



HAL
open science

On $(K_{q,k})$ stable graphs with small k

Jean-Luc Fouquet, Henri Thuillier, Jean-Marie Vanherpe, Adam Pawel Wojda

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Luc Fouquet, Henri Thuillier, Jean-Marie Vanherpe, Adam Pawel Wojda. On $(K_{q,k})$ stable graphs with small k . 2011, pp.1-10. hal-00560277v3


HAL Id: hal-00560277

<https://hal.science/hal-00560277v3>

Submitted on 28 Apr 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



4 rue Léonard de Vinci
BP 6759
F-45067 Orléans Cedex 2
FRANCE
<http://www.univ-orleans.fr/lifo>

Rapport de Recherche

On (K_q, k) stable graphs with
small k

J.-L. Fouquet, H. Thuillier, J.-M. Vanherpe
LIFO, Université d'Orléans
and A. P. Wojda
AGH University of Science and Technology Kraków
Rapport n° **RR-2011-01**

ON (K_q, k) STABLE GRAPHS WITH SMALL k

J.L. FOUQUET, H. THUILLIER, J.M. VANHERPE AND A.P. WOJDA

ABSTRACT. A graph G is (K_q, k) (vertex) stable if it contains a copy of K_q after deleting any subset of k vertices. We show that for $q \geq 6$ and $k \leq \frac{q}{2} + 1$ the only (K_q, k) stable graph with minimum size is isomorphic to K_{q+k} .

January 28, 2011

1. INTRODUCTION

For terms not defined here we refer to [1]. As usually, the *order* of a graph G is the number of its vertices (it is denoted by $|G|$) and the *size* of G is the number of its edges (it is denoted by $e(G)$). The degree of a vertex v in a graph G is denoted by $d_G(v)$, or simply by $d(v)$ if no confusion is possible. For any set S of vertices, we denote by $G - S$ the subgraph induced by $V(G) - S$. If $S = \{v\}$ we write $G - v$ for $G - \{v\}$. When e is an edge of G we denote by $G - e$ the spanning subgraph $(V(G), E - \{e\})$. The disjoint union of two graphs G_1 and G_2 is denoted by $G_1 + G_2$. The union of p mutually disjoint copies of a graph G is denoted by pG . A complete subgraph of order q of G is called a q -*clique* of G . The complete graph of order q is denoted by K_q . When a graph G contains a q -clique as subgraph, we say “ G contains a K_q ”.

The following notion was introduced by Dudek et al. in [2].

Definition 1.1. Let H be a graph and k be a natural number. A graph G of order at least k is said to be a (H, k) *stable* graph if for any set S of k vertices the subgraph $G - S$ contains a graph isomorphic to H .

By $Q(H, k)$ we denote the size of a minimum (H, k) stable graph. It is clear that if G is an (H, k) stable graph with minimum size then the graph obtained from G by addition or deletion of some isolated vertices is also minimum (H, k) stable. Hence we shall assume that all the graphs considered in the paper have no isolated vertices. A (H, k) stable graph with minimum size shall be called a *minimum (H, k) stable graph*.

Lemma 1.2. [2] *Let q and k be integers, $q \geq 2, k \geq 1$. If G is (H, k) stable then, for every vertex v of G , the graph $G - v$ is $(H, k - 1)$ stable.*

Proposition 1.3. [2] *If G is a (H, k) stable graph with minimum size then every vertex as well as every edge is contained in a subgraph isomorphic to H .*

Date: January 28, 2011.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 035 C.

Key words and phrases. Stable graphs.

The research of APW was partially sponsored by polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

Proof. Let e be an edge of G which is not contained in any subgraph of G isomorphic to H , then $G - e$ would be a (H, k) stable graph with less edges than G , a contradiction. Let x be a vertex of G and e be an edge of G incident with x , since e is an edge of some subgraph isomorphic to H , say H_0 , the vertex x is a vertex of H_0 . \square

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We are interested by minimum (K_q, k) stable graphs (where q and k are integers such that $q \geq 2$ and $k \geq 0$). As a corollary to Proposition 1.3, every edge and every vertex of a minimum (K_q, k) stable graph is contained in a K_q (thus the minimum degree is at least $q - 1$). Note that, for $q \geq 2$ and $k \geq 0$, the graph K_{q+k} is (K_q, k) stable, hence $Q(K_q, k) \leq \binom{q+k}{2}$.

Definition 2.1. Let H be a non complete graph on $q + t$ vertices ($t \geq 1$). We shall say that H is a *near complete graph* when it has a vertex v such that

- $H - v$ is complete.
- $d_H(v) = q + r$ with $-1 \leq r \leq t - 2$.

The previous definition generalizes Definition 1.5 in [3] initially given for $r \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and the following lemma generalizes Proposition 2.1 in [3].

Lemma 2.2. *Every minimum (K_q, k) stable graph G , where $q \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$, has no component H isomorphic to a near complete graph.*

Proof. Suppose, contrary to our claim, that G has such a component H and let v be the vertex of H such that $H - v$ is a clique of G . Then, H has $q + t$ vertices with $q - 1 \leq d(v) \leq q + t - 2$ and $d(v) = q + r$. Since G is minimum (K_q, k) stable, $G - v$ is $(K_q, k - 1)$ stable and is not (K_q, k) stable. Then, $G - v$ contains a set S with at most k vertices intersecting every subgraph of $G - v$ isomorphic to a K_q . The graph $G - S$ contains some K_q (at least one) and clearly every subgraph of $G - S$ isomorphic to a K_q contains v . Since $N(v)$ is a K_{q+r} and $N(v) - S$ contains no K_q , $|N(v) - S| \leq q - 1$. Since there exists a K_q containing v in $H - S$, $|N(v) - S| = q - 1$ (and hence $|S \cap N(v)| = r + 1$). Since $H - v - S$ contains no K_q , $H - v - S = N(v) - S$. Let a be a vertex of $H - v$ not adjacent to v and let b be a vertex in $N(v) - S$, and consider $S' = S - \{a\} + \{b\}$. We have $|S'| \leq k$ and $G - S'$ contains no K_q , a contradiction. \square

It is clear that $Q(K_q, 0) = \binom{q}{2}$ and the only minimum $(K_q, 0)$ stable graph is K_q . It is an easy exercise to see that $Q(K_2, k) = k + 1$ and that the matching $(k + 1)K_2$ is the unique minimum (K_2, k) stable graph.

Theorem 2.3. [3] *Let G be a minimum (K_3, k) stable graph, with $k \geq 0$. Then G is isomorphic to $sK_4 + tK_3$, for any choice of s and t such that $2s + t = k + 1$.*

In [3] it was proved that if $q \geq 4$ and $k \in \{1, 2\}$ then $Q(K_q, k) = \binom{q+k}{2}$ and the only minimum (K_q, k) stable graph is K_{q+k} . We have proved also that if $q \geq 5$ then $Q(K_q, 3) = \binom{q+3}{2}$ and the only minimum $(K_q, 3)$ stable graph is K_{q+3} . Dudek, Szymański and Zwonek proved the following result.

Theorem 2.4. [2] *For every $q \geq 4$, there exists an integer $k(q)$ such that $Q(K_q, k) \leq (2q - 3)(k + 1)$ for $k \geq k(q)$.*

As a consequence of this last result, they have deduced that for every $k \geq k(q)$ K_{q+k} is not minimum (K_q, k) stable.

Remark 2.5. From now on, throughout this section we assume that q and k are integers such that $q \geq 4$, $k \geq 1$ and for every r such that $0 \leq r < k$ we have $Q(K_q, r) = \binom{q+r}{2}$ and the only minimum (K_q, r) stable graph is K_{q+r} .

In view of Theorem 2.4, k is bounded from above and we are interested to obtain the greatest possible value of k .

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a (K_q, k) stable graph such that $e(G) \leq \binom{q+k}{2}$. Then either for every vertex v we have $d(v) \leq q+k-2$ or G is isomorphic to K_{q+k} .*

Proof. Suppose that some vertex v has degree at least $q+k-1$. By Lemma 1.2 the graph $G-v$ is $(K_q, k-1)$ stable, hence $Q(K_q, k-1) \leq e(G-v) = e(G) - d(v)$. Since $Q(K_q, k-1) = \binom{q+k-1}{2}$, we have

$$\binom{q+k-1}{2} \leq e(G) - d(v) \leq \binom{q+k}{2} - (q+k-1) = \binom{q+k-1}{2}.$$

It follows that $e(G-v) = \binom{q+k-1}{2}$, $G-v$ is isomorphic to K_{q+k-1} and $d(v) = q+k-1$. Hence, G is isomorphic to K_{q+k} . \square

Lemma 2.7. *Let G be a minimum (K_q, k) stable graph. Then one of the following statements is true*

- G has no component isomorphic to K_q
- $Q(K_q, k-1) + \binom{q}{2} \leq Q(K_q, k)$

Proof. Suppose that some component H of G is isomorphic to a K_q . If $G-H$ is not $(K_q, k-1)$ stable, then there is a set S with at most $k-1$ vertices intersecting each K_q of $G-H$. Then, for any vertex a of H , $S+a$ intersects each K_q of G while S has at most $k-1$ vertices, a contradiction. Hence $G-H$ is $(K_q, k-1)$ stable and we have $Q(K_q, k-1) \leq e(G-H) = Q(K_q, k) - \binom{q}{2}$. \square

Lemma 2.8. [3] *Let G be a minimum (K_q, k) stable graph and let u be a vertex of degree $q-1$. Then one of the following statements is true*

- $\forall v \in N(u) \quad d(v) \geq q+1$
- $Q(K_q, k-1) + 3(q-2) \leq Q(K_q, k)$

Proof. By Proposition 1.3, since $d(u) = q-1$, $\{u\} + N(u)$ induces a complete graph on q vertices. Assume that some vertex $w \in N(u)$ has degree $q+r$ where $r = -1$ or $r = 0$, and let v be a neighbour of u distinct from w . Since the degree of u in $G-v$ is $q-2$, no edge incident with u can be contained in a K_q of $G-v$. Since $G-v$ is $(K_q, k-1)$ stable, we can delete the $q-2$ edges incident with u in $G-v$ and the resulting graph G' is still $(K_q, k-1)$ stable. By deleting v , we have $e(G-v) \leq e(G) - (q-1)$ and hence

$$e(G') \leq e(G) - (q-1) - (q-2).$$

In G' , the degree of w is now $q+r-2$. Hence, no edge incident with w in G' can be contained in a K_q . Deleting these $q+r-2$ edges from G' leads to a graph G'' which remains to be $(K_q, k-1)$ stable. We get thus

$$Q(K_q, k-1) \leq e(G'') \leq e(G) - (q-1) - (q-2) - (q+r-2).$$

Since $e(G) \leq Q(K_q, k)$, the result follows. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Let G be a minimum (K_q, k) stable graph, where $1 \leq k \leq 2q - 6$, and let v be a vertex of degree $q - 1$. Then for every vertex $w \in N(v)$ we have $d(w) \geq q + 1$.*

Proof. Suppose, contrary to the assertion of the lemma, that $d(w) \leq q$ for some vertex $w \in N(v)$. By Lemma 2.8, we have $Q(K_q, k - 1) + 3(q - 2) \leq Q(K_q, k)$. Since $Q(K_q, k - 1) = \binom{q+k-1}{2}$ and $Q(K_q, k) \leq \binom{q+k}{2}$ we have $\binom{q+k-1}{2} + 3q - 6 \leq \binom{q+k}{2}$. Then we obtain $k \geq 2q - 5$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Let G be a minimum (K_q, k) stable graph, where $q \geq 5$ and $1 \leq k \leq q - 1$. Then the minimum degree of G is at least q .*

Proof. Suppose that there is a vertex v of degree $q - 1$ and let w be a neighbour of v . Since $q - 1 \leq 2q - 6$, by Lemma 2.9, w has degree at least $q + 1$. By Lemma 1.2 the graph $G - w$ is $(K_q, k - 1)$ stable. In that graph v is not contained in any K_q since its degree is $q - 2$. Hence $G - \{w, v\}$ is still $(K_q, k - 1)$ stable. We have $e(G - \{w, v\}) = e(G) - (d(v) + d(w) - 1) \leq e(G) - 2q + 1$. Since $Q(K_q, k - 1) = \binom{q+k-1}{2}$ and $Q(K_q, k) \leq \binom{q+k}{2}$ we have $\binom{q+k-1}{2} \leq e(G) - 2q + 1 \leq \binom{q+k}{2} - 2q + 1$. It follows that $k \geq q$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.11. *Let G be a minimum (K_q, k) stable graph, where $q \geq 5$ and $1 \leq k \leq q - 1$, and let v be a vertex of degree q . Then $N(v)$ is complete.*

Proof. Assume, by contradiction, that v is a vertex of degree q and $N(v)$ contains two non adjacent vertices a and b . Let $w \in N(v)$ distinct from a and b (w must exist since $q \geq 4$). By Lemma 1.2 the graph $G - w$ is $(K_q, k - 1)$ stable. In that graph v is not contained in a K_q since its two neighbours a and b are not adjacent. Hence $G - \{w, v\}$ is still $(K_q, k - 1)$ stable. By Lemma 2.10, $d(w) \geq q$ and hence $e(G - \{w, v\}) = e(G) - (d(v) + d(w) - 1) \leq e(G) - 2q + 1$. We have, as in the proof of Lemma 2.10, $\binom{q+k-1}{2} \leq e(G) - 2q + 1 \leq \binom{q+k}{2} - 2q + 1$, and we obtain $k \geq q$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.12. *Let G be a minimum (K_q, k) stable graph, where $q \geq 4$ and $2 \leq k \leq \frac{q}{2} + 1$, and let v be a vertex of degree at least $q + 1$. Then either $N(v)$ induces a complete graph or there exists an ordering $v_1, \dots, v_{d(v)}$ of the vertices of $N(v)$ such that $\{v_1, \dots, v_{q-1}\}$ induces a complete graph and $v_{d(v)-1}v_{d(v)}$ is not in $E(G)$. Moreover, there exists a vertex w in $\{v_1, \dots, v_{q-1}\}$ adjacent to $v_{d(v)-1}$ and $v_{d(v)}$.*

Proof. Suppose that the subgraph induced by $N(v)$ is not complete and let a and b be two non adjacent neighbours of v . Assume that every complete graph on $q - 1$ vertices contained in $N(v)$ intersects $\{a, b\}$. The graph $G - \{a, b\}$ is $(K_q, k - 2)$ stable. In that graph, v is not contained in a K_q , hence $G - \{a, b, v\}$ is still $(K_q, k - 2)$ stable. We have $e(G - \{a, b, v\}) = e(G) - (d(v) + d(a) + d(b) - 2) \leq e(G) - 3q - 1$. Since $Q(K_q, k - 2) = \binom{q+k-2}{2}$ and $Q(K_q, k) \leq \binom{q+k}{2}$, we have $\binom{q+k-2}{2} \leq e(G - \{a, b, v\}) \leq e(G) - 3q - 1 \leq \binom{q+k}{2} - 3q - 1$ and hence $\frac{q}{2} + 2 \leq k$, a contradiction with $k \leq \frac{q}{2} + 1$.

Thus, $N(v) - \{a, b\}$ contains a K_{q-1} and we can order the vertices of $N(v)$ in such a way that the $q - 1$ first ones v_1, \dots, v_{q-1} induce a complete graph and the two last vertices $v_{d(v)-1}$ and $v_{d(v)}$ are not adjacent, as claimed.

Set $d(v) = q + r$ with $r \geq 1$. By Proposition 1.3, the edges vv_{q+r-1} and vv_{q+r} are contained in two distinct q -cliques, say Q_1 and Q_2 . Since v_{q+r-1} and v_{q+r} are not adjacent, each Q_i contains at most r vertices in $N(v) - \{v_1, \dots, v_{q-1}\}$. Hence, each Q_i must have at least $q - r - 1$ vertices in $\{v_1 \dots v_{q-1}\}$. Since $N(v)$ is not complete and $e(G) \leq \binom{q+k}{2}$, by Lemma 2.6 we have $r \leq k - 2$. Since $k \leq \frac{q}{2} + 1$, Q_1 (as well as Q_2) has at least $q - r - 1 \geq q - k + 1 \geq \frac{q}{2}$ vertices in $\{v_1 \dots v_{q-1}\}$. Hence Q_1 and Q_2 have at least one common vertex w in $N(v)$, and the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 2.13. *Let G be a minimum (K_q, k) stable graph, where $q \geq 5$ and $2 \leq k \leq \frac{q}{2} + 1$, and let H be a component of G . Assume that v is a vertex of maximum degree in H . Then either H is complete or the subgraph induced by $N(v)$ contains no complete subgraph on $d(v) - 1$ vertices.*

Proof. Assume that H is not complete. First, we prove that the maximum degree in H is at least $q + 1$. If the minimum degree in H is at least $q + 1$, we are done. If there exists a vertex u of degree $q - 1$ in H then, by Lemma 2.9, the degree of any vertex of $N(u)$ is at least $q + 1$. If there exists a vertex u of degree q then, by Lemma 2.11, $N(u) \cup \{u\}$ induces a K_{q+1} , and hence, since H is connected, there exists a vertex in $H - (N(u) \cup \{u\})$ having at least one neighbour w in $N(u)$ and $d(w) \geq q + 1$. Thus, the maximum degree in H is $q + r$ with $r \geq 1$.

Since H is not complete and v is a vertex of maximum degree in H , the subgraph induced on $N(v)$ is not complete. By Lemma 2.12, there exists an ordering $\{v_1 \dots v_{q+r}\}$ of the vertices of $N(v)$ such that $\{v_1 \dots v_{q-1}\}$ induces a complete graph and $v_{q+r-1}v_{q+r}$ is not an edge of G . If the subgraph induced by $N(v)$ contains a complete subgraph on $q + r - 1$ vertices then without loss of generality we may suppose that it contains the vertex v_{q+r-1} .

Assume that $\{v_1, \dots, v_{q+r-2}, v_{q+r-1}\}$ induces a complete graph. Since G is a minimum (K_q, k) stable graph, by Proposition 1.3, the edge vv_{q+r} must be contained in a K_q . Hence v_{q+r} has at least $q - 2$ neighbours in $\{v_1, \dots, v_{q+r-2}\}$. Let us denote by A this set of neighbours. Since the subgraph induced by $(N(v) - \{v_{q+r}\})$ is complete, every vertex in A has degree $q + r$ in G (let us say that these vertices are *saturated*). Henceforth, every vertex in A has no neighbour outside $N(v) \cup \{v\}$. By Lemma 2.2, the $(q + r)$ -clique $(N(v) - \{v_{q+r}\}) \cup \{v\}$ is a proper subgraph of $H - \{v_{q+r}\}$. Since H is connected, there exists a vertex w outside $N(v) \cup \{v\}$ adjacent to a vertex u in $N(v)$. Clearly, the vertex u has also degree $q + r$ and it has no other neighbour outside $N(v) \cup \{v\}$ than w . The edge uw being contained in a K_q by Proposition 1.3, w must have at least $q - 2$ common neighbours with u in $N(v)$. Let us denote by B the set of neighbours of w in $N(v)$. It is easy to see that every vertex in B is saturated. Since A and B are disjoint, we have $2q - 3 \leq |A \cup B| \leq |N(v)| = q + r$ and hence $q \leq r + 3$. Since $r \leq k - 2$ by Lemma 2.6, we have $q \leq k + 1$. Thus, we obtain $q \leq \frac{q}{2} + 2$, which implies $q \leq 4$, a contradiction.

Hence the subgraph induced by the vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_{q+r-2}, v_{q+r-1}\}$ is not complete, and the lemma follows. \square

Proposition 2.14. *Let G be a minimum (K_q, k) stable graph, where $q \geq 6$ and $2 \leq k \leq \frac{q}{2} + 1$. Then every component of G is a complete graph.*

Proof. Let H be a component of G and v be a vertex of maximum degree in H . If the subgraph induced on $N(v)$ is complete then H is obviously complete. We can thus suppose that $N(v)$ is not a clique. By Lemmas 2.10 and 2.11, the minimum degree is at least $q + 1$, and hence $d(v) = q + r$ with $r \geq 1$.

Claim 2.14.1. *The graph $G - (N(v) \cup \{v\})$ is $(K_q, k - r)$ stable.*

Proof By Lemma 2.12, we can consider an ordering v_1, \dots, v_{q+r} of $N(v)$ such that the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_{q-1}\}$ induces a K_{q-1} , $v_{q+r-1}v_{q+r} \notin E(G)$ and there is a vertex $w \in \{v_1, \dots, v_{q-1}\}$ adjacent to v_{q+r-1} and v_{q+r} . By Lemma 2.13, we can find two non adjacent vertices a and b in $N(v) - \{v_{q+r}\}$ and two non adjacent vertices c and d in $N(v) - \{v_{q+r-1}\}$. Let us note that since the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_{q-1}\}$ induces a complete graph, it contains at most one vertex of the set $\{a, b\}$ and at most one vertex of $\{c, d\}$. Then, $|\{v_1, \dots, v_{q-1}\} \cap \{w, a, b, c, d\}| \leq 3$.

Since H is not complete, the graph G is not complete and by Lemma 2.6 we have $r \leq k - 2$. Since $k \leq \frac{q}{2} + 1$ and $q \geq 6$, there exists a subset $A \subseteq \{v_1 \dots v_{q-1}\}$ such that

- $|A| = r$
- $w \notin A$
- $A \cap \{a, b, c, d\} = \emptyset$

By repeated applications of Lemma 1.2, the graph G_1 obtained from G by deleting A is $(K_q, k - r)$ stable. In G_1 , the degree of v is equal to q .

Without loss of generality, suppose that a is distinct from v_{q+r-1} and c is distinct from v_{q+r} . If there exists a q -clique in G_1 containing the edge vv_{q+r-1} then $\{v_1, \dots, v_{q+r-2}\} - A$ is a $(q - 2)$ -clique containing a . Since ab is not an edge, we must have $b = v_{q+r-1}$, a contradiction to the fact that av_{q+r-1} is an edge. Thus, there is no q -clique in G_1 containing vv_{q+r-1} . Analogously, we prove that there is no q -clique in G_1 containing vv_{q+r} .

Hence, the graph G_2 obtained from G_1 by deletion of the edges vv_{q+r-1} and vv_{q+r} is still $(K_q, k - r)$ stable. In G_2 , v has degree $q - 2$, so it is not contained in any K_q . We can thus delete v and we get a $(K_q, k - r)$ stable graph G_3 .

Since the maximum degree in G is $q + r$, the degree of w in G_3 is at most $q - 1$. Recall that w is adjacent to the two non adjacent vertices v_{q+r-1} and v_{q+r} . Hence w is not contained in any K_q of G_3 , which means that $G_4 = G_3 - w$ is still $(K_q, k - r)$ stable. Since the degree of each vertex in $\{v_1, \dots, v_{q-1}\} - (A \cup \{w\})$ is at most $q - 2$ in G_4 , none of these vertices can be contained in any K_q of G_4 . Hence by deletion of these vertices we get again a $(K_q, k - r)$ stable graph G_5 . We shall prove that none of the $r + 1$ vertices v_q, \dots, v_{q+r} is contained in a K_q of G_5 .

Note that $G_5 = G - \{v, v_1, \dots, v_{q-1}\}$. For $q \leq j \leq q + r$, denote by d_j the degree of the vertex v_j in the subgraph induced by $\{v_q, \dots, v_{q+r}\}$. Clearly we have $0 \leq d_j \leq r$. In G , by Proposition 1.3, the edge vv_j is contained in a K_q . Hence v_j is adjacent (in G) to at least $q - 2 - d_j$ vertices in $\{v_1, \dots, v_{q-1}\}$. Since we have deleted the vertex v and the vertices v_1, \dots, v_{q-1} , we have thus $d_{G_5}(v_j) \leq q + r - (q - 2 - d_j) - 1 = r + 1 + d_j$. If $d_j \leq r - 1$ then $d_{G_5}(v_j) \leq 2r \leq 2(k - 2) \leq q - 2$ and there is no K_q in G_5 containing v_j . The equality $d_{G_5}(v_j) = q - 1$ can only be obtained when $d_j = r$, that is v_j has r neighbours in $v_q \dots v_{q+r}$. Since v_{q+r-1} and v_{q+r} are not adjacent, v_j is not contained in any K_q of G_5 .

Hence, the graph $G_6 = G - (N(v) \cup \{v\})$ obtained from G_5 by deletion of all the vertices $v_q, v_{q+1}, \dots, v_{q+r}$ is still $(K_q, k-r)$ stable, and the claim follows. \square

Claim 2.14.2.

$$(2.1) \quad \binom{q+k-r}{2} + q+r + \binom{q-1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(r+1)(2q-r-2) + 1 \leq \binom{q+k}{2}$$

Proof To get back G from $G - (N(v) \cup \{v\})$ we add, at least

- the $q+r$ edges incident with v ,
- the $\binom{q-1}{2}$ edges of the $(q-1)$ -clique induced by the set $\{v_1 \dots v_{q-1}\}$,
- the edges incident with v_q, \dots, v_{q+r} and not incident with v .

Let l be the number of edges incident with v_q, \dots, v_{q+r} and not incident with v . We have

$$(2.2) \quad e(G - (N(v) \cup \{v\})) + q+r + \binom{q-1}{2} + l \leq e(G)$$

In order to find a lower bound of the number of edges incident with the vertices v_q, \dots, v_{q+r} , for each $i \in \{q, \dots, q+r\}$ let us denote by d_i the degree of the vertex v_i in the subgraph induced by the set $\{v_q, \dots, v_{q+r}\}$. Then,

$$l = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=q}^{q+r} d_i + \sum_{i=q}^{q+r} (d_G(v_i) - 1 - d_i) = \sum_{i=q}^{q+r} d_G(v_i) - (r+1) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=q}^{q+r} d_i .$$

Since by Lemma 2.10 the minimum degree in G is at least q , we have

$$l \geq q(r+1) - (r+1) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=q}^{q+r} d_i .$$

Since for every i in $\{q, \dots, q+r-2\}$ $d_i \leq r$, $d_{q+r-1} \leq r-1$ and $d_{q+r} \leq r-1$, we obtain

$$l \geq q(r+1) - (r+1) - \frac{1}{2}r(r-1) - (r-1) ,$$

and hence

$$l \geq \frac{1}{2}(r+1)(2q-r-2) + 1 .$$

By the assumption made at the beginning of the section (see Remark 2.5), a minimum $(K_q, k-r)$ stable graph has $\binom{q+k-r}{2}$ edges. Since $e(G) \leq \binom{q+k}{2}$, the inequality (2.1) follows from Claim 2.14.1 and the inequality (2.2). \square

A simple calculation shows that the inequality

$$q^2 + q + 2 \leq 2kr$$

can be obtained by starting from the inequality (2.1).

Since $r \leq k-2$ and $k \leq \frac{q}{2} + 1$, we have $q^2 + q + 2 \leq 2k(k-2) \leq (q+2)(\frac{q}{2}-1)$, hence $\frac{q^2}{2} + q + 4 \leq 0$, a contradiction. Thus, $N(v)$ is a clique and the proposition follows. \square

3. RESULT

In [3], it is shown that if G is minimum (K_q, k) stable and the numbers k and q verify one of the following conditions:

- $k = 1$ and $q \geq 4$
- $k = 2$ and $q \geq 4$
- $k = 3$ and $q \geq 5$

then G is isomorphic to K_{q+k} .

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a minimum (K_q, k) stable graph, where $q \geq 6$ and $k \leq \frac{q}{2} + 1$. Then G is isomorphic to K_{q+k} .*

Proof. For $0 \leq k \leq 3$ the graph G is isomorphic to K_{q+k} . Let k be such that $4 \leq k \leq \frac{q}{2} + 1$ and suppose that for every r with $0 \leq r < k$ the only minimum (K_q, r) stable graph is K_{q+r} . By Proposition 2.14, the graph G is the disjoint union of p complete graphs $H_1 \equiv K_{q+k_1}$, $H_2 \equiv K_{q+k_2}$, \dots , $H_p \equiv K_{q+k_p}$. Suppose, without loss of generality, that $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_p \geq 0$ and that there exist two components H_i and H_j with $i < j$ such that $k_i - k_j \geq 2$. By substituting $H'_i \equiv K_{q+k_i-1}$ for H_i and $H'_j \equiv K_{q+k_j+1}$ for H_j , we obtain a new (K_q, k) stable graph G' such that $e(G') = e(G) - (k_i - k_j - 1) < e(G)$, which is a contradiction. Thus, for any i and any j , $0 \leq |k_i - k_j| \leq 1$ (cf [2] Proposition 7).

To conclude that G has a unique component, observe the following facts.

- The graphs $2K_{q+l}$ and K_{q+2l+1} are both $(K_q, 2l+1)$ stable, but if $2l+1 \leq \frac{q}{2} + 1$ then $\binom{q+2l+1}{2} < 2\binom{q+l}{2}$
- The graphs $K_{q+l} \cup K_{q+l+1}$ and K_{q+2l+2} are both $(K_q, 2l+2)$ stable but if $2l+2 \leq \frac{q}{2} + 1$ then $\binom{q+2l+2}{2} < \binom{q+l+1}{2} + \binom{q+l}{2}$

□

REFERENCES

1. J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph theory*, vol. 244, Springer, Series Graduate texts in Mathematics, 2008.
2. A. Dudek, A. Szymański, and M. Zwonek, (H, k) stable graphs with minimum size, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **28** (2008), 137–149.
3. J-L Fouquet, H Thuillier, J-M Vanherpe, and A.P Wojda, *On (K_q, k) vertex stable graphs with minimum size*, Presented in 16th Cracow Conference on Graph Theory, Zgorzelisko'10 - submitted.

L.I.F.O., FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES, B.P. 6759, UNIVERSITÉ D'ORLÉANS, 45067 ORLÉANS CEDEX 2, FR

WYDZIAŁ MATEMATYKI STOSOWANEJ ZAKŁAD MATEMATYKI DYSKRETNEJ, A.G.H., AL. MICKIEWICZA 30, 30-059 KRAKÓW, PL,