

On the counting function of sets with even partition functions

Fethi Ben Said, Jean-Louis Nicolas

▶ To cite this version:

Fethi Ben Said, Jean-Louis Nicolas. On the counting function of sets with even partition functions. Publ. Math. Debrecen, 2011, 79 (3-4), pp.687-697. 10.5486/PMD.2011.5106 . hal-00558991v2

HAL Id: hal-00558991 https://hal.science/hal-00558991v2

Submitted on 4 May 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the counting function of sets with even partition functions

by

F. Ben Saïd

Université de Monastir Faculté des Sciences de Monastir Avenue de l'environnement, 5000 Monastir, Tunisie. Fethi.BenSaid@fsm.rnu.tn

and

J.-L. Nicolas

Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS Institut Camile Jordan, Mathématiques Batiment Doyen Jean Braconnier Université Claude Bernard 21 Avenue Claude Bernard, F-69622 Villeurbanne cedex, France jlnicola@in2p3.fr

To Kálmán Győry, Attila Pethő, János Pintz and András Sárközy for their nice works in number theory.

Abstract

Let q be an odd positive integer and $P \in \mathbb{F}_2[z]$ be of order q and such that P(0) = 1. We denote by $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(P)$ the unique set of positive integers satisfying $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(\mathcal{A}, n) z^n \equiv P(z) \pmod{2}$, where $p(\mathcal{A}, n)$ is the number of partitions of n with parts in \mathcal{A} . In [5], it is proved that if A(P, x) is the counting function of the set $\mathcal{A}(P)$ then $A(P, x) \ll x(\log x)^{-r/\varphi(q)}$, where r is the order of 2 modulo q and φ is the Euler's function. In this paper, we improve on the constant c = c(q) for which $A(P, x) \ll x(\log x)^{-c}$.

key words: Sets with even partition functions, bad and semi-bad primes, order of a polynomial, Selberg-Delange formula.

2000 MSC: 11P83.

1 Introduction.

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of positive integers and $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2, ...\}$ be a subset of \mathbb{N} . For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $p(\mathcal{A}, n)$ the number of partitions of n with parts in \mathcal{A} , i.e. the number of solutions of the equation

$$a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots = n,$$

in non-negative integers x_1, x_2, \dots We set $p(\mathcal{A}, 0) = 1$.

Let \mathbb{F}_2 be the field with two elements and $f = 1 + \epsilon_1 z + ... + \epsilon_N z^N + \cdots \in \mathbb{F}_2[[z]]$. Nicolas et al proved (see [13], [4] and [11]) that there is a unique subset $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(f)$ of \mathbb{N} such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(\mathcal{A}, n) z^n \equiv f(z) \pmod{2}.$$
(1.1)

When f is a rational fraction, it has been shown in [11] that there is a polynomial U such that $\mathcal{A}(f)$ can be easily determined from $\mathcal{A}(U)$. When f is a general power series, nothing about the behaviour of $\mathcal{A}(f)$ is known. From now on, we shall restrict ourselves to the case f = P, where

$$P = 1 + \epsilon_1 z + \dots + \epsilon_N z^N \in \mathbb{F}_2[z]$$

is a polynomial of degree $N \ge 1$.

Let A(P, x) be the counting function of the set $\mathcal{A}(P)$, i.e.

$$A(P,x) = |\{n : 1 \le n \le x, n \in \mathcal{A}(P)\}|.$$
(1.2)

In [10], it is proved that

$$A(P,x) \ge \frac{\log x}{\log 2} - \frac{\log(N+1)}{\log 2}.$$
(1.3)

More attention was paid on upper bounds for A(P, x). In [5, Theorem 3], it was observed that when P is a product of cyclotomic polynomials, the set $\mathcal{A}(P)$ is a union of geometric progressions of quotient 2 and so $A(P, x) = \mathcal{O}(\log x)$.

Let the decomposition of P into irreducible factors over $\mathbb{F}_2[z]$ be

$$P = P_1^{\alpha_1} P_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots P_l^{\alpha_l}$$

We denote by β_i , $1 \leq i \leq l$, the order of $P_i(z)$, that is the smallest positive integer such that $P_i(z)$ divides $1 + z^{\beta_i}$ in $\mathbb{F}_2[z]$; it is known that β_i is odd (cf. [12]). We set

$$q = q(P) = \operatorname{lcm}(\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_l).$$
 (1.4)

If q = 1 then P(z) = 1 + z and $\mathcal{A}(P) = \{2^k, k \ge 0\}$, so that $A(P, x) = \mathcal{O}(\log x)$. We may suppose that $q \ge 3$. Now, let

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}, n) = \sum_{d \mid n, \ d \in \mathcal{A}} d = \sum_{d \mid n} d\chi(\mathcal{A}, d), \tag{1.5}$$

where $\chi(\mathcal{A}, .)$ is the characteristic function of the set \mathcal{A} ,

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}, d) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } d \in \mathcal{A} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In [6] (see also [3] and [2]), it is proved that for all $k \ge 0$, q is a period of the sequence $(\sigma(\mathcal{A}, 2^k n) \mod 2^{k+1})_{n\ge 1}$, i.e.

$$n_1 \equiv n_2 \pmod{q} \Rightarrow \sigma(\mathcal{A}, 2^k n_1) \equiv \sigma(\mathcal{A}, 2^k n_2) \pmod{2^{k+1}}$$
(1.6)

and q is the smallest integer such that (1.6) holds for all k's. Moreover, if n_1 and n_2 satisfy $n_2 \equiv 2^a n_1 \pmod{q}$ for some $a \ge 0$, then

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}, 2^k n_2) \equiv \sigma(\mathcal{A}, 2^k n_1) \pmod{2^{k+1}}.$$
(1.7)

If m is odd and $k \ge 0$, let

$$S_{\mathcal{A}}(m,k) = \chi(\mathcal{A},m) + 2\chi(\mathcal{A},2m) + \ldots + 2^{k}\chi(\mathcal{A},2^{k}m).$$
(1.8)

It follows that for $n = 2^k m$, one has

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}, n) = \sigma(\mathcal{A}, 2^k m) = \sum_{d \mid m} dS_{\mathcal{A}}(d, k), \qquad (1.9)$$

which, by Möbius inversion formula, gives

$$mS_{\mathcal{A}}(m,k) = \sum_{d \mid m} \mu(d)\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \frac{n}{d}) = \sum_{d \mid \overline{m}} \mu(d)\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \frac{n}{d}),$$
(1.10)

where μ is the Möbius's function and $\overline{m} = \prod_{p \mid m} p$ is the radical of m, with $\overline{1} = 1$.

In [7] and [9], precise descriptions of the sets $\mathcal{A}(1+z+z^3)$ and $\mathcal{A}(1+z+z^3+z^4+z^5)$ are given and asymptotics to the related counting functions are obtained,

$$A(1+z+z^3,x) \sim c_1 \frac{x}{(\log x)^{\frac{3}{4}}}, \quad x \to \infty,$$
 (1.11)

$$A(1+z+z^3+z^4+z^5,x) \sim c_2 \frac{x}{(\log x)^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \quad x \to \infty,$$
(1.12)

where $c_1 = 0.937..., c_2 = 1.496...$ In [1], the sets $\mathcal{A}(P)$ are considered when P is irreducible of prime order q and such that the order of 2 in $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$ is $\frac{q-1}{2}$. This situation is similar to that of $\mathcal{A}(1 + z + z^3)$, and formula (1.11) can be extended to $\mathcal{A}(P, x) \sim c' x (\log x)^{-3/4}, x \to \infty$, for some constant c' depending on P.

Let P = QR be the product of two coprime polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[z]$. In [4], the following is given

$$A(P,x) \le A(Q,x) + A(R,x)$$
 (1.13)

and

$$|A(P,x) - A(R,x)| \le \sum_{\substack{0 \le i \le \frac{\log x}{\log 2}}} A(Q, \frac{x}{2^i}).$$
 (1.14)

As an application of (1.14), choosing $Q = 1 + z + z^3$, $R = 1 + z + z^3 + z^4 + z^5$ and P = QR, we get from (1.11)-(1.14),

$$A(P, x) \sim A(R, x) \sim c_2 x (\log x)^{-1/4}, \ x \to \infty.$$

In [5], a claim of Nicolas and Sárközy [15], that some polynomials with $A(P, x) \approx x$ may exist, was disapproved. More precisely, the following was obtained

Theorem 1.1. Let $P \in \mathbb{F}_2[z]$ be such that P(0) = 1, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(P)$ be the unique set obtained from (1.1) and q be the odd number defined by (1.4). Let r be the order of 2 modulo q, that is the smallest positive integer such that $2^r \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. We shall say that a prime $p \neq 2$ is a bad prime if

$$\exists i, 0 \le i \le r-1 \quad and \quad p \equiv 2^i \pmod{q}. \tag{1.15}$$

(i) If p is a bad prime, we have gcd(p, n) = 1 for all $n \in A$.

(ii) There exists an absolute constant c_3 such that for all x > 1,

$$A(P,x) \le 7(c_3)^r \frac{x}{(\log x)^{\frac{r}{\varphi(q)}}},$$
 (1.16)

where φ is Euler's function.

2 The sets of bad and semi-bad primes.

Let q be an odd integer ≥ 3 and r be the order of 2 modulo q. Let us call "bad classes" the elements of

$$\mathcal{E}(q) = \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{r-1}\} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*.$$
(2.1)

From (1.15), we know that an odd prime p is bad if $p \mod q$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}(q)$. The set of bad primes will be denoted by \mathcal{B} . The fact that no element of $\mathcal{A}(P)$ is divisible by a bad prime (cf. Theorem 1.1 (i)) has given (cf. [5]) the upper bound (1.16). Two other sets of primes will be used to improve (1.16) cf. Theorem 2.1 below.

Remark 2.1. 2 is not a bad prime although it is a bad class.

Definition 2.1. A class of $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$ is said semi-bad if it does not belong to $\mathcal{E}(q)$ and its square does. A prime p is called semi-bad if its class modulo q is semi-bad. We denote by $\mathcal{E}'(q)$ the set of semi-bad classes, so that

$$p \text{ semi-bad} \iff p \mod q \in \mathcal{E}'(q).$$

We denote by $|\mathcal{E}'(q)|$ the number of elements of $\mathcal{E}'(q)$.

Lemma 2.1. Let q be an odd integer ≥ 3 , r be the order of 2 modulo q and

 $q_2 = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } 2 \text{ is a square modulo q} \\ 0 \text{ if not.} \end{cases}$

The number $|\mathcal{E}'(q)|$ of semi-bad classes modulo q is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}'(q)| &= 2^{\omega(q)} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \right\rfloor + q_2 \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor \right) - r \\ &= \begin{cases} r(2^{\omega(q)-1}-1) & \text{if } r \text{ is even and } q_2 = 0 \\ r(2^{\omega(q)}-1) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$
(2.2)

where $\omega(q)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of q and |x| is the floor of x.

Proof. We have to count the number of solutions of the r congruences

$$E_i: x^2 \equiv 2^i \pmod{q}, \quad 0 \le i \le r-1,$$

which do not belong to $\mathcal{E}(q)$. The number of solutions of E_0 is $2^{\omega(q)}$. The contribution of E_i when *i* is even is equal to that of E_0 by the change of variables $x = 2^{i/2}\xi$, so that the total number of solutions, in $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$, of the $E'_i s$ for *i* even is equal to $\lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \rfloor 2^{\omega(q)}$.

The number of odd i's, $0 \le i \le r-1$, is equal to $\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$. The contribution of all the E'_is for these i's are equal and vanish if $q_2 = 0$. When $q_2 = 1$, E_1 has $2^{\omega(q)}$ solutions in $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$. Hence the total number of solutions, in $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$, of the E'_is for i odd is equal to $q_2 \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor 2^{\omega(q)}$.

Now, we have to remove those solutions which are in $\mathcal{E}(q)$. But any element $2^i, 0 \le i \le r-1$, from $\mathcal{E}(q)$ is a solution of the congruence $x^2 \equiv 2^j \pmod{q}$, where $j = 2i \mod r$. Hence

$$|\mathcal{E}'(q)| = 2^{\omega(q)} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \right\rfloor + q_2 \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor \right) - r.$$

The second formula in (2.2) follows by noting that $q_2 = 1$ when r is odd.

Definition 2.2. A set of semi-bad classes is called a coherent set if it is not empty and if the product of any two of its elements is a bad class.

Lemma 2.2. Let b be a semi-bad class; then

$$\mathcal{C}_b = \{b, 2b, \dots, 2^{r-1}b\}$$

is a coherent set. There are no coherent sets with more than r elements.

Proof. First, we observe that, for $0 \le u \le r - 1$, $2^u b$ is semi-bad and, for $0 \le u < v \le r - 1$, $(2^u b)(2^v b)$ is bad so that \mathcal{C}_b is coherent.

Further, let \mathcal{F} be a set of semi-bad classes with more than r elements; there exists in \mathcal{F} two semi-bad classes a and b such that $a \notin \mathcal{C}_b$. Let us prove that ab is not bad. Indeed, if $ab \equiv 2^u \pmod{q}$ for some u, we would have $a \equiv 2^u b^{-1} \pmod{q}$. But, as b is semi-bad, b^2 is bad, i.e. $b^2 \equiv 2^v \pmod{q}$ for some v, which would imply $b \equiv 2^v b^{-1} \pmod{q}$, $b^{-1} \equiv b2^{-v} \pmod{q}$, $a \equiv 2^{u-v}b \pmod{q}$ and $a \in \mathcal{C}_b$, a contradiction. Therefore, \mathcal{F} is not coherent.

Lemma 2.3. If $\omega(q) = 1$ and $\varphi(q)/r$ is odd, then $\mathcal{E}'(q) = \emptyset$; while if $\varphi(q)/r$ is even, the set of semi-bad classes $\mathcal{E}'(q)$ is a coherent set of r elements.

If $\omega(q) \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{E}'(q) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists a coherent set \mathcal{C} with $|\mathcal{C}| = r$.

Proof. If $\omega(q) = 1$, q is a power of a prime number and the group $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$ is cyclic. Let g be some generator and d be the smallest positive integer such that $g^d \in \mathcal{E}(q)$, where $\mathcal{E}(q)$ is given by (2.1). We have $d = \varphi(q)/r$, since d is the order of the group $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*/_{\mathcal{E}(q)}$. The discrete logarithms of the bad classes are $0, d, 2d, \cdots, (r-1)d$. The set $\mathcal{E}'(q) \cup \mathcal{E}(q)$ is equal to the union of the solutions of the congruences

$$x^2 \equiv g^{ad} \pmod{q} \tag{2.3}$$

for $0 \le a \le r-1$. By the change of variable $x = g^t$, (2.3) is equivalent to

$$2t \equiv ad \pmod{\varphi(q)}.$$
(2.4)

Let us assume first that d is odd so that r is even. If a is odd, the congruence (2.4) has no solution while, if a is even, say a = 2b, the solutions of (2.4) are $t \equiv bd \pmod{\varphi(q)/2}$ i.e.

$$t \equiv bd \pmod{\varphi(q)}$$
 or $t \equiv bd + (r/2)d \pmod{\varphi(q)}$,

which implies

$$\mathcal{E}'(q) \cup \mathcal{E}(q) = \{g^0, g^d, \dots, g^{(r-1)d}\} = \mathcal{E}(q)$$

and $\mathcal{E}'(q) = \emptyset$.

Let us assume now that d is even. The congruence (2.4) is equivalent to

$$t \equiv ad/2 \pmod{\varphi(q)/2}$$

which implies $\mathcal{E}'(q) \cup \mathcal{E}(q) = \{g^{\alpha d/2}, 0 \le \alpha \le 2r - 1\}$ yielding

$$\mathcal{E}'(q) = \{g^{\frac{d}{2}}, g^{3\frac{d}{2}}, \cdots, g^{(2r-1)\frac{d}{2}}\} = \mathcal{C}_b$$

(with $b = (g^{\frac{d}{2}})$), which is coherent by Lemma 2.2.

If $\omega(q) \geq 2$, then, by Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{E}'(q) \neq \emptyset$. Let $b \in \mathcal{E}'(q)$; by Lemma 2.2, the set \mathcal{C}_b is a coherent set of r elements.

Let us set

$$c(q) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2} & \text{if } \mathcal{E}'(q) \neq \emptyset\\ 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{E}'(q) = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

We shall prove

Theorem 2.1. Let $P \in \mathbb{F}_2[z]$ with P(0) = 1, q be the odd integer defined by (1.4) and r be the order of 2 modulo q. We denote by $\mathcal{A}(P)$ the set obtained from (1.1) and by $\mathcal{A}(P, x)$ its counting function. When x tends to infinity, we have

$$A(P,x) \ll_q \frac{x}{\left(\log x\right)^{c(q)\frac{r}{\varphi(q)}}},\tag{2.6}$$

where c(q) is given by (2.5).

When P is irreducible, q is prime and $r = \frac{q-1}{2}$, the upper bound (2.6) is best possible; indeed in this case, from [1], we have $A(P, x) \approx \frac{x}{(\log x)^{3/4}}$. As $\varphi(q)/r = 2$, Lemma 2.3 implies $\mathcal{E}'(q) \neq \emptyset$ so that c = 3/2 and in (2.6), the exponent of $\log x$ is 3/4. Moreover, formula (1.12) gives the optimality of (2.6) for some prime (q = 31) satisfying $r = \frac{q-1}{6}$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $P \in \mathbb{F}_2[z]$ be such that P(0) = 1 and $P = P_1P_2 \cdots P_j$, where the P'_i 's are irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[z]$. For $1 \le i \le j$, we denote by q_i the order of P_i , by r_i the order of 2 modulo q_i and we set $c = \min_{1 \le i \le j} c(q_i)r_i/\varphi(q_i)$, where $c(q_i)$ is given by (2.5). When x tends to infinity, we have

$$A(P,x) \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^c}.$$
(2.7)

where the symbol \ll depends on the $q'_i s, 1 \leq i \leq j$.

Let C be a coherent set of semi-bad classes modulo q. Let us associate to C the set of primes S defined by

$$p \in \mathcal{S} \iff p \mod q \in \mathcal{C}.$$
 (2.8)

We define $\omega_{\mathcal{S}}$ as the additive arithmetic function

$$\omega_{\mathcal{S}}(n) = \sum_{p \mid n, \ p \in \mathcal{S}} 1.$$
(2.9)

Lemma 2.4. Let m be an odd positive integer, not divisible by any bad prime. If $\omega_{\mathcal{S}}(m) = k + 2 \ge 2$ then $2^h m \notin \mathcal{A}(P)$ for all $h, 0 \le h \le k$. In other words, if $2^h m \in \mathcal{A}(P)$, then $h \ge \omega_{\mathcal{S}}(m) - 1$ holds.

Proof. Let us write $\overline{m} = m'm$, with $m' = \prod_{p \mid \overline{m}, p \in S} p$ and $m'' = \prod_{p \mid \overline{m}, p \notin S} p$. From (1.10), if $n = 2^k m$ then

$$mS_{\mathcal{A}}(m,k) = \sum_{d \mid \overline{m}} \mu(d)\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \frac{n}{d}) = \sum_{d' \mid m'} \sum_{d'' \mid m''} \mu(d')\mu(d'')\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \frac{n}{d'd''}).$$
(2.10)

Let us write $d' = p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_j}$ and take some $p_{\mathcal{S}}$ from \mathcal{S} . If j is even then $\mu(d') = 1$ and, from the definition of a coherent set, $d' \equiv 2^t \pmod{q}$ for some t (depending on d'), $0 \le t \le r-1$. Whereas, if j is odd then $\mu(d') = -1$ and $d' \equiv 2^{t'} p_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1} \pmod{q}$ for some t' (depending on d'), $0 \le t' \le r-1$. From (1.7), we obtain

$$\mu(d')\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \frac{n}{d'd''}) \equiv \sigma(\mathcal{A}, \frac{n}{d''}) \pmod{2^{k+1}} \text{ if } j \text{ is even}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\mu(d')\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \frac{n}{d'd''}) \equiv -\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \frac{np_{\mathcal{S}}}{d''}) \pmod{2^{k+1}} \text{ if } j \text{ is odd.}$$
(2.12)

Since $\alpha = \omega_{\mathcal{S}}(\overline{m}) = k + 2 > 0$, the number of d' with odd j is equal to that with even j and is given by

$$1 + \binom{\alpha}{2} + \binom{\alpha}{4} + \dots = \binom{\alpha}{1} + \binom{\alpha}{3} + \dots = 2^{\alpha - 1}.$$

From (2.10), we obtain

$$mS_{\mathcal{A}}(m,k) \equiv 2^{\alpha-1} \sum_{d^{"} \mid m^{"}} \mu(d^{"}) \left(\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \frac{n}{d^{"}}) - \sigma(\mathcal{A}, \frac{np_{\mathcal{S}}}{d^{"}}) \right) \pmod{2^{k+1}}, \tag{2.13}$$

which, as $\alpha = \omega_{\mathcal{S}}(m) = k + 2$, gives $S_{\mathcal{A}}(m, k) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}}$, so that from (1.8),

$$\chi(\mathcal{A},m) = \chi(\mathcal{A},2m) = \dots = \chi(\mathcal{A},2^km) = 0.$$
(2.14)

Let us assume that $\mathcal{E}'(q) \neq \emptyset$ so that there exists a coherent set \mathcal{C} with r semi-bad classes modulo q; we associate to \mathcal{C} the set of primes \mathcal{S} defined by (2.8) and we denote by $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}(q)$ and $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(q)$ the sets

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{p \text{ prime, } p \mid q\} \text{ and } \mathcal{N} = \{p \text{ prime, } p \notin \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{S} \text{ and } gcd(p, 2q) = 1\},\$$

so that the whole set of primes is equal to $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{Q} \cup \{2\}$. For $n \geq 1$, let us define the multiplicative arithmetic function

$$\delta(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \mid n \Rightarrow p \notin \mathcal{B} \ (i.e. \ p \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{Q} \cup \{2\}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and for x > 1,

$$V(x) = V_q(x) = \sum_{n \ge 1, n 2^{\omega_s(n)} \le x} \delta(n).$$
 (2.15)

Lemma 2.5. Under the above notation, we have

$$V(x) = V_q(x) = \mathcal{O}_q\left(\frac{x}{\left(\log x\right)^{c(q)}\frac{r}{\varphi(q)}}\right),$$
(2.16)

where c(q) is given by (2.5).

Proof. To prove (2.16), one should consider, for complex s with $\mathcal{R}(s) > 1$, the series

$$F(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\delta(n)}{(n2^{\omega_{\mathcal{S}}(n)})^s}$$
(2.17)

This Dirichet series has an Euler's product given by

$$F(s) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{Q} \cup \{2\}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \quad \prod_{p \in \mathcal{S}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^s (p^s - 1)} \right),$$
(2.18)

which can be written as

$$F(s) = H(s) \prod_{p \in \mathcal{N}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{S}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2^s}},$$
(2.19)

where

$$H(s) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{Q} \cup \{2\}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{S}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^s (p^s - 1)} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^s}}.$$
 (2.20)

By applying Selberg-Delange's formula (cf. [8], Théorème 1 and [9], Lemma 4.5), we obtain some constant c_4 such that

$$V(x) = c_4 \frac{x}{\left(\log x\right)^{c(q)} \frac{r}{\varphi(q)}} + \mathcal{O}_q\left(\frac{x\log\log x}{\log x}\right).$$
(2.21)

The constant c_4 is somewhat complicated, it is given by

$$c_4 = \frac{CH(1)}{\Gamma(1 - c(q)\frac{r}{\varphi(q)})},\tag{2.22}$$

where Γ is the gamma function,

$$H(1) = \frac{2q}{\varphi(q)} \prod_{p \in S} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2(p-1)} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(2.23)

and

$$C = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{N}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{S}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\frac{-1}{2}} \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{1 - c(q) \frac{r}{\varphi(q)}}$$

where in the third product, p runs over all primes.

3 Proof of the results.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. If $r = \varphi(q)$ then 2 is a generator of $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$, all primes are bad but 2 and the prime factors of q; hence by Theorem 2 of [5], $A(P, x) = \mathcal{O}((\log x)^{\kappa})$ for some constant κ , so that we may remove the case $r = \varphi(q)$.

If $\mathcal{E}'(q) = \emptyset$, from (2.5), c = 1 holds and (2.6) follows from (1.16).

We now assume $\mathcal{E}'(q) \neq \emptyset$, so that, from Lemma 2.2, there exists a coherent set \mathcal{C} satisfying $|\mathcal{C}| = r$. We define the set of primes \mathcal{S} by (2.8). Let us write V(x) defined in (2.15) as

$$V(x) = V'(x) + V''(x), (3.1)$$

with

$$V'(x) = \sum_{n \ge 1, n 2^{\omega_{\mathcal{S}}(n)} \le x, \omega_{\mathcal{S}}(n) = 0} \delta(n) \text{ and } V''(x) = \sum_{n \ge 1, n 2^{\omega_{\mathcal{S}}(n)} \le x, \omega_{\mathcal{S}}(n) \ge 1} \delta(n).$$

Similarly, we write $A(P, x) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(P), a \leq x} 1 = A' + A^{"}$, with

$$A' = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(P), \ a \le x, \ \omega_{\mathcal{S}}(a) = 0} 1 \text{ and } A'' = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(P), \ a \le x, \ \omega_{\mathcal{S}}(a) \ge 1} 1.$$

An element a of $\mathcal{A}(P)$ counted in A' is free of bad and semi-bad primes, so that

$$A' \le V'(x) \le V'(2x).$$
 (3.2)

By Lemma 2.4, an element a of $\mathcal{A}(P)$ counted in $A^{"}$ is of the form $n2^{\omega_{\mathcal{S}}(n)-1}$ with $\omega_{\mathcal{S}}(n) = \omega_{\mathcal{S}}(a) \geq 1$; hence

$$A^{"} \le V^{"}(2x). \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore, from (3.1)-(3.3), we get

$$A(P, x) = A' + A'' \le V'(2x) + V''(2x) = V(2x)$$

and (2.6) follows from Lemma 2.5.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Just use Theorem 2.1 and (1.13).

Acknowledgements. It is a great pleasure for us to thank András Sárközy for initiating the study of the sets $\mathcal{A}(P)$ and for all the mathematics that we have learnt from him.

References

- [1] N. Baccar and F. Ben Saïd, On sets such that the partition function is even from a certain point on, International Journal of Number Theory, vol. 5, No. 3 (2009), 1-22.
- [2] N. Baccar, F. Ben Saïd and A. Zekraoui, On the divisor function of sets with even partition functions, Acta Math. Hungar., 112 (1-2) (2006), 25-37.
- [3] F. Ben Saïd, On a conjecture of Nicolas-Sárközy about partitions, Journal of Number Theory, 95 (2002), 209-226.
- [4] F. Ben Saïd, On some sets with even valued partition function, The Ramanujan Journal, 9, (2005), 63-75.
- [5] F. Ben Saïd, H. Lahouar and J.-L. Nicolas, On the counting function of the sets of parts such that the partition function takes even values for n large enough, Discrete Mathematics, 306 (2006), 1115-1125.
- [6] F. Ben Saïd and J.-L. Nicolas, Sets of parts such that the partition function is even, Acta Arithmetica, 106 (2003), 183-196.
- [7] F. Ben Saïd and J.-L. Nicolas, Even partition functions, Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire (http//www.mat.univie.ac.at/ slc/), 46 (2002), B 46i.
- [8] F. Ben Saïd and J.-L. Nicolas, Sur une application de la formule de Selberg-Delange, Colloquium Mathematicum 98 nº 2 (2003), 223-247.
- [9] F. Ben Saïd, J.-L. Nicolas and A. Zekraoui, On the parity of generalised partition function III, Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 22 (2010), 51-78.
- [10] Li-Xia Dai and Yong-Gao Chen, On the parity of the partition function, Journal of Number Theory 122 (2007) 283 289,

- [11] H. Lahouar, Fonctions de partitions à parité périodique, European J. of Combinatorics, 24 (2003), 1089-1096.
- [12] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, Introduction to finite fields and their applications, Cambridge University Press, revised edition, (1994).
- [13] J.-L. Nicolas, I.Z. Ruzsa and A. Sárközy, On the parity of additive representation functions, J. Number Theory 73 (1998), 292-317.
- [14] J.-L. Nicolas and A. Sárközy, On the parity of partition functions, Illinois J. Math. 39 (1995), 586-597.
- [15] J.-L. Nicolas and A. Sárközy, On the parity of generalised partition functions, in : M.A Bennett, B.C. Berndt, N. Boston, H.G. Diamond, A.J. Hildebrandt, W. Philip, A.K. Petars (Eds.), Number Theory for the Millenium, vol. 3 (2002), pp. 55-72.