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#### Abstract

We complete the different cases remaining in the estimation of the essential norm of a weighted composition operator acting between the Hardy spaces $H^{p}$ and $H^{q}$ for $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. In particular we give some estimates for the cases $1=p \leq q \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q<p \leq \infty$.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}|\quad| z \mid<1\}$ denote the open unit disk in the complex plane. Given two analytic functions $u$ and $\varphi$ defined on $\mathbb{D}$ such that $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$, one can define the weighted composition operator $u C_{\varphi}$ that maps any analytic function $f$ defined on $\mathbb{D}$ into the function $u C_{\varphi}(f)=u . f \circ \varphi$. In [10], de Leeuw showed that the isometries in the Hardy space $H^{1}$ are weighted composition operators, while Forelli $[8]$ obtained this result for the Hardy space $H^{p}$ when $1<p<\infty, p \neq 2$. Another example is the study of composition operators on the halfplane. A composition operator in a Hardy space of the half-plane is bounded if and only if a certain weighted composition operator is bounded on the Hardy space of the unit disk (see [13] and [14]).

When $u \equiv 1$, we just have the composition operator $C_{\varphi}$. The continuity of these operators on the Hardy space $H^{p}$ is ensured by the Littlewood's subordination principle, which says that $C_{\varphi}(f)$ belongs to $H^{p}$ whenever $f \in H^{p}$ (see [4], Corollary 2.24). As a consequence, the condition $u \in H^{\infty}$ suffices for the boundedness of $u C_{\varphi}$ on $H^{p}$. Considering the image of the constant functions, a necessary condition is that $u$ belongs to $H^{p}$. Nevertheless a weighted composition operator needs not to be continuous on $H^{p}$, and it is easy to find examples where $u C_{\varphi}\left(H^{p}\right) \nsubseteq H^{p}$ (see Lemma 2.1 of [3] for instance).

In this note we deal with weighted composition operators between $H^{p}$ and $H^{q}$ for $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Boundedness and compactness are characterized in [3] for $1 \leq p \leq q<\infty$ by means of Carleson measures, while essential norms of weighted composition operators are estimated in [5] for $1<p \leq q<\infty$ by means of an integral operator. For the case $1 \leq q<p<\infty$, boundedness and compactness of $u C_{\varphi}$ are studied in [5], and Gorkin and MacCluer in [9] gave an estimate of the essential norm of a composition operator acting between $H^{p}$ and $H^{q}$.

The aim of this paper is to complete the different cases remaining in the estimation of the essential norm of a weighted composition operator. In section 2 and 3 , we give an estimate of the essential norm of $u C_{\varphi}$ acting between $H^{p}$ and $H^{q}$ when $p=1$ and $1 \leq q<\infty$ and when $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $q=\infty$. Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to the case where $\infty \geq p>q \geq 1$.

Let $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ be the closure of the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{T}=\partial \mathbb{D}$ its boundary. We denote by $\mathrm{d} m=\mathrm{d} t / 2 \pi$ the normalised Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}$. If $A$ is a Borel subset of $\mathbb{T}$, the notation $m(A)$ as well as $|A|$

[^0]will design the Haar measure of $A$. For $1 \leq p<\infty$, the Hardy space $H^{p}(\mathbb{D})$ is the space of analytic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following condition
$$
\|f\|_{p}=\sup _{0<r<1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}}|f(r \zeta)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

Endowed with this norm, $H^{p}(\mathbb{D})$ is a Banach space. The space $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ is consisting of every bounded analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$, and its norm is given by the supremum norm on $\mathbb{D}$.
We recall that any function $f \in H^{p}(\mathbb{D})$ can be extended on $\mathbb{T}$ to a function $f^{*}$ by the following formula: $f^{*}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\lim _{r \nearrow 1} f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$. The limit exists almost everywhere by Fatou's theorem, and $f^{*} \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T})$. Moreover, $f \mapsto f^{*}$ is an into isometry from $H^{p}(\mathbb{D})$ to $L^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ whose image, denoted by $H^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ is the closure (weak-star closure for $p=\infty$ ) of the set of polynomials in $L^{p}(\mathbb{T})$. So we can identify $H^{p}(\mathbb{D})$ and $H^{p}(\mathbb{T})$, and we will use the notation $H^{p}$ for both of these spaces. More on Hardy spaces can be found in [11] for instance.

The essential norm of an operator $T: X \rightarrow X$, denoted $\|T\|_{e}$, is given by

$$
\|T\|_{e}=\inf \{\|T-K\| \mid K \text { is compact on } X\}
$$

Observe that $\|T\|_{e} \leq\|T\|$, and $\|T\|_{e}$ is the norm of $T$ seen as an element of the Calkin algebra $B(X) / K(X)$ where $K(X)$ is the space of all compact operators on $X$.

Notation: we will note $a \approx b$ whenever there exists two positive universal constants $c$ and $C$ such that $c b \leq a \leq C b$. In the sequel, $u$ will be a non-zero analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\varphi$ will be a non-constant analytic function defined on $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$.

## $2 u C_{\varphi} \in B\left(H^{1}, H^{q}\right)$ for $1 \leq q<\infty$

Let's first start with a characterization of the boundedness of $u C_{\varphi}$ acting between $H^{p}$ and $H^{q}$ for $1 \leq p \leq q<\infty$ :
Theorem 2.1 (see [5], Theorem 4). Let $u$ be an analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\varphi$ a analytic selfmap of $\mathbb{D}$. Then the weighted composition operator $u C_{\varphi}$ is bounded from $H^{p}$ to $H^{q}$ if and only if

$$
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{T}}|u(\zeta)|^{q}\left(\frac{1-|a|^{2}}{|1-\bar{a} \varphi(\zeta)|^{2}}\right)^{q / p} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)<\infty .
$$

As a consequence $u C_{\varphi}$ is a bounded operator as soon as $u C_{\varphi}$ is uniformly bounded on the set $\left\{k_{a}^{1 / p} \mid a \in \mathbb{D}\right\}$ where $k_{a}$ is the normalized kernel defined by $k_{a}(z)=\left(1-|a|^{2}\right) /(1-\bar{a} z)^{2}, a \in \mathbb{D}$. Note that $k_{a}^{1 / p} \in H^{p}$ and $\left\|k_{a}^{1 / p}\right\|_{p}=1$. These kernels play a crucial role in the estimation of the essential norm of a weighted composition operator:
Theorem 2.2 (see [5], Theorem 5). Assume that the weighted composition operator $u C_{\varphi}$ is bounded from $H^{p}$ to $H^{q}$ with $1<p \leq q<\infty$. Then

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \approx \underset{|a| \rightarrow 1^{-}}{\limsup }\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}}|u(\zeta)|^{q}\left(\frac{1-|a|^{2}}{|1-\bar{a} \varphi(\zeta)|^{2}}\right)^{q / p} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

The aim of this section is to give the corresponding estimate for the case $p=1$. We shall prove that the previous theorem is still valid for $p=1$ :
Theorem 2.3. Suppose that the weighted composition operator $u C_{\varphi}$ is bounded from $H^{1}$ to $H^{q}$ for a certain $1 \leq q<\infty$. Then we have

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \approx \limsup _{|a| \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}}|u(\zeta)|^{q}\left(\frac{1-|a|^{2}}{|1-\bar{a} \varphi(\zeta)|^{2}}\right)^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

Let's start with the upper estimate:
Proposition 2.4. Let $u C_{\varphi} \in B\left(H^{1}, H^{q}\right)$ with $1 \leq q<\infty$. Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \leq C \limsup _{|a| \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}}|u(\zeta)|^{q}\left(\frac{1-|a|^{2}}{|1-\bar{a} \varphi(\zeta)|^{2}}\right)^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

The main tool of the proof is the use of Carleson measures. Assume that $\mu$ is a finite positive Borel measure on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and let $1 \leq p, q<\infty$. We say that $\mu$ is a $(p, q)$-Carleson measure if the embedding $J_{\mu}: f \in H^{p} \mapsto f \in L^{q}(\mu)$ is well defined. In this case, the closed graph theorem ensures that $J_{\mu}$ is continuous. In other words, $\mu$ is a $(p, q)$-Carleson measure if there exists a constant $C_{1}>0$ such that for every $f \in H^{p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}}|f(z)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu(z) \leq C_{1}\|f\|_{p}^{q} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $I$ be an arc in $\mathbb{T}$. By $S(I)$ we denote the Carleson window given by

$$
S(I)=\{z \in \mathbb{D}|1-|I| \leq|z|<1, z /|z| \in I\}
$$

Let's denote by $\mu_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\mu_{\mathbb{T}}$ the restrictions of $\mu$ to $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{T}$ respectively. The following result is a version of a theorem of Duren (see [7], p.163) for measures on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ :
Theorem 2.5 (see [1], Theorem 2.5). Let $1 \leq p<q<\infty$. A finite positive Borel measure $\mu$ on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a $(p, q)$-Carleson measure if and only if $\mu_{\mathbb{T}}=0$ and there exists a constant $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\mathbb{D}}(S(I)) \leq C_{2}|I|^{q / p} \quad \text { for any arc } I \subset \mathbb{T} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the best constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ in (2.1) and (2.2) are comparable, meaning that there is a positive constant $\beta$ independent of the measure $\mu$ such that $(1 / \beta) C_{2} \leq C_{1} \leq \beta C_{2}$.
The notion of Carleson measure was introduced by Carleson in [2] as a part of his work on the corona problem. He gave a characterization of measures $\mu$ on $\mathbb{D}$ such that $H^{p}$ embeds continuously in $L^{p}(\mu)$.

Examples of such Carleson measures are provided by composition operators. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be an analytic map and let $1 \leq p, q<\infty$. The boundedness of the composition operator $C_{\varphi}$ : $f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ between $H^{p}$ and $H^{q}$ can be rephrased in terms of $(p, q)$-Carleson measures. Indeed, denote by $m_{\varphi}$ the pullback measure of $m$ by $\varphi$, which is the image of the Haar measure $m$ of $\mathbb{T}$ under the map $\varphi^{*}$, defined by

$$
m_{\varphi}(B)=m\left(\varphi^{*^{-1}}(B)\right)
$$

for every Borel subset $B$ of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then

$$
\left\|C_{\varphi}(f)\right\|_{q}^{q}=\int_{\mathbb{T}}|f \circ \varphi|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m=\int_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}}|f|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m_{\varphi}=\left\|J_{m_{\varphi}}(f)\right\|_{q}^{q}
$$

for all $f \in H^{p}$. Thus $C_{\varphi}$ maps $H^{p}$ boundedly into $H^{q}$ if and only if $m_{\varphi}$ is a $(p, q)$-Carleson measure.
In the sequel we will note the disk of radius $r$ by $r \mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{D}| | z \mid<r\}$ for $0<r<1$. We will need the following lemma concerning $(p, q)$-Carleson measures:
Lemma 2.6. Take $0<r<1$ and let $\mu$ be a finite positive Borel measure on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Note

$$
N_{r}^{*}:=\sup _{|a| \geq r} \int_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}}\left|k_{a}(w)\right|^{\frac{q}{p}} \mathrm{~d} \mu(w)
$$

If $\mu$ is a $(p, q)$-Carleson measure for $1 \leq p \leq q<\infty$ then so is $\mu_{r}:=\mu_{\mid \overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash r \mathbb{D}}$. Moreover one can find an absolute constant $M>0$ satisfying $\left\|\mu_{r}\right\| \leq M N_{r}^{*}$ where $\left\|\mu_{r}\right\|:=\sup _{I \subset \mathbb{T}} \frac{\mu_{r}(S(I))}{|I|^{q / p}}$.

We omit the proof of Lemma 2.6 here, which is a slight modification of the proof of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 in [6] using Theorem 2.5.

In the proof of the upper estimate of Theorem 2.2 in [5], the authors use a decomposition of the identity on $H^{p}$ of the form $I=K_{N}+R_{N}$ where $K_{N}$ is the partial sum operator defined by $K_{N}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{n} z^{n}$, and they use the fact that $\left(K_{N}\right)$ is a sequence of compact operators that is uniformly bounded in $B\left(H^{p}\right)$ and that $R_{N}$ converges pointwise to zero on $H^{p}$. Nevertheless the sequence ( $K_{N}$ ) is not uniformly bounded in $B\left(H^{1}\right)$. In fact, $\left(K_{N}\right)$ is uniformly bounded in $B\left(H^{p}\right)$ if and only if the Riesz projection $P: L^{p} \rightarrow H^{p}$ is bounded [15, Theorem 2], which occurs if and only if $1<p<\infty$. Therefore we need to use a different decomposition for the case $p=1$. Since $K_{N}$ is the convolution operator by the Dirichlet kernel on $H^{p}$, we shall consider the Fejér kernel $F_{N}$ of order $N$. Let's define $K_{N}: H^{1} \rightarrow H^{1}$ to be the convolution operator associated to $F_{N}$ that maps $f \in H^{1}$ to $K_{N} f=F_{N} * f \in H^{1}$ and $R_{N}=I-K_{N}$. Then $\left\|K_{N}\right\| \leq 1, K_{N}$ is compact and for every $f \in H^{1},\left\|f-K_{N} f\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0$ following Fejér's theorem. If $f(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{f}(n) z^{n} \in H^{1}$, then

$$
K_{N} f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(1-\frac{n}{N}\right) \hat{f}(n) z^{n} .
$$

Lemma 2.7. Suppose $u C_{\varphi} \in B\left(H^{1}, H^{q}\right)$. Then

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \leq \liminf _{N}\left\|u C_{\varphi} R_{N}\right\| .
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} & =\left\|u C_{\varphi} K_{N}+u C_{\varphi} R_{N}\right\|_{e} & \\
& =\left\|u C_{\varphi} R_{N}\right\|_{e} & \text { since } K_{N} \text { is compact } \\
& \leq\left\|u C_{\varphi} R_{N}\right\| &
\end{array}
$$

and the result follows taking the lower limit.
We will need the following lemma for an estimation of the remainder $R_{N}$ :
Lemma 2.8. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $0<r<1$. Then $\exists N_{0}=N_{0}(r) \in \mathbb{N}, \forall N \geq N_{0}$,

$$
\left|R_{N} f(w)\right|^{q}<\varepsilon\|f\|_{1}^{q},
$$

for every $|w|<r$ and for every $f$ in $H^{1}$.
Proof. Let $K_{w}(z)=1 /(1-\bar{w} z), w \in \mathbb{D}, z \in \mathbb{D} . K_{w}$ is a bounded analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$. It is easy to see that for every $f \in H^{1}$,

$$
\left\langle R_{N} f, K_{w}\right\rangle=\left\langle f, R_{N} K_{w}\right\rangle
$$

where $|w|<r, N \geq 1$ and

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \overline{g\left(e^{i \theta}\right)} \mathrm{d} \theta
$$

for $f \in H^{1}$ and $g \in H^{\infty}$. Then we have $\left|R_{N} f(w)\right|=\left|\left\langle R_{N} f, K_{w}\right\rangle\right|=\left|\left\langle f, R_{N} K_{w}\right\rangle\right| \leq$ $\|f\|_{1}\left\|R_{N} K_{w}\right\|_{\infty}$. Take $|w|<r$ and choose $N_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $N \geq N_{0}$ one has $r^{N} \leq \varepsilon^{1 / q}(1-r) / 2$ and $1 / N \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n r^{n} \leq(1 / 2) \varepsilon^{1 / q}$. Since

$$
R_{N} K_{w}(z)=R_{N}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{w}^{n} z^{n}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{n}{N} \bar{w}^{n} z^{n}+\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \bar{w}^{n} z^{n}
$$

one has

$$
\left\|R_{N} K_{w}\right\|_{\infty}<\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} n r^{n}+\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} r^{n} \leq \varepsilon^{1 / q}
$$

Thus $\left|R_{N} f(w)\right|^{q} \leq \varepsilon\|f\|_{1}^{q}$ for every $f$ in $H^{1}$.

Proof of Proposition 2.4. Denote by $\mu$ the measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to $m$ and whose density is $|u|^{q}$, and let $\mu_{\varphi}=\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$ be the pullback measure of $\mu$ by $\varphi$. Fix $0<r<1$. For every $f \in H^{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left(u C_{\varphi} R_{N}\right) f\right\|_{q}^{q} & =\int_{\mathbb{T}}|u(\zeta)|^{q}\left|\left(\left(R_{N} f\right) \circ \varphi\right)(\zeta)\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|\left(\left(R_{N} f\right) \circ \varphi\right)(\zeta)\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu(\zeta) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|R_{N} f(w)\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi}(w) \\
& =\int_{\overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash r \mathbb{D}}\left|R_{N} f(w)\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi}(w)+\int_{r \mathbb{D}}\left|R_{N} f(w)\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi}(w) \\
& =I_{1}(N, r, f)+I_{2}(N, r, f) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us first show that $\lim _{N} \sup _{\|f\|_{1}=1} I_{2}(N, r, f)=0$. For $\varepsilon>0$, Lemma 2.8 gives us an integer $N_{0}(r)$ such that for every $N \geq N_{0}(r)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(N, r, f) & =\int_{r \mathbb{D}}\left|R_{N} f(w)\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi}(w) \\
& \leq \varepsilon\|f\|_{1}^{q} \mu_{\varphi}(r \mathbb{D}) \\
& \leq \varepsilon\|f\|_{1}^{q} \mu_{\varphi}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \\
& \leq \varepsilon\|f\|_{1}^{q}\|u\|_{q}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $r$ being fixed, we have $\lim _{N} \sup _{\|f\|_{1}=1} I_{2}(N, r, f)=0$.
Now we need an estimate of $I_{1}(N, r, f)$. The continuity of $u C_{\varphi}: H^{1} \rightarrow H^{q}$ ensures that $\mu_{\varphi}$ is a $(1, q)$-Carleson measure, and therefore $\mu_{\varphi, r}:=\mu_{\varphi_{\mid \overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash r \mathbb{D}}}$ is also a $(1, q)$-Carleson measure by using Lemma 2.6 for $p=1$. We deduce the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash r \mathbb{D}}\left|R_{N} f(w)\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi, r}(w) & \leq \beta\left\|\mu_{\varphi, r}\right\|\left\|R_{N} f\right\|_{1}^{q} \\
& \leq 2^{q} \beta M N_{r}^{*}\|f\|_{1}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

using Lemma 2.6 and the fact that $\left\|R_{N}\right\| \leq 1+\left\|K_{N}\right\| \leq 2$ for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We take the supremum over $B_{H^{1}}$ and take the lower limit as $N$ tend to infinity in (2.3) to obtain

$$
\liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u C_{\varphi} R_{N}\right\|^{q} \leq 2^{q} \beta M N_{r}^{*}
$$

Now as $r$ going to 1 we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} N_{r}^{*} & =\limsup _{|a| \rightarrow 1^{-}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|k_{a}(w)\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi}(w) \\
& =\limsup _{|a| \rightarrow 1^{-}} \int_{\mathbb{T}}|u(\zeta)|^{q}\left(\frac{1-|a|^{2}}{|1-\bar{a} \varphi(\zeta)|^{2}}\right)^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)
\end{aligned}
$$

and we obtain the estimate announced using Lemma2.7.
Now let's turn to the lower estimate in Theorem 2.2. Let $1 \leq q<\infty$. Consider $F_{N}$ the Fejér kernel of order $N$, and define $K_{N}: H^{q} \rightarrow H^{q}$ the convolution operator associated to $F_{N}$ and $R_{N}=I-K_{N}$. Then $\left(K_{N}\right)_{N}$ is a sequence of uniformly bounded compact operators in $B\left(H^{q}\right)$, and $\left\|R_{N} f\right\|_{q} \rightarrow 0$ for all $f \in H^{q}$.

Lemma 2.9. There exists $0<C \leq 2$ such that whenever $u C_{\varphi}$ is a bounded operator from $H^{1}$ to $H^{q}$, one has

$$
\frac{1}{C} \limsup _{N}\left\|R_{N} u C_{\varphi}\right\| \leq\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e}
$$

Proof. Take $K \in B\left(H^{1}, H^{q}\right)$ a compact operator. Since $\left(K_{N}\right)$ is uniformly bounded, one can find $C>0$ satisfying $\left\|R_{N}\right\| \leq 1+\left\|K_{N}\right\| \leq C$ for all $N>0$, and we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u C_{\varphi}+K\right\| & \geq \frac{1}{C}\left\|R_{N}\left(u C_{\varphi}+K\right)\right\| \\
& \geq \frac{1}{C}\left\|R_{N} u C_{\varphi}\right\|-\frac{1}{C}\left\|R_{N} K\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Now use the fact that $\left(R_{N}\right)$ goes pointwise to zero in $H^{q}$, and consequently $\left(R_{N}\right)$ converges strongly to zero over the compact set $\overline{K\left(B_{H^{1}}\right)}$ as $N$ goes to infinity. It follows that $\left\|R_{N} K\right\| \underset{N}{\longrightarrow} 0$, and

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}+K\right\| \geq \frac{1}{C} \limsup _{N}\left\|R_{N} u C_{\varphi}\right\|
$$

for every compact operator $K: H^{1} \rightarrow H^{q}$.
Proposition 2.10. Let $u C_{\varphi} \in B\left(H^{1}, H^{q}\right)$ with $1 \leq q<\infty$. Then

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \geq \frac{1}{C} \limsup _{|a| \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}}|u(\zeta)|^{q}\left(\frac{1-|a|^{2}}{|1-\bar{a} \varphi(\zeta)|^{2}}\right)^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

Proof. Since $k_{a}$ is a unit vector in $H^{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{N} u C_{\varphi}\right\|=\left\|u C_{\varphi}-K_{N} u C_{\varphi}\right\| \geq\left\|u C_{\varphi} k_{a}\right\|_{q}-\left\|K_{N} u C_{\varphi} k_{a}\right\|_{q} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

First case: $q>1$
$\left(k_{a}\right)$ converges to zero for the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets in $\mathbb{D}$ as $|a|$ goes to 1 , so does $u C_{\varphi}\left(k_{a}\right)$. The topology of uniform convergence on compact sets in $\mathbb{D}$ and the weak topology agree on $H^{q}$, therefore it follows that $u C_{\varphi}\left(k_{a}\right)$ goes to zero for the weak topology in $H^{q}$ as $|a|$ goes to 1 . Since $K_{N}$ is a compact operator, it is completely continuous and carries weak-null sequences to norm-null sequences. So $\left\|K_{N}\left(u C_{\varphi}\left(k_{a}\right)\right)\right\|_{q} \rightarrow 0$ when $|a| \rightarrow 1$, and

$$
\left\|R_{N} u C_{\varphi}\right\| \geq \limsup _{|a| \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left\|u C_{\varphi}\left(k_{a}\right)\right\|_{q}
$$

Taking the upper limit when $N \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the result using Lemma 2.9.
For the second case we will need the following computation lemma:
Lemma 2.11. Take $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. Denote by $\alpha_{p}(a)$ the $p$-th Fourier coefficient of $C_{\varphi}\left(k_{a} /\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)\right)$, so that for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$ we have

$$
k_{a}(\varphi(z))=\left(1-|a|^{2}\right) \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{p}(a) z^{p}
$$

Then there exists a constant $M=M(N)>0$ depending on $N$ such that $\left|\alpha_{p}(a)\right| \leq M$ for every $p \leq N$ and every $a \in \mathbb{D}$.

Proof of Lemma 2.11. Write $\varphi(z)=a_{0}+\psi(z)$ with $a_{0}=\varphi(0) \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\psi(0)=0$. If we develop $k_{a}(z)$ as a Taylor series and replace $z$ by $\varphi(z)$ we obtain:

$$
k_{a}(\varphi(z))=\left(1-|a|^{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1)(\bar{a})^{n} \varphi(z)^{n}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{p}(a) & =\left\langle\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1)(\bar{a})^{n} \varphi(z)^{n}, z^{p}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1)(\bar{a})^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} a_{0}^{n-j}\left\langle\psi(z)^{j}, z^{p}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{T}} f \bar{g} \mathrm{~d} m$. Note that $\left\langle\psi(z)^{j}, z^{p}\right\rangle=0$ if $j>p$ since $\psi(0)=0$, and consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{p}(a) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1)(\bar{a})^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\min (n, p)}\binom{n}{j} a_{0}^{n-j}\left\langle\psi(z)^{j}, z^{p}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{p} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty}(n+1)(\bar{a})^{n}\binom{n}{j} a_{0}^{n-j}\left\langle\psi(z)^{j}, z^{p}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{p}\left\langle\psi(z)^{j}, z^{p}\right\rangle \sum_{n=j}^{\infty}(n+1)(\bar{a})^{n}\binom{n}{j} a_{0}^{n-j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case where $a_{0} \neq 0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{p}(a) & =\sum_{j=0}^{p}\left\langle\psi(z)^{j}, z^{p}\right\rangle a_{0}^{-j} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty}(n+1)\binom{n}{j}\left(\bar{a} a_{0}\right)^{n} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{p}\left\langle\psi(z)^{j}, z^{p}\right\rangle a_{0}^{-j} \frac{(j+1)\left(\bar{a} a_{0}\right)^{j}}{\left(1-\bar{a} a_{0}\right)^{j+2}} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{p}\left\langle\psi(z)^{j}, z^{p}\right\rangle \frac{(j+1)(\bar{a})^{j}}{\left(1-\bar{a} a_{0}\right)^{j+2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

using the following equalities for $x=\bar{a} a_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$ :

$$
\sum_{n=j}^{\infty}(n+1)\binom{n}{j} x^{n}=\left(\sum_{n=j}^{\infty}\binom{n}{j} x^{n+1}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{x^{j+1}}{(1-x)^{j+1}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{(j+1) x^{j}}{(1-x)^{j+2}}
$$

Note that the last expression obtained for $\alpha_{p}(a)$ is also valid for $a_{0}=0$. Thus, for $0 \leq p \leq N$ we have the following estimates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\alpha_{p}(a)\right| & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{p}\left|\left\langle\psi(z)^{j}, z^{p}\right\rangle\right| \frac{j+1}{\left(1-\left|a_{0}\right|\right)^{j+2}} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^{p}\left\|\psi^{j}\right\|_{\infty} \frac{N+1}{\left(1-\left|a_{0}\right|\right)^{N+2}} \\
& \leq \frac{(N+1)^{2}}{\left(1-\left|a_{0}\right|\right)^{N+2}} \max _{0 \leq j \leq N}\left\|\psi^{j}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq M,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M$ is a constant independent from $a$.
Second case: $q=1$
In this case, it is no longer for the weak topology but for the weak-star topology of $H^{1}$ that
$u C_{\varphi}\left(k_{a}\right)$ tends to zero when $|a| \rightarrow 1$. Nevertheless, it is still true that $\left\|K_{N} u C_{\varphi}\left(k_{a}\right)\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0$ as $|a| \rightarrow 1$. Indeed if $f(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{f}(n) z^{n} \in H^{1}$, then

$$
K_{N} f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(1-\frac{n}{N}\right) \hat{f}(n) z^{n}
$$

We have the following development:

$$
k_{a}(\varphi(z))=\left(1-|a|^{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}(a) z^{n}
$$

Denote by $u_{n}$ the $n$-th Fourier coefficient of $u$, so that

$$
u C_{\varphi}\left(k_{a}\right)(z)=\left(1-|a|^{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{p=0}^{n} \alpha_{p}(a) u_{n-p}\right) z^{n}, \forall z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

It follows that

$$
\left\|K_{N} u C_{\varphi}\left(k_{a}\right)\right\|_{1} \leq\left(1-|a|^{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(1-\frac{n}{N}\right)\left|\sum_{p=0}^{n} \alpha_{p}(a) u_{n-p}\right|\left\|z^{n}\right\|_{1}
$$

Now using estimates from Lemma 2.11, one can find a constant $M>0$ independent from $a$ such that $\left|\alpha_{p}(a)\right| \leq M$ for every $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $0 \leq p \leq N-1$. Use the fact that $\left\|z^{n}\right\|_{1}=1$ and $\left|u_{p}\right| \leq\|u\|_{1}$ to deduce that there is a constant $M^{\prime}>0$ independent from $a$ such that

$$
\left\|K_{N} u C_{\varphi}\left(k_{a}\right)\right\|_{1} \leq M^{\prime}\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)\|u\|_{1}
$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus $K_{N} u C_{\varphi}\left(k_{a}\right)$ converges to zero in $H^{1}$ when $|a| \rightarrow 1$, and take the upper limit in 2.4 when $a$ tends to $1^{-}$to obtain

$$
\left\|R_{N} u C_{\varphi}\right\| \geq \limsup _{|a| \rightarrow 1}\left\|u C_{\varphi}\left(k_{a}\right)\right\|_{1}, \quad \forall N \geq 0
$$

We conclude with Lemma 2.9 and observe that $C=\sup \left\|R_{N}\right\| \leq 2$ since $\left\|R_{N}\right\| \leq 1+\left\|K_{N}\right\| \leq 2$.

## $3 u C_{\varphi} \in B\left(H^{p}, H^{\infty}\right)$ for $1 \leq p<\infty$

Let $u$ be a bounded analytic function. Characterizations of boundedness and compactness of $u C_{\varphi}$ as a linear map between $H^{p}$ and $H^{\infty}$ have been studied in [3] for $p \geq 1$. Indeed,

$$
u C_{\varphi} \in B\left(H^{p}, H^{\infty}\right) \text { if and only if } \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{|u(z)|^{p}}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}<\infty
$$

and

$$
u C_{\varphi} \text { is compact if and only if }\|\varphi\|_{\infty}<1 \text { or } \lim _{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{|u(z)|^{p}}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}=0
$$

In the case where $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}=1$ we will note

$$
M_{\varphi}(u)=\limsup _{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{|u(z)|}{\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}
$$

As regarding Theorem 1.7 in [12], it seems reasonable to think that the essential norm of $u C_{\varphi}$ is equivalent to the quantity $M_{\varphi}(u)$. We first have a majorization:

Proposition 3.1. Suppose that $u C_{\varphi}$ is a bounded operator from $H^{p}$ to $H^{\infty}$ and that $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}=1$. Then

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \leq 2 M_{\varphi}(u)
$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon$ be a real positive number, and pick $r<1$ satisfying

$$
\sup _{|\varphi(z)| \geq r} \frac{|u(z)|}{\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \leq M_{\varphi}(u)+\varepsilon
$$

We approximate $u C_{\varphi}$ by $u C_{\varphi} K_{N}$ where $K_{N}: H^{p} \rightarrow H^{p}$ is the convolution operator by the Fejér kernel of order $N$, where $N$ is chosen so that $\left|R_{N} f(w)\right|<\varepsilon\|f\|_{1}$ for every $f \in H^{1}$ and every $|w|<r($ Lemma 2.8 for $q=1)$. We want to show that $\left\|u C_{\varphi}-u C_{\varphi} K_{N}\right\|=\left\|u C_{\varphi} R_{N}\right\| \leq$ $\max \left(2 M_{\varphi}(u)+2 \varepsilon, \varepsilon\|u\|_{\infty}\right)$, which will prove our assertion. If $f$ is a unit vector in $H^{p}$, then

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi} R_{N}(f)\right\|_{\infty}=\max \left(\sup _{|\varphi(z)| \geq r}\left|u(z)\left(R_{N} f\right) \circ \varphi(z)\right|, \sup _{|\varphi(z)|<r}\left|u(z)\left(R_{N} f\right) \circ \varphi(z)\right|\right)
$$

We want to estimate the first term. If $\omega \in \mathbb{D}$, we note $\delta_{\omega}$ the linear functional on $H^{p}$ defined by $\delta_{\omega}(f)=f(\omega)$. Then $\delta_{\omega} \in\left(H^{p}\right)^{*}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{|\varphi(z)| \geq r}\left|u(z)\left(R_{N} f\right) \circ \varphi(z)\right| & \leq \sup _{|\varphi(z)| \geq r}|u(z)|\left\|\delta_{\varphi(z)}\right\|_{\left(H^{p}\right)^{*}}\left\|R_{N} f\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq 2 \sup _{|\varphi(z)| \geq r} \frac{|u(z)|}{\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \\
& \leq 2\left(M_{\varphi}(u)+\varepsilon\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use the fact that $\left\|R_{N} f\right\|_{p} \leq 2$ and $\left\|\delta_{w}\right\|_{\left(H^{p}\right)^{*}}=1 /\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{1 / p}$ for every $w \in \mathbb{D}$.
For the second term, since $|\varphi(z)|<r$ we have

$$
\left|u(z) R_{N} f(\varphi(z))\right| \leq\|u\|_{\infty}\left|R_{N} f(\varphi(z))\right| \leq \varepsilon\|u\|_{\infty}\|f\|_{1} \leq \varepsilon\|u\|_{\infty}
$$

which ends the proof.
On the other hand, we have the lower estimate:
Proposition 3.2. Suppose that $u C_{\varphi}$ is a bounded operator from $H^{p}$ to $H^{\infty}$ and that $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}=1$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{2} M_{\varphi}(u) \leq\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e}
$$

Proof. Assume that $u C_{\varphi}$ is not compact, implying $M_{\varphi}(u)>0$. Let $\left(z_{n}\right)$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying

$$
\lim _{n}\left|\varphi\left(z_{n}\right)\right|=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n} \frac{\left|u\left(z_{n}\right)\right|}{\left(1-\left|\varphi\left(z_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}=M_{\varphi}(u)
$$

Consider the sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)$ defined by

$$
f_{n}(z)=k_{\varphi\left(z_{n}\right)}(z)^{1 / p}=\frac{\left(1-\left|\varphi\left(z_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\left(1-\overline{\varphi\left(z_{n}\right)} z\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}}
$$

Each $f_{n}$ is a unit vector of $H^{p}$. Let $K: H^{p} \rightarrow H^{\infty}$ be a compact operator.
First case: $p>1$
Since the sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)$ converges to zero for the weak topology of $H^{p}$ and $K$ is completely continuous, the sequence $\left(K f_{n}\right)$ converges to zero for the norm topology in $H^{\infty}$. Use that
$\left\|u C_{\varphi}+K\right\| \geq\left\|u C_{\varphi}\left(f_{n}\right)\right\|_{\infty}-\left\|K f_{n}\right\|_{\infty}$ and take the upper limit when $n$ tends to infinity to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u C_{\varphi}+K\right\| & \geq \limsup _{n}\left\|u C_{\varphi}\left(f_{n}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \geq \limsup _{n}\left|u\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\left|f_{n}\left(\varphi\left(z_{n}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \geq \limsup _{n} \frac{\left|u\left(z_{n}\right)\right|}{\left(1-\left|\varphi\left(z_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \\
& \geq M_{\varphi}(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

Second case: $p=1$
Let $\varepsilon>0$. Since the sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is no longer weakly convergent to zero in $H^{1}$, we cannot assert that $\left(K f_{n}\right)_{n}$ goes to zero in $H^{\infty}$. Nevertheless, passing to subsequences, one can assume that $\left(K f_{n_{k}}\right)_{k}$ converges in $H^{\infty}$, and hence is a Cauchy sequence. So we can find an integer $N>0$ such that for every $k$ and $m$ greater than $N$ we have $\left\|K f_{n_{k}}-K f_{n_{m}}\right\|<\varepsilon$. We deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u C_{\varphi}+K\right\| & \geq\left\|\left(u C_{\varphi}+K\right)\left(\frac{f_{n_{k}}-f_{n_{m}}}{2}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u C_{\varphi}\left(f_{n_{k}}-f_{n_{m}}\right)\right\|_{\infty}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|u\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)\right|\left|f_{n_{k}}\left(\varphi\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)\right)-f_{n_{m}}\left(\varphi\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)\right)\right|-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
& \geq \frac{\left|u\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)\right|}{2\left(1-\left|\varphi\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)\right|^{2}\right)}-\frac{\left|u\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)\right|\left(1-\left|\varphi\left(z_{n_{m}}\right)\right|^{2}\right)}{2\left|1-\overline{\varphi\left(z_{n_{m}}\right)} \varphi\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)\right|^{2}}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now take the upper limit as $m$ goes to infinity ( $k$ being fixed) and remind that $\lim _{m}\left|\varphi\left(z_{n_{m}}\right)\right|=1$ and $\left|\varphi\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)\right|<1$ to obtain

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}+K\right\| \geq \frac{\left|u\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)\right|}{2\left(1-\left|\varphi\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)\right|^{2}\right)}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

for every $k \geq N$. It remains to make $k$ tend to infinity to have

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}+K\right\| \geq \frac{1}{2} M_{\varphi}(u)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

Combining Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 we obtain the following estimate:
Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $u C_{\varphi}$ is a bounded operator from $H^{p}$ to $H^{\infty}$ and that $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}=1$. Then $\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \approx M_{\varphi}(u)$. More precisely, we have the following inequalities:

$$
\frac{1}{2} M_{\varphi}(u) \leq\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \leq 2 M_{\varphi}(u)
$$

Note that if $p>1$ one can replace the constant $1 / 2$ by 1 .

## $4 u C_{\varphi} \in B\left(H^{\infty}, H^{q}\right)$ for $\infty>q \geq 1$

In this setting, boundedness of the weighted composition operator $u C_{\varphi}$ is equivalent to saying that $u$ belongs to $H^{q}$, and $u C_{\varphi}$ is compact if and only if $u=0$ or $\left|E_{\varphi}\right|=0$ where $E_{\varphi}=\{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \mid$ $\left.\varphi^{*}(\zeta) \in \mathbb{T}\right\}$ is the extremal set of $\varphi$ (see [3]). We give here some estimates of the essential norm of $u C_{\varphi}$ that appear in [9] for the special case of composition operators:

Theorem 4.1. Let $u \in H^{q}$. Then $\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \approx\left(\int_{E_{\varphi}}|u(\zeta)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$. More precisely,

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{E_{\varphi}}|u(\zeta)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \leq 2\left(\int_{E_{\varphi}}|u(\zeta)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

We start with the upper estimate:
Proposition 4.2. Let $u \in H^{q}$. Then

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \leq 2\left(\int_{E_{\varphi}}|u(\zeta)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

Proof. Take $0<r<1$. Since $\|r \varphi\|_{\infty} \leq r<1$, the set $E_{r \varphi}$ is empty and therefore the operator $u C_{r \varphi}$ is compact. Thus $\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \leq\left\|u C_{\varphi}-u C_{r \varphi}\right\|$. But

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u C_{\varphi}-u C_{r \varphi}\right\|^{q}=\sup _{\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}}|u(\zeta)|^{q}|f(\varphi(\zeta))-f(r \varphi(\zeta))|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\varepsilon>0$, note $E_{\varepsilon}=\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}| | \varphi^{*}(\zeta) \mid<1-\varepsilon\right\}$. We assume that $u C_{\varphi}$ is not compact, hence $E_{\varepsilon} \neq \emptyset$. We will use the pseudohyperbolic distance $\rho$ defined for $z$ and $w$ in the unit disk by $\rho(z, w)=|z-w| /|1-\bar{w} z|$. The Pick-Schwarz's theorem ensures that $\rho(f(z), f(w)) \leq \rho(z, w)$ for every function $f \in B_{H^{\infty}}$. As a consequence the inequality $|f(z)-f(w)| \leq 2 \rho(z, w)$ holds for every $w$ and $z$ in $\mathbb{D}$.
If $\zeta$ is an element of $E_{\varepsilon}$ then

$$
\rho(\varphi(\zeta), r \varphi(\zeta))=\frac{(1-r)|\varphi(\zeta)|}{1-r|\varphi(\zeta)|^{2}} \leq \frac{1-r}{1-r(1-\varepsilon)^{2}} .
$$

One can choose $0<r<1$ satisfying $\sup _{E_{\varepsilon}} \rho(\varphi(\zeta), r \varphi(\zeta))<\varepsilon / 2$, and therefore

$$
|f(\varphi(\zeta))-f(r \varphi(\zeta))| \leq 2 \sup _{E_{\varepsilon}} \rho(\varphi(\zeta), r \varphi(\zeta)) \leq \varepsilon
$$

for all $\zeta \in E_{\varepsilon}$ and for every function $f$ in the closed unit ball of $H^{\infty}$. It follows from these estimates and (4.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u C_{\varphi}-u C_{r \varphi}\right\|^{q} & \leq \sup _{\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1}\left(\int_{E_{\varepsilon}}|u(\zeta)|^{q} \varepsilon^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)+\int_{\mathbb{T} \backslash E_{\varepsilon}} 2^{q}|u(\zeta)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right) \\
& \leq \varepsilon^{q}\|u\|_{q}^{q}+2^{q} \int_{\mathbb{T} \backslash E_{\varepsilon}}|u(\zeta)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Make $\varepsilon$ tend to zero to deduce the upper estimate.
Let's turn to the lower estimate:
Proposition 4.3. Suppose that $u \in H^{q}$. Then

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{E_{\varphi}}|u(\zeta)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

Proof. Take a compact operator $K \in B\left(H^{\infty}, H^{q}\right)$. Since the sequence $\left(z^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H^{\infty}$, there exists an increasing sequence of integers $\left(n_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ such that $\left(K\left(z^{n_{k}}\right)\right)_{k \geq 0}$ converges in $H^{q}$. For any $\varepsilon>0$ one can find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $k, m \geq N$ we have $\left\|K z^{n_{k}}-K z^{n_{m}}\right\|_{q}<\varepsilon$. If $0<r<1$, we note $g_{r}(z)=g(r z)$ for a function $g$ defined on $\mathbb{D}$. Take $k \geq N$. Then there exists $0<r<1$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(u \varphi^{n_{k}}\right)_{r}\right\|_{q} \geq\left\|u \varphi^{n_{k}}\right\|_{q}-\varepsilon
$$

For all $m \geq N$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u C_{\varphi}+K\right\| & \geq\left\|\left(u C_{\varphi}+K\right)\left(\frac{z^{n_{k}}-z^{n_{m}}}{2}\right)\right\|_{q} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u\left(\varphi^{n_{k}}-\varphi^{n_{m}}\right)\right\|_{q}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(u \varphi^{n_{k}}\right)_{r}-\left(u \varphi^{n_{m}}\right)_{r}\right\|_{q}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|\left(u \varphi^{n_{k}}\right)_{r}\right\|_{q}-\left\|\left(u \varphi^{n_{m}}\right)_{r}\right\|_{q}\right)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u \varphi^{n_{k}}\right\|_{q}-\left\|\left(u \varphi^{n_{m}}\right)_{r}\right\|_{q}\right)-\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us make $m$ tend to infinity, keeping in mind that $0<r<1$ and $\left\|\varphi_{r}\right\|_{\infty}<1$ :

$$
\left\|\left(u \varphi^{n_{m}}\right)_{r}\right\|_{q} \leq\|u\|_{q}\left\|\left(\varphi_{r}\right)^{n_{m}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\|u\|_{q}\left\|\varphi_{r}\right\|_{\infty}^{n_{m}} \underset{m}{\longrightarrow} 0 .
$$

Thus $\left\|u C_{\varphi}+K\right\| \geq(1 / 2)\left\|u \varphi^{n_{k}}\right\|_{q}-\varepsilon$ for all $k \geq N$. We conclude noticing that

$$
\left\|u \varphi^{n_{k}}\right\|_{q}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|u(\zeta) \varphi(\zeta)^{n_{k}}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \underset{k}{\longrightarrow}\left(\int_{E_{\varphi}}|u(\zeta)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

## $5 u C_{\varphi} \in B\left(H^{p}, H^{q}\right)$ for $\infty>p>q \geq 1$

In [9], the authors give an estimate of the essential norm of a composition operator between $H^{p}$ and $H^{q}$ for $1<q<p<\infty$. The proof makes use of the Riesz projection from $L^{q}$ onto $H^{q}$, which is a bounded operator for $1<q<\infty$. Since it is not bounded from $L^{1}$ to $H^{1}$ ( $H^{1}$ is not even complemented in $L^{1}$ ) there is no mean to use a similar argument. So we need a different approach to get some estimates for $q=1$. A solution is to make use of Carleson measures. First, we give a characterization of the boundedness of $u C_{\varphi}$ in terms of a Carleson measure. In the case where $p>q$, Carleson measures on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ are characterized in [1]. Denote by $\Gamma(\zeta)$ the Stolz domain generated by $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, i.e. the interior of the convex hull of the set $\{\zeta\} \cup(\alpha \mathbb{D})$, where $0<\alpha<1$ is arbitrary but fixed.

Theorem 5.1 ( [1], Theorem 2.2). Let $\mu$ be a measure on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}, 1 \leq q<p<\infty$ and $s=p /(p-q)$. Then $\mu$ is a $(p, q)$-Carleson measure on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ if and only if $\zeta \mapsto \int_{\Gamma(\zeta)} \frac{\mathrm{d} \mu(z)}{1-|z|^{2}}$ belongs to $L^{s}(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mu_{\mathbb{T}}=F \mathrm{~d} m$ for a function $F \in L^{s}(\mathbb{T})$.

This leads to a characterization of the continuity of a weighted composition operator between $H^{p}$ and $H^{q}$ :

Corollary 5.2. $u C_{\varphi}: H^{p} \rightarrow H^{q}$ is bounded if and only if $G: \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \mapsto G(\zeta)=\int_{\Gamma(\zeta)} \frac{\mathrm{d} \mu_{\varphi}(z)}{1-|z|^{2}}$ belongs to $L^{s}(\mathbb{T})$ for $s=p /(p-q)$ and $\mu_{\varphi_{\mathbb{T}}}=F \mathrm{~d} m$ for a certain $F \in L^{s}(\mathbb{T})$, where $\mathrm{d} \mu=|u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m$ and $\mu_{\varphi}=\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is the pullback measure of $\mu$ by $\varphi$.

Proof. $u C_{\varphi}$ is a bounded operator if and only if there exists $c>0$ such that for any $f \in H^{p}, \int_{\mathbb{T}}|u(\zeta)|^{q}|f \circ \varphi(\zeta)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta) \leq c\|f\|_{p}^{q}$, which is equivalent (via a change of variables) to $\int_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}}|f(z)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi}(z) \leq c\|f\|_{p}^{q}$ for every $f \in H^{p}$. This exactly means that $\mu_{\varphi}$ is a $(p, q)$-Carleson measure. This is equivalent by Theorem 5.1 to the condition announced.

If $f \in H^{p}$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal non tangential function $M f$ is defined by $M f(\zeta)=$ $\sup _{z \in \Gamma(\zeta)}|f(z)|$ for $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. For $1<p<\infty, M$ is a bounded operator from $H^{p}$ to $L^{p}$ and we will denote its norm by $\|M\|_{p}$. The following lemma is the analogue version of Lemma 2.6 for the case $p>q$.

Lemma 5.3. Let $\mu$ be a positive Borel measure on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Assume that $\mu$ is a $(p, q)$-Carleson measure for $1 \leq q<p \infty$. Let $0<r<1$ and $\mu_{r}:=\mu_{\mid \overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash r \mathbb{D}}$. Then $\mu_{r}$ is a $(p, q)$-Carleson measure, and there exists a positive constant $C$ such that for every $f \in H^{p}$,

$$
\int_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}}|f(z)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{r}(z) \leq\left(\|F\|_{s}+C\|M\|_{p}^{q}\left\|G_{r}\right\|_{s}\right)\|f\|_{p}^{q}
$$

where $\mathrm{d} \mu_{\mathbb{T}}=F \mathrm{~d} m$ and $G_{r}(\zeta)=\int_{\Gamma(\zeta)} \frac{\mathrm{d} \mu_{r}(z)}{1-|z|^{2}}$. In addition, $\left\|G_{r}\right\|_{s} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 1$.
Proof. Being a $(p, q)$-Carleson measure only depends on the ratio $p / q$ (see [1], Lemme 2.1), so we have to show that $\mu_{r}$ is a $(p / q, 1)$-Carleson measure.
It is clear that $G_{r} \leq G \in L^{s}(\mathbb{T})$. Moreover $\mathrm{d} \mu_{\left.\right|_{\mathbb{T}}}=\mathrm{d} \mu_{\mathbb{T}}=F \mathrm{~d} m \in L^{s}(\mathbb{T})$. Corollary 5.2 ensures the fact that $\mu_{r}$ is a $(p, q)$-Carleson measure.
Let $f$ be in $H^{p}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{T}}|f(\zeta)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{r}(\zeta) & =\int_{\mathbb{T}}|f(\zeta)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu(\zeta)=\int_{\mathbb{T}}|f(\zeta)|^{q} F(\zeta) \mathrm{d} m(\zeta) \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}}|f(\zeta)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\|F\|_{s} \\
& \leq\|f\|_{p}^{q}\|F\|_{s} \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

using Hölder's inequality with conjugate exponents $p / q$ and $s$.
For $z \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{D}$, note $\tilde{I}(z)=\{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \mid z \in \Gamma(\zeta)\}$. In other words $\zeta \in \tilde{I}(z) \Leftrightarrow z \in \Gamma(\zeta)$. Then $m(\tilde{I}(z)) \approx 1-|z|$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{r}(z) & \approx \int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{q}\left(\int_{\tilde{I}(z)} \mathrm{d} m(\zeta)\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} \mu_{r}(z)}{1-|z|^{2}} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\Gamma(\zeta)}|f(z)|^{q} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \mu_{r}(z)}{1-|z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} M f(\zeta)^{q} \int_{\Gamma(\zeta)} \frac{\mathrm{d} \mu_{r}(z)}{1-|z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M f(\zeta)=\sup _{z \in \Gamma(\zeta)}|f(z)|$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal non tangential function. We apply Hölder's inequality to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{r}(z) \leq C\|M f\|_{p}^{q}\left\|G_{r}\right\|_{s} \leq C\|M\|_{p}^{q}\left\|G_{r}\right\|_{s}\|f\|_{p}^{q} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant. Combining (5.1) and (5.2) it follows that

$$
\int_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}}|f(z)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{r}(z) \leq\left(\|F\|_{s}+C\|M\|_{p}^{q}\left\|G_{r}\right\|_{s}\right)\|f\|_{p}^{q}
$$

It remains to show that $G_{r}$ tends to zero in $L^{s}(\mathbb{T})$ when $r$ tends to 1 . We will make use of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. Clearly we have $0 \leq G_{r} \leq G \in L^{s}(\mathbb{T})$, so we need to show that $G_{r}(\zeta) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 1$ for $m$-almost every $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. Let $A=\{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \mid G(\zeta)<\infty\}$.

It is a set of full measure $(m(A)=1)$ since $G \in L^{s}(\mathbb{T})$. Write $G_{r}(\zeta)=\int_{\Gamma(\zeta)} f_{r}(z) \mathrm{d} \mu(z)$ with $f_{r}(z)=\mathbb{1}_{\overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash r \mathbb{D}}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{-1}, z \in \Gamma(\zeta)$. For every $\zeta \in A$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f_{r}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}} \in L^{1}(\Gamma(\zeta), \mu) \text { since } \zeta \in A, \\
& f_{r}(z) \underset{r \rightarrow 1}{\longrightarrow} 0 \text { for all } z \in \Gamma(\zeta) \subset \mathbb{D} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem in $L^{1}(\Gamma(\zeta), \mu)$ ensures that $G_{r}(\zeta)=\left\|f_{r}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Gamma(\zeta), \mu)}$ tends to zero as $r$ tends to 1 for $m$-almost every $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, which ends the proof.

Theorem 5.4. Let $u C_{\varphi}$ be a bounded operator from $H^{p}$ to $H^{q}$, with $\infty>p>q \geq 1$. Then

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \leq 2\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{p / q}^{1 / q}\left(\int_{E_{\varphi}}|u(\zeta)|^{\frac{p q}{p-q}} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p q}}
$$

where $\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{p / q}$ denotes the norm of $C_{\varphi}$ acting on $H^{p / q}$.
Proof. We follow the same lines as in the proof of the upper estimate in Proposition 2.4: we have the decomposition $I=K_{N}+R_{N}$ in $B\left(H^{p}\right)$, where $K_{N}$ is the convolution operator by the Fejér kernel, and

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \leq \liminf _{N}\left\|u C_{\varphi} R_{N}\right\| .
$$

We also have, for every $0<r<1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(u C_{\varphi} R_{N}\right) f\right\|_{q}^{q} & =\int_{\overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash r \mathbb{D}}\left|R_{N} f(w)\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi}(w)+\int_{r \mathbb{D}}\left|R_{N} f(w)\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi}(w) \\
& =I_{1}(N, r, f)+I_{2}(N, r, f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the $p \leq q$ case, we show that

$$
\lim _{N} \sup _{\|f\|_{p} \leq 1} I_{2}(N, r, f)=0
$$

The measure $\mu_{\varphi}$ being a $(p, q)$-Carleson measure, we use Lemma 5.3 to have the following inequality

$$
I_{1}(N, r, f) \leq\left(\|F\|_{s}+C\|M\|_{p}^{q}\left\|G_{r}\right\|_{s}\right)\left\|R_{N} f\right\|_{p}^{q}
$$

for every $f \in H^{p}$. As a consequence

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \leq \lim _{N} \inf \left(\sup _{\|f\|_{p} \leq 1} I_{1}(N, r, f)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq 2\left(\|F\|_{s}+C\|M\|_{p}^{q}\left\|G_{r}\right\|_{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

using the fact that $\sup _{N}\left\|R_{N}\right\| \leq 2$. Now we make $r$ tend to 1 , keeping in mind that $\left\|G_{r}\right\|_{s} \rightarrow 0$. We obtain

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \leq 2\|F\|_{s}^{1 / q} .
$$

It remains to see that we can choose $F$ in such a way that

$$
\|F\|_{s} \leq\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{p / q}\left(\int_{E_{\varphi}}|u(\zeta)|^{\frac{p q}{p-q}} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{1 / s}
$$

Indeed, if $f \in C(\mathbb{T}) \cap H^{p / q}$, we apply Hölder's inequality with conjugates exponents $p / q$ and $s$ to have

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{T}} f \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\varphi, \mathbb{T}}\right|=\left.\left.\left|\int_{E_{\varphi}}\right| u\right|^{q} f \circ \varphi \mathrm{~d} m\left|\leq \int_{E_{\varphi}}\right| u\right|^{q}|f \circ \varphi| \mathrm{d} m \leq\left\|C_{\varphi}(f)\right\|_{p / q}\left(\int_{E_{\varphi}}|u|^{s q} \mathrm{~d} m\right)^{1 / s}
$$

meaning that $\mu_{\varphi, \mathbb{T}} \in\left(H^{p / q}\right)^{*}$, which is isometrically isomorphic to $L^{s}(\mathbb{T}) / H_{0}^{s}$, where $H_{0}^{s}$ is the subspace of $H^{s}$ consisting of functions vanishing at zero. If we denote by $N\left(\mu_{\varphi, \mathbb{T}}\right)$ the norm of $\mu_{\varphi, \mathbb{T}}$ viewed as an element of $\left(H^{p / q}\right)^{*}$, then one can choose $F \in L^{s}(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying $\|F\|_{s}=$ $N\left(\mu_{\varphi, \mathbb{T}}\right) \leq\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{p / q}\left(\int_{E_{\varphi}}|u|^{p q /(p-q)} \mathrm{d} m\right)^{1 / s}$ and $\mu_{\varphi, \mathbb{T}}=F \mathrm{~d} m$ (see for instance [11], p. 194). Finally we have

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \leq 2\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{p / q}^{1 / q}\left(\int_{E_{\varphi}}|u(\zeta)|^{\frac{p q}{p-q}} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p q}}
$$

Although we haven't be able to give a corresponding lower bound of this form for the essential norm of $u C_{\varphi}$, we have the following result:

Proposition 5.5. Let $1 \leq q<p<\infty$, and assume that $u C_{\varphi} \in B\left(H^{p}, H^{q}\right)$. Then

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \geq\left(\int_{E_{\varphi}}|u(\zeta)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

Proof. Take a compact operator $K$ from $H^{p}$ to $H^{q}$. Since it is completely continuous, and the sequence $\left(z^{n}\right)$ converges weakly to zero in $H^{p},\left(K\left(z^{n}\right)\right)_{n}$ converges to zero in $H^{q}$. Hence

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}+K\right\| \geq\left\|\left(u C_{\varphi}+K\right) z^{n}\right\|_{q} \geq\left\|u C_{\varphi}\left(z^{n}\right)\right\|_{q}-\left\|K\left(z^{n}\right)\right\|_{q}
$$

for every $n \geq 0$. Taking the limit as $n$ tends to infinity, we have

$$
\left\|u C_{\varphi}\right\|_{e} \geq\left(\int_{E_{\varphi}}|u(\zeta)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} m(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$
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