HAL
open science

Absence of exponentially localized solitons for the Novikov–Veselov equation at negative energy

Anna Kazeykina, Roman Novikov

▶ **To cite this version:**

Anna Kazeykina, Roman Novikov. Absence of exponentially localized solitons for the Novikov–Veselov equation at negative energy. Nonlinearity, 2011, 24, pp.1821-1830. 10.1088/0951-7715/24/6/007 . hal-00562533

HAL Id: hal-00562533

https://hal.science/hal-00562533v1

Submitted on 3 Feb 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Absence of exponentially localized solitons for the Novikov–Veselov equation at negative energy

A.V. Kazeykina [1], R.G. Novikov[2]

Abstract. We show that Novikov–Veselov equation (an analog of KdV in dimension $2+1$) does not have exponentially localized solitons at negative energy.

1 Introduction

In the present paper we are concerned with the following $(2+1)$–dimensional analog of the Korteweg–de Vries equation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t v = 4\mathrm{Re}(4\partial_z^3 v + \partial_z(vw) - E\partial_z w), \\ &\partial_{\bar z} w = -3\partial_z w, \quad v = \bar v, \quad E \in \mathbb{R}, \\ &v = v(x,t), \quad w = w(x,t), \quad x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$\partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \partial_z = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right), \quad \partial_{\bar z} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right). \tag{1.2}$$

Equation (1.1) is contained implicitly in the paper of S.V. Manakov [M] as an equation possessing the following representation

$$\frac{\partial(L-E)}{\partial t} = [L-E, A] + B(L-E) \tag{1.3}$$

(Manakov L–A–B triple), where $L = -\Delta + v(x,t)$, $\Delta = 4\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}$, A and B are suitable differential operators of the third and zero order respectively, $[\cdot,\cdot]$ denotes the commutator. Equation (1.1) was written in an explicit form by S.P. Novikov and A.P. Veselov in [NV1], [NV2], where higher analogs of (1.1) were also constructed. Note that both Kadomtsev–Petviashvili equations can be obtained from (1.1) by considering an appropriate limit $E \to \pm\infty$ (see [ZS], [G]).

In the case when $v(x_1, x_2, t)$, $w(x_1, x_2, t)$ are independent of x_2, (1.1) can be reduced to the classic KdV equation:

$$\partial_t u - 6u\partial_x u + \partial_x^3 u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.4}$$

[1]Centre des Mathématiques Appliquées, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau, 91128, France; email: kazeykina@cmap.polytechnique.fr

[2]CNRS (UMR 7641), Centre des Mathématiques Appliquées, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau, 91128, France; email: novikov@cmap.polytechnique.fr

It is well–known that (1.4) has the soliton solutions

$$u(x,t) = u_{\kappa,\varphi}(x-4\kappa^2 t) = -\frac{2\kappa^2}{ch^2(\kappa(x-4\kappa^2 t-\varphi))}, \quad x\in\mathbb{R},\ t\in\mathbb{R},\ \kappa\in(0,+\infty),\ \varphi\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{1.5}$$

Evidently,

$$\begin{aligned} &u_{\kappa,\varphi}\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}),\\ &\partial_x^j u_{\kappa,\varphi}(x) = O(e^{-2\kappa|x|}) \text{ as } x\to\infty, \quad j=0,1,2,\dots \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

Properties (1.6) imply that the solitons (1.5) are exponentially localized in x.

For the 2–dimensional case we will say that a solution (v,w) of (1.1) is an exponentially localized soliton if the following properties hold:

$$\begin{aligned} &v(x,t) = V(x-ct), \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^2, \quad c=(c_1,c_2)\in\mathbb{R}^2,\\ &V\in C^3(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad \partial_x^j V(x) = O(e^{-\alpha|x|}) \text{ for } |x|\to\infty, \quad |j|\leqslant 3 \text{ and some } \alpha>0\\ &(\text{where } j=(j_1,j_2)\in(0\cup\mathbb{N})^2, |j|=|j_1|+|j_2|, \quad \partial_x^j = \partial^{j_1+j_2}/\partial x_1^{j_1}\partial x_2^{j_2}),\\ &w(\cdot,t)\in C(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad w(x,t)\to 0 \text{ as } |x|\to\infty, \quad t\in\mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

In [N1] it was shown that, in contrast with the $(1+1)$–dimensional case, the $(2+1)$–dimensional KdV equation (1.1), at least for $E=E_{fixed}>0$, does not have exponentially localized solitons. More precisely, in [N1] it was shown that the following theorem is valid for $E=E_{fixed}>0$:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (v,w) be an exponentially localized soliton solution of (1.1) in the sense (1.7). Then $v\equiv 0$, $w\equiv 0$.*

The main result of this paper consists in the proof of Theorem 1.1 for the case $E=E_{fixed}<0$. This proof is given in Section 3 and is based on Propositions 3.1 and 3.2. In addition: Proposition 3.1 is an analog of the result of [N1] about the transparency of sufficiently localized solitons for equation (1.1) for $E>0$; Proposition 3.2 is an analog of the result of [N2], [GN1] that there are no nonzero bounded real–valued exponentially localized transparent potentials (that is potentials with zero scattering amplitude) for the Schrödinger equation (2.1) for $E=E_{fixed}>0$.

Note that nonzero bounded algebraically localized solitons for equation (1.1) for $E<0$ are also unknown (see [G]), but their absence is not proved.

As regards integrable systems in $2+1$ dimensions admitting exponentially decaying solitons in all directions on the plane, see [BLMP], [FS].

As regards integrable systems in $2+1$ dimensions admitting nonzero bounded algebraically decaying solitons in all directions on the plane, see [FA], [BLMP], [G], [KN] and references therein.

2 Inverse scattering for the 2–dimensional Schrödinger equation at a fixed negative energy

Consider the scattering problem for the two–dimensional Schrödinger equation at a fixed negative energy:

$$\begin{aligned} &-\Delta\psi + v(z)\psi = E\psi, \quad E = E_{fixed} < 0, \\ &\Delta = 4\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}, \quad z = x_1 + ix_2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where ∂_z, $\partial_{\bar z}$ are the same as in (1.2). We will assume that the potential $v(z)$ satisfies the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &v(z) = \overline{v(z)}, \quad v(z) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}), \\ &|v(z)| < q(1+|z|)^{-2-\varepsilon} \text{ for some } q > 0,\ \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

In this paper we will be concerned with the exponentially decreasing potentials, i.e. with the potentials $v(z)$ satisfying (2.2) and the following additional condition

$$v(z) = O(e^{-\alpha|z|}) \text{ as } |z| \to \infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Direct and inverse scattering for the two–dimensional Schrödinger equation (2.1) at fixed negative energy under assumptions (2.2) was considered for the first time in [GN2]. For some of the results discussed in this section see also [N2], [G].

First of all, we note that by scaling transform we can reduce the scattering problem with an arbitrary fixed negative energy to the case when $E = -1$. Therefore, in our further reasoning we will assume that $E = -1$.

It is known that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash(0 \cup \mathcal{E})$, where

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{E} \text{ is the set of zeros of the modified Fredholm determinant } \Delta \\ &\text{for the integral equation (2.10),} \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

there exists a unique continuous solution $\psi(z,\lambda)$ of (2.1) with the following asymptotics

$$\psi(z,\lambda) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda\bar z + z/\lambda)}\mu(z,\lambda), \quad \mu(z,\lambda) = 1 + o(1), \quad |z| \to \infty. \tag{2.5}$$

In addition, the function $\mu(z,\lambda)$ satisfies the following integral equation

$$\mu(z,\lambda) = 1 + \iint\limits_{\zeta\in\mathbb{C}} g(z-\zeta,\lambda)v(\zeta)\mu(\zeta,\lambda)d\zeta_R d\zeta_I, \tag{2.6}$$

$$g(z,\lambda) = -\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2 \iint\limits_{\zeta\in\mathbb{C}} \frac{\exp(i/2(\zeta\bar z + \bar\zeta z))}{\zeta\bar\zeta + i(\lambda\bar\zeta + \zeta/\lambda)} d\zeta_R d\zeta_I, \tag{2.7}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash 0$, $\zeta_R = \mathrm{Re}\zeta$, $\zeta_I = \mathrm{Im}\zeta$.

In terms of ψ of (2.5) equation (2.6) takes the form

$$\psi(z,\lambda) = e^{-1/2(\lambda\bar{z}+z/\lambda)} + \iint\limits_{\zeta\in\mathbb{C}} G(z-\zeta,\lambda)v(\zeta)\psi(\zeta,\lambda)d\zeta_R d\zeta_I, \tag{2.8}$$

$$G(z,\lambda) = e^{-1/2(\lambda\bar{z}+z/\lambda)} g(z,\lambda), \tag{2.9}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash 0$.

In terms of $m(z,\lambda) = (1+|z|)^{-(2+\varepsilon)/2}\mu(z,\lambda)$ equation (2.6) takes the form

$$m(z,\lambda) = (1+|z|)^{-(2+\varepsilon)/2} + \iint\limits_{\zeta\in\mathbb{C}} (1+|z|)^{-(2+\varepsilon)/2} g(z-\zeta,\lambda)\frac{v(\zeta)}{(1+|\zeta|)^{-(2+\varepsilon)/2}} m(\zeta,\lambda)d\zeta_R d\zeta_I, \tag{2.10}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash 0$. In addition, $A(\cdot,\cdot,\lambda) \in L^2(\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C})$, $|\mathrm{Tr}A^2(\lambda)| < \infty$, where $A(z,\zeta,\lambda)$ is the Schwartz kernel of the integral operator $A(\lambda)$ of the integral equation (2.10). Thus, the modified Fredholm determinant for (2.10) can be defined by means of the formula:

$$\ln\Delta(\lambda) = \mathrm{Tr}(\ln(I - A(\lambda)) + A(\lambda)) \tag{2.11}$$

(see [GK] for more precise sense of such definition).

Taking the subsequent members in the asymptotic expansion (2.5) for $\psi(z,\lambda)$, we obtain (see [N2]):

$$\psi(z,\lambda) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda\bar{z} + \frac{z}{\lambda}\right)\right)\Bigg\{1 - 2\pi\mathrm{sgn}(1-\lambda\bar{\lambda})\times$$
$$\times\left(\frac{i\lambda a(\lambda)}{z-\lambda^2\bar{z}} + \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}-\lambda\right)\bar{z} + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}-\bar{\lambda}\right)z\right)\right)\frac{\bar{\lambda}b(\lambda)}{i(\bar{\lambda}^2 z - \bar{z})}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right)\Bigg\}, \tag{2.12}$$

$|z| \to \infty$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash(\mathcal{E}\cup 0)$.

The functions $a(\lambda)$, $b(\lambda)$ from (2.12) are called the "scattering" data for the problem (2.1), (2.2) with $E = -1$. It is known that for $a(\lambda)$, $b(\lambda)$ the following formulas hold (see [N2]):

$$a(\lambda) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2 \iint\limits_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \mu(z,\lambda)v(z)dz_R dz_I, \tag{2.13}$$

$$b(\lambda) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2 \iint\limits_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\right)\bar{z} - \left(\bar{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)z\right)\right)\mu(z,\lambda)v(z)dz_R dz_I, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash(0 \cup \mathcal{E})$, $z_R = \mathrm{Re} z$, $z_I = \mathrm{Im} z$. In addition, formally, formulas (2.13), (2.14) can be written as

$$a(\lambda) = h(\lambda, \lambda), \quad b(\lambda) = h\left(\lambda, \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\right), \tag{2.15}$$

where

$$h(\lambda, \lambda') = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2 \iint\limits_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda' \bar{z} + z/\lambda'\right)\right) \psi(z, \lambda) v(z) dz_R z_I, \tag{2.16}$$

and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash(0 \cup \mathcal{E})$, $\lambda' \in \mathbb{C}\backslash 0$. (Note that, under assumptions (2.2), the integral in (2.16) is well–defined if $\lambda' = \lambda$ of if $\lambda' = 1/\bar{\lambda}$ but is not well–defined in general.)

Let

$$T = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \colon |\lambda| = 1\}. \tag{2.17}$$

From (2.15), in particular, the following statement follows:

Statement 2.1. *Let (2.2) hold and $\Delta \neq 0$ on T. Then*

$$a(\lambda) = b(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in T. \tag{2.18}$$

The following properties of functions $\Delta(\lambda)$, $a(\lambda)$, $b(\lambda)$ will play a substantial role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Statement 2.2. *Let (2.2) hold. Then:*

1. $\Delta(\lambda) \in C(\mathbb{C})$;
2. $\Delta(\lambda) \to 1$ *as* $\lambda \to 0$ *or* $\lambda \to \infty$;
3. $\Delta(\lambda) \equiv \mathrm{const}$ *for* $\lambda \in T$;
4. Δ *is real–valued:* $\Delta = \bar{\Delta}$.
5. $\Delta(\lambda) = \Delta(1/\bar{\lambda})$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash 0$.

Statement 2.3. *Let conditions (2.2)–(2.3) be fulfilled. Then:*

- $\Delta(\lambda)$ *is a real–analytic function on* D_+, D_-, *where*

$$D_+ = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \colon 0 < |\lambda| \leqslant 1\}, \quad D_- = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \colon |\lambda| \geqslant 1\}. \tag{2.19}$$

- $a(\lambda) = \dfrac{\mathcal{A}(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}$, $b(\lambda) = \dfrac{\mathcal{B}(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}$, *where* $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ *are real–analytic functions on* D_+, D_-.

Items 1–4 of Statement 2.2 are either known or follow from results mentioned in [HN], [N2] (see page 129 of [HN] and pages 420, 423, 429 of [N2]). In particular, item 1 of Statement 2.2 is a consequence of continuous dependency of $g(z,\lambda)$ on $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash 0$; item 3 of Statement 2.2 is a consequence of (2.11) and of the formula (see pages 420, 423 of [N2]) $G(z,\lambda) = (-i/4)H_0^1(i|z|)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \in T$, where G is defined by (2.9), H_0^1 is the Hankel function of the first type. In addition, item 5 of Statement 2.2 follows from item 4 of this statement and from symmetry $\overline{G(z,\lambda)} = G(z, 1/\bar{\lambda})$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash 0$.

Statement 2.3 is similar to Proposition 4.2 of [N2] and follow from: (i) formulas (2.13), (2.14), (ii) Cramer type formulas for solving the integral equation (2.10), (iii) the analog of Proposition 3.2 of [N2] for g of (2.7).

Under assumptions (2.2), the function $\mu(z,\lambda)$, defined by (2.6), satisfies the following properties:

$$\mu(z,\lambda) \text{ is a continuous function of } \lambda \text{ on } \mathbb{C}\backslash(0 \cup \mathcal{E}); \tag{2.20}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mu(z,\lambda)}{\partial \bar{\lambda}} = r(z,\lambda)\overline{\mu(z,\lambda)}, \tag{2.21a}$$

$$r(z,\lambda) = r(\lambda)\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\right)\bar{z} - \left(\bar{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)z\right)\right), \tag{2.21b}$$

$$r(\lambda) = \frac{\pi \operatorname{sgn}(1-\lambda\bar{\lambda})}{\bar{\lambda}} b(\lambda) \tag{2.21c}$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash(0 \cup \mathcal{E})$;

$$\mu \to 1, \text{ as } \lambda \to \infty, \ \lambda \to 0. \tag{2.22}$$

The function b possesses the following properties (see [GN2], [N2]):

$$b \in C(\mathbb{C}\backslash\mathcal{E}), \tag{2.23}$$

$$b\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\right) = b(\lambda), \quad b\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\right) = \overline{b(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash 0, \tag{2.24}$$

$$\lambda^{-1}b(\lambda) \in L_p(D_+) \text{ (as a function of } \lambda) \text{ if } \mathcal{E} = \varnothing, \quad 2 < p < 4. \tag{2.25}$$

In addition, the following theorem is valid:

Theorem 2.1 ([GN2], [N2]). *Let v satisfy (2.2) and $\mathcal{E} = \varnothing$ for this potential. Then v is uniquely determined by its scattering data b (by means of (2.20), (2.21) and equation (1.1) for $E = -1$ and ψ of (2.5)).*

Finally, if $(v(z,t), w(z,t))$ is a solution of equation (1.1) with $E = -1$, where $(v(z,t), w(z,t))$ satisfy the following conditions:

$v, w \in C(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R})$ and for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the following properties are fulfilled:

$$v(\cdot, t) \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad \partial_x^j v(x,t) = O\left(|x|^{-2-\varepsilon}\right) \text{ for } |x| \to \infty, |j| \leq 3 \text{ and some } \varepsilon > 0, \tag{2.26}$$

$w(x,t) \to 0$ for $|x| \to \infty$,

then the dynamics of the scattering data is described by the following equations

$$a(\lambda, t) = a(\lambda, 0), \tag{2.27}$$

$$b(\lambda, t) = \exp\left\{\left(\lambda^3 + \frac{1}{\lambda^3} - \bar{\lambda}^3 - \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}^3}\right) t\right\} b(\lambda, 0), \tag{2.28}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 given below.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $v(z)$ satisfy (2.2) and $a(\lambda)$, $b(\lambda)$ be the scattering data corresponding to $v(z)$. Then the scattering data $a_\zeta(\lambda)$, $b_\zeta(\lambda)$ for the potential $v_\zeta(z) = v(z-\zeta)$ are related to $a(\lambda)$, $b(\lambda)$ by the formulas*

$$a_\zeta(\lambda) = a(\lambda), \tag{3.1}$$

$$b_\zeta(\lambda) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\right)\bar{\zeta} - \left(\bar{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\zeta\right)\right) b(\lambda), \tag{3.2}$$

where $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash 0$.

Proof. We first note that $\psi(z-\zeta, \lambda)$ satisfies equation (2.1) with the operator $L = -\Delta + v_\zeta(z)$. Then the function $\psi_\zeta(z, \lambda)$ corresponding to $v_\zeta(z)$ and possessing the asymptotics (2.5) is $\psi_\zeta(z, \lambda) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda\bar{\zeta} + \zeta/\lambda\right)}\psi(z-\zeta, \lambda)$. In terms of function μ this relation is written $\mu_\zeta(z, \lambda) = \mu(z-\zeta, \lambda)$ Thus we have

$$a_\zeta(\lambda) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2 \iint\limits_{z \in \mathbb{C}} v(z-\zeta)\mu(z-\zeta, \lambda) dz_R dz_I = a(\lambda),$$

and, similarly,

$$b_\zeta(\lambda) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2 \iint\limits_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\bar\lambda}\right)\bar z - \left(\bar\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) z\right)\right) \times$$
$$\times\, v(z-\zeta)\mu(z-\zeta,\lambda)dz_R dz_I =$$
$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\bar\lambda}\right)\bar\zeta - \left(\bar\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\zeta\right)\right) b(\lambda).$$

□

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(v(z,t), w(z,t))$ be an exponentially localized soliton of (1.1) in the sense (1.7). Let $b(\lambda,t)$ be the scattering data for $v(z,t)$ for some $E = E_{fixed} < 0$. Then $b(\lambda,t) \equiv 0$ in the domain where it is well–defined, i.e. in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is defined by (2.4).*

Proof. In virtue of (2.28) and Statement 2.3 it is sufficient to prove that $b(\lambda,0) \equiv 0$ in some neighborhoods of 0 and ∞.

Let U_0, U_∞ be the neighborhoods of 0 and ∞, respectively, such that $\Delta \neq 0$ in U_0, U_∞ (such neighborhoods exist in virtue of item 2 of Statement 2.2). For $\lambda \in U_0 \cup U_\infty$ the function $b(\lambda,0)$ is well–defined and continuous. As $(v(z,t), w(z,t))$ is a soliton, the dynamics of the function $b(\lambda,t)$ can be written as

$$b(\lambda,t) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\bar\lambda}\right)\bar c - \left(\bar\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) c\right) t\right) b(\lambda,0) \tag{3.3}$$

(see Lemma 3.1).

Combining this with formula (2.28), we obtain

$$\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\bar\lambda}\right)\bar c - \left(\bar\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) c\right) t\right\} b(\lambda,0) =$$
$$= \exp\left\{\left(\lambda^3 + \frac{1}{\lambda^3} - \bar\lambda^3 - \frac{1}{\bar\lambda^3}\right) t\right\} b(\lambda,0).$$

As functions λ, $\bar\lambda$, $\frac{1}{\lambda}$, $\frac{1}{\bar\lambda}$, λ^3, $\bar\lambda^3$, $\frac{1}{\lambda^3}$, $\frac{1}{\bar\lambda^3}$, 1 are linearly independent in any neighborhood of 0 and ∞, we obtain that $b(\lambda,0) \equiv 0$ in $U_0 \cup U_\infty$. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let $v(z)$ satisfy (2.2)–(2.3) and $b(\lambda)$ be its scattering data for some $E = E_{fixed} < 0$. If $b(\lambda) \equiv 0$ in the domain where it is well–defined, i.e. in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is defined by (2.4), then $v \equiv 0$.*

Note that Proposition 3.2 can be considered as an analog of Corollary 3 of [GN1].

Proof of Proposition 3.2. 1. First we will prove that from the assumptions of this proposition it follows that $a(\lambda) \equiv 0$ in $U_0 \cup U_\infty$, where U_0 and U_∞ are such neighborhoods of 0 and ∞, respectively, that $\Delta(\lambda) \neq 0$ for $\lambda \in U_0 \cup U_\infty$. We note that from (2.13), (2.14), (2.21a), (2.22) it follows that

$$\frac{\partial a(\lambda)}{\partial \bar{\lambda}} = \frac{\pi \mathrm{sgn}(1-\lambda\bar{\lambda})}{\bar{\lambda}} b(\lambda)\overline{b(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash(\mathcal{E} \cup 0), \tag{3.4}$$

$$a(\lambda) \to \hat{v}(0) \text{ as } \lambda \to \infty \text{ or } \lambda \to 0, \text{ where} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\hat{v}(p) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2 \iint\limits_{z\in\mathbb{C}} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\bar{p}z+p\bar{z})} v(z) dz_R dz_I, \quad p \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.6}$$

It means that

$$a(\lambda) \text{ is holomorphic in } \mathbb{C}\backslash(\mathcal{E}). \tag{3.7}$$

According to item 3 of Statement 2.2, $\Delta(\lambda) \equiv \text{const}$ for $\lambda \in T$. We will consider separately two cases: $\Delta \equiv C \neq 0$ on T and $\Delta \equiv 0$ on T.

(a) $\Delta(\lambda) \equiv C \neq 0$ on T:
From item 1 of Statement 2.2 it follows that there exists U_T, a neighborhood of T, such that $\Delta(\lambda) \neq 0$ in U_T. Thus $a(\lambda)$ is holomorphic in U_T. From Statement 2.1 we obtain that $a(\lambda) = b(\lambda) = 0$ on T. It follows then that $a(\lambda) \equiv 0$ in U_T. Using statement 2.3, we obtain that $a(\lambda) \equiv 0$ in $U_0 \cup U_\infty$.

(b) $\Delta(\lambda) \equiv 0$ on T:
In [HN] the $\bar{\partial}$–equation for Δ was derived. In variables λ, $\bar{\lambda}$ it is written as

$$\frac{\partial \ln \Delta(\lambda)}{\partial \bar{\lambda}} = -\frac{\pi \mathrm{sgn}(\lambda\bar{\lambda}-1)}{\bar{\lambda}} \left(a\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\right) - \hat{v}(0) \right). \tag{3.8}$$

Equation (3.8) and properties (3.5), (3.7) imply that $\frac{\partial \ln \Delta}{\partial \bar{\lambda}}$ is an antiholomorphic function in $U_0 \cup U_\infty$, where Δ is close to 1 and, thus, $\ln \Delta$ is a well–defined one–valued function. As Δ is a real–valued real analytic function, it follows that

$$\ln \Delta = f(\lambda) + \overline{f(\lambda)} \tag{3.9}$$

for some holomorphic function $f(\lambda)$, or

$$\Delta = F(\lambda)\overline{F(\lambda)} \tag{3.10}$$

for some holomorphic function $F(\lambda)$ on $U_0 \cup U_\infty$. Now we will use the following lemma (the proof of this lemma is given in Section 4):

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Delta(\lambda)$ be real–analytic in $D_+ = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\colon 0 < |\lambda| \leq 1\}$. Suppose that $\Delta(\lambda)$ can be represented as*

$$\Delta(\lambda) = F(\lambda)\overline{F(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda \in U_0, \tag{3.11}$$

for some function $F(\lambda)$ holomorphic on U_0, a neighborhood of zero. Then the representation (3.11) holds on D_+, i.e. $F(\lambda)$ can be extended analytically to D_+.

Thus, the representation (3.10) is valid separately on D_+ and on D_-, where we used also item 5 of Statement 2.2. As $\Delta(\lambda) \equiv 0$ on T, it follows that $F(\lambda) \equiv 0$ on T and, further, $F(\lambda) \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{C}$. This contradicts with item 2 of Statement 2.2. Thus we have shown that under the assumptions of Proposition 3.2 the case $\Delta(\lambda) \equiv 0$ on T cannot hold.

2. Our next step is to prove that $\Delta(\lambda) \equiv 1$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

   Formula (3.5) states that $a(0) = a(\infty) = \hat{v}(0)$. Thus from equation (3.8) it follows that $\frac{\partial \ln \Delta}{\partial \overline{\lambda}} = 0$, and $\ln \Delta$ is holomorphic in some neighborhood of 0 and ∞. As $\Delta(\lambda)$ is a real–valued function and item 2 of Statement 2.2 holds, we conclude that $\Delta \equiv 1$ in some neighborhood of 0 and ∞. Now using Statement 2.3, we obtain that $\Delta \equiv 1$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and, as a corollary, $\mathcal{E} = \varnothing$.

3. From the previous item it follows that equation (2.21a) holds for $\forall\lambda$: $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash 0$. Due to the assumptions of Proposition 3.2 and the property that $\mathcal{E} = \varnothing$, we have that $b \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{C}$ which means that $\mu(z, \lambda)$ is holomorphic on D_+, D_-. As it is also continuous on $\mathbb{C}$ and property (2.22) holds, we conclude that $\mu(z, \lambda) \equiv 1$ and $v(z) \equiv 0$.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $E = E_{fixed} < 0$. The result follows immediately from Propositions 3.1, 3.2. □

4 Proof of Lemma 3.2

As $F(\lambda)$ is analytic in U_0, it can be represented in this domain by a Taylor series. Let us consider the radius of convergence R of this Taylor series. Suppose that the statement of Lemma 3.2 is not true and $R < 1$.

Let us take a point λ_0, such that $|\lambda_0| = R$. In this point $\Delta(\lambda)$ can be represented by the following series

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \sum_{k,j=0}^{\infty} b_{k,j}(\lambda - \lambda_0)^k(\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)^j \tag{4.1}$$

uniformly convergent in U_{λ_0}, some neighborhood of λ_0. We will prove that the coefficients $b_{j,k}$ satisfy the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} &b_{k,k} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad b_{k,k} \geq 0; && (a)\\ &b_{k,j} = \overline{b_{j,k}}; && (b)\\ &b_{k,j}b_{m,l} = b_{k,l}b_{m,j}. && (c) \end{aligned}$$

Indeed,

$$(a)\colon b_{k,k} = \frac{1}{(k!)^2}\partial_\lambda^k\partial_{\bar{\lambda}}^k\Delta(\lambda)\Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} = \lim_{\lambda\to\lambda_0}\frac{1}{(k!)^2}\partial_\lambda^k\partial_{\bar{\lambda}}^k\Delta(\lambda) = \lim_{\lambda\to\lambda_0}\frac{1}{(k!)^2}|\partial_\lambda^k F(\lambda)|^2 \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0.$$

$$(b)\colon b_{k,j} = \lim_{\lambda\to\lambda_0}\frac{1}{k!j!}\partial_\lambda^k F(\lambda)\overline{\partial_\lambda^j F(\lambda)} = \lim_{\lambda\to\lambda_0}\overline{\frac{1}{k!j!}\overline{\partial_\lambda^k F(\lambda)}\partial_\lambda^j F(\lambda)} = \overline{b_{j,k}}.$$

$$(c)\colon b_{k,j}b_{m,l} = \lim_{\lambda\to\lambda_0}\frac{1}{k!j!m!l!}\partial_\lambda^k F(\lambda)\overline{\partial_\lambda^j F(\lambda)}\partial_\lambda^m F(\lambda)\overline{\partial_\lambda^l F(\lambda)} = b_{k,l}b_{m,j}.$$

From properties (a)–(c) it follows that there exist such $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots$, that

$$b_{k,j} = a_k\bar{a}_j. \tag{4.2}$$

We will prove this statement by considering two different cases:

1. $b_{k,k} = 0\ \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$

   In this case from properties (b), (c) it follows that $b_{k,j} = 0\ \forall k, j \in \mathbb{N}\cup 0$, and we can take $a_k = 0\ \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$.

2. $b_{k,k} \neq 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$.

   In this case we take l to be the minimal number such that $b_{l,l} \neq 0$. Then we set $a_0 = a_1 = \ldots = a_{l-1} = 0$ and we take an arbitrary

complex number a_l satisfying $|a_l|^2 = b_{l,l}$. For the rest of the coefficients we set

$$a_n = \frac{b_{n,l}}{\bar{a}_l}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $n = l+1, l+2, \ldots$.

Now let us prove property (4.2). Let us suppose that $k < l$. Then $a_k = 0$, $b_{k,k} = 0$ and from properties (b), (c) it follows that $b_{k,j} = 0$ $\forall j \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$. Thus property (4.2) holds when $k < l$. A similar reasoning can be carried out when $j < l$. Now let us suppose that $k \geq l$, $j \geq l$. Then

$$a_k \bar{a}_j = \frac{b_{k,l}\bar{b}_{j,l}}{\bar{a}_l a_l} = \frac{b_{k,l} b_{l,j}}{b_{l,l}} = b_{k,j}. \tag{4.4}$$

Representation (4.2) is proved.

From convergence of series (4.1) it follows that the following series

$$F_1(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (\lambda - \lambda_0)^k \tag{4.5}$$

converges uniformly in U_{λ_0} (indeed, the case when $b_{k,k} = 0$ $\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$ is trivial, and in the case when $\exists l\colon b_{l,l} \neq 0$ we take the sum of the members of series (4.1) with coefficients $b_{k,l}$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots$, and obtain series (4.5) multiplied by $\bar{a}_l(\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)^l$). Thus there exists the function $F_1(\lambda)$ analytic in U_0 such that $\Delta(\lambda) = F_1(\lambda)\overline{F_1(\lambda)}$. Consequently, we have two functions $F(\lambda)$ and $F_1(\lambda)$ analytic in a common domain lying in $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\colon |\lambda| \leq R\} \cap U_{\lambda_0}$ and such that $|F(\lambda)| = |F_1(\lambda)|$. It means that $F(\lambda)$ and $F_1(\lambda)$ are equal up to a constant factor: $F(\lambda) = \mu F_1(\lambda)$, $|\mu| = 1$. It follows then that $\mu F_1(\lambda)$ is an analytic continuation of $F(\lambda)$ to U_{λ_0}.

The same reasoning can be applied to any point λ_0 on the boundary of the ball $B_R = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\colon |\lambda| \leq R\}$, i.e. $F(\lambda)$ can be continued analytically to some larger domain. Hence we obtain a contradiction to the assumption that $R < 1$ is the radius of convergence of the Taylor series for $F(\lambda)$. Thus $R = 1$ and $F(\lambda)$ can be extended analytically to D_+. □

References

[BLMP] Boiti M., Leon J.J.–P., Martina L., Pempinelli F.: Scattering of localized solitons in the plane. Physics Letters A. 132(8,9), 432–439 (1988)

[FA] Fokas A.S., Ablowitz M.J.: On the inverse scattering of the time–dependent Schrödinger equation and the associated Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (I) equation. Studies in Appl. Math. 69, 211–228 (1983)

[FS] Fokas A.S., Santini P.M.: Coherent structures in multidimensions. Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 1329-1333 (1983)

[GK] Gohberg I.C., Krein M.G.: Introduction to the theory of linear non-selfadjoint operators. Moscow: Nauka. (1965)

[G] Grinevich P.G.: Scattering transformation at fixed non-zero energy for the two-dimensional Schrodinger operator with potential decaying at infinity. Russ. Math. Surv. 55(6), 1015–1083 (2000)

[GN1] Grinevich P.G., Novikov R.G.: Transparent potentials at fixed energy in dimension two. Fixed energy dispersion relations for the fast decaying potentials. Commun. Math. Phys. 174, 409–446 (1995)

[GN2] Grinevich P.G., Novikov S.P.: Two-dimensional inverse scattering problem for negative energies and generalized-analytic functions. I. Energies below the ground state. Funct. Anal. Appl. 22(1), 19–27 (1988)

[HN] Henkin G.M., Novikov R.G.: The $\bar{\partial}$–equation in the multidimensional inverse scattering problem. Uspekhi Math. Nauk. 42(3), 93–152 (1987)

[KN] Kazeykina A.V., Novikov R.G.: Large time asymptotics for the Grinevich–Zakharov potentials. arXiv:1011.4038 (2010)

[M] Manakov S.V.: The inverse scattering method and two-dimensional evolution equations. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. 31(5), 245–246 (1976) (in Russian)

[N1] Novikov R.G.: Absence of exponentially localized solitons for the Novikov–Veselov equation at positive energy. Physics Letters A, to appear; arXiv:1010.0770

[N2] Novikov, R.G.: The inverse scattering problem on a fixed energy level for the two–dimensional Schrödinger operator. Journal of Funct. Anal. 103, 409–463 (1992)

[NV1] Novikov S.P., Veselov A.P.: Finite-zone, two-dimensional, potential Schrödinger operators. Explicit formula and evolutions equations. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 279, 20-24 (1984), translation in Sov. Math. Dokl. 30, 588-591 (1984)

[NV2] Novikov S.P., Veselov A.P.: Finite-zone, two-dimensional Schrödinger operators. Potential operators. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 279, 784-788 (1984), translation in Sov. Math. Dokl. 30, 705-708 (1984)

[ZS] Zakharov V.E., Shulman E.I.: Integrability of nonlinear systems and perturbation theory // What is integrability? Berlin: Springer–Verlag. 185–250 (1991)