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#### Abstract
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation for hard interaction potentials with or without angular cutoff. The initial data are assumed to be positive Borel measures having finite moments up to order 2. Our main results are
the existence and stability of measure solutions that have polynomial and exponential moment production properties.
1.1. The spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation. Before introducing the main results, let us recall the Boltzmann equation for $L^{1}$ solutions and basic notations. The equation for the space homogeneous solution takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_{t}(v)=Q\left(f_{t}, f_{t}\right)(v), \quad(v, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times(0, \infty), \quad N \geq 2 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some given initial data $\left.f_{t}(v)\right|_{t=0}=f_{0}(v)$ and $Q$ is the collision integral defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(f, f)(v)=\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{N} \times \mathbf{S}^{N-1}} B\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right)\left(f\left(v^{\prime}\right) f\left(v_{*}^{\prime}\right)-f(v) f\left(v_{*}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma \mathrm{~d} v_{*} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v, v_{*}$ and $v^{\prime}, v_{*}^{\prime}$ stand for velocities of two particles respectively after and before their collision,

$$
v^{\prime}=\frac{v+v_{*}}{2}+\frac{\left|v-v_{*}\right|}{2} \sigma, \quad v_{*}^{\prime}=\frac{v+v_{*}}{2}-\frac{\left|v-v_{*}\right|}{2} \sigma, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{N} .
$$

The above relation between $v, v_{*}$ and $v^{\prime}, v_{*}^{\prime}$ shows that the collision is elastic:

$$
v^{\prime}+v_{*}^{\prime}=v+v_{*}, \quad\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|v_{*}^{\prime}\right|^{2}=|v|^{2}+\left|v_{*}\right|^{2} .
$$

The collision kernel $B(z, \sigma)$ is in general assumed to take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(z, \sigma)=\bar{B}(|z|, \cos \theta), \quad \cos \theta=\frac{z}{|z|} \cdot \sigma, \quad \theta \in[0, \pi] \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(r, \theta) \mapsto \bar{B}(r, t)$ is a nonnegative Borel function on $[0, \infty) \times[-1,1]$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\forall t \in(-1,1), \quad r \mapsto \bar{B}(r, t) \text { is continuous on }[0, \infty)  \tag{1.4}\\
B(z, \sigma) \leq\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{\gamma / 2} b(\cos \theta), \quad \gamma>0 \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

In this paper most of the results are concerned with the production form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(z, \sigma)=|z|^{\gamma} b(\cos \theta), \quad \gamma \in(0,2] . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that this case corresponds to the so-called hard potential molecular interactions.
The angular function $b(\cdot)$ is a nonnegative Borel function on $[-1,1]$ satisfying some weighted integrability. Our strongest assumption is that $b(\cdot)$ as a function of $\sigma$ is integrable on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$, which means

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta) \sin ^{N-2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta<\infty
$$

However more singular situations can be considered. The minimal assumption is that $b(\cdot) \sin ^{2} \theta$ is integrable on the sphere as a function of $\sigma$ (this corresponds physically to an angular momentum), which means

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta) \sin ^{N} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta<\infty
$$

In the physical case, $N=3$, it is well-known that for the hard sphere model, $b(\cdot) \equiv$ cst., whereas for hard potential model without angular cutoff, there is only weighted integrability:

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta) \sin \theta \mathrm{d} \theta=\infty, \quad \int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta) \sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta<\infty .
$$

In this paper we consider the following different assumptions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
0<\gamma \leq 2, \quad A_{2}:=\left|\mathbb{S}^{N-2}\right| \int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta) \sin ^{N} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta<\infty .  \tag{H0}\\
0<\gamma \leq 2, \quad \int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta) \sin ^{N} \theta(1+|\log (\sin \theta)|) \mathrm{d} \theta<\infty .  \tag{H1}\\
1<\gamma<2, \quad \int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta) \sin ^{N-2+\beta} \theta \mathrm{d} \theta<\infty, \beta=2\left(\frac{2}{\gamma}-1\right) \in(0,2) .  \tag{H2}\\
\gamma=2, \quad \exists p \in(1, \infty) \text { s. t. } \quad \int_{0}^{\pi}[b(\cos \theta)]^{p} \sin ^{N-2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta<\infty .  \tag{H3}\\
0<\gamma \leq 2, \quad A_{0}:=\left|\mathbb{S}^{N-2}\right| \int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta) \sin ^{N-2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta<\infty . \tag{H4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that (H3)-(H4) corresponds to the angular "cutoff" case (short-range interactions), whereas (H0)-(H1)-(H2) allow for non locally integrable $b(\cdot)$ on the sphere, i.e. "noncutoff" case (long-range interactions).

For any $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{S}^{N}$, let

$$
\mathbb{S}^{N-2}(\mathbf{n})=\left\{\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{N} \mid \omega \cdot \mathbf{n}=0\right\} \quad(N \geq 3)
$$

and in dimension $N=2$ let

$$
\mathbb{S}^{0}(\mathbf{n})=\left\{-\mathbf{n}^{\perp}, \mathbf{n}^{\perp}\right\} \quad \text { where } \mathbf{n}^{\perp} \in \mathbb{S}^{1} \text { satisfies } \mathbf{n}^{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{n}=0
$$

Then for any $g \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{N}\right)$ or $g \geq 0$ (measurable) on $\mathbb{S}^{N}$ we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N}} g(\sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma=\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin ^{N-2} \theta\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-2}(\mathbf{n})} g(\cos \theta \mathbf{n}+\sin \theta \omega) \mathrm{d} \omega\right) \mathrm{d} \theta
$$

where $\mathrm{d} \omega$ is the Lebesgue spherical measure on $\mathbb{S}^{N-2}(\mathbf{n})$ and in case $N=2$ we define

$$
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{0}(\mathbf{n})} g(\omega) \mathrm{d} \omega=g\left(-\mathbf{n}^{\perp}\right)+g\left(\mathbf{n}^{\perp}\right) .
$$

Let $\left|\mathbb{S}^{N-2}(\mathbf{n})\right|=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-2}(\mathbf{n})} \mathrm{d} \omega$, etc. Then $\left|\mathbb{S}^{N-2}(\mathbf{n})\right|=\left|\mathbb{S}^{N-2}\right|$ for $N \geq 3,\left|\mathbb{S}^{0}(\mathbf{n})\right|=\left|\mathbb{S}^{0}\right|=2$ for $N=2$.

By classical calculation one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle Q(f, g), \varphi\rangle:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q(f, g)(v) \varphi(v) \mathrm{d} v=\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right) f(v) g\left(v_{*}\right) \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} v_{*} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Delta \varphi=\Delta \varphi\left(v, v_{*}, v^{\prime}, v_{*}^{\prime}\right)=\varphi\left(v^{\prime}\right)+\varphi\left(v_{*}^{\prime}\right)-\varphi(v)-\varphi\left(v_{*}\right),
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)=\int_{0}^{\pi} \bar{B}\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|, \cos \theta\right) \sin ^{N-2} \theta\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-2}(\mathbf{n})} \Delta \varphi \mathrm{d} \omega\right) \mathrm{d} \theta \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\sigma=\cos \theta \mathbf{n}+\sin \theta \omega, \mathbf{n}=\left(v-v_{*}\right) /\left|v-v_{*}\right|$ for $v \neq v_{*} ; \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{e}_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$ for $v=v_{*}$.

Observe that when assuming (H0)-(H1)-(H2) (non locally integrable $b(\cdot)$ ), the collision operator in the dual form (1.7) above is well-defined thanks to the cancellations in the symmetric difference $\Delta \varphi$ of $\varphi \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Basic estimates on $\Delta \varphi$ are as follows (see for instance [9, Lemma 3.2]): For all $\left(v, v_{*}, \sigma\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ one has

$$
\begin{gather*}
|\Delta \varphi| \leq \sqrt{2}\left(\max _{|u| \leq \sqrt{|v|^{2}+\left|v_{*}\right|^{2}}}|\nabla \varphi(u)|\right)\left|v-v_{*}\right| \sin \theta  \tag{1.9}\\
\left|\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-2}(\mathbf{n})} \Delta \varphi \mathrm{d} \omega\right| \leq\left|\mathbb{S}^{N-2}\right|\left(\max _{|u| \leq \sqrt{|v|^{2}+\left|v_{*}\right|^{2}}}\left|H_{\varphi}(u)\right|\right)\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \tag{1.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\nabla \varphi, H_{\varphi}$ are gradient and Hessian matrix of $\varphi$. Consequently the Boltzmann equation (1.1) in a weak form can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(v) f_{t}(v) \mathrm{d} v=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(v) f_{0}(v) \mathrm{d} v+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle Q\left(f_{\tau}, f_{\tau}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \tau \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the estimate (1.10) it is easily seen that if $A_{2}<\infty$ (minimal assumption) then $L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]$ is well-defined for all $\varphi \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

In fact we shall prove in Proposition 2.1 (see Section 2) that $\left(v, v_{*}\right) \mapsto L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)$ is also continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Furthermore if

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta) \sin ^{N-1} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta<\infty
$$

then from the estimate (1.9) one sees that

$$
L_{B}[|\Delta \varphi|]\left(v, v_{*}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N}} B\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right)|\Delta \varphi| \mathrm{d} \sigma<\infty
$$

so that $L_{B}$ coincides with the simpler formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N}} B\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right) \Delta \varphi \mathrm{d} \sigma \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The collision integral (1.7) and the equation (1.11) for $L^{1}$-functions are naturally extended to finite Borel measures. For every $0 \leq s<\infty$, let $\mathcal{B}_{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left(\mathcal{B}_{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),\|\cdot\|_{s}\right)$ be the Banach space of real Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ having finite total variations up to order $s$, i.e.

$$
\|\mu\|_{s}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\langle v\rangle^{s} \mathrm{~d}|\mu|(v)<\infty, \quad\langle v\rangle:=\left(1+|v|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where the positive Borel measure $|\mu|$ is the total variation of $\mu$. In particular $\|\mu\|=$ $\|\mu\|_{0}=|\mu|\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is simply the total variation of $\mu$. Let

$$
\mathcal{B}_{s}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{\mu \in \mathcal{B}_{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \mid \mu \geq 0\right\} .
$$

Let us denote

$$
C_{b}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{\varphi \in C^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\left|\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup _{v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}\right| \partial^{\alpha} \varphi(v) \mid<\infty\right\}
$$

Our test function space for defining measure weak solutions is then $C_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Finally by analogy with $\mathcal{B}_{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ we introduce the class $L_{-s}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ of locally bounded Borel functions such that

$$
\psi \in L_{-s}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \Longleftrightarrow\|\psi\|_{L_{-s}^{\infty}}:=\sup _{v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}|\psi(v)|\langle v\rangle^{-s}<\infty
$$

and we define

$$
L_{-s}^{\infty} \cap C^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{\varphi \in C^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \mid \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k}\left\|\partial^{\alpha} \varphi\right\|_{L_{-s}^{\infty}}<\infty\right\}, \quad s \geq 0, k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

In accordance with (1.7) we now define for every $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{B}_{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and every suitable smooth function $\varphi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle Q(\mu, \nu), \varphi\rangle:=\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu(v) \mathrm{d} \nu\left(v_{*}\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.2. Previous results and references. Let us give a short (and non exhaustive) overview of the main previous results and references related to the subject of this paper.

Cauchy theory for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation for hard potentials with cutoff. The first rigorous mathematical result is due to Carleman [7, 8] who proved existence and uniqueness of solutions in $L^{1} \cap L^{\infty}$ with pointwise moment bounds, for hard spheres interactions. A general Cauchy theory was later developped by Arkeryd [3, 4] who proved existence and uniqueness of solutions in $L^{1} \cap L \log L$ with $L^{1}$ moment bounds. More recently optimal results were obtained by Mischler and Wennberg [19] (see also $\mathrm{Lu}[17]$ ), and we refer the references therein for a more extensive bibliography.

Cauchy theory for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation for hard potentials without cutoff. This theory is much more recent, and not complete at now. As far as existence theory is concerned let us mention the seminal works of Villani 24] and then Alexandre and Villani [2]. As far as uniqueness results are concerned (in the general far from equilibrium regime), let us mention the works [23, 12, 14, 13] based on Wasserstein metrics and probabilistic tools, and the work [10] based on a priori estimates. Finally let us mention the related recent works in the perturbative close-to-equilibrium regime (but without assuming spatial homogeneity) of Gressman and Strain [16] on the one hand, and Alexandre, Morimoto, Ukai, Xu, Yang [1] on other hand.
Polynomial moment bounds. The first seminal result of the propagation of polynomial moments that exists initially for "variable hard spheres" (hard potentials with angular cutoff) is due to Elmroth [11] and makes use of so-called "Povzner's inequalities" [21]. Then Desvillettes proved, for the same model, the appearance of any polynomial as
soon as a moment of order strictly higher than 2 exists initially (see also [25]). Finally optimal results were obtained in [19] again.
Exponential moment bounds The first seminal result of propagation of moments of exponential form is due to Bobylev [5], still in the case of short-ranged interactions. Improvements of these results were later obtained in [6]. Let us also mention the related result of propagation of pointwise maxwellian bound in [15]. Inspired by the same techniques, the appearance of exponential moments was first obtained by the second author together with Mischler in [20, 18].

### 1.3. Definition of Measure Weak Solutions.

Definition 1.1. Let $B(z, \sigma)$ be given by (1.3)-(1.4)-(1.5) with $\gamma$ and $b(\cdot)$ satisfying (H0). Let $F_{0} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\left\{F_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. We say that $\left\{F_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$, or simply $F_{t}$, is a measure weak solution of Eq. (1.1) associated with the initial datum $F_{0}$, if it satisfies the following:
(i) $\sup _{t \geq 0}\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{2}<\infty$.
(ii) For every $\varphi \in C_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} F_{t}(v) \mathrm{d} F_{t}\left(v_{*}\right)<\infty, \quad \forall t>0 \\
t \mapsto\left\langle Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \quad \text { belongs to } \quad C((0, \infty)) \cap L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}([0, \infty)) \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(v) \mathrm{d} F_{t}(v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(v) \mathrm{d} F_{0}(v)+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle Q\left(F_{\tau}, F_{\tau}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \tau \quad \forall t \geq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover a measure weak solution $F_{t}$ is called a conservative solution if it conserves the mass, momentum and energy, i.e.

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
v \\
|v|^{2}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{d} F_{t}(v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
v \\
|v|^{2}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{d} F_{0}(v) \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

Note that every measure weak solution conserves at least the mass.
Our first main result of the paper is the following
Theorem 1.2 (Existence of solutions and moment production estimates without cutoff). Suppose that $B(z, \sigma)=|z|^{\gamma} b(\cos \theta)$ satisfies (H1). Given any initial datum $F_{0} \in$ $\mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}>0$, we have
(a) The Eq. (1.1) always has a conservative measure weak solution $F_{t}$, and the solution $F_{t}$ can be chosen such as to satisfy the moment production estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s} \leq \mathcal{K}_{s}\left(F_{0}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\frac{s-2}{\gamma}} \quad \forall t>0, \quad \forall s \geq 2 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{K}_{s}\left(F_{0}\right)=\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\left(2^{s+7} \frac{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}\left(1+\frac{1}{16\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2} A_{2} \gamma}\right)\right)^{\frac{s-2}{\gamma}}
$$

(b) If in addition either $0<\gamma \leq 1$ or one of the assumptions (H2) or (H3) is satisfied, then every solution in part (a), or more generally every conservative measure weak solution $F_{t}$ having the property of moment production

$$
\sup _{t \geq t_{0}}\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s}<\infty \quad \forall t_{0}>0, \forall s>2
$$

satisfies a moment production estimate of exponential form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \exp \left(c(t)\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}\right) \mathrm{d} F_{t}(v) \leq 2\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0} \quad \forall t>0 \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c(t)=2^{-s_{0}} \frac{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}}\left(1-e^{-\alpha t}\right), \quad \alpha=16\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2} A_{2} \gamma
$$

and $1<s_{0}<\infty$ depends only on $b(\cdot)$ and $\gamma$.
Corollary 1.3. Under the same assumptions on $B(z, \sigma)$ and the initial datum $F_{0}$ in Theorem 1.2, there exists a conservative measure weak solution $F_{t}$ of Eq. (1.1) such that for any $0<s<\gamma$ and any $R>0$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \exp \left(R\langle v\rangle^{s}\right) \mathrm{d} F_{t}(v) \leq\left(\exp \left(C_{s}(t)\right)+2\right)\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0} \quad \forall t>0
$$

where

$$
C_{s}(t)=R\left(\frac{R}{c(t)}\right)^{s /(\gamma-s)}
$$

Proof. The proof of this Corollary is quite short and we can present it here. As a consequence of Theorem 1.2 there exists a conservative measure weak solution $F_{t}$ of Eq. (1.1) such that $F_{t}$ satisfies (1.16). For any $t>0$, if $R\langle v\rangle^{s}>C_{s}(t)$, then $\langle v\rangle^{\gamma-s}>$ $\left(\frac{1}{R} C_{s}(t)\right)^{(\gamma-s) / s}=\frac{R}{c(t)}$ and so

$$
R\langle v\rangle^{s}=\frac{R}{c(t)\langle v\rangle^{\gamma-s}} c(t)\langle v\rangle^{\gamma} \leq c(t)\langle v\rangle^{\gamma} .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{R\langle v\rangle^{s}} \mathrm{~d} F_{t}(v)=\int_{\left\{R\langle v\rangle^{s} \leq C_{s}(t)\right\}} e^{R\langle v\rangle^{s}} \mathrm{~d} F_{t}(v)+\int_{\left\{R\langle v\rangle^{s}>C_{s}(t)\right\}} e^{R\langle v\rangle^{s}} \mathrm{~d} F_{t}(v) \\
& \leq e^{C_{s}(t)}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}+\int_{\left\{R\langle v\rangle^{s}>C_{s}(t)\right\}} e^{c(t)\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}} \mathrm{d} F_{t}(v) \leq e^{C_{s}(t)}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}+2\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

1.4. Definition of Measure Strong Solutions. Now let us consider measure strong solutions of Eq. (1.1) under the angular cutoff assumption (H4). Let $B(z, \sigma)$ be given by (1.3)-(1.4)-(1.5) with $b(\cdot)$ satisfying $A_{2}<\infty$. Then we can define bilinear operators $Q^{ \pm}: \mathcal{B}_{s+\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times \mathcal{B}_{s+\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)(s \geq 0)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\mu, \nu):=Q^{+}(\mu, \nu)-Q^{-}(\mu, \nu) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

through Riesz's representation theorem by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi(v) \mathrm{d} Q^{+}(\mu, \nu)(v)=\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} L_{B}[\psi]\left(v, v_{*}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu(v) \mathrm{d} \nu\left(v_{*}\right),  \tag{1.18}\\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi(v) \mathrm{d} Q^{-}(\mu, \nu)(v)=\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} A\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|\right) \psi(v) d \mu(v) \mathrm{d} \nu\left(v_{*}\right) \tag{1.19}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\psi \in L_{-s}^{\infty} \cap C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{B}[\psi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N}} B\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right) \psi\left(v^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma, \quad A(|z|)=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} B(z, \sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and recall that $\mathbf{n}=\left(v-v_{*}\right) /\left|v-v_{*}\right|$ in $b(\mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma)$ is replaced by a fixed unit vector $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ for $v=v_{*}$.

Recall that the norm $\|\mu\|_{s}$ of $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)(s \geq 0)$ can be estimated in terms of compactly smooth test functions: For all $k \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mu\|_{s}=\sup _{\varphi \in C_{c}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),\|\varphi\|_{L_{-s}^{\infty}}^{\infty} \leq 1}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} \mu\right| . \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Basic properties of the Borel measures $Q^{ \pm}(\mu, \nu)$ are as follows (which will be proven in Section 2 ):

Proposition 1.4. Let $B(z, \sigma)$ be given by (1.3)-(1.4) -(1.5) with $b(\cdot)$ satisfying $A_{0}<\infty$. Then

$$
Q^{ \pm}: \mathcal{B}_{s+\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times \mathcal{B}_{s+\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \quad(s \geq 0)
$$

are bounded and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q^{ \pm}(\mu, \nu)\right\|_{s} \leq 2^{(s+\gamma) / 2} A_{0}\left(\|\mu\|_{s+\gamma}\|\nu\|_{0}+\|\mu\|_{0}\|\nu\|_{s+\gamma}\right) \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q^{ \pm}(\mu, \mu)-Q^{ \pm}(\nu, \nu)\right\|_{s} \leq 2^{(s+\gamma) / 2} A_{0}\left(\|\mu+\nu\|_{s+\gamma}\|\mu-\nu\|_{0}+\|\mu+\nu\|_{0}\|\mu-\nu\|_{s+\gamma}\right) \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|Q(\mu, \mu)-Q(\nu, \nu)\|_{0} \leq 2^{1+(s+\gamma) / 2} A_{0}\left(\|\mu+\nu\|_{\gamma}\|\mu-\nu\|_{0}+\|\mu+\nu\|_{0}\|\mu-\nu\|_{\gamma}\right) \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally for all $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and all $\varphi \in C_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle Q(\mu, \mu), \varphi\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} Q(\mu, \mu) \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the left-hand side of (1.25) is defined in (1.13).
Definition 1.5. Let $B(z, \sigma)$ be given by (1.3)-(1.4)-(1.5) with $\gamma$ and $b(\cdot)$ satisfying (H4). Let $F_{0} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\left\{F_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. We say that $F_{t}$ is a measure strong solution of Eq.(1.1) associated with the initial datum $\left.F_{t}\right|_{t=0}=F_{0}$, if it satisfies the following:
(i) $\sup _{t \geq 0}\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{2}<\infty$.
(ii) $t \mapsto F_{t} \in C\left([0, \infty) ; \mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, \infty) ; \mathcal{B}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} F_{t}=Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right), \quad t \in[0, \infty) \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note from (1.22)-(1.23)-(1.24) that the strong continuity of

$$
t \mapsto F_{t} \in C\left([0, \infty) ; \mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)
$$

implies the strong continuity $t \mapsto Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right) \in C\left([0, \infty) ; \mathcal{B}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)$, so that the differential equation (1.26) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{t}=F_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} Q\left(F_{s}, F_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral is taken in the Riemann sense or generally in the Bochner sense. Recall also that here the derivative $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} t} \mu_{t}$ and integral $\int_{a}^{b} \nu_{t} \mathrm{~d} t$ as measures are defined by

$$
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \mu_{t}\right)(E)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \mu_{t}(E), \quad\left(\int_{a}^{b} \nu_{t} \mathrm{~d} t\right)(E)=\int_{a}^{b} \nu_{t}(E) \mathrm{d} t
$$

for all Borel sets $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Note also that if a strong measure solution $F_{t}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure for all $t \geq 0$, i.e. $\mathrm{d} F_{t}=f_{t} \mathrm{~d} v$, then it is easily seen that $f_{t}$ (after modification on a $v$-null set) is a mild solution of Eq. (1.1). That is, $(t, v) \mapsto f_{t}(v)$ is nonnegative and Lebesgue measurable on $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and for every $t \geq 0, v \mapsto f_{t}(v)$ belongs to $L_{2}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, $\sup _{t \geq 0}\left\|f_{t}\right\|_{L_{2}^{1}}<\infty$, and there is a Lebesgue null set $Z_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ (which is independent of $t$ ) such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{0}^{t} Q^{ \pm}\left(f_{\tau}, f_{\tau}\right)(v) \mathrm{d} \tau<\infty \quad \forall t \in[0, \infty), \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash Z_{0}  \tag{1.28}\\
f_{t}(v)=f_{0}(v)+\int_{0}^{t} Q\left(f_{\tau}, f_{\tau}\right)(v) \mathrm{d} \tau, \quad \forall t \in[0, \infty), \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash Z_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here

$$
L_{s}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\left|\|f\|_{L_{s}^{1}}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\right| f(v) \mid\langle v\rangle^{s} \mathrm{~d} v<\infty\right\}, \quad s \geq 0
$$

From classical measure theory [22, Theorem 6.13, page 149]: if $\mathrm{d} \mu=f \mathrm{~d} v$ for $f \in$ $L_{s}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, then $\mathrm{d}|\mu|=|f| \mathrm{d} v$ and hence $\|\mu\|_{s}=\|f\|_{L_{s}^{1}}$.

For any positive measure $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ we introduce the following continuous function $r \mapsto \Psi_{\mu}(r)$ on $[0, \infty)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mu}(r)=r+r^{1 / 3}+\int_{|v|>r^{-1 / 3}}|v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu(v), \quad r>0 ; \quad \Psi_{\mu}(0)=0 . \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our second main result of this paper is
Theorem 1.6 (Uniqueness and stability estimates for locally integrable $b$ ). Let $B(z, \sigma)=$ $|z|^{\gamma} b(\cos \theta)$ satisfy (H4). Then
(a) Every conservative measure weak solution of Eq. (1.1) is a strong solution, while every measure strong solution of Eq. (1.1) is a measure weak solution.
(b) Let $F_{t}$ be a measure strong solution of Eq. (1.1) with initial datum $F_{0}$ satisfying $\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then $F_{t}$ in fact conserves the mass, momentum and energy.
(c) Given any $F_{0} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. There exists a unique conservative measure strong solution $F_{t}$ of Eq. (1.1) such that $\left.F_{t}\right|_{t=0}=F_{0}$. Therefore if $\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}>0$, then $F_{t}$ satisfies the moment production estimates in Theorem 1.2.
(d) Let $F_{t}$ be a conservative measure strong solutions of Eq. (1.1) with an initial datum $\left.F_{t}\right|_{t=0}=F_{0} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and suppose $\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}>0$. Let $G_{t}$ be a conservative measure strong solutions of Eq. (1.1) with time-interval $(\tau, \infty)$ with an initial datum $\left.G_{t}\right|_{t=\tau}=G_{\tau} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for some $\tau \geq 0$. Then:

- If $\tau=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{t}-G_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq \Psi_{F_{0}}\left(\left\|F_{0}-G_{0}\right\|_{2}\right) e^{C(1+t)}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{F_{0}}$ is given by (1.29), $C=\mathcal{R}\left(\gamma, A_{0}, A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0},\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\right)$ is an explicit positive continuous function on $\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)^{\otimes 5}$.

- If $\tau>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{t}-G_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|F_{\tau}-G_{\tau}\right\|_{2} e^{c_{\tau}(t-\tau)}, \quad t \in[\tau, \infty) \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{\tau}=4 A_{0}\left(\mathcal{K}_{2+\gamma}\left(F_{0}\right)+\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{K}_{2+\gamma}\left(F_{0}\right)$ is given in (1.15) with $s=2+\gamma$.
(e) If $F_{0} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, i.e. $\mathrm{d} F_{0}=f_{0} \mathrm{~d} v$ with $0 \leq f_{0} \in L_{2}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, then the unique conservative measure strong $F_{t}$ with the initial datum $F_{0}$ is also absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure: $\mathrm{d} F_{t}=f_{t} \mathrm{~d} v$ for all $t \geq 0$, and $f_{t}$ is the unique conservative mild solution of Eq. (1.1) with the initial datum $f_{0}$.
(f) If $F_{0} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is not a Dirac-mass and let $F_{t}$ be the unique measure strong solution of $E q$. (1.1) with initial datum $F_{0}$, then there is a sequence $\left\{f_{t}^{n}\right\}$ of conservative $L^{1}$-solutions of Eq. (1.1) with initial data $0 \leq f_{0}^{n} \in L_{2}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
v \\
|v|^{2}
\end{array}\right) f_{0}^{n}(v) \mathrm{d} v=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
v \\
|v|^{2}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{d} F_{0}(v), \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(v) f_{t}^{n}(v) \mathrm{d} v=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(v) \mathrm{d} F_{t}(v) \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{b}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.7. An application of the estimate (1.31) for solutions with different initial times will be seen in our next paper concerning the rate of convergence to equilibrium.
Remark 1.8. In the second part of this work we shall prove the exponential convergence to equilibrium (for bounded angular function $b(\cdot)$ ): $\left\|F_{t}-M\right\|_{0} \leq C e^{-c t}$ where $M$ is the Maxwellian (gaussian) with the same mass, momentum and energy as $F_{0}$ (assuming that $F_{0}$ is not a single Dirac mass and $\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}>0$ ), $C, c>0$ are constants depending only on $N, b(\cdot), \gamma$ and the mass, momentum and energy of $F_{0}$. This results shall allow to prove that the stability estimate (1.30) can be improved to be uniform in time:

$$
\sup _{t \geq 0}\left\|F_{t}-G_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq \widetilde{\Psi}_{F_{0}}\left(\left\|F_{0}-G_{0}\right\|_{2}\right)
$$

for some explicit continuous function $\widetilde{\Psi}_{F_{0}}(r)$ on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying $\widetilde{\Psi}_{F_{0}}(0)=0$.

## 2. Continuity and Convergence

We shall prove in this section the continuity of the collision kernel. It is required for defining measure weak solutions of Eq. (1.1) as we mentioned in Section 1, but also for proving weak convergence of approximate solutions, which leads to the existence of measure weak solutions.
2.1. Representations of $\left\langle v^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2},\left\langle v_{*}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2}$. We first begin this section with a preliminary technical computation.

For any $v, v_{*} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, let us define

$$
\mathbf{h}=\frac{v+v_{*}}{\left|v+v_{*}\right|} \quad \text { for } \quad v+v_{*} \neq 0 ; \quad \mathbf{h}=\mathbf{e}_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0) \quad \text { for } \quad v+v_{*}=0
$$

and recall that $\mathbf{n}=\left(v-v_{*}\right) /\left|v-v_{*}\right|$ when $v \neq v_{*}$ and $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{e}_{1}$ else. By definition

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle v^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2}:=1+\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2}=\frac{\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}}{2}+\frac{\left|v+v_{*}\right|\left|v-v_{*}\right|}{2}(\mathbf{h} \cdot \sigma)  \tag{2.1}\\
\left\langle v_{*}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2}:=1+\left|v_{*}^{\prime}\right|^{2}=\frac{\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}}{2}-\frac{\left|v+v_{*}\right|\left|v-v_{*}\right|}{2}(\mathbf{h} \cdot \sigma) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us also define the unit vector

$$
\mathbf{j}=\frac{\mathbf{h}-(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{n}}{\sqrt{1-(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n})^{2}}} \text { for }|\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}|<1 \text { and } \mathbf{j}=\mathbf{e}_{1} \text { for }|\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}|=1
$$

Then with the change of variables $\sigma=\cos \theta \mathbf{n}+\sin \theta \omega, \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{N-2}(\mathbf{n})$, we have

$$
\mathbf{h} \cdot \sigma=(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \cos \theta+\sqrt{1-(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n})^{2}} \sin \theta(\mathbf{j} \cdot \omega), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{N-2}(\mathbf{n})
$$

so that we get another representation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle v^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2}=\langle v\rangle^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta / 2+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta / 2+\sqrt{|v|^{2}\left|v_{*}\right|^{2}-\left(v \cdot v_{*}\right)^{2}} \sin \theta(\mathbf{j} \cdot \omega)  \tag{2.2}\\
\left\langle v_{*}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2}=\langle v\rangle^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta / 2+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta / 2-\sqrt{|v|^{2}\left|v_{*}\right|^{2}-\left(v \cdot v_{*}\right)^{2}} \sin \theta(\mathbf{j} \cdot \omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

2.2. Continuity of the collision kernel. Let us now prove the continuity property.

Proposition 2.1. Let $B(z, \sigma)$ be given by (1.3)-(1.4)-(1.5) with $b(\cdot)$ satisfying $A_{2}<\infty$. Then
(I) The function $\left(v, v_{*}\right) \mapsto L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ for all $\varphi \in$ $C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
(II) Let $B_{n}(z, \sigma)=\bar{B}_{n}(|z|, \cos \theta)$ satisfy (1.4) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{B}_{n}(r, t) \nearrow \bar{B}(r, t) \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \forall(r, t) \in[0, \infty) \times(-1,1) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $\varphi \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and any $0<R<\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|v|+\left|v_{*}\right| \leq R}\left|L_{B_{n}}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)-L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover let $\varphi_{n} \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}(v)=\varphi(v) \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{N} ; \quad \sup _{n \geq 1} \sup _{|v| \leq R} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2}\left|\partial^{\alpha} \varphi_{n}(v)\right|<\infty \quad \forall R<\infty . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
L_{B_{n}}\left[\Delta \varphi_{n}\right]\left(v, v_{*}\right) \rightarrow L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right) \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \forall\left(v, v_{*}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

Proof. Let us write

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)=\int_{0}^{\pi} \bar{B}\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|, \cos \theta\right) \sin ^{N} \theta L[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}, \theta\right) \mathrm{d} \theta \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
L[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}, \theta\right)=\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \theta} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-2}(\mathbf{n})} \Delta \varphi \mathrm{d} \omega, \quad 0<\theta<\pi
$$

Recalling (1.10) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0<\theta<\pi}\left|L[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}, \theta\right)\right| \leq\left|\mathbb{S}^{N-2}\right|\left(\max _{|u| \leq \sqrt{|v|^{2}+\left|v_{*}\right|^{2}}}\left|H_{\varphi}(u)\right|\right)\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{2} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Part (I). For any $0<R<\infty$, consider decomposition

$$
B\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right)=B\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right) \wedge R+\left(B\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right)-R\right)^{+}
$$

where $x \wedge y=\min \{x, y\},(x-y)^{+}=\max \{x-y, 0\}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)=L_{B \wedge R}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)+L_{(B-R)^{+}}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right), \\
& L_{B \wedge R}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}\left[B\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right) \wedge R\right] \Delta \varphi \mathrm{d} \sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix any $\left(v_{0}, v_{* 0}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Applying (2.7)-(2.8) to $L_{(B-R)^{+}}[\Delta \varphi]$ and recalling the assumption (1.5) we have

$$
\sup _{\left|v-v_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|v_{*}-v_{*}\right|^{2} \leq 1}\left|L_{(B-R)^{+}}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right| \leq C_{\varphi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(C_{\gamma} b(\cos \theta)-R\right)^{+} \sin ^{N} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta=: I_{\varphi, \gamma}(R)
$$

where $C_{\varphi}, C_{\gamma}$ are finite constants depending only on $\varphi, \gamma, v_{0}, v_{* 0}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)-L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v_{0}, v_{* 0}\right)\right|  \tag{2.9}\\
\leq & \left|L_{B \wedge R}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)-L_{B \wedge R}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v_{0}, v_{* 0}\right)\right|+I_{\varphi, \gamma}(R) \quad \forall\left|v-v_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|v_{*}-v_{* 0}\right|^{2} \leq 1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $(\Delta \varphi)_{0}=\varphi\left(v_{0}^{\prime}\right)+\varphi\left(v_{*_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)-\varphi\left(v_{0}\right)-\varphi\left(v_{* 0}\right)$. Applying (2.7) to $L_{B \wedge R}[\Delta \varphi]$ and using the assumption (1.4) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|L_{B \wedge R}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)-L_{B \wedge R}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v_{0}, v_{* 0}\right)\right| \\
& \leq C_{\varphi}\left|\mathbf{S}^{N-2}\right| \int_{0}^{\pi}\left|\bar{B}\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|, \cos \theta\right) \wedge R-\bar{B}\left(\left|v_{0}-v_{* 0}\right|, \cos \theta\right) \wedge R\right| \sin ^{N-2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& +R \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}\left|\Delta \varphi-(\Delta \varphi)_{0}\right| \mathrm{d} \sigma \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad\left(v, v_{*}\right) \rightarrow\left(v_{0}, v_{* 0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also by assumption $\int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta) \sin ^{N} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta<\infty$ we have $I_{\varphi, \gamma}(R) \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow+\infty$. Thus from (2.9), by first letting $\left(v, v_{*}\right) \rightarrow\left(v_{0}, v_{* 0}\right)$ and then letting $R \rightarrow+\infty$, we obtain

$$
\limsup _{\left(v, v_{*}\right) \rightarrow\left(v_{0}, v_{* 0}\right)}\left|L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)-L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v_{0}, v_{* 0}\right)\right|=0
$$

Part (II). By assumption (2.3) and (1.5) we have $\bar{B}_{n}(r, \cos \theta) \leq \bar{B}_{n+1}(r, \cos \theta) \leq$ $\bar{B}(r, \cos \theta) \leq\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{\gamma / 2} b(\cos \theta)$ which together with (1.4) implies that the functions

$$
r \mapsto \int_{0}^{\pi} \bar{B}_{n}(r, \cos \theta) \sin ^{N} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta, \quad r \mapsto \int_{0}^{\pi} \bar{B}(r, \cos \theta) \sin ^{N} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta
$$

are all continuous on $[0, \infty)$. Thus by first using (2.3) and dominated convergence and then using Dini's theorem we conclude that for any $0<R<\infty$

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\bar{B}(r, \cos \theta)-\bar{B}_{n}(r, \cos \theta)\right) \sin ^{N} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow 0) \text { uniformly in } r \in[0, R]
$$

Therefore applying (2.7)-(2.8) to $L_{B-B_{n}}[\Delta \varphi]$ we have, for any $0<R<\infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{|v|+\left|v_{*}\right| \leq R}\left|L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)-L_{B_{n}}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right|=\sup _{|v|+\left|v_{*}\right| \leq R}\left|L_{B-B_{n}}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right| \\
& \leq C_{\varphi, R} \sup _{r \in[0, R]} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\bar{B}(r, \cos \theta)-\bar{B}_{n}(r, \cos \theta)\right) \sin ^{N} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{\varphi, R}=\sup _{|u| \leq R}\left|H_{\varphi}(u)\right| R^{2}$.
Finally for any $\left(v, v_{*}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{N} \times \mathbf{R}^{N}$, using (2.5) and denoting $r=\left|v-v_{*}\right|$ we have by dominated convergence that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)-L_{B_{n}}\left[\Delta \varphi_{n}\right]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right| \leq\left|L_{B}\left[\Delta\left(\varphi-\varphi_{n}\right)\right]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right|+\left|L_{B-B_{n}}\left[\Delta \varphi_{n}\right]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\pi} \bar{B}(r, \cos \theta) \sin ^{N} \theta\left|L\left[\Delta\left(\varphi-\varphi_{n}\right)\right]\left(v, v_{*}, \theta\right)\right| \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& +C \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\bar{B}(r, \cos \theta)-\bar{B}_{n}(r, \cos \theta)\right) \sin ^{N} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta \longrightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.2. Let $0 \leq s_{j}<\infty,\left\{\mu_{j}^{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{B}_{s_{j}}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}\right)$, $\mu_{j} \in \mathcal{B}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}} \varphi_{j} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{j}^{n}=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N_{j}}} \varphi_{j} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{j}, \quad \forall \varphi_{j} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}\right), \quad j=1,2, \ldots, k
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j} \in \mathcal{B}_{s_{j}}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}\right), \quad\left\|\mu_{j}\right\|_{s_{j}} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mu_{j}^{n}\right\|_{s_{j}}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, k \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover if $\Psi_{n}, \Psi \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N_{1}} \times \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^{N_{k}}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{n \geq 1} \frac{\left|\Psi_{n}(\mathbf{x})\right|}{\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left\langle x_{j}\right\rangle^{s_{j}}}=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{|\mathbf{x}| \leq R}\left|\Psi_{n}(\mathbf{x})-\Psi(\mathbf{x})\right|=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0<R<\infty$, where $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \otimes_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\otimes_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}} \Psi_{n} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mu_{1}^{n} \otimes \mu_{2}^{n} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_{k}^{n}\right)=\int_{\otimes_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}} \Psi \mathrm{~d}\left(\mu_{1} \otimes \mu_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_{k}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First (2.12) easily follows from Fatou's Lemma. Let us prove (2.14). Consider

$$
M=\sup _{n \geq 1}\left\{\left\|\mu_{1}^{n}\right\|_{s_{1}},\left\|\mu_{2}^{n}\right\|_{s_{2}}, \ldots,\left\|\mu_{k}^{n}\right\|_{s_{k}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\nu^{n}=\mu_{1}^{n} \otimes \mu_{2}^{n} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_{k}^{n}, \quad \nu=\mu_{1} \otimes \mu_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_{k}
$$

By assumption on $\Psi_{n}, \Psi$, for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exist $R>0, n_{\varepsilon} \geq 1$ such that for all $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Psi_{n}(\mathbf{x})\right|,|\Psi(\mathbf{x})|<\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left\langle x_{j}\right\rangle^{s_{j}}, \quad \forall|\mathbf{x}|>R \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Psi_{n}(\mathbf{x})-\Psi(\mathbf{x})\right|<\varepsilon, \quad \forall|\mathbf{x}| \leq k(R+2) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by polynomial approximation, there exists a polynomial $P(\mathbf{x})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Psi(\mathbf{x})-P(\mathbf{x})|<\varepsilon \quad \forall|\mathbf{x}| \leq k(R+2) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $\chi_{j}^{R} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}\right)$ satisfying $0 \leq \chi_{j}^{R}\left(x_{j}\right) \leq 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}$ and $\chi_{j}^{R}\left(x_{j}\right)=1$ for $\left|x_{j}\right| \leq R$ and $\chi_{j}^{R}\left(x_{j}\right)=0$ for $\left|x_{j}\right| \geq R+2$. If we write $P(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{i=0}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{k} P_{i, j}\left(x_{j}\right)$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P_{i, j}\left(x_{j}\right)$ are polynomials in $x_{j}$, then

$$
P(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{j=1}^{k} \chi_{j}^{R}\left(x_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \varphi_{i, j}\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

where $\varphi_{i, j}\left(x_{j}\right)=P_{i, j}\left(x_{j}\right) \chi_{j}^{R}\left(x_{j}\right), i=0,1,2, \ldots, m, j=1,2, \ldots, k$. Then consider the decomposition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}} \Psi \Psi_{n} \mathrm{~d} \nu^{n}-\int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}} \Psi \mathrm{~d} \nu=\int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}} \Psi_{n}\left(1-\prod_{j=1}^{k} \chi_{j}^{R}\right) \mathrm{d} \nu^{n} \\
& +\int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}}\left(\Psi_{n}-\Psi\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k} \chi_{j}^{R} \mathrm{~d} \nu^{n}+\int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}}(\Psi-P) \prod_{j=1}^{k} \chi_{j}^{R} \mathrm{~d} \nu^{n} \\
& +\left[\sum_{i=0}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}} \varphi_{i, j} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{j}^{n}-\sum_{i=0}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}} \varphi_{i, j} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{j}\right] \\
& +\int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}}(P-\Psi) \prod_{j=1}^{k} \chi_{j}^{R} \mathrm{~d} \nu+\int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbf{R}^{N_{j}}} \Psi\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \chi_{j}^{R}-1\right) \mathrm{d} \nu \\
& :=I_{n, 1}+I_{n, 2}+I_{n, 3}+I_{n, 4}+I_{5}+I_{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $1-\prod_{j=1}^{k} \chi_{j}^{R}\left(x_{j}\right)=0$ for all $|\mathbf{x}| \leq R$, and $\prod_{j=1}^{k} \chi_{j}^{R}\left(x_{j}\right)=0$ for all $|\mathbf{x}|>k(R+2)$, it follows from (2.15)-(2.16) $-(2.17)$ that for all $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|I_{n, 1}\right|+\left|I_{6}\right| \leq 2 \varepsilon \int_{|\mathbf{x}|>R} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left\langle x_{j}\right\rangle^{s_{j}} \mathrm{~d} \nu^{n} \leq 2 \varepsilon k M^{k} \\
& \left|I_{n, 2}\right|+\left|I_{n, 3}\right|+\left|I_{5}\right| \leq 2 \varepsilon \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq k(R+2)} \mathrm{d} \nu^{n}+\varepsilon \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq k(R+2)} \mathrm{d} \nu \leq 3 \varepsilon M^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{n, 4}$, since $\varphi_{i, j} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}\right)$, it follows from the assumption of the lemma that

$$
I_{n, 4}=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}} \varphi_{i, j} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{j}^{n}-\prod_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}} \varphi_{i, j} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{j}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

Therefore

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}} \Psi_{n} \mathrm{~d} \nu^{n}-\int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{R}^{N_{j}}} \Psi \mathrm{~d} \nu\right| \leq 5 k M^{k} \varepsilon
$$

This proves (2.14) by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$.
We end this section with the
Proof of Proposition 1.4. By elementary inequalities

$$
\left\langle v^{\prime}\right\rangle^{s} \leq\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{s / 2}, \quad\left(1+\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{2}\right)^{\gamma / 2} \leq 2^{\gamma / 2}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{\gamma / 2}
$$

and the assumption on $B$ we have for any $\varphi \in C_{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{L_{-s}^{\infty}} \leq 1$ $\left|\varphi\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right| B\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right) \leq\left\langle v^{\prime}\right\rangle^{s}\left(1+\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{2}\right)^{\gamma / 2} b(\cos \theta) \leq 2^{(s+\gamma) / 2}\left(\langle v\rangle^{s+\gamma}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{s+\gamma}\right) b(\cos \theta)$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} L_{B}[|\varphi|]\left(v, v_{*}\right) \mathrm{d}(|\mu| \otimes|\nu|) \leq A_{0} 2^{(s+\gamma) / 2}\left(\|\mu\|_{s+\gamma}\|\nu\|_{0}+\|\mu\|_{0}\|\nu\|_{s+\gamma}\right) \\
\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} A\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|\right)|\varphi(v)| \mathrm{d}(|\mu| \otimes|\nu|) \leq A_{0} 2^{(s+\gamma) / 2}\left(\|\mu\|_{s+\gamma}\|\nu\|_{0}+\|\mu\|_{0}\|\nu\|_{s+\gamma}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These imply (1.22). The inequality (1.23) follows from (1.22) and the following identities:

$$
Q^{ \pm}(\mu, \mu)-Q^{ \pm}(\nu, \nu)=\frac{1}{2} Q^{ \pm}(\mu+\nu, \mu-\nu)+\frac{1}{2} Q^{ \pm}(\mu-\nu, \mu+\nu)
$$

Next recall $B\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right)=\bar{B}\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|, \frac{v-v_{*}}{\left|v-v_{*}\right|} \cdot \sigma\right)$. By changing variables $\sigma \rightarrow-\sigma, v \leftrightarrow v_{*}$ and using Fubini's theorem we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} Q^{+}(\mu, \mu)=\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{N} \times \mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbf{S}^{N-1}} B\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right)\left(\varphi\left(v^{\prime}\right)+\varphi\left(v_{*}^{\prime}\right)\right) d \sigma\right) \mathrm{d} \mu(v) \mathrm{d} \mu\left(v_{*}\right) .
$$

A similar symmetry for $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} Q^{-}(\mu, \mu)$ is obvious. The difference of the two is equal to $\langle Q(\mu, \mu), \varphi\rangle$. This proves (1.25).

## 3. Some Lemmas

In this section we collect and prove some inequalities that will be used to prove our main result.

Lemma 3.1 (Cf. [6]). Let $p \geq 1$ and $k_{p}=[(p+1) / 2]$ the integer part of $(p+1) / 2$. Then for all $x, y \geq 0$

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{k_{p}-1}\binom{p}{k}\left(x^{k} y^{p-k}+x^{p-k} y^{k}\right) \leq(x+y)^{p} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}\left(x^{k} y^{p-k}+x^{p-k} y^{k}\right)
$$

where

$$
\binom{p}{k}=\frac{p(p-1) \cdots(p-k+1)}{k!}, \quad k \geq 1 ; \quad\binom{p}{0}=1 .
$$

Let $p \geq 1$ and $n \in\{1,2, \ldots,[p]\}$. Then using Taylor's formula for the function $x \mapsto(1+x)^{p}$ one has

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{p}{k} x^{k} \leq(1+x)^{p} \quad \forall x \geq 0
$$

In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{p}{k} \leq 2^{p}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq p \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Gamma(x), \mathrm{B}(x, y)$ be the gamma and beta functions:

$$
\Gamma(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} \mathrm{~d} t, \quad x>0 ; \quad \mathrm{B}(x, y)=\int_{0}^{1} t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} \mathrm{~d} t, \quad x, y>0 .
$$

It is well-known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(x) \Gamma(y)=\Gamma(x+y) \mathrm{B}(x, y), \quad \forall x, y>0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Other relations that we shall also use are: For any integer $k \geq 1$ and for any real number $p \geq k$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{p}{k}=\frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p-k+1) \Gamma(k+1)} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}(x+1, y)+\mathrm{B}(x, y+1)=\mathrm{B}(x, y), \quad x, y>0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $0<\alpha, \eta<\infty, g \in C([0, \eta])$ and $S \in C^{1}([0, \eta])$ such that

$$
S(0)=0, \quad S^{\prime}(x)<0 \quad \forall x \in[0, \eta)
$$

Then for any $\lambda \geq 1$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\eta} x^{\alpha-1} g(x) e^{\lambda S(x)} \mathrm{d} x=\Gamma(\alpha)\left(\frac{1}{-\lambda S^{\prime}(0)}\right)^{\alpha}(g(0)+o(1))
$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. This is classical stationary phase type of analysis, we omit the proof for the sake of conciseness of this paper.

Lemma 3.3. Let $p \geq 3$ and $k_{p}=[(p+1) / 2]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k} \mathrm{~B}(k, p-k) \leq 4 \log p \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

More generally for any $a>1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{k_{p}-1}\binom{p-2}{k} \mathrm{~B}(a(k+1), a(p-k-1)) \leq C_{a}(a p)^{-a} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<C_{a}<\infty$ only depends on $a$.
Proof. Since $p \geq 3$ we have

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k} \mathrm{~B}(k, p-k)=\sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}} \frac{p}{k(p-k)}=\sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\left(\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{p-k}\right) \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}} \frac{1}{k} \leq 4 \log p
$$

Now suppose $a>1$. Let

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k} \mathrm{~B}(a k, a(p-k))=I_{a}(p)+I_{a}\left(p, k_{p}\right)
$$

where

$$
I_{a}(p)=\sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}-1}\binom{p}{k} \mathrm{~B}(a k, a(p-k))
$$

and

$$
I_{a}\left(p, k_{p}\right)=\binom{p}{k_{p}} \mathrm{~B}\left(a k_{p}, a\left(p-k_{p}\right)\right)
$$

For the first term $I_{a}(p)$ we use the symmetry (w.r.t $x=1 / 2$ ) and Lemma 3.1 to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{a}(p):=\sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}-1}\binom{p}{k} \mathrm{~B}(a k, a(p-k)) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{x(1-x)}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}-1}\binom{p}{k}\left(x^{a k}(1-x)^{a(p-k)}+x^{a(p-k)}(1-x)^{a k}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{x(1-x)}\left\{\left(x^{a}+(1-x)^{a}\right)^{p}-x^{a p}-(1-x)^{a p}\right\} \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1 / 2} \frac{1}{x(1-x)}\left\{\left(x^{a}+(1-x)^{a}\right)^{p}-x^{a p}-(1-x)^{a p}\right\} \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

Omitting the negative term $-x^{a p}$ we have

$$
\left(x^{a}+(1-x)^{a}\right)^{p}-x^{a p}-(1-x)^{a p} \leq p\left(x^{a}+(1-x)^{a}\right)^{p-1} x^{a}
$$

so that

$$
I_{a}(p) \leq p \int_{0}^{1 / 2} x^{a-1} g_{1}(x) e^{p S(x)} \mathrm{d} x
$$

where $g_{1}(x)=(1-x)^{-1}\left(x^{a}+(1-x)^{a}\right)^{-1}$ and $S(x)=\log \left(x^{a}+(1-x)^{a}\right), x \in[0,1 / 2]$.
Since $g_{1}(0)=1, S(0)=0$ and

$$
S^{\prime}(0)=-a, \quad S^{\prime}(x)=\frac{a\left(x^{a-1}-(1-x)^{a-1}\right)}{x^{a}+(1-x)^{a}}<0 \quad \forall x \in[0,1 / 2)
$$

(because $a>1$ ) it follows from Lemma 3.2 that for all $p \geq 3$

$$
I_{a}(p) \leq C_{a} p \Gamma(a)\left(\frac{1}{p a}\right)^{a}=C_{a}(a p)^{1-a}
$$

For the second term $I_{a}\left(p, k_{p}\right)$ we use Stirling's formula

$$
\Gamma(x)=\left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^{x} \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{x}} e^{\frac{\theta_{x}}{12 x}}, \quad \Gamma(x+1)=x \Gamma(x)=\left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^{x} \sqrt{2 \pi x} e^{\frac{\theta_{x}}{12 x}}, \quad x \geq 1
$$

$\left(0<\theta_{x}<1\right)$ to compute

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{a}\left(p, k_{p}\right)=\frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma\left(k_{p}+1\right) \Gamma\left(p-k_{p}+1\right)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(a k_{p}\right) \Gamma\left(a\left(p-k_{p}\right)\right)}{\Gamma(a p)}  \tag{3.8}\\
\leq & e^{1 / 4} \frac{\sqrt{a}}{a p}\left(\frac{k_{p}}{p}\right)^{(a-1) k_{p}}\left(\frac{p-k_{p}}{p}\right)^{(a-1)\left(p-k_{p}\right)}\left(\frac{p}{k_{p}}\right)\left(\frac{p}{p-k_{p}}\right) \leq C_{a} \frac{1}{a p}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(a-1) p} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here in the last inequality we used the simple estimates

$$
\frac{p-1}{2} \leq p-k_{p} \leq \frac{p+1}{2}
$$

for $p \geq 3$. This proves (3.6) because $a>1$.
In order to prove (3.7) we consider again a decomposition

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{k_{p}-1}\binom{p-2}{k} \mathrm{~B}(a(k+1), a(p-k-1))=J_{a}(p)+J_{a}\left(p, k_{p}\right)
$$

where for the first term $J_{a}(p)$ we use that $k_{p}-2=[(p-1) / 2]-1=k_{p-2}-1$ and Lemma 3.1 to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{a}(p)=\sum_{k=0}^{k_{p}-2}\binom{p-2}{k} \mathrm{~B}(a(k+1), a(p-k-1)) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} x^{a-1}(1-x)^{a-1} \sum_{k=0}^{k_{p-2}-1}\binom{p-2}{k}\left(x^{a k}(1-x)^{a(p-2-k)}+x^{a(p-2-k)}(1-x)^{a k)}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} x^{a-1}(1-x)^{a-1}\left(x^{a}+(1-x)^{a}\right)^{p-2} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{0}^{1 / 2} x^{a-1} g_{2}(x) e^{p S(x)} \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

with $g_{2}(x)=(1-x)^{a-1}\left(x^{a}+(1-x)^{a}\right)^{-2}$. Since $a>1$, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$
J_{a}(p) \leq C_{a}\left(\frac{1}{a p}\right)^{a}
$$

For the second term $J_{a}\left(p, k_{p}\right)$ we use (3.8) to get

$$
J_{a}\left(p, k_{p}\right):=\binom{p-2}{k_{p}-1} \mathrm{~B}\left(a k_{p}, a\left(p-k_{p}\right)\right)=\frac{\left(p-k_{p}\right) k_{p}}{p(p-1)} I_{a}\left(p, k_{p}\right) \leq C_{a} \frac{1}{a p}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(a-1) p}
$$

Since $a>1$, this proves the lemma.
Lemma 3.4. Suppose $b(\cdot)$ satisfies the assumption (H0). For all $p \geq 3$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{p}:=\frac{2}{A_{2}}\left|\mathbb{S}^{N-2}\right| \int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta) \sin ^{N} \theta \int_{0}^{1} t\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta}{2} t\right)^{p-2} \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d} \theta \quad(\leq 1) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\varepsilon_{p} \rightarrow 0(p \rightarrow \infty)$. Furthermore, if either $0<\gamma \leq 1$ or (H2) is satisfied, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{2-\frac{2}{\gamma}} \varepsilon_{p} \rightarrow 0 \quad(p \rightarrow \infty) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Under the assumption (H0), the convergence $\varepsilon_{p} \rightarrow 0(p \rightarrow \infty)$ is obvious. And if $0<\gamma \leq 1$ then $2 / \gamma \geq 2$, and so (3.10) is also trivial. Suppose (H2) is satisfied. Then $1<\gamma<2$ and $\beta=2(2 / \gamma-1) \in(0,2)$. Let $\nu=1-\beta / 2$. Then for all $p \geq 4$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{p} p^{\nu} \leq 2^{\nu} \varepsilon_{p}(p-2)^{\nu}  \tag{3.11}\\
& \quad \leq C_{\nu, N, A_{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta) \sin ^{N-2 \nu} \theta \int_{0}^{1}\left((p-2) \frac{\sin ^{2} \theta}{2} t\right)^{\nu}\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta}{2} t\right)^{p-2} \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d} \theta
\end{align*}
$$

From the elementary estimates

$$
0 \leq(k x)^{\nu}(1-x)^{k}<1, \quad(k x)^{\nu}(1-x)^{k} \rightarrow 0 \quad(k \rightarrow \infty) \quad \forall x \in[0,1]
$$

we get, setting $k=p-2, x=t \sin ^{2} \theta / 2$, that

$$
\left((p-2) \frac{\sin ^{2} \theta}{2} t\right)^{\nu}\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta}{2} t\right)^{p-2} \rightarrow 0 \quad(p \rightarrow \infty)
$$

for all $\theta \in[0, \pi]$ and $t \in[0,1]$. Since by assumption (H2), $\theta \mapsto b(\cos \theta) \sin ^{N-2 \nu} \theta=$ $b(\cos \theta) \sin ^{N-2+\beta} \theta$ is integrable on $[0, \pi]$, it follows from (3.11) and the dominated convergence theorem that $\varepsilon_{p} p^{\nu} \rightarrow 0(p \rightarrow \infty)$.

Remark 3.5. It is easily calculated that if $A_{0}<\infty$, then $\varepsilon_{p} \leq\left(16 A_{0}\right) /\left(A_{2} p\right)$ for all $p \geq 3$, so that in case $0<\gamma<2$ we have $p^{2-2 / \gamma} \varepsilon_{p} \leq\left(16 A_{0} p^{1-2 / \gamma}\right) / A_{2}$.

Lemma 3.6. Let $B(z, \sigma)=|z|^{\gamma} b(\cos \theta)$.
(I) Under the assumption (H0) we have for all $p \geq 3$
(3.12) $L_{B}\left[\Delta\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right]\left(v, v_{*}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq-\frac{A_{2}}{4}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 p+\gamma}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p+\gamma}\right)+\frac{A_{2}}{2}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 p}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{\gamma}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}\right) \\
& +A_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 k+\gamma}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-k)}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-k)+\gamma}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 k}\right) \\
& +A_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 k}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-k)+\gamma}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-k)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 k+\gamma}\right) \\
& +2 p(p-1) A_{2} \varepsilon_{p} \sum_{k=0}^{k_{p}-1}\binom{p-2}{k}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2(k+1)+\gamma}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-1-k)}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-1-k)+\gamma}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(k+1)}\right) \\
& +2 p(p-1) A_{2} \varepsilon_{p} \sum_{k=0}^{k_{p}-1}\binom{p-2}{k}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2(k+1)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-1-k)+\gamma}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-1-k)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(k+1)+\gamma}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(II) Under the assumption (H3) which is rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=2, \quad 1<p_{1}<\infty, \quad A_{p_{1}}^{*}:=\left|\mathbb{S}^{N-2}\right|\left(\int_{0}^{\pi}[b(\cos \theta)]^{p_{1}} \sin ^{N-2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta\right)^{1 / p_{1}}<\infty \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have for all $p \geq p_{0}=\left(12 A_{p_{1}}^{*} / A_{0}\right)^{2 q_{1}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{B}\left[\Delta\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right]\left(v, v_{*}\right)  \tag{3.14}\\
& \leq \frac{12 A_{p_{1}}^{*}}{p^{\eta}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2(k+1)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-k)}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-k+1)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 k}\right) \\
& +\frac{12 A_{p_{1}}^{*}}{p^{\eta}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 k}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-k+1)}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-k)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(k+1)}\right) \\
& +\frac{A_{0}}{2}\langle v\rangle^{2 p}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}+\frac{A_{0}}{2}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\langle v\rangle^{2}-\frac{A_{0}}{4}\langle v\rangle^{2(p+1)}-\frac{A_{0}}{4}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p+1)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q_{1}=p_{1} /\left(p_{1}-1\right)$ and $\eta=1 / 2 q_{1}$.
Proof. Part (I) Let us write

$$
L_{B}\left[\Delta\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right]\left(v, v_{*}\right)=\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{\gamma}\left|\mathbb{S}^{N-2}\right| \int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta) \sin ^{N} \theta L_{p}\left(v, v_{*}, \theta\right) \mathrm{d} \theta
$$

with

$$
L_{p}\left(v, v_{*}, \theta\right):=\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \theta\left|\mathbb{S}^{N-2}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-2}(\mathbf{k})}\left(\left\langle v^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2 p}+\left\langle v_{*}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2 p}-\langle v\rangle^{2 p}-\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\right) \mathrm{d} \omega .
$$

We first prove that

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{p}\left(v, v_{*}, \theta\right) \leq-\frac{1}{2}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 p}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\right)  \tag{3.15}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 k}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p-2 k}+\langle v\rangle^{2 p-2 k}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 k}\right) \\
& +2 p(p-1) \int_{0}^{1} t\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta}{2} t\right)^{p-2} d t \\
& \times \sum_{k=0}^{k_{p}-1}\binom{p-2}{k}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2(k+1)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-1-k)}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-1-k)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(k+1)}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us denote the shorthand

$$
E(\theta)=\langle v\rangle^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta / 2+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta / 2, \quad h=\sqrt{|v|^{2}\left|v_{*}\right|^{2}-\left\langle v, v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}} .
$$

Then

$$
\left\langle v^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2}=E(\theta)+h \sin \theta(\mathbf{j} \cdot \omega), \quad\left\langle v_{*}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2}=E(\pi-\theta)-h \sin \theta(\mathbf{j} \cdot \omega) .
$$

By Taylor's formula we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (E(\theta) \pm h \sin \theta(\mathbf{j} \cdot \omega))^{p}=(E(\theta))^{p} \pm q(E(\theta))^{p-1} h \sin \theta(\mathbf{j} \cdot \omega) \\
& +p(p-1) \int_{0}^{1}(1-t)(E(\theta) \pm t h \sin \theta\langle\mathbf{j}, \omega\rangle)^{p-2} \mathrm{~d} t(h \sin \theta\langle\mathbf{j}, \omega\rangle)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Look at the last term: We have for all $\theta \in(0, \pi), t \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(\theta)+t h \sin \theta|(\mathbf{j} \cdot \omega)| \leq E(\theta)+(E(\pi-\theta)) t \\
& =\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}-(E(\pi-\theta))(1-t) \\
& \leq\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{1-t}{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used

$$
E(\pi-\theta) \geq\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}\right) \min \left\{\cos ^{2} \theta / 2, \sin ^{2} \theta / 2\right\} \geq\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}\right) \frac{\sin ^{2} \theta}{2} .
$$

Since

$$
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-2}(\mathbf{n})}(\mathbf{j} \cdot \omega) \mathrm{d} \omega=0
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{p}\left(v, v_{*}, \theta\right) \leq \frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \theta}\left((E(\theta))^{p}+(E(\pi-\theta))^{p}-\langle v\rangle^{2 p}-\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\right)  \tag{3.16}\\
& +2 p(p-1)\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{p-2} h^{2} \int_{0}^{1} t\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta}{2} t\right)^{p-2} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{align*}
$$

We next prove that for $p \geq 3$ and $k_{p}=[(p+1) / 2]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \theta}\left((E(\theta))^{p}+(E(\pi-\theta))^{p}-\langle v\rangle^{2 p}-\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\right)  \tag{3.17}\\
& \leq-\frac{1}{2}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 p}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 k}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p-2 k}+\langle v\rangle^{2 p-2 k}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In fact using Lemma 3.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (E(\theta))^{p}+(E(\pi-\theta))^{p} \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}\left(\left[\langle v\rangle^{2} \cos ^{2}(\theta / 2)\right]^{k}\left[\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta / 2)\right]^{p-k}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\langle v\rangle^{2} \cos ^{2}(\theta / 2)\right]^{p-k}\left[\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta / 2)\right]^{k}\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}\left(\left[\langle v\rangle^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta / 2)\right]^{k}\left[\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2} \cos ^{2}(\theta / 2)\right]^{p-k}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left[\langle v\rangle^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta / 2)\right]^{p-k}\left[\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2} \cos ^{2}(\theta / 2)\right]^{k}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\sin ^{2} \theta}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 k}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p-2 k}+\langle v\rangle^{2 p-2 k}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 k}\right) \\
& +\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 p}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\right)\left(\cos ^{2 p}(\theta / 2)+\sin ^{2 p}(\theta / 2)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact that $p \geq 3 \Longrightarrow p-k_{p} \geq 1$ so that

$$
\left.\left.\cos ^{2 k}(\theta / 2) \sin ^{2 p-2 k}(\theta / 2)\right), \sin ^{2 k}(\theta / 2) \cos ^{2 p-2 k}(\theta / 2)\right) \leq \frac{1}{4} \sin ^{2} \theta
$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq k_{p}$. Since $p \geq 3$ implies

$$
\cos ^{2 p}(\theta / 2)+\sin ^{2 p}(\theta / 2) \leq \cos ^{4}(\theta / 2)+\sin ^{4}(\theta / 2)=1-\frac{1}{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta)
$$

this gives (3.17).
Note that $h^{2} \leq\langle v\rangle^{2}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}$. Then using Lemma 3.1 again and recalling $k_{p}-1=k_{p-2}=$ $[(p-1) / 2]$ we have

$$
\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{p-2} h^{2} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{k_{p}-1}\binom{p-2}{k}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2(k+1)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-1-k)}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-1-k)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(k+1)}\right) .
$$

This together with (3.16)-(3.17) concludes the proof of (3.15).

Using (3.15) and the definitions of $L_{B}[\Delta \varphi], A_{2}$ and $\varepsilon_{p}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{B}\left[\Delta\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right]\left(v, v_{*}\right) \leq-\frac{A_{2}}{2}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 p}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\right)\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{\gamma} \\
& +\frac{A_{2}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 k}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p-2 k}+\langle v\rangle^{2 p-2 k}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 k}\right)\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{\gamma} \\
& +p(p-1) A_{2} \varepsilon_{p} \sum_{k=0}^{k_{p}-1}\binom{p-2}{k}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2(k+1)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-1-k)}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-1-k)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(k+1)}\right)\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{\gamma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next by $0<\gamma \leq 2$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{\gamma} \geq \frac{1}{2}\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}-\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{\gamma}, \quad\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{\gamma} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{\gamma}-\langle v\rangle^{\gamma} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\langle v\rangle^{2 p}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\right)\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{\gamma}=\langle v\rangle^{2 p}\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{\gamma}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{\gamma} \\
& \geq\langle v\rangle^{2 p}\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}-\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{\gamma}\right)+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{\gamma}-\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\langle v\rangle^{2 p+\gamma}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p+\gamma}-\langle v\rangle^{2 p}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{\gamma}-\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\langle v\rangle^{\gamma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{\gamma} \leq 2\left(\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{\gamma}\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\langle v\rangle^{2 k}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-k)}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-k)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 k}\right)\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{\gamma} \\
& \leq 2\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 k}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-k)}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-k)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 k}\right)\left(\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{\gamma}\right) \\
& =2\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 k+\gamma}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-k)}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-k)+\gamma}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 k}\right) \\
& +2\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 k}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-k)+\gamma}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-k)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 k+\gamma}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

And similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\langle v\rangle^{2(k+1)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-1-k)}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-1-k)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(k+1)}\right)\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{\gamma} \\
& \leq 2\left(\langle v\rangle^{2(k+1)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-1-k)}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-1-k)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(k+1)}\right)\left(\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{\gamma}\right) \\
& =2\left(\langle v\rangle^{2(k+1)+\gamma}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-1-k)}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-1-k)+\gamma}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(k+1)}\right) \\
& +2\left(\langle v\rangle^{2(k+1)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-1-k)+\gamma}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-1-k)+\gamma}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(k+1)+\gamma}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These together with (3.18) yield the estimate (3.12).

Part (II). We have for any $p \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|v-v_{*}\right|^{-2} L_{B}\left[\Delta\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right]\left(v, v_{*}\right)=2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N}} b(\cos \theta)\left\langle v^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2 p} \mathrm{~d} \sigma-A_{0}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 p}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\right) \\
& \leq 2 A_{p_{1}}^{*}\left(\frac{1}{\left|\mathbb{S}^{N-2}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N}}\left\langle v^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2 p q_{1}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma\right)^{1 / q_{1}}-A_{0}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 p}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used Hölder inequality. We still have to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{\left|\mathbb{S}^{N-2}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N}}\left\langle v^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2 p q_{1}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma\right)^{1 / q_{1}} \leq \frac{3}{p^{\eta}}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{p}, \quad \eta=\frac{1}{2 q_{1}} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\lambda=p q_{1}>1$. Using the inequality

$$
\left|v+v_{*}\right|\left|v-v_{*}\right| \leq\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}
$$

and $N \geq 2$ we compute using (2.1) and the monotone increase of the function

$$
x \mapsto\left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^{\lambda}+\left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)^{\lambda} \text { on } x \in[0,1]
$$

that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\left|\mathbb{S}^{N-2}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N}}\left\langle v^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2 \lambda} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \\
& =\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin ^{N-2} \theta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\left|v+v_{*}\right|\left|v-v_{*}\right|}{2\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}\right)} \cos \theta\right)^{\lambda} \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& \leq\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\frac{1+\cos \theta}{2}\right)^{\lambda} \mathrm{d} \theta \leq\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the well-known inequality

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sin ^{n} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta<\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 n}}
$$

with $n=2[\lambda]$. This yields (3.20).
From this and using Lemma 3.1 we obtain that for all $p \geq 3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|v-v_{*}\right|^{-2} L_{B}\left[\Delta\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right]\left(v, v_{*}\right) \leq \frac{6 A_{p_{1}}^{*}}{p^{\eta}}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{p}-A_{0}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 p}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{6 A_{p_{1}}^{*}}{p^{\eta}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 k}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-k)}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-k)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 k}\right)-\left(A_{0}-\frac{6 A_{p_{1}}^{*}}{p^{\eta}}\right)\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 p}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition of $p_{0}$ we see that

$$
p \geq p_{0}:=\left(\frac{12 A_{p_{1}}^{*}}{A_{0}}\right)^{2 q_{1}} \Longrightarrow \frac{6 A_{p_{1}}^{*}}{p^{\eta}} \leq \frac{A_{0}}{2}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{B}\left[\Delta\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right]\left(v, v_{*}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{6 A_{p_{1}}^{*}}{p^{\eta}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 k}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2(p-k)}+\langle v\rangle^{2(p-k)}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 k}\right)\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{2} \\
& -\frac{A_{0}}{2}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2 p}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2 p}\right)\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore as shown in the above using (3.18)-(3.19) with $\gamma=2$ we obtain (3.14).
Lemma 3.7. (I) Suppose that $B(z, \sigma)=|z|^{\gamma} b(\cos \theta)$ satisfies the assumption (H0). Let $p \geq 3, \mu \in \mathcal{B}_{s}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\|\mu\|_{0}>0$ and $s \geq 2 p+\gamma$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle Q(\mu, \mu),\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right\rangle \leq 2^{2 p+1} A_{2}\|\mu\|_{2}\|\mu\|_{2 p}-\frac{1}{4} A_{2}\|\mu\|_{0}\|\mu\|_{2 p+\gamma} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore if $0<\gamma<2$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left\langle Q(\mu, \mu),\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right\rangle}{\|\mu\|_{0} \Gamma(q)}  \tag{3.22}\\
& \leq\left(C_{a} q^{2-a}+C_{a} q^{3-a} \varepsilon_{p}\right) A_{2}\|\mu\|_{0} \mathcal{Z}_{p}+\frac{1}{2}\|\mu\|_{2} A_{2} z_{q}-\frac{q}{16} A_{2}\|\mu\|_{0}\left(z_{q}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q=a p, a=2 / \gamma$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
z_{q}=\frac{\|\mu\|_{\gamma q}}{\|\mu\|_{0} \Gamma(q)}  \tag{3.23}\\
Z_{p}=\max _{k \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, k_{p}\right\}}\left\{z_{a k+1} z_{a(p-k)}, z_{a k} z_{a(p-k)+1}\right\} . \tag{3.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

(II) If $\gamma=2$, and $B\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right)=|z|^{\gamma} b(\cos \theta)$ satisfies (H3) which is rewritten as in (3.13), and let

$$
p \geq p_{0}:=\left(12 A_{p_{1}}^{*} / A_{0}\right)^{2 q_{1}} \text { where } 1 / p_{1}+1 / q_{1}=1
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left\langle Q(\mu, \mu),\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right\rangle}{\|\mu\|_{0} \Gamma(p)}  \tag{3.25}\\
& \leq 48 A_{p_{1}}^{*} p^{1-\eta}(\log p)\|\mu\|_{0} \widetilde{Z}_{p}+\left(12 A_{p_{1}}^{*} p^{1-\eta}+A_{0} / 4\right)\|\mu\|_{2} z_{p}-\frac{p}{16} A_{0}\|\mu\|_{0}\left(z_{p}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\eta=1 / 2 q_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{Z}_{p}=\max _{k \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, k_{p}\right\}} z_{k+1} z_{p-k} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Substituting $\mu$ for $\mu /\|\mu\|_{0}$, we can assume that $\|\mu\|_{0}=1$.

Part (I). By part (I) of Lemma 3.6 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle Q(\mu, \mu),\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} L_{B}\left[\Delta\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right]\left(v, v_{*}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu(v) \mathrm{d} \mu\left(v_{*}\right) \\
& \leq-\frac{A_{2}}{4}\|\mu\|_{2 p+\gamma}+\frac{A_{2}}{2}\|\mu\|_{2 p}\|\mu\|_{\gamma} \\
& +A_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}\left(\|\mu\|_{2 k+\gamma}\|\mu\|_{2(p-k)}+\|\mu\|_{2 k}\|\mu\|_{2(p-k)+\gamma}\right) \\
& +2 p(p-1) A_{2} \varepsilon_{p} \sum_{k=0}^{k_{p}-1}\binom{p-2}{k}\left(\|\mu\|_{2(k+1)+\gamma}\|\mu\|_{2(p-1-k)}+\|\mu\|_{2(k+1)}\|\mu\|_{2(p-1-k)+\gamma}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Hölder inequality we have (for $s>2$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mu\|_{r} \leq\|\mu\|_{2}^{\frac{s-r}{s-2}}\|\mu\|_{s}^{\frac{r-2}{s-2}}, \quad 2 \leq r \leq s \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we obtain for all $s_{1}, s_{2} \geq 2$ satisfying $s_{1}+s_{2} \leq 2 p+2$ we have

$$
\|\mu\|_{s_{1}}\|\mu\|_{s_{2}} \leq\|\mu\|_{2}^{\frac{2 p-s_{1}+2 p-s_{2}}{2 p-2}}\|\mu\|_{2 p}^{\frac{s_{1}+s_{2}-4}{2 p-2}} \leq\|\mu\|_{2}\|\mu\|_{2 p}
$$

where we used $\|\mu\|_{2} \leq\|\mu\|_{2 p}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle Q(\mu, \mu),\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right\rangle \leq-\frac{A_{2}}{4}\|\mu\|_{2 p+\gamma}+\frac{A_{2}}{2}\|\mu\|_{2}\|\mu\|_{2 p} \\
& +2 A_{2}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}+2 p(p-1) \sum_{k=0}^{k_{p}-1}\binom{p-2}{k}\right\} 2\|\mu\|_{2}\|\mu\|_{2 p} \\
& \leq-\frac{A_{2}}{4}\|\mu\|_{2 p+\gamma}+\frac{A_{2}}{2}\|\mu\|_{2}\|\mu\|_{2 p}+2 A_{2}\left(2^{p}-1+2 p(p-1) 2^{p-2}\right) 2\|\mu\|_{2}\|\mu\|_{2 p} \\
& \leq-\frac{A_{2}}{4}\|\mu\|_{2 p+\gamma}+2^{2 p+1} A_{2}\|\mu\|_{2}\|\mu\|_{2 p}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (3.21) for $\|\mu\|_{0}=1$, where we used the inequality (3.1) and

$$
2^{p}+p(p-1) 2^{p-1} \leq 2^{2 p-1}, \quad \forall p \geq 3
$$

Now suppose that $0<\gamma<2$. This implies $a=2 / \gamma>1$. Recall definitions of $z_{q}$ and $Z_{p}$ in (3.23)-(3.24) with $\|\mu\|_{0}=1$. We have for all $1 \leq k \leq k_{p}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\mu\|_{2 k+\gamma}\|\mu\|_{2(p-k)}+\|\mu\|_{2 k}\|\mu\|_{2(p-k)+\gamma} \\
& =\|\mu\|_{\gamma(a k+1)}\|\mu\|_{\gamma a(p-k)}+\|\mu\|_{\gamma a k}\|\mu\|_{\gamma(a(p-k)+1)} \\
& =z_{a k+1} z_{a(p-k)} \Gamma(a k+1) \Gamma(a(p-k))+z_{a k} z_{a(p-k)+1} \Gamma(a k) \Gamma(a(p-k)+1) \\
& \leq Z_{p} \Gamma(a p+1)(\mathrm{B}(a k+1, a(p-k))+\mathrm{B}(a k, a(p-k)+1)) \\
& =Z_{p} \Gamma(a p+1) \mathrm{B}(a k, a(p-k)),
\end{aligned}
$$

and for all $0 \leq k \leq k_{p}-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\mu\|_{2(k+1)+\gamma}\|\mu\|_{2(p-1-k)}+\|\mu\|_{2(k+1)}\|\mu\|_{2(p-1-k)+\gamma} \\
& =z_{a(k+1)+1} z_{a(p-k-1)} \Gamma(a(k+1)+1) \Gamma(a(p-1-k)) \\
& +z_{a(k+1)} z_{a(p-1-k)+1} \Gamma(a(k+1)) \Gamma(a(p-1-k)+1) \\
& \leq Z_{p} \Gamma(a p+1) \mathrm{B}(a(k+1), a(p-1-k)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This together with $\Gamma(a p+1) / \Gamma(a p)=a p=q$ and Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.7 gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left\langle Q(\mu, \mu),\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right\rangle}{\Gamma(q)} \leq Z_{p} q A_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k} \mathrm{~B}(a k, a(p-k)) \\
& +Z_{p} 2 q p(p-1) A_{2} \varepsilon_{p} \sum_{k=0}^{k_{p}-1}\binom{p-2}{k} \mathrm{~B}(a(k+1), a(p-1-k)) \\
& +\frac{A_{2}\|\mu\|_{2}}{2} z_{q}-\frac{A_{2}}{4 \Gamma(q)}\|\mu\|_{2 p+\gamma} \\
& \leq Z_{p} A_{2} C_{a} q^{2-a}+Z_{p} A_{2} C_{a} q^{3-a} \varepsilon_{p}+\frac{A_{2}\|\mu\|_{2}}{2} z_{q}-\frac{A_{2}}{4 \Gamma(q)}\|\mu\|_{2 p+\gamma} \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

For the negative term, using Hölder inequality and $\|\mu\|_{0}=1$ we have

$$
\|\mu\|_{2 p+\gamma} \geq\|\mu\|_{2 p}^{1+\frac{\gamma}{2 p}}=\|\mu\|_{\gamma q}^{1+\frac{1}{q}}, \quad q=a p=\frac{2}{\gamma} p
$$

and so

$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)}\|\mu\|_{2 p+\gamma} \geq(\Gamma(q))^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\frac{\|\mu\|_{\gamma q}}{\Gamma(q)}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}}=(\Gamma(q))^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(z_{q}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \geq \frac{q}{4}\left(z_{q}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}}
$$

where we have used the inequality $(\Gamma(q))^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq q / 4$. Thus (3.22) (with $\|\mu\|_{0}=1$ ) follows from (3.28).

Part (II). In this case we have $\gamma=2$, i.e. $a=1$ so that $q=p$. By part (II) of Lemma 3.6 we have, as shown above, that (the special term $\|\mu\|_{2 k}\|\mu\|_{2(p-k+1)}$ for $k=1$ in the
sum should be treated singly)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left\langle Q(\mu, \mu),\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right\rangle}{\Gamma(p)}=\frac{1}{2 \Gamma(p)} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} L_{B}\left[\Delta\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right]\left(v, v_{*}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu(v) \mathrm{d} \mu\left(v_{*}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} \cdot \frac{12 A_{p_{1}}^{*}}{p^{\eta}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}\left(\|\mu\|_{2(k+1)}\|\mu\|_{2(p-k)}+\|\mu\|_{2 k}\|\mu\|_{2(p-k+1)}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \Gamma(p)} A_{0}\|\mu\|_{2}\|\mu\|_{2 p}-\frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} \frac{A_{0}}{4}\|\mu\|_{2(p+1)} \\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} \cdot \frac{12 A_{p_{1}}^{*}}{p^{\eta}} \sum_{k=2}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k}\left(\|\mu\|_{2(k+1)}\|\mu\|_{2(p-k)}+\|\mu\|_{2 k}\|\mu\|_{2(p-k+1)}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} \cdot \frac{12 A_{p_{1}}^{*}}{p^{\eta}}\binom{p}{1}\|\mu\|_{4}\|\mu\|_{2(p-1)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} \cdot \frac{12 A_{p_{1}}^{*}}{p^{\eta}}\binom{p}{1}\|\mu\|_{2}\|\mu\|_{2 p} \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \Gamma(p)} A_{0}\|\mu\|_{2}\|\mu\|_{2 p}-\frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} \frac{A_{0}}{4}\|\mu\|_{2(p+1)} \\
& \leq \widetilde{Z}_{p} \frac{12 A_{p_{1}}^{*}}{p^{\eta}} \cdot p \sum_{k=2}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k} \mathrm{~B}(k, p-k)+\widetilde{Z}_{p} \cdot \frac{12 A_{p_{1}}^{*}}{p^{\eta}} p\binom{p}{1} \mathrm{~B}(2, p-1) \\
& +\left(12 A_{p_{1}}^{*} p^{1-\eta}+\frac{A_{0}}{4}\right)\|\mu\|_{2} z_{p}-\frac{A_{0}}{16}\left(z_{p}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \widetilde{Z}_{p} \frac{12 A_{p_{1}}^{*}}{p^{\eta}} \cdot p \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}}\binom{p}{k} \mathrm{~B}(k, p-k)+\left(12 A_{p_{1}}^{*} p^{1-\eta}+\frac{A_{0}}{4}\right)\|\mu\|_{2} z_{p}-\frac{A_{0}}{16}\left(z_{p}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq 48 A_{p_{1}}^{*} p^{1-\eta}(\log p) \widetilde{Z}_{p}+\left(12 A_{p_{1}}^{*} p^{1-\eta}+\frac{A_{0}}{4}\right)\|\mu\|_{2} z_{p}-\frac{A_{0}}{16}\left(z_{p}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last inequality we used Lemma [3.3. This proves (3.25) for $\|\mu\|_{0}=1$.
Lemma 3.8. Given any $A>0, B>0, \varepsilon>0$, we have:
(I) The function

$$
Y(t)=\left(\frac{A}{B\left(1-e^{-\varepsilon A t}\right)}\right)^{1 / \varepsilon}, \quad t>0
$$

is the unique positive $C^{1}$-solution of the equation

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} Y(t)=A Y(t)-B Y(t)^{1+\varepsilon}, \quad t>0 ; \quad Y(0+)=\infty
$$

(II) Let $u(t)$ be a non-negative function in $(0, \infty)$ with the properties that $u$ is absolutely continuous on every bounded closed subinterval of $(0, \infty)$ and satisfies

$$
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} u(t)-A u(t)+B u(t)^{1+\varepsilon}\right) 1_{\{u(t)>Y(t)\}} \leq 0 \quad \text { a.e. } \quad t \in(0, \infty)
$$

Then $u(t) \leq Y(t)$ for any $t>0$.

Proof. Part (I) is obvious. To prove part (II) we use the assumption on $u$ and notice that the function $x \mapsto B x^{1+\varepsilon}-A x$ is increasing in $\left((A / B)^{1 / \varepsilon}, \infty\right)$ and $Y(t)>(A / B)^{1 / \varepsilon}$. Then it follows from the assumption that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} u(t)-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} Y(t)\right) 1_{\{u(t)>Y(t)\}} \\
& \leq\left(B(Y(t))^{1+\varepsilon}-A Y(t)-B(u(t))^{1+\varepsilon}+A u(t)\right) 1_{\{u(t)>Y(t)\}} \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for almost every $t>0$. Thus by the absolute continuity of $u$ we have for any $t>t_{*}>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (u(t)-Y(t))^{+} \\
& =\left(u\left(t_{*}\right)-Y\left(t_{*}\right)\right)^{+}+\int_{t_{*}}^{t}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau} u(\tau)-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau} Y(\tau)\right) 1_{\{u(\tau)>Y(\tau)\}} \mathrm{d} \tau \leq\left(u\left(t_{*}\right)-Y\left(t_{*}\right)\right)^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this we see it is enough to prove that for any $t>0$ there is $t_{*} \in(0, t)$ such that $u\left(t_{*}\right) \leq Y\left(t_{*}\right)$. Otherwise there were $t_{0}>0$ such that $u(t)>Y(t)$ for all $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right)$. By assumption on $u$, this implies

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} u(t) \leq A u(t)-B(u(t))^{1+\varepsilon} \quad \text { a.e. } \quad t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right)
$$

On the other hand, from the lower bound $Y(t)>(A / B)^{1 / \varepsilon}$ we see that the function $t \mapsto u^{-\varepsilon}(t)$ is absolutely continuous on every bounded closed subinterval of $\left(0, t_{0}\right]$. We then compute for a.e. $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right)$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(u^{-\varepsilon}(t)\right) \geq-\varepsilon A u^{-\varepsilon}(t)+\varepsilon B
$$

and hence for any $0<\tau<t_{0}$ we have by the absolute continuity of $t \mapsto u^{-\varepsilon}(t) e^{\varepsilon A t}$ on [ $\tau, t_{0}$ ] that

$$
u^{-\varepsilon}(t) e^{\varepsilon A t} \geq u^{-\varepsilon}(\tau) e^{\varepsilon A \tau}+\frac{B\left(e^{\varepsilon A t}-e^{\varepsilon A \tau}\right)}{A}, \quad \forall t \in\left[\tau, t_{0}\right] .
$$

Omitting the positive term $u^{-\varepsilon}(\tau) e^{\varepsilon A \tau}$ and letting $\tau \rightarrow 0+$ leads to

$$
u^{-\varepsilon}(t) e^{\varepsilon A t} \geq \frac{B\left(e^{\varepsilon A t}-1\right)}{A}, \quad \forall t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right]
$$

i.e.

$$
u(t) \leq\left(\frac{A}{B\left(1-e^{-\varepsilon A t}\right)}\right)^{1 / \varepsilon}=Y(t) \quad \forall t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right]
$$

which contradicts the assertion " $u(t)>Y(t)$ for all $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ ". This prove the existence of $t_{*} \in(0, t)$ for all $t>0$ and therefore concludes the proof of the lemma.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

For notation convenience we denote

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} F_{t}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(v) \mathrm{d} F_{t}(v), \quad \text { etc. }
$$

And note that if $F_{t}$ is a measure weak solution of Eq. (1.1), then for any $\varphi \in C_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} F_{t}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} F_{t_{0}}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\langle Q\left(F_{\tau}, F_{\tau}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \tau \quad \forall t>t_{0}>0 . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our proofs of the parts (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.2 are contained in the following three steps.
Step 1. We first prove that if $F_{t}$ is a measure weak solution of Eq. (1.1) associated with the initial datum $F_{0}$ satisfying $\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}>0$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2} \quad \forall t>0  \tag{4.2}\\
\sup _{t_{0} \leq t \leq T}\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s}<\infty \quad \forall 0<t_{0}<T<\infty, \quad \forall s>2 \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

then $F_{t}$ conserves mass, momentum and energy, and $F_{t}$ satisfies the moment estimates (1.14) and (1.16). Moreover for any $s \geq 0$ and any $\varphi \in L_{-s}^{\infty} \cap C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \mapsto\left\langle Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \quad \text { is continuous on } \quad(0, \infty) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} F_{t}=\left\langle Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \quad \forall t>0 . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

And these integrals are absolutely convergent for any $t>0$.
Since our test function space for defining measure weak solutions is only $C_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we need a truncation-mollification approximation. Let $\chi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfy $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\chi(v)=1$ for $|v| \leq 1, \chi(v)=0$ for $|v| \geq 2$. Given any $s \geq 0$ and any $\varphi \in$ $L_{-s}^{\infty} \cap C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, let $\varphi_{n}(v):=\varphi(v) \chi(v / n)$. It is easily seen that $\varphi_{n} \in C_{c}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \subset C_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and their Hessian matrices satisfy

$$
\sup _{n \geq 1}\left|H_{\varphi_{n}}(v)\right| \leq C_{\varphi}\langle v\rangle^{s}
$$

Thus by (1.10) we have for any $s_{1}>s+2+\gamma$

$$
\sup _{n \geq 1} \frac{\left|L_{B}\left[\Delta \varphi_{n}\right]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right|}{\langle v\rangle^{s_{1}}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{s_{1}}} \leq C_{\varphi} A_{2} \frac{\left(\langle v\rangle^{s}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{s}\right)\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{2+\gamma}}{\langle v\rangle^{s_{1}}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{s_{1}}} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $|v|^{2}+\left|v_{*}\right|^{2} \rightarrow \infty$, and by part (II) of Proposition 2.1, we deduce

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{B}\left[\Delta \varphi_{n}\right]\left(v, v_{*}\right)=L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right) \quad \forall\left(v, v_{*}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

Thus by (4.1) and using the assumption (4.3) and the dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\langle Q\left(F_{\tau}, F_{\tau}\right), \varphi_{n}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \tau=\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\langle Q\left(F_{\tau}, F_{\tau}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \tau \quad \forall t>t_{0}>0
$$

and thus (4.1) holds for all $\varphi \in \bigcup_{s \geq 0} L_{-s}^{\infty} \cap C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Since $\varphi(v)=1, v,|v|^{2}$ belong to $L_{-2}^{\infty} \cap C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\Delta \varphi \equiv 0$, it follows that $F_{t}$ conserves the mass, momentum and energy in the open interval $(0, \infty)$. Of course we need to show that $F_{t}$ conserves the
mass, momentum and energy on the closed interval $[0, \infty)$. Since $\varphi=1 \in C_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, this implies that every measure solution conserves the mass, i.e.

$$
\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{0}=\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0} \quad \forall t>0
$$

Therefore the fact that $F_{t}$ conserves the energy on $[0, \infty)$ is equivalent to $\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{2}=$ $\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}$ for all $t>0$. Let $\chi(v)$ be given above. For any $1<R<\infty$, let $\chi_{R}(v)=$ $\chi(v / R), \varphi_{R}(v)=\langle v\rangle^{2} \chi_{R}(v)$. Then $\varphi_{R} \in C_{c}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \subset C_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ so that by definition of measure weak solution we have for any $t>0$

$$
\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} F_{t} \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi_{R} \mathrm{~d} F_{t}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi_{R} \mathrm{~d} F_{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle Q\left(F_{\tau}, F_{\tau}\right), \varphi_{R}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

and hence

$$
0 \leq\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}-\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\langle v\rangle^{2} 1_{\{|v| \geq R\}} \mathrm{d} F_{0}+\left|\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle Q\left(F_{\tau}, F_{\tau}\right), \varphi_{R}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \tau\right| .
$$

Thus first letting $t \rightarrow 0+$ and then letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+}\left(\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}-\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{2}\right)=0
$$

So $F_{t}$ conserves the energy. The proof of conservation of momentum on $[0, \infty)$ is easy by using compactly supported smooth approximation for every $\varphi_{i}(v)=v_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$.

Next let's prove (4.4) and (4.5). Given any $s \geq 0$ and $\varphi \in L_{-s}^{\infty} \cap C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. For any $0<\delta<T<\infty$, by denoting

$$
C_{\delta, T, s}=\left(\sup _{\delta \leq t \leq T}\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s}\right)^{2}<\infty
$$

and using (1.10) we have

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} F_{t_{1}}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} F_{t_{2}}\right| \leq C_{\varphi} A_{2} C_{\delta, T, s}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right| \quad \forall t_{1}, t_{2} \in[\delta, T] .
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} F_{t} \quad \text { is continuous in } t \in(0, \infty) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove (4.4), we need only to show that for any fixed $t>0$ and any sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \subset[t / 2,3 t / 2]$ satisfying $t_{n} \rightarrow t(n \rightarrow \infty)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle Q\left(F_{t_{n}}, F_{t_{n}}\right), \varphi\right\rangle=\left\langle Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an application of Proposition 2.2. In fact by Proposition 2.1 we know that $\left(v, v_{*}\right) \mapsto L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$, and as shown above

$$
\frac{\left|L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right|}{\langle v\rangle^{s_{1}}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{s_{1}}} \leq C_{\varphi} A_{2} \frac{\left(\langle v\rangle^{s}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{s}\right)\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{2+\gamma}}{\langle v\rangle^{s_{1}}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{s_{1}}} \rightarrow 0
$$

for all $s_{1}>s+2+\gamma$ as $|v|^{2}+\left|v_{*}\right|^{2} \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$
\sup _{t / 2 \leq \tau \leq 3 t / 2}\left\|F_{\tau}\right\|_{s_{1}}<\infty
$$

it follows from Proposition 2.2 and the weak-star convergence $F_{t_{n}} \rightharpoonup F_{t}(n \rightarrow \infty)$ (see (4.6)) that (4.7) (and therefore (4.4)) hold true.

The differential equation (4.5) follows from the continuity property (4.4) and from the equation (4.1) which has been proven for all $\varphi \in L_{-s}^{\infty} \cap C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Now for any $s \geq 6$, let $p=s / 2$ and take $\varphi(v)=\langle v\rangle^{s}$, which belongs to $L_{-s}^{\infty} \cap C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Then by Lemma 3.7 and (4.5) we have for any $t>0$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s}=\left\langle Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right),\langle\cdot\rangle^{s}\right\rangle \leq 2^{s+1} A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s}-\frac{1}{4} A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s+\gamma}
$$

By (3.27) we have

$$
\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s} \leq\left(\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{s+\gamma-2}}\left(\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s+\gamma}\right)^{\frac{s-2}{s+\gamma-2}}
$$

i.e.

$$
\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s+\gamma} \geq\left(\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\right)^{-\frac{\gamma}{s-2}}\left(\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s}\right)^{1+\frac{\gamma}{s-2}}
$$

It follows that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s} \leq 2^{s+1} A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s}-\frac{1}{4} A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}\left(\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\right)^{-\frac{\gamma}{s-2}}\left(\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s}\right)^{1+\frac{\gamma}{s-2}} \quad \forall t>0 .
$$

By Lemma 3.8 we thus obtain

$$
\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s} \leq\left(\frac{2^{s+1} A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}}{\frac{1}{4} A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}\left(\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\right)^{-\frac{\gamma}{s-2}}\left(1-\exp \left(-\frac{\gamma}{s-2} 2^{s+1} A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2} t\right)\right)}\right)^{\frac{s-2}{\gamma}} \quad \forall t>0
$$

Since $s \geq 6$ implies $2^{s} \geq 8(s-2)$, this gives

$$
\frac{\gamma}{s-2} 2^{s+1} A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2} \geq 16 A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2} \gamma=: \alpha
$$

and hence

$$
\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s} \leq\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\left(\frac{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}} \cdot \frac{2^{s+3}}{1-e^{-\alpha t}}\right)^{\frac{s-2}{\gamma}} \quad, \quad t>0, \quad s \geq 6 .
$$

From this we have for any $2 \leq s<6$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s} \leq\left(\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\right)^{\frac{6-s}{4}}\left(\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{6}\right)^{\frac{s-2}{4}} \leq\left(\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\right)^{\frac{6-s}{4}}\left(\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\right)^{\frac{s-2}{4}}\left(\frac{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}} \cdot \frac{2^{9}}{1-e^{-\alpha t}}\right)^{\frac{4}{\gamma} \times \frac{s-2}{4}} \\
& =\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\left(\frac{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}} \cdot \frac{2^{9}}{1-e^{-\alpha t}}\right)^{\frac{s-2}{\gamma}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Maximizing the two cases and using $\max \left\{2^{s+3}, 2^{9}\right\} \leq 2^{s+7}$ for $s \geq 2$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s} \leq\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\left(2^{s+7} \frac{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-e^{-\alpha t}}\right)^{\frac{s-2}{\gamma}} \quad \forall t>0, \quad \forall s \geq 2 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimate (1.14) now follows from (4.8) since by using the inequality

$$
\frac{1}{1-e^{-\alpha t}} \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s} \leq\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\left\{2^{s+7} \frac{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right\}^{\frac{s-2}{\gamma}}\left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\frac{s-2}{\gamma}}=\mathcal{K}_{s}\left(F_{0}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\frac{s-2}{\gamma}}
$$

Note that from (4.8) we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s} \leq \frac{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}{2^{s+7}}\left(2^{s+7} \frac{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}} \times \frac{1}{\left(1-e^{-\alpha t}\right)}\right)^{\frac{s}{\gamma}} \quad \forall t>0, \quad \forall s \geq 2 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which will be used below.
Now we are going to prove the exponential moment production estimate (1.16). We consider two cases:
Case 1. $0<\gamma<2$. That implies that $a=2 / \gamma>1$. By Lemma 3.7 we have for all $q \geq 3 a$ and $t>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} z_{q}(t)=\frac{\left\langle Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right),\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right\rangle}{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0} \Gamma(q)} \\
& \leq\left(C_{a} q^{2-a}+C_{a} q^{3-a} \varepsilon_{p}\right) A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0} Z_{p}(t)+\frac{1}{2} A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2} z_{q}(t)-\frac{q}{16} A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p=q / a \geq 3$, and

$$
z_{q}(t)=\frac{\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{\gamma q}}{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0} \Gamma(q)}, \quad Z_{p}(t)=\max _{k \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, k_{p}\right\}}\left\{z_{a k+1}(t) z_{a(p-k)(t)}, z_{a k}(t) z_{a(p-k)+1}(t)\right\} .
$$

Using $0<\gamma<2$ and Lemma 3.4 we have

$$
C_{a} q^{2-a}+C_{a} q^{3-a} \varepsilon_{p}=o(1) q \quad(q \rightarrow \infty)
$$

so that there is a positive integer $n_{0}$, depending only on $b(\cdot)$ and $\gamma$, such that

$$
q_{0}:=(a-1) n_{0} \geq 3 a \quad \text { and } \quad C_{a} q^{2-a}+C_{a} q^{3-a} \varepsilon_{p} \leq \frac{q}{32} \quad \forall q \geq q_{0}
$$

Since

$$
q \geq q_{0} \geq 3 a \Longrightarrow \frac{A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}}{2}<16 A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2} \gamma q=\alpha q
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} z_{q}(t) \leq \frac{A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0} q}{32} Z_{p}(t)+\alpha q z_{q}(t)-\frac{q}{16} A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \quad \forall q \geq q_{0} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\Theta:=2^{\gamma q_{0}+7} \frac{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}, \quad Y_{q}(t)=\left(\frac{\Theta}{1-e^{-\alpha t}}\right)^{q}, \quad t>0 .
$$

Then $Y_{q}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} Y_{q}(t)=\alpha q Y_{q}(t)-\frac{\alpha q}{\Theta}\left(Y_{q}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}}, \quad t>0 ; \quad Y_{q}(0+)=\infty
$$

We now prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{q}(t) \leq Y_{q}(t) \quad \forall t>0, \quad \forall q \geq 1 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To do this, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{q}(t) \leq Y_{q}(t) \quad \forall t>0, \quad \forall q \in[1, n \delta], \quad n=n_{0}, n_{0}+1, \ldots \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta=a-1>0$. First of all it is easily seen that (4.12) holds for $n=n_{0}$. In fact by definition of $z_{q}(t), Y_{q}(t)$, (4.9) and $\Gamma(q)=\Gamma(q+1) / q \geq 1 / 2$, we have for all $1 \leq q \leq q_{0}=n_{0} \delta$

$$
z_{q}(t) \leq 2 \frac{\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{\gamma q}}{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}} \leq\left(2^{\gamma q+7} \frac{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-e^{-\alpha t}}\right)^{q} \leq Y_{q}(t) \quad \forall t>0
$$

Suppose that (4.12) holds for an integer $n \geq n_{0}$. Take any $q \in[n \delta,(n+1) \delta]$. Then $q \geq n \delta \geq n_{0} \delta=q_{0}$ and so (4.10) holds for such $q$. Since for all integer $1 \leq k \leq k_{p}=$ [ $(p+1 / 2]$ there holds

$$
\begin{gathered}
1<a k<a k+1 \leq \frac{(n+1) \delta+a}{2}+1<n \delta \\
1<a(p-k)<a(p-k)+1 \leq(n+1) \delta-\delta=n \delta
\end{gathered}
$$

it follows from the inductive hypothesis that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{a k+1}(t) z_{a(p-k)}(t) \leq Y_{a k+1}(t) Y_{a(q-k)}(t)=\left(Y_{q}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \\
& z_{a k}(t) z_{a(q-k)+1}(t) \leq Y_{a k}(t) Y_{a(p-k)+1}(t)=\left(Y_{q}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore by definition of $Z_{p}(t)$ we obtain

$$
Z_{p}(t) \leq\left(Y_{q}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \forall t>0, \quad \forall q \in[n \delta,(n+1) \delta]
$$

and hence by (4.10)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} z_{q}(t) \leq \frac{A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}{32} q\left(Y_{q}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}}+\alpha p z_{q}(t)-\frac{A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}{16} q\left(z_{q}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \quad \forall t>0
$$

for all $q \in[n \delta,(n+1) \delta]$. From this we obtain the following implication:

$$
t>0 \quad \text { and } \quad z_{q}(t)>Y_{q}(t) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} z_{q}(t) \leq \alpha q z_{q}(t)-\frac{\alpha q}{\Theta}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}}
$$

where we used the obvious fact that $\Theta=2^{\gamma q_{0}+7} \frac{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}>\alpha \cdot \frac{32}{A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}$. Thus by Lemma 3.8 we get $z_{q}(t) \leq Y_{q}(t)$ for all $t>0$. This together with the inductive hypotheses implies that $z_{q}(t) \leq Y_{q}(t)$ for all $t>0$ and all $q \in[1,(n+1) \delta]$. This proves (4.12).

Now let

$$
c(t)=\frac{1-e^{-\alpha t}}{2 \Theta}, \quad t>0
$$

Then $[c(t)]^{q} z_{q}(t) \leq[c(t)]^{q} Y_{q}(t)=(1 / 2)^{q}$ so that by definition of $z_{q}(t)$ and (4.11) we get for all $t>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{c(t)\langle v)^{\gamma}} \mathrm{d} F_{t}(v)=\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}+\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{[c(t)]^{q}}{q!}\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{\gamma q}  \tag{4.13}\\
& \leq\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}+\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty}[c(t)]^{q} z_{q}(t) \leq 2\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 2. $\gamma=2$. From part (II) of Lemma 3.7 we have for all $p \geq p_{0}:=\left(12 A_{p_{1}}^{*} / A_{0}\right)^{2 q_{1}}$ (which is always larger than 5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} z_{p}(t) \leq 48 A_{p_{1}}^{*} p^{1-\eta}(\log p) \| & F_{0} \|_{0} \widetilde{Z}_{p}(t) \\
& +\left(12 A_{p_{1}}^{*} p^{1-\eta}+A_{0} / 4\right)\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2} z_{p}(t)-\frac{A_{0}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}{16}\left(z_{p}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{Z}_{p}(t)=\max _{k \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, k_{p}\right\}} z_{k+1}(t) z_{p-k}(t), \quad t>0
$$

Let us fix an integer $n_{0}$ satisfying $n_{0} \geq p_{0}$ such that

$$
48 A_{p_{1}}^{*} p^{1-\eta} \log p \leq \frac{A_{2}}{32} p, \quad\left(12 A_{p_{1}}^{*} p^{1-\eta}+\frac{A_{0}}{4}\right) \leq 2 A_{2} p \quad \forall p \geq n_{0}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} z_{p}(t) \leq \frac{A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}{32} p \widetilde{Z}_{p}(t)+\alpha p z_{p}(t)-\frac{A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}{16} p\left(z_{p}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \quad \forall p \geq n_{0} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It will be clear that in the present case all $p$ can be chosen integers. Let

$$
\Theta:=2^{2 n_{0}+7} \frac{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}, \quad Y_{p}(t)=\left(\frac{\Theta}{1-e^{-\alpha t}}\right)^{p}, \quad t>0 ; p \geq 1
$$

Then $Y_{p}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} Y_{p}(t)=\alpha p Y_{p}(t)-\frac{\alpha p}{\Theta}\left(Y_{p}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}, \quad t>0 ; \quad Y_{p}(0+)=\infty .
$$

We now prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{p}(t) \leq Y_{p}(t) \quad \forall t>0, \quad p=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown in the Case 1 one sees that (4.15) holds for all integer $1 \leq p \leq n_{0}$. Suppose that (4.15) holds true for some integer $q=p-1$ with $p-1 \geq n_{0}$. Let us check the case $p$. By $p-1 \geq n_{0} \geq 5$ we have $k_{p}+1 \leq(p+1) / 2+1 \leq p-1$ and so $z_{k+1}(t) z_{p-k}(t) \leq Y_{k+1}(t) Y_{p-k}(t)=\left(Y_{p}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}$ hold for all $1 \leq k \leq k_{p}$. So

$$
\widetilde{Z}_{p}(t)=\max _{k \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, k_{p}\right\}} z_{k+1}(t) z_{p-k}(t) \leq\left(Y_{p}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Thus because of the differential inequality (4.14) we have

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} z_{p}(t) \leq \frac{A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}{32} p\left(Y_{p}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}+\alpha p z_{p}(t)-\frac{A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}{16} p\left(z_{p}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \quad \forall t>0
$$

which proves the following implication:

$$
t>0 \quad \text { and } \quad z_{p}(t)>Y_{p}(t) \Longrightarrow \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} z_{p}(t) \leq \alpha p z_{p}(t)-\frac{\alpha p}{\Theta}\left(z_{p}(t)\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}
$$

where we used the fact that

$$
\Theta=2^{2 n_{0}+7} \frac{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}>\frac{32 \alpha}{A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}
$$

Thus by using Lemma 3.8 we conclude that $z_{p}(t) \leq Y_{p}(t) \forall t>0$. This proves (4.15).

As shown above (replacing $\gamma$ with 2) by defining $c(t)=\left(1-e^{-\alpha t}\right) / 2 C_{0}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{c(t)\langle v\rangle^{2}} \mathrm{~d} F_{t}(v) \leq 2\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0} \quad \forall t>0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes Step 1.
Step 2. Suppose that $F_{0}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, i.e. $\mathrm{d} F_{0}(v)=f_{0}(v) \mathrm{d} v$, and suppose that (moment bounds and finite entropy)

$$
0 \leq f_{0} \in \bigcap_{s \geq 0} L_{s}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad 0<\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{0}(v)\left|\log f_{0}(v)\right| \mathrm{d} v<\infty
$$

In this case we prove that there exists $\left\{f_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0} \subset \bigcap_{s \geq 0} L_{s}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that the measure $F_{t}$ defined by $\mathrm{d} F_{t}=f_{t} \mathrm{~d} v$ is a conservative measure weak solution of Eq. (1.1) associated with the initial datum $F_{0}$ defined by $\mathrm{d} F_{0}=f_{0} \mathrm{~d} v$, and $F_{t}$ satisfies the moment production estimates (1.14) and (1.16).

To do this we consider some bounded truncations of the kernel $B$ :

$$
B_{n}\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right)=\min \left\{\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{\gamma}, n\right\} \min \{b(\cos \theta), n\}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

It is well-known that Eq. (1.1) with the bounded kernel $B_{n}$ has a unique conservative solution $f_{t}^{n}(v)$ satisfying $f_{0}^{n}(v)=f_{0}(v)$ and $f^{n} \in C^{1}\left([0, \infty) ; L_{s}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right) \cap L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left([0, \infty) ; L_{s}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)$ for all $s \geq 0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \geq 1, t \geq 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{t}^{n}(v)\left(1+|v|^{2}+\left|\log f_{t}^{n}(v)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} v<\infty \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Q_{B_{n}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ (collision operator) and $A_{n, 2}$ (angular momentum defined in (H0)) correspond to the kernel $B_{n}$, and define $\mathrm{d} F_{t}^{n}=f_{t}^{n} \mathrm{~d} v$. Then $\left\|F_{t}^{n}\right\|_{2}=\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}=\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}$ and from the proof of Lemmas 3.6 3.7 we see that by omitting the negative term in the proofs of the two lemmas and notice that $A_{n, 2} \leq A_{2}$ we have for all $p \geq 3$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\|F_{t}^{n}\right\|_{2 p}=\left\langle Q_{B_{n}}\left(F_{t}^{n}, F_{t}^{n}\right),\langle\cdot\rangle^{2 p}\right\rangle \leq 2^{2 p+1} A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\left\|F_{t}^{n}\right\|_{2 p}
$$

Thus for all $s \geq 6$, letting $p=s / 2$ and recalling $\left\|f_{t}^{n}\right\|_{L_{s}^{1}}=\left\|F_{t}^{n}\right\|_{s}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \geq 1}\left\|f_{t}^{n}\right\|_{L_{s}^{1}} \leq\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{L_{s}^{1}} \exp \left(2^{s+1} A_{2}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2} t\right) \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this and the basic estimate (1.10) we get for any $\varphi \in C_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, any $T \in(0, \infty)$ and $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0, T]$

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(v) f_{t_{1}}^{n}(v) \mathrm{d} v-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(v) f_{t_{2}}^{n}(v) \mathrm{d} v\right| \leq C_{\varphi, T}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|
$$

This together with (4.17) implies for any $\psi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and any $0<T<\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0, T],\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right| \leq \delta ; n \geq 1}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi f_{t_{1}}^{n} \mathrm{~d} v-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi f_{t_{2}}^{n} \mathrm{~d} v\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad \delta \rightarrow 0+ \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (4.17) implies that for every $t \geq 0,\left\{f_{t}^{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is $L^{1}$-weakly relatively compact, it follows from diagonal argument and (4.19) that there is a subsequence of $\{n\}$ (independent of $t$ ), still denoted as $\{n\}$, and a nonnegative measurable function $(t, v) \mapsto f_{t}(v)$ on $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ satisfying $f_{t} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)(\forall t \geq 0)$ such that for all $\psi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi f_{t}^{n} \mathrm{~d} v=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi f_{t} \mathrm{~d} v \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

And consequently

$$
f_{t} \in \bigcap_{s \geq 0} L_{s}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \quad(\forall t \geq 0)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \geq 0}\left\|f_{t}\right\|_{L_{2}^{1}} \leq\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{L_{2}^{1}}, \quad \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|f_{t}\right\|_{L_{s}^{1}}<\infty \quad \forall 0<T<\infty, \quad \forall s \geq 0 \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any $s>0$ and any $\psi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi f_{t} \mathrm{~d} v \quad \text { is continuous on }[0, \infty) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we are going to show that $f_{t}$ (or equivalently the measure $F_{t}$ defined by $\mathrm{d} F_{t}=$ $\left.f_{t} \mathrm{~d} v\right)$ is a conservative weak solution of Eq. (1.1) with the kernel $B$. Given any $\varphi \in$ $C_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we have by (1.10) and $B_{n} \leq B$

$$
\sup _{n \geq 1} \frac{\left|L_{B_{n}}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right|}{\langle v\rangle^{s}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{s}} \leq A_{2} C_{\varphi} \frac{\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{2+\gamma}}{\langle v\rangle^{s}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{s}} \rightarrow 0 \quad\left(|v|^{2}+\left|v_{*}\right|^{2} \rightarrow \infty\right)
$$

for $s>2+\gamma$. Moreover by Proposition [2.1, $L_{B_{n}}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right), L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)$ are all continuous on $\left(v, v_{*}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$, and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{|v|+\left|v_{*}\right| \leq R}\left|L_{B_{n}}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)-L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right|=0 \quad \forall 0<R<\infty .
$$

It follows from Proposition 2.2 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right| f_{t}(v) f_{t}\left(v_{*}\right) \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} v_{*}<\infty \quad \forall 0<T<\infty \\
\left\langle Q_{B_{n}}\left(f_{t}^{n}, f_{t}^{n}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle Q_{B}\left(f_{t}, f_{t}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \forall t \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Again using Proposition 2.2 and (4.22) we conclude that

$$
t \mapsto\left\langle Q_{B}\left(f_{t}, f_{t}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \quad \text { is continuous on }[0, \infty)
$$

Finally using the dominated convergence theorem (in the $t$ variable) we conclude that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi f_{t} \mathrm{~d} v=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi f_{0} \mathrm{~d} v+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle Q_{B}\left(f_{\tau}, f_{\tau}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \tau \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

Thus $f_{t}$ is a weak solution of Eq. (1.1). Let $F_{t}$ be defined by $\mathrm{d} F_{t}=f_{t} \mathrm{~d} v$. Therefore from $\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s}=\left\|f_{t}\right\|_{L_{s}^{1}}$, (4.21), and Step 1 we conclude that $F_{t}$ is a conservative measure weak solution of Eq. (1.1) associated with the initial datum $F_{0}$ and satisfies the moment production estimates (1.14) and (1.16).
Step 3. Let $F_{0}$ be the given measure in $\mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}>0$. We shall prove the existence of a measure weak solution $F_{t}$ that has all properties listed in the theorem.

First if $F_{0}=c \delta_{v=v_{0}}\left(c=\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}>0\right)$ is a Dirac mass, then it is easily checked that the measure $F_{t} \equiv c \delta_{v=v_{0}}$ is a measure weak solution of Eq.(1.1) that conserves the mass, momentum and energy and satisfies the moment estimates.

Suppose $F_{0}$ is not a Dirac mass. We shall use Mehler transform: Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}, \quad v_{0}=\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} v \mathrm{~d} F_{0}(v), \quad T=\frac{1}{N \rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v-v_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} F_{0}(v) . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $T>0$ so that the Maxwellian used in the Mehler transform can be defined:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(v)=\frac{e^{-|v|^{2} / 2 T}}{(2 \pi T)^{N / 2}}, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Mehler transform of $F_{0}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{n}(v)=e^{N n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} M\left(e^{n}\left(v-v_{0}-\sqrt{1-e^{-2 n}}\left(v_{*}-v_{0}\right)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} F_{0}\left(v_{*}\right), \quad n \geq 1 \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1  \tag{4.26}\\
v \\
|v|^{2}
\end{array}\right) f_{0}^{n}(v) \mathrm{d} v=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
v \\
|v|^{2}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{d} F_{0}(v)
$$

and for all $\psi \in L_{-2}^{\infty} C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi(v) f_{0}^{n}(v) \mathrm{d} v=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi(v) \mathrm{d} F_{0}(v) \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $n$, choose $K_{n}>n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(f_{0}^{n}(v)-\min \left\{f_{0}^{n}(v), K_{n}\right\} e^{-\frac{|v|^{2}}{K_{n}}}\right)\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} v \leq \frac{\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}}{2 n} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then let

$$
\tilde{f}_{0}^{n}(v)=\min \left\{f_{0}^{n}(v), K_{n}\right\} e^{-|v|^{2} / n}, \quad \mathrm{~d} F_{0}^{n}(v)=\tilde{f}_{0}^{n}(v) \mathrm{d} v
$$

We need to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} F_{0}^{n}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} F_{0} \quad \forall \psi \in L_{-2}^{\infty} C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed we have

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} F_{0}^{n}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} F_{0}\right| \leq\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi\left(\tilde{f}_{0}^{n}-f_{0}^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} v\right|+\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi f_{0}^{n} \mathrm{~d} v-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} F_{0}\right| .
$$

The second term converges to zero $(n \rightarrow \infty)$. The first term also goes to zero: By (4.28) we have

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi\left(\widetilde{f}_{0}^{n}-f_{0}^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} v\right| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\langle v\rangle^{2}\left|\widetilde{f}_{0}^{n}-f_{0}^{n}\right| \mathrm{d} v \leq \frac{C}{2 n}
$$

Since for every $n, \widetilde{f_{0}^{n}}$ satisfies the condition in the Step 2, there is a conservative measure weak solution $F_{t}^{n}$ of Eq. (1.1) with the kernel $B$ and the initial data $F_{0}^{n}$, such that $F_{t}^{n}$ satisfies the moment estimate

$$
\left\|F_{t}^{n}\right\|_{s} \leq \mathcal{K}_{s}\left(F_{0}^{n}\right)(1+1 / t)^{\frac{s-2}{\gamma}} \quad \forall t>0, \quad \forall s \geq 2
$$

Here recall that $\mathcal{K}_{s}(\cdot)$ is defined in (1.15). By the convergence (4.29) we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{s}\left(F_{0}^{n}\right)=\mathcal{K}_{s}\left(F_{0}\right) \quad \forall s \geq 2
$$

Thus for any $s \geq 2, C_{s}^{*}:=\sup _{n \geq 1} \mathcal{K}_{s}\left(F_{0}^{n}\right)<\infty$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \geq 1}\left\|F_{t}^{n}\right\|_{s} \leq C_{s}^{*}\left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\frac{s-2}{\gamma}} \quad \forall t>0, \quad \forall s \geq 2 \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we prove the equi-continuity of $\left\{F_{t}^{n}\right\}$ in $t \in[0, \infty)$ (in particular in the neighborhood of $t=0$ ). It is only in this part that the $\operatorname{logarithm}|\log (\sin \theta)|$ comes into play. Let

$$
\lambda(\theta):=\frac{1}{1+|\log (\sin \theta)|}, \quad 0<\theta<\pi
$$

By (1.10) and $0<\gamma \lambda(\theta) \leq \gamma \leq 2$ we have for any $\varphi \in C_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-2}(\mathbf{n})} \Delta \varphi \mathrm{d} \omega\right| \leq C_{\varphi}\left|\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-2}(\mathbf{n})} \Delta \varphi \mathrm{d} \omega\right|^{\frac{2-\gamma \lambda(\theta)}{2}} \leq C_{\varphi}\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{2-\gamma \lambda(\theta)}(\sin \theta)^{2-\gamma \lambda(\theta)}
$$

where here and below $C_{\varphi}$ only depends on $\varphi$ and $N$. Then by using

$$
\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{\gamma+2-\gamma \lambda(\theta)} \leq 8\left(\langle v\rangle^{\gamma+2-\gamma \lambda(\theta)}+\left\langle\left. v_{*}\right|^{\gamma+2-\gamma \lambda(\theta)}\right)\right.
$$

and $(\sin \theta)^{-\gamma \lambda(\theta)}=e^{\gamma(1-\lambda(\theta))} \leq e^{2}$ and recalling (1.8) we obtain

$$
\left|L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right| \leq C_{\varphi} \int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta)(\sin \theta)^{N}\left(\langle v\rangle^{\gamma+2-\gamma \lambda(\theta)}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{\gamma+2-\gamma \lambda(\theta)}\right) \mathrm{d} \theta
$$

So for all $t>0$ (using Fubini's theorem and (4.30))

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} F_{t}^{n}(v) \mathrm{d} F_{t}^{n}\left(v_{*}\right)  \tag{4.31}\\
& \leq C_{\varphi}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0} \int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta)(\sin \theta)^{N}\left\|F_{t}^{n}\right\|_{\gamma+2-\gamma \lambda(\theta)} \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& \leq C_{\varphi, F_{0}} \int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta)(\sin \theta)^{N}\left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)^{1-\lambda(\theta)} \mathrm{d} \theta
\end{align*}
$$

Thus for all $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0, \infty)$ we compute (assuming $t_{1}<t_{2}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} F_{t}^{n}(v) \mathrm{d} F_{t}^{n}\left(v_{*}\right)  \tag{4.32}\\
& \leq C_{\varphi, F_{0}} \int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta)(\sin \theta)^{N} \mathrm{~d} \theta\left(1+t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{1-\lambda(\theta)} \int_{0}^{t_{2}-t_{1}} t^{\lambda(\theta)-1} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =C_{\varphi, F_{0}} \int_{0}^{\pi} b(\cos \theta)(\sin \theta)^{N}(1+|\log (\sin \theta)|)\left(1+t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{1-\lambda(\theta)}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{\lambda(\theta)} \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& =: C_{\varphi, F_{0}} \Omega\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\left|\left\langle Q\left(F_{t}^{n}, F_{t}^{n}\right), \varphi\right\rangle\right| \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} F_{t}^{n}(v) \mathrm{d} F_{t}^{n}\left(v_{*}\right)
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{n \geq 1}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} F_{t_{2}}^{n}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} F_{t_{1}}^{n}\right| & \leq \sup _{n \geq 1}\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\right|\left\langle Q\left(F_{t}^{n}, F_{t}^{n}\right), \varphi\right\rangle|\mathrm{d} t| \\
& \leq C_{\varphi, F_{0}} \Omega\left(\left|t_{2}-t_{1}\right|\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.33}
\end{align*}
$$

as $\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right| \rightarrow 0$. We then deduce that for any $\psi \in C_{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\psi}(\delta):=\sup _{\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right| \leq \delta ; n \geq 1}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} F_{t_{1}}^{n}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} F_{t_{2}}^{n}\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad \delta \rightarrow 0^{+} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $C_{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is separated, it follows from a diagonal argument that there is a subsequence of $\{n\}$ (independent of $t$ ), still denoted by $\{n\}$, and a family $\left\{F_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} F_{t}^{n}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} F_{t} \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall \psi \in C_{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.30) and the fact that $F_{t}^{n}$ are conservative solutions we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}, \quad\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{s} \leq C_{s}^{*}(1+1 / t)^{\frac{s-2}{\gamma}} \quad \forall t>0, \quad \forall s \geq 2 \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also by (4.35) and (4.34) we have

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} F_{t_{1}}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} F_{t_{2}}\right| \leq \Lambda_{\psi}\left(\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} F_{t} \quad \text { is continuous on } \quad[0, \infty) \quad \forall \psi \in C_{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove that $F_{t}$ is a measure weak solution of Eq. (1.1). Given any $\varphi \in C_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, by (4.36) we see that the derivation of (4.31) holds also for $F_{t}$ and so

$$
\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} F_{t}(v) \mathrm{d} F_{t}\left(v_{*}\right)<\infty \quad \forall t>0
$$

Next by Proposition 2.1 the function $\left(v, v_{*}\right) \mapsto L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right|}{\langle v\rangle^{s}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{s}} \leq C_{\varphi} A_{2} \frac{\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{2+\gamma}}{\langle v\rangle^{s}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{s}} \rightarrow 0 \quad\left(|v|^{2}+\left|v_{*}\right|^{2} \rightarrow \infty\right) \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s>2+\gamma$. Thus by using (4.30)-(4.35)-(4.38), Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle Q\left(F_{t}^{n}, F_{t}^{n}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \forall t>0 . \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly by using (4.36)-(4.37), Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \mapsto\left\langle Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \quad \text { is continuous in } \quad(0, \infty) \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the derivation of (4.32) also holds for $F_{t}$ and hence we have for all $T \in$ $(0, \infty)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{~d} \tau \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|L_{B}[\Delta \varphi]\left(v, v_{*}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} F_{t}(v) \mathrm{d} F_{t}\left(v_{*}\right) \leq C_{\varphi, F_{0}} \Omega(T)<\infty \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
t \mapsto\left\langle Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \quad \text { belongs to } \quad C((0, \infty)) \cap L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}([0, \infty)) .
$$

And it also follows from (4.32)-(4.39) and the dominated convergence theorem that for all $t>0$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle Q\left(F_{\tau}^{n}, F_{\tau}^{n}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \tau \rightarrow \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle Q\left(F_{\tau}, F_{\tau}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \tau \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

Thus in the integral equation of measures solutions $F_{t}^{n}$, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} F_{t}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} F_{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle Q\left(F_{\tau}, F_{\tau}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \tau \quad \forall t>0
$$

We have proved that $F_{t}$ satisfies the conditions (i)-(ii) in the definition of measure weak solutions. So $F_{t}$ is a measure weak solution of Eq. (1.1) associated with the initial datum $F_{0}$. Finally from the moment estimates in (4.36) and Step 1 we conclude that the solution $F_{t}$ conserves mass, momentum and energy, and satisfies the moment production estimates (1.14)-(1.16). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2,

## 5. Stability Estimates and Proof of Theorem 1.6

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.6. We begin with some basic facts about real Borel measures. As usual we denote

$$
\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\mathcal{B}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad\|\mu\|=\|\mu\|_{0}=|\mu|\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

For any $\mu \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, let $\mu^{+}, \mu^{-}$be the positive and negative parts of $\mu$, i.e. $\mu^{ \pm}=$ $\frac{1}{2}(|\mu| \pm \mu)$. Let $h: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the Borel function satisfying $|h(v)| \equiv 1$ such that $\mathrm{d} \mu=h \mathrm{~d}|\mu|$. We may call $h$ the sign function of $\mu$. Then $\mathrm{d} \mu^{+}=\frac{1}{2}(1+h) \mathrm{d} \mu$. So for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mu-\nu|=\nu-\mu+2(\mu-\nu)^{+} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.1. Let $\mu_{t} \in C\left([a, \infty) ; \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right), \nu_{a} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and

$$
\nu_{t}=\nu_{a}+\int_{a}^{t} \mu_{s} \mathrm{~d} s, \quad t \geq a
$$

and let $v \mapsto h_{t}(v)$ be the sign function of the measure $\nu_{t}$ and let $\kappa_{t}=\left(1+h_{t}\right) / 2$ so that $\mathrm{d} \nu_{t}^{+}=\kappa_{t} \mathrm{~d} \nu_{t}$.

Then for any bounded Borel function $\psi$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, the functions

$$
t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} \mu_{t}, \quad t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d}\left|\mu_{t}\right| \quad \text { and } t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} \mu_{t}^{+}
$$

all belong to $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}([a, \infty))$ and for any $t \in[a, \infty)$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} \nu_{t}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} \nu_{a}+\int_{a}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} \mu_{s}  \tag{5.2}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d}\left|\nu_{t}\right|=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d}\left|\nu_{a}\right|+\int_{a}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi h_{s} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{s} \tag{5.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} \nu_{t}^{+}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \mathrm{~d} \nu_{a}^{+}+\int_{a}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi \kappa_{s} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{s} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since the half-sum of (5.2) and (5.3) is equal to (5.4), we only have to prove (5.2) and (5.3). The proof of (5.2) is easy and similar to that of (5.3). By simple function approximation, the proof of (5.3) can be reduced to the proof that for any Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, t \mapsto \int_{E} h_{t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{t}$ belongs to $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left([a, \infty)\right.$ ) (and so does $t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi h_{t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{t}$ for any bounded Borel function $\psi$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ ) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nu_{t}\right|(E)=\left|\nu_{a}\right|(E)+\int_{a}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s \int_{E} h_{s} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{s}, \quad t \in[a, \infty) . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption on $\mu_{t}$, the strong derivative $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} t} \nu_{t}=\mu_{t}$ exists, and

$$
\left\|\nu_{t_{1}}-\nu_{t_{2}}\right\| \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|\mu_{s}\right\| d s \quad \forall a \leq t_{1} \leq t_{2}<\infty
$$

This implies that for any Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, t \mapsto\left|\nu_{t}\right|(E)$ is Lipschitz on every bounded interval of $[a, T]$ : For all $a \leq t_{1} \leq t_{2} \leq T$

$$
\left|\left|\nu_{t_{1}}\right|(E)-\left|\nu_{t_{2}}\right|(E)\right| \leq\left|\nu_{t_{1}}-\nu_{t_{2}}\right|(E) \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|\mu_{s}\right\| \mathrm{d} s \leq C_{T}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|
$$

and so $t \mapsto\left|\nu_{t}\right|(E)$ is differentiable for almost every $t \in[a, \infty)$ and satisfies

$$
\left|\nu_{t}\right|(E)=\left|\nu_{a}\right|(E)+\int_{a}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left|\nu_{s}\right|(E) \mathrm{d} s \quad \forall t \in[a, \infty) .
$$

Therefore in order to prove (5.5) we only have to show that for all Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left|\nu_{t}\right|(E)=\int_{E} h_{t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{t}, \quad \text { a.e. } \quad t \in[a, \infty) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which also implies that $t \mapsto \int_{E} h_{t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{t}$ belongs to $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}([a, \infty))$.
For any $t, s \in[a, \infty)$, using

$$
\left|\nu_{s}\right|(E)=\int_{E} \mathrm{~d}\left|\nu_{s}\right| \geq \int_{E} h_{t} \mathrm{~d} \nu_{s}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nu_{s}\right|(E)-\left|\nu_{t}\right|(E) \geq \int_{E} h_{t} \mathrm{~d}\left(\nu_{s}-\nu_{t}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now take any $t \in(a, \infty)$ such that the derivative $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} t}\left|\nu_{t}\right|(E)$ exists. By (15.7) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s>t \Longrightarrow \frac{\left|\nu_{s}\right|(E)-\left|\nu_{t}\right|(E)}{s-t} \geq \int_{E} h_{t} \mathrm{~d}\left(\frac{\nu_{s}-\nu_{t}}{s-t}\right) \\
& s<t \Longrightarrow \frac{\left|\nu_{s}\right|(E)-\left|\nu_{t}\right|(E)}{s-t} \leq \int_{E} h_{t} \mathrm{~d}\left(\frac{\nu_{s}-\nu_{t}}{s-t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left(\nu_{s}-\nu_{t}\right) /(s-t) \rightarrow \mu_{t}(s \rightarrow t)$ in norm $\|\cdot\|$, it follows that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left|\nu_{t}\right|(E)=\lim _{s \rightarrow t} \frac{\left|\nu_{s}\right|(E)-\left|\nu_{t}\right|(E)}{s-t}=\int_{E} h_{t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{t} .
$$

This proves (5.6) and concludes the proof.
Lemma 5.2. For any $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{B}_{s}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)(s \geq 0)$ and any locally bounded Borel function $\psi \in L_{-s}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi\left(v, v_{*}\right) \mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu)=\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi\left(v_{*}, v\right) \mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu),  \tag{5.8}\\
& \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi\left(v, v_{*}\right) \mathrm{d}|\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu|=\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi\left(v_{*}, v\right) \mathrm{d}|\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu|,  \tag{5.9}\\
& \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi\left(v, v_{*}\right) \mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu)^{+}=\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi\left(v_{*}, v\right) \mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu)^{+} . \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Equation (5.8) easily follows from Fubini's theorem. Equation (5.10) follows from (5.9) and the relation

$$
\mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu)^{+}=\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{~d}|\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu|+\mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu)) .
$$

So we only have to prove (5.9). To do this we split $\psi$ as $\psi=\psi^{+}-(-\psi)^{+}$so that we can assume that $\psi \geq 0$. Let $h\left(v, v_{*}\right)$ be the sign function of the measure $\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu$. Then applying (5.8) to $\psi\left(v, v_{*}\right) h\left(v, v_{*}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi\left(v, v_{*}\right) \mathrm{d}|\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu| \\
& =\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi\left(v, v_{*}\right) h\left(v, v_{*}\right) \mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu) \\
& =\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi\left(v_{*}, v\right) h\left(v_{*}, v\right) \mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu) \\
& \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi\left(v_{*}, v\right) \mathrm{d}|\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu|
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing $\psi\left(v, v_{*}\right)$ with $\psi\left(v_{*}, v\right)$ we also obtain the reversed inequality. This proves (5.9).

Lemma 5.3. Let $B(z, \sigma)$ be given by (1.3)-(1.4)-(1.5) with $b(\cdot)$ satisfying $A_{0}<\infty$. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_{2+\gamma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \nu \in \mathcal{B}_{2 \gamma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and let $h(v)$ be the sign function of $\mu-\nu$ and let $\kappa=\frac{1}{2}(1+h)$ so that $\kappa \mathrm{d}(\mu-\nu)=\mathrm{d}(\mu-\nu)^{+}$. Then for any $\varphi \in C_{b}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfying $0 \leq \varphi(v) \leq\langle v\rangle^{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(v) \kappa(v) \mathrm{d}(Q(\mu, \mu)-Q(\nu, \nu))(v)  \tag{5.11}\\
& \leq E_{\varphi}+2^{\gamma / 2} A_{0}\left(\|\mu\|_{2+\gamma}\|\mu-\nu\|_{0}+\|\mu\|_{2}\|\mu-\nu\|_{\gamma}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
E_{\varphi}=A_{0} 2^{\gamma}\|\mu\|_{\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}-\varphi(v)\right)\langle v\rangle^{\gamma} \mathrm{d} \mu(v)
$$

Proof. Since $\varphi$ is bounded, there is no problem of integrability in the following derivation. For instance we can write

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(v) \kappa(v) \mathrm{d}(Q(\mu, \mu)-Q(\nu, \nu))(v)=I^{(+)}-I^{(-)}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{(+)} & =\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} L_{B}[\varphi \kappa]\left(v, v_{*}\right) \mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu) \\
I^{(-)} & =\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} A\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|\right) \varphi(v) \kappa(v) \mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu)
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition of $B(z, \sigma)$ and $\varphi(v) \kappa(v) \leq\langle v\rangle^{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{B}[\varphi \kappa]\left(v, v_{*}\right)+L_{B}[\varphi \kappa]\left(v_{*}, v\right) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-}} B\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right)\left(\left\langle v^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2}\right) d \sigma=A\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|\right)\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then using $\mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu) \leq \mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu)^{+}$and Lemma 5.2 we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I^{(+)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(L_{B}[\varphi \kappa]\left(v, v_{*}\right)+L_{B}[\varphi \kappa]\left(v_{*}, v\right)\right) \mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu)^{+} \\
& \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} A\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|\right)\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu)^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|\right) \leq A_{0} 2^{\gamma}\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{\gamma},\langle v\rangle^{2}-\varphi(v) \geq 0$, and $(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu)^{+} \leq \mu \otimes \mu$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} A\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|\right)\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}-\varphi(v)\right) \mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu)^{+} \\
& \leq A_{0} 2^{\gamma} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}}\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{\gamma}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}-\varphi(v)\right) \mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu) \\
& =A_{0} 2^{\gamma}\|\mu\|_{\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}-\varphi(v)\right) \mathrm{d} \mu(v)=E_{\varphi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore using

$$
\mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu)^{+}\left(v, v_{*}\right) \leq \mathrm{d} \mu(v) \mathrm{d}(\mu-\nu)^{+}\left(v_{*}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left((\mu-\nu)^{+}(v) \mathrm{d} \nu\left(v_{*}\right)\right.
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I^{(+)} \leq E_{\varphi} & +\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} A\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|\right) \varphi(v) \mathrm{d} \mu(v) \mathrm{d}(\mu-\nu)^{+}\left(v_{*}\right)  \tag{5.12}\\
& +\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{N} \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} A\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|\right) \varphi(v) \mathrm{d}(\mu-\nu)^{+}(v) \mathrm{d} \nu\left(v_{*}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly using $\mathrm{d}(\mu \otimes \mu-\nu \otimes \nu)\left(v, v_{*}\right)=\mathrm{d} \mu(v) \mathrm{d}(\mu-\nu)\left(v_{*}\right)+\mathrm{d}(\mu-\nu)(v) \mathrm{d} \nu\left(v_{*}\right)$ and $\kappa(v) \mathrm{d}(\mu-\nu)(v)=\mathrm{d}(\mu-\nu)^{+}(v)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I^{(-)} & =\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} A\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|\right) \varphi(v) \kappa(v) \mathrm{d} \mu(v) \mathrm{d}(\mu-\nu)\left(v_{*}\right)  \tag{5.13}\\
& +\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} A\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|\right) \varphi(v) \mathrm{d}(\mu-\nu)^{+}(v) \mathrm{d} \nu\left(v_{*}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Canceling the common term in (5.12) and (5.13) and noticing that $\mathrm{d}(\mu-\nu)^{+}\left(v_{*}\right) \leq \mathrm{d}(\mu-\nu)\left(v_{*}\right)+\mathrm{d}|\mu-\nu|\left(v_{*}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(v) \kappa(v) \mathrm{d}(Q(\mu, \mu)-Q(\nu, \nu))  \tag{5.14}\\
& \leq E_{\varphi}+\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} A\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|\right) \varphi(v) \mathrm{d} \mu(v) \mathrm{d}|\mu-\nu|\left(v_{*}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $A\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|\right) \varphi(v) \leq A_{0} 2^{\gamma / 2}\left(\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}+\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{\gamma}\right)\langle v\rangle^{2}$, it follows that

$$
\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} A\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|\right) \varphi(v) \mathrm{d} \mu(v) \mathrm{d}|\mu-\nu|\left(v_{*}\right) \leq A_{0} 2^{\gamma / 2}\left(\|\mu\|_{2+\gamma}\|\mu-\nu\|_{0}+\|\mu\|_{2}\|\mu-\nu\|_{\gamma}\right)
$$

which together with (5.14) proves (5.11).
Proof of Theorem 1.6.
Part (a). Recall that $B(z, \sigma)=b(\cos \theta)|z|^{\gamma}$ satisfies $A_{0}<\infty$ and $0<\gamma \leq 2$. Let $F_{t}$ be a conservative measure weak solution of Eq. (1.1) with $\left.F_{t}\right|_{t=0}=F_{0} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. We prove that $F_{t}$ is a measure strong solution.

First of all by $\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{0},\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{\gamma} \leq\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}$ and Proposition 1.4 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|Q^{ \pm}\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right)\right\|_{0} \leq 4 A_{0}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \\
&\left\langle Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right), \varphi\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right) \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad \forall t \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right)=\left\langle Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \text { belongs to } C((0, \infty)) \cap L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}([0, \infty))
$$

there is no problem of integrability and the integral equation for a measure weak solutions becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} F_{t}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} F_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} Q\left(F_{s}, F_{s}\right) \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now take any $\varphi \in C_{c}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfying $\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq 1$. We have

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right)\right| \leq\left\|Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right)\right\|_{0} \leq 8 A_{0}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}, \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

and thus using (5.15), for all $0 \leq t_{1}<t_{2}<\infty$

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d}\left(F_{t_{2}}-F_{t_{1}}\right)\right| \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} Q\left(F_{s}, F_{s}\right)\right| d s \leq 8 A_{0}\left\|F_{0}\right\|^{2}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|
$$

Applying (1.21) this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{t_{1}}-F_{t_{2}}\right\|_{0} \leq 8 A_{0}\left\|F_{0}\right\|^{2}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|, \quad \forall t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0, \infty) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which enable us to prove the strong continuity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \mapsto F_{t} \in C\left([0, \infty) ; \mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right), \quad t \mapsto Q^{ \pm}\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right) \in C\left([0, \infty) ; \mathcal{B}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right) \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact applying the inequality (1.23) in Proposition 1.4 with $s=0$ (recall that $0<\gamma \leq 2$ ) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q^{ \pm}\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right)-Q^{ \pm}\left(F_{t_{0}}, F_{t_{0}}\right)\right\|_{0} \leq 8 A_{0}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\left\|F_{t}-F_{t_{0}}\right\|_{2}, \quad t, t_{0} \geq 0 \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $t_{0} \in[0, \infty)$. Using (5.1), the conservation of mass and energy, $\mathrm{d}\left(F_{t_{0}}-F_{t}\right)^{+} \leq \mathrm{d} F_{t_{0}}$, and (5.16) we have for any $R \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|F_{t}-F_{t_{0}}\right\|_{2} & =2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d}\left(F_{t_{0}}-F_{t}\right)^{+}(v) \\
& \leq 2 R^{2} \int_{\langle v\rangle \leq R} \mathrm{~d}\left(F_{t_{0}}-F_{t}\right)^{+}(v)+2 \int_{\langle v\rangle>R}\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} F_{t_{0}}(v) \\
& \leq 2^{4} A_{0} R^{2}\left|t-t_{0}\right|+2 \int_{\langle v\rangle>R}\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} F_{t_{0}}(v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus first letting $t \rightarrow t_{0}$ and then letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ leads to $\limsup _{t \rightarrow t_{0}}\left\|F_{t}-F_{t_{0}}\right\|_{2}=0$. This together with (5.18) proves (5.17).

From the strong continuity in (5.17) we have for all $\varphi \in C_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} Q\left(F_{s}, F_{s}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d}\left(\int_{0}^{t} Q\left(F_{s}, F_{s}\right) d s\right)
$$

which together with (5.15) yields

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} F_{t}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} F_{0}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi \mathrm{~d}\left(\int_{0}^{t} Q\left(F_{s}, F_{s}\right) d s\right)
$$

Therefore applying (1.21) we obtain

$$
F_{t}=F_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} Q\left(F_{s}, F_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Since $t \mapsto Q^{ \pm}\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right) \in C\left([0, \infty) ; \mathcal{B}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)$, it follows that $t \mapsto F_{t} \in C^{1}\left([0, \infty) ; \mathcal{B}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)$ and

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} F_{t}=Q\left(F_{t}, F_{t}\right), \quad t \geq 0
$$

So $F_{t}$ is a measure strong solution.
The converse is obvious: Every measure strong solution is a measure weak solution. (Recall our assumption that $\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{2}<\infty$ for all times $t \geq 0$ for measure solutions, and $0<\gamma \leq 2$.)

Parts (b)-(c)-(d). The proof of these three parts can be reduced to the proof of the following lemma:

Lemma 5.4. Let us consider $F_{0} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}>0$. Let $F_{t}$ be a conservative measure strong solutions of Eq. (1.1) with the initial datum

$$
\left.F_{t}\right|_{t=0}=F_{0} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

which satisfies the moment production estimates in Theorem 1.2. Let $G_{t}$ be any measure strong solutions of Eq. (1.1) on the time interval $[\tau, \infty)$ with initial data

$$
\left.G_{t}\right|_{t=\tau}=G_{\tau} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

for some $\tau \geq 0$, and satisfying $\left\|G_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|G_{\tau}\right\|_{2}$ for all $t \in[\tau, \infty)$.
Then the stability estimates (1.30) (for $\tau=0$ ) and (1.31) (for $\tau>0)$ hold true.
Note that the existence of such a solution $F_{t}$ as in the statement has been proven by Theorem 1.2 and part (a) of the present theorem. Therefore if Lemma 5.4 holds true, then by taking $G_{0}=F_{0}$ (for the case $\tau=0$ ) we get $G_{t} \equiv F_{t}$ on $[0, \infty)$ and hence this proves parts (b), (c) and (d).
Proof of Lemma 5.4. Our proof is divided into several steps. First of all for notation convenience we denote

$$
H_{t}=F_{t}-G_{t} .
$$

Step 1. Given any $r \in[\tau, \infty) \cap(0, \infty)$. We prove that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|G_{\tau}\right\|_{2}-\left\|F_{\tau}\right\|_{2}+2\left\|\left(H_{r}\right)^{+}\right\|_{2}  \tag{5.19}\\
& +4 A_{0}\left(\mathcal{K}_{2+\gamma}\left(F_{0}\right) \int_{r}^{t}(1+1 / s)\left\|H_{s}\right\|_{0} \mathrm{~d} s+\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2} \int_{r}^{t}\left\|H_{s}\right\|_{\gamma} \mathrm{d} s\right), \quad t \geq r .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\mathcal{K}_{2+\gamma}\left(F_{0}\right)$ is the constant in (1.15) with $s=2+\gamma$. To prove (5.19), we consider approximation: By $\mathrm{d}\left|H_{t}\right|=\mathrm{d} G_{t}-\mathrm{d} F_{t}+2 \mathrm{~d}\left(H_{t}\right)^{+}$we have

$$
\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2}=\left\|G_{t}\right\|_{2}-\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2}+2 \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\langle v\rangle_{n}^{2} \mathrm{~d}\left(H_{t}\right)^{+} \quad \text { with } \quad\langle v\rangle_{n}^{2}=\min \left\{\langle v\rangle^{2}, n\right\} .
$$

Let $v \mapsto h_{t}(v)$ be the sign function of $H_{t}$ and $\kappa_{t}(v)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+h_{t}(v)\right)$ so that $\kappa_{t} \mathrm{~d} H_{t}=$ $\mathrm{d}\left(H_{t}\right)^{+}$. Then applying Lemma5.1to the measure $H_{t}=H_{r}+\int_{r}^{t}\left(Q\left(F_{s}, F_{s}\right)-Q\left(G_{s}, G_{s}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s$ for $t \geq r$ and then using Lemma 5.3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\langle v\rangle_{n}^{2} \mathrm{~d}\left(H_{t}\right)^{+}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\langle v\rangle_{n}^{2} \mathrm{~d}\left(H_{r}\right)^{+}+\int_{r}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\langle v\rangle_{n}^{2} \kappa_{s}(v) \mathrm{d}\left(Q\left(F_{s}, F_{s}\right)-Q\left(G_{s}, G_{s}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left\|\left(H_{r}\right)^{+}\right\|_{2}+E_{n}(t)+2 A_{0}\left(\int_{r}^{t}\left\|F_{s}\right\|_{2+\gamma}\left\|H_{s}\right\|_{0} \mathrm{~d} s+\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2} \int_{r}^{t}\left\|H_{s}\right\|_{\gamma} \mathrm{d} s\right), \quad t \in[r, \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
E_{n}(t)=4 A_{0} \int_{r}^{t}\left\|F_{s}\right\|_{\gamma}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2}-\langle v\rangle_{n}^{2}\right)\langle v\rangle^{\gamma} \mathrm{d} F_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Since, by moment estimate (1.14),

$$
\int_{r}^{t}\left\|F_{s}\right\|_{\gamma}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\langle v\rangle^{2+\gamma} \mathrm{d} F_{s}(v)\right) \mathrm{d} s \leq\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2} \int_{r}^{t}\left\|F_{s}\right\|_{2+\gamma} \mathrm{d} s<\infty, \quad t \in[r, \infty)
$$

it follows from dominated convergence that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{n}(t)=0$ and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|G_{t}\right\|_{2}-\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{2}+2\left\|\left(H_{r}\right)^{+}\right\|_{2} \\
& +4 A_{0}\left(\int_{r}^{t}\left\|F_{s}\right\|_{2+\gamma}\left\|H_{s}\right\|_{0} \mathrm{~d} s+\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2} \int_{r}^{t}\left\|H_{s}\right\|_{\gamma} \mathrm{d} s\right), \quad \forall t \in[r, \infty) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By assumption on $F_{t}$ and $G_{t}$ we have $\left\|G_{t}\right\|_{2}-\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|G_{\tau}\right\|_{2}-\left\|F_{\tau}\right\|_{2}$ and $\left\|F_{s}\right\|_{2+\gamma} \leq$ $\mathcal{K}_{2+\gamma}\left(F_{0}\right)(1+1 / s)$. This proves (5.19).
Step 2. Suppose $\tau>0$. Then taking $r=\tau$ in (5.19) and using $\left\|G_{\tau}\right\|_{2}-\left\|F_{\tau}\right\|_{2}+$ $2\left\|\left(H_{\tau}\right)^{+}\right\|_{2}=\left\|H_{\tau}\right\|_{2}$ we obtain

$$
\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|H_{\tau}\right\|_{2}+c_{\tau} \int_{\tau}^{t}\left\|H_{s}\right\|_{2} \mathrm{~d} s \quad \forall t \in[\tau, \infty)
$$

with $c_{\tau}=4 A_{0}\left(\mathcal{K}_{2+\gamma}\left(F_{0}\right)+\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)$. This gives (1.31) by Gronwall lemma.
The remaining steps deal with the case $\tau=0$ and prove (1.30).
Step 3. If $\left\|H_{0}\right\|_{2} \geq 1$, then using $\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{2}=\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2},\left\|G_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|G_{0}\right\|_{2}$ we have

$$
\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq\left(1+2\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\right)\left\|H_{0}\right\|_{2} \quad \forall t \in[0, \infty)
$$

So in the following we assume that $\left\|H_{0}\right\|_{2}<1$. Note that in this case we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{t} \pm G_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq 1+2\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}=: C_{0} \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Proposition 1.4 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{0} \leq\left\|H_{0}\right\|_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} & \left\|Q\left(F_{s}, F_{s}\right)-Q\left(G_{s}, G_{s}\right)\right\|_{0} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \leq\left\|H_{0}\right\|_{0}+4 A_{0} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|F_{s}+G_{s}\right\|_{\gamma}\left\|H_{s}\right\|_{0}+\left\|F_{s}+G_{s}\right\|_{0}\left\|H_{s}\right\|_{\gamma}\right) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus by $0<\gamma \leq 2$ and (5.20) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{0} \leq\left\|H_{0}\right\|_{0}+8 A_{0} C_{0} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|H_{s}\right\|_{2} \mathrm{~d} s, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 4. Let $r>0$ satisfy $\left\|H_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq r \leq 1$. We prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(r):=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq r}\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq 4\left(1+9 A_{0} C_{0}^{2}\right) \Psi_{F_{0}}(r) \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

First of all using (5.1) and $\left\|G_{t}\right\|_{2}-\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|G_{0}\right\|_{2}-\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq r$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2}=\left\|G_{t}\right\|_{2}-\left\|F_{t}\right\|_{2}+2\left\|\left(H_{t}\right)^{+}\right\|_{2} \leq r+2\left\|\left(H_{t}\right)^{+}\right\|_{2} \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any $R \geq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left\|\left(H_{t}\right)^{+}\right\|_{2} \leq 4 R^{2}\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{0}+2 \int_{|v|>R}\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} F_{t}(v) . \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next by (5.21), (5.20) and $\left\|H_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq r$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 R^{2}\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{0} \leq 4\left(1+8 A_{0} C_{0}^{2}\right) R^{2} r \quad \forall t \in[0, r] \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the conservation of mass and energy we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{|v|>R}\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} F_{t}(v) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} F_{t}(v)-\int_{|v| \leq R}\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} F_{t}(v) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} F_{0}(v)-\int_{|v| \leq R}\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} F_{0}(v)-\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s \int_{|v| \leq R}\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} Q\left(F_{s}, F_{s}\right) \\
& \leq \int_{|v|>R}\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} F_{0}(v)+\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s \int_{|v| \leq R} \mathrm{~d}\langle v\rangle^{2} Q^{-}\left(F_{s}, F_{s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the last term we use $\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{\gamma} \leq\langle v\rangle^{\gamma}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{\gamma} \leq\langle v\rangle^{2}\left\langle v_{*}\right\rangle^{2}$ to get for all $t \in[0, r]$

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s \int_{|v| \leq R}\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} Q^{-}\left(F_{s}, F_{s}\right) \leq 2 R^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathrm{~d} Q^{-}\left(F_{s}, F_{s}\right) \leq 2 A_{0}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2} R^{2} r
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|v|>R}\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} F_{t}(v) \leq \int_{|v|>R}\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} F_{0}(v)+2 A_{0}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2} R^{2} r \quad \forall t \in[0, r] . \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5.24)-(5.25)-(5.26) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left\|\left(H_{t}\right)^{+}\right\|_{2} \leq 4\left(1+9 A_{0} C_{0}^{2}\right) R^{2} r+4 \int_{|v|>R}|v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} F_{0}(v), \quad t \in[0, r] . \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now choose $R=r^{-1 / 3}$. Then from (5.23), (5.27) we obtain

$$
\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq r+4\left(1+9 A_{0} C_{0}^{2}\right) r^{1 / 3}+4 \int_{|v|>r^{-1 / 3}}|v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} F_{0}(v), \quad t \in[0, r]
$$

This gives (5.22) by definition of $\Psi_{F_{0}}(r)$ in (1.29).
Step 5. In the following we denote $C_{i}=\mathcal{R}_{i}\left(\gamma, A_{0}, A_{2},\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0},\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2}\right)$ for $(i=1,2, \ldots, 6)$, where $\mathcal{R}_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{5}\right)$ are some explicit positive continuous functions in $\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)^{\otimes 5}$.

In (5.19) setting $\tau=0, r=1$ we have

$$
\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|H_{0}\right\|_{2}+2\left\|H_{1}\right\|_{2}+C_{1} \int_{1}^{t}\left\|H_{s}\right\|_{2} \mathrm{~d} s, \quad t \geq 1
$$

so that Gronwall Lemma applies to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq\left(\left\|H_{0}\right\|_{2}+2\left\|H_{1}\right\|_{2}\right) \exp \left(C_{1}(t-1)\right), \quad t \geq 1 \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we concentrate our estimate for $t \in[0,1]$. In what follows we assume $r$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
r>0, \quad\left\|H_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq r<1 \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.19) (with $\tau=0),\left\|G_{0}\right\|_{2}-\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|H_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq r$, and $\left\|H_{r}\right\|_{2} \leq U(r)$ we have

$$
\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq r+2 U(r)+C_{2}\left(\int_{r}^{t} \frac{1}{s}\left\|H_{s}\right\|_{0} \mathrm{~d} s+\int_{r}^{t}\left\|H_{s}\right\|_{\gamma} \mathrm{d} s\right), \quad t \in[r, 1]
$$

Further, using (5.21) we compute for all $t \in[r, 1]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{r}^{t} \frac{1}{s}\left\|H_{s}\right\|_{0} \mathrm{~d} s \leq r \log (t / r)+8 A_{0} C_{0} \int_{r}^{t} \frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{s}\left\|H_{\tau}\right\|_{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \leq r|\log r|+8 A_{0} C_{0} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|H_{\tau}\right\|_{2}|\log \tau| \mathrm{d} \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus for all $t \in[r, 1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq r+2 U(r)+C_{2} r|\log r|+C_{3} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|H_{s}\right\|_{2}(1+|\log s|) \mathrm{d} s \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq U(r)$ for all $t \in[0, r]$, the inequality (5.30) holds for all $t \in[0,1]$. Therefore by Gronwall Lemma we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq C_{4}(r+U(r)+r|\log r|) \quad \forall t \in[0,1] \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular taking $t=1$ yields the estimate for $\left\|H_{1}\right\|_{2}$ and thus from (5.28)-(5.29) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq C_{5}(r+U(r)+r|\log r|) \exp \left(C_{1}(t-1)\right), \quad \forall t \in[1, \infty) \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5.31)-(5.32) and the inequality $r|\log r| \leq r^{1 / 3}$ we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2} \leq \Psi_{F_{0}}(r) \exp \left(C_{6}(1+t)\right) \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally if $\left\|H_{0}\right\|_{2}=0$, then in (5.33) letting $r \rightarrow 0+$ leads to $\left\|H_{t}\right\|_{2} \equiv 0$; if $\left\|H_{0}\right\|_{2}>0$, we take $r=\left\|H_{0}\right\|_{2}$. This proves (1.30) and completes the proof of the lemma.

Part (e). Let $\mathrm{d} F_{0}=f_{0} \mathrm{~d} v$ with $0 \leq f_{0} \in L_{2}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and let $F_{t}$ be the unique conservative measure strong solution of Eq. (1.1) with the initial datum $F_{0}$. By the Lebesgue-RadomNikodym theorem, for every $t \geq 0$ we have a decomposition $\mathrm{d} F_{t}=f_{t} \mathrm{~d} v+\mathrm{d} \mu_{t}$ where $0 \leq f_{t} \in L_{2}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \mu_{t} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\mu_{t}$ concentrates on a Lebesgue null set. We can assume that $\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}}>0$. Let

$$
f_{0}^{n}(v)=\min \left\{f_{0}(v), n\right\} e^{-|v|^{2} / n}, \quad \text { and } \mathrm{d} F_{0}^{n}(v)=f_{0}^{n}(v) \mathrm{d} v .
$$

By Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 1.2, for every $n$ there is a conservative measure weak solution $F_{t}^{n}$ with the initial datum $F_{0}^{n}$ and $\mathrm{d} F_{t}^{n}=f_{t}^{n} \mathrm{~d} v, 0 \leq f_{t}^{n} \in L_{2}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for all $t \geq 0$. By part (a), $F_{t}^{n}$ is also a measure strong solution. Since $\mathrm{d}\left(F_{t}-F_{t}^{n}\right)=\left(f_{t}-f_{t}^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} v+\mathrm{d} \mu_{t}$ we have $\left\|F_{t}-F_{t}^{n}\right\|_{2}=\left\|f_{t}-f_{t}^{n}\right\|_{L_{2}^{1}}+\left\|\mu_{t}\right\|_{2}$. It is clear that

$$
\left\|F_{0}-F_{0}^{n}\right\|_{2}=\left\|f_{0}-f_{0}^{n}\right\|_{L_{2}^{1}} \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|F_{0}-F^{n}\right\|_{2} & =\left\|f_{0}-f_{0}^{n}\right\|_{L_{2}^{1}} \\
& \leq \int_{f_{0}(v)>n} f_{0}(v)\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} v+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{0}(v)\left(1-e^{-|v|^{2} / n}\right)\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} v \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus it follows from the stability estimate that for every fixed $t \geq 0$

$$
\left\|f_{t}-f_{t}^{n}\right\|_{L_{2}^{1}}+\left\|\mu_{t}\right\|_{2}=\left\|F_{t}-F_{t}^{n}\right\|_{2} \leq e^{C(1+t)} \Psi_{F_{0}}\left(\left\|F_{0}-F_{0}^{n}\right\|_{2}\right) \underset{n \rightarrow 0}{\longrightarrow} 0
$$

and therefore $\mu_{t} \equiv 0$. Thus $\mathrm{d} F_{t}=f_{t} \mathrm{~d} v$ for all $t \geq 0$ where $f_{t}$ is the unique conservative mild solution of Eq. (1.1) associated with the initial datum $f_{0}$. This proves part (d).
Part (f). Suppose $F_{0} \in \mathcal{B}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is not a Dirac mass. We can assume that $\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{0}>0$. Let $f_{0}^{n}(v)$ be defined by (4.23)-(4.24) (the Mehler transform of $F_{0}$ ). By part (d), for every $n \geq 1$ there exists a unique conservative $L^{1}$-solution $f_{t}^{n}$ of Eq.(1.1) associated with the initial datum $\left.f_{t}^{n}\right|_{t=0}=f_{0}^{n}$. If we define $F_{0}^{n}, F_{t}^{n}$ by $\mathrm{d} F_{0}^{n}=f_{0}^{n}(v) \mathrm{d} v, \mathrm{~d} F_{t}^{n}=f_{t}^{n}(v) \mathrm{d} v$, then by uniqueness and Theorem 1.2 we see that $F_{t}^{n}$ satisfies the moment production estimates. Thus it is easily checked that the Step 3 (where there is no need of introducing $\tilde{f}_{0}^{n}$ for the present case) within the proof of Theorem 1.2 is totally valid (and is in fact easier) for the present case. Therefore there is a subsequence of $\left\{f_{t}^{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, which we still denote as $\left\{f_{t}^{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, such that for the unique measure solution $F_{t}$ of Eq. (1.1) with $\left.F_{t}\right|_{t=0}=F_{0}$, the weak convergence (1.33) holds true. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.
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