



HAL
open science

H-NS mediated repression of CRISPR-based immunity in *Escherichia coli* K12 can be relieved by the transcription activator LeuO

Edze Rients Westra, Ümit Pul, Nadja Heidrich, Matthijs Miklas Jore, Magnus Lundgren, Thomas Stratmann, Reinhild Wurm, Amanda Raine, Melina Mescher, Luc Van Heereveld, et al.

► To cite this version:

Edze Rients Westra, Ümit Pul, Nadja Heidrich, Matthijs Miklas Jore, Magnus Lundgren, et al.. H-NS mediated repression of CRISPR-based immunity in *Escherichia coli* K12 can be relieved by the transcription activator LeuO. *Molecular Microbiology*, 2010, 77 (6), pp.1380. 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07315.x . hal-00560024

HAL Id: hal-00560024

<https://hal.science/hal-00560024>

Submitted on 27 Jan 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

H-NS mediated repression of CRISPR-based immunity in *Escherichia coli* K12 can be relieved by the transcription activator LeuO



Journal:	<i>Molecular Microbiology</i>
Manuscript ID:	MMI-2010-10275.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Jul-2010
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Westra, Edze; Wageningen University, Microbiology Pul, Ümit; Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany, Molecular Biology of Prokaryotes Heidrich, Nadja; Uppsala University, Institute of Cell and Molecular Biology Jore, Matthijs; Wageningen University, Laboratory of Microbiology Lundgren, Magnus; Uppsala University, Institute of Cell and Molecular Biology Stratmann, Thomas; University of Cologne, Institute for Genetics Wurm, Reinhild; Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Molecular Biology of Prokaryotes Raine, Amanda; Uppsala University, Department of Cell and Molecular Biology Mescher, Melina; Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Molecular Biology of Prokaryotes Heereveld, Luc; Wageningen University, Laboratory of Microbiology Mastop, Marieke; Wageningen University, Laboratory of Microbiology Wagner, E. Gerhart H.; Uppsala university, Department of Cell and Molecular Biology Schnetz, Karin; University of Cologne, Institute for Genetics Van der Oost, John; Wageningen University, Laboratory of Microbiology Wagner, Rolf; Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Molecular Biology of Prokaryotes Brouns, Stan; Wageningen University, Laboratory of Microbiology</p>
Key Words:	CRISPR, bacteria, regulation, H-NS, LeuO

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

For Peer Review

1 **H-NS mediated repression of CRISPR-based immunity in *Escherichia coli* K12**
2 **can be relieved by the transcription activator LeuO**

3

4 Running title: LeuO activates CRISPR-based immunity

5 Keywords: CRISPR, bacteria, regulation, H-NS, LeuO, phage defense

6

7

8 Edze R. Westra^{1,5}, Ümit Pul^{2,5}, Nadja Heidrich³, Matthijs M. Jore¹, Magnus
9 Lundgren³, Thomas Stratmann⁴, Reinhild Wurm², Amanda Raine³, Melina Mescher²,
10 Luc van Heereveld¹, Marieke Mastop¹, E. Gerhart H. Wagner³, Karin Schnetz⁴, John
11 van der Oost¹, Rolf Wagner^{2*}, Stan J. J. Brouns^{1*}

12

13

14

15 ¹Laboratory of Microbiology, Department of Agrotechnology and Food Sciences,
16 Wageningen University, Dreijenplein 10, 6703 HB Wageningen, The Netherlands

17 ²Institut für Physikalische Biologie, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf,
18 Universitätsstr. 1, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany

19 ³Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Uppsala University, Husargatan 3, SE-
20 75124 Uppsala, Sweden

21 ⁴Institute for Genetics, University of Cologne, Zùlpicher Strasse 47, 50674 Cologne,
22 Germany

23

24 ⁵ these authors contributed equally

25 *Correspondence: stan.brouns@wur.nl and r.wagner@rz.uni-duesseldorf.de

1 **Summary**

2 The recently discovered prokaryotic CRISPR/Cas defense system provides immunity
3 against viral infections and plasmid conjugation. It has been demonstrated that in
4 *Escherichia coli* transcription of the Cascade genes (*casABCDE*) and to some extent
5 the CRISPR array, is repressed by heat-stable nucleoid-structuring (H-NS) protein, a
6 global transcriptional repressor. Here we elaborate on the control of the *E. coli*
7 CRISPR/Cas system, and study the effect on CRISPR-based anti-viral immunity.
8 Transformation of wildtype *E. coli* K12 with CRISPR spacers that are complementary
9 to phage Lambda, does not lead to detectable protection against Lambda infection.
10 However, when an H-NS mutant of *E. coli* K12 is transformed with the same anti-
11 Lambda CRISPR, this does result in reduced sensitivity to phage infection. In addition,
12 it is demonstrated that LeuO, a LysR-type transcription factor, binds to two sites
13 flanking the *casA* promoter and the H-NS nucleation site, resulting in derepression of
14 *casABCDE12* transcription. Over-expression of LeuO in *E. coli* K12 containing an
15 anti-Lambda CRISPR leads to an enhanced protection against phage infection. This
16 study demonstrates that in *E. coli* H-NS and LeuO are antagonistic regulators of
17 CRISPR-based immunity.
18

1 Introduction

2 Invasions by viruses and conjugative plasmids pose a threat to microbial cells. To
3 neutralize selfish DNA elements, bacteria and archaea have developed several defense
4 strategies, such as receptor masking, restriction/modification and abortive infection
5 (Hyman and Abedon, 2010; Labrie *et al.*, 2010). Recently it was discovered that
6 Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR) (Ishino *et al.*,
7 1987) and CRISPR associated (*cas*) genes (Jansen *et al.*, 2002) form a sophisticated
8 immune system that uses small RNAs to target mobile genetic elements, reviewed by
9 (Horvath and Barrangou, 2010; Karginov and Hannon, 2010; Marraffini and
10 Sontheimer, 2010; van der Oost *et al.*, 2009). CRISPRs consist of repeating sequences
11 of approximately 30 nucleotides that are separated by unique sequences of similar size,
12 called spacers (Mojica *et al.*, 2000). The spacer sequences are commonly derived
13 from phages and plasmids (Bolotin *et al.*, 2005; Mojica *et al.*, 2005; Pourcel *et al.*,
14 2005), and new spacers can be added to the existing CRISPR array, expanding the
15 invader repertoire (Barrangou *et al.*, 2007), in a process known as CRISPR adaptation.
16 The presence of a spacer matching a viral or plasmid sequence confers resistance to
17 invasion by these elements (Barrangou *et al.*, 2007; Brouns *et al.*, 2008; Marraffini
18 and Sontheimer, 2008). The biochemical pathways underlying CRISPR defense are
19 partially known and involve transcription of the array into a long precursor CRISPR
20 RNA. This precursor is cleaved in the repeat sequences by a Cas endonuclease (CasE
21 in *E. coli* (Brouns *et al.*, 2008), Cas6 in *Pyrococcus furiosus* (Carte *et al.*, 2008)),
22 releasing small crRNAs that serve to guide the defense.

23 The *cas* genes encode the protein machinery that carries out the various steps
24 of CRISPR defense. Approximately 45 families of *cas* genes have been identified

1 (Haft *et al.*, 2005) that are classified in eight typical combinations or subtypes named
2 after a representative organism, *e.g.* type E after *E. coli* (Haft *et al.*, 2005).

3 The type E CRISPR/Cas immune system in *E. coli* K12 is composed of 8 *cas*
4 genes (*cas1*, *cas2*, *cas3* and *casABCDE*) and a downstream CRISPR locus with type 2
5 repeats (Kunin *et al.*, 2007) containing 12 spacer-repeat units (CRISPR I) (Fig. 1A).
6 An additional 6 spacer-containing CRISPR (CRISPR II) and a 2 spacer CRISPR
7 (CRISPR III) with type 2 repeats, as well as a CRISPR with type 4 repeats (Kunin *et*
8 *al.*, 2007) containing 1 spacer repeat unit (CRISPR IV) are located elsewhere on the
9 genome (Diez-Villasenor *et al.*, 2010). In addition to a CRISPR containing an anti-
10 invader sequence, only Cas3 and CasABCDE, forming the protein complex Cascade
11 (CRISPR-associated complex for antiviral defense), are required for CRISPR
12 interference (Brouns *et al.*, 2008). A recent study has demonstrated that in *E. coli* K12
13 transcription from the *casA* and CRISPR I promoters is repressed by heat-stable
14 nucleoid-structuring protein (H-NS) (Pul *et al.*, 2010), a global repressor of
15 transcription in many Gram-negative bacteria. A microarray study indicates that
16 transcription of *casABC* and *cas2* is elevated in an *E. coli* K12 Δ *hns* strain compared to
17 *wt E. coli* K12 (Hommais *et al.*, 2001). In addition, H-NS was shown to possess high
18 binding affinity for the intergenic region between *cas3* and *casA* (Oshima *et al.*, 2006;
19 Pul *et al.*, 2010). H-NS has a preference for binding AT-rich DNA sequences
20 (Navarre *et al.*, 2006). After initial binding of H-NS to high affinity nucleation sites
21 (Bouffartigues *et al.*, 2007; Lang *et al.*, 2007) repression of transcription is mediated
22 by cooperative spreading along the DNA (defined as DNA stiffening (Liu *et al.*, 2010))
23 and by creating looped structures through formation of DNA-protein-DNA bridges
24 (Dame *et al.*, 2005). Moreover, H-NS acts as a DNA structuring protein (Liu *et al.*,
25 2010; Stoebel *et al.*, 2008).

1 Overcoming H-NS mediated repression of *cas* gene transcription may be a key
2 requirement for CRISPR/Cas functionality. Generally, H-NS repression can be
3 relieved by a number of proteins, such as SlyA, VirB and others (Stoebel *et al.*, 2008).
4 One of these proteins is the regulator LeuO (Chen and Wu, 2005; De la Cruz *et al.*,
5 2007), which belongs to the LysR family of transcription factors (Stoebel *et al.*, 2008)
6 and is found in all proteobacteria, except the δ subdivision (Maddocks and Oyston,
7 2008). The *leuO* gene maps next to the *leuABCD* operon (Chen *et al.*, 2005; Chen and
8 Wu, 2005; Hertzberg *et al.*, 1980), whose gene products are required for leucine
9 synthesis (Vartak *et al.*, 1991). Recent data indicate that LeuO is involved in
10 regulating transcription of many genes, often as an H-NS antagonist (Shimada *et al.*,
11 2009; Stoebel *et al.*, 2008). However, since under laboratory growth conditions the
12 genomic *leuO* gene itself is repressed by H-NS (Klauck *et al.*, 1997; Majumder *et al.*,
13 2001) all LeuO regulation studies make use of plasmid encoded *leuO* under control of
14 constitutive or inducible promoters. In the host environment *leuO* is likely to be
15 induced under certain conditions as for example virulence of *Salmonella enterica*
16 *leuO* mutants is attenuated (Lawley *et al.*, 2006).

17 A genomic screen for LeuO-binding DNA fragments in *E. coli* K12 revealed
18 12 gene clusters, including the *casA-cas2* operon (*ygcL*, *ygkK*, *ygjJ*, *ygcl*, *ygch*, *ygbT*,
19 *ygbF*) (Shimada *et al.*, 2009). When LeuO was over-expressed, increased expression
20 of *casA* and *cas2* was observed in *E. coli* (Shimada *et al.*, 2009), and of *casA*
21 (STY3070) in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi (Hernandez-Lucas *et al.*, 2008). We
22 therefore investigated whether LeuO can mediate H-NS derepression of *cas* gene and
23 CRISPR transcription. In this study we demonstrate that LeuO counteracts H-NS-
24 dependent repression of the *casA* promoter by reorganizing the DNA protein contacts
25 within the transcription initiation region. The resulting change results in increased

1 transcription of the Cascade genes, the limiting factor for CRISPR-based defense
2 against phage infection in *E. coli* K12.

3

4 **Results**

5 **LeuO activates *cas* gene expression**

6 To study the effect of LeuO on *cas* gene expression, transcript levels of the *E. coli*
7 K12 *cas* genes in mid-exponential growth phase were examined using a DNA
8 microarray approach. RNA samples isolated from a *wt E. coli* K12 strain containing a
9 *leuO* encoding plasmid were compared to RNA isolated from a strain containing the
10 empty vector. In addition, RNA isolated from a $\Delta leuO$ mutant carrying the empty
11 vector was analyzed. Comparison of *cas* gene transcription levels between the LeuO-
12 expressing strain and the control strain revealed a significant upregulation of
13 transcription of *casABCDE* and *cas1* and *cas2* transcription, showing a gradual
14 decrease from *casA* (65-fold) to *cas2* (5-fold) (Table 1). No change in the
15 transcription level of *cas3* was detected. These results are consistent with a
16 polycistronic transcription of the *casABCDE* and possibly the *cas1*, *cas2* genes, with
17 polar effects for the transcription of the more downstream genes. However, we did not
18 observe significant differences in *cas* gene transcription in the $\Delta leuO$ mutant
19 compared to the wildtype strain (Table 1), indicating that *leuO* is not expressed under
20 the growth conditions used here.

21 To verify the observed increase in *cas* gene expression levels, quantitative
22 PCR (qPCR) was performed on total RNA isolated from 3 strains during mid-
23 exponential phase: *wt E. coli*, a Δhns strain and a *wt* strain expressing *leuO* from a
24 plasmid. This analysis showed that *casABCDE* displayed increased transcription in
25 both *hns* knockout and *leuO* expressing strains (Fig. 1B). While the increase in

1 *casABCDE* transcripts was modest in *hns* knockout strains, on average 5-fold, the
2 effect of introducing *leuO* was more dramatic, with an average increase of 236-fold
3 after induction of *leuO* expression. An increase in *casABCDE* transcripts was also
4 observed when *leuO* expression was not induced, due to leakage from the *PT5/lac*
5 promoter. The *cas1* and *cas2* genes also displayed increased transcript abundance in
6 *leuO* expressing strains, although at lower levels than *casABCDE*. Consistent with the
7 microarray data, a trend of transcript fold change was observed, with polar effects
8 downstream of *casA*, again suggesting a polycistronic mRNA of the *casABCDE12*
9 operon. Compared to the effect on the other *cas* genes, only a small increase of *cas3*
10 transcription was observed in *leuO* expressing strains.

11 To further evaluate the effects of H-NS and LeuO on transcription from the
12 *casA* promoter (known as *Pcas* (Pul *et al.*, 2010)), RNA samples from *wt* strains
13 expressing *leuO* from a plasmid and strains lacking *hns* were compared by primer
14 extension analysis. No *cas* transcripts were detected in *wt* cells containing an empty
15 expression vector. Transcripts directed from *Pcas* were only detected in cells
16 expressing *leuO* from a plasmid or in *hns* knockout strains (Fig. S1), indicating that
17 transcription of the *casABCDE12* operon is tightly controlled by H-NS and LeuO.

19 **LeuO causes increased crRNA abundance**

20 The CRISPR I locus is transcribed in *E. coli* K12 and the transcript is cleaved by the
21 CasE subunit of Cascade into small crRNAs that subsequently remain bound by
22 Cascade (Brouns *et al.*, 2008; Pul *et al.*, 2010). In K12 small crRNAs were virtually
23 undetectable by Northern blot analysis (Brouns *et al.*, 2008) and (Fig. 2A). To
24 investigate whether this was due to too low transcription levels of *casABCDE*, the *wt*
25 strain was transformed with a plasmid encoding the Cascade protein components

1 under control of an arabinose-inducible promoter. In the *wt* strain expressing
2 *casABCDE* from a plasmid, crRNAs with a length of about 60 nt could be detected.
3 The requirement for plasmid-encoded synthesis of Cascade for detection of small
4 crRNAs indicates that the level of Cascade in *wt E. coli* is insufficient for generating
5 and stabilizing mature crRNAs. Furthermore we analyzed the levels of crRNAs in an
6 *hns* knockout strain and in the *wt* strain expressing *leuO* constitutively from a plasmid.
7 Both deletion of *hns* and over-expression of *leuO* caused significant crRNA
8 accumulation, due to enhanced expression of Cascade in these two strains (Fig. 2B
9 and 2C). The CasA knockout strain (JW2730) serves as a control and marker for the
10 mature crRNA. It was previously shown that a CasA knockout strain generates
11 elevated levels of mature crRNA (Brouns *et al.*, 2008), due to read-through of the
12 downstream *cas* genes from the kanamycin resistance marker containing
13 recombination cassette by which the *casA* gene is replaced (Pougach *et al.*, in press).

14

15 **Binding of LeuO and H-NS to the DNA sequence upstream of *casA***

16 The *casA-cas3* intergenic region (here denoted IGLB) contains *Pcas*, for which H-NS
17 has strong binding affinity as well as the divergently oriented anti-*cas3* (known as
18 anti-*Pcas*) promoter, that is located 80 bp upstream of *Pcas* and gives rise to an
19 antisense transcript of unknown function (Fig. 1A and Fig. 3C) (Pul *et al.*, 2010).

20 Both LeuO and H-NS bind the IGLB fragment, as determined by
21 Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA) (Fig. 3A, lanes 2-4 and 5-7). Pre-bound
22 LeuO impedes cooperative binding of H-NS to the IGLB fragment (Fig. 3A, lanes 9-
23 11). In line with this, pre-bound H-NS is partly released from the DNA when LeuO is
24 added to the complex (Fig. 3A lanes 12-15). In order to map the binding region of
25 LeuO within the IGLB fragment DNase I footprint analysis was performed. Upon

1 limited DNase I hydrolysis of the IGLB DNA fragment, H-NS causes an extended
2 footprint (Fig. 3B), as shown before (Pul *et al.*, 2010). In addition, LeuO protects two
3 sites (site 1 and site 2) within the IGLB fragment that flank the high affinity H-NS
4 nucleation site (Fig. 3B and 3C). LeuO site 1 is located 20 bp downstream of *Pcas* and
5 LeuO site 2 spans the divergent anti-*Pcas* (Fig. 3B and 3C). Interestingly, in the
6 presence of LeuO the extended protection by H-NS is no longer visible (Fig. 3B,
7 compare lanes 2 and 4), indicating that due to LeuO binding the DNA region
8 containing the H-NS high-affinity binding site is no longer protected from DNase I
9 cleavage, in agreement with decreased cooperative binding (Fig. 3A).

10 In order to analyze the effect of LeuO on RNA polymerase (RNAP) binding to
11 the promoter sites, DNase I footprints were performed in the presence of RNAP and
12 LeuO. Moreover, the effect on transcription initiation and RNAP open complex
13 formation was analyzed by KMnO₄ footprints of stable initiation complexes. RNAP
14 binds to the two promoters (*Pcas* and anti-*Pcas*) (Fig. 4A, lanes 3 and 3', indicated I
15 (*Pcas*) and II (anti-*Pcas*)) (Pul *et al.*, 2010). Addition of the DNA binding proteins
16 LeuO or H-NS alone does not cause changes in the KMnO₄ reactivity (Fig. 4A, lanes
17 2', 4' and 5'). Binding of LeuO abolishes the spreading of H-NS along the DNA,
18 resulting in a lack of protection by H-NS in the region between positions -160 to -240
19 (Fig. 4A, compare lanes 2 and 5), as observed before (Fig. 3B). When RNAP binding
20 was studied in the presence of both transcription factors it turned out that the order of
21 addition to the DNA is crucial for the resulting footprint. RNAP binding was only
22 affected when H-NS and/or LeuO were added to the DNA prior to RNAP. While prior
23 binding of H-NS to the IGLB DNA fragment completely abolished RNAP-promoter
24 interaction and open complex formation (Fig. 4A and 4B, compare lanes 3' and 6'),
25 prior binding of LeuO had a repressive effect only on RNAP binding at anti-*Pcas* (Fig.

1 4A and 4B, compare lanes 3' and 7'; Fig. 4E, lane 5'). This can also be seen on the
2 retardation gels (Fig. 4C and 4D), where the DNA/RNAP complex II is lost in the
3 presence of LeuO (Fig. 4C, lane 7). This complex remains stable when H-NS is added
4 last (Fig. 4C, lane 9), in contrast to a sample with only H-NS or where H-NS is added
5 before LeuO (Fig. 4C, lanes 6 or 8, respectively). Moreover, the change in nucleotide
6 reactivities indicates that LeuO binding alters the architecture of the transcription
7 initiation complex at the *Pcas* promoter (compare Fig. 4B, lanes 7' with Fig. 4A, line
8 3' and Fig. 4E, lane 3' with lane 5'). Altogether these data indicate that LeuO plays an
9 important role in the regulation of *casABCDEI2* gene expression by antagonizing H-
10 NS-dependent repression of *Pcas*.

11

12 **H-NS and LeuO regulate CRISPR-based immunity against phage infection**

13 The effect of H-NS on CRISPR-based defense against phage infection was analyzed
14 in *wt* and Δhns *E. coli* strains, grown in Luria Bertani broth. Since none of the spacers
15 of *E. coli* K12 target known bacteriophages, an artificial seven spacer CRISPR (J3)
16 with the native promoter was designed containing one spacer that targets the template
17 strand of the gene encoding the phage Lambda tail protein (J). A non-targeting (N)
18 CRISPR (Brouns *et al.*, 2008) served as a negative control. Introducing the J3
19 CRISPR reduced the sensitivity to virulent phage Lambda (λ_{vir}) infection 4-fold in
20 the Δhns but not in the *wt* strain (Fig. 5A). Complementation of the Δhns strain
21 reversed the reduction in phage sensitivity, demonstrating that CRISPR-based defense
22 is negatively regulated by H-NS (Fig. 5A). When cells were grown in richer media
23 (2YT) until stationary growth phase, higher resistance levels were observed, up to a
24 10-fold reduced sensitivity compared to a non-targeting strain (data not shown).

1 Moreover, plaques were much smaller in the *hns* knockout strains equipped with the
2 J3 CRISPR when using 2YT.

3 Further evidence that H-NS controls CRISPR-based immunity was obtained
4 using genetically engineered strains (Table S4) in which the genomic CRISPR I locus
5 was replaced by the J3 or a non-targeting CRISPR. *E. coli* K12 Δ CRISPR I::CRISPRJ3
6 (*E. coli* J3) was fully sensitive to infection by phage Lambda, despite the presence of
7 a genomic J3 spacer (Fig. S2). However, when the dominant negative *hns*^{G113D} mutant
8 was expressed from a plasmid, the sensitivity of *E. coli* J3 to phage λ_{vir} infection was
9 reduced 3.6 fold (Fig. S2). This mutant still forms heterodimers with *wt* H-NS, but
10 does not bind DNA and therefore interferes with H-NS mediated transcriptional
11 repression resulting from the formation of higher-order DNA-protein complexes
12 (Ueguchi *et al.*, 1996). This observation is consistent with the reported finding that
13 expression of *hns* mutant G113D induces transcription from *Pcas* in *wt E. coli* (Pul *et*
14 *al.*, 2010).

15 When plaque assays were performed in *E. coli* J3 over-expressing *leuO* from a
16 plasmid, a ~6 fold reduced sensitivity to phage λ_{vir} infection was observed (Fig. 5B),
17 demonstrating that LeuO activates CRISPR-based defense in *E. coli*. A 3-fold reduced
18 sensitivity was observed when *leuO* expression was not induced, probably due to
19 leakage from the *Ptac* promoter. When *E. coli* J3 cells were grown to stationary phase
20 in rich 2YT medium, an increased resistance level was observed with turbid and very
21 small plaques in the *leuO* over-expressing strains containing a targeting CRISPR (data
22 not shown), whereas plaques in the same strain containing a non-targeting CRISPR
23 were clear and of normal size. Although *cas3* gene expression was not strongly
24 induced when LeuO was expressed from a plasmid (Table 1 and Fig. 1B), the
25 expression of *cas3* was not a limiting factor for resistance, since introduction of a *cas3*

1 expression plasmid into *E. coli* J3 expressing *leuO* did not lead to elevated resistance
2 levels (Fig. 5B).

3 When a plasmid expressing *casABCDE12* was introduced in *E. coli* J3, a 2.5-
4 fold reduced sensitivity to phage infection was observed (Fig. 5C), which was not
5 observed when a CRISPR expression vector containing spacer J3 or a plasmid
6 encoding *cas3* was introduced (Fig. 5C), indicating that expression of the genes
7 encoding Cascade (*casABCDE*) is limiting for CRISPR-based defense in *wt E. coli* .

8

9 Discussion

10 The type E CRISPR/Cas system (Cse (Haft *et al.*, 2005)) is present in many
11 proteobacteria and in some actinobacteria, firmicutes and methanogenic archaea. A
12 recent analysis of a collection of natural isolates shows that this CRISPR/Cas subtype
13 occurs in approximately 60% of the *E. coli* strains (Diez-Villasenor *et al.*, 2010). The
14 study presented here provides experimental evidence for regulation of the type E
15 CRISPR/Cas system in *E. coli* K12 by the antagonists H-NS and LeuO. These
16 antagonistic DNA-binding proteins regulate the expression of several genes in *E. coli*,
17 such as the *bgl* operon (utilization of β -glucosides) (Ueguchi *et al.*, 1998), the *yjjQ*-
18 *bglJ* operon (virulence factor and activator of *bgl*, respectively) (Stratmann *et al.*,
19 2008) and the *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhi *ompS1* gene (outer membrane
20 protein and pathogenicity determinant) (De la Cruz *et al.*, 2007).

21 We demonstrate that relieving H-NS-mediated repression of *cas* gene
22 transcription is required for CRISPR-based immunity and that derepression is
23 mediated by LeuO through direct binding of DNA sequences upstream of *casA*. The
24 EMSA and footprint results (Fig. 3) support the finding that elevated amounts of
25 LeuO counteract H-NS-mediated repression of *casABCDE12 in vivo*. Moreover, these

1 data indicate that LeuO-induced activation of transcription from the *casA* promoter
2 (*Pcas*) does not simply result from a displacement of bound H-NS, since LeuO cannot
3 facilitate the binding of RNA polymerase when H-NS is pre-bound. Instead, LeuO
4 abrogates the cooperative spreading of H-NS upon binding to the *casA* promoter
5 region.

6 Interestingly, the transcript levels of the *casABCDEI2* operon were higher in
7 cells expressing *leuO* than in *hns* knockout strains (Fig. 1B), suggesting that either
8 LeuO functions as an enhancer of *casABCDEI2* transcription, or that derepression in
9 K12 Δ *hns* is incomplete. The latter could be due to additional repressors involved in
10 silencing *casABCDEI2*, or due to functional redundancy between suppressors of gene
11 transcription. In particular, StpA has been reported to possess high binding affinity for
12 *Pcas* (Pul *et al.*, 2010). Although a K12 Δ *stpA* strain showed similar *cas* gene
13 transcript levels as a *wt* K12 strain (Pul *et al.*, 2010) it cannot be excluded that StpA-
14 mediated repression of *cas* gene transcription takes place in the absence of H-NS.

15 Cells expressing *leuO* showed higher resistance levels compared to *hns*
16 knockout strains, due to the higher expression of the *cas* genes and higher abundance
17 of mature crRNA (Fig. 1B and Fig. 2BC). Compared to the CRISPR-based resistance
18 levels to phage infection observed in *Streptococcus thermophilus* (Barrangou *et al.*,
19 2007) or *E. coli* BL21-AI over-expressing the *cas* genes and the CRISPR (Brouns *et*
20 *al.*, 2008), the resistance levels of *wt E. coli* over-expressing *leuO* are relatively low.
21 However, at present it is unknown whether a similar level of CRISPR-based immunity
22 can be reached by *wt E. coli*, and if it can, under what conditions.

23 Although we were able to show that CRISPR-based immunity is activated by
24 overproducing LeuO, the natural growth conditions that induce CRISPR-based
25 defense are still unknown. Our experiments show that a genomic anti-Lambda spacer

1 alone does not provide resistance to phage infection in *wt E. coli* due to the absence of
2 Cascade. We speculate that *leuO* expression levels under laboratory growth conditions
3 are too low to induce derepression *Pcas*, and that phage exposure itself does not
4 activate CRISPR-defense. Unaltered expression of *leuO* and the *cas* genes was also
5 observed during infection with bacteriophage PRD1 (Poranen *et al.*, 2006).

6 Since H-NS is known to bind DNA of incoming phage or plasmid directly
7 (Navarre *et al.*, 2006; Navarre *et al.*, 2007) this might result in redistribution of H-NS
8 (Dillon *et al.*, 2010; Doyle *et al.*, 2007), allowing expression of the Cascade genes due
9 to decreased local concentrations of the repressor. As *leuO* expression is negatively
10 regulated by H-NS and positively by LeuO itself (Chen *et al.*, 2005; Hommais *et al.*,
11 2001), this would further amplify the activating signal for *cas* gene transcription.

12 Interestingly, *leuO* expression levels are induced by the alarmone guanosine
13 tetraphosphate (ppGpp) (Chen *et al.*, 2001; Fang *et al.*, 2000; Majumder *et al.*, 2001).
14 ppGpp is involved in stress signaling cascades leading to the stringent response under
15 nutrient limiting conditions. Since these conditions slow down phage proliferation
16 dramatically (Schrader *et al.*, 1997), bacterial cells may then stand a better chance of
17 surviving phage encounters, hence inducing CRISPR-based defense may be more
18 beneficial. However, induction of the stringent response by amino-acid starvation, e.g.
19 by serine hydroxamate (Tosa and Pizer, 1971) neither increased the transcription from
20 *Pcas* nor the formation of mature crRNA (data not shown). Although under laboratory
21 conditions CRISPR-based defense is suppressed, the diversity in spacer content in
22 natural isolates of *E. coli* strongly suggests that the CRISPR/Cas system as a whole is
23 active and functional in natural ecosystems (Diez-Villasenor *et al.*, 2010).

24 In an independent parallel study, it has been shown that an *E. coli hns*
25 knockout strain containing an anti-Lambda spacer is less sensitive to phage infection

1 (Pougach et al., in press), in agreement with the data presented here. It seems that the
2 Δhns strain containing the T3 spacer used in (Pougach et al., in press), shows higher
3 levels of resistance than the Δhns strain containing the J3 spacer that was used in this
4 study. The T3 spacer has originally been described (Brouns *et al.*, 2008) as the spacer
5 that confers the highest level of immunity of 8 different spacers tested. In BL21-AI
6 over-expressing the *casABCDE* and *cas3* genes together with either the T3 CRISPR
7 or the J3 CRISPR showed that the T3 CRISPR provides 10-fold more resistance (data
8 not shown), indicating that the observed difference in immunity between (Pougach et
9 al., in press) and this study is most likely resulting from a difference in the efficiency
10 of the spacers used.

11 Although a number of studies involving H-NS and LeuO have been carried out
12 in *E. coli* and *S. enterica* (Hernandez-Lucas *et al.*, 2008; Hommais *et al.*, 2001;
13 Lucchini *et al.*, 2006; Navarre *et al.*, 2006; Shimada *et al.*, 2009), the outcome of
14 these studies has never been interpreted in the light of CRISPR-based defense. Based
15 on these genome-wide analyses we propose that the expression of the type E (Cse) *cas*
16 genes from *Salmonella enterica* are likely to be regulated by H-NS and LeuO as well.
17 For instance, in *S. enterica* Serovar Typhi transcription of *casA* (STY3070) appears to
18 be affected by H-NS and LeuO (Hernandez-Lucas *et al.*, 2008), despite the poor
19 conservation of the intergenic region between the divergently oriented *cas3* and *casA*
20 genes in this strain. In *S. enterica* Serovar Typhimurium strain LT2 H-NS binding
21 sites were found encompassing the translation start site of the *cas3* gene (Lucchini *et*
22 *al.*, 2006). Another study showed that in this strain the transcription of *cas3*, *casB*,
23 *casC* and *casD* is elevated in the absence of H-NS (Navarre *et al.*, 2006). Perhaps the
24 *cas* genes are controlled by a single promoter in this strain, since the intergenic region
25 between *cas3* and *casA* is only 12 nucleotides in length.

1 Altogether, this study provides evidence that the type E CRISPR/Cas system
2 in *E. coli* is regulated by the antagonists H-NS and LeuO, and we propose that this
3 regulatory mechanism is conserved in *S. enterica* as well. The upcoming challenge
4 will be to identify conditions that activate this sophisticated defense system to allow
5 defense against invasion by foreign DNA.

For Peer Review

1 **Experimental procedures**

2 **Strains**

3 The wildtype *E. coli* K12 W3110 (BW25113) strain and the *E. coli* K12 W3110
4 derivative Δhns (JW1225) and $\Delta casA$ (JW2730) from the KEIO collection (Baba *et al.*,
5 2008), supplied by the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), and *E. coli* K12
6 MC4100 (Peters *et al.*, 2003) derivative Δhns (PD32) (Dersch *et al.*, 1993) were used
7 throughout the study.

9 **Gene cloning and recombination**

10 A synthetic recombination cassette was designed corresponding to 400 bp flanking
11 regions on each side of the CRISPR I locus separated by a kanamycin resistance gene
12 flanked by FRT-sites (GAAGTTCCTATACTTTCTAGAGAATAGGAACTTC). The
13 construct contained a *Pst*I site followed by 400 nt of the CRISPR I upstream region of
14 the *E. coli* K12 W3110 genome (2875875-2876274), followed by a *Nco*I site, then the
15 sequence AAACAAAGAATT, a *Kpn*I site, followed by an FRT-site, a *Sph*I site, a
16 kanamycin resistance gene with a sequence corresponding to pJJDuet30 (2186-1276),
17 a *Xho*I site, an FRT site, a *Not*I site, and 395 nt of the CRISPR I downstream region of
18 the *E. coli* K12 W3110 genome (2877225-2877619) (GeneArt AG, Regensburg,
19 Germany). A synthetic CRISPR sequence including leader sequence containing 7
20 spacers and 8 repeats was used (Table S1) (Genart AG, Regensburg, Germany). This
21 synthetic CRISPR was cloned between the flanking regions using the *Nco*I and *Kpn*I
22 sites (Fig. S3). The *Nco*I and *Eco*RI sites in the leader and second spacer were used to
23 exchange the first spacer sequence of the CRISPR; the constructs created were named
24 J3 and R44 (Table S1). The other spacers in the CRISPR were sequences with no
25 homology to phage Lambda. These constructs were used as recombination cassettes to

1 replace the existing CRISPR I locus in the *E. coli* K12 W3110 genome, following a
2 protocol described elsewhere (Datsenko and Wanner, 2000), with minor modifications.
3 For recombination, the sequences were PCR-amplified using primers BG3017 and
4 BG3019 (Table S2) with high fidelity *pfu*-turbo polymerase and transformed by
5 electroporation into *E. coli* K12 W3110 containing pKD46, kindly provided by the
6 ATCC. Transformants were grown at 30°C and plated on LB-Agar supplemented with
7 kanamycin (50 µg ml⁻¹). The pKD46 plasmid has a temperature sensitive origin of
8 replication, and was removed through growth at 37°C (Datsenko and Wanner, 2000).
9 Recombination was validated by PCR and sequencing. The antibiotic resistance
10 cassette was removed using Flp recombinase encoded on plasmid pCP20, and
11 subsequent growth at 37°C, as described (Datsenko and Wanner, 2000). The Δ *leuO*
12 mutant was constructed with the λ red-gam system using oligonucleotides T209 and
13 T210 (Table S2), as described (Datsenko and Wanner, 2000). After deletion of *leuO*
14 the resistance cassette used for selection was removed using Flp recombinase encoded
15 on plasmid pCP20 (Datsenko and Wanner, 2000).

16

17 **Plasmids and Vectors**

18 Plasmid pWUR607 (Tet^R) contains the *casABCDE12* operon, which was PCR-
19 amplified from *E. coli* K12 MG1655 genomic DNA using primers BG2173 and
20 BG2174 (Table S2), and cloned into vector pACYC184 using the restriction sites
21 *EcoRI* and *NcoI*. Plasmid pWUR608 (Cam^R) was constructed by cloning a *cas3*
22 amplicon generated with primers BG2171 and BG2172 (Table S2) into pACYC184
23 using the restriction enzymes *BamHI* and *SphI*. In the experiments where a CRISPR
24 was introduced on a plasmid, the pACYCduet-1 vector (Cam^R) (Novagen) was used,
25 using the *NcoI* and *Acc65I* restriction sites. pWUR477 containing the non-targeting

1 CRISPR (N) was described previously (Brouns *et al.*, 2008). Expression of the
2 CRISPR from this plasmid in K12 was under control of the leader sequence that
3 contains the CRISPR I promoter (Pul *et al.*, 2010). pWUR564 is a derivative of
4 pWUR477 that has the *NcoI-EcoRI* fragment (containing the leader sequence up to
5 half of the second spacer) replaced with the *NcoI-EcoRI* fragment of construct J3
6 (Table S1). For expression of *wt hns* and *hns*^{G113D} the previously described pHOP11
7 and pHM52 plasmids were used, respectively (Pul *et al.*, 2010). The pCA24N plasmid
8 from ASKA(-) clone JW0075 encodes *leuO* behind an *PT5/lac* promoter (IPTG
9 inducible). pKEDR13, encoding *leuO* behind a *Ptac* promoter (IPTG inducible), and
10 the control vector pKESK22 were described earlier (Madhusudan *et al.*, 2005;
11 Stratmann *et al.*, 2008). The IPTG inducible *leuO* expression plasmid pNH41 was
12 constructed by cloning the *leuO* amplicon, generated using primers NH329 and
13 NH330 (Table S2), into the 2.2 kb *XbaI* fragment of pZE12-luc, following a
14 previously published protocol (Urban and Vogel, 2007). Plasmid pNH6 contains the
15 *casABCDE* operon (PCR amplified with pre-phosphorylated primer NH193 and
16 primer NH194 (Table S2)) inserted by blunt end and *EcoRI* cloning into vector
17 pCU01 (pBAD-TOPO vector derivative), as described (Unoson and Wagner, 2008).
18 Plasmid pUC18-IGLB was described before (Pul *et al.*, 2010).

19

20 **Microarray**

21 *E. coli* K12 MG1655 was transformed with plasmid pKEDR13 (Kan^R *lacI^q* *Ptac leuO*)
22 (Stratmann *et al.*, 2008) for expression of LeuO or with control vector pKESK22
23 (Kan^R *lacI^q* *Ptac*). Exponential cultures were inoculated from fresh overnight cultures
24 to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.1 in LB supplemented with 25 µg ml⁻¹ kanamycin. IPTG was added
25 after 30 min of growth to a final concentration of 1 mM. After additional 60 minutes

1 the bacteria were harvested using Qiagen RNeasy Protect and used for RNA isolation
2 using the Qiagen RNeasy MiniKit system. In brief, 1 ml of each culture (OD₆₀₀
3 between 0.5 and 0.6) was used and processed according to the manufacturer's
4 instructions including an on-column DNase I treatment. RNA quality was assayed by
5 denaturing urea-PAGE and by measuring the ratio of absorption at 260/280 nm in a
6 GeneQuant II spectrophotometer (Amersham). RNA concentration was determined by
7 measuring UV light absorption at 260 nm. The procedure was carried out four times
8 with independent clones.

9 Synthesis of cDNA (and cRNA) and hybridization of Affymetrix GeneChip®
10 *E. coli* Genome 2.0 microarrays was carried out according to the manufacturer's
11 instructions. In total, four independent RNA samples of each group (wildtype, *leuO*
12 expressing and *leuO* deficient strains) were used. Data analysis was performed using
13 Affymetrix Software. Fluorescence values were normalized to the GeneChip standard
14 reference probes. Differential expression values were calculated as fold-change of
15 *leuO* expressing samples compared to samples of *LeuO*-deficient control strains.

16

17 **qPCR analysis of gene expression**

18 qPCR analysis of *cas* gene transcript abundance was performed on cDNA synthesized
19 using High Capacity Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems) from RNA
20 extracted by the hot-phenol method (Blomberg *et al.*, 1990) and DNaseI-treated using
21 Turbo DNA-free kit (Ambion). 10 ml samples for RNA extraction were taken at
22 OD₆₀₀ ~0.5 from *E. coli* W3110, *E. coli* W3110 carrying pCA24N (*leuO*) and *E. coli*
23 Δhns (JW1225-2). When *LeuO* expression was induced, samples were taken 30 min
24 after addition of 0.5 mM IPTG. The qPCR reactions were performed using Power
25 SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems) according to manufacturer's

1 instructions, and primers were designed using Primer Express 3.0 (Applied
2 Biosystems). For the complete list of primers used see Table S3. As an internal
3 control two primer pairs were designed against *casA*. The PCR reactions were
4 performed on a 7300 Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) and analyzed
5 using 7300 System SDS Software 1.3 (Applied Biosystems). Fold-change of *cas* gene
6 transcription was calculated using the relative quantification method with tmRNA as
7 endogenous control and *E. coli* W3110 *cas* gene transcript abundance as calibrators.
8 All PCR reactions were performed in six replicates. Control PCRs without template or
9 without cDNA (produced by standard cDNA synthesis but excluding reverse
10 transcriptase) were performed to monitor general contamination levels and genomic
11 DNA contamination of RNA extracts, respectively.

13 Northern Blotting

14 Total RNA was extracted at the OD₆₀₀ indicated using TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen)
15 according to the manufacturer's protocol. Expression of *casABCDE* from pNH6 was
16 induced at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5 by adding 0.2% of arabinose for 15 min. 10 µg of total
17 RNA was denatured at 95°C with an equal volume of formamide loading dye, FD
18 (90% formamide, 15 mM EDTA, 0.05% bromophenol blue and 0.05% xylene cyanol),
19 and subsequently separated on an 8% denaturing polyacrylamide gel. A ³²P-labeled
20 pUC19 DNA/*MspI* ladder (Fermentas) was used as size marker. The RNA was
21 electrotransferred to Nylon N+ membranes (GE Healthcare) at 10 V for 15h. Transfer
22 was performed in a BIORAD blotting chamber in 1xTBE buffer at 4°C followed by
23 drying of the membrane and UV-crosslinking. Prehybridization was carried out for 2–
24 4 h at 42°C in 15 ml prehybridization buffer (5x SSC, 5x Dernhardt, 0.05 M sodium
25 phosphate pH 6.7, 1% dextran sulphate, 0.1% SDS) together with 75 µl herring sperm

1 DNA (20 mg ml⁻¹). Hybridization was carried out overnight at 42°C in the same
2 buffer lacking herring sperm DNA but containing [γ -³²P]-ATP-labeled
3 oligonucleotide probe NH30 (Table S2) specific for spacer 2 of the CRISPR1 locus.
4 The probe was labeled with [γ -³²P]ATP (40 pmol DNA, 10x kinase buffer, T4
5 polynucleotide kinase (PNK, Ambion), [γ -³²P]ATP) by incubation at 37°C for 45 min.
6 Prior to hybridization, the probe was purified over a G-50 column (GE Healthcare).
7 Membranes were washed once for 20 min at 60°C in 2xSSC, 0.5% SDS and once for
8 20 min in 0.5x SSC, 0.5% SDS. Signals were quantified in a Molecular Dynamics
9 PhosphorImager model 400S with ImageQuant software version 4.2a (Molecular
10 Dynamics).

11

12 **Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay**

13 *E. coli* RNAP, LeuO and H-NS were purified according to published procedures (Pul
14 *et al.*, 2010; Stratmann *et al.*, 2008). The IGLB fragment (position -1 to -414, relative
15 to the first nucleotide of the *casA* (*ygcL*) start codon) was obtained by *EcoRI/HincII* or
16 *BamHI/SacI* digestion of plasmid pUC18-IGLB. Purified DNA fragments were end-
17 labelled by Klenow (Promega) and [α -³²P]-dATP. Binding reactions with the
18 indicated amounts of protein were performed in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 70 mM
19 KCl, 15 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM β -mercaptoethanol at a final heparin
20 concentration of 20 ng μ l⁻¹. Complexes were separated on native 5% (w/v)
21 polyacrylamide gels and visualized by autoradiography as described (Pul *et al.*, 2010).

22

23 **Footprint analyses**

24 DNase I footprinting of free DNA and DNA-protein complexes was performed as
25 described (Pul *et al.*, 2010). Formation of open RNAP-promoter complexes was

1 analyzed by KMnO_4 modification of single-stranded nucleotides within the
2 transcription bubble. 40 μl RNAP-DNA complexes were treated with 160 mM
3 KMnO_4 for 2 min at 30°C. The reaction was stopped by addition of 5.3 μl β -
4 mercaptoethanol and 5.3 μl 500 mM EDTA and the samples were ethanol precipitated
5 after phenol/chloroform extraction. Pellets were dissolved in 10% piperidine and
6 incubated at 90°C for 30 min. After two rounds of washing with distilled water
7 followed by lyophilizing, the pellets were dissolved in 50 μl distilled water and
8 precipitated with ethanol. Cleavage products were separated on 10% denaturing
9 polyacrylamid gels and visualized by autoradiography. The following protocol was
10 used in footprint experiments with more than one protein: LeuO or the protein-free
11 buffer, and H-NS or the respective buffer, were incubated with the template DNA for
12 5 min at 30°C. Next RNAP or the RNAP dilution buffer was added and incubated for
13 another 10 min. Finally heparin was added to a final concentration of 200 $\text{ng } \mu\text{l}^{-1}$ with
14 a further incubation at 30°C for 5 min. An aliquot of this solution was loaded on a
15 native gel to verify complex formation and the remaining solution was used for the
16 different footprint experiments.

17

18 **Primer extension analysis**

19 Primer extension reactions with 25 μg total RNA hybridized to a radiolabeled specific
20 *cas* primer oligonucleotide (5'-ATACAATTAATCTATACATATATTAAGATG-3')
21 were performed with AMV reverse transcriptase (Promega) as described (Afflerbach
22 *et al.*, 1998).

23

24 **Phage Lambda infection studies**

1 Host sensitivity to phage infection was tested using a virulent phage Lambda (λ_{vir}), as
2 before (Brouns *et al.*, 2008). The host strains for infection were either *wt E. coli* K12
3 W3110, *E. coli* K12 W3110 Δhns , or the engineered *E. coli* K12 W3110 strains (*E.*
4 *coli* K12 Δ CRISPR1::*CRISPRJ3* and *E. coli* K12 Δ CRISPR1::*CRISPRR44*) (Table S4).
5 The sensitivity of the host to infection was calculated as the efficiency of plaquing
6 (the plaque count ratio of a strain containing an anti-Lambda CRISPR to that of the
7 strain containing an non-targeting CRISPR) (Brouns *et al.*, 2008).

8

For Peer Review

1 **Acknowledgements**

2 This work was financially supported by a Veni grant to S.J.J.B. (863.08.014) and a
3 Vici grant to J.v.d.O. (865.05.001) from the Dutch Organization for Scientific
4 Research (Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek). E.R.W. was
5 financially supported by Spinoza resources awarded to Willem M. de Vos. Ü.P. was
6 supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft PU435/1-1. N.H. was supported
7 by a postdoc fellowship from the Swedish Research Council (Vetenskapsrådet). M.L.
8 was supported by the Wenner-Gren Foundations. T.S. and K.S. were supported by the
9 Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Schn 371 / 10-1. A.R. and E.G.H.W. were
10 supported by the Swedish Research Council.

1 References

- 2 Afflerbach, H., Schroder, O., and Wagner, R. (1998) Effects of the *Escherichia*
3 *coli* DNA-binding protein H-NS on rRNA synthesis in vivo. *Mol Microbiol*
4 **28: 641-653.**
- 5 Baba, T., Huan, H.C., Datsenko, K., Wanner, B.L., and Mori, H. (2008) The
6 applications of systematic in-frame, single-gene knockout mutant
7 collection of *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Methods Mol Biol* **416: 183-194.**
- 8 Barrangou, R., Fremaux, C., Deveau, H., Richards, M., Boyaval, P., Moineau, S.,
9 Romero, D.A., and Horvath, P. (2007) CRISPR provides acquired
10 resistance against viruses in prokaryotes. *Science* **315: 1709-1712.**
- 11 Blomberg, P., Wagner, E.G., and Nordstrom, K. (1990) Control of replication of
12 plasmid R1: the duplex between the antisense RNA, CopA, and its target,
13 CopT, is processed specifically in vivo and in vitro by RNase III. *Embo J* **9:**
14 **2331-2340.**
- 15 Bolotin, A., Quinquis, B., Sorokin, A., and Ehrlich, S.D. (2005) Clustered
16 regularly interspaced short palindrome repeats (CRISPRs) have spacers
17 of extrachromosomal origin. *Microbiology* **151: 2551-2561.**
- 18 Bouffartigues, E., Buckle, M., Badaut, C., Travers, A., and Rimsky, S. (2007) H-
19 NS cooperative binding to high-affinity sites in a regulatory element
20 results in transcriptional silencing. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **14: 441-448.**
- 21 Brouns, S.J.J., Jore, M.M., Lundgren, M., Westra, E.R., Slijkhuis, R.J.H.,
22 Snijders, A.P.L., Dickman, M.J., Makarova, K.S., Koonin, E.V., and van
23 der Oost, J. (2008) Small CRISPR RNAs guide antiviral defense in
24 prokaryotes. *Science* **321: 960-964.**

- 1 **Carte, J., Wang, R.Y., Li, H., Terns, R.M., and Terns, M.P. (2008) Cas6 is an**
2 **endoribonuclease that generates guide RNAs for invader defense in**
3 **prokaryotes. *Genes Dev* 22: 3489-3496.**
- 4 **Chen, C.C., Fang, M., Majumder, A., and Wu, H.Y. (2001) A 72-base pair AT-**
5 **rich DNA sequence element functions as a bacterial gene silencer. *J Biol***
6 ***Chem* 276: 9478-9485.**
- 7 **Chen, C.C., Chou, M.Y., Huang, C.H., Majumder, A., and Wu, H.Y. (2005) A**
8 **cis-spreading nucleoprotein filament is responsible for the gene silencing**
9 **activity found in the promoter relay mechanism. *J Biol Chem* 280: 5101-**
10 **5112.**
- 11 **Chen, C.C., and Wu, H.Y. (2005) LeuO protein delimits the transcriptionally**
12 **active and repressive domains on the bacterial chromosome. *J Biol Chem***
13 **280: 15111-15121.**
- 14 **Dame, R.T., Luijsterburg, M.S., Krin, E., Bertin, P.N., Wagner, R., and Wuite,**
15 **G.J. (2005) DNA bridging: a property shared among H-NS-like proteins.**
16 ***J Bacteriol* 187: 1845-1848.**
- 17 **Datsenko, K.A., and Wanner, B.L. (2000) One-step inactivation of chromosomal**
18 **genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U***
19 ***S A* 97: 6640-6645.**
- 20 **De la Cruz, M.A., Fernandez-Mora, M., Guadarrama, C., Flores-Valdez, M.A.,**
21 **Bustamante, V.H., Vazquez, A., and Calva, E. (2007) LeuO antagonizes**
22 **H-NS and StpA-dependent repression in Salmonella enterica ompS1. *Mol***
23 ***Microbiol* 66: 727-743.**

- 1 Dersch, P., Schmidt, K., and Bremer, E. (1993) Synthesis of the Escherichia coli
2 K-12 nucleoid-associated DNA-binding protein H-NS is subjected to
3 growth-phase control and autoregulation. *Mol Microbiol* 8: 875-889.
- 4 Diez-Villasenor, C., Almendros, C., Garcia-Martinez, J., and Mojica, F.J. (2010)
5 Diversity of CRISPR loci in Escherichia coli. *Microbiology* 156: 1351-1361.
- 6 Dillon, S.C., Cameron, A.D., Hokamp, K., Lucchini, S., Hinton, J.C., and
7 Dorman, C.J. (2010) Genome-wide analysis of the H-NS and Sfh
8 regulatory networks in Salmonella Typhimurium identifies a plasmid-
9 encoded transcription silencing mechanism. *Mol Microbiol.* 76: 1250-1265.
- 10 Doyle, M., Fookes, M., Ivens, A., Mangan, M.W., Wain, J., and Dorman, C.J.
11 (2007) An H-NS-like stealth protein aids horizontal DNA transmission in
12 bacteria. *Science* 315: 251-252.
- 13 Fang, M., Majumder, A., Tsai, K.J., and Wu, H.Y. (2000) ppGpp-dependent
14 leuO expression in bacteria under stress. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*
15 276: 64-70.
- 16 Haft, D.H., Selengut, J., Mongodin, E.F., and Nelson, K.E. (2005) A guild of 45
17 CRISPR-associated (Cas) protein families and multiple CRISPR/Cas
18 subtypes exist in prokaryotic genomes. *Plos Comp Biol* 1: 474-483.
- 19 Hernandez-Lucas, I., Gallego-Hernandez, A.L., Encarnacion, S., Fernandez-
20 Mora, M., Martinez-Batallar, A.G., Salgado, H., Oropeza, R., and Calva,
21 E. (2008) The LysR-type transcriptional regulator LeuO controls
22 expression of several genes in Salmonella enterica serovar typhi. *J*
23 *Bacteriol* 190: 1658-1670.

- 1 Hertzberg, K.M., Gemmill, R., Jones, J., and Calvo, J.M. (1980) Cloning of an
2 EcoRI-generated fragment of the leucine operon of *Salmonella*
3 typhimurium. *Gene* 8: 135-152.
- 4 Hommais, F., Krin, E., Laurent-Winter, C., Soutourina, O., Malpertuy, A., Le
5 Caer, J.P., Danchin, A., and Bertin, P. (2001) Large-scale monitoring of
6 pleiotropic regulation of gene expression by the prokaryotic nucleoid-
7 associated protein, H-NS. *Mol Microbiol* 40: 20-36.
- 8 Horvath, P., and Barrangou, R. (2010) CRISPR/Cas, the Immune System of
9 Bacteria and Archaea. *Science* 327: 167-170.
- 10 Hyman, P., and Abedon, S.T. (2010) Bacteriophage Host Range and Bacterial
11 Resistance. *Adv Appl Microbiol* 70C: 217-248.
- 12 Ishino, Y., Shinagawa, H., Makino, K., Amemura, M., and Nakata, A. (1987)
13 Nucleotide sequence of the *iap* gene, responsible for alkaline phosphatase
14 isozyme conversion in *Escherichia coli*, and identification of the gene
15 product. *J Bacteriol* 169: 5429-5433.
- 16 Jansen, R., van Embden, J.D.A., Gaastra, W., and Schouls, L.M. (2002)
17 Identification of genes that are associated with DNA repeats in
18 prokaryotes. *Mol Microbiol* 43: 1565-1575.
- 19 Karginov, F.V., and Hannon, G.J. (2010) The CRISPR System: Small RNA-
20 Guided Defense in Bacteria and Archaea. *Mol Cell* 37: 7-19.
- 21 Klauck, E., Bohringer, J., and Hengge-Aronis, R. (1997) The LysR-like regulator
22 LeuO in *Escherichia coli* is involved in the translational regulation of
23 *rpoS* by affecting the expression of the small regulatory DsrA-RNA. *Mol*
24 *Microbiol* 25: 559-569.

- 1 Kunin, V., Sorek, R., and Hugenholtz, P. (2007) Evolutionary conservation of
2 sequence and secondary structures in CRISPR repeats. *Genome Biology* 8.
- 3 Labrie, S.J., Samson, J.E., and Moineau, S. (2010) Bacteriophage resistance
4 mechanisms. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 8: 317-327.
- 5 Lang, B., Blot, N., Bouffartigues, E., Buckle, M., Geertz, M., Gualerzi, C.O.,
6 Mavathur, R., Muskhelishvili, G., Pon, C.L., Rimsky, S., Stella, S., Babu,
7 M.M., and Travers, A. (2007) High-affinity DNA binding sites for H-NS
8 provide a molecular basis for selective silencing within proteobacterial
9 genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 35: 6330-6337.
- 10 Lawley, T.D., Chan, K., Thompson, L.J., Kim, C.C., Govoni, G.R., and Monack,
11 D.M. (2006) Genome-wide screen for Salmonella genes required for long-
12 term systemic infection of the mouse. *PLoS Pathog* 2: e11.
- 13 Liu, Y., Chen, H., Kenney, L.J., and Yan, J. (2010) A divalent switch drives H-
14 NS/DNA-binding conformations between stiffening and bridging modes.
15 *Genes Dev* 24: 339-344.
- 16 Lucchini, S., Rowley, G., Goldberg, M.D., Hurd, D., Harrison, M., and Hinton,
17 J.C. (2006) H-NS mediates the silencing of laterally acquired genes in
18 bacteria. *PLoS Pathog* 2: e81.
- 19 Maddocks, S.E., and Oyston, P.C. (2008) Structure and function of the LysR-
20 type transcriptional regulator (LTTR) family proteins. *Microbiology* 154:
21 3609-3623.
- 22 Madhusudan, S., Paukner, A., Klingen, Y., and Schnetz, K. (2005) Independent
23 regulation of H-NS-mediated silencing of the bgl operon at two levels:
24 upstream by BglJ and LeuO and downstream by DnaKJ. *Microbiology*
25 151: 3349-3359.

- 1 **Majumder, A., Fang, M., Tsai, K.J., Ueguchi, C., Mizuno, T., and Wu, H.Y.**
2 **(2001) LeuO expression in response to starvation for branched-chain**
3 **amino acids. *J Biol Chem* 276: 19046-19051.**
- 4 **Marraffini, L.A., and Sontheimer, E.J. (2008) CRISPR Interference Limits**
5 **Horizontal Gene Transfer in Staphylococci by Targeting DNA. *Science***
6 **322: 1843-1845.**
- 7 **Marraffini, L.A., and Sontheimer, E.J. (2010) CRISPR interference: RNA-**
8 **directed adaptive immunity in bacteria and archaea. *Nat Rev Genetics* 11:**
9 **181-190.**
- 10 **Mojica, F.J., Diez-Villasenor, C., Soria, E., and Juez, G. (2000) Biological**
11 **significance of a family of regularly spaced repeats in the genomes of**
12 **Archaea, Bacteria and mitochondria. *Mol Microbiol* 36: 244-246.**
- 13 **Mojica, F.J.M., Diez-Villasenor, C., Garcia-Martinez, J., and Soria, E. (2005)**
14 **Intervening sequences of regularly spaced prokaryotic repeats derive**
15 **from foreign genetic elements. *J Mol Evol* 60: 174-182.**
- 16 **Navarre, W.W., Porwollik, S., Wang, Y., McClelland, M., Rosen, H., Libby, S.J.,**
17 **and Fang, F.C. (2006) Selective silencing of foreign DNA with low GC**
18 **content by the H-NS protein in Salmonella. *Science* 313: 236-238.**
- 19 **Navarre, W.W., McClelland, M., Libby, S.J., and Fang, F.C. (2007) Silencing of**
20 **xenogeneic DNA by H-NS-facilitation of lateral gene transfer in bacteria**
21 **by a defense system that recognizes foreign DNA. *Genes Dev* 21: 1456-**
22 **1471.**
- 23 **Oshima, T., Ishikawa, S., Kurokawa, K., Aiba, H., and Ogasawara, N. (2006)**
24 ***Escherichia coli* histone-like protein H-NS preferentially binds to**

- 1 horizontally acquired DNA in association with RNA polymerase. *DNA*
2 *Res* 13: 141-153.
- 3 Peters, J.E., Thate, T.E., and Craig, N.L. (2003) Definition of the Escherichia coli
4 MC4100 genome by use of a DNA array. *J Bacteriol* 185: 2017-2021.
- 5 Poranen, M.M., Ravantti, J.J., Grahn, A.M., Gupta, R., Auvinen, P., and
6 Bamford, D.H. (2006) Global changes in cellular gene expression during
7 bacteriophage PRD1 infection. *J Virol* 80: 8081-8088.
- 8 Pourcel, C., Salvignol, G., and Vergnaud, G. (2005) CRISPR elements in
9 *Yersinia pestis* acquire new repeats by preferential uptake of
10 bacteriophage DNA, and provide additional tools for evolutionary studies.
11 *Microbiology* 151: 653-663.
- 12 Pul, U., Wurm, R., Arslan, Z., Geissen, R., Hofmann, N., and Wagner, R. (2010)
13 Identification and characterization of E. coli CRISPR-cas promoters and
14 their silencing by H-NS. *Mol Microbiol.* 75: 1495-1512.
- 15 Schrader, H.S., Schrader, J.O., Walker, J.J., Wolf, T.A., Nickerson, K.W., and
16 Kokjohn, T.A. (1997) Bacteriophage infection and multiplication occur in
17 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* starved for 5 years. *Can J Microbiol* 43: 1157-
18 1163.
- 19 Shimada, T., Yamamoto, K., and Ishihama, A. (2009) Involvement of the Leucine
20 Response Transcription Factor LeuO in Regulation of the Genes for Sulfa
21 Drug Efflux. *J Bacteriol* 191: 4562-4571.
- 22 Stoebel, D.M., Free, A., and Dorman, C.J. (2008) Anti-silencing: overcoming H-
23 NS-mediated repression of transcription in Gram-negative enteric
24 bacteria. *Microbiology* 154: 2533-2545.

- 1 Stratmann, T., Madhusudan, S., and Schnetz, K. (2008) Regulation of the *yjjQ*-
2 *bglJ* operon, encoding LuxR-type transcription factors, and the divergent
3 *yjjP* gene by H-NS and LeuO. *J Bacteriol* 190: 926-935.
- 4 Tosa, T., and Pizer, L.I. (1971) Biochemical bases for the antimetabolite action of
5 L-serine hydroxamate. *J Bacteriol* 106: 972-982.
- 6 Ueguchi, C., Suzuki, T., Yoshida, T., Tanaka, K., and Mizuno, T. (1996)
7 Systematic mutational analysis revealing the functional domain
8 organization of Escherichia coli nucleoid protein H-NS. *J Mol Biol* 263:
9 149-162.
- 10 Ueguchi, C., Ohta, T., Seto, C., Suzuki, T., and Mizuno, T. (1998) The *leuO* gene
11 product has a latent ability to relieve *bgl* silencing in Escherichia coli. *J*
12 *Bacteriol* 180: 190-193.
- 13 Unoson, C., and Wagner, E.G. (2008) A small SOS-induced toxin is targeted
14 against the inner membrane in Escherichia coli. *Mol Microbiol* 70: 258-
15 270.
- 16 Urban, J.H., and Vogel, J. (2007) Translational control and target recognition by
17 Escherichia coli small RNAs in vivo. *Nucleic Acids Res* 35: 1018-1037.
- 18 van der Oost, J., Jore, M.M., Westra, E.R., Lundgren, M., and Brouns, S.J.J.
19 (2009) CRISPR-based adaptive and heritable immunity in prokaryotes.
20 *Trends Biochem Sci* 34: 401-407.
- 21 Vartak, N.B., Liu, L., Wang, B.M., and Berg, C.M. (1991) A functional *leuABCD*
22 operon is required for leucine synthesis by the tyrosine-repressible
23 transaminase in Escherichia coli K-12. *J Bacteriol* 173: 3864-3871.

24

25

1 **Figure Legends**

2

3 **Fig. 1**

4 LeuO and H-NS regulate *cas* gene expression. **A)** Schematic illustration of the
5 CRISPR/Cas locus in *E. coli* K12 that consists of 8 *cas* genes (*cas3* (*ygcB*), *casA*
6 (*ygcL* or *cse1*), *casB* (*ygcK* or *cse2*), *casC* (*ygcJ* or *cse4*) *casD* (*ygcI* or *cas5e*) *casE*
7 (*ygcH* or *cse3*), *cas1* (*ygbT*) and *cas2* (*ygbF*)) and a downstream CRISPR locus
8 containing 12 spacers and 13 repeats (CRISPR I). The *cas3*, anti-*cas3* (anti-*Pcas*),
9 *casA* (*Pcas*) and CRISPR I promoter are indicated with an arrow (Pul *et al.*, 2010). **B)**
10 qPCR analysis of *cas* gene transcript abundance in *E. coli* Δ *hns* and *E. coli* W3110
11 expressing *leuO* (induced or non-uninduced). Fold changes are given as compared to
12 *wt E. coli* W3110 expression levels. Error bars indicate one standard deviation.

13

14 **Fig. 2**

15 Formation of mature crRNA. **A)** Northern analysis of total RNA from *wt E. coli* K12
16 and *wt E. coli* K12 + *casABCDE* (pNH6) using the single stranded spacer sequence
17 NH30 (Table S2) as a probe. **B)** Northern analysis as in (A) of total RNA from *E. coli*
18 K12 Δ *hns* and **C)** *wt E. coli* K12 expressing *leuO* from a plasmid (pNH41) with an
19 OD₆₀₀ of 0.5, 1 and 3.0. M, size marker (pUC19/*MspI* ladder). *E. coli* K12 Δ *casA*
20 (JW2730) serves as a control and marker for mature crRNA.

21

22 **Fig. 3**

23 H-NS and LeuO binding to the DNA region upstream of *casA* (the IGLB fragment). **A)**
24 Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA) of the IGLB fragment with LeuO and

1 H-NS, either alone (lanes 5-7) or with pre-bound LeuO and subsequent addition of H-
2 NS (lanes 8-11) or pre-bound H-NS and subsequent addition of LeuO (lanes 12-15). **B)**
3 DNase I footprint of IGLB in the presence of either H-NS or LeuO or both. LeuO was
4 pre-incubated with the reaction mixture. The 2 main regions protected by LeuO are
5 indicated. The ladder indicates the IGLB coordinates relative to the *casA* start codon,
6 indicated in **C)** the sequence and coordinates of IGLB, with the H-NS and LeuO
7 binding sites indicated with boxes. See also Fig. 4A for footprints showing the LeuO
8 binding region I.

10 **Fig. 4**

11 Effects of LeuO and H-NS on RNA polymerase open complex formation at the IGLB
12 fragment. **A)** and **B)** show either DNase I (lanes 1-14) or KMnO_4 (lanes 1'-14')
13 footprint analyses of the non-template strand of IGLB after binding of RNA
14 polymerase, H-NS or LeuO, either individually or in combination. The numbers
15 above the lanes indicate the order of addition of the respective proteins. The *casA*
16 promoter (*Pcas*) (I) and the anti-*cas3* promoter (anti-*Pcas*) (II) are indicated. The
17 arrowheads indicate the nucleotides within open complexes at the promoter sites. **C)**
18 and **D)** show the binding of RNA polymerase, H-NS or LeuO, either individually or in
19 combination. **C)** shows Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays of the samples in (A)
20 and (B). The numbers above the lanes indicate the order of addition of the respective
21 proteins. **D)** shows Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays of the samples in (E). The
22 positions of the free DNA and complexed DNA are given on the left. The retardation
23 gels (C) and (D) are not standard retardation gels but controls for the footprint
24 complexes to see if no major decomposition has occurred. Because complexes are
25 kept at 30°C for some time under RNAP binding conditions these gels are sometimes

1 different from standard retardation experiments (e.g. Fig. 3A). **E)** Shows a similar
2 analysis as in (A) and (B) for the template strand of IGLB (DNase I footprint lanes 1-
3 8; KMnO₄ footprint lanes 1'-8'). The protein concentrations used are H-NS (1 μM),
4 LeuO (1 μM) and RNA polymerase (50 nM).

5

6 **Fig. 5**

7 Effect of H-NS and LeuO on CRISPR-based resistance *in vivo*. **A)** A synthetic
8 CRISPR with one spacer (J3) targeting phage Lambda on a plasmid (pWUR564) is
9 introduced in *E. coli* K12Δ*hns*, *E. coli* K12, and complemented *E. coli* K12Δ*hns*
10 expressing *hns* from the pHOP11 plasmid. Phage resistance is monitored by
11 determining the efficiency of plaquing (EOP) after challenge with virulent Lambda
12 phage. **B)** The effects of *leuO* (pKEDR13) and *cas3* (pWUR608) expression on phage
13 resistance is monitored in *E. coli* K12Δ*CRISPR1::CRISPRJ3* (indicated as J3) **C)** The
14 effect of introducing the *casABCDE12* (pWUR607), *cas3* or a CRISPR on phage
15 resistance is monitored in *E. coli* K12Δ*CRISPR1::CRISPRJ3* (indicated as J3).



1 **Table 1**2 Microarray analysis of activation of *cas* genes by LeuO.

Microarray analysis of activation of *cas* genes by LeuO


gene	pLeuO / wt		Δ leuO / wt	
	fold-change ^a	<i>p</i> value ^b	fold-change ^a	<i>p</i> value ^b
<i>cas3</i>	1.0	n. s. 0.93	1.1	n. s. 0.76
<i>casA</i>	65.4	< 0.05	-1.2	n. s. 0.08
<i>casB</i>	30.0	< 0.05	-1.1	n. s. 0.20
<i>casC</i>	24.8	< 0.05	1.0	n. s. 0.81
<i>casD</i>	17.5	< 0.05	1.1	n. s. 0.68
<i>casE</i>	15.4	< 0.05	-1.2	n. s. 0.31
<i>cas1</i>	8.8	< 0.05	1.2	< 0.05
<i>cas2</i>	5.4	< 0.05	1.1	n. s. 0.34


3 ^a The fold change of *cas* genes expression was determined by microarray analysis. pLeuO / wt
4 indicates the ratio of *cas* transcripts detected upon overexpression of LeuO (using plasmid
5 pKEDR13) as compared to wildtype *E.coli* K12 (transformed with the empty vector plasmid
6 pKESK22). Δ leuO / wt indicates the ratio of *cas* transcripts detected in a Δ leuO_{FRT} mutant as
7 compared to wildtype.

8 ^b n.s. is not significant



Review





C

```

-410      -400      -390      -380      -370      -360      -350      -340
CTTCGGGAAT GATTGTTATC AATGACGATA ATAAGACCAA TAACGGTTTA TCCCTACTTA AGTAGGGAAG GTGCACAATG
GAAGCCCTTA CTAACAATAG TFACTGCTAT TATTCTGGTT ATTGCCAAAT AGGGATGAAT TCATCCCTTC CACGTGTTAC

-330      -320      -310      -300      -290      -280      -270      -260
TACATCTCTT TTTAATTCC CGCATGAGA TTTTATATTC ACAGTATGAA TATTTTATGT AATAAAATTC ATGGTAATTT
ATGTAGAAGA AAATTAAGG GCCATACTCT AAAATATAAG TGTCACTACT ATAAAAACA TTTTTTAAAG TACCATTAA

LeuO binding region II

-250      -240      -230      -220      -210      -200      -190
TTTAACTAA AAGTTCTTT AATAATAAAA CGAATAACTT GCAGATTGA AATGCATGA TTATTGCTTT TAAACAATTC
AATATTGATT TTCAAAGAAA TATTATTTT GCTTATTGAA CGCTAAACT TTACGTACGT AATAACAGAA ATTTGTTAAG



H-NS nucleation site

-170      -160      -150      -140      -130      -120      -110      -100
AACACATCTT AATAATATGTA TAGGTTAATT GTATTAAACC AATGAATATA TTTTTCAGT GAATGTGATT ATTGAATTAA
TTGTGTAGAA TTATTTACAT ATCCAATTAA CATAATTTGG TTACTTATAT AAAAACGTCA CTTACACTAA TAACTTAATT

LeuO binding region I

-90      -80      -70      -60      -50      -40      -30      -20
TTAGCCCGTA TTTTTCFTT GTTTTACCAG ATAAACGAG TGTGCGGACG TATAGAAATG CAGGAGAAAT GTCCGAGCAT
AATGCGGCAT AAAAAAGAAA CAAAAATGCC TATTGCCCTC ACACGGCTGC ATATCTTTAC GTCCCTCTTA CAGCCTCGTA

-10      -1
ATGAAGGAGA ACAA
TACTTCCTCT TGTT
    
```


ASensitivity to λ_{wt} (efficiency of plaquing)**B**Sensitivity to λ_{wt} (efficiency of plaquing)**C**Sensitivity to λ_{wt} (efficiency of plaquing)