± 0.82	31.35 ± 5.91	20.48 ^b	I > C1 > C2
Sand	8.27 ± 3.69	18.27 ± 7.34	3.27 ± 1.64	n.s.	
Sargassum	53.27 ± 8.68	11.65 ± 5.15	∍ [₩] -	31.55 ^b	C1 > C2
Palythoa	6.54 ± 3.86	17.19 ± 5.86	-	6.19 ^a	C2, C1 > I
Encrusting calcareous algae	8.08 ± 2.52	9.23 ± 2.80	-	9.49 ^b	C1, C2 > I
Phaeophyta algae	9.62 ± 3.52	38.35 ± 6.76	-	34.25 ^b	C2>C1>I
Branched calcareous algae	<u></u>	-	51.15 ± 6.61	122.0 ^b	I > C1, C2
Sponge	1.65 ± 0.59	1.08 ± 0.77	-	3.63 ^a	C1, C2 > I
Barnacle	- 1	2.12 ± 1.60	1.15 ± 0.54	n.s.	
Vermetid		-	13.08 ± 4.68	10.80 ^b	I > C1, C2

Significance level: ^a p < 0.05; ^b p < 0.01; n.s. - non significant.

Table 2. Results of Principal Components Analysis based on habitat descriptors at the studied sites in Ilha Grande bay. Values higher than 0.6 are indicated in bold.

Crevives < 30 cm -0.573 -0.594 Crevices 30 cm - 1 m 0.029 -0.393 Rocks 30 cm - 1 m -0.424 -0.078 Bare rock 0.650 0.047 Sargassum -0.121 0.805 Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phaeophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Crevices 30 cm -0.573 -0.594 Crevices 30 cm - 1 m -0.424 -0.078 Bare rock 0.650 0.047 Sargassum -0.121 0.805 Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phacophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae -0.745 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Crevices 30 cm -0.573 -0.594 Crevices 30 cm - 1 m -0.424 -0.078 Bare rock 0.650 0.047 Sargassum -0.121 0.805 Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Enerusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phacophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9		AXIS I	AXIS 2	
Crevices 30 cm - 1 m 0.029 -0.393 Rocks 30 cm - 1 m -0.424 -0.078 Bare rock 0.650 0.047 Sargassum -0.121 0.805 Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phaeophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Crevices 30 cm - 1 m 0.029 -0.393 Rocks 30 cm - 1 m -0.424 -0.078 Bare rock 0.650 0.047 Sargassum -0.121 0.805 Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phacophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Crevices 30 cm - 1 m 0.029 -0.393 Rocks 30 cm - 1 m -0.424 -0.078 Bare rock 0.650 0.047 Sargassum -0.121 0.805 Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phaeophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae -0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Crevives < 30 cm	-0.573	-0.594	
Rocks 30 cm - 1 m -0.424 -0.078 Bare rock 0.650 0.047 Sargassum -0.121 0.805 Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phaeophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae -0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Rocks 30 cm - 1 m -0.424 -0.078 Bare rock 0.650 0.047 Sargassum -0.121 0.805 Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Rocks 30 cm - 1 m -0.424 -0.078 Bare rock 0.650 0.047 Sargassum -0.121 0.805 Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phaeophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Crevices $30 \text{ cm} - 1 \text{ m}$	0.029	-0.393	
Bare rock 0.650 0.047 Sargassum -0.121 0.805 Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phaeophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae -0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Bare rock 0.650 0.047 Sargassum -0.121 0.805 Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phaeophyta algae 0.716 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Bare rock 0.650 0.047 Sargassum -0.121 0.805 Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phaeophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vernetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Rocks 30 cm – 1 m	-0.424	-0.078	S.S.S.S.S.
Sargassum -0.121 0.805 Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Sargassum -0.121 0.805 Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.005 0.081 Phacophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Sargassum -0.121 0.805 Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Bare rock	0.650	0.047	
Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phaeophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phacophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Palythoa -0.464 -0.352 Encrusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phacophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Sargassum	-0.121	0.805	
Encrusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phaeophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Encrusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phaeophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Encrusting calcareous algae -0.605 0.081 Phaeophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Palythoa	-0.464	-0.352	Contraction of the second seco
Phaeophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Phaeophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Phaeophyta algae -0.745 -0.193 Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Encrusting calcareous algae	-0.605	0.081	
Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Branched calcareous algae 0.716 -0.383 Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Phaeophyta algae	-0.745	-0.193	
Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Sponge -0.403 0.170 Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Branched calcareous algae	0.716	-0.383	
Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Vermetid 0.427 -0.494 Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Sponge	-0.403	0.170	
Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Eigenvalue 2.944 1.753 % variance explained 26.7 15.9	Vermetid	0.427	-0.494	
% variance explained 26.7 15.9	% variance explained 26.7 15.9	* variance explained 26.7 15.9	Eigenvalue	2.944	1.753	
Contract for the second	CHRICH	CERTIN	% variance explained	26.7	15.9	
			Colora Co			
			C. C			
			Colora Co			

Table 3. Total composition as determined by visual census at the studied locations. Density per 540 m² (mean \pm SE), total number of specimens counted (n) and percent of total observed. The twelve most abundant species are indicated in bold. Locations: I = impacted; C1 and C2 = Controls.

Family/Species		C1			C2			I	Ą
	Density	n	%	Density	n	%	Density	n	
Acanthuridae							•	X	
Acanthurus chirurgus	1.06 ± 0.47	38	1.90	0.93 ± 0.36	28	0.86		⊾ <u>−</u> _	-
Belonidae							Carlos Carlos		
Strongylura timucu	0.03 ± 0.03	1	0.05	_	_		- X	_	-
Blenniidae						ſ	<u> </u>		
Parablennius sp	0.08 ± 0.05	3	0.15	0.23 ± 0.10	7	0.21	-	_	
Carangidae									
Caranx latus	_	_	_	0.03 ± 0.03	- 1	0.03	_	_	
Trachinotus carolinus	_	_	_	0.07 ± 0.07	2	0.06	_	_	
Centropomidae									
Centropomus undecimalis	0.11 ± 0.07	4	0.20	_		_	_	_	
Chaetodontidae									
Chaetodon striatus	2.36 ± 0.36	85	4.25	1.73 ± 0.29	52	1.59	_	_	
Clupeidae				Y					
Sardinella janeiro	13.53 ± 8.07	487	19.59	6.00 ± 2.91	180	5.22	_	_	
Dactylopteridae									
Dactilopterus volitans	0.64 ± 0.33	23	1.15	_	_	_	0.07 ± 0.07	2	
Dasyatidae	155555								
Dasyatis americana			_	0.03 ± 0.03	1	0.03	_	_	
Diodontidae	and the second second	Pares -							
Chilomycterus spinosus	0.14 ± 0.06	5	0.25	0.10 ± 0.06	3	0.09	_	_	
Gerreidae									
Diapterus rhombeus	0.03 ± 0.03	1	0.05	_	_	_	1.57 ± 1.13	47	
Eucinostomus argenteus	0.72 ± 0.69	26	1.30	0.03 ± 0.03	1	0.03	75.03 ± 22.35	2251	7
Haemulidae	00.0>		100	0100 = 0100	-	0100			
Anisotremu surinamensis	0.33 ± 0.11	12	0.60	0.67 ± 0.21	20	0.61	0.03 ± 0.03	1	
Anisotremus virginicus	0.83 ± 0.23	30	1.50	0.57 ± 0.22	17	0.52	_	_	
Haemulon aurolineatum	0.14 ± 0.14	5	0.25	0.23 ± 0.13	7	0.21	_	_	
Haemulon steindachneri	7.33 ± 3.27	264	13.21	18.37 ± 6.26	551	16.87	12.60 ± 3.59	378	1
Orthopristis rubber	6.72 ± 2.54	242	12.11	5.40 ± 2.03	162	4.96	0.07 ± 0.07	2	
Holocentridae									
Holocentrus adscensionis	0.22 ± 0.12	8	0.40	0.23 ± 0.08	7	0.21	0.03 ± 0.03	1	
Kyphosidae		-							
Kyphosus incisor	0.03 ± 0.03	1	0.05	_	_	_	_	_	
Labridae			2.00						
Halichoeres poevi	0.72 ± 0.18	26	1.30	0.47 ± 0.13	14	0.43	_	_	
Labrisomidae	0.72 ± 0.10	20	1.50	0 2 0.15	1.1	0.10			
Labrisomus nuchininnis	0.92 ± 0.18	33	1.65	0.63 ± 0.18	19	0.58	_	_	
Malacoctenus delalandii	6.39 + 0.96	230	11.51	3.73 + 0.66	112	3.43	0.27 ± 0.11	8	
Lutianidae	0.07 ± 0.70	250	11,01	5.75 ± 0.00	114	5.75	V.#/ ± V.11	0	
Ocvurus chrvsurus	0.03 ± 0.03	1	0.05	_	_	_	_	_	
	0.05 ± 0.05	1	0.05	_	_	_	-	-	

1 .1 .1.									
Monacanthus ciliatus	0.33 ± 0.12	12	0.60	0.07 ± 0.05	2	0.06	—	-	-
Stephanolepis hispiaus	0.19 ± 0.10	1	0.35	—	-	_	_	-	-
					4.0				
	0.36 ± 0.33	13	0.65	0.63 ± 0.33	19	0.58	12.73 ± 3.35	382	11.9
	0.70 0.01	20	1 40	0.50 0.10		0.46			
P seuaupeneus maculates	0.78 ± 0.21	28	1.40	0.50 ± 0.18	15	0.46	_	-	-
Muraenidae			0.05	0.00		0.02			A
Gymnotnorax funebris	0.03 ± 0.03	I	0.05	0.03 ± 0.03	1	0.03	_	- /	< - ·
			0.05					<i>4</i>	
Acanthostracion polygonius	0.03 ± 0.03	I	0.05	—	-	_	_	7	_
Pempheridae								$\langle \cdot \rangle$	
Pempheris schomburgkii	-	-	-	3.30 ± 1.75	99	3.03			-
Abuaefauf saxatilis	9.42 ± 1.74	339	16.96	50.23 ± 9.30	1507	46.13	2.40 ± 0.80	72	2.25
Chromis multilineata	0.06 ± 0.04	2	0.10	0.20 ± 0.09	6	0.18		-	-
Stegastes fuscus	3.64 ± 0.51	131	6.55	9.30 ± 0.88	279	8.54	-	-	-
Diplodus argentus	9.17 ± 4.98	330	16.51	9.60 ± 4.67	288	8.82	_	-	-
Scaridae									
Sparisoma sp	0.06 ± 0.04	2	0.10	0.47 ± 0.18	14	0.43	-	-	-
Sciaenidae									
Pareques acuminatus	0.25 ± 0.12	9	0.45	0.27 ± 0.11	8	0.24	_	-	-
Scorpaenidae					7				
Scorpaena plumieri	0.31 ± 0.12	11	0.55		-	-	_	-	-
Serranidae			<u>.</u>						
Mycteroperca acutirostris	0.83 ± 0.17	30	1.50	0.17 ± 0.07	5	0.15	_	-	-
Mycteroperca bonaci	-			_	-	-	_	-	-
Serranus flaviventris	0.31 ± 0.10	11	0.55	0.17 ± 0.08	5	0.15	0.07 ± 0.07	2	0.00
Sphyraenidae	15555								
Sphyraena barracuda	0.03 ± 0.03	1	0.05	_	-	_	_	-	-
Syngnathidae	and the second second	And a state of the							
Micrognathus crinitus	0.03 ± 0.03	1	0.05	_	-	-	_	_	-
Tetraodontidae	$\langle \nabla \rangle$								
Sphoeroides greeleyi 🛛 🖉	$\textbf{0.86} \pm \textbf{0.17}$	31	1.55	$\textbf{0.47} \pm \textbf{0.18}$	14	0.43	1.60 ± 0.35	48	1.50
Sphoeroides testudineus	0.03 ± 0.03	1	0.05	0.03 ± 0.03	1	0.03	0.17 ± 0.14	5	0.1
		2486			3447			3100	

ð

Table 4. Results of one-way ANOSIM (R values and significance levels) and SIMPER analysis on the species abundance from three locations of the rocky shore in Ilha Grande Bay (I = Impacted; C1 and C2 = Controls).

Groups	ANOSIM		SIMPER		
_	R	р	Average	Discriminating	Contribution
			dissimilarity	Species	(%)
1.01	0.000	0.001	(%)		12.21
I, CI	0.800	< 0.001	83.97	E. argenteus	13.21
1, C2 C1, C2	0.855	< 0.001	04.70 58.42	<i>E. argenieus</i> <i>A</i> savatilis	8 28
C1, C2	0.115	< 0.001	50.42	A. suxuiiiis	0.20
			All second se		
		pan and and and and and and and and and a			
	Contra and				
	A Contract of the second secon				
	A Contract of Cont				
	A Contract of the second se				
	and the second second				
	and a second and				
	and a second second				
	and design of the second				
	A Contract of Cont				
	and a second second				
	and de la constance de la constanc				
	and a second second				
	and the second second				
	A Contract of the second				
	A Contract of the second				

Table 5. Discriminating species at each location using SIMPER analysis. Locations: I =

Impacted; C1and C	2 = Controls.			
Average	C1	C2	Ι	
Similarity (%)	(43.80)	(45.64)	(48.93)	
A. saxatilis	17.71	24.92		
C. striatus	9.14	6.91		
D. argentus		3.92		
E. argenteus			42.71	- Carlos
H. steindachneri	6.14	9.62	12.24	
L. nuchipinnis	4.56			
M. acutirostris	5.62			Car and the v
M. delalandi	16.77	9.45		
Mugil sp			19.30	
0. ruber	6.56	3.29		
S. fuscus	12.16	26.46		Carry
S.greeleyi	4.74		18.43	

Contraction of the second seco

Fig. 1 – Map of the study area in Ilha Grande bay, Brazil: Thermal impacted site (I) and controls (C1 and C2) locations.

Fig. 2. Scores on the first two axes from PCA on habitat descriptors in Ilha Grande Bay. Samples code: I = Impacted location; C1 and C2 = Control locations.

Fig. 3. Mean total number of individuals (column) and species (line) in 540 m². I = Impacted location; C1 and C2 = Control locations.

Fig. 4. Spatial patterns of the Shannon-Wiener diversity index (H') of rock fish (dotted line) and water surface temperature (solid line) in Ilha Grande Bay. I = Impacted location; C1 and C2 = Control locations.

Fig. 5. MDS ordination plot of the relationship between fish community and locations: O = Impacted; \blacktriangle C1 and ∇ C2 = Controls.









