

# On (K\_q,k) stable graphs with small k

J.L. Fouquet, Henri Thuillier, J.M. Vanherpe, Adam Pawel Wojda

#### ▶ To cite this version:

J.L. Fouquet, Henri Thuillier, J.M. Vanherpe, Adam Pawel Wojda. On (K\_q,k) stable graphs with small k. 2011, pp.1-10. hal-00560277v2

# HAL Id: hal-00560277 https://hal.science/hal-00560277v2

Submitted on 14 Mar 2012 (v2), last revised 28 Apr 2014 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# On $(K_q, k)$ stable graphs with small k

### Jean-Luc Fouquet, Henri Thuillier, Jean-Marie Vanherpe

L.I.F.O., Faculté des Sciences, B.P. 6759 Université d'Orléans, 45067 Orléans Cedex 2, France

fouquet@univ-lemans.fr, henri.thuillier@univ-orleans.fr, jmvanh@univ-lemans.fr

### Adam Paweł Wojda \*

Wydział Matematyki Stosowanej Zakład Matematyki Dyskretnej A.G.H., Al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, Poland wojda@agh.edu.pl

Submitted: Feb 7, 2011; Accepted: ; Published: XX Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C35, 05C75, 05C99

#### Abstract

A graph G is  $(K_q, k)$  stable if it contains a copy of  $K_q$  after deleting any subset of k vertices. In a previous paper we have characterized the  $(K_q, k)$  stable graphs with minimum size for  $3 \le q \le 5$  and we have proved that the only  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph with minimum size is  $K_{q+k}$  for  $q \ge 5$  and  $k \le 3$ . We show that for  $q \ge 6$  and  $k \le \frac{q}{2} + 1$  the only  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph with minimum size is isomorphic to  $K_{q+k}$ .

## 1 Introduction

For terms not defined here we refer to [1]. As usually, the *order* of a graph G is the number of its vertices (it is denoted by |G|) and the *size* of G is the number of its edges (it is denoted by e(G)). The degree of a vertex v in a graph G is denoted by  $d_G(v)$ , or simply by d(v) if no confusion is possible. For any set S of vertices, we denote by G - S the subgraph induced by V(G) - S. If  $S = \{v\}$  we write G - v for  $G - \{v\}$ . When e is an edge of G we denote by G - e the spanning subgraph  $V(G) = \{v\}$ . The disjoint union of two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is denoted by  $G_1 + G_2$ . The union of P mutually disjoint copies of a graph G is denoted by  $P(G) = \{v\}$ . A complete subgraph of order  $P(G) = \{v\}$  of  $P(G) = \{v\}$  when a graph  $P(G) = \{v\}$  order  $P(G) = \{v\}$  when a graph  $P(G) = \{v\}$  order  $P(G) = \{v\}$  when a graph  $P(G) = \{v\}$  order  $P(G) = \{v\}$  when a graph  $P(G) = \{v\}$  order  $P(G) = \{v\}$ 

<sup>\*</sup>The research of APW was partially sponsored by polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

In [5] Horvárth and Katona consider the notion of (H, k) stable graph: given a simple graph H, an integer k and a graph G containing H as subgraph, G is a a (H, k) stable graph whenever the deletion of any set of k edges does not lead to a H-free graph. These authors consider  $(P_n, k)$  stable graphs and prove a conjecture stated in [4] on the minimum size of a  $(P_4, k)$  stable graph. In [2], Dudek, Szymański and Zwonek are interested in a vertex version of this notion and introduce the (H, k) vertex stable graphs.

**Definition 1.1** Let H be a graph and k be a natural number. A graph G of order at least k is said to be a (H, k) vertex stable graph if for any set S of k vertices the subgraph G - S contains a graph isomorphic to H.

In this paper, since no confusion will be possible, a (H, k) vertex stable shall be simply called a (H, k) stable graph. By Q(H, k) we denote the size of a minimum (H, k) stable graph. It is clear that if G is a (H, k) stable graph with minimum size then the graph obtained from G by addition or deletion of some isolated vertices is also minimum (H, k) stable. Hence we shall assume that all the graphs considered in the paper have no isolated vertices. A (H, k) stable graph with minimum size shall be called a minimum (H, k) stable graph.

**Lemma 1.2** [2] Let q and k be integers,  $q \ge 2, k \ge 1$ . If G is (H, k) stable then, for every vertex v of G, the graph G - v is (H, k - 1) stable.

**Proposition 1.3** [2] If G is a (H,k) stable graph with minimum size then every vertex as well as every edge is contained in a subgraph isomorphic to H.

*Proof:* Let e be an edge of G which is not contained in any subgraph of G isomorphic to H, then G - e would be a (H, k) stable graph with less edges than G, a contradiction. Let x be a vertex of G and e be an edge of G incident with x, since e is an edge of some subgraph isomorphic to H, say  $H_0$ , the vertex x is a vertex of  $H_0$ .

## 2 Preliminary results

We are interested in minimum  $(K_q, k)$  stable graphs (where q and k are integers such that  $q \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 0$ ). As a corollary to Proposition 1.3, every edge and every vertex of a minimum  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph is contained in a  $K_q$  (thus the minimum degree is at least q-1). Note that, for  $q \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 0$ , the graph  $K_{q+k}$  is  $(K_q, k)$  stable, hence  $Q(K_q, k) \leq {q+k \choose 2}$ .

**Definition 2.1** Let H be a non complete graph on q + t vertices  $(t \ge 1)$ . We shall say that H is a near complete graph when it has a vertex v such that

• H-v is complete.

•  $d_H(v) = q + r \text{ with } -1 \le r \le t - 2.$ 

The previous definition generalizes Definition 1.5 in [3] initially given for  $r \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  and the following lemma generalizes Proposition 2.1 in [3].

**Lemma 2.2** Every minimum  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph G, where  $q \geq 3$  and  $k \geq 1$ , has no component H isomorphic to a near complete graph.

Proof: Suppose, contrary to our claim, that G has such a component H and let v be the vertex of H such that H-v is a clique of G. Then |H|=q+t, with  $t\geq 1$ , and  $q-1\leq d(v)=q+r\leq q+t-2$ . Since G is minimum  $(K_q,k)$  stable, G-v is  $(K_q,k-1)$  stable and is not  $(K_q,k)$  stable. Then G-v contains a set S with at most k vertices intersecting every subgraph of G-v isomorphic to a  $K_q$ . The graph G-S contains some  $K_q$  (at least one) and clearly every subgraph of G-S isomorphic to a  $K_q$  contains v. Since N(v) is a  $K_{q+r}$  and N(v)-S contains no  $K_q$ ,  $|N(v)-S|\leq q-1$ . Since there exists a  $K_q$  containing v in H-S, |N(v)-S|=q-1 (and hence  $|S\cap N(v)|=r+1$ ). Since H-v-S contains no  $K_q$ , H-v-S=N(v)-S. Let a be a vertex of H-v not adjacent to v and let b be a vertex in N(v)-S, and consider  $S'=S-\{a\}+\{b\}$ . We have  $|S'|\leq k$  and G-S' contains no  $K_q$ , a contradiction.

It is clear that  $Q(K_q, 0) = {q \choose 2}$  and the only minimum  $(K_q, 0)$  stable graph is  $K_q$ . It is an easy exercise to see that  $Q(K_2, k) = k + 1$  and that the matching  $(k + 1)K_2$  is the unique minimum  $(K_2, k)$  stable graph.

**Theorem 2.3** [3] Let G be a minimum  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph, with  $k \ge 0$  and  $3 \le q \le 5$ . Then G is isomorphic to  $sK_{2q-2} + tK_{2q-3}$ , for any choice of s and t such that s(q-1) + t(q-2) = k+1.

In [3] it was proved that if  $q \geq 4$  and  $k \in \{1,2\}$  then  $Q(K_q,k) = {q+k \choose 2}$  and the only minimum  $(K_q,k)$  stable graph is  $K_{q+k}$ . We have proved also that if  $q \geq 5$  then  $Q(K_q,3) = {q+3 \choose 2}$  and the only minimum  $(K_q,3)$  stable graph is  $K_{q+3}$ . Dudek, Szymański and Zwonek proved the following result.

**Theorem 2.4** [2] For every  $q \ge 4$ , there exists an integer k(q) such that  $Q(K_q, k) \le (2q-3)(k+1)$  for  $k \ge k(q)$ .

As a consequence of this last result, they have deduced that for every  $k \ge k(q)$   $K_{q+k}$  is not minimum  $(K_q, k)$  stable.

**Remark 2.5** From now on, throughout this section we assume that q and k are integers such that  $q \ge 4$ ,  $k \ge 1$  and for every r such that  $0 \le r < k$  we have  $Q(K_q, r) = \binom{q+r}{2}$  and the only minimum  $(K_q, r)$  stable graph is  $K_{q+r}$ .

In view of Theorem 2.4, k is bounded from above and we are interested in obtaining the greatest possible value of k.

**Lemma 2.6** Let G be a  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph such that  $e(G) \leq {q+k \choose 2}$ . Then either for every vertex v we have  $d(v) \leq q + k - 2$  or G is isomorphic to  $K_{q+k}$ .

Suppose that some vertex v has degree at least q + k - 1. By Lemma 1.2 the graph G-v is  $(K_q, k-1)$  stable, hence  $Q(K_q, k-1) \le e(G-v) = e(G) - d(v)$ . Since  $Q(K_q, k-1) = {q+k-1 \choose 2}$ , we have  ${q+k-1 \choose 2} \le e(G) - d(v) \le {q+k \choose 2} - (q+k-1) = {q+k-1 \choose 2}$ . It follows that  $e(G-v) = {q+k-1 \choose 2}$ , G-v is isomorphic to  $K_{q+k-1}$  and d(v) = q+k-1.

Hence, G is isomorphic to  $K_{q+k}$ .

**Lemma 2.7** Let G be a minimum  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph. Then one of the following statements is true

- G has no component isomorphic to  $K_q$ ,
- $Q(K_a, k-1) + \binom{q}{2} < Q(K_a, k)$ .

*Proof:* Suppose that some component H of G is isomorphic to a  $K_q$ . If G-H is not  $(K_q, k-1)$  stable, then there is a set S with at most k-1 vertices intersecting each  $K_q$ of G-H. Then, for any vertex a of H, S+a intersects each  $K_q$  of G while S has at most k-1 vertices, a contradiction. Hence G-H is  $(K_q, k-1)$  stable and we have  $Q(K_q, k-1) \le e(G-H) = Q(K_q, k) - {\binom{q}{2}}.$ 

**Lemma 2.8** [3] Let G be a minimum  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph and let u be a vertex of degree q-1. Then one of the following statements is true

- $\forall v \in N(u) \quad d(v) > q+1$ ,
- $Q(K_a, k-1) + 3(q-2) < Q(K_a, k)$ .

*Proof:* By Proposition 1.3, since d(u) = q - 1,  $\{u\} \cup N(u)$  induces a complete graph on q vertices. Assume that some vertex  $w \in N(u)$  has degree q+r where r=-1 or r=0, and let v be a neighbour of u distinct from w. Since the degree of u in G-v is q-2, no edge incident with u can be contained in a  $K_q$  of G-v. Since G-v is  $(K_q,k-1)$  stable, we can delete the q-2 edges incident with u in G-v and the resulting graph G' is still  $(K_q, k-1)$  stable. By deleting v, we have  $e(G-v) \leq e(G) - (q-1)$  and hence

$$e(G') \le e(G) - (q-1) - (q-2)$$
.

In G', the degree of w is now q+r-2. Hence, no edge incident with w in G' can be contained in a  $K_q$ . Deleting these q + r - 2 edges from G' leads to a graph G" which remains to be  $(K_q, k-1)$  stable. We get thus

$$Q(K_q, k-1) \le e(G'') \le e(G) - (q-1) - (q-2) - (q+r-2)$$
.

Since  $e(G) \leq Q(K_q, k)$ , the result follows.

**Lemma 2.9** Let G be a minimum  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph, where  $1 \le k \le 2q - 6$ , and let v be a vertex of degree q - 1. Then for every vertex  $w \in N(v)$  we have  $d(w) \ge q + 1$ .

Proof: Suppose, contrary to the assertion of the lemma, that  $d(w) \leq q$  for some vertex  $w \in N(v)$ . By Lemma 2.8, we have  $Q(K_q, k-1) + 3(q-2) \leq Q(K_q, k)$ . Since  $Q(K_q, k-1) = {q+k-1 \choose 2}$  and  $Q(K_q, k) \leq {q+k \choose 2}$  we have  ${q+k-1 \choose 2} + 3q - 6 \leq {q+k \choose 2}$ . Then we obtain  $k \geq 2q - 5$ , a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.10** Let G be a minimum  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph, where  $q \ge 5$  and  $1 \le k \le q - 1$ . Then the minimum degree of G is at least q.

Proof: Suppose that there is a vertex v of degree q-1 and let w be a neighbour of v. Since  $q-1 \leq 2q-6$ , by Lemma 2.9, w has degree at least q+1. By Lemma 1.2 the graph G-w is  $(K_q,k-1)$  stable. In that graph v is not contained in any  $K_q$  since its degree is q-2. Hence  $G-\{w,v\}$  is still  $(K_q,k-1)$  stable. We have  $e(G-\{w,v\})=e(G)-(d(v)+d(w)-1)\leq e(G)-2q+1$ . Since  $Q(K_q,k-1)=\binom{q+k-1}{2}$  and  $Q(K_q,k)\leq \binom{q+k}{2}$  we have  $\binom{q+k-1}{2}\leq e(G)-2q+1\leq \binom{q+k}{2}-2q+1$ . It follows that  $k\geq q$ , a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.11** Let G be a minimum  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph, where  $q \ge 5$  and  $1 \le k \le q - 1$ , and let v be a vertex of degree q. Then the subgraph induced by N(v) is complete.

Proof: Suppose not, and assume that N(v) contains two nonadjacent vertices a and b. Let  $w \in N(v)$  distinct from a and b (w must exist since q > 3). By Lemma 1.2 the graph G - w is  $(K_q, k - 1)$  stable. In that graph v is not contained in a  $K_q$  since its two neighbours a and b are not adjacent. Hence  $G - \{w, v\}$  is still  $(K_q, k - 1)$  stable. By Lemma  $2.10, d(w) \ge q$  and hence  $e(G - \{w, v\}) = e(G) - (d(v) + d(w) - 1) \le e(G) - 2q + 1$ . We have, as in the proof of Lemma  $2.10, {q+k-1 \choose 2} \le e(G) - 2q + 1 \le {q+k \choose 2} - 2q + 1$ , and we obtain  $k \ge q$ , a contradiction.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 2.12** Let G be a minimum  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph, where  $q \geq 5$  and  $2 \leq k \leq \frac{q}{2} + 1$ , and let v be a vertex of degree at least q + 1. Then either N(v) induces a complete graph or there exists an ordering  $v_1, \ldots, v_{d(v)}$  of the vertices of N(v) such that  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}\}$  induces a complete graph and  $v_{d(v)-1}v_{d(v)}$  is not in E(G). Moreover, there exists a vertex w in  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}\}$  adjacent to  $v_{d(v)-1}$  and  $v_{d(v)}$ .

*Proof:* Suppose that the subgraph induced by N(v) is not complete and let a and b be two nonadjacent neighbours of v.

Claim 2.12.1  $N(v) - \{a, b\}$  contains a  $K_{q-1}$ .

Proof of Claim: Let us suppose first that d(a) = q (or d(b) = q). Hence, by Lemma 2.11, N(a) induces a  $K_{q+1}$ . Since  $v \in N(a)$  and  $b \notin N(a)$ ,  $N(v) - \{a,b\}$  contains a  $K_{q-1}$  as claimed. Hence we can assume now that  $d(a) \ge q+1$  and  $d(b) \ge q+1$ . Suppose for contradiction that every  $K_{q-1}$  in N(v) intersects  $\{a,b\}$ , that is, there is no  $K_q$  containing v in  $G - \{a,b\}$ . Since the graph  $G - \{a,b\}$  is  $(K_q,k-2)$  stable, the graph  $G - \{a,b,v\}$  is still  $(K_q,k-2)$  stable. Then  $e(G - \{a,b,v\}) = e(G) - (d(v) + d(a) + d(b) - 2) \le e(G) - 3q - 1$  and hence  $Q(K_q,k-2) \le e(G - \{a,b,v\}) \le e(G) - 3q - 1 = Q(K_q,k) - 3q - 1$ . Since  $Q(K_q,k-2) = {q+k-2 \choose 2}$  and  $Q(K_q,k) \le {q+k \choose 2}$ , we have  ${q+k-2 \choose 2} \le {q+k \choose 2} - 3q - 1$  and hence  ${q \choose 2} + 2 \le k$ , a contradiction to  $k \le {q \choose 2} + 1$ .

Thus, we can order the vertices of N(v) in such a way that the q-1 first ones  $v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}$  induce a complete graph and the two last vertices  $v_{d(v)-1}$  and  $v_{d(v)}$  are not adjacent, as claimed.

Set d(v) = q + r with  $r \ge 1$ . By Proposition 1.3, the edges  $vv_{q+r-1}$  and  $vv_{q+r}$  are contained in two distinct q-cliques, say  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ . Since  $v_{q+r-1}$  and  $v_{q+r}$  are not adjacent, each  $Q_i$  contains at most r vertices in  $N(v) - \{v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}\}$  and at least q - r + 1 vertices in  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}\}$ . Since N(v) is not complete and  $e(G) \le {q+k \choose 2}$ , by Lemma 2.6 we have  $d(v) \le q + k - 2$ , and hence  $r \le k - 2$ . Since  $k \le \frac{q}{2} + 1$ ,  $Q_1$  (as well as  $Q_2$ ) has at least  $q - r + 1 \ge q - k + 3 > \frac{q}{2}$  vertices in  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}\}$ . Hence  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  have at least one common vertex w in  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}\}$ , and the Lemma follows.

**Lemma 2.13** Let G be a minimum  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph, where  $q \ge 5$  and  $2 \le k \le \frac{q}{2} + 1$ , and let H be a component of G. Then either H is complete or for every vertex v of maximum degree in H the subgraph induced by N(v) contains no complete subgraph on d(v) - 1 vertices.

*Proof:* Assume that H is not complete.

#### Claim 2.13.1 The maximum degree in H is at least q + 1.

Proof of Claim: If the minimum degree in H is at least q+1, we are done. If there exists a vertex u of degree q-1 in H then, by Lemma 2.9, the degree of any vertex of N(u) is at least q+1. If there exists a vertex u of degree q then, by Lemma 2.11,  $N(u) \cup \{u\}$  induces a  $K_{q+1}$ . Since H is connected, there exists a vertex in  $H - (N(u) \cup \{u\})$  having at least one neighbour w in N(u), and clearly  $d(w) \geq q+1$ .

Let v be a vertex of maximum degree in H and set d(v) = q + r, with  $k \ge 1$ . Since H is not complete, the subgraph induced on N(v) is not complete. By Lemma 2.12, there exists an ordering  $\{v_1 \dots v_{q+r}\}$  of the vertices of N(v) such that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{q-1}\}$  induces a complete graph and  $v_{q+r-1}v_{q+r}$  is not an edge of G. Suppose that the subgraph induced by N(v) contains a complete subgraph on q+r-1 vertices. Then, without loss of generality we may suppose that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{q+r-2}, v_{q+r-1}\}$  induces a complete graph. Let us denote by A the set of neighbours of  $v_{q+r}$  in N(v).

Claim 2.13.2  $|A| \ge q - 2$ , every vertex in A has degree q + r and has no neighbour outside  $N(v) \cup \{v\}$ .

Proof of Claim: Since G is a minimum  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph, by Proposition 1.3, the edge  $vv_{q+r}$  must be contained in a  $K_q$ . Hence  $v_{q+r}$  has at least q-2 neighbours in  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{q+r-2}\}$ . Since the subgraph induced by  $(N(v) - \{v_{q+r}\})$  is complete, every vertex a in A is adjacent to every vertex in  $(N(v) - \{a\}) \cup \{v\}$ . Then d(a) = q + r, i.e. a has maximum degree in H. Hence, no vertex in A has a neighbour outside  $N(v) \cup \{v\}$ , and the Claim follows.

By Lemma 2.2, the (q+r)-clique  $(N(v)-\{v_{q+r}\})\cup\{v\}$  is a proper subgraph of  $H-\{v_{q+r}\}$ . Since H is connected, there exists a vertex w outside  $N(v)\cup\{v\}$  adjacent to a vertex u in N(v). Let us denote by B the set of neighbours of w in N(v). Since the edge uw is contained in a  $K_q$  by Proposition 1.3, w must have at least q-2 common neighbours with u in N(v), and hence  $|B| \geq q-1$ . Since by Claim 2.13.2 A has no neighbour outside  $N(v)\cup\{v\}$ , A and B are disjoint. Then we have  $2q-3 \leq |A\cup B| \leq |N(v)| = q+r$ , and hence  $q \leq r+3$ . Since  $r \leq k-2$  by Lemma 2.6, we obtain  $q \leq k+1 \leq \frac{q}{2}+2$ , that is  $q \leq 4$ , a contradiction. Hence, the subgraph induced by the vertices  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{q+r-2}, v_{q+r-1}\}$  is not complete, and the Lemma follows.

**Proposition 2.14** Let G be a minimum  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph, where  $q \ge 5$  and  $2 \le k \le \frac{q}{2} + 1$ . Then every component of G is a complete graph.

*Proof:* Let H be a component of G and v be a vertex of maximum degree in H. If the subgraph induced on N(v) is complete then H is obviously complete. We can thus assume that N(v) is not a clique. By Lemmas 2.10 and 2.11, the minimum degree is at least q+1, and hence d(v)=q+r with r>1.

Claim 2.14.1 The graph  $G - (N(v) \cup \{v\})$  is  $(K_a, k - r)$  stable.

Proof of Claim 2.14.1: By Lemma 2.12, we can consider an ordering  $v_1, \ldots, v_{q+r}$  of N(v) such that the set  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}\}$  induces a  $K_{q-1}, v_{q+r-1}v_{q+r} \notin E(G)$  and there is a vertex  $w \in \{v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}\}$  adjacent to  $v_{q+r-1}$  and  $v_{q+r}$ . By Lemma 2.13, we can find two nonadjacent vertices a and b in  $N(v) - \{v_{q+r}\}$  and two nonadjacent vertices c and d in  $N(v) - \{v_{q+r-1}\}$ . Let us note that since the set  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}\}$  induces a complete graph, it contains at most one vertex of the set  $\{a, b\}$  and at most one vertex of  $\{c, d\}$ . Then,  $|\{v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}\} \cap \{w, a, b, c, d\}| \leq 3$ .

Since H is not complete, the graph G is not complete and by Lemma 2.6 we have  $r \leq k-2$ . Since  $k \leq \frac{q}{2} + 1$  and  $q \geq 6$ , there exists a subset  $A \subseteq \{v_1 \dots v_{q-1}\}$  such that

- $\bullet |A| = r,$
- $w \notin A$ ,
- $A \cap \{a, b, c, d\} = \emptyset$ .

By repeated applications of Lemma 1.2, the graph  $G_1$  obtained from G by deleting A is  $(K_q, k-r)$  stable. In  $G_1$ , the degree of v is equal to q.

Without loss of generality, suppose that a is distinct from  $v_{q+r-1}$  and c is distinct from  $v_{q+r}$ . If there exists a q-clique in  $G_1$  containing the edge  $vv_{q+r-1}$  then  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{q+r-2}\} - A$  is a (q-2)-clique containing a. Since ab is not an edge, we must have  $b = v_{q+r-1}$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $av_{q+r-1}$  is an edge. Thus, there is no q-clique in  $G_1$  containing  $vv_{q+r-1}$ . Analogously, we prove that there is no q-clique in  $G_1$  containing  $vv_{q+r}$ .

Hence, the graph  $G_2$  obtained from  $G_1$  by deletion of the edges  $vv_{q+r-1}$  and  $vv_{q+r}$  is still  $(K_q, k-r)$  stable. In  $G_2$ , v has degree q-2, so it is not contained in any  $K_q$ . We can thus delete v and we get a  $(K_q, k-r)$  stable graph  $G_3$ .

Since the maximum degree in G is q+r, the degree of w in  $G_3$  is at most q-1. Recall that w is adjacent to the two nonadjacent vertices  $v_{q+r-1}$  and  $v_{q+r}$ . Hence w is not contained in any  $K_q$  of  $G_3$ , which means that  $G_4 = G_3 - w$  is still  $(K_q, k-r)$  stable. Since the degree of each vertex in  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}\} - (A \cup \{w\})$  is at most q-2 in  $G_4$ , none of these vertices can be contained in any  $K_q$  of  $G_4$ . Hence by deletion of these vertices we get again a  $(K_q, k-r)$  stable graph  $G_5$ . We shall prove that none of the r+1 vertices  $v_q, \ldots, v_{q+r}$  is contained in a  $K_q$  of  $G_5$ .

Note that  $G_5 = G - \{v, v_1, ..., v_{q-1}\}$ . For  $q \leq j \leq q+r$ , denote by  $d_j$  the degree of the vertex  $v_j$  in the subgraph induced by  $\{v_q, ..., v_{q+r}\}$ . Clearly we have  $0 \leq d_j \leq r$ . In G, by Proposition 1.3, the edge  $vv_j$  is contained in a  $K_q$ . Hence  $v_j$  is adjacent (in G) to at least  $q-2-d_j$  vertices in  $\{v_1, ..., v_{q-1}\}$ . Since we have deleted the vertex v and the vertices  $v_1, ..., v_{q-1}$ , we have thus  $d_{G_5}(v_j) \leq q+r-(q-2-d_j)-1=r+1+d_j$ . If  $d_j \leq r-1$  then  $d_{G_5}(v_j) \leq 2r \leq 2(k-2) \leq q-2$  and there is no  $K_q$  in  $G_5$  containing  $v_j$ . The equality  $d_{G_5}(v_j) = q-1$  can only be obtained when  $d_j = r$ , that is  $v_j$  has r neighbours in  $v_q ... v_{q+r}$ . Since  $v_{q+r-1}$  and  $v_{q+r}$  are not adjacent,  $v_j$  is not contained in any  $K_q$  of  $G_5$ .

Hence, the graph  $G_6 = G - (N(v) \cup \{v\})$  obtained from  $G_5$  by deletion of all the vertices  $v_q, v_{q+1}, \dots, v_{q+r}$  is still  $(K_q, k-r)$  stable, and the Claim follows.

#### Claim 2.14.2

$$\binom{q+k-r}{2} + q + r + \binom{q-1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(r+1)(2q-r-2) + 1 \le \binom{q+k}{2} \tag{1}$$

*Proof of Claim:* 2.14.2 To get back G from  $G - (N(v) \cup \{v\})$  we add, at least

- the q + r edges incident with v,
- the  $\binom{q-1}{2}$  edges of the (q-1)-clique induced by the set  $\{v_1,\ldots,v_{q-1}\}$ ,
- the edges incident with  $\{v_q, \ldots, v_{q+r}\}$  and not incident with v.

Let l be the number of edges incident with  $v_q, \ldots, v_{q+r}$ , and not incident with v.

We have

$$e(G - (N(v) \cup \{v\})) + q + r + {q-1 \choose 2} + l \le e(G)$$
 (2)

In order to find a lower bound of the number of edges incident with the vertices  $v_q, \ldots, v_{q+r}$ , for each  $i \in \{q, \ldots, q+r\}$  let us denote by  $d_i$  the degree of the vertex  $v_i$  in the subgraph induced by the set  $\{v_q, \ldots, v_{q+r}\}$ . Then,

$$l = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=q}^{q+r} d_i + \sum_{i=q}^{q+r} (d_G(v_i) - 1 - d_i) = \sum_{i=q}^{q+r} d_G(v_i) - (r+1) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=q}^{q+r} d_i.$$

Since by Lemma 2.10 the minimum degree in G is at least q, we have

$$l \ge q(r+1) - (r+1) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=q}^{q+r} d_i$$
.

Since for every i in  $\{q, \ldots, q+r-2\}$   $d_i \leq r$ ,  $d_{q+r-1} \leq r-1$  and  $d_{q+r} \leq r-1$ , we obtain

$$l \ge q(r+1) - (r+1) - \frac{1}{2}r(r-1) - (r-1)$$
,

and hence

$$l \ge \frac{1}{2}(r+1)(2q-r-2)+1$$
.

By the assumption made at the beginning of the section (see Remark 2.5), a minimum  $(K_q, k-r)$  stable graph has  $\binom{q+k-r}{2}$  edges. Since  $e(G) \leq \binom{q+k}{2}$ , the inequality (1) follows from Claim 2.14.1 and the inequality (2). This proves the Claim.

A simple calculation shows that the inequality

$$q^2 + q + 2 \le 2kr$$

can be obtained by starting from the inequality (1).

Since  $r \leq k-2$  and  $k \leq \frac{q}{2}+1$ , we have  $q^2+q+2 \leq 2k(k-2) \leq (q+2)(\frac{q}{2}-1)$ , hence  $\frac{q^2}{2}+q+4 \leq 0$ , a contradiction. Thus, N(v) is a clique and the Proposition follows.  $\square$ 

## 3 Result

In [3], it is shown that if G is minimum  $(K_q, k)$  stable and the numbers k and q satisfy one of the following conditions:

- k = 1 and q > 4
- k=2 and  $q\geq 4$
- k=3 and  $q\geq 5$

then G is isomorphic to  $K_{q+k}$ .

**Theorem 3.1** Let G be a minimum  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph, where  $q \ge 6$  and  $k \le \frac{q}{2} + 1$ . Then G is isomorphic to  $K_{q+k}$ .

Proof: For  $0 \le k \le 3$  the graph G is isomorphic to  $K_{q+k}$ . Let k be such that  $4 \le k \le \frac{q}{2}+1$  and suppose that for every r with  $0 \le r < k$  the only minimum  $(K_q, r)$  stable graph is  $K_{q+r}$ . By Proposition 2.14, the graph G is the disjoint union of p complete graphs  $H_1 \equiv K_{q+k_1}, H_2 \equiv K_{q+k_2}, \cdots, H_p \equiv K_{q+k_p}$ . Suppose, without loss of generality, that  $k_1 \ge k_2 \ge \cdots \ge k_p \ge 0$  and that there exist two components  $H_i$  and  $H_j$  with i < j such that  $k_i - k_j \ge 2$ . By substituting  $H'_i \equiv K_{q+k_i-1}$  for  $H_i$  and  $H'_j \equiv K_{q+k_j+1}$  for  $H_j$ , we obtain a new  $(K_q, k)$  stable graph G' such that  $e(G') = e(G) - (k_i - k_j - 1) < e(G)$ , which is a contradiction. Thus, for any i and any j,  $0 \le |k_i - k_j| \le 1$  (cf [2] Proposition 7). To conclude that G has a unique component, observe the following facts.

- The graphs  $2K_{q+l}$  and  $K_{q+2l+1}$  are both  $(K_q, 2l+1)$  stable, but if  $2l+1 \leq \frac{q}{2}+1$  then  $\binom{q+2l+1}{2} < 2\binom{q+l}{2}$ .
- The graphs  $K_{q+l} + K_{q+l+1}$  and  $K_{q+2l+2}$  are both  $(K_q, 2l+2)$  stable but if  $2l+2 \leq \frac{q}{2}+1$  then  $\binom{q+2l+2}{2} < \binom{q+l+1}{2} + \binom{q+l}{2}$ .

## References

- [1] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph theory*, vol. 244, Springer, Series Graduate texts in Mathematics, 2008.
- [2] A. Dudek, A. Szymański, and M. Zwonek, (H,k) stable graphs with minimum size, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 28 (2008), 137–149.
- [3] J.-L. Fouquet, H. Thuillier, J.-M. Vanherpe, and A.P. Wojda,  $On(K_q, k)$  vertex stable graphs with minimum size, Discrete Math. (2011) article in press doi:10.1016/j.disc.2011.04.017.
- [4] P. Frankl and G.Y. Katona, Extremal k-edge hamiltonian hypergraphs, Discrete Math. **308** (2008), 1415–1424.
- [5] I. Horváth and G.Y. Katona, *Extremal P<sub>4</sub>-stable graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. **159** (2011), 1786–1792.