



HAL
open science

MRI-only conversion to MS following a clinically isolated syndrome

Declan T Chard, Catherine Mary Dalton, Josephine K Swanton, Leonora K Fisniku, Miszkiel A Katherine, Alan J Thompson, Gordon T Plant, David H Miller

► **To cite this version:**

Declan T Chard, Catherine Mary Dalton, Josephine K Swanton, Leonora K Fisniku, Miszkiel A Katherine, et al.. MRI-only conversion to MS following a clinically isolated syndrome. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 2010, 82 (2), pp.176. 10.1136/jnnp.2010.208660. hal-00557451

HAL Id: hal-00557451

<https://hal.science/hal-00557451>

Submitted on 19 Jan 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

MRI-only conversion to MS following a clinically isolated syndrome

D.T. Chard ^{1,2,4}, C.M. Dalton ^{1,2,4}, J. Swanton ^{1,2}, L.K. Fisniku ^{1,2}, K.A. Miszkiel ⁴, A.J. Thompson ^{1,3,4}, G.T. Plant ⁴, D.H. Miller ^{1,2,4}

1. NMR Research Unit, UCL Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London, UK

2. Department of Neuroinflammation, UCL Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London, UK

3. Department of Brain Repair and Rehabilitation, UCL Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London, UK

4. National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, Queen Square, London, UK

Corresponding author: Declan Chard

Correspondence address: Department of Neuroinflammation, UCL Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London, WC1N 3BG, UK

Tel: +44 207 829-8771

Fax: +44 207 278-5616

Email: d.chard@ion.ucl.ac.uk

Title character count: 66 (with spaces)

Abstract word count: 193

Main text word count: 1806

References: 24

Tables: 2

Figures: 0

Keywords: multiple sclerosis; clinically isolated syndromes; clinical outcomes; brain lesions; benign.

Disclosures

C.M. Dalton received funding from Novartis, through a grant held by the UCL Institute of Neurology, to perform MRI analysis. A.J. Thompson has received honoraria for consulting services, speaking, and serving on a scientific advisory boards from Novartis, Eisai, Weleda/Society for Clinical Research, Hoffman La Roche, UCB Pharma, Serono Foundation, Sanofi-Aventis, and the MS Society of Great Britain and Northern Ireland. He is Editor-in-Chief of Multiple Sclerosis for which he receives an honorarium from Sage Publications. D.H. Miller has received honoraria from UCB Pharma, Schering, Biogen Idec, GSK, and Wyeth for consulting services, speaking, and serving on a scientific advisory boards. He has received reimbursement for work as co-chief Editor of Journal of Neurology, and research grant support from the MS Society of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Wellcome Trust, Medical Research Council UK, Biogen Idec, Novartis, GlaxoSmithKline, and Schering. G.T. Plant is editor in chief of Neuro-Ophthalmology and the Institute of Neurology has received payments in respect of this work.

Acknowledgements

We thank Drs Peter Brex, Kryshani Fernando, Olga Ciccarelli, Sean Morrissey, Jonathan O'Riordan, Michael Sailer for their assistance with data collection and analysis of imaging data, and the people who took part in this study. The NMR Research Unit is supported by the MS Society Great Britain and Northern Ireland, and Department of Health's NIHR Comprehensive Biomedical Research Centre at UCLH.

Licence for Publication

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in JNNP and any other BMJ PGL products and sublicences such use and exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence. (<http://group.bmj.com/products/journals/instructions-for-authors/licence-forms>)

Competing Interest: None declared.

Abstract

Objectives: Using current diagnostic criteria, patients who present with a clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) may develop multiple sclerosis (MS) by subsequently exhibiting dissemination in space and time on clinical (clinically definite [CD] MS) or radiological (MRI) grounds. We investigated the frequency of radiological without clinical conversion to MS after long-term follow up as this has not previously been defined.

Methods: We investigated two cohorts who underwent serial clinical and MRI studies from presentation with a CIS, and who were followed up over a mean of 6 and 20 years. The distribution and formation of lesions visible on brain MRI were assessed using the revised McDonald criteria (2005). Radiologically defined (RD) MS was determined by fulfilment of the MRI but not CDMS criteria.

Results: One hundred and five people were followed-up for 6 years after a CIS, of whom 51% developed CDMS, 15% RDMS, and the remainder were classified as still having had a CIS. Seventy people were followed-up at 20 years, of whom 61% and 11% had developed CDMS and RDMS respectively.

Conclusion: About 10-15% CIS patients may develop MS on MRI criteria only, without further clinical events for up to two decades.

Introduction

For most patients who develop multiple sclerosis (MS), the initial clinical event is a clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) suggestive of demyelination. About two thirds of young adults presenting with a CIS exhibit clinically silent lesions on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) that suggest demyelination. Long term (15-20 year) follow up studies have revealed that subsequent further clinical relapses leading to a diagnosis of clinically definite (CD) MS¹ occur in 70-80% with an abnormal scan and 20-25% with normal imaging^{2,3}; the majority of those who convert do so within the first 5 years^{4,5}.

Since 2001, diagnostic criteria for MS allow for MRI evidence of dissemination in space and time alone to diagnose MS following a CIS^{6,7}, and follow up studies have shown that MRI conversion is often associated with developing CDMS^{8,9,10}. Although it is known that people with CIS develop clinically silent new lesions during follow up^{11,12}, the frequency with which patients develop MS on MRI criteria only after prolonged follow-up has not been studied.

The work we report here sought to address the question: in the longer-term, how many people could be diagnosed as having MS based on the current MRI criteria only⁷?

Methods

Participants

We retrospectively interrogated data from two cohorts who underwent serial clinical and MRI studies following a CIS. The first cohort was recruited between May 1984 and July 1987, and had clinical and MRI follow-up at (means) 1, 5, 10, 14 and 20 years². The second cohort was recruited between June 1995 and November 2002, and had clinical and MRI follow-up at 3 months, and 1, 3 and 6 years¹³. Clinical and MRI data were missing at some time-points in some patients; to be included in the present study,

subjects must have had both a clinical and MRI follow up study at the final scheduled 6-year or 20-year time-point.

These studies were approved by the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery and UCL Institute of Neurology Joint Research Ethics Committee. All participants gave written informed consent.

Magnetic resonance imaging

The 20-year cohort had baseline MRI and 5-year follow-up scanning undertaken using a Picker (Cleveland, USA) 0.5T system, and all other scanning performed using a General Electric (Milwaukee, USA) Signa 1.5T system. Contiguous 5mm axial slices were obtained at all time-points, except for a minority of baseline images that were acquired with a slice thickness of 10mm. At baseline and 5 years spin echo scans (repetition time (TR)=2000ms, echo time (TE)=60ms) were acquired; at 10 years conventional spin echo scans were obtained (TR=2000ms, TE=30/90ms); at 14 years fast spin echo (FSE) sequences was acquired (TR=2000ms, TE=14/98ms); and at 20 years an FSE sequence was obtained (TR=2000ms, TE=17/102ms).

For the 6-year cohort all scanning was undertaken using a General Electric Signa 1.5T system. Contiguous 3mm axial slices were obtained at all time-points. An FSE sequences (TR=3200ms, TE=15/90ms) was acquired during each scanning session, and at baseline a T1-weighted (TR=600ms, TE=17ms) post gadolinium (Gd) (0.1mmol/kg body weight) image was also obtained.

Brain T2-weighted lesion counts and volumes were determined from baseline images in both the 20- and 6-year cohorts ¹⁴. Baseline brain Gd-enhancing lesion counts were obtained in the 6-year cohort.

Clinical assessment

Patients were classified into four subgroups at last follow up:

1. Clinically definite (CD) MS: those people who had at least one new clinical relapse with documented new symptoms and signs, or who developed progressive neurological deficits ¹;

2. Radiologically defined (RD) MS: fulfilling the McDonald MRI ⁷ criteria for dissemination in space and time, but not the CDMS criteria;
3. Evolving (E) CIS: not fulfilling CDMS or McDonald MRI criteria for MS while still accruing new MRI lesions;
4. Stable (S) CIS: with neither clinical nor MRI evidence for new disease.

Expanded disability status scale (EDSS) ¹⁵ scores are reported from the baseline and 6-year follow up visits for the 6-year cohort and from the 5- and 20-year follow-up visits for the 20-year cohort (a baseline EDSS was not obtained in this group). Disease modifying treatments were prescribed only to patients with CDMS who had experienced two or more relapses in the previous two years.

Statistical analyses

Fisher's exact tests were used to assess group-wide differences in gender ratios, all other measures were compared using Mann-Whitney U tests. Analyses were performed using SPSS 11 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, USA).

Results

Six-year CIS group (Table 1)

The 6-year CIS group were first reviewed an average of 47 days after their first clinical event (median 45, range 2 to 106). They were followed-up for a mean of 6.3 years (median 5.6, range 4.7 to 10.2 years), at which time ~51% had CDMS, ~15% RDMS, ~12% ECIS and ~20% SCIS. Comparing baseline observations between CDMS and RDMS, except for a greater proportion of males in the RDMS group ($p=0.005$), and a trend towards the RDMS group being older at the time of their first episode ($p=0.068$), no significant differences were detected (baseline T2 lesion volumes and numbers, Gd-enhancing lesion counts, and EDSS scores). Comparing CDMS with the ECIS and SCIS groups, there were significant differences in T2 lesion volume ($p<0.001$), T2 lesion number ($p<0.001$) and Gd-enhancing lesion number (CDMS with ECIS $p=0.026$ and with SCIS $p<0.001$).

Twenty-year CIS group (Table 2)

The 20-year CIS group were first reviewed an average of 63.3 days after their first clinical event (median 26, range 1 to 361). They were followed-up for a mean of 19.9 years (median 20, range 18.0 to 23.1 years), at which time ~61% had CDMS, ~11% had RDMS, ~11% ECIS and ~16% SCIS. Comparing baseline observations between CDMS and RDMS, no significant differences were detected (gender, age at first episode, baseline T2 lesion numbers, and 5-year EDSS scores), although a slight trend to a lower baseline T2 lesion volume was noted ($p=0.073$, with caveat that T2 lesion volumes were only available in a subset of patients, as per Table 2). Comparing CDMS with ECIS and SCIS, baseline T2 lesion counts were lower ($p=0.001$ and $p<0.001$ respectively), as were T2 lesion volumes ($p=0.002$ and <0.001 respectively); 5-year EDSS scores were lower in the SCIS compared with CDMS group ($p=0.048$).

Discussion

The main finding of our study was that some CIS patients (11-15%) developed MS using current imaging but not clinical criteria after prolonged follow up (6 to 20 years), so identifying a group of patients who exhibit a clinically silent disease course over a long period.

Although the length of follow up of the two cohorts is very different, conversion to CDMS following a CIS occurs most commonly during the first 5 years. Our findings are consistent with this, showing similar proportions with CDMS in the 6-year and 20-year cohorts (51% and 61% respectively). They also suggest that those classified as having RDMS at 5 years are more likely than not to remain so in the longer term (with 15% and 11% classified as having RDMS in the 6-year and 20-year cohorts respectively), although some will still convert to CDMS.

With the available data, we also investigated whether there were MRI features at presentation that could help distinguish a RDMS from CDMS evolution. However, like CDMS most RDMS subjects had abnormal brain MRI at presentation with similar T2 lesion loads and, in the 6-year cohort, a similar

number of gadolinium enhancing lesions. We found that the 6-year RDMS group were more often male and had a slightly older age at CIS presentation compared to the CDMS group. These clinical features tend to be over-represented in progressive forms of MS^{16,17}, and their presence in the 6-year but not 20-year RDMS group might suggest that the former cohort includes subjects who in the longer term will evolve to a secondary progressive form of disease.

It is well established that the presence of brain MRI lesions in CIS patients is associated with a relatively high likelihood of conversion to CDMS and disease modifying treatments beta interferon and glatiramer acetate delay the time to developing CDMS^{18,19,20,21} and are sometimes initiated in CIS patients with abnormal MRI. Our present study nevertheless highlights that conversion to CDMS is not invariable and awareness of the possibility of a long-term RDMS course may be useful in counselling and managing CIS patients.

In addition to the RDMS group, there was a group who accrued new lesions but did not fulfil current diagnostic criteria (ECIS). This group is likely to include subjects with a mild form of MS and some with other causes of white matter lesions, and raises the issue of the specificity of MRI findings. In this regard the present McDonald imaging criteria for MS may beneficially reduce the chance of a false positive diagnosis of MS, albeit at the expense of diagnostic sensitivity. It has been noted that some patients who develop CDMS do not fulfil the current MRI criteria for MS, and recent work supports expanding the imaging criteria for MS in patients with a typical CIS^{10,22,23}. Further research to improve prediction of outcomes following a CIS is needed, and could include newer genetic, immunological and imaging measures.

There are several limitations to the study. First, cognitive testing was not included in the 20-year follow up cohort and the possibility that some of the RDMS cases developed cognitive impairment cannot be excluded. Neuropsychological testing was performed at follow up of the 6-year cohort²⁴ and cognitive impairment when present was mild with no clear differences between those who developed MS and those who did not. Secondly, it is possible that some MRI lesions were not due to demyelination; particularly in

the older 20-year follow up cohort, some of the new white matter lesions may represent vascular disease. However, the proportion with RDMS in this group was not higher (it was actually slightly lower) than in the younger 6-year cohort, suggesting that the contribution from superadded vascular disease is probably small. Thirdly, some subjects originally recruited could not be followed up with the required clinical and MRI evaluation at the final study time-point; this was more often the case for the 20-year cohort in whom it was also not possible to scan some more disabled MS patients. Finally, there were differences between the CIS cohorts with respect to imaging protocols, frequency of scanning, type of scanner and type of CIS. Notwithstanding these factors, the two CIS cohorts exhibited many similarities – e.g., age, gender and frequency of MRI abnormalities at presentation and of evolution to clinically definite MS – and the baseline clinical and imaging features of both groups are typical for CIS.

Overall, the similarity of findings in both CIS cohorts confirms that a long term RDMS course occurs, albeit less commonly than CDMS. Awareness of a RDMS course may be relevant when counselling and treating CIS patients. Further prospective follow up studies are warranted to better characterise and understand this evolution of MS.

Table 1: clinical and MRI baseline observations, and last review EDSS scores, in the cohort followed-up over 6-years from their index clinical event.

	Subtype			
	CDMS	RDMS	ECIS	SCIS
Further clinical events	Yes	No	No	No
New MRI lesions	Not required	Yes	Yes	No
Gender (F/M)	42/12	6/10	8/5	15/7
Age at first event (years) ¹	33.0, 7.7	36.6, 6.3	34.3, 7.5	32.3, 7.5
T2 lesion volume (ml) ²	1.3, 0.0 to 25.1	1.2, 0.3 to 9.6	0.1, 0.0 to 0.5	0.0, 0.0 to 0.8
T2 lesion number ²	12, 0 to 142	13.5, 3 to 57	1, 0 to 6	0, 0 to 5
Gd-enhancing lesion number ²	0, 0 to 21	0, 0 to 13	0, 0 to 3	0, 0 to 0
First review EDSS ²	1.0, 0.0 to 6.0	1.0, 0.0 to 3.0	1.0, 0.0 to 3.0	1.0, 0.0 to 4.0
Six-year EDSS ²	2.0, 0.0 to 7.5	1.0, 0.0 to 2.0	1.0, 0.0 to 2.0	1.0, 0.0 to 2.5

¹Mean, standard deviation. ²Median and range. N=105, except for baseline EDSS, where N=103 (N=53 for CDMS and N=21 for SCIS), and 6-year EDSS scores, where N=104 (N=15 for RDMS). CDMS=clinically definite multiple sclerosis; ECIS=evolving clinically isolated syndrome; EDSS=expanded disability status scale; RDMS=radiologically defined multiple sclerosis; SCIS=stable clinically isolated syndrome.

Table 2: clinical and MRI baseline observations, and last review EDSS scores, in the cohort followed-up over 20-years from their index clinical event.

	Subtype			
	CDMS	RDMS	ECIS	SCIS
Further clinical events	Yes	No	No	No
New MRI lesions	Not required	Yes	Yes	No
Gender (F/M)	31/12	5/3	6/2	7/4
Age at first event (years) ¹	31.5, 6.4	32.6, 8.5	28.5, 7.6	33.3, 8.4
T2 lesion volume (ml) ²	0.8, 0.0 to 13.7	0.0, 0.0 to 2.3	0.0, 0.0 to 0.4	0.0, 0.0 to 0.0
T2 lesion number ²	14, 0 to 74	6, 0 to 26	0, 0 to 5	0, 0 to 32
5-year EDSS ²	1.5, 0.0 to 6.5	1.5, 0.0 to 6.5	0.0, 0.0 to 2.0	0.0, 0.0 to 5.0
20-year EDSS ²	3.5, 1.0 to 8.0	2.0, 0.0 to 6.5	0.5, 0.0 to 2.5	1.0, 0.0 to 5.0

¹Mean, standard deviation. ²Median and range. N=70, except for baseline T2 lesion volume, where N=53 (N=33 for CDMS, N=4 for RDMS, N=6 for ECIS and N=10 for SCIS), and five year EDSS, where N=55 (N=37 for CDMS, N=5 for RDMS, N=6 for E-CIS and N=7 for SCIS). CDMS=clinically definite multiple sclerosis; ECIS=evolving clinically isolated syndrome; EDSS=expanded disability status scale; RDMS=radiologically defined multiple sclerosis; SCIS=stable clinically isolated syndrome.

References

1. Poser CM, Paty DW, Scheinberg L, et al. New diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: guidelines for research protocols. *Ann Neurol*. 1983 Mar;13(3):227-31.
2. Fisniku LK, Brex PA, Altmann DR, et al. Disability and T2 MRI lesions: a 20-year follow-up of patients with relapse onset of multiple sclerosis. *Brain*. 2008 Mar;131(Pt 3):808-17.
3. Optic Neuritis Study Group. Multiple sclerosis risk after optic neuritis: final optic neuritis treatment trial follow-up. *Arch Neurol*. 2008 Jun;65(6):727-32.
4. Morrissey SP, Miller DH, Kendall BE, et al. The significance of brain magnetic resonance imaging abnormalities at presentation with clinically isolated syndromes suggestive of multiple sclerosis. A 5-year follow-up study. *Brain*. 1993 Feb;116 (Pt 1):135-46.
5. Tintoré M, Rovira A, Río J, et al. Baseline MRI predicts future attacks and disability in clinically isolated syndromes. *Neurology*. 2006 Sep 26;67(6):968-72.
6. McDonald WI, Compston A, Edan G, et al. Recommended diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: guidelines from the International Panel on the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol*. 2001 Jul;50(1):121-7.
7. Polman CH, Reingold SC, Edan G, et al. Diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: 2005 revisions to the "McDonald Criteria". *Ann Neurol*. 2005 Dec;58(6):840-6.
8. Dalton CM, Brex PA, Miszkief KA, et al. Application of the new McDonald criteria to patients with clinically isolated syndromes suggestive of multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol*. 2002 Jul;52(1):47-53.
9. Tintoré M, Rovira A, Río J, et al. New diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: application in first demyelinating episode. *Neurology*. 2003 Jan 14;60(1):27-30.

10. Swanton JK, Rovira A, Tintore M, et al. MRI criteria for multiple sclerosis in patients presenting with clinically isolated syndromes: a multicentre retrospective study. *Lancet Neurol.* 2007 Aug;6(8):677-86.
11. Brex PA, Ciccarelli O, O'Riordan JI, et al. A longitudinal study of abnormalities on MRI and disability from multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2002 Jan 17;346(3):158-64.
12. Optic Neuritis Study Group. Long-term brain magnetic resonance imaging changes after optic neuritis in patients without clinically definite multiple sclerosis. *Arch Neurol.* 2004 Oct;61(10):1538-41.
13. Swanton JK, Fernando KT, Dalton CM, et al. Early MRI in optic neuritis: the risk for disability. *Neurology.* 2009 Feb 10;72(6):542-50.
14. Grimaud J, Lai M, Thorpe J, Adeleine P, et al. Quantification of MRI lesion load in multiple sclerosis: a comparison of three computer-assisted techniques. *Magn Reson Imaging.* 1996;14(5):495-505.
15. Kurtzke JF. Rating neurologic impairment in multiple sclerosis: an expanded disability status scale (EDSS). *Neurology.* 1983 Nov;33(11):1444-52.
16. Miller DH, Leary SM. Primary-progressive multiple sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol.* 2007 Oct;6(10):903-12.
17. Cottrell DA, Kremenchutzky M, Rice GP, et al. The natural history of multiple sclerosis: a geographically based study. 5. The clinical features and natural history of primary progressive multiple sclerosis. *Brain.* 1999 Apr;122 (Pt 4):625-39.
18. Jacobs LD, Beck RW, Simon JH, et al. Intramuscular interferon beta-1a therapy initiated during a first demyelinating event in multiple sclerosis. CHAMPS Study Group. *N Engl J Med.* 2000 Sep 28;343(13):898-904.
19. Comi G, Filippi M, Barkhof F, et al. Effect of early interferon treatment on conversion to definite multiple sclerosis: a randomised study. *Lancet.* 2001 May 19;357(9268):1576-82.

20. Kappos L, Polman CH, Freedman MS, et al. Treatment with interferon beta-1b delays conversion to clinically definite and McDonald MS in patients with clinically isolated syndromes. *Neurology*. 2006 Oct 10;67(7):1242-9.
21. Comi G, Martinelli V, Rodegher M, et al. Effect of glatiramer acetate on conversion to clinically definite multiple sclerosis in patients with clinically isolated syndrome (PreCISe study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2009 Oct 31;374(9700):1503-11.
22. Rovira A, Swanton J, Tintoré M, et al. A single, early magnetic resonance imaging study in the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis. *Arch Neurol*. 2009 May;66(5):587-92.
23. Montalban X, Tintore M, Swanton JK, et al. MRI criteria for MS in patients with clinically isolated syndromes. *Neurology*. 2010 Feb 2;74(5):427-34.
24. Summers M, Swanton J, Fernando K, Dalton C, Miller DH, Cipelotti L, Ron MA. Cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis can be predicted by imaging early in the disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2008 Aug;79(8):955-8.